# Computing modular Galois representations 

Nicolas Mascot

## To cite this version:

Nicolas Mascot. Computing modular Galois representations. General Mathematics [math.GM]. Université de Bordeaux, 2014. English. NNT: 2014BORD0108 . tel-01110658v2

HAL Id: tel-01110658
https://hal.science/tel-01110658v2
Submitted on 7 May 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# université ${ }^{\text {de } B O R D E A U X}$ 

Thèse présentée
pour obtenir le grade de

# Docteur de l'université de Bordeaux 

Spécialité : mathématiques

Par Nicolas Mascot

# Calcul de représentations galoisiennes modulaires 

Directeur : Jean-Marc Couveignes<br>Co-directeur : Karim Belabas

Soutenue le mardi 15 juillet 2014 à 15 h

Devant le jury formé de :

| Henri Cohen | Professeur émérite | Université de Bordeaux | Président |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| John Cremona | Professeur | University of Warwick | Rapporteur |
| Benedict Gross | Professeur | Harvard university | Rapporteur |
| Jean-Marc Couveignes | Professeur | Université de Bordeaux | Directeur |
| Karim Belabas | Professeur | Université de Bordeaux | Co-directeur |
| Kamal Khuri-Makdisi | Professeur | American university of Beirut | Examinateur |
| John Voight | Professeur associé | Dartmouth college | Examinateur |

# Computing modular Galois representations 

Nicolas Mascot

## Doctoral thesis

Advisor: Jean-Marc Couveignes

Defended on Tuesday, July $15^{\text {th }}$, 2014
Reviewers:
J. Cremona
B. Gross

Warwick University
Harvard University
Jury:
K. Belabas
H. Cohen, president
J.-M. Couveignes
J. Cremona
B. Gross
K. Khuri-Makdisi
J. Voight

Université de Bordeaux
Université de Bordeaux
Université de Bordeaux
Warwick University
Harvard University
American University of Beirut
Dartmouth College


> Institut de mathématiques de Bordeaux
> Université de Bordeaux
> France

## Remerciements

Je tiens tout d＇abord à remercier chaleureusement mon directeur de thèse，Jean－Marc Couveignes，non seulement de m＇avoir donné l＇occasion de travailler sur ce passion－ nant sujet，mais aussi pour son soutien sans faille，sa disponibilité permanente，et l＇extrême ingéniosité des réponses qu＇il a apportées aux innombrables questions que je lui ai posées，et sans lesquelles cette thèse n＇aurait pas acquis une telle substance． Il fut un directeur de thèse exemplaire，et certainement pas seulement pour avoir，un soir de juin 2012，renversé le monde en me préparant une tasse de café alors que nous attendions les premiers résultats de mes calculs．Mon codirecteur，Karim Belabas， n＇est pas en reste，et je le remercie vivement pour la disponibilité et la gentillesse dont il a toujours fait preuve envers moi，notamment en sacrifiant son dimanche après－midi pour me permettre de répéter mon exposé de soutenance．

Plus généralement，c＇est pour moi un grand plaisir de témoigner de ma recon－ naissance à l＇égard des membres de l＇équipe de théorie algorithmique des nombres de Bordeaux，et en particulier à Bill Allombert，Henri Cohen，Andreas Enge et Damien Robert，ainsi qu＇à mes condisciples Barinder Banwait，Maël Mevel，Enea Milio，Louis Nebout，Stéphanie Réglade，Thomas Selig et bien évidemment Aurel Page（mention spéciale pour les soirées raclette－Civilization sur vidéoprojecteur）．Travailler dans un tel environnement fut un privilège inestimable doublé d＇un réel plaisir，et je garderai un souvenir fabuleux de ces trois années passées à travailler avec vous．Je remercie également les équipes de la cellule informatique pour leur assistance lors de mes pre－ miers pas sur le cluster de calcul PlaFRIM，et de la bibliothèque de l＇IMB pour leur sympathie．

Par ailleurs，je souhaite également remercier Cécile Armana，John Cremona，Bas Edixhoven，Noam Elkies，Aurélien Galateau，Benedict Gross，Kamal Khuri－Makdisi， David Lubicz，Marusia Rebolledo，Bruno Salvy，Jean－Pierre Serre et John Voight pour l＇intérêt et l＇enthousiasme qu＇ils ont manifestés pour mon travail．

Enfin，je voudrais remercier Jean－François，Martine，Vivien et 费明安 pour leur soutien et leur amour．

## Résumé

Soit $f=q+\sum_{n \geqslant 2} a_{n} q^{n} \in S_{k}(N, \varepsilon)$ une newform (c'est-à-dire une forme parabolique nouvelle, propre, et normalisée) de poids $k \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, de niveau $N \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ et de nebentypus $\varepsilon$. On sait alors que le corps

$$
K_{f}=\mathbb{Q}\left(a_{n}, n \geqslant 2\right)
$$

engendré par les coefficients de Fourier de $f$ est un corps de nombres, et qu'il contient les valeurs prises par $\varepsilon$.

En observant la forme des congruences dites "de Ramanujan" satisfaites par les coefficients de Fourier du discriminant modulaire

$$
\Delta=q \prod_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left(1-q^{n}\right)^{24} \in S_{12}(1)
$$

J.-P. Serre a conjecturé dans [Ser69] que pour toute newform $f$ de poids $k \geqslant 2$ comme ci-dessus, et pour tout premier $\mathfrak{l}$ du corps de nombres $K_{f}$, il existe une représentation galoisienne $\mathfrak{l}$-adique

$$
\rho_{f, \mathrm{l}}: \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{K_{f, \mathrm{l}}}\right)
$$

non-ramifiée hors de $\ell N$ et telle que l'image de tout élément de Frobenius en $p \nmid \ell N$ ait pour polynôme caractéristique

$$
X^{2}-a_{p} X+\varepsilon(p) p^{k-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{K_{f, \mathrm{l}}}[X]
$$

ce qui caractérise $\rho_{f, \mathfrak{l}}$ à isomorphisme près, où $\mathbb{Z}_{K_{f, l}}$ est la complétion l-adique de l'anneau des entiers $\mathbb{Z}_{K_{f}}$ de $K_{f}$, et $\ell$ est la caractéristique résiduelle de $\mathfrak{l}$. L'existence de $\rho_{f, l}$ fut prouvée peu de temps après par P. Deligne dans [Del71].

Soit $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ le corps résiduel de $\mathfrak{l}$. En réduisant la représentation galoisienne $\mathfrak{l}$-adique ci-dessus modulo $\mathfrak{l}$ et en semi-simplifiant, on obtient une représentation galoisienne modulo $\mathfrak{l}$

$$
\bar{\rho}_{f, l}: \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)
$$

bien définie à isomorphisme près, non-ramifiée hors de $\ell N$, et telle que l'image de tout élément de Frobenius en $p \nmid \ell N$ ait pour polynôme caractéristique

$$
X^{2}-a_{p} X+\varepsilon(p) p^{k-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{r}}[X] .
$$

En particulier, la trace de cette image est $a_{p} \bmod \mathfrak{l}$, ce qui, comme remarqué par J.-M. Couveignes et B. Edixhoven inspirés par le travail précurseur de R. Schoof (cf. [Sch.95]), rend possible le calcul rapide de $a_{p}$ modulo $\mathfrak{l}$ pour $p$ gigantesque. Les coefficients $a_{p}$ peuvent ensuite être reconstitués par restes chinois en faisant varier $\mathfrak{l}$, ce qui fournit l'unique moyen théorique connu à ce jour de calculer $a_{p}$ en temps polynomial en $\log p$, ainsi qu'expliqué dans le livre [CFIT]; cependant, le coût prohibitif du calcul de la représentation galoisienne $\bar{\rho}_{f, l}$ pour $\ell$ grand fait que cette approche est malheureusement irréaliste à l'heure actuelle.

L'objet de cette thèse est l'étude et l'implémentation d'un algorithme, basé sur les idées contenues dans le livre [CET1] édité par J.-M. Couveignes et B. Edixhoven, qui calcule cette représentation galoisienne modulo $\mathfrak{l}$, à condition que son image contienne $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{l}}\right)$ (ce qui est le cas générique, et aussi le plus intéressant), que $k<\ell$, que $N$ soit premier à $\ell$, et que $\mathfrak{l}$ soit de degré 1 de sorte que $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{\ell}$. De plus, le corps de nombres $L=\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\operatorname{Ker} \bar{\rho}_{f, 1}}$ coupé par cette représentation, qui possède de nombreuses propriétés intéressantes (il est notamment souvent solution au problème inverse de Galois pour $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$, ou même au problème de Gross), est calculé explicitement au cours de l'exécution de l'algorithme.

Cet algorithme, que je décris en détail dans la partie $\mathbb{B}$, repose sur le fait que si $k<\ell$, alors la représentation galoisienne $\bar{\rho}_{f, l}$ est réalisée par l'action de Galois sur le sous- $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}$-plan vectoriel

$$
V_{f, \mathrm{l}}=\bigcap_{n=1}^{+\infty} \operatorname{Ker}\left(T_{n}-a_{n} \bmod \mathfrak{l}\right)_{\mid J_{1}\left(N^{\prime}\right)[\ell]} \subset J_{1}\left(N^{\prime}\right)[\ell]
$$

de la $\ell$-torsion de la jacobienne $J_{1}\left(N^{\prime}\right)$ de la courbe modulaire $X_{1}\left(N^{\prime}\right)$, où $N^{\prime}=N$ si $k=2$ et $N^{\prime}=\ell N$ si $k>2$. Dans le cas de poids $k=2$, ceci découle de la relation d'Eichler-Shimura, et le cas de poids suprieur s'en déduit grâce à un théorème d'abaissement du poids dû à B . Gross qui entraîne l'existence d'une forme de poids 2 et de niveau $\ell N$ qui est congrue à $f$ modulo $\mathfrak{l}$, comme expliqué dans la section A.3.3.3.

L'algorithme commence par donner une description analytique de l'espace $V_{f, \mathfrak{l}}$ plongé dans la jacobienne

$$
J_{1}\left(N^{\prime}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}\left(S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\left(N^{\prime}\right)\right), \mathbb{C}\right) / H_{1}\left(X_{1}\left(N^{\prime}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

vue comme un tore complexe, en calculant numériquement le réseau des périodes de la courbe modulaire $X_{1}\left(N^{\prime}\right)$ à grande précision. Ceci nécessite de choisir soigneusement les symboles modulaires le long desquels les formes sont intégrées en vue de maximiser la vitesse de convergence des séries en $q$, et de calculer un grand nombre de coefficients du $q$-développement de ces formes.

L'algorithme transforme ensuite ce modèle analytique en un modèle algébrique en représentant les points de $V_{f, \mathfrak{l}}$ par des diviseurs sur $X_{1}\left(N^{\prime}\right)(\mathbb{C})$, en inversant localement l'application d'Abel-Jacobi

$$
\begin{aligned}
\jmath: \quad \operatorname{Div}^{0}\left(X_{1}\left(N^{\prime}\right)\right) & \longrightarrow J_{1}\left(N^{\prime}\right) \\
\sum n_{i}\left(Q_{i}-P_{i}\right) & \longmapsto \sum n_{i} \int_{P_{i}}^{Q_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

grâce à une itération de Newton. Afin d'aider la convergence de l'itération de Newton, l'algorithme vise en fait des points de $2^{m} \ell$-torsion au lieu de points de $\ell$-torsion, où $m \approx 10$ est un entier, puis il double $m$ fois la classe d'équivalence linéaire du diviseur obtenu.

Cette nouvelle représentation algébrique étant Galois-équivariante, ceci permet de déterminer le corps de nombres $L=\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\operatorname{Ker} \bar{\rho}_{f, l}}$ coupé par la représentation, en évaluant les valeurs prises par une fonction $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}\left(J_{1}\left(N^{\prime}\right)\right)$ en les points de $V_{f, \mathrm{l}}$, puis en formant le polynôme

$$
F(X)=\prod_{\substack{x \in V_{f, 1} \\ x \neq 0}}(X-\alpha(x)) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]
$$

dont ces valeurs sont les racines et dont le corps de décomposition est donc $L$. Le choix de la fonction d'évaluation $\alpha$ fait l'objet d'un attention particulière, afin de modérer autant que possible la hauteur arithmétique du polynôme $F(X)$. Ce même polynôme est ensuite réduit progressivement en tirant parti de la structure du treillis de sous-corps de $L$. On obtient alors une description de la représentation galoisienne $\bar{\rho}_{f, \mathfrak{l}}$ sous la forme d'une ensemble fini de nombres algébriques conjugués sur lesquels l'action de $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q})$ est connue et correspond à son action sur les points de $V_{f, \mathfrak{l}}-\{0\}$.

Enfin, l'algorithme utilise une méthode due à T. et V. Dokchitser pour calculer l'image par $\bar{\rho}_{f, l}$ d'un élement de Frobenius en $p$ en fonction d'un premier rationnel $p \nmid \ell N$ choisi. Comme un tel élément de Frobenius n'est défini qu'à conjugaison et inertie près, le résultat est une classe de similitude dans $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$, dont on peut examiner la trace afin de déterminer la valeur du coefficient $a_{p}$ de $f$ modulo l. Seule cette dernière étape de l'algorithme doit être renouvelée pour calculer l'image d'un élément de Frobenius en un autre premier $p$, et ainsi un autre coefficient $a_{p} \bmod \mathfrak{l}$.

Grâce à de nombreuses améliorations par rapport à la version décrite dans [CET], telles que l'utilisation d'une nouvelle méthode permettant de calculer le $q$-développement à grande précision d'une base de $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)$ en temps quasi-linéaire en la précision $q$-adique (cf. section $\mathbb{B . 3 . 3}]$ ), l'application des méthodes de K. Khuri-Makdisi (cf. section A.L.3) pour calculer dans la jacobienne modulaire $J_{1}(N)$, la construction d'une fonction $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}\left(J_{1}(N)\right)$ au bon comportement arithmétique suivant des idées nouvelles et naturellement adaptées au mode de représentation des diviseurs utilisé par les algorithmes de K. Khuri-Makdisi (cf. section B.3.4), ou encore l'utilisation d'une représentation galoisienne "quotient" (cf. section B.3.5.7) permettant de part sa taille inférieure de réduire la taille des coefficients du polynôme qui la définit (cf. section B.3.5.2) sans pour autant sacrifier d'informations essentielles, cet algorithme est très rapide et permet d'atteindre des valeurs de $\ell$ jusqu'alors inaccessibles tout en fournissant une description compacte du corps de nombres $L$ coupé par la représentation. Je l'ai ainsi utilisé pour battre des records de niveau et de genre dans le calcul de représentations galoisiennes modulaires (jusqu'à $\ell=31$, ce qui implique de calculer dans la jacobienne d'une courbe modulaire de genre $g=26$ ), ainsi qu'illustré par les tables de coefficients $a_{p} \bmod \mathfrak{l}$ figurant à la section C.D].

Puisque l'algorithme repose sur le calcul d'approximations complexes de points de $\ell$-torsion dans la jacobienne modulaire, il doit à un moment donné identifier des nombres rationnels à partir de leur approximation flottante. Pour cette raison, je présente dans la dernière section C.2 de cette thèse une méthode basée sur la conjecture de modularité de Serre pour prouver rigoureusement, dans le cas particulier où $f$ est de niveau $N=1$, que le corps de nombres $L$ coupé par la représentation galoisienne a bien été correctement identifié.

Je commence par prouver que le groupe de Galois du corps $L_{\text {proj }}$ coupé par la version projective de la représentation galoisienne calculée par l'algorithme est bien un sous-groupe de $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$ en vérifiant que son action sur $\mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ préserve le birapport; j'en déduis que cette représentation projective est la bonne, en déterminant son poids de Serre par l'examen de la valuation $\ell$-adique du discriminant de $L_{\text {proj }}$.

Je vérifie ensuite que le groupe de Galois du corps $L_{\text {quot }}$ coupé par la représentation quotient calculée par l'algorithme est isomorphe au quotient attendu de $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$, en classifiant certaines extensions centrales de $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ et en m'appuyant sur le fait que $L_{\text {quot }}$ n'est ramifié qu'en $\ell$ pour faire le tri parmi les cas possibles. Il est alors facile de conclure que le corps $L_{\text {quot }}$ calculé par l'algorithme est isomorphe au corps coupé par la représentation quotient associée à $f$ modulo $l$.

La première partie de cette thèse rappelle la théorie et les résultats utilisés par la suite, du théorème de Riemann-Roch pour les courbes algébriques à la conjecture de modularité de Serre en passant par la définition d'une forme modulaire et d'une représentation galoisienne, afin que la description détaillée de l'algorithme de calcul de représentations galoisiennes modulaires soit accessible au non-spécialiste. Par conséquent, cette partie, malgré sa longueur, ne contient aucun résultat original. J'invite donc fortement le lecteur à survoler très rapidement cette partie A et à passer directement aux parties $B$ et $C$ qui commencent page 1137, quitte à revenir à la partie $A$ pour compléter ses connaissances sur un sujet qui ne lui serait pas familier. Mon travail est présenté dans les parties B (description de l'algorithme) et C (tables de résultats de mes calculs et preuve de ceux-ci).

## Summary

Let $f=q+\sum_{n \geqslant 2} a_{n} q^{n} \in S_{k}(N, \varepsilon)$ be a newform of weight $k \in \mathbb{N}$, level $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and nebentypus $\varepsilon$. It is known that the field

$$
K_{f}=\mathbb{Q}\left(a_{n}, n \geqslant 2\right)
$$

spanned by the Fourier coefficients of $f$ is a number field, which contains the values assumed by $\varepsilon$.

As he observed the form of the so-called Ramanujan congruences satisfied by the Fourier coefficients of the modular discriminant

$$
\Delta=q \prod_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left(1-q^{n}\right)^{24} \in S_{12}(1)
$$

J.-P. Serre conjectured in [Ser69] that for each newform $f$ of weight $k \geqslant 2$ as above, and for each prime $\mathfrak{l}$ of the number field $K_{f}$, there exists an $\mathfrak{l}$-adic Galois representation

$$
\rho_{f, l}: \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{K_{f, l}}\right)
$$

which is unramified outside $\ell N$ and such that the characteristic polynomial of the image of any Frobenius element at $p \nmid \ell N$ is

$$
X^{2}-a_{p} X+\varepsilon(p) p^{k-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{K_{f, l}}[X]
$$

which characterises $\rho_{f, \mathfrak{l}}$ up to isomorphism, where $\mathbb{Z}_{K_{f, l}}$ is the $\mathfrak{l}$-adic completion of the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}_{K_{f}}$ of $K_{f}$, and $\ell$ denotes the residual characteristic of $\mathfrak{l}$. The existence of $\rho_{f, \mathrm{l}}$ was proved shortly after by P. Deligne in [Del71].

Let $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ be the residual field of $\mathfrak{l}$. Reducing the above $\mathfrak{l}$-adic Galois representation modulo $\mathfrak{l}$ and semi-simplifying yields a modulo $\mathfrak{l}$ Galois representation

$$
\bar{\rho}_{f, l}: \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)
$$

which is well-defined up to isomorphism, unramified outside $\ell N$, and such that the characteristic polynomial of the image of any Frobenius element at $p \nmid \ell N$ is

$$
X^{2}-a_{p} X+\varepsilon(p) p^{k-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{l}}[X] .
$$

In particular, the trace of this image is $a_{p} \bmod \mathfrak{l}$, which, as noticed by J.-M. Couveignes and B. Edixhoven inspired by R. Schoof's pioneering work (cf. [Sch95]), makes it possible to compute $a_{p}$ modulo $\mathfrak{l}$ for huge $p$. The coefficients $a_{p}$ may then be recovered by Chinese remainders by letting $\mathfrak{l}$ vary, which yields the to date only known theoretical way to compute $a_{p}$ in time polynomial in $\log p$, as explained in the book [CETI]; however, the prohibitive cost of the computation of the Galois representation $\bar{\rho}_{f, \text { l }}$ for large $\ell$ unfortunately makes this approach unrealistic at present.

The goal of this thesis is to describe and implement an algorithm, based on ideas from the book [CE1T] edited by J.-M. Couveignes and B. Edixhoven, which aims to compute this modulo $\mathfrak{l}$ Galois representation, provided that its image contains $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$ (which is the generic and also most interesting case), that $k<\ell$, and that $\mathfrak{l}$ is of degree 1 so that $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{\ell}$. Besides, the number field $L=\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\operatorname{Ker} \bar{\rho}_{f, l}}$ cut out by this representation, which enjoys various interesting properties (it is a solution to the inverse Galois problem for $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$, and even to the Gross problem), is computed explicitly along the algorithm execution.

This algorithm, which I describe in details in part $\mathbb{B}$, relies on the fact that if $k<\ell$, then the Galois representation $\bar{\rho}_{f, \mathrm{l}}$ is afforded by the Galois action on the sub- $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}$-vector plane

$$
V_{f, \mathfrak{l}}=\bigcap_{n=1}^{+\infty} \operatorname{Ker}\left(T_{n}-a_{n} \bmod \mathfrak{l}\right)_{\mid J_{1}\left(N^{\prime}\right)[\ell]} \subset J_{1}\left(N^{\prime}\right)[\ell]
$$

of the $\ell$-torsion of the jacobian $J_{1}\left(N^{\prime}\right)$ of the modular curve $X_{1}\left(N^{\prime}\right)$, where $N^{\prime}=N$ if $k=2$ and $N^{\prime}=\ell N$ if $k>2$. In the case of weight $k=2$, this is a consequence of the Eichler-Shimura relation, and the higher-weight case follows thanks to a weightlowering theorem of B. Gross's, which implies the existence of a form of weight 2 and level $\ell N$ which is congruent to $f$ modulo $\mathfrak{l}$, as explained in section A.3.3.3.

To begin with, the algorithm gives an analytic description of the space $V_{f, l}$ embedded in the jacobian

$$
J_{1}\left(N^{\prime}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}\left(S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\left(N^{\prime}\right)\right), \mathbb{C}\right) / H_{1}\left(X_{1}\left(N^{\prime}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

seen as a complex torus, by numerically computing the period lattice of the modular curve $X_{1}\left(N^{\prime}\right)$ with high accuracy. This requires a careful selection of the modular symbols along which cuspforms are integrated so as to maximise the speed of convergence of the $q$-series, and to compute a large number of $q$-expansion coefficients of these cuspforms.

The algorithm then switches from this analytic model to an algebraic one by representing points on $V_{f, r}$ by divisors on $X_{1}\left(N^{\prime}\right)(\mathbb{C})$, through a local inversion of the Abel-Jacobi map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\jmath: \quad \operatorname{Div}^{0}\left(X_{1}\left(N^{\prime}\right)\right) & \longrightarrow J_{1}\left(N^{\prime}\right) \\
\sum n_{i}\left(Q_{i}-P_{i}\right) & \longmapsto \sum n_{i} \int_{P_{i}}^{Q_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

performed thanks to a Newton iteration scheme. In order to help the Newton iteration to converge, the algorithm actually aims for $2^{m} \ell$-torsion points instead of $\ell$-torsion ones, with $m \approx 10$ an integer, and then doubles $m$ times the linear equivalence class of the divisor thus computed.

As this new algebraic representation is Galois-equivariant, this allows to determine the number field $L=\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\operatorname{Ker} \bar{\rho}_{f, r}}$ cut out by the representation, by evaluating the values assumed by a rational function $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}\left(J_{1}\left(N^{\prime}\right)\right)$ at the points of $V_{f, 1}$, and then by forming the polynomial

$$
F(X)=\prod_{\substack{x \in V_{f, 1} \\ x \neq 0}}(X-\alpha(x)) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]
$$

whose roots are these values and whose splitting field is thus $L$. The evaluation function $\alpha$ is constructed especially carefully, so as to curb the arithmetic height of the polynomial $F(X)$ as much as possible. This polynomial is then inductively reduced by drawing on the structure of the lattice of subfields of $L$. This yields a description of the Galois representation $\bar{\rho}_{f, \mathfrak{l}}$ in terms of a finite set of conjugate algebraic numbers on which the action of $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q})$ is known and corresponds to its action on $V_{f, l}-\{0\}$.

Finally, the algorithm uses a method of T. and V. Dokchitser's to compute the image by $\bar{\rho}_{f, l}$ of a Frobenius element at a chosen rational prime $p \nmid \ell N$. Since such a Frobenius element is defined up to conjugation and inertia, the result is a similarity class in $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$, whose trace can be looked up so as to determine the value modulo $\mathfrak{l}$ of the coefficient $a_{p}$ of $f$. Only this last step of the algorithm must be repeated in order to compute the image of a Frobenius element at another prime $p$, and hence another coefficient $a_{p} \bmod \mathfrak{l}$.

Thanks to numerous improvements over the version described in [CF11], such as a new method allowing to compute the $q$-expansion with high accuracy of a basis of $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)$ in time quasi-linear in the $q$-adic accuracy (cf. section B.3.]), the use of K. Khuri-Makdisi's methods (cf. section A.I.3) to compute in the modular jacobian $J_{1}(N)$, the construction of an arithmetically well-behaved function $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}\left(J_{1}(N)\right)$ following new ideas naturally suited to the representation mode of divisors in K. Khuri-Makdisi's algorithms (cf. section B.3.4), and the introduction of a quotient Galois representation (cf. section B.3.5.1) whose smaller size allows to reduce the complexity of the polynomial defining it (cf. section $\mathbb{B} .3 .5 .2)$ without discarding any essential information, this algorithm performs very well and allows to reach values of $\ell$ which were so far out of reach while giving a compact description of the number field $L$ cut out by the representation. I have thus used it so as to beat records of level and genus in the computation of modular Galois representations (up to $\ell=31$, which implies computing in the jacobian of a modular curve of genus $g=26$ ), as illustrated by the tables of values of coefficients $a_{p} \bmod \mathfrak{l}$ which appear in section C.D.

Since the algorithm relies on the computation of complex approximations of $\ell$-torsion points in the modular jacobian, it eventually has to identify rational numbers from their floating-point approximations. In the last section C.2 of this thesis, I therefore present a method based on Serre's modularity conjecture to rigorously prove, in the case when $f$ is of level $N=1$, that the number field $L$ cut out by the Galois representation has been correctly identified.

I start by proving that the Galois group of the field $L_{\text {proj }}$ cut out by the projective version of the Galois representation computed by the algorithm is indeed a subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$, by checking that its action on $\mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ preserves cross-ratios. From this I deduce that this projective representation is correct, by determining its Serre weight out of the $\ell$-adic valuation of the discriminant of $L_{\text {proj }}$.

I then check that the Galois group of the field $L_{\text {quot }}$ cut out by the quotient representation computed by the algorithm is isomorphic to the expected quotient of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$, by classifying certain central extensions of $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ and relying on the fact that $L_{\text {quot }}$ ramifies only at $\ell$ to exclude all possible cases but one. It is then easy to conclude that the field $L_{\text {quot }}$ computed by the algorithm is isomorphic to the number field cut out by the quotient representation attached to $f$ modulo $\mathfrak{l}$.

The first part of this thesis presents the background theory and results, from the Riemann-Roch theorem for algebraic curves to Serre's modularity conjecture along with the definition of a modular form and of a mod $\mathfrak{l}$ Galois representation, aiming to make the next parts accessible to the non-specialist. As a consequence, this part does not contain any original work although it is quite long. The reader is therefore strongly invited to skip part A and proceed directly to parts B and C starting page [137, and come back to part A only if he or she would like to get information about a point he or she is not familiar with. My work is presented in parts B (description of the algorithm) and C (tables of computation results and proof of these results).
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## Part A

## Theoretical prerequisites

Do Not Read Part A.

- Marc Hindry \& Joseph

Silverman, Diophantine
geometry: an introduction

I shall begin by introducing some theoretical background, in order to set the framework, fix some notation, and mainly for the sake of self-containedness. In particular, this first section contains mainly folklore, and no original work. I therefore urge the reader to skim very lightly through this first section, or even to proceed directly to my original work whose description begins on page 137.

In this first part, I shall first present the Riemann-Roch theorem and the notion of the jacobian variety of an algebraic curve, including a description of K. KhuriMakdisi's algorithms to perform arithmetic in a jacobian. Next, I shall introduce notions about modular curves and modular forms which will be used in the description of my algorithm in section $\mathbb{B}$. Finally, I shall conclude by recalling some facts about Galois representations, and especially the connection between modular forms and Galois representations.

## A. 1 Curves and their jacobians

## A.1.1 The Riemann-Roch theorem

Let me begin by introducing the Riemann-Roch theory, which is the workhorse of algebraic curve study. In what follows, I shall denote by $X$ a projective, non-singular, geometrically integral algebraic curve $X$, defined over a perfect field $K$. I shall often have $K=\mathbb{C}$ in mind, and shall frequently use this case to give examples. However, the statements I shall give will be, of course, valid for every perfect $K$.

I let $K(X)$ be the function field of $X$, and I fix an algebraic closure $\bar{K}$ of $K$. For each algebraic extension $L$ of $K$, I denote by $X(L)$ the set of $L$-rational points of $X$, and whenever I mention a point $P \in X$, I mean a point in $X(\bar{K})$.

In the case $K=\mathbb{C}$, the points of $X$ naturally form a compact, connected Riemann surface, as shown on figure A.1.1.], and $K(X)$ is the field of meromorphic functions
on $X$. The number $g$ of handles of this surface is called the genus of $X$. I shall give a definition of the genus for general $K$ later.


Figure A.1.1.1: A curve of genus $g=3$ over $\mathbb{C}$

## A.1.1. 1 Divisors

Definition A.1.1.2. A divisor over $X$ is a formal finite linear combination

$$
D=\sum_{P \in X} n_{P} P
$$

of points on $X$ with coefficients $n_{P}$ in $\mathbb{Z}$. The support of $D$ is the set of points $P$ for which $n_{P} \neq 0$.

The divisor $D$ is defined over $K$ if it is invariant under Galois, that is to say if $n_{\sigma(P)}=n_{P}$ for all $P \in X$ and for all $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K)$. In what follows, I shall implicitly assume that all the divisors are defined over $K$.

Divisors over $X$ (which are defined over $K$ ) form an abelian group, which I denote by $\operatorname{Div}(X)$.

Definition A.1.1.3. A divisor $D=\sum_{P \in X} n_{P} P$ is said to be effective if its coefficients $n_{P}$ all lie in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$, in which case I shall write $D \geqslant 0$. The set of effective divisors on $X$ will be denoted by $\operatorname{Eff}(X)$.

Definition A.1.1.4. The degree of a divisor is defined by

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(\sum_{P \in X} n_{P} P\right)=\sum_{P \in X} n_{P} .
$$

It is plain that the degree map

$$
\operatorname{deg}: \operatorname{Div}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

is a group morphism. I denote its kernel by $\operatorname{Div}^{0}(X)$. More generally, I let

$$
\operatorname{Div}^{d}(X)=\{D \in X \mid \operatorname{deg}(D)=d\}
$$

for each $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that a divisor of positive degree need not be effective.
Non-zero rational functions on the curve $X$ provide a supply of divisors:
Definition A.1.1.5. For $f \in K(X)^{*}$, define

$$
\operatorname{div}(f)=\sum_{P \in X} \operatorname{ord}_{P}(f) P
$$

where the integers $\operatorname{ord}_{P}(f)$ are

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{P}(f)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
n, & \text { if } f \text { has a zero of order } n \text { at } P, \\
-n, & \text { if } f \text { has a pole of order } n \text { at } P, \\
0, & \text { if } f \text { has a neither zero nor pole at } P .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

A divisor is said to be principal if it is of the form $\operatorname{div}(f)$ for some $f \in K(X)^{*}$.
Notice the analogy with a fractional principal ideal in a number field.
Remark A.1.1.6. Let $s, t \in K(X)^{*}$ be two non-zero rational functions on $X$. One has $\operatorname{div}(s)=\operatorname{div}(t)$ if and only if there exists a non-zero constant $\lambda \in K^{*}$ such that $t \equiv \lambda s$ identically.

Remark A.1.1.7. The relation $\operatorname{div}(s t)=\operatorname{div}(s)+\operatorname{div}(t)$ shows that principal divisors form a subgroup of $\operatorname{Div}(X)$.

Example A.1.1.8. Take $X=\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}$, which is made up of two copies of $\mathbb{A}_{K}^{1}$ with respective coordinates $x$ and $w$, overlapping along $\mathbb{A}_{K}^{1}-\{0\}$ and glued by the transition map $w=1 / x$. For each $a \in K$, denote by $P_{a} \in \mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}$ the point of coordinate $x=a$, and denote by $P_{\infty} \in \mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}$ the point of coordinate $w=0$. Consider the rational function $f=x^{2}-x \in K(X)$. This function vanishes at order 1 at $P_{0}$ and also at order 1 at $P_{1}$, but it also has a double pole at $P_{\infty}$ since $f=\frac{1}{w^{2}}-\frac{1}{w}$. Since $f$ has no other zero or pole, one concludes that

$$
\operatorname{div}(f)=P_{0}+P_{1}-2 P_{\infty}
$$

and so the divisor $P_{0}+P_{1}-2 P_{\infty}$ is principal.
As I shall demonstrate soon, not every divisor is principal. Actually, the following theorem expresses a first obstruction for a divisor to be principal.

Theorem A.1.1.9. Let $D \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$ be a divisor on $X$. If $D$ is principal, then $\operatorname{deg}(D)=0$.

This can be reformulated this into the catchphrase "a rational function has as many poles as zeroes", provided of course that these are counted with multiplicity. This common number is called the degree of $f$, cf. remark A.1.1.16 below.

Proof. I shall content myself here with giving the proof only in the case $K=\mathbb{C}$, since it is most illuminating. The proof for general $K$ may be found in [Liu(2), corollary 7.3.9].

So let $K=\mathbb{C}$, so that $X$ can be viewed as a compact, connected Riemann surface. Let $D=\sum_{i=1}^{r} n_{i} P_{i}$ be a principal divisor on $X$, so that $D=\operatorname{div}(f)$ for some rational (i.e. meromorphic) function $f$ on $X$. Consider the meromorphic differential 1-form $\omega=\frac{\mathrm{d} f}{f}$ on $X$. Its only poles are the points $P_{i}$, with residue $\operatorname{Res}_{P_{i}} \omega=\operatorname{ord}_{P_{i}} f=n_{i}$, so that the following lemma concludes the proof.

Lemma A.1.1.10. Let $\omega$ be a meromorphic differential 1-form on a Riemann surface $X$. Then

$$
\sum_{P \in X} \operatorname{Res}_{P} \omega=0
$$

Proof. Let $P_{i}, i=1, \cdots, r$, denote the poles of $\omega$. For each $i$, let $a_{i}$ denote the residue of $\omega$ at $P_{i}$, choose a coordinate chart containing $P_{i}$, and draw in this coordinate chart a small closed disk $D_{i}=D\left(P_{i}, \varepsilon\right)$ centred at $P_{i}$ and of radius $\varepsilon>0$ chosen small enough for the disks $D_{i}$ not to overlap. One then has

$$
\sum_{P \in X} \operatorname{Res}_{P} \omega=\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\partial D_{i}} \omega=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} \partial D_{i}} \omega,
$$

where $\partial D_{i}$ denotes the boundary of the disk $D_{i}$, oriented in the standard way. Since the $D_{i}$ 's do not overlap, $\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} \partial D_{i}=\partial \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} D_{i}$, and by the Stokes theorem, the latter integral is equal to

$$
\iint_{X-\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} D_{i}} \mathrm{~d} \omega
$$

This is 0 , since $d \omega$ is a 2-form, which has to vanish identically on the 1-(complex) dimensional manifold $X$.

This implies that the group of principal divisors is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Div}^{0}(X)$. This subgroup is usually strict, which means that a divisor over degree 0 on $X$ need not be principal. This defect is measured by the class group of $X$.

Definition A.1.1.11. The class group of $X$, denoted by $\mathrm{Cl}^{0}(X)$, is the quotient of the group $\operatorname{Div}^{0}(X)$ by the subgroup of principal divisors on $X$.

Two divisors on $X$ having the same image in $\mathrm{Cl}^{0}(X)$, that is to say, whose difference is principal, are said to be linearly equivalent. The linear equivalence class of a divisor $D \in \operatorname{Div}^{0}(X)$ is denoted by $[D] \in \mathrm{Cl}^{0}(X)$.

This definition is summed up in the following exact sequence of abelian groups:

$$
1 \longrightarrow K^{*} \longrightarrow K(X)^{*} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{div}} \operatorname{Div}^{0}(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cl}^{0}(X) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Notice the analogy with the ideal class group of a number field.

Remark A.1.1.12. The terminology "linearly equivalent" hints that other equivalence relations (namely, algebraic equivalence and numerical equivalence) are commonly considered on divisors on varieties. However, in the case of curves, linear equivalence is the most interesting one.

Example A.1.1.13. The class group of $X=\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}$ is trivial. To see this, one must show that every divisor of degree 0 of $\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}$ is principal, so let $D=\sum_{P \in \mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}} n_{P} P$ be a divisor of degree $\sum_{P \in \mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}} n_{P}=0$. Consider, with the notations of example A.1.1.8, the polynomial

$$
f=\prod_{\substack{P \in \mathbb{P}_{K}^{1} \\ P \neq P_{\infty}}}(x-x(P))^{n_{P}},
$$

where (by definition) $x\left(P_{\lambda}\right)=\lambda$. The coefficients of $f$ are invariant under Galois since $D$ is assumed to be defined over $K$, so $f$ lies in $K[x]$ as $K$ is perfect, and may thus be seen as a rational function in $K(X)=K\left(\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}\right)$. By construction, the divisor of this rational function is

$$
\operatorname{div}(f)=\sum_{\substack{P \in \mathbb{P}_{K}^{1} \\ P \neq P_{\infty}}} n_{P} P+\left(\operatorname{ord}_{P_{\infty}} f\right) P_{\infty}
$$

To determine $\operatorname{ord}_{P_{\infty}} f$, one expresses $f$ in terms of the local coordinate $w=1 / x$ at $P_{\infty}$. Since $\operatorname{deg} f=\sum_{\substack{P \in \mathbb{P}_{K}^{1} \\ P \neq P_{\infty}}} n_{P}=-n_{P_{\infty}}$ by hypothesis, one has

$$
f=x^{\operatorname{deg} f}(1+O(1 / x))=w^{n_{P \infty}}(1+O(w))
$$

so that $\operatorname{ord}_{P_{\infty}} f=n_{P_{\infty}}$. It follows that $D=\operatorname{div}(f)$ is principal.
Consider now a non-constant morphism $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ between projective, nonsingular, geometrically integral algebraic curves.

Definition A.1.1.14. For each $P \in X$, define the ramification index $e_{P} \in \mathbb{N}$ to be such that if $x$ is a local coordinate at $P$ on $X$ and if $y$ is a local coordinate at $f(P)$ on $Y$, then $y \circ f=C x^{e_{P}}+O\left(x^{e_{P}+1}\right)$ for some non-zero constant $C$.

The number

$$
d=\sum_{f(P)=Q} e_{P}
$$

does not depend on the point $Q \in Y$, and is called the degree of the morphism $f$. I shall denote it by $\operatorname{deg} f$.

The degree is multiplicative $\left(\operatorname{deg} f_{1} \circ f_{2}=\operatorname{deg} f_{1} \times \operatorname{deg} f_{2}\right)$, and a morphism between projective, non-singular, geometrically integral algebraic curves is of degree 1 if and only if it is an isomorphism.

Example A.1.1.15. Take $X=Y=\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}$, and let $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ be induced by a polynomial $f(x)=a x^{d}+\cdots \in K[x]$ of degree $d \geqslant 1$. Then for each $\lambda \in$ $\bar{K}$, the ramification index $e_{P_{\lambda}}$ of $f$ at $P_{\lambda}$ is the vanishing order of $f(x)-f(\lambda)$ at $x=\lambda$, and the ramification index of $f$ at $P_{\infty}$ is $d$ since $f\left(P_{\infty}\right)=P_{\infty}$ and $w \circ f=1 / f=\frac{1}{a} w^{-d}+O\left(w^{-d+1}\right)$.

Remark A.1.1.16. More generally, a non-constant rational function $f \in K(X)$ on $X$ can be seen as a non-constant morphism from $X$ to $\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}$, whose degree

$$
\operatorname{deg} f=\sum_{\substack{Q \in X \\ f(Q)=P_{0}}} e_{Q}=\sum_{\substack{Q \in X \\ f(Q)=P_{\infty}}} e_{Q}
$$

is the number of zeroes of $f$ counted with multiplicity, which agrees with the number of poles of $f$ counted with multiplicity, as noted in theorem A.L.1.9.

Example A.1.1.17. Let $X$ be a projective, non-singular, geometrically integral algebraic curve such that $\mathrm{Cl}^{0}(X)$ is trivial. After possibly replacing the ground field $K$ by a finite extension, one may suppose that there exist two distinct $K$-rational points $A, B \in X(K)$. Let $w$ be a local coordinate at $B$. Since $\mathrm{Cl}^{0}(X)$ is trivial, the divisor $A-B$ is principal, so there exists a rational map $f: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}$ such that $\operatorname{div}(f)=A-B$. After renormalising $f$ by multiplying it by a non-zero scalar, one may suppose that $f=1 / w+O(1)$. One then has

$$
\operatorname{deg} f=\sum_{\substack{Q \in X \\ f(Q)=P_{\infty}}} e_{Q}=e_{B}=1
$$

since $B$ is the only pole of $f$ and this pole is simple. Therefore, $f$ is an isomorphism. In conclusion, a curve $X$ whose class group is trivial is, possibly after a finite extension of the ground field, isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}$ (one says that $X$ is a twist of $\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}$ ); this is a converse to example A.L.L.13.

One can use a morphism $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ to transfer divisors between $X$ and $Y$. More precisely, one defines

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{*}: \operatorname{Div}(X) & \longrightarrow \operatorname{Div}(Y) \\
\sum_{P \in X} n_{P} P & \longrightarrow \sum_{P \in X} n_{P} f(P),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{*}: \operatorname{Div}(Y) & \longrightarrow \\
\sum_{Q \in Y} n_{Q} Q & \longrightarrow \sum_{\substack{P \in X \\
f(P)=Q}}^{\operatorname{Div}(X)} e_{P} n_{f(P)} P .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $f_{*}$ preserves the degree, whereas $f^{*}$ multiplies the degree by $\operatorname{deg} f$. In particular, $f^{*} \circ f_{*}$ is multiplication by $\operatorname{deg} f$ on $\operatorname{Div}(X)$, and $f_{*} \circ f^{*}$ is multiplication by $\operatorname{deg} f$ on $\operatorname{Div}(Y)$.

Since $f_{*}$ and $f^{*}$ map degree-zero divisors to degree zero-divisors, and since $f^{*}(\operatorname{div}(\beta))=\operatorname{div}(\beta \circ f)$ for all $\beta \in K(Y)^{*}$, and $f_{*}(\operatorname{div}(\alpha))=\operatorname{div}\left(N_{f} \alpha\right)$ for all $\alpha \in K(X)^{*}$, where $N_{f} \alpha \in K(Y)^{*}$ is the rational function on $Y$ defined by

$$
\left(N_{f} \alpha\right)(Q)=\prod_{f(P)=Q} \alpha(P)^{e_{P}},
$$

the morphisms $f_{*}$ and $f^{*}$ induce morphisms between $\mathrm{Cl}^{0}(X)$ and $\mathrm{Cl}^{0}(Y)$, which I shall still denote by $f_{*}$ and $f^{*}$.

The morphism $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ also defines a morphism of function fields

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{*}: K(Y) & \hookrightarrow K(X) \\
\alpha & \longmapsto \alpha \circ f
\end{aligned}
$$

which allows one to see $K(X)$ as an extension of $K(Y)$ of degree $\operatorname{deg} f$. If the characteristic of $K$ is $p \neq 0$, the function field $K(Y)$ may not be perfect even though the ground field $K$ is, so the extension $K(X) / K(Y)$ might not be separable. One says that $f$ is separable (respectively purely inseparable, etc.) if the extension $K(X) / K(Y)$ is separable (respectively purely inseparable, etc.). The maximal separable subextension $K(X)^{\text {sep }}=K(Z)$ of $K(X) / K(Y)$ corresponds to a curve $Z$ such that the extension $K(Z) / K(Y)$ is separable whereas the extension $K(X) / K(Z)$ is purely inseparable, so that the morphism $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ factors into a purely inseparable morphism $X \longrightarrow Z$ followed by a separable morphism $Z \longrightarrow Y$. One defines the separable degree $\operatorname{deg}_{\text {sep }} f$ of $f$ as $\operatorname{deg}(Z \longrightarrow Y)=[K(Z): K(Y)]$, and the inseparable degree $\operatorname{deg}_{\text {ins }} f$ of $f$ as $\operatorname{deg}(X \longrightarrow Z)=[K(X): K(Z)]$, which is necessarily a power of $p$. For instance, $f$ is separable if and only if $\operatorname{deg}_{\text {ins }} f=1$, whereas $f$ is purely inseparable if and only if $\operatorname{deg}_{\text {sep }} f=1$. Note that $\operatorname{deg}_{\text {sep }}$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{\text {ins }}$ are multiplicative just like deg, and that $\operatorname{deg}=\operatorname{deg}_{\text {sep }} \times \operatorname{deg}_{\text {ins }}$.

Let $\sigma_{p}: x \mapsto x^{p}$ be the Frobenius automorphism in $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}_{p}} / \mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$. It can be extended into a morphism $\sigma_{p}: X \longrightarrow X^{\sigma_{p}}$ which is purely inseparable of degree $p$, where $X^{\sigma_{p}}$ denotes the curve defined by letting $\sigma_{p}$ act on the coefficients of the equations defining $X$. This morphism corresponds to the inclusion of $K\left(X^{\sigma_{p}}\right)=K(X)^{p}$ into $K(X)$. Conversely, a purely inseparable morphism of degree $p^{r}$ factors into the $r$-fold composition of $\sigma_{p}$ followed by an isomorphism, so one can take $Z=X^{\sigma_{p}^{r}}$ above. In particular, a purely inseparable morphism induces a bijection on the $\bar{K}$-points. Since the ramification indexes $e_{P}$ of a separable morphism are 1 for almost all $P$, it follows that for almost all points $Q \in Y(\bar{K})$, the number of pre-images $P \in X(\bar{K})$ of $Q$ by $f$ is $\operatorname{deg}_{\text {sep }} f$.

## A.1.1.2 Line bundles

In order to move on toward the Riemann-Roch theorem, it is useful to perform a slight change of language, by reformulating linear equivalence of divisors in term of line bundles over the curve $X$. By a line bundle, I mean a locally free module $\mathcal{L}$ of rank one over the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ of $X$ and which is defined over $K$ (that is to say $\sigma^{*} \mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}$ for all $\left.\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K)\right)$. Recall that $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ is the $K$-vector-space-valued sheaf on $X$ such that

$$
\mathcal{O}_{X}(U)=\{f \in K(X) \mid f \text { has no pole on } U\} \quad \text { for every open subset } U \subseteq X
$$

I shall denote by $\Gamma$ the "global sections" functor $\mathcal{A} \longmapsto \mathcal{A}(X)$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is a sheaf on $X$. Since $X$ is projective, one has the following very useful result (cf. [Har77, theorem II.5.19]):

Theorem A.1.1.18. For any line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $X$, the global sections space $\Gamma \mathcal{L}$ has finite dimension over $K$.

Example A.1.1.19. Theorem A.L.L.9 implies that $\Gamma \mathcal{O}_{X}$ is reduced to $K$.

To a divisor $D \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$ on $X$, I shall associate the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$, defined by

$$
\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)(U)=\left\{f \in K(X) \mid(\operatorname{div}(f)+D)_{\mid U} \geqslant 0\right\} \text { for every open subset } U \subseteq X
$$

In particular, one has

$$
\Gamma \mathcal{O}_{X}(D)=\left\{f \in K(X)^{*} \mid \operatorname{div}(f)+D \geqslant 0\right\} \cup\{0\} .
$$

Riemann-Roch theory, as shall be seen, deals with the study of spaces of this form.
The following fact, although very basic, will be of constant use:
Lemma A.1.1.20. Let $D \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$ be a divisor on $X$. If $\operatorname{deg}(D)<0$, then $\Gamma \mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$ is reduced to $\{0\}$.

Proof. Assume on the contrary that there exists a non-zero section $s \in \Gamma \mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$. Then one would have $\operatorname{div}(s)+D \geqslant 0$ by definition, and thus, by taking the degree, $\operatorname{deg} D \geqslant 0$ since $\operatorname{deg} \operatorname{div}(s)=0$ by theorem A.I.I. 9 , which contradicts the hypothesis.

The following theorem explains the relation between the line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$ and the class group $\mathrm{Cl}^{0}(X)$.

Theorem A.1.1.21. Let $D, D^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$ be divisors on $X$. The associated line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic if and only if the divisors $D$ and $D^{\prime}$ are linearly equivalent. Furthermore, every line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $X$ is isomorphic to a line bundle of the form $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$ for some divisor $D \in \operatorname{Div}^{0}(X)$.

Proof. The proof of the first statement is not difficult from the definitions. For instance, it is easy to see that for every $f \in K(X)^{*}$, multiplication of the sections by $f$ yields an isomorphism from $\mathcal{O}_{X}(\operatorname{div}(f)+D)$ to $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$. The proof of the fact that every line bundle is of the form $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$, however, is more technical, and I shall not give it here; instead, I shall just mention that it stems from the fact that I assumed the curve $X$ to be absolutely integral, and refer the interested reader to [Har77, proposition II.6.15].

In view of the previous theorem and of theorem A.1.1.9, the following definition makes sense:

Definition A.1.1.22. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a line bundle on $X$. The degree of $\mathcal{L}$ is defined to be the degree of any divisor $D \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$ such that $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$.

Recall that the tensor product over $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ endows the set $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ of isomorphism classes of line bundles over $X$ with an abelian group structure, for which the inverse
 By the previous theorem, isomorphic line bundles have the same degree, so that one gets a well-defined map

$$
\operatorname{deg}: \operatorname{Pic}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

One checks easily that $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D) \underset{\mathcal{O}_{X}}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(D^{\prime}\right) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(D+D^{\prime}\right)$ and that $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)^{\vee} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}(-D)$ for $D, D^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$, which implies that this map is a group morphism, whose kernel I denote by $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$. The above theorem can thus be rephrased by saying that the map $D \longmapsto \mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$ yields an isomorphism $\mathrm{Cl}^{0}(X) \simeq \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$.

In the next section, I shall give yet another description of the class group, as an abelian variety.

## A.1.1.3 Differential forms and the genus

An especially interesting line bundle is the bundle $\Omega_{X}^{1}$ of regular differential 1-forms on $X$. These are simply objects which, in a chart with coordinate $x$, read $\omega=f(x) \mathrm{d} x$ for some function $f \in K(X)$ which is regular (i.e. has no pole) in this chart, and which transform through transition maps by taking the $\mathrm{d} x$ into account, cf. example A. 1.1 .24 below.

Since the sheaf $\Omega_{X}^{1}$ is a line bundle, it must be isomorphic to some $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$ by theorem 4.1.1.21.

Definition A.1.1.23. A canonical divisor is a divisor $D$ such that $\Omega_{X}^{1} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$. Canonical divisors on $X$ form a single, whole linear equivalence class, called the canonical class.

In other words, a canonical divisor is a divisor of the form

$$
\operatorname{div}(\omega)=\sum_{P \in X} \operatorname{ord}_{P}(\omega)
$$

for some (not necessarily regular) differential 1-form $\omega$ on $X$, where $\operatorname{ord}_{P}(\omega)$ means $\operatorname{ord}_{P}(f)$ if $\omega$ reads $f(x) \mathrm{d} x$ in a chart with coordinate $x$ and containing $P$.

Example A.1.1.24. Let $X=\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}$ again, with charts $x$ and $w=1 / x$ as in example A.L.1.8. Consider the differential form $\omega=\left(x^{2}-x\right) \mathrm{d} x$. Then one also has $\omega=\left(\frac{1}{w^{2}}-\frac{1}{w}\right) \mathrm{d}\left(\frac{1}{w}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{w^{3}}-\frac{1}{w^{4}}\right) \mathrm{d} w$, so that $\operatorname{div}(\omega)=P_{0}+P_{1}-4 P_{\infty}$, where $P_{a}$ denotes the point corresponding to $x=a$, and $P_{\infty}$ denotes the point corresponding to $w=0$. Consequently, the divisor $P_{0}+P_{1}-4 P_{\infty}$ is a canonical divisor.

The fact that the canonical class is well defined can be checked by remarking that the ratio of two differential 1 -forms is a rational function, whose divisor is by definition principal.

I can now define the most important invariant of the curve $X$.
Definition A.1.1.25. The genus of $X$ is the dimension of the space $\Gamma \Omega_{X}^{1}$ of regular differential 1-forms on $X$, which is finite by theorem A.1.1.18.

In what follows, I shall denote the genus of $X$ by $g$.
Remark A.1.1.26. In the case $K=\mathbb{C}$, the curve $X$ can be seen as a compact, connected Riemann surface, and I have already defined the genus of such a surface as the number of its "handles". It happens that this old definition agrees with the new one. The proof of this is not easy, cf. for instance [Bos8.9, theorem B.2.5].

Example A.1.1.27. Consider $X=\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}$ with the notations of example A.1.1.8. Let $\omega \in \Gamma \Omega_{X}^{1}$ be a regular differential 1-form on $X$. Then one can write $\omega=f(x) \mathrm{d} x$ for some rational fraction $f(x) \in K(x)$. Since $\omega$ is regular, $f(x)$ cannot have a pole except maybe at $P_{\infty}$, so it lies in $K[x]$. To examine the behaviour of $f(x)$ at $P_{\infty}$, one switches to the coordinate $w=1 / x$, which yields $\omega=f(1 / w) \mathrm{d}(1 / w)=-\frac{f(1 / w)}{w^{2}} \mathrm{~d} w$. It follows that $\omega$ has a pole of order $2+\operatorname{deg} f \geqslant 2$ at $P_{\infty}$, so that it cannot be regular unless $f=0$. The space of regular differential 1-forms $\Gamma \Omega_{X}^{1}$ is therefore reduced to $\{0\}$; in particular, the genus of $X$ is $g=0$.

Example A.1.1.28. Let $g \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $X$ be the projective normal curve corresponding to the affine equation

$$
Y^{2}=\prod_{n=1}^{2 g+2}\left(X-\alpha_{n}\right)
$$

where the $\alpha_{i}$ are $2 g+2$ pairwise distinct elements of $K$. Then the differential 1-forms

$$
\omega_{i}=\frac{x^{i} \mathrm{~d} x}{y}, \quad i=0, \cdots, g-1
$$

are regular. Indeed, the only suspicious points to check are the $2 g+2$ points

$$
\left(x=\alpha_{n}, y=0\right)
$$

and the two points at infinity of $X$, but

- at the points $\left(x=\alpha_{n}, y=0\right)$, one has $x=C y^{2}+O\left(y^{3}\right)$ for some non-zero constant $C$, so $y$ can be used at a local coordinate there, and

$$
\omega_{i}=\frac{C^{i} y^{2 i} 2 C y}{y}(1+O(Y)) \mathrm{d} y
$$

is regular there, and

- at the two points at infinity, $w=1 / x$ can be used as a local coordinate since $1 / y= \pm w^{g+1}+O\left(w^{g+2}\right)$, and $\omega_{i}= \pm \frac{-w^{-i-2}}{w^{-g-1}}(1+O(w)) \mathrm{d} w$ is regular there since $i<g$.

Conversely, one sees that $\omega_{0}=\frac{\mathrm{d} x}{y}$ vanishes at the order $g-1$ at the two points at infinity, and does not vanish anywhere else, so that a regular differential 1-form on $X$ can be written $\omega=f \omega_{0}$ where $f \in K(X)$ is a rational function which is regular except possibly at the points at infinity. Thus $f \in K[x, y]$ is a polynomial, which can be written

$$
f=P(x)+y Q(x)
$$

in view of the equation defining $X$. Since

$$
\omega=\frac{P(x) \mathrm{d} x}{y}+Q(x) \mathrm{d} x=\left( \pm \frac{-w^{-\operatorname{deg}(P)-2}}{w^{-g-1}}-w^{-\operatorname{deg}(Q)-2}\right)(1+O(w)) \mathrm{d} w
$$

must be regular at the points at infinity, this forces $Q=0$ and $\operatorname{deg} P<g$, so that the forms $\omega_{i}$ form a basis of the space $\Gamma \Omega_{X}^{1}$ of regular differential 1-forms on $X$. In particular, the genus of $X$ is $g$.

The genus is a crucial invariant of the curve, which will play a central role in the Riemann-Roch theorem.

## A.1.1.4 The Riemann-Roch theorem

Let me begin by reviewing some sheaf cohomology. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be an abelian category. The category of $\mathfrak{A}$-valued sheaves over $X$ is then abelian itself, and the "global sections" functor $\Gamma$ is easily seen to be left exact, but not right exact in general. It is thus natural to introduce its right derived functors $R^{i} \Gamma$, which I shall denote by $H^{i}(X, \cdot)$. In particular, $H^{0}(X, \cdot)$ is merely a new notation for $\Gamma$, which I shall use from now on. Furthermore, every short exact sequence of $\mathfrak{A}$-valued sheaves over $X$

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow 0
$$

gives rise in $\mathfrak{A}$ to a long exact sequence


Finally, since $X$ has dimension 1 , the $H^{i}(X, \cdot)$ vanish identically for $i \geqslant 2$ :
Lemma A.1.1.29. (Grothendieck, [Har77, theorem III.2.7]) Let $V$ be an algebraic variety of dimension $d$. The $H^{i}(X, \cdot)$ vanish identically for all $i>d$.

Example A.1.1.30 (A little technical). Let $\mathcal{K}_{X}^{*}$ be the constant sheaf with stalk $K(X)^{*}$. It fits in the short exact sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}^{*} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{X}^{*} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{X}^{*} / \mathcal{O}_{X}^{*} \longrightarrow 1
$$

and $H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{K}_{X}^{*}\right)=0$ since $\mathcal{K}_{X}^{*}$ is constant, hence flasque. Besides, $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{K}_{X}^{*} / \mathcal{O}_{X}^{*}\right)$ is the group of Cartier divisors on $X$, which agrees with $\operatorname{Div}(X)$ since $X$ is non singular and absolutely integral ([Har77, Remark 6.11.1A]). Taking cohomology thus yields the exact sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow K^{*} \longrightarrow K(X)^{*} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Div}(X) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}^{*}\right) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

which proves that $H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}^{*}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$.
As I announced previously, the goal of Riemann-Roch theory is to study the global section spaces $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(D)\right)$ for $D \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$. For the sake of brevity, I shall write $H^{i}(X, D)$ instead of $H^{i}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(D)\right)$ from now on, or even $H^{i}(D)$ if no confusion about $X$ can arise. I shall also write $h^{i}(X, D)$, or even $h^{i}(D)$, to mean $\operatorname{dim}_{K} H^{i}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(D)\right)$, and I define $h^{i}$ similarly for line bundles. Finally, I define $\Omega_{X}^{1}(D)$ to be $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D){\underset{\mathcal{O}}{X}}^{\otimes} \Omega_{1}^{X}$, so that

$$
\Omega_{X}^{1}(D)(U)=\left\{\omega \mid(\operatorname{div}(\omega)+D)_{\mid U} \geqslant 0\right\} \text { for every open subset } U \subseteq X
$$

Lemma A.1.1.31 (Serre duality). Let $D \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$ be a divisor on $X$. Then there exists a perfect pairing

$$
t: H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)\right) \underset{K}{\otimes} H^{0}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}(D)\right) \longrightarrow K
$$

In particular, $h^{1}(D)=h^{0}(C-D)$, where $C$ denotes a (any) canonical divisor.
In the language of line bundles, this can be rephrased as $h^{1}(\mathcal{L})=h^{0}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{L}\right)$.
I shall not give the proof here, and refer instead to [Har77, section III.7]. I would still like to mention that the pairing $t$ can be made explicit in the case of Riemann surfaces $(K=\mathbb{C})$, cf. [Bos8.9, sections B. 5 to B.8].

I can now finally state the Riemann-Roch theorem.
Theorem A.1.1.32 (Riemann-Roch). Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a line bundle on $X$ of degree $d$.
(i) $h^{0}(\mathcal{L})=d+1-g+h^{0}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\vee}\right)$.
(ii) $\operatorname{deg} \Omega_{X}^{1}=2 g-2$.
(iii) $h^{0}(\mathcal{L})=d+1-g$ if $d \geqslant 2 g-1$.
(iv) If $K=\bar{K}, h^{0}(\mathcal{L})=\max (d+1-g, 1)$ for $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} P_{i}\right)$ with generic $P_{i} \in X$.
(v) If $K=\bar{K}, h^{0}(\mathcal{L})=\max (d+1-g, 0)$ for generic $\mathcal{L}$.

I first rephrase this in terms of divisors, since I shall mostly use it in this way:
Corollary A.1.1.33 (Riemann-Roch). Let $D \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$ be a divisor on $X$ of degree $d$, and let $C$ be a canonical divisor.
(i) $h^{0}(D)=d+1-g+h^{0}(C-D)$.
(ii) $\operatorname{deg} C=2 g-2$.
(iii) $h^{0}(D)=d+1-g$ if $d \geqslant 2 g-1$.
(iv) If $K=\bar{K}, h^{0}(D)=\max (d+1-g, 1)$ for generic effective $D$.
(v) If $K=\bar{K}, h^{0}(D)=\max (d+1-g, 0)$ for generic $D$.

Proof. I shall only give a sketch of the proof here, and refer the reader to [Har77, theorem IV.1.3] for the details.
(i) Notice first that the formula is true in the case $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{X}$, since one has then $d=0, h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=1$ by example A.I.1.19, and $h^{0}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}\right)=g$ by definition.
Next, define the Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{L}$ by

$$
\chi(D)=\sum_{i=0}^{+\infty}(-1)^{i} h^{i}(\mathcal{L})
$$

By lemma A.L.L.29, the terms of this sum are actually 0 for $i \geqslant 2$, so that

$$
\chi(\mathcal{L})=h^{0}(\mathcal{L})-h^{1}(\mathcal{L})=h^{0}(\mathcal{L})-h^{0}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\vee}\right)
$$

by Serre duality (lemma A.L.1.31). This means that part (i) rewrites as

$$
\chi(\mathcal{L})=d+1-g,
$$

which I now prove. By theorem A.L.L.21, one may assume without loss of generality that $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$. I have already pointed out that (因) holds for $D=0$. To conclude, I shall now show that (因) holds for $D$ if and only it holds for $D+E$, where $E=P_{1}+\cdots+P_{r} \in \operatorname{Eff}(X)$ denotes an irreducible effective divisor on $X$, that is to say a whole $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K)$-orbit of points on $X$. View $E$ as a subvariety of $X$ of dimension 0 , let $L=K(E) \simeq K\left(P_{1}\right)$ denote the field of Galois-equivariant functions (that is to say $f\left(\sigma\left(P_{i}\right)\right)=\sigma\left(f\left(P_{i}\right)\right)$ for all $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K))$ on $E$, and let $\mathcal{O}_{E}$ denote its structure sheaf, so that one has the short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}(-E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E} \longrightarrow 0
$$

Tensoring with $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D+E)$, one gets

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}(D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}(D+E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Since the Euler characteristic is additive on short exact sequences, as can be easily seen by looking at the associated long exact sequence in cohomology, this implies that $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}(D+E)\right)=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)\right)+\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{E}\right)$. But $h^{1}\left(O_{E}\right)=0$ by lemma A.L.L.29 since $E$ is 0-dimensional, so that $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{E}\right)=h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{E}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{K} L=r$, hence $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}(D+P)\right)=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)\right)+r$. On the other hand, one also has $\operatorname{deg}(D+E)=\operatorname{deg}(D)+r$. This concludes the proof of (i).
(ii) Follows immediately from (i) by taking $\mathcal{L}=\Omega_{X}^{1}$.
(iii) By (ii), $\Omega_{X}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\vee}$ has degree $2 g-2-d$, which is negative by hypothesis. Lemma A.1.1.20 then implies that $h^{0}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\vee}\right)$ vanishes.
(iv) One sees by induction on $d$ that $h^{0}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{d} P_{i}\right)\right)=\max (g-d, 0)$ for generic $P_{i} \in X$. The result then follows from (i).
(v) Again, one can assume without loss of generality that $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$. Now, if $h^{0}(D)>0$, then $h^{0}(D-P)=h^{0}(D)-1$ for generic $P$. The result then follows from (iv).

I shall now give two examples illustrating the power of the Riemann-Roch theorem.

Example A.1.1.34. Let $X$ be a curve of genus 0. Then, by the Riemann-Roch theorem A.L.L.33(iii), $h^{0}(D)=\operatorname{deg}(D)+1$ for every divisor $D$ on $X$ provided that $\operatorname{deg} D \geqslant-1$. In particular, $h^{0}(D)=1$ for all $D \in \operatorname{Div}^{0}(X)$, so that for
each such $D$ there exists a non-zero rational function $f \in K(X)^{*}$ such that $E=$ $\operatorname{div}(f)+D$ is effective. But $\operatorname{deg}(E)=\operatorname{deg}(\operatorname{div}(f))+\operatorname{deg}(D)=0$, so $E=0$ and $D=-\operatorname{div}(f)=\operatorname{div}(1 / f)$ is principal. It follows that the class group $\mathrm{Cl}^{0}(X)$ is trivial, so that $X$ is a twist of $\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}$ by example A.1.1.17. This is a converse to example A. .1.27.

Example A.1.1.35 (Elliptic curves). Let $X$ be of genus $g=1$, and assume that there exists a $K$-rational point $O \in X(K)$. Such a curve is called an elliptic curve. The Riemann-Roch theorem A.L.L.331(iii) then implies that $h^{0}(D)=\operatorname{deg}(D)$ for all $D \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$ such that $\operatorname{deg} D \geqslant 1$.

In particular, $h^{0}(O)=1$ so $H^{0}(O)=K$ since it clearly contains $K$, whereas $h^{0}(2 O)=2$ so that $H^{0}(2 O)=K \oplus K x=\langle 1, x\rangle_{K}$ for some rational function $x \in$ $K(X)^{*}$ which has thus a double pole at $O$ and no other pole. Continuing, one finds that $H^{0}(3 O)=\langle 1, x, y\rangle_{K}$ for some $y \in K(X)^{*}$ having a pole of order 3 at $O$ and no other pole. Next, $h^{0}(4 O)=4$, but $x^{2} \in H^{0}(4 O)$, so that $H^{0}(4 O)=\left\langle 1, x, y, x^{2}\right\rangle_{K}$. Similarly, $H^{0}(5 O)=\left\langle 1, x, y, x^{2}, x y\right\rangle_{K}$. Then, one sees that $H^{0}(6 O)$ contains the 7 functions $1, x, y, x^{2}, x y, x^{3}$ and $y^{2}$, but since its dimension is 6 , these functions must be linearly dependent, and by looking at the order of their poles at $O$ one sees that the linear dependence relation must be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}+a_{1} x y+a_{3} y=a_{0} x^{3}+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{4} x+a_{6} \tag{A}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some scalars $a_{0}, \cdots, a_{6} \in K$ such that $a_{0} \neq 0$. The functions $x$ and $y$ thus define a morphism $f$ from $X$ to the plane curve $A$ which is the projective completion of the affine curve of equation $(\mathbb{A})$.

Let $P, Q \in X(\bar{K})$ be distinct from $O$. If $f(P)=f(Q)$ but $P \neq Q$, then the functions $x-x(P)$ and $y-y(P)$ both lie in $H^{0}(3 O-P-Q)$ which is of dimension 1 , so are proportional, but this is absurd since the order of their pole at $O$ is not the same. The morphism $f$ is therefore injective, so it has degree 1 , which proves that $f$ is an isomorphism from $X$ to $A$.

Furthermore, for every divisor $D$ on $X$ of degree 0 , the space $H^{0}(D+O)$ has dimension 1, so there exists a non-zero function $f \in K(X)^{*}$ such that $E=D+O+\operatorname{div}(f)$ is effective. Since $E$ has degree 1 , it consists in a single $K$-rational point $P \in X(K)$. Every divisor of degree 0 is therefore linearly equivalent to a divisor of the form $P-O$, and the point $P$ is unique since if there existed two distinct points $P, Q \in X(K)$ such that $P \sim Q$, then there would exist a rational function $f \in K(X)$ such that $\operatorname{div}(f)=P-Q$, which would imply that $f$ is an isomorphism from $X$ to $\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}$ as in example A.1.1.17, which is impossible since $X$ is of genus 1 whereas $\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}$ is of genus 0 . It follows that $P \mapsto P-O$ is a bijection between $X(K)$ and $\mathrm{Cl}^{0}(X)$. In particular, the abelian group structure of $\mathrm{Cl}^{0}(X)$ yields an abelian group structure on $X(K)$, with neutral element $O \in X(K)$. Let $\boxplus$ denote the resulting group law on $X(K)$. By construction, one has the equivalence

$$
\sum_{P \in X} n_{P} P \in \operatorname{Div}(X) \text { is principal } \Longleftrightarrow \sum_{P \in X} n_{P}=0 \text { in } \mathbb{Z} \text { and } \bigoplus_{P \in X} n_{P} P=O \text { in } X(K) .
$$

Besides, upon identification of $X$ with the plane curve $A$, if one takes 3 pairwise distinct points $P, Q, R \in X(K)$ distinct from $O$ and which lie on a line of equation


Figure A.1.1.36: The group law on an elliptic curve
$a x+b y+c=0$, then one sees that the divisor of the function $f=a x+b y+c \in K(X)$ is $\operatorname{div}(f)=P+Q+R-3 O$ since $b$ cannot be 0 , so that $(P-O)+(Q-O)+(R-O) \sim 0$, i.e. $P \boxplus Q \boxplus R=O$ in the group $X(K)$. It follows that the group law $\boxplus$ on $X(K)$ is defined by the famous "chord process", as illustrated on figure A.1.1.36.

I shall finish this first section by stating a very useful consequence of the RiemannRoch theorem.

Proposition A.1.1.37. Fix a divisor $D_{0} \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$ of $X$ of degree $n$. If $n \geqslant g$, the every divisor $D \in \operatorname{Div}^{0}(X)$ of degree 0 is linearly equivalent to a divisor of the form $E-D_{0}$, where $E \in \mathrm{Eff}^{n}(X)$ is an effective divisor of degree $n$. Furthermore, if $n=g$, then $E$ is unique for generic $D$.

Proof. Since the degree of $D+D_{0}$ is $n \geqslant g$, the Riemann-Roch theorem A.L.L.33(i) implies that $h^{0}\left(D+D_{0}\right)>0$. In particular, $H^{0}\left(D+D_{0}\right)$ is not reduced to $\{0\}$, so there exists a non-zero rational function $f \in K(X)^{*}$ such that

$$
E=\operatorname{div}(f)+D+D_{0}
$$

is effective. This divisor $E$ has the same degree $n$ by lemma A.L...9, and $D \sim D+\operatorname{div}(f)=E-D_{0}$. Furthermore, if $n=g$ and if $D \sim E-D_{0} \sim E^{\prime}-D_{0}$, then there exists a non-zero rational function $\alpha \in K(X)^{*}$ such that $E^{\prime}-D_{0}=$ $E-D_{0}+\operatorname{div}(\alpha)$, hence $\operatorname{div}(\alpha)+E=E^{\prime}$ is effective, so $\alpha \in H^{0}(E)$. But $H^{0}(E)=K$ consists only of the constant functions for generic $E$ by the Riemann-Roch theorem A.L.L.33, so that for generic $D$ one has $\alpha \in K$ hence $\operatorname{div}(\alpha)=0$ and thus $E=E^{\prime}$.

Corollary A.1.1.38. Fix a $K$-rational origin point $O \in X(K)$ (assuming that such a point exists). Every divisor $D \in \operatorname{Div}^{0}(X)$ of degree 0 is linearly equivalent to a divisor of the form $E-g O$, where $E \in \mathrm{Eff}^{g}(X)$ is an effective divisor of degree $g$. Furthermore, $E$ is unique for generic $D$.

I shall conclude by stating another theorem, which is especially useful for computing the genus of a curve, which is an essential information in order to be able to use the Riemann-Roch theorem.

Theorem A.1.1.39 (Riemann-Hurwitz). Let $X$ and $Y$ be projective, non-singular, geometrically integral curves of respective genera $g_{X}$ and $g_{Y}$, and let $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ be a non-constant morphism of degree $d \in \mathbb{N}$. If the characteristic of the ground field $K$ does not divide any of the ramification indices $e_{P}$ (or is 0 ), then

$$
2 g_{X}-2=\left(2 g_{Y}-2\right) d+\sum_{P \in X}\left(e_{P}-1\right) .
$$

This theorem is generally used to deduce the genus of $X$ form the one of $Y$. Typically, one takes $Y=\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}$, that is to say $f$ is just a non-constant rational function on $X$. One can then deduce information about the genus of $X$ from information about the ramification of $f$, since $g_{Y}=0$ by example A.I.1.27.

Proof. Let $\omega_{Y}$ be a (possibly not regular) differential 1-form on $Y$, and let $C_{Y}=\sum_{Q \in Y} m_{Q} Q$ be its divisor. Then $C_{Y}$ is a canonical divisor on $Y$, and therefore $\operatorname{deg} C_{Y}=2 g_{Y}-2$ according to the Riemann-Roch theorem A.1.1.33(ii).

Let now $f^{*} \omega_{Y}$ be the pull-back of $\omega_{Y}$ by $f$, and let $C_{X}=\sum_{P \in X} n_{P} P$ be its divisor. Then $C_{X}$ is a canonical divisor on $X$, so that $\operatorname{deg} C_{X}=2 g_{X}-2$ for the same reason. Furthermore, let $P$ be a point of $X$ with local coordinate $x$, and let $y$ be a local coordinate at $Q=f(P)$. Then $\omega_{Y}$ can be written

$$
\omega=y^{m_{Q}} u(y) \mathrm{d} y
$$

where $u \in K(Y)^{*}$ is a rational function which has neither a zero nor a pole at $Q$ by definition of $m_{Q}$. Since one may suppose that $y \circ f=x^{e_{P}}$ by definition of $e_{P}$, one has

$$
f^{*} \omega_{Y}=x^{e_{P} m_{Q}} u\left(x^{e_{P}}\right) e_{P} x^{e_{P}-1} \mathrm{~d} x=x^{e_{P} m_{Q}+e_{P}-1} v(x) \mathrm{d} x,
$$

where the rational function $v(x)=e_{P} u\left(x^{e_{P}}\right) \in K(X)^{*}$ on $X$ has neither zero nor pole at $P$ since $e_{P} \neq 0$ in $K$ by hypothesis. It follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{X}=\operatorname{div}\left(f^{*} \omega_{Y}\right)=\sum_{P \in X}\left(e_{P} m_{f(P)}+e_{P}-1\right) P \\
=f^{*}\left(\sum_{Q \in Y} m_{Q} Q\right)+\sum_{P \in X}\left(e_{P}-1\right) P=f^{*} C_{Y}+\sum_{P \in X}\left(e_{P}-1\right) P .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $f^{*}$ multiplies the degrees by $\operatorname{deg} f$, the result follows by taking the degrees.

## A.1.2 The jacobian variety

I shall now explain the construction of the jacobian of the curve $X$. I shall assume the same hypotheses on $X$ and use the same notations as in the previous part. In particular, $X$ is a curve of genus $g$ defined over the perfect field $K$.

The jacobian variety of $X$ is an abelian variety (that is to say a projective variety which, much like an elliptic curve, is endowed with an abelian ${ }^{\mathbb{W}}$ group structure compatible with the algebraic variety structure) of dimension $g$ which is an avatar of the class group $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$ of $X$. I shall denote this jacobian by $\operatorname{Jac}(X)$. This realisation of $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$ as an algebraic variety is extremely fruitful, in that it gives a lot of new structure and information on it.

Although $\operatorname{Jac}(X)$ exists whatever the base field $K$ is, I shall mainly focus on the case $K=\mathbb{C}$ in this section, and hence view $X$ as a compact, connected Riemann surface of genus $g$. There are two reasons for this: the first is that the construction of $\operatorname{Jac}(X)$ is much more visual over $\mathbb{C}$, and the second is that the algorithms which are the core of my thesis mostly use $\operatorname{Jac}(X)$ over $\mathbb{C}$. I shall, however, give a few words on the general case in the end of this section.

I first show that the problem of giving a meaning to integrals of the form $\int_{A}^{B} \omega$ on $X$ leads naturally to the notion of a period on $X$ and to the definition of the Abel-Jacobi map from $X$ to $\operatorname{Jac}(X)$, the latter being seen as a complex torus. I then prove the Abel-Jacobi theorem, and explain why $\operatorname{Jac}(X)$ can be embedded into a projective space.

For the sake of brevity, I shall denote by $\Omega^{1}(X)$ the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $\Gamma \Omega_{X}^{1}$ of holomorphic differential 1-forms on $X$.

## A.1.2.1 The period lattice

To begin with, consider the problem of assigning a value to the integral

$$
\int_{A}^{B} \omega
$$

where $A, B$ are points on $X$ and $\omega \in \Omega^{1}(X)$ is a differential form. The value of this integral is not well-defined, since it depends on the path from $A$ to $B$ one chooses to integrate along.

This dependence is however "discrete". By this, I mean that Cauchy's theorem implies that homologous paths will yield the same value. In attempt to get rid of the ambiguity, it is thus natural to have a look at the homology group $H_{1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ of the Riemann surface $X$.

As shown on figure A.1.2.], $H_{1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is a free abelian group of rank $2 g$, with 2 generators for each handle of $X$. In order to remedy to the ill-definedness of integrals of the form $\int_{A}^{B} \omega$, it is thus natural to study the integration pairing

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{1}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \Omega^{1}(X) & \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\
\gamma \otimes \omega & \longmapsto \int_{\gamma} \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]

Figure A.1.2.1: A Riemann surface of genus $g=3$ with a symplectic homology basis

Definition A.1.2.2. An integral of the form $\int_{\gamma} \omega$ for some $\gamma \in H_{1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and some $\omega \in \Omega_{X}^{1}$ is called a period of $X$.

Fix a $\mathbb{C}$-basis $\left(\omega_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant g}$ of $\Omega^{1}(X)$ and a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\left(\gamma_{j}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant 2 g}$ of $H_{1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$. The matrix

$$
\left[\int_{\gamma_{j}} \omega_{i}\right]_{\substack{1 \leqslant i \leqslant g \\ 1 \leqslant j \leqslant 2 g}} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{g \times 2 g}(\mathbb{C})
$$

is called the period matrix of $X$ with respect to these bases.
Due to the ambiguity in the choice of bases, the period matrix for $X$ is welldefined only up to multiplication by $\mathrm{GL}_{g}(\mathbb{C})$ on the left and by $\mathrm{GL}_{2 g}(\mathbb{Z})$ on the right.

The period matrix has a nicer structure if one restricts the choice of basis of $H_{1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ to bases of a special kind. In order to single out this better kind of basis, I shall first review the intersection pairing on $H_{1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

Definition A.1.2.3. The intersection number of two oriented cycles $\alpha$, $\beta$ which intersect transversally is defined to be

$$
\alpha \wedge \beta=\sum_{P \in \alpha \cap \beta} \epsilon_{P},
$$

where $\epsilon_{P}$ is +1 if the oriented tangent vectors of $\alpha$ and $\beta$, in this order, form an oriented basis of the tangent space of $X$ at $P$, whereas $\epsilon_{P}$ is -1 if they form an anti-oriented basis of the tangent space.

One can show (cf. [GH78, first subsection of 0.4]) that every null-homologous cycle has intersection number 0 with every cycle which it intersects transversally, so
that $\alpha \wedge \beta$ depends only on the homology class of $\alpha$ and $\beta$, and can thus be defined even if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ fail to intersect transversely. This yields an alternating pairing

$$
H_{1}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \wedge H_{1}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

called the intersection pairing.
Definition A.1.2.4. A basis $\left(\gamma_{j}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant 2 g}$ of $H_{1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is said to be symplectic if the matrix of the intersection paring in this basis is

$$
J_{g}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I_{g} \\
-I_{g} & 0
\end{array}\right] \in M_{2 g \times 2 g}(\mathbb{Z}),
$$

where $I_{g}$ denotes the identity matrix of size $g$.
Example A.1.2.5. The homology basis shown in figure A.I.2.] is symplectic.
I shall only consider symplectic bases of $H_{1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ from now on. This means that period matrices of $X$ are all equivalent up to multiplication by $\mathrm{GL}_{g}(\mathbb{C})$ on the left, and by multiplication by $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 g}(\mathbb{Z})$ on the right, where

$$
\mathrm{Sp}_{2 g}(\mathbb{Z})=\left\{A \in M_{2 g \times 2 g}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid{ }^{\mathrm{t}} A J_{g} A=J_{g}\right\}
$$

denotes the symplectic group of degree $2 g$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.
Figure A.I.2.6 below attempts to show that if one were to use scissors to cut $X$ along a symplectic basis of its homology, one would obtain a connected, simply connected domain, which is actually a $4 g$-gon if one identifies the scissor cuts with boundary edges.


Figure A.1.2.6: The canonical dissection of a Riemann surface of genus $g=3$

This means that the Riemann surface $X$ can be constructed by gluing the edges of a $4 g$-gon $\Pi$ is a certain way. More precisely, if one labels the vertices of $\Pi$ by $A_{k}, B_{k}$, $C_{k} D_{k}, A_{k+1} \ldots$ where the index $k$ is understood modulo $g$, then $X$ can be constructed by gluing $D_{k} A_{k+1}$ to $C_{k} B_{k}$ and $C_{k} D_{k}$ to $B_{k} A_{k}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z} / k \mathbb{Z}$, as shown on figure A.12.7. Once this is done, the images of $C_{0} D_{0}, C_{1} D_{1}, \cdots, C_{g-1} D_{g-1}, D_{0} A_{1}, D_{1} A_{2}$, $\cdots, D_{g-1} A_{0}$ form a symplectic basis of $H_{1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$, as shown on figure A.1.2.6.


Figure A.1.2.7: Construction of $X$ by gluing the edges of a $4 g$-gon
In order to study the structure of the period matrix of $X$, I shall now temporarily forget the complex structure on $X$, and view it as an oriented surface over $\mathbb{R}$. With this point of view, I can establish the following formula:

Lemma A.1.2.8. Let $\left(\gamma_{j}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant 2 g}$ be a symplectic basis of $H_{1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$. For any two closed smooth differential 1-forms $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ on $X$, one has the relation

$$
\iint_{X} \omega_{1} \wedge \omega_{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{g}\left(\int_{\gamma_{k}} \omega_{1} \int_{\gamma_{g+k}} \omega_{2}-\int_{\gamma_{k}} \omega_{2} \int_{\gamma_{g+k}} \omega_{1}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $p: \Pi \longrightarrow X$ be the gluing as described above, and let $\eta_{1}=p^{*} \omega_{1}$, $\eta_{2}=p^{*} \omega_{2}$ be the pull-backs of $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ on $\Pi$. They are closed since $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ are, hence exact since $\Pi$ is simply connected. In particular, there exists a smooth function $f_{1}=\int \eta_{1}: \Pi \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\eta_{1}=\mathrm{d} f_{1}$. One then has $\mathrm{d}\left(f_{1} \eta_{2}\right)=\mathrm{d}\left(f_{1}\right) \wedge \eta_{2}+f_{1} \mathrm{~d}\left(\eta_{2}\right)=$ $\eta_{1} \wedge \eta_{2}$ since $\eta_{2}$ is closed, so that the Stokes theorem yields

$$
\iint_{X} \omega_{1} \wedge \omega_{2}=\iint_{\Pi} \eta_{1} \wedge \eta_{2}=\oint_{\partial \Pi} f_{1} \eta_{2}
$$

Write this contour integral as

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{g}\left(\int_{A_{k}}^{B_{k}}+\int_{B_{k}}^{C_{k}}+\int_{C_{k}}^{D_{k}}+\int_{D_{k}}^{A_{k+1}}\right) f_{1} \eta_{2}
$$

and study each of the $g$ terms of the sum separately.
Let $x, x^{\prime}=\varphi_{k}(x), y$ and $y^{\prime}=\psi_{k}(y)$ be as on figure A.1.2.7. Then one has

$$
f_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-f_{1}(x)=\int_{x}^{x^{\prime}} \eta_{1}=\left(\int_{x}^{B_{k}}+\int_{B_{k}}^{C_{k}}+\int_{C_{k}}^{x^{\prime}}\right) \eta_{1}=\int_{B_{k}}^{C_{k}} \eta_{1}=-\int_{\gamma_{g+k}} \omega_{1}
$$

because $\eta_{1}=p^{*} \omega_{1}$ is the same on $C_{k} D_{k}$ as on $B_{k} A_{k}$, so that the two outer integrals cancel out. Similarly, one finds that

$$
f_{1}\left(y^{\prime}\right)-f_{1}(y)=\int_{y}^{y^{\prime}} \eta_{1}=\left(\int_{y}^{C_{k}}+\int_{C_{k}}^{D_{k}}+\int_{D_{k}}^{y^{\prime}}\right) \eta_{1}=\int_{C_{k}}^{D_{k}} \eta_{1}=\int_{\gamma_{k}} \omega_{1} .
$$

One then concludes that

$$
\left(\int_{A_{k}}^{B_{k}}+\int_{C_{k}}^{D_{k}}\right) f_{1} \eta_{2}=\left(\int_{C_{k}}^{D_{k}}-\int_{B_{k}}^{A_{k}}\right) f_{1} \eta_{2}=-\int_{\gamma_{g+k}} \omega_{1} \int_{C_{k}}^{D_{k}} \eta_{2}=-\int_{\gamma_{g+k}} \omega_{1} \int_{\gamma_{k}} \omega_{2}
$$

since replacing $C_{k} D_{k}$ with $B_{k} A_{k}$ does not affect $\eta_{2}=p^{*} \omega_{2}$ and shifts $f_{1}$ by $-\int_{\gamma_{g+k}} \omega_{1}$ as seen above, and similarly that

$$
\left(\int_{B_{k}}^{C_{k}}+\int_{D_{k}}^{A_{k+1}}\right) f_{1} \eta_{2}=\left(\int_{D_{k}}^{A_{k+1}}-\int_{C_{k}}^{B_{k}}\right) f_{1} \eta_{2}=\int_{\gamma_{k}} \omega_{1} \int_{D_{k}}^{A_{k+1}} \eta_{2}=-\int_{\gamma_{k}} \omega_{1} \int_{\gamma_{g+k}} \omega_{2},
$$

hence the result.
Come back to viewing $X$ as a Riemann surface. One can make some easy observations about the double integral in the above lemma:

Lemma A.1.2.9. (a) Let $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2} \in \Omega^{1}(X)$ be holomorphic differential forms on $X$. Then $\iint_{X} \omega_{1} \wedge \omega_{2}=0$.
(b) Let $\omega$ be a non-zero holomorphic differential form on $X$. Then $i \iint_{X} \omega \wedge \bar{\omega}>0$.
(c) If $\iint_{X} \omega \wedge \bar{\omega}=0$ for a holomorphic differential form $\omega$ on $X$, then $\omega=0$.

Proof. (a) Locally, one can write $\omega_{1}=f_{1}(z) \mathrm{d} z, \omega_{2}=f_{2}(z) \mathrm{d} z$ with respect to some coordinate $z$. Then $\omega_{1} \wedge \omega_{2}=0$ since $\mathrm{d} z \wedge \mathrm{~d} z=0$.
(b) Let $\omega=f(z) \mathrm{d} z, z=x+i y$ locally. Then $\bar{\omega}=\overline{f(z)} \mathrm{d} \bar{z}$, so that

$$
i \omega \wedge \bar{\omega}=|f(z)|^{2} i \mathrm{~d} z \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}=2|f(z)|^{2} d x \wedge d y
$$

(c) Follows directly from (b).

One then finds that the period matrix of $X$ has a particular structure.

Proposition A.1.2.10. Let $P \in \operatorname{Mat}_{g \times 2 g}(\mathbb{C})$ be a period matrix of $X$ with respect to a symplectic basis of $H_{1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and to a basis $\left(\omega_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant g}$ of $\Omega^{1}(X)$. Consider the left $g \times g$ block of $P$. Then this block cannot be singular.

Proof. If this block were singular, then there would exist a non-trivial $\mathbb{C}$-linear combination of its lines which vanishes. Let $\omega \in \Omega^{1}(X)$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-linear combination of the $\omega_{i}$ with the same coefficients. Then $\omega \neq 0$ since these coefficients are not all 0 , and yet $\int_{\gamma_{j}} \omega=0$ for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant g$ by definition of the period matrix. But then one also has $\int_{\gamma_{j}} \bar{\omega}=\overline{\int_{\gamma_{j}} \omega}=0$ for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant g$, hence $\iint_{X} \omega \wedge \bar{\omega}=0$ by lemma A.1.2.8. But this contradicts lemma A.1.2.9(c).

This implies that, once the symplectic basis of $H_{1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is fixed, there exists a dual basis of $\Omega^{1}(X)$, that is to say a basis $\left(\omega_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant g}$ such that

$$
\int_{\gamma_{j}} \omega_{i}=\mathbb{1}_{i=j}
$$

The period matrix with respect to these bases thus reads

$$
P=\left[\begin{array}{lll|l}
1 & & 0 & \overparen{T} \\
& \ddots & & \\
0 & & 1 &
\end{array}\right]
$$

for some $\tau \in \operatorname{Mat}_{g \times g}(\mathbb{C})$.
Theorem A.1.2.11 (Riemann bilinear relations). The matrix $\tau$ is symmetric, and its imaginary part is positive definite.

Proof. One computes that
$\tau_{j, i}-\tau_{i, j}=\int_{\gamma_{g+i}} \omega_{j}-\int_{\gamma_{g+j}} \omega_{i}=\sum_{k=1}^{g}\left(\int_{\gamma_{k}} \omega_{i} \int_{\gamma_{g+k}} \omega_{j}-\int_{\gamma_{k}} \omega_{j} \int_{\gamma_{g+k}} \omega_{i}\right)=\iint_{X} \omega_{i} \wedge \omega_{j}=0$
by lemmas A.1.2.8 and A.1.2.9(a). Hence $\tau$ is symmetric.
Let $\left(v_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant g} \in \mathbb{R}^{g} \backslash\{0\}$ be a non-zero real vector, and let $\omega=\sum_{i=1}^{g} v_{i} \omega_{i}$, which is non-zero as $v$ is non-zero. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }^{t} v(\operatorname{Im} \tau) v & =\sum_{i=1}^{g} \sum_{j=1}^{g} v_{i} \operatorname{Im} \tau_{i, j} v_{j}=\frac{i}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{g} \sum_{j=1}^{g} v_{i} v_{j}\left(\overline{\tau_{i, j}}-\tau_{i, j}\right) \\
& =\frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{g}\left(v_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{g} v_{i} \overline{\int_{\gamma_{g+j}} \omega_{i}}-v_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{g} v_{i} \int_{\gamma_{g+j}} \omega_{i}\right) \\
& =\frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{g}\left(\int_{\gamma_{j}} \omega \int_{\gamma_{g+j}} \bar{\omega}-\int_{\gamma_{j}} \bar{\omega} \int_{\gamma_{g+j}} \omega\right)=\frac{i}{2} \iint_{X} \omega \wedge \bar{\omega}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

by lemmas A.1.2.8 and A.1.2.9(b). Hence $\operatorname{Im} \tau$ is positive-definite.

Corollary A.1.2.12. The $2 g$ columns of the period matrix of $X$ span a lattice in $\mathbb{C}^{g}$, called the period lattice.

Actually, the above theorem has even stronger consequences, as I shall explain in the last part of this section.

With all this material at hand, it is finally time to define the jacobian variety $\operatorname{Jac}(X)$ of $X$.

Definition A.1.2.13. The jacobian of $X$ is the complex torus

$$
\operatorname{Jac}(X)=\mathbb{C}^{g} / \Lambda
$$

where $\Lambda$ denotes the period lattice of $X$.
More canonically, I should use the coordinate-free definition

$$
\operatorname{Jac}(X)=\Omega_{1}(X)^{\vee} / H_{1}(X, \mathbb{Z})
$$

where $V^{\vee}$ denotes the dual space of a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $V$, and where the elements of homology group $H_{1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ are seen as linear forms on $\Omega^{1}(X)$ by identifying a cycle $\gamma$ to the linear form $\int_{\gamma}$.

## A.1.2.2 The Abel-Jacobi map

Now that the periods are quotiented out, there is a well defined integration map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\jmath: X & \longrightarrow \operatorname{Jac}(X) \\
P & \longmapsto\left(\int_{O}^{P} \omega_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant g}
\end{aligned}
$$

or, in more canonical terms,

$$
P \longmapsto \int_{O}^{P} \bmod H_{1}(X, \mathbb{Z}),
$$

where $O \in X$ is a fixed origin point. One can show that this map actually embeds $X$ in $\operatorname{Jac}(X)$, provided of course that the genus $g$ is non-zero, cf. [HSOO, corollary A.6.3.3]. I shall not prove this fact here, since I shall not need it.

This map may be extended by linearity to divisors on $X$, yielding a group morphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\jmath: \quad \operatorname{Div}(X) & \longrightarrow \\
\sum_{k=1}^{n} n_{k} P_{k} & \longmapsto\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} n_{k} \int_{O}^{P_{k}} \omega_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant g} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This still depends on the choice of the origin point $O$. However, if one restricts it to the subgroup $\operatorname{Div}^{0}(X)$ of divisors of degree zero, one gets a canonical map.

Definition A.1.2.14. The map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\jmath: \operatorname{Div}^{0}(X) & \longrightarrow \operatorname{Jac}(X) \\
\sum_{k=1}^{n} n_{k} P_{k} & \longmapsto\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} n_{k} \int_{O}^{P_{k}} \omega_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant g}
\end{aligned}
$$

does not depend on $O$. It is called the Abel-Jacobi map.

The reason to introduce this map is explained by the following fundamental theorem.

Theorem A.1.2.15 (Abel-Jacobi). The Abel-Jacobi map j: $\operatorname{Div}^{0}(X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Jac}(X)$ is surjective, and its kernel consists exactly of the subgroup of principal divisors.

In other words, the Abel-Jacobi map factors into a group isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Jac}(X)
$$

This explains why the jacobian of $X$ is so interesting: it is a concrete, geometric realisation of the class group $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$ of $X$. One can thus use the jacobian to establish various properties of the class group. For instance, one sees that, unless $g=0$ of course, the class group is infinite, and uncountable.

More interestingly, one sees that the class group is divisible, and that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, its $n$-torsion subgroup is

$$
\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)[n] \simeq \operatorname{Jac}(X)[n]=\left(\mathbb{C}^{g} / \Lambda\right)[n]=\frac{1}{n} \Lambda / \Lambda \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{2 g}
$$

Example A.1.2.16. If the genus of $X$ is $g=1$, then I explained in example A.L.1.35 that $X$ is an elliptic curve, and that $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$ is in bijection with $X$. This means that the jacobian of $X$, which is of dimension $g=1$, is $X$ itself. In particular, one recovers the fact that the Riemann surface $X$ is a complex torus of dimension 1. One also sees that the subgroup $X[n]$ of $n$-torsion points of $X$ is abstractly isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{2}$.

Proof. - $\jmath$ is surjective
Consider the holomorphic map

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
X^{g} & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Jac}(X) \\
\left(P_{1}, \cdots, P_{g}\right) & \longmapsto \jmath\left(\sum_{k=1}^{g} P_{k}-g O\right) .
\end{array}
$$

The matrix of its differential at $\left(P_{1}, \cdots, P_{g}\right)$ is $\left[\omega_{i}\left(P_{j}\right)\right]_{1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant g}$, which cannot be singular for all $\left(P_{1}, \cdots, P_{g}\right)$ since the $\omega_{i}$ form a basis of $\Omega^{1}(X)$, so this map is not constant. Its image is therefore open, and it is also closed since it is the image of the compact $X^{g}$. Hence it must be all of $\operatorname{Jac}(X) \operatorname{since} \operatorname{Jac}(X)$, which is a complex torus, is connected.

- $D$ principal $\Rightarrow \jmath(D)=0$

Let $D=\operatorname{div}(f)$ be a principal divisor on $X$, and consider the morphism

$$
\phi: \begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{C} & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Jac}(X) \\
{[\lambda: \mu]} & \longmapsto \jmath(\operatorname{div}(\lambda f+\mu)) .
\end{array}
$$

Let $z_{1}, \cdots, z_{g}$ be a system of coordinates on $\operatorname{Jac}(X)=\mathbb{C}^{g} / \Lambda$ near 0 . Then $\mathrm{d} z_{1}, \cdots, \mathrm{~d} z_{g}$ is a basis of the space of holomorphic differential 1-forms on $\operatorname{Jac}(X)$. Besides, the pull-backs $\phi^{*} \mathrm{~d} z_{i}$ of these differentials to $\mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{C}$ are holomorphic, hence vanish identically since the space of holomorphic 1-forms on $\mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{C}$ has dimension genus $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{C}\right)=0$. This means that $\phi$ is constant. In particular,

$$
\jmath(D)=\phi([1: 0])=\phi([0: 1])=\jmath(0)=0 .
$$

- $\jmath(D)=0 \Rightarrow D$ principal

Write $D=\sum_{k=1}^{r} n_{k} P_{k}$. I must construct a meromorphic function $f$ on $X$ such that $\operatorname{div}(f)=D$. Such an $f$, if it exists, is only defined up to a multiplicative constant, so it is natural to attempt to construct the logarithmic differential of $f$ instead. In other words, fixing an origin $O$, I shall construct $f$ as

$$
f(P)=\exp \left(\int_{O}^{P} \xi\right)
$$

for some well-chosen meromorphic differential form $\xi$ on $X$. Then $f$ will be welldefined provided that
(i) the residues of $\xi$ are integers,
(ii) the "periods" $\int_{\gamma_{j}} \xi$ all lie in $2 \pi i \mathbb{Z}$,
and $f$ will have divisor $D$ provided that
(iii) $\xi$ has simple poles at the points $P_{k}$ with respective residues $n_{k}$, and no other poles.

Note that (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i), so I only have to ensure that (ii) and (iii) hold. Also note that I may assume that the cycles $\gamma_{j}$ do not meet any of the $P_{k}$ by deforming them a little if necessary, which does not affect the Abel-Jacobi map $\jmath$.

I first claim that there exist meromorphic differential forms $\xi$ satisfying (iii). To see this, take the short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{X}^{1} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{r} P_{k}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}} \bigoplus_{k=1}^{r} \mathbb{C}_{P_{k}} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $\mathbb{C}_{P}$ denotes the skyscraper sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X}(P) / \mathcal{O}_{X}$ standing at $P$, which yields

$$
H^{0}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{r} P_{k}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}} \bigoplus_{k=1}^{r} \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}\right)
$$

by taking cohomology. Now $H^{1}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}\right)=H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=\mathbb{C}$ by Serre duality A.L.L.31 and by example A.1.1.19, so that the image of the residue map Res in the cohomology sequence above has codimension at most 1 . But this image is contained in the tracezero hyperplane by lemma A.1.1.10, so that this image is actually exactly the tracezero hyperplane. In other words, there exists a meromorphic differential form with only simple poles at the $P_{k}$ and with respective residues $a_{k}$ if and only if $\sum_{k=1}^{r} a_{k}=0$. Since in my case $\sum_{k=1}^{r} n_{k}=\operatorname{deg} D=0$, this proves my claim.

So let $\xi$ by a meromorphic differential form satisfying (iii). I shall ensure that (ii) also holds by adding a suitable linear combination of the holomorphic differential forms $\omega_{i}$ to $\xi$, which does not affect (iii). First, it is easy to arrange that

$$
\int_{\gamma_{j}} \xi=0
$$

for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant g$, since $\int_{\gamma_{j}} \omega_{i}=\mathbb{1}_{i=j}$. In order to compute $\int_{\gamma_{g+j}} \xi$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant g$, let $p: \Pi \longrightarrow X$ be the construction of $X$ by identifying edges of a $4 g$-gon $\Pi$, and let
$\eta_{j}=p^{*} \omega_{j}, \eta_{2}=p^{*} \omega_{2}$ be the pull-backs of $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ on $\Pi$. Fix an origin $O$ in the interior of $\Pi$. Then the holomorphic function $f_{j}(P)=\int_{O}^{P} \eta_{j}$ is well-defined since $\Pi$ is simply connected. Since $\int_{\gamma_{j}} \xi=0$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant g$ and $\int_{\gamma_{j}} \omega_{i}=\mathbb{1}_{i=j}$, one has

$$
\int_{\gamma_{g+j}} \xi=\sum_{k=1}^{g}\left(\int_{\gamma_{k}} \omega_{j} \int_{\gamma_{g+k}} \xi-\int_{\gamma_{k}} \xi \int_{\gamma_{g+k}} \omega_{j}\right) .
$$

By the same reasoning as in the proof of lemma A.I.2.8, one sees that this sum is the contour integral

$$
\oint_{\partial \Pi} f_{j} \xi
$$

which is

$$
2 \pi i \sum_{k=1}^{r} n_{k} \int_{O}^{P_{k}} \eta_{j}=2 \pi i \sum_{k=1}^{r} n_{k} \int_{\alpha_{k}} \omega_{j}
$$

by the residue theorem, where $\alpha_{k}$ denotes a path joining $O$ to $P_{k}$ and staying inside $\Pi$. Now, the hypothesis $\jmath(D)=0$ means that there exist integers $m_{k}$ such that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{r} n_{k} \int_{\alpha_{k}}=\sum_{k=1}^{2 g} m_{k} \int_{\gamma_{k}}
$$

as linear forms on $\Omega^{1}(X)$, so that finally

$$
\int_{\gamma_{g+j}} \xi=2 \pi i \sum_{k=1}^{2 g} m_{k} \int_{\gamma_{k}} \omega_{j}=2 \pi i\left(m_{j}+\sum_{k=1}^{g} m_{g+k} \tau_{j, k}\right)
$$

by definition of the matrix $\tau$. Replace then $\xi$ with

$$
\xi^{\prime}=\xi-2 \pi i \sum_{k=1}^{g} m_{g+k} \omega_{k} .
$$

Then $\int_{\gamma_{j}} \xi^{\prime}=-2 \pi i m_{g+j} \in 2 \pi i \mathbb{Z}$, and

$$
\int_{\gamma_{g+j}} \xi^{\prime}=2 \pi i\left(m_{j}+\sum_{k=1}^{g} m_{g+k} \tau_{j, k}\right)-2 \pi i \sum_{k=1}^{g} m_{g+k} \tau_{k, j}=2 \pi i m_{j} \in 2 \pi i \mathbb{Z}
$$

because $\tau$ is symmetric.

## A.1.2.3 The general ground field case

I shall now briefly explain how the construction of the jacobian $\operatorname{Jac}(X)$ generalises over any perfect ground field $K$. The first thing to do is to make sure that the construction over $K=\mathbb{C}$ described above is algebraic, that is to say that the jacobian of a connected compact Riemann surface can be embedded analytically into some complex projective space. This is not so obvious at first, since according to the following theorem, most complex tori are not projective varieties:

Theorem A.1.2.17. Let $g \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $T=\mathbb{C}^{g} / \Lambda$ be a complex torus of dimension $g$, where $\Lambda$ is some full-rank lattice in $\mathbb{C}^{g}$. There exists an analytic embedding of $T$ into $\mathbb{P}^{n} \mathbb{C}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if there exists a Riemann form with respect to $\Lambda$, that is to say a positive definite hermitian form on $\mathbb{C}^{g}$ whose imaginary part assumes integral values on $\Lambda \times \Lambda$.

Remark A.1.2.18. A compact complex manifold which can be embedded analytically into a complex projective space is automatically algebraic. This is Chow's theorem, cf. [GH78, p. 167].

I refer to [HS00, section A.5] for the details of the proof of theorem A.1.2.17. The idea is that one needs sufficiently many meromorphic functions on $T$ to define an embedding into a projective space, and that the existence of a Riemann form with respect to $\Lambda$ makes it possible to construct such functions, called $\Theta$ functions. Also note that in the case where there does exist a Riemann form, the dimension $n$ of the projective space the torus is embedded into tends to grow exponentially with $g$ : typically, $n=3^{g}-1$ in the generic case.

Example A.1.2.19. Every complex torus of dimension $g=1$ is projective. To see this, just notice that the lattice $\Lambda$ can be written $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z} \tau_{1} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \tau_{2}$ for some $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Im}\left(\tau_{2} / \tau_{1}\right)>0$, and that the form $z, w \mapsto \frac{1}{\operatorname{Im}\left(\tau_{2} / \tau_{1}\right)} \bar{z} w$ is a Riemann form with respect to $\Lambda$.

While it is easy to normalise a hermitian form into a Riemann form in dimension 1 , it becomes generically impossible to do it in higher dimension, so that "most" complex tori of dimension at least 2 are not projective. However, the Riemann bilinear relations A.1.2.1] imply that jacobians are always projective:

Theorem A.1.2.20. Let $X$ be a connected compact Riemann surface of genus $g$, with period matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll|l}
1 & & 0 & T \\
& \ddots & & T
\end{array}\right]
$$

with respect to a symplectic homology basis and to the corresponding dual basis of differential forms. Let $A=\operatorname{Re} \tau$ and $B=\operatorname{Im} \tau$. Then the form

$$
z, w \longmapsto{ }^{\overline{\mathrm{t}}} \boldsymbol{z} B^{-1} w
$$

is a Riemann from on $\mathbb{C}^{g}$ with respect to the period lattice.
Proof. By the Riemann bilinear relations A.1.2.1], the matrices $A$ and $B$ are symmetric real matrices, and $B$ is positive definite. In particular, $B$ is nonsingular, so this hermitian form is well-defined and is positive definite. The period lattice of $X$ is $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z}^{g} \oplus \tau \mathbb{Z}^{g}$, and an easy computation using the symmetry of $A$ and $B$ shows that the matrix of this hermitian form in the canonical basis is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c|c}
B^{-1} & B^{-1} A+i I_{g} \\
\hline A B^{-1}-i I_{g} & A B^{-1} A
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $I_{g}$ denotes the identity matrix of size $g$. It is then clear that this form is a Riemann form.

In view of this result, it is natural to attempt to generalise the construction of the jacobian for a curve $X$ over any ground field $K$. Of course, it is then no longer possible to rely on integrals of differential forms, so that the jacobian has to be constructed directly as an algebraic variety representing $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$. The construction in this abstract algebraic setting is rather technical, so I shall barely scratch its surface here, and refer the reader to [HSO0, section A.8] or to [Mill2] instead. Eventually, one ends up with the following result:

Theorem A.1.2.21. Let $X$ be a projective, non-singular, geometrically integral curve of genus $g$ defined over a perfect field $K$, such that there exists a $K$-rational point $O \in X(K)$ on $X$. There exists an abelian variety - that is to say a projective variety endowed with a (necessarily abelian) group law defined by polynomial equations - called the jacobian of $X$ and denoted by $\operatorname{Jac}(X)$, which is defined over $K$ and of dimension $g$, and an embedding $\jmath: X \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Jac}(X)$ defined over $K$ and which, extended by additivity to $\operatorname{Div}(X)$, factors into an isomorphism

$$
\jmath: \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Jac}(X)
$$

Furthermore, for any algebraic extension $K \subseteq L \subseteq \bar{K}$ of $K$, the $L$-rational points of $\operatorname{Jac}(X)$ correspond to the divisor classes in $\operatorname{Div}^{0}(X(\bar{K}))$ which are invariant ${ }^{\square}$ under the action of $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / L)$.

Recall that according to corollary A.1.1.38, every divisor $D \in \operatorname{Div}^{0}(X)$ is linearly equivalent to a divisor of the form $E-g O$ for some effective divisor $E \in \mathrm{Eff}^{g}(X)$ of degree $g$ which is generically unique. The idea is to start with the $g^{\text {th }}$ symmetric power $\operatorname{Sym}^{g}(X)=X^{g} / \mathfrak{S}_{g}$ of $X$, where the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{g}$ acts by permuting the factors of $X^{g}$. The following lemma shows that this is an algebraic variety:

Lemma A.1.2.22 (Hilbert, cf. [HS00, proposition A.8.3.2]). Let $A$ be a $K$-algebra of finite type, and let $G \subset \operatorname{Aut}_{K}(X)$ be a finite group of $K$-automorphisms of $A$. Then the subalgebra of fixed points $A^{G}$ is also of finite type over $K$.

The $L$-rational points of $\operatorname{Sym}^{g}(X)$ correspond to the effective divisors on $X(\bar{K})$ which are defined over $L$, so according to part (iv) of the Riemann-Roch theorem A.1.1.32, there should be a morphism from $\operatorname{Sym}^{g}(X)$ to $\operatorname{Jac}(X)$ which is generically one-to-one. One then proceeds to construct $\operatorname{Jac}(X)$ by identifying the points in $\operatorname{Sym}^{g}(X)$ which represent linearly equivalent divisors (which is rarely the case according to corollary A.L...38), and proceed to show that this yields an algebraic variety which is projective over $K$.

[^1]
## A.1.3 Computing in the jacobian

Performing arithmetic operations in the jacobian $\operatorname{Jac}(X)$ of a curve $X$ of genus $g$ is not as easy as one might think. Of course, $\operatorname{Jac}(X)$ is an abelian variety, so it can be embedded into some projective space $\mathbb{P}_{K}^{n}$, and the group law is given by polynomial equations on the coordinates in this embedding, but these equations are insanely complicated, even in genus $g=2$ (cf. [Fly90, appendix A]) which is the smallest non-trivial case in view of example A.1.1.35. In fact, the best one can do in general is to use so-called $\Theta$ functions to embed $\operatorname{Jac}(X)$, which is of dimension $g$, into a projective space of dimension $n=3^{g}-1$.

I shall now present a method, due to K. Khuri-Makdisi (cf. [KM04, KM07]), to compute in the jacobian $\operatorname{Jac}(X)$, that is to say in the class group $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$, of any projective, nonsingular, absolutely integral curve $X$ of genus $g$, provided only that the perfect field $K$ it is defined on be computational, that is to say such that there exist algorithms to perform arithmetic in $K$. The advantage this method is that it relies merely on linear algebra, which makes it very fast. Besides, it does not requires the knowledge of a plane model for $X$, but merely of a certain section space $H^{0}(X, D)$. Obviously, computing in $\operatorname{Jac}(X)$ is completely trivial if $g=0$ since $\operatorname{Jac}(X)$ is then reduced to a point, so I shall assume that $g$ is non-zero from now on.

## A.1.3.1 Computing with section spaces

Let me first present a few ideas informally. Begin by fixing a divisor $D_{0} \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$ of large enough degree $d_{0}$, so that the Abel-Jacobi map

$$
\jmath: D \longmapsto\left[D-D_{0}\right] \quad\left(\operatorname{deg} D=\operatorname{deg} D_{0}\right)
$$

be surjective. A point $x \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$ can then be represented, albeit perhaps not uniquely, by a divisor $D \in \operatorname{Div}^{d_{0}}(X)$ such that $\left[D-D_{0}\right]=x$. The novelty of K. Khuri-Makdisi's method rests on two points.

- First, $d_{0}>g$ is chosen to be large, even though, by proposition A.L.L.37, $d_{0}=g$ would be enough to ensure that $\jmath$ is surjective. The benefit of this, as I shall explain in more details, is that all the fibres of the Abel-Jacobi map are isomorphic, and more generally that the $h^{1}$ cease to be a nuisance (cf. part (iii) of the Riemann-Roch theorem A.L.L.32). The price to pay is a loss of rigidity, in that the divisor $D$ representing a point $x \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$ is far from unique.
- Next, a divisor $D$ on $X$ is no longer represented as a sum of points on $X$, but by the $K$-subspace $H^{0}(\Delta-D)$ of $K(X)$, where $\Delta$ denotes a fixed divisor. The degree of this divisor must of course be high enough for this representation to be faithful.

I shall make all of this more precise in a moment. The advantage of this representation way is that it brings all the computations in $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$ down to mere linear algebra computations. In order to perform these computations, one uses formulae of the kind

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{0}(A+B) & =H^{0}(A) \cdot H^{0}(B) \\
f \cdot H^{0}(A) & =H^{0}(A-\operatorname{div}(f)) \\
H^{0}(B-A) & =\left\{s \in K(X) \mid s H^{0}(A) \subseteq H^{0}(B)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where I used the notation

$$
V \cdot W=\{v w \mid v \in V, w \in W\}
$$

for $V$ and $W$ subspaces of $K(X)$, and where $A$ and $B$ are divisors on $X$ and $f \in K(X)$.

Of course, such formulae only stand under certain conditions (large enough degree...). I shall now justify all of this rigorously.

## A.1.3.2 Technical preliminaries

In order to prove the necessary technical results, I shall of course make intensive use of the Riemann-Roch theorem A.L.L.32.

Recall that the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D), D \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$, is defined by

$$
\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)(U)=\{s \in K(X) \mid \operatorname{div}(s)+D \geqslant 0 \text { on } U\} \quad(U \subset X \text { open })
$$

The notion of base point allows one to examine the behaviour of the sections of $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$ when the degree of $D$ is small.

Definition A.1.3.1. Let $D$ be a divisor on $X$. By definition, the divisor

$$
B=D+\inf _{s \in H^{0}(D)} \operatorname{div}(s)
$$

is then effective. The divisor is call the base locus of $D$, or of $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$. The base points are the points in its support.

If $B=0$, then $D$ and $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$ are said to be base point free.
In other words, $D$ is base point free if $\inf _{s \in H^{0}(D)} \operatorname{div}(s)=-D$, that is to say if "the global sections of $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$ do everything they are allowed to do".

Example A.1.3.2. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve, $P$ a point on $E(K)$, and take $D=P$. It is well-known (cf. A.I.1.35) that

$$
H^{0}(E, D)=K
$$

only consists of the constant functions. Thus, although the definition of the sections $H^{0}(E, D)$ allows them to have a pole at $P$, they do not take advantage of it. The point $P$ is hence a base point of $D$; actually, it is the only one.

Intuitively, base points can only exist be because the degree of $D$ is too small compared to the genus $g$ in order for the sections in $H^{0}(D)$ to have enough freedom. The following proposition shows that this intuition is correct:

Proposition A.1.3.3. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a line bundle of degree $d$ on $X$.

1. If $d \geqslant 2 g$, then $\mathcal{L}$ is base point free.
2. If $\mathcal{L}$ is generic and $d \geqslant g+1$, then $\mathcal{L}$ is base point free.

Proof. Extend the scalars to $\bar{K}$. If $\mathcal{L}$ has degree $d \geqslant 2 g$, then for every point $P \in X$, $\mathcal{L}(-P)$ has degree $d-1 \geqslant 2 g-1$, so that $h^{0}(\mathcal{L}(-P))=h^{0}(\mathcal{L})-1$ by the RiemannRoch theorem A.L.L33(iii). Now, if $P$ were a base point of $\mathcal{L}$, one would have $H^{0}(\mathcal{L}(-P))=H^{0}(\mathcal{L})$, thus $h^{0}(\mathcal{L}(-P))=h^{0}(\mathcal{L})$. Hence $\mathcal{L}$ is base point free.

The case of generic $\mathcal{L}$ is dealt with in the same way, by using the generic case (v) of the Riemann-Roch theorem A.1.1.33.

The above example shows that the condition $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L} \geqslant 2 g$ is optimal.
A moment of thought reveals that a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ is base point free if and only if it is generated by its global sections, that is to say that the map

$$
H^{0}(\mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}
$$

is surjective. I shall denote its kernel by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}}$, so that for each base point free line bundle $\mathcal{L}$, there is a short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}} \longrightarrow H^{0}(\mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow 0
$$

of vector bundles on $X$. Thanks to this information, one can determine a sufficient condition for the multiplication map

$$
H^{0}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right){\underset{K}{\otimes}}_{\otimes} H^{0}\left(\mathcal{L}_{2}\right) \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)
$$

to be surjective.
Lemma A.1.3.4 (Base point free pencil trick). Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a generic line bundle of degree $g+1$ on the curve $X$. Then $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}}$ is also a line bundle on $X$, and is isomorphic to the dual bundle $\mathcal{L}^{\vee}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ of $\mathcal{L}$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is generic, the Riemann-Roch theorem A.L.L.32(v) implies that

$$
\operatorname{dim} H^{0}(\mathcal{L})=\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}+1-g=g+1+1-g=2
$$

One can therefore write ${ }^{[3]}$

$$
H^{0}(\mathcal{L})=K s_{1} \oplus K s_{2}
$$

where $s_{1}, s_{2}$ are elements of $H^{0}(\mathcal{L}) \backslash\{0\}$. Besides, again because $\mathcal{L}$ is generic, it is base point free according to proposition A.L.3.3, hence the short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}} \longrightarrow H^{0}(\mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow 0
$$

Now let $U \subseteq X$ be an open subset of $X$. From the definition of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}}$, there is an isomorphism
$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}}(U) \simeq\left\{\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(U)^{2} \mid s_{1} t_{1}+s_{2} t_{2}=0\right\} \simeq\left\{\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(U)^{2} \mid s_{1} t_{2}-s_{2} t_{1}=0\right\}$,
which is functorial in $U$, where $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ has been replaced with $\left(t_{2},-t_{1}\right)$ in the last step.

[^2]Define $u_{i}=\frac{t_{i}}{s_{i}} \in K(X)$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$. The condition $s_{1} t_{2}-s_{2} t_{1}=0$ defining $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}}$ is tantamount to the equality $u_{1}=u_{2}$; furthermore, letting $u=u_{1}=u_{2}$, the $t_{i}=u s_{i}$ have to be regular on $U$. To sum up, there is an isomorphism
$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}}(U) \simeq\left\{u \in K(X)\left|\forall i \in\{1,2\}, u s_{i}\right|_{U} \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(U)\right\} \simeq\left\{u \in K(X)\left|u H^{0}(\mathcal{L})\right|_{U} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X}(U)\right\}$,
so that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}}$ is isomorphic to the dual bundle $\mathcal{L}^{\vee}$, since $\mathcal{L}$ is base point free, hence generated by its global sections.

Theorem A.1.3.5. Let $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ be two line bundles on $X$, with respective degrees $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$, and let $g$ denote the genus of $X$. If $d_{1}, d_{2} \geqslant 2 g+1$, then the multiplication map

$$
\mu: H^{0}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right) \underset{K}{\otimes} H^{0}\left(\mathcal{L}_{2}\right) \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)
$$

is surjective.

Proof. I may extend the scalars to $\bar{K}$, and by symmetry, I can suppose without loss of generality that $d_{1} \leqslant d_{2}$. Start by writing down the short exact sequence associated to $\mathcal{L}_{1}$, which is base point free according to proposition A.1.3.3:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}_{1}} \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{1} \longrightarrow 0
$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ is locally free, this sequence remains exact after tensoring by $\mathcal{L}_{2}$, so that

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}_{1}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{2} \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{2} \longrightarrow 0
$$

Taking the global sections, one gets the long exact sequence in cohomology
$0 \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}_{1}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{2}\right) \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right) \otimes H^{0}\left(\mathcal{L}_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\mu} H^{0}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{2}\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}_{1}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{2}\right) \longrightarrow \cdots$
in which the multiplication map $\mu$ shows up. I shall now prove that $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}_{1}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$ vanishes, which implies that $\mu$ is surjective.

Define $r=d_{1}-g-1$, and let $P_{1}, \cdots, P_{r}$ be points in generic position on $X$. As $r \geqslant g$ by hypothesis on $d_{1}$, proposition A.1.1.37 ensures that the Abel-Jacobi-like map $\operatorname{Eff}^{r}(X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^{r}(X)$ is surjective. The line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{1}\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{r} P_{i}\right)$, which is of degree $d_{1}-r=g+1$, is therefore generic, so it is base point free by proposition A.L.3.3. The short exact sequences attached to $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ and to $\mathcal{L}_{1}\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{r} P_{i}\right)$ fit into the
following commutative diagram:


Since, by the base point free pencil trick,

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}_{1}\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{r} P_{i}\right)} \simeq \mathcal{L}_{1}^{\vee}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} P_{i}\right)
$$

this yields the short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{1}^{\vee}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} P_{i}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}_{1}} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(-P_{i}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Tensoring again by $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ and taking the cohomology results in the exact sequence

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} P_{i}\right)\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}_{1}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{2}\right) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} H^{1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{2}\left(-P_{i}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \cdots
$$

To conclude, I shall prove that the middle term vanishes, by using Serre duality A.L.1.3] to show that both extreme terms vanish.

First, for the right-hand term, one has

$$
h^{1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{2}\left(-P_{i}\right)\right)=h^{0}\left(\Omega_{1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{2}^{\vee}\left(P_{i}\right)\right)
$$

and the line bundle $\Omega_{1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{2}^{\vee}\left(P_{i}\right)$ has degree $2 g-2-d_{2}+1<0$ since $d_{2} \geqslant 2 g+1$. By A.1.1.20, this proves that $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{2}\left(-P_{i}\right)\right)$ vanishes.

Next, for the left-hand term,

$$
h^{1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} P_{i}\right)\right)=h^{0}\left(\Omega_{1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{2}^{\vee}\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{r} P_{i}\right)\right)
$$

and this time the degree of the line bundle $\Omega_{1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{2}^{\vee}\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{r} P_{i}\right)$ is

$$
2 g-2+d_{1}-d_{2}-r \leqslant g-2
$$

since I have assumed $d_{1} \leqslant d_{2}$. For generic $P_{i}$ 's, this line bundle is thus generic of degree $g-2<g$, so by the Riemann-Roch theorem A.L.L.32(v) it has no non-zero global section either, which concludes the proof.

## A.1.3.3 Building blocks

Recall that a point $x \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$ is to be represented by a divisor $D$ on $X$ such that $x=\left[D-D_{0}\right]$, where $D_{0}$ is a fixed origin divisor, whose degree I denote $d_{0}$. The divisor $D$ representing $x$ thus also has degree $d_{0}$. As I announced above, I must choose a large value of $d_{0}$ instead of $d_{0}=g$, so as to avoid nuisances such as base points or inconclusiveness in the Riemann-Roch theorem. I shall show that $d_{0} \geqslant 2 g+1$ is enough to ensure the correctness of K. Khuri-Makdisi's algorithm.

Besides, the divisor $D$ is itself to be represented as $H^{0}(\Delta-D)$, where $\Delta$ is a fixed divisor. For this representation to be faithful, it is sufficient that $\Delta-D$ be base point free, hence that $\operatorname{deg} \Delta \geqslant \operatorname{deg} D+2 g$ by proposition A.L.3.3. As I shall explain, the algorithm deals with divisors of degree $d_{0}$ and $2 d_{0}$, so that deg $\Delta$ must be at least $2 d_{0}+2 g$. The choice $\Delta=3 D_{0}$ is thus natural. Consequently, I shall denote

$$
V=H^{0}\left(3 D_{0}\right)
$$

and a divisor $D$ will be represented by the subspace

$$
W_{D}=H^{0}\left(3 D_{0}-D\right) \subset V
$$

In practice, these spaces, which are finite-dimensional over $K$, will be represented by a $K$-basis, hence by matrices with coefficients in $K$. The elements forming these bases, which are rational functions on $X$, can be represented in several ways.

1. The first solution consists in picking a rational point $P \in X(K)$, and to represent a function by its truncated Taylor series at $P$. This means that a function is represented by an element in a $K$-algebra of truncated power series.
2. Another solution consists in picking rational points $P_{i} \in X(K)$ on the curve $X$ and to represent a function by its values at these points. If it is not possible to find sufficiently many rational points, e.g. because $K$ is a number field, one can use points defined on a small extension of $K$ instead. A function is then represented by an element in an étale $K$-algebra.
3. More generally, one can do a little of both, that is to say represent a function by its truncated Taylor series at various fixed points, in other words, by evaluating the function at an effective divisor.

Denote by $Z \in \mathrm{Eff}(X)$ the divisor at which functions are evaluated to represent them. It will turn out that the functions considered along the algorithms below all lie in $V=H^{0}\left(3 D_{0}\right)$ or in $H^{0}\left(6 D_{0}\right)$. Therefore, for this representation system to be faithful, it is necessary and sufficient that $H^{0}\left(3 D_{0}-Z\right)=H^{0}\left(6 D_{0}-Z\right)=\{0\}$. The
easiest (and safest) way to ensure this is to pick $Z$ of degree at least $6 d_{0}+1$. By the way, it is better if possible to avoid that the supports of $D_{0}$ and $Z$ intersect, since if they do, functions will have poles where they are evaluated, and one has to shift the valuation in power series or use Laurent series, which makes the implementation more difficult. To sum up, a space $W$ of functions will be represented by a matrix, with $\operatorname{deg} Z$ lines, $\operatorname{dim} W$ columns, and coefficients in $K$.

Before describing the actual algorithms for computing in $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$, I present three "building blocks", that is to say three basic operations these algorithms rely on, and which correspond to the three formulae given in the beginning of this section.

Algorithm A.1.3.6 (Add). Knowing $H^{0}(A)$ and $H^{0}(B)$, compute $H^{0}(A+B)$.
Theorem A.L.3.5 asserts that if $A$ and $B$ both have degree at least $2 g+1$, then $H^{0}(A+B)=H^{0}(A) \cdot H^{0}(B)$. Provided that this condition is fulfilled, in order to compute $H^{0}(A+B)$, it is thus enough to multiply every basis element of $H^{0}(A)$ by every basis element of $H^{0}(B)$, and then to extract a basis of $H^{0}(A+B)$ by performing linear elimination. Actually, if one accepts to introduce randomness, it is much more efficient to compute $n$ products of a randomly chosen basis element of $H^{0}(A)$ by a randomly chosen basis element of $H^{0}(B)$ for $n$ a little larger than $h^{0}(A+B)$, and then to ensure that these products do span $H^{0}(A+B)$ and to extract a basis out of them.

Algorithm A.1.3.7 (Multiply by function). Knowing a function $f$ and $H^{0}(A)$, compute $H^{0}(A-(f))$.

It is plain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f \cdot H^{0}(A) & =\{f s \mid \operatorname{div}(s) \geqslant-A\} \\
& =\{t=f s \mid \operatorname{div}(t)=\operatorname{div}(f)+\operatorname{div}(s) \geqslant \operatorname{div}(f)-A\} \\
& =H^{0}(A-\operatorname{div}(f))
\end{aligned}
$$

unconditionally. Therefore, one simply multiplies each basis element of $H^{0}(A)$ by $f$ to get a basis of $H^{0}(A-(f))$.

Algorithm A.1.3.8 (Subtract). Knowing $H^{0}(A)$ and $H^{0}(B)$, compute $H^{0}(B-A)$. One has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(H^{0}(B): H^{0}(A)\right) & =\left\{s \in K(X) \mid s \cdot H^{0}(A) \subseteq H^{0}(B)\right\} \\
& =\{s \in K(X) \mid \operatorname{div}(a) \geqslant-A \Rightarrow \operatorname{div}(s)+\operatorname{div}(a) \geqslant-B\} \\
& =\{s \in K(X) \mid \operatorname{div}(s)-A \geqslant-B\} \quad \text { if } A \text { is base point free } \\
& =H^{0}(B-A)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, provided that $A$ is base point free (e.g. because $\operatorname{deg} A \geqslant 2 g$ ), $H^{0}(B-A)$ can be computed as follows: compute a matrix $K_{B}$ whose kernel is exactly $H^{0}(B)$; then, for each basis element $a_{i}$ of $H^{0}(A)$, twist the matrix $K_{B}$ into a matrix $K_{a_{i}, B}$ such that for all $s \in B, K_{a_{i}, B} x=0 \Longleftrightarrow K_{B} a_{i} x=0$ (the explicit way that $K_{B}$ must be twisted depends on how functions on $X$ are represented). Next, stack up the matrices $K_{a_{i}, B}$ into a big matrix. One must stack up an extra equation matrix at the top of this big matrix, so as to ensure hat its kernel only contains vectors representing actual
functions; for instance, if $A$ is effective one can stack up an extra copy of $K_{B}$ since $H^{0}(B-A) \subseteq H^{0}(B)$ in this case. In practice $H^{0}(B-A)$ is a subspace of $V$, so one can stack up a precomputed matrix $K_{V}$ whose kernel is exactly $V$ instead. It then only remains to compute the kernel of the big matrix in order to get $H^{0}(B-A)$.

Remark A.1.3.9. Note that the equations encoded in the big matrix are in general very redundant, so extra care should be taken in the computation of the kernel if the base field $K$ is not exact. For instance, I shall use K. Khuri-Makdisi's algorithms with $K=\mathbb{C}$ in my algorithm, so I must keep numerical stability in mind. My solution consists in using QR-decomposition by Householder reflections (cf. for instance [Dem97, sections 3.2.2 and 3.4.1]) instead of the numerically-unstable Gaussian elimination. The expected dimension $d$ of the kernel is known beforehand thanks to the Riemann-Roch theorem, so the kernel can be computed as the space corresponding to the $d$ diagonal entries of $R$ with smallest modulus. The experiments I have run seem to indicate that this method was a reasonable compromise between numerical stability and speed of execution.

Remark A.1.3.10. Also note that the big matrix can be made smaller, and hence the computation faster, by stacking up $K_{a_{i}, B}$ no longer for $a_{i}$ 's ranging over the basis of $H^{0}(A)$, but for a few $a_{i}$ 's chosen at random in $H^{0}(A)$. The result is then correct if $\inf _{i} \operatorname{div}\left(a_{i}\right)=-A$, else the dimension of the kernel of the big matrix will be larger than expected according to the Riemann-Roch theorem, and this is easy to detect, so one can just start again until the kernel is of the expected dimension. This yields a probabilistic algorithm of Las Vegas type. When the ground field $K$ is infinite, the probability that the computation fails is zero even if one takes only two ${ }^{(11}$ (linearly independent) $a_{i}$ 's. On the other hand, if $K$ is finite of small cardinal, it may be better to take a larger number of $a_{i}$ 's in order to reduce the probability of failure, cf. [KM07, section 4] for a precise estimation.

Remark A.1.3.11. I would like to stress once again that these three building blocks merely rely on linear algebra over $K$.

## A.1.3.4 The actual algorithms

I can now present the two algorithms used to compute in $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$. These algorithms only rely on the three building blocks presented above. For simplicity, I shall assume that the base divisor $D_{0}$ is effective, although it is not necessary for K. KhuriMakdisi's algorithms to work. Recall that a point $x \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$ is represented by an effective divisor $D$ of degree $d_{0}$ such that $\left[D-D_{0}\right]=x$, and that this divisor is itself represented by the subspace $W_{D}=H^{0}\left(3 D_{0}-D\right)$ of $V=H^{0}\left(3 D_{0}\right)$.

The spaces $V$ and $W_{D_{0}}=H^{0}\left(2 D_{0}\right)$, which represents $0 \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$, are assumed to be given as an input representing $X$. If not also given, one also precomputes a matrix $K_{V}$ whose kernel is exactly $V$.

[^3]Algorithm A.1.3.12 (Chord). Given two points $x_{1}$ and $x_{2} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$, this algorithm computes $x_{3}=-x_{1}-x_{2} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$.

In other words, knowing $W_{D_{1}}=H^{0}\left(3 D_{0}-D_{1}\right)$, with $D_{1}$ effective of degree $d_{0}$ such that $\left[D_{1}-D_{0}\right]=x_{1}$, and $W_{D_{2}}=H^{0}\left(3 D_{0}-D_{2}\right)$, with $D_{2}$ effective of degree $d_{0}$ such that $\left[D_{2}-D_{0}\right]=x_{2}$, compute $W_{D_{3}}=H^{0}\left(3 D_{0}-D_{3}\right)$, with $D_{3}$ effective of degree $d_{0}$ such that $D_{1}+D_{2}+D_{3} \sim 3 D_{0}$.

This is achieved as follows.

1. First, use the "add" block to compute $H^{0}\left(6 D_{0}-D_{1}-D_{2}\right)=W_{D_{1}} \cdot W_{D_{2}}$. This is legitimate since $3 D_{0}-D_{1}$ et $3 D_{0}-D_{2}$ both have degree $4 d_{0} \geqslant 2 g+1$.
2. Next, use the "subtract" block to compute

$$
H^{0}\left(3 D_{0}-D_{1}-D_{2}\right)=\left\{s \in V \mid s \cdot V \subseteq H^{0}\left(6 D_{0}-D_{1}-D_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

which is possible since $V=H^{0}\left(3 D_{0}\right)$ is base point free according to proposition A.1.3.3. Here one can use the precomputed matrix $K_{V}$.
3. Then, choose a non-zero function $f$ in $H^{0}\left(3 D_{0}-D_{1}-D_{2}\right)$, for instance the first basis element thereof. The divisor of $f$ has the form

$$
\operatorname{div}(f)=-3 D_{0}+D_{1}+D_{2}+D_{3},
$$

where $D_{3}$ is effective of degree $d_{0}$, and $D_{1}+D_{2}+D_{3}$ is linearly equivalent to $3 D_{0}$, so $D_{3}$ is exactly what is needed. To catch it, use then the block "multiply by function" to compute $f \cdot V=H^{0}\left(6 D_{0}-D_{1}-D_{2}-D_{3}\right)$.
4. It only remains to use the "subtract" block to compute
$W_{D_{3}}=H^{0}\left(3 D_{0}-D_{3}\right)=\left\{s \in V \mid s \cdot H^{0}\left(3 D_{0}-D_{1}-D_{2}\right) \subseteq H^{0}\left(6 D_{0}-D_{1}-D_{2}-D_{3}\right)\right\}$.
This is legitimate since $H^{0}\left(3 D_{0}-D_{1}-D_{2}\right)$ is base point free ${ }^{[10}$ by proposition A.1.3.3. Note that since $3 D_{0}-D_{1}-D_{2}$ is not effective a priori, one must stack up $K_{V}$ instead of $K_{3 D_{0}-D_{1}-D_{2}}$ on the top of the big matrix, since the space one wants to compute is a subspace of $V$.

A few words might be in order to explain how to use the "chord" algorithm above to compute in $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$. To compute the opposite of the point represented by $W_{D}$, apply the "chord" algorithm to $W_{D}$ and $W_{D_{0}}$. To add the points represented by $W_{D_{1}}$ and $W_{D_{2}}$, apply the "chord" algorithm to $W_{D_{1}}$ and $W_{D_{2}}$, then compute the opposite of the result. To subtract the point represented by $W_{D_{2}}$ from the point represented by $W_{D_{1}}$, compute the opposite of $W_{D_{1}}$, then apply the "chord" to the result and to $W_{D_{2}}$.

It is also crucial to be able to determine whether two subspaces $W_{D_{1}}$ and $W_{D_{2}}$ represent the same point of $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$, since as I stressed the divisor $D$ representing a point is far from being unique. Now $W_{D_{1}}$ and $W_{D_{2}}$ represent the same point in $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$ if and only if $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are linearly equivalent, which is tantamount to $H^{0}\left(D_{1}-D_{2}\right)$ being a non-zero space. The idea is thus to almost compute $H^{0}\left(D_{1}-D_{2}\right)$.

[^4]Algorithm A.1.3.13 (Equality test).

1. First pick a non-zero function $f$ in $W_{D_{1}}$, e.g. the first basis element thereof. The divisor of $f$ is of the form

$$
\operatorname{div}(f)=-3 D_{0}+D_{1}+E
$$

where $E$ is effective and has degree $2 d_{0}$. Next, use the "multiply by function" block to compute $H^{0}\left(6 D_{0}-D_{1}-D_{2}-E\right)=f \cdot W_{D_{2}}$.
2. It only remains to compute

$$
H^{0}\left(3 D_{0}-D_{2}-E\right)=\left\{s \in V \mid s \cdot W_{D_{1}} \subseteq H^{0}\left(6 D_{0}-D_{1}-D_{2}-E\right)\right\}
$$

and output TRUE is this space is non-zero, and FALSE else. Indeed, $H^{0}\left(3 D_{0}-D_{2}-E\right)=f \cdot H^{0}\left(D_{1}-D_{2}\right)$, since $V$ is base point free by proposition A.C.3.3. Just like above, one must stack up $K_{V}$ on the top of the big matrix. However, the acceleration trick described in remark A.L.3.10 must not be used here, since this time, the dimension of the kernel of the big matrix is not known beforehand: on the contrary, it is what is being computed!

Remark A.1.3.14. Note that it is possible to perform many other operations on divisors (max, min, set-theoretic difference, ...) by using these three "building blocks". For further details, cf. [KMO4].

I conclude this section by explaining how to get the input data $V=H^{0}\left(3 D_{0}\right)$ and $W_{D_{0}}=H^{0}\left(2 D_{0}\right)$. It is enough to have $H^{0}\left(D_{0}\right)$, since one can then construct successively $W_{D_{0}}$ and $V$ using the multiplication map A.L.3.5 as $d_{0} \geqslant 2 g+1$. It thus remains to compute $H^{0}\left(D_{0}\right)$. The methods for this differ, depending on how the curve $X$ is given. However, the curve $X$ one wishes to compute with is often particular, and there is then a natural choice for $D_{0}$ for which $H^{0}\left(D_{0}\right)$ is known explicitly. For instance, the curves I shall work with are modular curves (cf. section A. 2 below), and I shall arrange for $H^{0}\left(D_{0}\right)$ to be a space of modular forms.

## A.1.3.5 Complexity analysis and comments

The above two algorithms make it possible to compute in $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$ for any curve $X$ defined over any computational perfect base field $K$. Furthermore, it merely relies on linear algebra, on matrices of size $O(g) \times O(g)$ (at least when the ground field $K$ is infinite), where $g$ denotes the genus of the curve $X$. This means that one operation in $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$ requires ${ }^{\text {EI }} O\left(g^{3}\right)$ operations in $K$.

It may seem surprising that the section space $H^{0}\left(D_{0}\right)$ alone contains enough information about $X$ to make it possible to compute in $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$. This is due to the fact that the assumption $d_{0} \geqslant 2 g+1$ implies that $D_{0}$ is very ample, so that the associated section space contains enough functions to set up a projective embedding of $X$.

[^5]
## A. 2 Modular curves and modular forms

I shall now proceed to the presentation of some well-known facts about modular forms and other related modular objects, namely modular curves and modular symbols, making the extraordinarily rich arithmetic structure of these objects apparent along the way.

## A.2.1 Modular curves

I start with modular curves, which may be naively defined as Riemann surfaces constructed by quotienting the extended upper half plane by subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, although they are much better understood once one sees them as moduli spaces for elliptic curves endowed with torsion data. This makes natural the definition of Hecke correspondences, and explains why these curves admit models over $\mathbb{Q}$ (or at least, over a cyclotomic field).

## A.2.1.1 Modular curves as manifolds

Let $\mathcal{H}=\{\tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im} \tau>0\}$ denote the Poincaré upper half-plane. The group $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})^{+}$of $2 \times 2$ real matrices with positive determinant acts on $\mathcal{H}$ by the wellknown formula

$$
\gamma \cdot \tau=\frac{a \tau+b}{c \tau+d}, \quad \gamma=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right] \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})^{+} .
$$

I am interested in quotients of $\mathcal{H}$ by discrete subgroups $\Gamma$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})^{+}$, such as $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. More precisely, I shall focus on the case where $\Gamma$ is a certain kind of finiteindex subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Definition A.2.1.1. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. The subgroup $\Gamma(N)$ of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is the kernel of the projection $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})$. In other words, a matrix $\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right] \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ lies in $\Gamma(N)$ if and only if $b \equiv c \equiv 0 \bmod N$ and $a \equiv d \equiv 1 \bmod N$.

A subgroup $\Gamma$ of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a congruence subgroup if it contains $\Gamma(N)$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. The least such $N$ is called the level of $\Gamma$.

For instance, $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})=\Gamma(1)$ is the only congruence subgroup of level 1 . The terminology "congruence subgroup" comes form the fact that membership of a congruence subgroup $\Gamma$ of level $N$ is defined by congruence conditions modulo $N$ on the entries of the matrix (consider the image of $\Gamma$ in $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})$ ). The most famous examples of congruence subgroups, apart the $\Gamma(N)$ themselves, are the

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{0}(N)=\left\{\left.\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right] \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \right\rvert\, c \equiv 0 \bmod N\right\} \quad \text { and } \\
& \Gamma_{1}(N)=\left\{\left.\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right] \in \Gamma_{0}(N) \right\rvert\, a \equiv d \equiv 1 \bmod N\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example A.2.1.2. Write $N=\prod_{p \mid N} p^{v_{p}} . \Gamma(N)$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, with quotient $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \prod_{p \mid N} \mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{v_{p}} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ by Chinese remainders. From the short exact sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{v_{p}} \mathbb{Z}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{v_{p}} \mathbb{Z}\right) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{det}}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{v_{p}} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*} \longrightarrow 1
$$

one sees that $\# \mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{v_{p}} \mathbb{Z}\right)=\frac{\# \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{v_{p}} \mathbb{Z}\right)}{\#\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{p_{P}} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*}}$, and from the short exact sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow 1+p \operatorname{Mat}_{2 \times 2}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{v_{p}-1} \mathbb{Z}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{v_{p}} \mathbb{Z}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 1,
$$

one deduces that

$$
\# \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{v_{p}} \mathbb{Z}\right)=\left(p^{v_{p}-1}\right)^{4}\left(p^{2}-1\right)\left(p^{2}-p\right)=\left(p^{v_{p}}\right)^{4}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{2}}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)
$$

so that the index of $\Gamma(N)$ in $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is

$$
\prod_{p \mid N} \# \mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{v_{p}} \mathbb{Z}\right)=\prod_{p \mid N} \frac{\left(p^{v_{p}}\right)^{4}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{2}}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}{p^{v_{p}}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}=N^{3} \prod_{p \mid N}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{2}}\right) .
$$

Furthermore, $\Gamma(N)$ is normal in $\Gamma_{1}(N)$ with quotient $\Gamma_{1}(N) / \Gamma(N) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z}$ by $\left[\begin{array}{cc}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right] \mapsto b \bmod N$, and $\Gamma_{1}(N)$ is normal in $\Gamma_{0}(N)$ with quotient $\Gamma_{0}(N) / \Gamma_{1}(N) \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ by $\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right] \mapsto d \bmod N$, so that

$$
\Gamma(N) \quad \stackrel{\triangleleft}{N} \quad \Gamma_{1}(N) \underset{N \Pi_{p \mid N}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}{\triangleleft} \Gamma_{0}(N) \underset{N \Pi_{p \mid N}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)}{\subset} \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})
$$

where the numbers under the inclusions denote the indices.
Example A.2.1.3. Let $A_{N}$ be the set of vectors in $(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ of order exactly $N$, and let $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})$ be the quotient of $A_{N}$ by $(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ acting diagonally (this agrees with $\mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{F}_{N}$ when $N$ is prime). By viewing the elements of $A_{N}$ as column vectors, one has a canonical action of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ on $A_{N}$, which is transitive, and which induces a transitive action on $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})$. Since $\Gamma_{1}(N)$ is the stabiliser of $(1,0) \in A_{N}$, one sees that for all $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime} \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, the cosets $\gamma \Gamma_{1}(N)$ and $\gamma^{\prime} \Gamma_{1}(N)$ agree if and only if the left columns of $\gamma$ and of $\gamma^{\prime}$ agree in $A_{N}$, and in particular one gets the coset decomposition

$$
\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})=\bigsqcup_{(\bar{a}, \bar{c}) \in A_{N}} \gamma_{(\bar{a}, \bar{c})} \Gamma_{1}(N)
$$

where $\gamma_{(\bar{a}, \bar{c})}$ denotes any matrix $\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right] \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $a \equiv \bar{a}$ and $c \equiv \bar{c} \bmod N$. Similarly, since the stabiliser of $(1: 0) \in \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})$ is $\Gamma_{0}(N)$, the cosets $\gamma \Gamma_{0}(N)$ and $\gamma^{\prime} \Gamma_{0}(N)$ agree if and only if the left columns of $\gamma$ and of $\gamma^{\prime}$ agree in $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})$, and one has the coset decomposition

$$
\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})=\bigsqcup_{(\bar{a}: \bar{c}) \in \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})} \gamma_{(\bar{a}: \bar{c})} \Gamma_{0}(N),
$$

where $\gamma_{(\bar{a}, \bar{c})}$ denotes any matrix $\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right] \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $(a: c)=(\bar{a}: \bar{c})$ in $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})$.

One can also view the elements of $A_{N}$ as row-vectors and let $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ act on $A_{N}$ on the right, which leads to the criteria $\Gamma_{1}(N) \gamma=\Gamma_{1}(N) \gamma^{\prime}$ if and only if the bottom rows of $\gamma$ and of $\gamma^{\prime}$ agree in $A_{N}, \Gamma_{0}(N) \gamma=\Gamma_{0}(N) \gamma^{\prime}$ if and only if the bottom rows of $\gamma$ and of $\gamma^{\prime}$ agree in $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})$, and to the coset decompositions

$$
\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})=\bigsqcup_{(\bar{c}, \bar{d}) \in A_{N}} \Gamma_{1}(N) \gamma_{(\bar{c}, \bar{d})},
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})=\bigsqcup_{(\bar{c}: \bar{d}) \in \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})} \Gamma_{0}(N) \gamma_{(\bar{c}: \bar{d})}
$$

with the obvious notations.
Fix a congruence subgroup $\Gamma$ of level $N$, and let $Y(\Gamma)=\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}$ denote the quotient of $\mathcal{H}$ by $\Gamma$. One can show (cf. [DSO5], section 2.1]) that the action of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathcal{H}$ is properly discontinuous, that is to say every $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ has a neighbourhood $V$ such that

$$
\forall \gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}), \gamma V \cap V \neq \emptyset \Longrightarrow \gamma \tau=\tau
$$

so that the topology $Y(\Gamma)$ inherits from $\mathcal{H}$ is Hausdorff.
Defining a complex atlas on $Y(\Gamma)$ is a little more difficult though. At each $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$, one would like to use the local coordinate given by the action ${ }^{[\square}$ of the matrix $\delta_{\tau}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & -\tau \\ 1 & -\bar{\tau}\end{array}\right]$ which maps $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ to $0 \in \mathbb{C}$, but this map does not descend to $Y(\Gamma)$, even locally, if $\tau$ is one of those points with non-trivial stabiliser.

Definition A.2.1.4. Let $\tau$ be a point in $\mathcal{H}$, with stabiliser $\Gamma_{\tau}$. One says that $\tau$ is an elliptic point for $\Gamma$ if $\mathrm{P} \Gamma_{\tau}$ is non-trivial.

Here and in what follows, I denote by $\mathrm{P} H$ the image of a subgroup $H$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})^{+}$ in $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$. The reason for this is that scalar matrices, and in particular -1 , act trivially on $\mathcal{H}$.

Since the stabilisers of two points in the same orbit are conjugate, it makes sense to say that a point on $Y(\Gamma)$ is elliptic.

Example A.2.1.5. Let $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ be an elliptic point, so that there exists a non-scalar matrix $\gamma=\left[\begin{array}{cc}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right] \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\gamma \tau=\tau$. This is a quadratic equation on $\tau$, whose discriminant is $\Delta=(d-a)^{2}+4 b c=(\operatorname{tr} \gamma)^{2}-4$ since $\operatorname{det} \gamma=1$. Since $\tau \notin \mathbb{R}$, $\Delta$ must be negative, so $\operatorname{tr} \gamma$ can only be $-1,0$ or 1 . In particular, $Y\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)$ has no elliptic point if $N \geqslant 4$.

Example A.2.1.6. By looking at the famous fundamental domain for $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ shown on figure A.2.1.7, one sees that the elliptic points on $Y\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right)$ are the images of $i$ and of $\rho=e^{\pi i / 3}$. Their stabilisers are cyclic, generated respectively by $\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right]$ and by $\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right]$.

From this example, one deduces the following result:

[^6]

Figure A.2.1.7: A fundamental domain for $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$

Proposition A.2.1.8. Let $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ be elliptic for $\Gamma$. Then $\mathrm{P} \Gamma_{\tau}$ is cyclic, of order 2 or 3 .

Accordingly, I define the order of an elliptic point to be 2 or 3 .
Let $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ be an elliptic point of order $h \in\{2,3\}$ for $\Gamma$. Then the elements of its stabiliser $\Gamma_{\tau}$ also stabilise $\bar{\tau}$. Since $\delta_{\tau}$ also maps $\bar{\tau}$ to $\infty$, the elements in $\delta_{\tau} \Gamma_{\tau} \delta_{\tau}^{-1}$ are fractional linear transformations which fix 0 and $\infty$, hence of the form $z \mapsto a z$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. Besides, since $\mathrm{P} \Gamma_{\tau}$ is cyclic of order $h$, necessarily $a \in \mu_{h}$ is a $h^{\text {th }}$ root of 1. Consequently, by composing the action of $\delta_{\tau}$ with $z \mapsto z^{h}$, one gets a well-defined continuous map from a neighbourhood of $\Gamma \tau \in Y(\Gamma)$ to a neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Taking these maps as charts for elliptic points, and simply $\delta_{\tau}$ for the non-elliptic points, one proves (cf. [DS(05, section 2.2]) that one gets a complex atlas on $Y(\Gamma)$, thus making $Y(\Gamma)$ a Riemann surface.

This is still not the end of it yet though, since $Y(\Gamma)$ is not compact. In order to fix this, one extends the upper half plane $\mathcal{H}$ into

$$
\mathcal{H}^{\bullet}=\mathcal{H} \cup \mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{Q}=\mathcal{H} \cup \mathbb{Q} \cup\{\infty\}
$$

The new points $s \in \mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{Q}$ thus added are called cusps. Note that the obvious action of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ on them is transitive.

Topologise $\mathcal{H}^{\bullet}$ by keeping the complex topology on $\mathcal{H}$, by declaring that the $V_{y}=\{\tau \in \mathcal{H} \mid \operatorname{Im} \tau>y\} \cup\{\infty\}$ for $y>0$ form a basis of neighbourhoods of $\infty$, and by letting a cusp $s \in \mathbb{Q}$ have the $\alpha V_{y}, y>0$, as a basis of neighbourhoods, where $\alpha \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is such that $\alpha \infty=s$. Note that this does not depend on the choice of $\alpha$ since the stabiliser of $\infty$ under $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is the group of horizontal translations

$$
\left\{ \pm\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & n \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right], n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

and those leave the $V_{y}$ invariant. As fractional linear transformations transform lines into lines or circles, this means that a basis of neighbourhoods of $s \in \mathbb{Q}$ is formed by the closed disks in $\mathcal{H}^{\bullet}$ which are tangent at $s$ to the real line, as shown on figure


Figure A.2.1.9: Neighbourhoods of some cusps
A.2.1.9. Note that since the $V_{y}$ form a basis of neighbourhoods of the cusp $\infty$, this cusp should actually be thought of as $+i \infty$.

This turns $\mathcal{H}^{\bullet}$ into a Hausdorff space on which $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ acts properly discontinuously, so that the quotient space

$$
X(\Gamma)=\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}^{\bullet}
$$

is Hausdorff. It is also easy to see that it is compact. In the case $\Gamma=\Gamma(N)$ (respectively $\Gamma_{1}(N), \Gamma_{0}(N)$ ), one writes $X(N)$ (respectively $X_{1}(N), X_{0}(N)$ ) for $X(\Gamma)$. In particular, $X(1)=X\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right)$. The images of the cusps in $X(\Gamma)$ are called the cusps of $X(\Gamma)$.

Example A.2.1.10. $X\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right)$ has only one cusp since $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ acts transitively on $\mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{Q}$.

More generally, $X(\Gamma)$ has finitely many cusps since $\Gamma$, being a congruence subgroup, has finite index in $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Example A.2.1.11. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ be prime. By example A.2.1.3, one has

$$
\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})=\bigsqcup_{i=0}^{\ell} \Gamma_{0}(\ell) \gamma_{i}
$$

where $\gamma_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ i & 1\end{array}\right]$ for $0 \leqslant i<\ell$ and $\gamma_{\ell}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right]$. From this, one deduces that

$$
\mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{Q}=\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \infty=\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) 0=\bigcup_{i=0}^{\ell} \Gamma_{0}(\ell) \gamma_{i} 0=\Gamma_{0}(\ell) 0 \cup \Gamma_{0}(\ell) \infty .
$$

Since an element of $\Gamma_{0}(\ell)$ cannot send 0 to $\infty$, this union is disjoint, which means that $X_{0}(\ell)$ has two cusps, namely $\Gamma_{0}(\ell) 0$ and $\Gamma_{0}(\ell) \infty$.

In order to make $X(\Gamma)$ a Riemann surface, it remains to define charts around the cusps. Let $s \in \mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{Q}$ be a cusp. Then $s=\alpha \infty$ for some $\alpha \in \operatorname{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, so that the
stabiliser of $s$ in $\Gamma$ is of the form

$$
\left\{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & h n \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right], n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \quad \text { or } \quad\left\{ \pm\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & h n \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right], n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

for some $h \in \mathbb{N}$ called the width of the cusp $s$. It does not depend on $\alpha$ and is the same on the $\Gamma$-orbit of $s$ since it is actually the index of $\mathrm{P}_{s}$ in $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. I then define a chart about $s$ by composing the action of $\alpha^{-1}$ with $z \mapsto e^{2 \pi i z / h}$. This descends to a neighbourhood of $\Gamma s \in X(\Gamma)$ by construction, and one checks (cf. [DSO5], section 2.4]) that this yields a bona fide complex atlas on $X(\Gamma)$.

Definition A.2.1.12. The compact Riemann surface $X(\Gamma)=\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}^{\bullet}$ is called the modular curve of level $\Gamma$.

Example A.2.1.13. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ be a prime which is at least 5 , and consider the modular curve $X_{1}(\ell)$. Since $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ acts transitively on $\mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{Q}$, every element of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ stabilizing a cusp is conjugate in $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ to $\pm\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & n \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence has trace $\pm 2$, so that for all $s \in \mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{Q}$ one has $\Gamma_{0}(\ell)_{s}= \pm \Gamma_{1}(\ell)_{s}$. In particular, the cusp $\Gamma_{0}(\ell) s \in X_{0}(\ell)$ has the same width as $\Gamma_{1}(\ell) s \in X_{1}(\ell)$, which proves that the projection $X_{1}(\ell) \longrightarrow X_{0}(\ell)$ is unramified at the cusps. In particular, since its degree is $\left[\mathrm{P} \Gamma_{0}(\ell): \mathrm{P} \Gamma_{1}(\ell)\right]=(\ell-1) / 2$, it follows from example A.2.1.1] that the curve $X_{1}(\ell)$ has $\ell-1$ cusps.

For instance, one may compute the following formula:
Theorem A.2.1.14. The genus of $X(\Gamma)$ is

$$
g=1+\frac{\left[\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}): \mathrm{P} \Gamma\right]}{12}-\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{4}-\frac{\varepsilon_{3}}{3}-\frac{\varepsilon_{\infty}}{2},
$$

where $\varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{3}$ and $\varepsilon_{\infty}$ denote respectively the number of elliptic points of order 2, 3, and the number of cusps of $X(\Gamma)$.

Proof. Apply the Riemann-Hurwitz formula A.1.L. 39 to the projection

$$
f: X(\Gamma) \longrightarrow X(1) .
$$

It is of degree $d=\left[\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}): \mathrm{P} \Gamma\right]$, and ramification can only come from elliptic points or cusps, since a chart at a point which is neither, $\delta_{\tau}$ yields a coordinate chart both on $X(\Gamma)$ and $X(1)$, so that $f$ is the identity in local coordinates there.

Let me deal with the elliptic points first. Let $y_{2}=\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) i$ be the elliptic point of order 2 of $X(1)$, and similarly let $y_{3}=\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \rho, \rho=e^{\pi i / 3}$. Take $h \in\{2,3\}$, and consider a point $x \in X(\Gamma)$ such that $f(x)=y_{h}$. The stabiliser $\mathrm{P} \Gamma_{\tau}$ of a $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $x=\Gamma \tau$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})_{\tau}$. Since the latter is cyclic of prime order $h$, the former is either the whole of the latter, or reduced to 1 . In the first case, $x$ is elliptic of order $h$, and hence unramified since $f$ is the identity in local coordinates, whereas in the second case, $x$ is not elliptic, hence is ramified of order $h$ since $f$ reads $z \mapsto z^{h}$ in local coordinates. This yields $d=\sum_{f(x)=y_{h}} e_{x}=\varepsilon_{h}+h\left(\# f^{-1}\left(y_{h}\right)-\varepsilon_{h}\right)$ whence $\# f^{-1}\left(y_{h}\right)=\frac{d-\varepsilon_{h}}{h}+\varepsilon_{h}$, so that the contribution of the ramification of elliptic points of order $h$ in the Riemann-Hurwitz formula is

$$
\sum_{f(x)=y_{h}} e_{x}-1=d-\# f^{-1}\left(y_{h}\right)=\frac{h-1}{h}\left(d-\varepsilon_{h}\right) .
$$

Next, one finds directly that the contribution of the ramification of the cusps is

$$
\sum_{f(x)=\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \infty} e_{x}-1=d-\varepsilon_{\infty}
$$

Since $X(1)$ has genus 0 as can be seen on figure A.2.1.7, the Riemann-Hurwitz formula A.L.1.39 then yields

$$
2 g-2=-2 d+\frac{1}{2}\left(d-\varepsilon_{2}\right)+\frac{2}{3}\left(d-\varepsilon_{3}\right)+d-\varepsilon_{\infty}
$$

hence the result.
Example A.2.1.15. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ be a prime which is at least 5 , and consider the modular curve $X_{1}(\ell)$. It has no elliptic points by example A.2.1.5, and it has $\ell-1$ cusps by example A.2.1.13. Since $\left[\operatorname{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}): P \Gamma_{1}(\ell)\right]=\left(\ell^{2}-1\right) / 2$ by example A.2.1.2, it follows by theorem A.2.1.14 that its genus is

$$
g=1+\frac{\ell^{2}-1}{24}-\frac{\ell-1}{2}=\frac{(\ell-5)(\ell-7)}{24} .
$$

## A.2.1.2 Moduli interpretation and Hecke operators

In order to understand the rich structure of modular curves, it is essential to view them as moduli spaces, that is to say as spaces classifying certain families of objects.

I begin by introducing the Weil pairing on an elliptic curve, since I shall soon need it. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve with origin $O$ (cf. example A.L.L.35) defined over a perfect field $K$, let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be an integer, prime to the characteristic of $K$ if the latter is non-zero, and denote by $E[N]$ the $N$-torsion subgroup of $E(\bar{K})$ and by $\mu_{N}$ the group of $N^{\text {th }}$ roots of 1 in $\bar{K}$. As explained in example A.1.2.16, if $K=\mathbb{C}$ then $E$ is a torus $\mathbb{C} / \Lambda$ for some lattice $\Lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, and so $E[N]$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ as an abstract group, whereas $\mu_{N} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z}$. By [DSO5], theorem 8.1.2], this remains true for every $K$ since I assumed $N$ to be prime to the characteristic of $K$. Recall from example A.1.35 that a divisor $\sum_{P \in E} n_{P} P$ on $E$ is principal if and only if $\sum_{P \in E} n_{P}=0$ in $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\boxplus_{P \in E}\left[n_{P}\right] P=O$ in $E(\bar{K})$, where $[n]$ denotes the "multiplication by $n$ " endomorphism of $E$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let now $P, Q \in E[N]$ be $N$-torsion points. Then the divisor $D_{P, Q}=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z}}((P \boxplus[n] Q)-[n] Q)$ is principal, so defines a function $f_{P, Q} \in \bar{K}(E)$ up to multiplication by a constant. Besides, the divisor of the translated function $f(\cdot \boxplus Q)$ is also $D_{P, Q}$, so the ratio $\frac{f(\cdot \boxplus Q)}{f(\cdot)}$ is a nonzero constant function on $E$ which does not depend on the choice of $f$. This constant is called the Weil pairing of $P$ and $Q$, and is denoted by $\langle P, Q\rangle_{N} \in \bar{K}^{*}$, or even by $\langle P, Q\rangle$ if $N$ is clear from the context. It is not difficult to establish the following properties (cf. [DSO5), section 7.4]:

- the Weil pairing $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: E[N] \times E[N] \longrightarrow \bar{K}^{*}$ is bilinear (hence the term pairing) and alternate $(\langle Q, P\rangle=1 /\langle P, Q\rangle)$; in particular, it takes values in $\mu_{N}$,
- it is a perfect pairing $(\langle P, Q\rangle=1$ for all $Q \in E[N] \Longrightarrow P=O)$,
- it is Galois-equivariant $(\langle\sigma(P), \sigma(Q)\rangle=\sigma(\langle P, Q\rangle)$ for all $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K))$.

In particular, it is necessary that $K$ contain $\mu_{N}$ for $E(K)$ to contain all of $E[N]$.
By A.1.2.16, every elliptic curve over $\mathbb{C}$ is a torus $\mathbb{C} / \Lambda$ for some lattice $\Lambda \in \mathbb{C}$; besides, from the fact that $\mathbb{C}$ is simply connected, one can prove that two elliptic curves $\mathbb{C} / \Lambda$ and $\mathbb{C} / \Lambda^{\prime}$ are isomorphic if and only if there exists an $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ such that $\Lambda^{\prime}=\alpha \Lambda$. In particular, every elliptic curve is isomorphic to the curve $E_{\tau}=\mathbb{C} / \Lambda_{\tau}$, $\Lambda_{\tau}=\mathbb{Z} \tau \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ for some $\tau \in \mathbb{C}-\mathbb{R}$, and, possibly after dividing by $\tau$ so as to replace $\tau$ with $1 / \tau$, one may suppose that $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$. Then, for $\tau, \tau^{\prime} \in \mathcal{H}$, the curves $E_{\tau}$ and $E_{\tau^{\prime}}$ are isomorphic if and only if $\tau$ and $\tau^{\prime}$ lie in the same $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$-orbit, so the curve $Y(1)=\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathcal{H}$ classifies elliptic curves over $\mathbb{C}$ up to isomorphism. Passing to the compact curve $X(1)$ amounts to adding the cusp $\infty$, which may be thought as representing an "elliptic curve with singularities" as a limit of a family of elliptic curves as some parameters tend to $\infty$.

From there, it is easy to see that for every $N \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, the maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{0}(N)=\Gamma_{0}(N) / \mathcal{H} & \longrightarrow\left\{(E, C) \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}
E \text { elliptic curve over } \mathbb{C}, \\
C \subset E \text { cyclic subgroup of order } N
\end{array}\right.\right\} / \sim \\
\Gamma_{0}(N) \tau & \longmapsto\left(E_{\tau},\left\langle 1 / N \bmod \Lambda_{\tau}\right\rangle\right), \\
Y_{1}(N)=\Gamma_{1}(N) / \mathcal{H} & \longrightarrow\left\{(E, P) \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}
E \text { elliptic curve over } \mathbb{C} \\
P \in E[N] \text { of order exactly } N
\end{array}\right.\right\} / \sim \\
\Gamma_{1}(N) \tau & \longmapsto\left(E_{\tau}, 1 / N \bmod \Lambda_{\tau}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y(N)=\Gamma(N) / \mathcal{H} & \longrightarrow\left\{(E, P, Q) \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}
E \text { elliptic curve over } \mathbb{C}, \\
(P, Q) \text { symplectic basis of } E[N]
\end{array}\right.\right\} / \sim \\
\Gamma(N) \tau & \longmapsto\left(E_{\tau}, 1 / N \bmod \Lambda_{\tau}, \tau / N \bmod \Lambda_{\tau}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

are bijections. Here, $E[N]$ denotes the $N$-torsion subgroup of $E$, a basis $(P, Q)$ of $E[N] \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ is said to be symplectic if the Weil pairing $\langle P, Q\rangle$ is $e^{2 \pi i / N} \in \mu_{N}$, and the isomorphism sign $\sim$ means that $(E, T) \sim\left(E^{\prime}, T^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if there exists an isomorphism from $E$ to $E^{\prime}$ mapping the $N$-torsion data $T$ to $T^{\prime}$.

This means that the modular curves $X_{0}(N), X_{1}(N)$ and $X(N)$ classify elliptic curves equipped with $N$-torsion structure (respectively: cyclic subgroup of order $N$, point of order $N$, and symplectic basis of the $N$-torsion) up to isomorphism, the cusps corresponding to "degenerate" curves. For instance, the canonical projections $X(N) \longrightarrow X_{1}(N)$ and $X_{1}(N) \longrightarrow X_{0}(N)$ correspond to the forgetful maps $(E, P, Q) \mapsto(E, P)$ and $(E, P) \mapsto(E,\langle P\rangle)$. The curious reader may find an example of moduli interpretation of a modular curve attached to another congruence subgroup in [KW14]. In what follows, I shall be mainly interested in $X_{1}(N)$ and $X_{0}(N)$.

The subgroup $\Gamma_{1}(N)$ is normal in $\Gamma_{0}(N)$, with quotient isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ by $\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right] \mapsto d \bmod N$. Since $\Gamma_{1}(N)$ acts trivially on $X_{1}(N)$, this yields an action of $(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ on $X_{1}(N)$ inducing the identity on $X_{0}(N)$, which is explicitly

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
\langle d\rangle & : X_{1}(N) & \longrightarrow & X_{1}(N) \\
(E, P) & \longmapsto & (E, d P)
\end{array} \quad\left(d \in(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{*}\right) .
$$

The operators $\langle d\rangle$ are called the Diamond operators of level $N$. Note that $\langle d\rangle=\langle-d\rangle$ since $\left[\begin{array}{cc}-1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right]$ acts trivially on $\mathcal{H}^{\bullet}$; this reflects the fact that $(E, P) \sim(E,-P)$ by the automorphism $[-1]$ of $E$.

Similarly, the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{N}: X_{1}(N) \\
&(E, P) \longmapsto X_{1}(N) \\
&(E /\langle P\rangle, Q \bmod \langle P\rangle),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Q \in E[N]$ is ${ }^{\mathbb{\otimes}}$ such that $\langle P, Q\rangle=e^{2 \pi i / N}$, defines an involution on $X_{1}(N)$, called the Fricke involution.

Finally, recalling that an isogeny is a non-constant morphism $\phi: E \longrightarrow E^{\prime}$ between two elliptic curves which sends the origin $O \in E$ to the origin $O^{\prime} \in E^{\prime}$, making it automatically a group morphism, one defines for each $n \in N$ prime to $N$ a correspondence

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
T_{n}: X_{1}(N) & \longrightarrow & \sum_{\substack{\phi: E \rightarrow E^{\prime} \\
\text { isogeny of degree } n}}^{\operatorname{Div}\left(X_{1}(N)\right)}\left(E^{\prime}, \phi(P)\right) \\
(E, P) & \longmapsto
\end{array}
$$

called the $n^{\text {th }}$ Hecke correspondence, and similarly for $X_{0}(N)$.
If $n$ is not prime to the level $N$, the image by an isogeny of degree $n$ of a point $P \in E[N]$ of order exactly $N$ may be of order strictly less than $N$. For this reason, one defines the Hecke correspondence $T_{n}$ by

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
T_{n}: X_{1}(N) & \longrightarrow & \sum_{\substack{\phi: E \rightarrow E^{\prime} \\
\text { isogeny of degree } n \\
\phi(P) \text { of order } N}}\left(E^{\prime}, \phi(P)\right), \\
(E, P) & \longmapsto & \operatorname{Div}\left(X_{1}(N)\right) \\
\end{array}
$$

the sum being restricted to the isogenies preserving the order of $P$. When $n=p$ is prime dividing $N$, the correspondence $T_{p}$ is often denoted by $U_{p}$ so as to stress this difference.

More explicitly, an isogeny $\phi$ of degree $n$ from $E=E_{\tau}$ to $E^{\prime}$ may be written as $\mathbb{C} / \Lambda_{\tau} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} / \Lambda^{\prime}$, and so corresponds to a lattice $\Lambda^{\prime}$ containing $\Lambda_{\tau}=\langle\tau, 1\rangle$ with index $n$. Then $n \Lambda^{\prime}$ is a sublattice of $\Lambda_{\tau}$ of index $n$, and the theory of Hermite reduction (cf. [Coh93, section 2.4]) shows that it is of the form $\langle a \tau+b, d\rangle$ for some uniquely determined $a, d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a d=n$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $0 \leqslant b<d$. The image of

$$
(E, P)=\left(\mathbb{C} /\langle\tau, 1\rangle, \frac{1}{N}\right)
$$

by $\phi$ is then

$$
\left(\mathbb{C} /\left\langle\frac{a \tau+b}{n}, \frac{d}{n}\right\rangle, \frac{1}{N}\right) \sim\left(\mathbb{C} /\left\langle\frac{a \tau+b}{d}, 1\right\rangle, \frac{a}{N}\right),
$$

[^7]so that
\[
T_{n}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N) \tau\right)=\sum_{\substack{a, d \in \mathbb{N}  \tag{A.2.1.16}<br>
\operatorname{scd}(a, N)=1 <br>
a d=n <br>

0 \leqslant b<d}}\langle a\rangle\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\left[$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
0 & d
\end{array}
$$\right] \cdot \tau\right) .
\]

Examining how a sublattice $\Lambda^{\prime}$ can be inserted with respective indexes $m$ and $n$ between a lattice $\Lambda^{\prime \prime}$ and a sublattice $\Lambda$ of index $m n$, like this:

$$
\Lambda^{\prime \prime} \underset{m n}{\supset} \Lambda \rightsquigarrow \Lambda^{\prime \prime} \supset_{m} \Lambda^{\prime} \supset_{n} \Lambda,
$$

leads to the following formulae (cf. [Ser70, chapitre VII §5 proposition 10]):

## Proposition A.2.1.17.

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{m} T_{n} & =T_{n} T_{m}, \quad T_{n}\langle d\rangle=\langle d\rangle T_{n}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}, d \in(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{*} \\
T_{m n} & =T_{m} T_{n}, \quad \operatorname{gcd}(m, n)=1, \\
T_{p^{r}} & =T_{p} T_{p^{r-1}}-p\langle p\rangle T_{p^{r-2}}, \quad p \nmid N \text { prime }, r \geqslant 2, \\
T_{p^{r}} & =U_{p}^{r}, \quad p \mid N \text { prime },
\end{aligned}
$$

which can be summarised by writing the formal identity

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} T_{n} n^{-s}=\prod_{p \mid N} \frac{1}{1-U_{p} p^{-s}} \prod_{p \nmid N} \frac{1}{1-T_{p} p^{-s}+p^{1-2 s}\langle p\rangle}
$$

and by saying that the Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{Z}\left[T_{n},\langle d\rangle\right] \subset \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\operatorname{Div}\left(X_{1}(N)\right)\right)$ is commutative and is generated by the $T_{p}, p$ prime. For this reason, one often only deals with the Hecke correspondences $T_{p}$ and $U_{p}$ for $n=p$ prime only.

Finally, one can show (cf. [DSOD.5, section 6.3]) that the Hecke correspondences $T_{n}$ and the diamond operators $\langle d\rangle$, seen as endomorphisms of the groups $\operatorname{Div}^{0}\left(X_{0}(N)\right)$ and $\operatorname{Div}^{0}\left(X_{1}(N)\right)$, preserve the subgroup of principal divisors, and so factor into endomorphisms of $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(X_{0}(N)\right) \simeq J_{0}(N)$ and of $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(X_{1}(N)\right) \simeq J_{1}(N)$.

## A.2.1.3 Modular curves as algebraic curves

Although I have defined the modular curves $X_{0}(N), X_{1}(N)$ and $X(N)$ as Riemann surfaces, one can prove (cf. [DSO5, sections 7.5 to 7.7$]$ ) that the curves $X_{0}(N)$ and $X_{1}(N)$ can be defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. On the other hand, the curve $X(N)$ can be defined over the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{N}\right)$ but not over $\mathbb{Q}$, as its moduli space description

$$
X(N)=\{(E, P, Q) \mid(P, Q) \text { basis of } E[N],\langle P, Q\rangle=\zeta\}
$$

uses a fixed primitive $N^{\text {th }}$ root $\zeta$ of 1 . For any extension $K$ of $\mathbb{Q}$, the $K$-points of $X_{0}(N)$ correspond to elliptic curves $E$ defined over $K$ and which have a cyclic subgroup $C \subset E[N]$ of order $N$ which is defined over $K$ (that is to say, which is globally invariant under $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K)$ ), in other words, an elliptic curve $E$ admitting an degree $N$ isogeny $\phi: E \longrightarrow E^{\prime}$ which is defined over $K$, whereas the $K$-points of $X_{1}(N)$ correspond to elliptic curves $E$ defined over $K$ and which have a $K$-rational
point $P \subset E[N](K)$ of order exactly $N$, and, if $K$ is an extension of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{N}\right)$, the $K$ points of $X(N)$ correspond to elliptic curves $E$ defined over $K$ and such that there exist two $K$-rational points $P, Q \in E[N]$ forming a symplectic basis of $E[N]$.

Example A.2.1.18. For instance, if one can prove that the only $\mathbb{Q}$-rational points on $X_{1}(11)$ are cusps, then one can conclude that there exists no (non-degenerate) elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ which hasS a rational 11-torsion point.

In [Maz78], B. Mazur was able to determine the finitely many values of $N$ for which $X_{0}(N)(\mathbb{Q})$ does not only consist of cusps, from which it follows that for every elliptic curve $E$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, the torsion subgroup $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tors }}$ of $E$ is isomorphic either to $\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \leqslant 10$ or $n=12$ or to $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \leqslant 4$ (note that all these cases occur).

In [Mer96], L. Merel improved Mazur's bounds by showing that for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$, the exists a constant $B(d) \in \mathbb{N}$ depending only on $d$ such that for every number field $K$ of degree $d$ and for every elliptic curve $E$ defined over $K$, the order of a torsion point $P \in E(K)_{\text {tors }}$ is at most $B(d)$.

By section A.L.2.3, the jacobians $J_{0}(N)$ and $J_{1}(N)$ of $X_{0}(N)$ and $X_{1}(N)$ can thus be viewed as abelian varieties over $\mathbb{Q}$. Since the multiplication-by- $d$ map $[d]$ on an elliptic curve $E$ defined over a field $K$ is itself defined over $K$, the diamond operators $\langle d\rangle$ seen as endomorphisms of $J_{1}(N)$ are defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, and since the Galois conjugate of an elliptic curve isogeny $\phi: E \longrightarrow E^{\prime}$ of degree $n$ is also an isogeny of degree $n$, the Hecke operators $T_{n}$ seen as endomorphisms of $J_{0}(N)$ or $J_{1}(N)$ are also defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. However, the Fricke involution $W_{N}$ is only defined on the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{N}\right)$, since it depends on the choice of a primitive $N^{\text {th }}$ root of 1.

The interpretation of modular curves as moduli spaces implies that these curves can be seen as representing functors which, to an extension $K$ of $\mathbb{Q}$, associate the set of elliptic curves with $N$-torsion data defined over $K$, up to isomorphism. J. Igusa studied the problem of the representability of these functors extended to general schemes $S$ instead of just fields $K$. In particular, he proved the following in [Igu59]:

Theorem A.2.1.19 (Igusa). If $N \geqslant 4$, then the curve $X_{1}(N)$ admits a canonical model over $\mathbb{Z}[1 / N]$ which is smooth over $\mathbb{Z}[1 / N]$ and whose geometric fibres are irreducible.

This means that it makes sense to talk about the reduction modulo a prime $p \nmid N$ of the modular curve $X_{1}(N)$, and that this reduction $\overline{X_{1}(N)}=X_{1}(N)_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}$ is a non-singular and irreducible curve defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ which, as expected, classifies the elliptic curves over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ having a rational point of order exactly $N$. Besides, the operators $\langle d\rangle$ and $T_{n}$ descend to endomorphisms $\overline{T_{n}}$ and $\overline{\langle d\rangle}$ of the jacobian $\overline{J_{1}(N)}=\operatorname{Jac}\left(\overline{X_{1}(N)}\right)=J_{1}(N)_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}$. The Eichler-Shimura relation, which I am about to introduce, describes the action of the Hecke operator $\overline{T_{p}}$ on the reduction $\overline{J_{1}(N)}$ of $J_{1}(N)$ modulo $p$. In what follows, I fix a prime $p \nmid N$, and I shall denote reduction modulo $p$ by a bar.

Recall that for every isogeny $\phi: E \longrightarrow E^{\prime}$ between elliptic curves, there exists a dual isogeny $\widehat{\phi}: E^{\prime} \longrightarrow E$ of the same degree as $\phi$ such that $\widehat{\phi} \circ \phi=[\operatorname{deg} \phi]_{E}$ and $\phi \circ \widehat{\phi}=[\operatorname{deg} \phi]_{E^{\prime}}$, where I denote by $[n]_{E}$ the multiplication-by- $n$ endomorphism of an
elliptic curve $E$; upon identification of an elliptic curve with its $\mathrm{Pic}^{0}$ as in example A. .1.3.3, $\widehat{\phi}$ may be constructed as $\phi^{*}: \operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(E^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(E)$. Let $\bar{E}$ be an elliptic curve defined over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_{p}}$, and let $\sigma_{p}: x \mapsto x^{p}$ denote the Frobenius automorphism in $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}_{p}} / \mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$. It induces a morphism $\sigma_{p}: \bar{E} \longrightarrow \bar{E}^{\sigma_{p}}$ which is a purely inseparable isogeny of degree $p$, so the dual isogeny $\widehat{\sigma_{p}}$ is also of degree $p$. As $p$ is prime, it is therefore either separable, in which case $[p]_{\bar{E}}$ has separable degree $p$ and inseparable degree $p$, or purely inseparable, in which case $[p]_{\bar{E}}$ is purely inseparable of degree $p^{2}$. In the first case, one says that $\bar{E}$ is ordinary, and in the second case, one says that $\bar{E}$ is supersingular. This distinction is visible on the $p$-torsion of $\bar{E}$ : the cardinal of almost all the fibres of a morphism $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ is $\operatorname{deg}_{\text {sep }} f$ as noted in the end of section A.1.1.], and for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$, the cardinal of the fibres of $\left[p^{r}\right]_{\bar{E}}$ is $\# \operatorname{Ker}\left[p^{r}\right]_{\bar{E}}=\# \bar{E}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}_{p}}\right)\left[p^{r}\right]$, whence

$$
\# \bar{E}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}_{p}}\right)\left[p^{r}\right]=\operatorname{deg}_{\text {sep }}\left[p^{r}\right]_{\bar{E}}=\left(\operatorname{deg}_{\text {sep }}[p]_{\bar{E}}\right)^{r}=\left(\operatorname{deg}_{\text {sep }} \widehat{\sigma_{p}}\right)^{r}
$$

as $[p]_{\bar{E}}=\widehat{\sigma_{p}} \sigma_{p}$ and $\sigma_{p}$ is purely inseparable, and so for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\bar{E}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}_{p}}\right)\left[p^{r}\right] \simeq \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z} / p^{r} \mathbb{Z}, & \bar{E} \text { ordinary } \\ \{0\}, & \bar{E} \text { supersingular. }\end{cases}
$$

This distinction leads to the following crucial property:
Theorem A.2.1.20 (Eichler-Shimura relation). Let $N \in N$, and let $p \nmid N$ be a prime. Then the relation

$$
\overline{T_{p}}=\sigma_{p}+p \overline{\langle p\rangle} \sigma_{p}^{-1}
$$

holds in End $\left(J_{1}(N)_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}\right)$.
Remark A.2.1.21. Since $\sigma_{p}$ is ramified of degree $p$ everywhere, $p \sigma_{p}^{-1}$ agrees with the pullback $\sigma_{p}^{*}$, and so $p \overline{\langle p\rangle} \sigma_{p}^{-1}$ is indeed an endomorphism of $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(\overline{X_{1}(N)}\right) \simeq J_{1}(N)_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}$.

Proof. If $N<4$, then $X_{1}(N)$ has genus 0 , so one may define $J_{1}(N)_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}=\{0\}$ in this case even though Igusa's theorem A.2.1.19 does not apply, and the Eichler-Shimura relation trivially holds. Assume henceforth that $N \geqslant 4$. Let $(E, P) \in X_{1}(N)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ be a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-point of $X_{1}(N)$ seen as a moduli space, so that $E$ is an elliptic curve over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $P$ is a point of $E$ of order exactly $N$, and fix a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ lying above $p$. Then, by definition,

$$
T_{p}(E, P)=\sum_{\substack{\phi: \in \underset{\begin{subarray}{c}{t \\
\text { isogeny of degree } p} }}{ }}\end{subarray}}\left(E^{\prime}, \phi(P)\right)=\sum_{\substack{C \subset E[p] \\
\text { subgroup of order } p}}(E / C, P+C)
$$

by identifying an isogeny $\phi$ with its kernel $C$, and so

$$
\overline{T_{p}}(\bar{E}, \bar{P})=\sum_{\substack{C \subset E[p] \\ \text { subgroup of order } p}}(\overline{E / C}, \overline{P+C})
$$

provided that $E$ has good reduction at $\mathfrak{p}$.
Let $\phi: E \longrightarrow E^{\prime}$ be an isogeny of degree $p$, let $P^{\prime}=\phi(P)$, let $\psi=\widehat{\phi}: E^{\prime} \longrightarrow E$ be the dual isogeny, and let $\bar{\phi}: \bar{E} \longrightarrow \overline{E^{\prime}}$ and $\bar{\psi}: \overline{E^{\prime}} \longrightarrow \bar{E}$ denote their reductions modulo $\mathfrak{p}$. Observe that
(i) if $\bar{\phi}=\iota \circ \sigma_{p}$ is purely inseparable, hence is the Frobenius $\sigma_{p}: \bar{E} \longrightarrow \bar{E}^{\sigma_{p}}$ followed by an isomorphism $\iota: \bar{E}^{\sigma_{p}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{E^{\prime}}$, then $\bar{\phi}(\bar{E}, \bar{P}) \sim \sigma_{p}(\bar{E}, \bar{P})$ are isomorphic by $\iota^{-1}$, and
(ii) if $\bar{\psi}=\iota \circ \sigma_{p}$ is purely inseparable, hence is the Frobenius $\sigma_{p}: \overline{E^{\prime}} \longrightarrow{\overline{E^{\prime}}}^{\sigma_{p}}$ followed by an isomorphism $\iota:{\overline{E^{\prime}}}^{\sigma_{p}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{E}$, then since $\psi$ maps $P^{\prime}=\phi(P)$ to $p P$, the isomorphism $\iota$ maps ${\overline{P^{\prime}}}^{\sigma_{p}}$ to $p \bar{P}$, and applying $\sigma_{p}^{-1}$ to the coefficients of $\iota$ yields an isomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iota_{p_{p}^{-1}}^{\sigma^{\prime}}: \overline{E^{\prime}} & \longrightarrow \bar{E}^{\sigma_{p}^{-1}} \\
\overline{P^{\prime}} & \longmapsto p \bar{P}^{\sigma_{p}^{-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\left(\overline{\bar{E}^{\prime}}, \overline{P^{\prime}}\right) \sim\left(\bar{E}^{\sigma_{p}^{-1}}, p \bar{P}^{\sigma_{p}^{-1}}\right)=\langle p\rangle \sigma_{p}^{-1}(\bar{E}, \bar{P})$.
Assume first that the reduction $\bar{E}$ of $E$ modulo $\mathfrak{p}$ is ordinary. Then $\bar{E}[p] \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$, so the kernel $C_{0}$ of the reduction modulo $\mathfrak{p}$ map $E[p] \longrightarrow \bar{E}[p]$ is one of the $p+1$ subgroups $C$ of order $p$ of $E[p]$. The isomorphism class of the pair $(\overline{E / C}, \overline{P+C})$ then only depends on whether $C=C_{0}$ or not. To see this, take a subgroup $C$ or order $p$ of $E[p]$, let $E^{\prime}=E / C, P^{\prime}=P+C \in E^{\prime}$, and let $C_{0}^{\prime} \subset E^{\prime}[p]$ denote the kernel of the reduction modulo $\mathfrak{p}$ map $E^{\prime}[p] \longrightarrow \overline{E^{\prime}}[p]$, which is a subgroup of order $p$ of $E^{\prime}[p]$ as $E^{\prime}$, being isogenous to $E$, is also ordinary at $\mathfrak{p}$. One has the following commutative diagram with exact columns:


Besides, both $\phi$ and $\psi$ are of degree $p$. Note that $\psi\left(E^{\prime}[p]\right)$ is of order $p$ since the fibres of $\psi$ are of order $\operatorname{deg} \psi=p$, and $\psi\left(E^{\prime}[p]\right) \subset \operatorname{Ker} \phi$ since $\phi \psi\left(E^{\prime}[p]\right)=p E^{\prime}[p]=0$. Since $\operatorname{Ker} \phi$ is also of order $p$, it follows that $\psi\left(E^{\prime}[p]\right)=\operatorname{Ker} \phi=C$, and similarly $\phi(E[p])=\operatorname{Ker} \psi=C^{\prime}$.

Assume that $C=C_{0}$. Then $\psi\left(E^{\prime}[p]\right)=\operatorname{Ker} \phi=C=C_{0}$. By looking at the right part of the diagram above, one sees that $\bar{\psi}_{\mid \bar{E}^{\prime}[p]}=0$, so that $\overline{E^{\prime}}[p] \subset \operatorname{Ker} \bar{\psi}$. But on the other hand, $\operatorname{Ker} \bar{\psi} \subset \operatorname{Ker} \overline{\phi \psi}=\overline{E^{\prime}}[p]$, so that $\operatorname{Ker} \bar{\psi}=\overline{E^{\prime}}[p]$ is of order $p$ as $\overline{E^{\prime}}$ is ordinary. It follows that $\operatorname{deg}_{\text {sep }} \bar{\psi}=p$, so that $\operatorname{deg}_{\text {ins }} \bar{\psi}=1$, and $\operatorname{deg}_{\text {sep }} \bar{\phi}=1$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{\text {ins }} \bar{\phi}=p$ by multiplicativity of the degrees. Therefore, $\bar{\phi}$ is purely inseparable, hence (i) applies and so $(\overline{E / C}, \overline{P+C}) \sim \sigma_{p}(\bar{E}, \bar{P})$ for $C=C_{0}$.

Assume now that that $C \neq C_{0}$. In this case, $\phi\left(C_{0}\right)=\phi(E[p])=\operatorname{Ker} \psi=C^{\prime}$ is of order $p$. Also $\phi\left(C_{0}\right) \subset C_{0}^{\prime}$ by commutativity of the diagram, so that $C^{\prime}=C_{0}^{\prime}$.

Then, just as in the previous case, one deduces that $\bar{\phi}_{[\bar{E}[p]}=0$ and hence that $\operatorname{Ker} \bar{\phi}=\bar{E}[p]$. It follows that $\operatorname{deg}_{\text {sep }} \bar{\phi}=p$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{\text {ins }} \bar{\phi}=1$, so that $\operatorname{deg}_{\text {sep }} \bar{\psi}=1$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{\text {ins }} \bar{\psi}=p$, so this time $\bar{\psi}$ is purely inseparable, hence (ii) applies and so $(\overline{E / C}, \overline{P+C}) \sim\left(\bar{E}^{\sigma_{p}^{-1}}, p \bar{P}^{\sigma_{p}^{-1}}\right) \sim\langle p\rangle \sigma_{p}^{-1}(\bar{E}, \bar{P})$ for $C \neq C_{0}$.

Summing up, one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{T_{p}}(\bar{E}, \bar{P}) & =\sum_{\substack{C \subset E[p]}}(\overline{E / C}, \overline{P+C}) \\
& =\sum_{C=C_{0}}^{\text {subgroup of order } p}(\overline{E / C}, \overline{P+C})+\sum_{C \neq C_{0}}(\overline{E / C}, \overline{P+C}) \\
& =\sigma_{p}(\bar{E}, \bar{P})+p\langle p\rangle \sigma_{p}^{-1}(\bar{E}, \bar{P}) \\
& =\left(\sigma_{p}+p\langle p\rangle \sigma_{p}^{-1}\right)(\bar{E}, \bar{P})
\end{aligned}
$$

provided that $\bar{E}$ is ordinary.
This still holds if $\bar{E}$ is supersingular, since in this case one has

$$
(\overline{E / C}, \overline{P+C})=\sigma_{p}(\bar{E}, \bar{P})=\langle p\rangle \sigma_{p}^{-1}(\bar{E}, \bar{P})
$$

Indeed, one then has $\operatorname{Ker} \bar{\phi} \subset \bar{E}[p]=0$, and also $\operatorname{Ker} \bar{\psi} \subset \overline{E^{\prime}}[p]=0$ since $E^{\prime}$ is also supersingular, so that $\bar{\phi}$ and $\bar{\psi}$ are both purely inseparable and so both (i) and (ii) apply.

The relation

$$
\overline{T_{p}}(\bar{E}, \bar{P})=\left(\sigma_{p}+p\langle p\rangle \sigma_{p}^{-1}\right)(\bar{E}, \bar{P})
$$

is thus valid in all cases, and the proof is complete.

## A.2.2 Modular forms

I now proceed to a brief study of modular forms, which are the natural inhabitants of modular curves.

## A.2.2.1 Definitions and examples

Fix an integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$, and let $\Gamma$ be a congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$.
Definition A.2.2.1. The weight- $k$ (right) action of the group $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})^{+}$of elements of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ with positive determinant on the space of functions $f: \mathcal{H}^{\bullet} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$
\left(\left.f\right|_{k} \gamma\right)(\tau)=(\operatorname{det} \gamma)^{k / 2}(c \tau+d)^{-k} f(\gamma \tau), \quad \gamma=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right] \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})^{+}
$$

A modular form of weight $k$ and level $\Gamma$ is a holomorphic function

$$
f: \mathcal{H}^{\bullet} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}
$$

satisfying the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.f\right|_{k} \gamma=f \tag{A.2.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Since $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ acts transitively on the cusps, one can rephrase the holomorphy condition on $f$ by demanding that $f$ be holomorphic on $\mathcal{H}$ and that $\left.f\right|_{k} \gamma$ be bounded at $\infty$ for $\gamma$ ranging over a system of coset representatives of $\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$.

It is clear that modular forms of weight $k$ and level $\Gamma$ form a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space, which I shall denote by $M_{k}(\Gamma)$.

Example A.2.2.3. For even $k \geqslant 4$, the form

$$
G_{k}(\tau)=\sum_{\substack{(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\(m, n) \neq(0,0)}} \frac{1}{(m \tau+n)^{k}}
$$

is a non-trivial form in $M_{k}\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right)$.
Remark A.2.2.4. Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{Q})^{+}$. It is clear that if $f \in M_{k}(\Gamma)$ is a modular form of weight $k$ and level $\Gamma$, then $\left.f\right|_{k} \alpha$ is a modular form of weight $k$ and level $\alpha^{-1} \Gamma \alpha \cap \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ (which is also a congruence subgroup by [DS0.5, lemma 5.1.1]).

In particular, take $\alpha=\left[\begin{array}{cc}t & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$ for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\left(\left.f\right|_{k} \alpha\right)(\tau)$ is $f(t \tau)$ up to a multiplicative constant, and since

$$
\alpha^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right] \alpha=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & b / t \\
t c & d
\end{array}\right]
$$

$f(t \tau) \in M_{k}\left(\Gamma_{0}(t N)\right)$ if $f \in M_{k}\left(\Gamma_{0}(N)\right)$, and $f(t \tau) \in M_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(t N)\right)$ if $f \in M_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)$.
Let $N$ be the level of $\Gamma$. Then $\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & N \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right] \in \Gamma$, which means that a modular form for $\Gamma$ must be $N$-periodic, hence have a Fourier expansion

$$
f=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}(f) q_{N}^{n}
$$

called the $q_{N^{-}}$expansion of $f$, where I let $q_{N}=e^{2 \pi i \tau / N}$. Indeed, the fact that $f$ is bounded at $\infty$ forces the coefficients $a_{n}(f)$ to vanish for $n<0$, since $q_{N} \rightarrow 0$ when $\tau \rightarrow i \infty$.

In practice, I shall only consider $\Gamma=\Gamma_{0}(N)$ or $\Gamma_{1}(N)$, so that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \in \Gamma,
$$

and the $q_{N}$-expansion of modular forms will be understood to be in terms of

$$
q=q_{1}=e^{2 \pi i \tau}
$$

and will be referred to as the $q$-expansion of the form. When it is understood that $\Gamma=\Gamma_{0}(N)$ (respectively $\Gamma_{1}(N)$ ), I shall say that a form has level $N$ to mean that it has level $\Gamma_{0}(N)$ (respectively $\Gamma_{1}(N)$ ).

Example A.2.2.5. For the forms $G_{k}$ from example A.2.2.3, one computes (cf. [Ser70, corollaire p.151]) that $G_{k}=2 \zeta(k) E_{k}$,

$$
E_{k}=1-\frac{2 k}{b_{k}} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^{n}
$$

where $\sigma_{h}(n)=\sum_{0<d \mid n} d^{h}$ and the $b_{k}$ are the Bernoulli numbers, defined by

$$
\frac{T}{\exp (T)-1}=\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} b_{k} \frac{T^{k}}{k!}
$$

For instance,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{4}=1+240 \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sigma_{3}(n) q^{n} \\
& E_{6}=1-504 \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sigma_{5}(n) q^{n} \\
& E_{8}=1+480 \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sigma_{7}(n) q^{n} \\
& E_{10}=1-264 \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sigma_{9}(n) q^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so on.
In particular, one computes that

$$
j=\frac{1728 E_{4}^{3}}{E_{4}^{3}-E_{6}^{2}}=\frac{1}{q}+744+196884 q^{2}+O\left(q^{3}\right)
$$

This $j$ is not holomorphic, but it is of weight 0 , so it descends to a meromorphic function of $X(1)$. One can show that it has degree 1 , so that $\mathbb{C}(X(1))=\mathbb{C}(j)$. In particular, it is injective on $X(1)$, so that by the moduli interpretation of $X(1)$, two elliptic curves defined over $\mathbb{C}$ are isomorphic if and only if they give rise to the same value of $j$. For this reason, $j$ is called the modular invariant.

As the above example shows, the $q$-expansion coefficients of modular forms often carry interesting arithmetic information. Here are two other examples (more can be found in [Ste077, section 1.5]):

Example A.2.2.6. Let $\Theta=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^{2}}$. One can prove (cf. [DS0.5, section 1.2]) that for all ${ }^{\boxed{\Phi}} k \in \mathbb{N}, \Theta^{2 k} \in M_{k}\left(\Gamma_{0}(4)\right)$ is modular of weight $k$ and level $\Gamma_{0}(4)$. Its $q$-expansion coefficients are $a_{n}=r(n, 2 k)$, the number of ways to write $n$ as the sum of $2 k$ squares in $\mathbb{Z}$.

Example A.2.2.7. Consider

$$
\Delta=q \prod_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left(1-q^{n}\right)^{24}=q+\sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} \tau(n) q^{n}
$$

One can show that $\Delta$ is a modular form of weight 12 and level 1 . This identity defines Ramanujan's tau function $\tau(n)$, which is the related to the construction of expander graphs, which play a role in communication network theory.

[^8]Assume from now on that $k$ is even. One computes that

$$
\mathrm{d}(\gamma \tau)=\frac{\operatorname{det} \gamma}{(c \tau+d)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \tau \text { for } \gamma=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right] \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})^{+},
$$

so that the functional equation ( $\widehat{A .2 .2 .2}$ ) defining modularity can be rephrased by saying that the form

$$
\omega_{f}=f(\tau)(\mathrm{d} \tau)^{k / 2}
$$

is invariant under the action of $\Gamma$, that is to say $\gamma^{*} \omega_{f}=\omega_{f}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Thus for instance modular forms of weight zero correspond to functions on $X(\Gamma)$, whereas modular forms of weight 2 correspond to differential 1-forms on $X(\Gamma)$. However, in order for these differential forms to be holomorphic on $X(\Gamma)$, the modular form $f$ must vanish at the cusps, since, at the cusp $\Gamma \infty$ for instance, the local parameter for $X(\Gamma)$ is $q$, and $\mathrm{d} \tau=\frac{\mathrm{d} q}{q}$. This leads to the notion of cusp forms.
Definition A.2.2.8. A cusp form is a modular form which vanishes at the cusps.
In particular, $a_{0}(f)=0$ if $f$ is a cusp form. I shall denote ${ }^{010}$ the subspace of cusp forms of weight $k$ for $\Gamma$ by $S_{k}(\Gamma)$.

Example A.2.2.9. $\Delta \in S_{12}(1)$ is a cuspform, since it vanishes at $\infty$, which is the only cusp of $X(1)$.

The Riemann-Roch theorem A.1.1.33 shows that $M_{k}(\Gamma)$ and $S_{k}(\Gamma)$ are finitedimensional vector spaces over $\mathbb{C}$, and leads to formulae for their dimensions:

Theorem A.2.2.10. Let $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ be a congruence subgroup, and let $X(\Gamma)$ be the associated modular curve. Denote its genus, the number of its elliptic points of order 2, 3, and the number of its cusps respectively by $g, \varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{3}$ and $\varepsilon_{\infty}$. Then, for every even integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M_{k}(\Gamma)= \begin{cases}(g-1)(k-1)+\left\lfloor\frac{k}{4}\right\rfloor \varepsilon_{2}+\left\lfloor\frac{k}{3}\right\rfloor \varepsilon_{3}+\frac{k}{2} \varepsilon_{\infty} & \text { if } k \geqslant 2 \\ 1 & \text { if } k=0 \\ 0 & \text { if } k<0\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} S_{k}(\Gamma)= \begin{cases}(g-1)(k-1)+\left\lfloor\frac{k}{4}\right\rfloor \varepsilon_{2}+\left\lfloor\frac{k}{3}\right\rfloor \varepsilon_{3}+\left(\frac{k}{2}-1\right) \varepsilon_{\infty} & \text { if } k \geqslant 4 \\ g & \text { if } k=2 \\ 0 & \text { if } k \leqslant 0\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let $\pi$ denote the projection from $\mathcal{H}^{\bullet}$ to $X(\Gamma)$. For each even $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the pullback by $\pi$ defines a $\mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphism

$$
\pi^{*}: \Omega_{\mathrm{mer}}^{\otimes k / 2}(X(\Gamma)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{\mathrm{mer}}^{\otimes k / 2}(\mathcal{H})^{\Gamma}
$$

from the space $\Omega_{\text {mer }}^{\otimes k / 2}(X(\Gamma))$ of meromorphic $k / 2$-fold multi-differential forms on $X(\Gamma)$ to the space $\Omega_{\text {mer }}^{\otimes k / 2}(\mathcal{H})^{\Gamma}$ of meromorphic $k / 2$-fold multi-differential forms $\xi$ on

[^9]$\mathcal{H}$ which are $\Gamma$-invariant, that is to say such that $\gamma^{*} \xi=\xi$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. The idea is to describe a criterion on a non-zero $\omega \in \Omega_{\operatorname{mer}}^{\otimes k / 2}(X(\Gamma))$ for $f=\pi^{*} \omega /(\mathrm{d} \tau)^{k / 2}$ to lie in $M_{k}(\Gamma)$ or $S_{k}(\Gamma)$.

Pick a point $\tau_{0} \in \mathcal{H}$, and let $h \in \mathbb{N}$ be 1 if $\tau_{0}$ is not elliptic for $\Gamma, 2$ if $\tau_{0}$ is elliptic or order 2, and 3 if $\tau_{0}$ is elliptic of order 3. Then $z=\left(\tau-\tau_{0}\right)^{h}$ is a local coordinate about $\pi\left(\tau_{0}\right)$, and in a neighbourhood of $\pi\left(\tau_{0}\right), \omega$ reads

$$
\omega=a\left(z^{n}+\cdots\right)(\mathrm{d} z)^{k / 2}
$$

where $n=\operatorname{ord}_{\pi\left(\tau_{0}\right)} \omega \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. One computes that

$$
\mathrm{d} z=h\left(\tau-\tau_{0}\right)^{h-1} \mathrm{~d} \tau
$$

so that

$$
\pi^{*} \omega=a\left(\left(\tau-\tau_{0}\right)^{n h}+\cdots\right)\left(h\left(\tau-\tau_{0}\right)^{h-1} \mathrm{~d} \tau\right)^{k / 2}=b\left(\left(\tau-\tau_{0}\right)^{n h+(h-1) k / 2}+\cdots\right)(\mathrm{d} \tau)^{k / 2}
$$

for some $b \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$, hence

$$
f \text { is holomorphic at } \tau_{0} \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{ord}_{\pi\left(\tau_{0}\right)} \omega+\left(1-\frac{1}{h}\right) k / 2 \geqslant 0
$$

Let now $s \in \mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{Q}$ be a cusp of width $h \in \mathbb{N}$. A local coordinate at $\pi(s)$ is $q=e^{2 \pi i \tau / h}$ preceded by an fractional linear transformation from $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, which is biholomorphic, hence does not ramify and can be neglected. Writing again

$$
\omega=a\left(q^{n}+\cdots\right)(\mathrm{d} q)^{k / 2}
$$

about $\pi(s)$, where $n=\operatorname{ord}_{\pi(s)} \omega \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$, one computes that

$$
\mathrm{d} q=\frac{2 \pi i}{h} e^{2 \pi i \tau / h} \mathrm{~d} \tau
$$

so that

$$
\pi^{*} \omega=a\left(e^{2 n \pi i \tau / h}+\cdots\right)\left(\frac{2 \pi i}{h} e^{2 \pi i \tau / h} \mathrm{~d} \tau\right)^{k / 2}=b\left(e^{2(n+k / 2) \pi i \tau / h}+\cdots\right)(\mathrm{d} \tau)^{k / 2}
$$

for some $b \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$, hence
$f$ is holomorphic at $s \Longleftrightarrow f$ is bounded at $s \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{ord}_{\pi(s)} \omega+k / 2 \geqslant 0$,
and

$$
f \text { vanishes at } s \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{ord}_{\pi(s)} \omega+k / 2-1 \geqslant 0
$$

Besides, since $(\mathrm{d} j)^{k / 2} \in \Omega_{\mathrm{mer}}^{\otimes k / 2}(X(\Gamma))$, on has $\omega=\phi \cdot(\mathrm{d} j)^{k / 2}$ for some non-zero rational map $\phi \in \mathbb{C}(X(\Gamma))^{*}$. The above then shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi^{*} \omega /(\mathrm{d} \tau)^{k / 2} \in M_{k}(\Gamma) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{div}(\omega)+\sum_{\substack{P \in X(\Gamma) \\
\text { elliptic } \\
\text { of order } 2}} \frac{k}{4} P+\sum_{\begin{array}{c}
P \in X(\Gamma) \\
\text { elliptic } \\
\text { of order } 3
\end{array}} \frac{k}{3} P+\sum_{\substack{P \in X(\Gamma) \\
\text { cusp }}} \frac{k}{2} P \geqslant 0 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{div}(\omega)+\left\lfloor\frac{k}{4}\right\rfloor \sum_{\substack{P \in X(\Gamma) \\
\text { elliptic } \\
\text { of order } 2}} P+\left\lfloor\frac{k}{3}\right\rfloor \sum_{\begin{array}{c}
P \in X(\Gamma) \\
\text { elliptic } \\
\text { of order 3 }
\end{array}} P+\frac{k}{2} \sum_{\substack{P \in X(\Gamma) \\
\text { cusp }}} P \geqslant 0 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{div}(\phi)+D \geqslant 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { where } D=\left\lfloor\frac{k}{4}\right\rfloor \sum_{\substack{P \in X(\Gamma) \\ \text { elliptic } \\ \text { of order 2 }}} P+\left\lfloor\frac{k}{3}\right\rfloor \sum_{\substack{P \in X(\Gamma) \\ \text { elliptic } \\ \text { of order } 3}} P+\frac{k}{2} \sum_{\substack{P \in X(\Gamma) \\ \text { cusp }}} P+\frac{k}{2} C
$$

and $C=\operatorname{div}(\mathrm{d} j)$ is a canonical divisor by definition A.1.1.23. Similarly,

$$
\pi^{*} \omega /(\mathrm{d} \tau)^{k / 2} \in S_{k}(\Gamma) \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{div}(\phi)+D-\sum_{\substack{P \in X(\Gamma) \\ \text { cusp }}} P \geqslant 0
$$

It follows that $\operatorname{dim} M_{k}(\Gamma)=h^{0}(D)$ and that $\operatorname{dim} S_{k}(\Gamma)=h^{0}\left(D-\sum_{P \text { cusp }} P\right)$.
By the Riemann-Roch theorem A.1.1.33(ii), the degree of $C$ is $2 g-2$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg} D & =\left\lfloor\frac{k}{4}\right\rfloor \varepsilon_{2}+\left\lfloor\frac{k}{3}\right\rfloor \varepsilon_{3}+\frac{k}{2} \varepsilon_{\infty}+\frac{k}{2}(2 g-2) \\
& \geqslant \frac{k-2}{4} \varepsilon_{2}+\frac{k-2}{3} \varepsilon_{3}+\frac{k}{2} \varepsilon_{\infty}+\frac{k}{2}(2 g-2) \\
& =\frac{k-2}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{2}+\frac{2}{3} \varepsilon_{3}+\varepsilon_{\infty}+2 g-2\right)+\varepsilon_{\infty}+2 g-2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the genus formula A.2.1.14, the term between parentheses is $\left[\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}): \mathrm{P} \Gamma\right] / 6$, which is positive, so for $k \geqslant 2$ one has $\operatorname{deg} D>2 g-2$ and the Riemann-Roch theorem A.1.1.33(iii) shows that

$$
\operatorname{dim} M_{k}(\Gamma)=\operatorname{deg} D+1-g=\left\lfloor\frac{k}{4}\right\rfloor \varepsilon_{2}+\left\lfloor\frac{k}{3}\right\rfloor \varepsilon_{3}+\frac{k}{2} \varepsilon_{\infty}+(k-1)(g-1)
$$

Similarly, if $k \geqslant 4$,

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(D-\sum_{P \text { cusp }} P\right) \geqslant \frac{k-2}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{2}+\frac{2}{3} \varepsilon_{3}+\varepsilon_{\infty}+2 g-2\right)+2 g-2>2 g-2
$$

so for $k \geqslant 4$,

$$
\operatorname{dim} S_{k}(\Gamma)=\operatorname{deg} D-\varepsilon_{\infty}+1-g=\left\lfloor\frac{k}{4}\right\rfloor \varepsilon_{2}+\left\lfloor\frac{k}{3}\right\rfloor \varepsilon_{3}\left(\frac{k}{2}-1\right) \varepsilon_{\infty}+(k-1)(g-1)
$$

For $k=2$, one has $D-\sum_{P \text { cusp }} P=C$, so the cuspforms of weight 2 correspond exactly to the holomorphic differential forms on $X(\Gamma)$ as explained above, and $\operatorname{dim} S_{2}(\Gamma)=g$ by definition of the genus.

For $k=0$, the elements of $M_{0}(\Gamma)$ correspond to holomorphic functions on $X(\Gamma)$ and are hence constant since $X(\Gamma)$ is compact. In particular, $S_{0}(\Gamma)=\{0\}$.

Finally, if $k<0$, then a form $f \in M_{k}(\Gamma)$ would satisfy $f^{12} \Delta^{|k|} \in S_{0}(\Gamma)=\{0\}$, so that $M_{k}(\Gamma)=\{0\}$.

Example A.2.2.11. By example A.2.1.15, if $\ell$ is prime which is at least 5, the dimension of $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(\ell)\right)$ is $\frac{(\ell-5)(\ell-7)}{24}$.

Example A.2.2.12. Examine the case of level $1\left(\Gamma=\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right)$ more closely. One can prove that the graded ring

$$
M\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right)=\bigoplus_{k=0}^{+\infty} M_{k}\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right)
$$

is generated by the forms $E_{4}$ and $E_{6}$, which are algebraically independent (cf. [Ste07, theorem 2.17]), so that it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}\left[E_{4}, E_{6}\right]$, where $E_{4}$ has grading 4 and $E_{6}$ has grading 6. In particular, the forms $E_{4}^{a} E_{6}^{b}, 4 a+6 b=k, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$, form a basis of $M_{k}\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right)$ over $\mathbb{C}$, so that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M_{k}\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right)$ is the number of ways to write $k$ as $4 a+6 b, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$. This is 0 if $k$ is odd, $\lfloor k / 12\rfloor-1$ if $k \equiv 2 \bmod 12$, and $\lfloor k / 12\rfloor$ in the other cases, which agrees with theorem A.2.2.10 since $X(1)$ has genus $g=0$, $\varepsilon_{2}=1$ elliptic point of order $2, \varepsilon_{3}=1$ elliptic point of order 3 , and $\varepsilon_{\infty}=1$ cusp, as can be seen on figure A.2.1.7.

In particular, this implies that $E_{8}$ and $E_{4}^{2}$, which both lie in the 1-dimensional space $M_{8}\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right)$, are proportional, hence equal by looking at the constant term $a_{0}$, and similarly that $E_{4} E_{6}=E_{10}$. From this and example A.2.2.5, one deduces the surprising identities

$$
\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \sigma_{7}(n)=\sigma_{3}(n)+120 \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \sigma_{3}(m) \sigma_{3}(n-m)
$$

and

$$
\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, 11 \sigma_{9}(n)=21 \sigma_{5}(n)-10 \sigma_{3}(n)+5040 \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \sigma_{3}(m) \sigma_{5}(n)
$$

I now have a look at the cuspform spaces $S_{k}\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right)$. Since $\infty$ is the only cusp of $X(1)$, a modular form of level 1 is a cusp form if and only if it vanishes at $\infty$,
that is to say if and only if its coefficient $a_{0}$ is 0 . Thus for instance the form $E_{4}^{3}-E_{6}^{2}$ is a cusp form of weight 1 and level 1 , just like the form $\Delta$ introduced in example A.2.2.7 above.

Multiplication by $\Delta$ induces isomorphisms

$$
M_{k}\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right) \simeq S_{k+12}\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right)
$$

so that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} S_{k}\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M_{k-12}\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right)$ for $k \geqslant 12$. In particular, $S_{k}\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right)$ has dimension 1 exactly when $k \in\{12,16,18,20,22,26\}$, and is then respectively spanned by $\Delta, E_{4} \Delta, E_{6} \Delta, E_{8} \Delta, E_{10} \Delta$ and $E_{14} \Delta$. In the case of weight $k=12$, one sees by looking at the coefficient of $q^{1}$ that

$$
\Delta=\frac{1}{12^{3}}\left(E_{4}^{3}-E_{6}^{2}\right)
$$

Example A.2.2.13. Come back to the forms $\Theta^{2 k} \in M_{k}\left(\Gamma_{0}(4)\right)$ from example A.2.2.6 and take $k=2$. One can prove with theorem A.2.2.10 that the space $M_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(4)\right)$ has dimension 2 over $\mathbb{C}$, and is spanned by the forms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1+24 \sum_{n=1} \sum_{\substack{0<d \mid n \\
d \text { odd }}} d q^{n}=1+24 q+O\left(q^{2}\right), \text { and } \\
& 1+8 \sum_{n=1} \sum_{\substack{0<d \mid n \\
4 \nmid d}} d q^{n}=1+8 q+O\left(q^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\Theta^{4}$ lies in this space, it must be a linear combination of these two forms. Actually, since $\Theta=1+2 q+O\left(q^{2}\right), \Theta^{4}=1+8 q+O\left(q^{2}\right)$ equals the latter of these two forms, hence the identity

$$
r(n, 4) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sharp\left\{(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^{4} \mid n=x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}+t^{2}\right\}=8 \sum_{\substack{0<d|n \\ 4| d}} d .
$$

Similarly, one finds formulae for $r(n, 2 k)$ for $k=3,4,5$. However, for $k \geqslant 6$, such formulae no longer exist. The deep reason for that is that $M_{k}\left(\Gamma_{0}(4)\right)$ contains nonzero cusp forms for $k \geqslant 6$, and there are no simple formulae for the $q$-expansion coefficients of cusp forms. Indeed, as I shall explain, the coefficients of modular forms are related to Galois representations, and the Galois representations attached to cusp forms have non-abelian image, which means that the coefficients of cusp forms cannot be expressed with characters through class field theory. For instance, since $\Delta \in S_{12}\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right)$ is a cusp form, there are no simple formulae for $\tau(n)$. These coefficients can however be efficiently computed one by one through the attached Galois representations, and this is the heart of this thesis. Eisenstein series, which I introduce below in section $A .2 .2 .3$, are the opposite case: in a sense which I will detail later, they form the orthogonal complement to cusp forms, and the Galois representations attached to them have abelian image, so that there are simple formulae in terms of characters for their $q$-expansion coefficients. For instance, the forms $E_{k}$ are Eisenstein series, and so are the two forms making up the basis of $M_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(4)\right)$.

## A.2.2.2 Hecke operators and newforms

Let $\Gamma$ be either $\Gamma_{1}(N)$ or ${ }^{m} \Gamma_{0}(N)$ for some fixed level $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and also fix some even weight $k \in 2 \mathbb{N}$. In view of the diamond and Hecke operators introduced in section A.2.12, one defines the operators $\langle\bar{d}\rangle$ and $T_{n}$ on $M_{k}(\Gamma)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\bar{d} \in(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ by

$$
\langle\bar{d}\rangle f=\left.f\right|_{k} \gamma, \gamma=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right] \in \Gamma_{0}(N), d \equiv \bar{d} \bmod N
$$

and

$$
T_{n} f=\left.n^{k / 2-1} \sum_{\substack{a, d \in \mathbb{N} \\
\operatorname{gcd}(a, N)=1 \\
a d=n \\
0 \leqslant b<d}}(\langle a\rangle f)\right|_{k}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
0 & d
\end{array}\right] \quad(\text { cf. (囚.2.1.16) }),
$$

where $f \in M_{k}(\Gamma)$. The coefficient $n^{k-1}$ is included in the definition of $T_{n}$ in order to avoid denominators; it shall soon be apparent that this is the "good" normalisation. The Fricke involution $W_{N}$ is given by the matrix $w_{N}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ N & 0\end{array}\right] \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{Q})^{+}$, that is to say

$$
\left(W_{N} f\right)(\tau)=\left(\left.f\right|_{k} w_{N}\right)(\tau)=\frac{1}{N^{k / 2} \tau^{k}} f\left(\frac{-1}{N \tau}\right)
$$

The fact that $W_{N}$ is an involution is reflected in the fact that $w_{N}^{2}$ is a scalar matrix.
The Hecke operators $T_{n}$ and the diamond operators $\langle d\rangle$ span a subalgebra

$$
\mathbb{T}_{k, N}=\mathbb{Z}\left[T_{n},\langle d\rangle \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, d \in(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{*}\right]
$$

of $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(M_{k}(\Gamma)\right)$ called the Hecke algebra of weight $k$ and level $N$, and denoted by $\mathbb{T}$ when the weight and level are clear from the context. In view of proposition A.2.1.17, $\mathbb{T}_{k, N}$ is commutative and is spanned by the $T_{n}$ alone, which satisfy the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{m n}=T_{m} T_{n}, \quad \operatorname{gcd}(m, n)=1, \\
& T_{p^{r}}=T_{p} T_{p^{r-1}}-p^{k-1}\langle p\rangle T_{p^{r-2}}, \quad p \nmid N \text { prime }, r \geqslant 2, \\
& U_{p^{r}}=U_{p}^{r}, \quad p \mid N \text { prime }, r \geqslant 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The diamond operators $\langle d\rangle$ are automorphisms of $M_{k}(\Gamma)$, and hence yield a representation

$$
\rho:(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{*} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}\left(M_{k}(\Gamma)\right),
$$

which is semi-simple since the characteristic of $\mathbb{C}$ is 0 , and whose irreducible components are of dimension 1 over $\mathbb{C}$ since $(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ is abelian. In other words, one has a decomposition

[^10]\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)=\bigoplus_{\varepsilon} M_{k}(N, \varepsilon), \\
M_{k}(N, \varepsilon)=\left\{f \in M_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right) \mid \forall d \in(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{*},\langle d\rangle f=\varepsilon(d) f\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

where the direct sum ranges over the Dirichlet characters $\varepsilon:(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{*} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$. One says that a form lying in $M_{k}(N, \varepsilon)$ has nebentypus (or character) $\varepsilon$. Note that when $\varepsilon=\mathbb{1}$ is the trivial character, $M_{k}(N, \mathbb{1})$ is simply $M_{k}\left(\Gamma_{0}(N)\right)$.

Actually, since $\langle-1\rangle$ is the identity as noted in section A.2.1.2, the representation $\rho$ factors into a representation of $(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{*} / \pm 1$, so $M_{k}(N, \varepsilon)=\{0\}$ if $\varepsilon$ is odd $(\varepsilon(-1)=-1)$ and only the terms $M_{k}(N, \varepsilon)$ with $\varepsilon$ even $(\varepsilon(-1)=+1)$ are left.

Remark A.2.2.14. In this thesis, I only deal with modular forms of even weight. However, one may also consider modular forms of odd weight; if $k$ is odd, then one finds that $M_{k}(N, \varepsilon)=\{0\}$ when $\varepsilon$ is even, so only terms with $\varepsilon$ odd remain is the decomposition of $M_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)$ by nebentypus.

Besides, it is clear from the definition of the Hecke operators that the Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}_{k, N}$ stabilises the subspace $S_{k}(\Gamma)$ of cuspforms. The Hecke algebra may thus also be seen as a commutative subalgebra of $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(S_{k}(\Gamma)\right)$, which is also denoted $\mathbb{T}_{k, N}$, and one similarly has the decomposition

$$
S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)=\bigoplus_{\varepsilon \text { even }} S_{k}(N, \varepsilon)
$$

Proposition A.2.2.15. The Fricke involution $W_{N} \operatorname{maps} M_{k}(N, \varepsilon)$ onto $M_{k}(N, \bar{\varepsilon})$ and $S_{k}(N, \varepsilon)$ onto $S_{k}(N, \bar{\varepsilon})$, where $\bar{\varepsilon}=\varepsilon^{-1}$ denotes the complex conjugate of the Dirichlet character $\varepsilon$.

Proof. As $W_{N}$ is an involution and hence a bijection, it is enough to show that $W_{N} M_{k}(N, \varepsilon) \subseteq M_{k}(N, \bar{\varepsilon})$ and that $W_{N} S_{k}(N, \varepsilon) \subseteq S_{k}(N, \bar{\varepsilon})$. For all $\gamma=\left[\begin{array}{cc}a \\ c N & b\end{array}\right] \in \Gamma_{0}(N)$, one has $w_{N} \gamma w_{N}^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}d & -c \\ -b N & a\end{array}\right]$, so $w_{N}$ normalises $\Gamma_{0}(N)$ and $\Gamma_{1}(N)$, and so $W_{N}$ stabilises $M_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)$. Furthermore, conjugating by $w_{N}$ exchanges the $a$ and $d$ entries of $\gamma$, so that $W_{N}$ indeed maps $M_{k}(N, \varepsilon)$ to $M_{k}(N, \bar{\varepsilon})$. Besides, as $w_{N}$ normalises $\Gamma_{1}(N)$, its action on $\mathcal{H}^{\bullet}$ induces a well-defined action on the cusps of $X_{1}(N)$, and consequently stabilises the cusps; therefore $W_{N}$ stabilises $S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)$ and hence maps $S_{k}(N, \varepsilon)$ to $S_{k}(N, \bar{\varepsilon})$.

The action of the Hecke operators $T_{n}$ on the $q$-expansions can be made explicit:
Proposition A.2.2.16. Let $f=\sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} a_{m} q^{m} \in M_{k}(N, \varepsilon)$. Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
T_{n} f=\sum_{m=0}^{+\infty}\left(\sum_{0<d \mid \operatorname{gcd}(n, m)} d^{k-1} \varepsilon(d) a_{n m / d^{2}}\right) q^{m},
$$

with the usual convention that $\varepsilon(d)=0$ if $\operatorname{gcd}(d, N)>1$.
The action of $W_{N}$ on $q$-expansions shall be made explicit a little later.

Proof. By definition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(T_{n} f\right)(\tau)=n^{k / 2-1} \sum_{\substack{u, v \in \mathbb{N} \\
\operatorname{sd}(u, N)=1 \\
u=n \\
0 \leqslant w<v}} \varepsilon(u) n^{k / 2} v^{-k} f\left(\frac{u \tau+w}{v}\right) \\
& =\quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{u, v \in \mathbb{N} \\
u=n \\
0 \leqslant w<v}} u^{k} \varepsilon(u) f\left(\frac{u \tau+w}{v}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{u, v \in \mathbb{N} \\
\text { uv=n } \\
0 \leqslant w<v}} u^{k} \varepsilon(u) \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} a_{m} e^{2 \pi i m(u \tau+w) / v} \\
& =\quad \sum_{\substack{u, v \in \mathbb{N} \\
u v=n}} \frac{u^{k}}{n} \varepsilon(u) \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} a_{m} e^{2 \pi i m u \tau / v} \sum_{w=0}^{v-1} e^{2 \pi i m w / v} \\
& =\quad \sum_{\substack{u, v \in \mathbb{N} \\
u v=n}} \frac{u^{k-1}}{v} \varepsilon(u) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} a_{m} e^{2 \pi i m u \tau / v} \mathbb{1}_{v \mid m} v \\
& \underset{m=m^{\prime} v}{=} \sum_{\substack{u, v \in \mathbb{N} \\
u v=n}} u^{k-1} \varepsilon(u) \sum_{m^{\prime}=0}^{+\infty} a_{m^{\prime} v} q^{m^{\prime} u} \\
& =\quad \sum_{0<u \mid n} u^{k-1} \varepsilon(u) \sum_{m^{\prime}=0}^{+\infty} a_{m^{\prime} n / u} q^{m^{\prime} u} \\
& \underset{m^{\prime \prime}=m^{\prime} u}{=} \sum_{m^{\prime \prime}=0}^{+\infty} q^{m^{\prime \prime}} \sum_{\substack{0<u|n \\
u| m^{\prime \prime}}} u^{k-1} \varepsilon(u) a_{m^{\prime \prime} n / u^{2} .} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example A.2.2.17. In particular, if $f=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_{n} q^{n}$ is a cuspform, one has

$$
T_{n} f=a_{n} q+O\left(q^{2}\right)
$$

In order to further study the properties of the Hecke algebra, one enriches the structure of the space $S_{k}(\Gamma)$ by endowing it with the Petersson inner product

$$
\left\langle f_{1}, f_{2}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\mu(X(\Gamma))} \iint_{X(\Gamma)} \overline{f_{1}(x+i y)} f_{2}(x+i y) y^{k} \mathrm{~d} \mu(x, y) .
$$

Here, $\mu$ is the $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})^{+}$-invariant measure on $\mathcal{H}^{\bullet}$ defined by

$$
\mathrm{d} \mu(x, y)=\frac{\mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y}{y^{2}}
$$

and $\iint_{X(\Gamma)}$ means integration over the fundamental domain

$$
\mathcal{F}=\bigcup_{i} \gamma_{i} \mathcal{F}_{1}
$$

for $\Gamma$, where

$$
\operatorname{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})=\bigsqcup_{i} \mathrm{P} \Gamma \gamma_{i}, \quad \gamma_{i} \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})
$$

and $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ is the fundamental domain for $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ shown on figure A.2.1.7. Since the function $\tau \mapsto \overline{f_{1}(\tau)} f_{2}(\tau)(\operatorname{Im} \tau)^{k}$ is $\Gamma$-invariant as $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are modular of weight $k$ and level $\Gamma$, this integral does not depend on the choice of the $\gamma_{i}$, and can be computed as

$$
\sum_{i} \iint_{\mathcal{F}_{1}} \overline{f_{1}\left(\gamma_{i} \cdot \tau\right)} f_{2}\left(\gamma_{i} \cdot \tau\right)\left(\operatorname{Im} \gamma_{i} \cdot \tau\right)^{k} \mathrm{~d} \mu(\tau)
$$

as $\mu$ is $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$-invariant. It converges since the cuspforms $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ decay exponentially at the cusps. The normalisation factor $\frac{1}{\mu(X(\Gamma))}$ is introduced to ensure that the inner product of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ remains the same when they are seen as modular forms of level $\Gamma^{\prime} \subset \Gamma$.

Remark A.2.2.18. Actually, since a cuspform decays exponentially at a cusp and a modular form remains bounded, this integral would still converge if only one of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ were a cuspform.

One computes (cf. [DSO.5, section 5.5]) that in $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(S_{k}(\Gamma)\right)$, the adjoint of the diamond operator $\langle d\rangle$ with respect to the Petersson inner product is $\langle d\rangle^{-1}=\left\langle d^{-1}\right\rangle$, and that the adjoint of $T_{p}$ is $\left\langle p^{-1}\right\rangle T_{p}$ for $p \nmid N$. This means that the anaemic Hecke algebra

$$
\mathbb{T}^{0}=\mathbb{Z}\left[T_{p}, p \nmid N\right]=\mathbb{Z}\left[T_{n},\langle d\rangle \mid \operatorname{gcd}(n, N)=1, d \in(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{*}\right] \subset \mathbb{T}
$$

is a commutative algebra of normal operators on $S_{k}(\Gamma)$. It follows that $S_{k}(\Gamma)$ admits a (generally not unique) basis made up of eigenforms, that is to say of cuspforms which are simultaneous eigenvectors for the anaemic Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}^{0}$.

Remark A.2.2.19. Actually, one can show (cf. [DSO5, exercise 5.5.1]) that for every Hecke operator $T \in \mathbb{T}_{k, n}$, the adjoint $T^{*}$ of $T$ is $W_{N} T W_{N}$. In particular, $W_{N}$ is self-adjoint and unitary.

Let $f=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_{n}(f) q^{n} \in S_{k}(\Gamma)$. Then $T_{n} f=a_{n}(f) q+O\left(q^{2}\right)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by example A.2.2.17, so if $f$ is an eigenform for $T_{n}$ such that $a_{1}(f)=0$, then $a_{n}(f)=0$. Therefore, a non-zero eigenform $f$ for the full Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}$ necessarily has $a_{1}(f) \neq 0$, so one may divide it by $a_{1}(f)$ to get an eigenform $q+\sum_{n \geqslant 2} a_{n} q^{n}$. Such an eigenform is called a normalised eigenform. Besides, if $f$ is normalised, then the $T_{n}$-eigenvalue of $f$ is $a_{n}(f)$, again by example A.2.2.17. In view of the relations satisfied by the $T_{n}$ in $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{T}_{k, N}$, one deduces that the coefficients of $f=q+\sum_{n \geqslant 2} a_{n} q^{n}$ satisfy the relations

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
a_{m n}=a_{m} a_{n}, \quad \operatorname{gcd}(m, n)=1, &  \tag{A.2.2.20}\\
a_{p^{r}}=a_{p} a_{p^{r-1}}-p^{k-1} \varepsilon(p) a_{p^{r-2}}, & p \nmid N \text { prime }, r \geqslant 2, \\
a_{p^{r}}=a_{p}^{r}, & p \mid N \text { prime, } r \in \mathbb{N},
\end{array}\right\}
$$

which can be summarised by writing that the L-series

$$
L(f, s)=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{a_{n}}{n^{s}} \quad(s \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re} s \gg 0)
$$

attached to $f$ factors into an Euler product

$$
L(f, s)=\prod_{p \mid N} \frac{1}{1-a_{p} p^{-s}} \prod_{p \nmid N} \frac{1}{1-a_{p} p^{-s}+p^{1-2 s} \varepsilon(p)},
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is the nebentypus of $f$, which exists since $f$ is an eigenform (note that one can prove that these converge for $\operatorname{Re} s \gg 0$, cf. for instance example A.3.3.5). Besides, it follows from remark A.2.3.10 below that there exists a number field $K_{f}$ (that is to say, of finite degree over $\mathbb{Q}$ ) such that the coefficients $a_{n}$ of $f$ all lie in the ring of integers of $K_{f}$.

Eigenforms are thus very friendly, but unfortunately the space $S_{k}(\Gamma)$ need not have a basis of forms which are eigenforms for the full Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}$, because the Hecke operators $U_{p}$ need not be semi-simple for $p \mid N$.

Example A.2.2.21. Let $M \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that there exists a non-zero form $f=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_{n} q^{n} \in S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(M)\right)$ which is an eigenform for the full Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}_{k, M}$ of level $M$ (this exists, see below), and let $N=p^{3} M$ where $p$ is a prime which does not divide $M$. Since $f$ is an eigenform, it has in particular a nebentypus $\varepsilon$, and there exists a scalar $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $T_{p}^{(M)} f=\lambda f$, where $T_{p}^{(M)} \in \mathbb{T}_{k, M}$ denotes the Hecke operator $T_{p}$ in level $M$. Besides, $f$ can also be seen as a cuspform of level $N$, and more generally, the forms $f_{i}(\tau)=f\left(p^{i} \tau\right)$ lie in $S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)$ for $i=0, \cdots, 3$ by remark A.2.2.4. Let $U_{p}^{(N)} \in \mathbb{T}_{k, N}$ denote the Hecke operator $U_{p}$ in level $N$. On the one hand, since $f$ is an eigenform for the full Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}_{k, M}$ of level $M$, the forms $f_{i}$ are eigenvectors for the operators $T_{q}^{(M)}=T_{q}^{(N)}, q \nmid M$ prime, $q \neq p$ and $U_{q}^{(M)}=U_{q}^{(N)}$, $q \mid M$ prime, with the same eigenvalues as $f$. On the other hand, the actions of $T_{p}^{(M)}$ and $U_{p}^{(N)}$ on $q$-expansions are

$$
T_{p}^{(M)} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_{n} q^{n}=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_{p n} q^{n}+p^{k-1} \varepsilon(p) \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_{n} q^{p n}
$$

and

$$
U_{p}^{(N)} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_{n} q^{n}=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_{p n} q^{n}
$$

according to proposition A.2.2.16, so that $U_{p}^{(N)} f_{i}=f_{i-1}$ for $i=1, \cdots, 3$, and $U_{p}^{(N)} f_{0}=\lambda f_{0}-p^{k-1} \varepsilon(p) f_{1}$. Therefore, the subspace $V=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{3} \mathbb{C} f_{i}$ of $S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)$ is stable under $U_{p}^{(N)}$, and the matrix of $U_{p}^{(N)}$ acting on it is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\lambda & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-p^{k-1} \varepsilon(p) & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right],
$$

which is not semi-simple. It follows that $V$ is stable under the full Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}_{k, N}$, and that the action of this algebra on it is not semi-simple. In particular, $S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)$ cannot have a base of cuspforms which are eigenforms for the full Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}_{k, N}$.

In order to avoid this unpleasant phenomenon, one makes the following definitions:

Definition A.2.2.22. Fix a weight $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and a level $N \in \mathbb{N}$. The old subspace of $S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)$ is the subspace $S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)^{\text {old }}$ spanned by the cuspforms $f(t \tau)$ for $f \in S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(M)\right), M \mid N$ and $t \left\lvert\, \frac{N}{M}\right.$. The new subspace $S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)^{\text {new }}$ is the orthogonal of $S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)^{\text {old }}$ with respect to the Petersson inner product.

One makes of course the same definition with $\Gamma_{0}$ instead of $\Gamma_{1}$. In what follows, I shall consider $\Gamma_{1}$, but all the results also stand for $\Gamma_{0}$.

Example A.2.2.23. If $N$ is prime and $k<12$, then $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)^{\text {old }}=\{0\}$ since $S_{k}(1)=\{0\}$ by example A.2.2.J2, and so $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)^{\text {new }}$ is the whole of $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)$.

Example A.2.2.24. The space $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(11)\right)$ has dimension 1 , and is spanned by $f_{11}=q-2 q^{2}-q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right)$, which is thus a new form of level 11. One finds that the space $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(22)\right)$ is of dimension 2, so it is spanned by $f_{11}(\tau)$ and $f_{11}(2 \tau)$; in particular $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(22)\right)^{\text {old }}$ is the whole of $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(22)\right)$, and so $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(22)\right)^{\text {new }}=\{0\}$.

One also finds that $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(33)\right)$ is of dimension 3; since $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(3)\right)=\{0\}$, it follows that $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(33)\right)^{\text {old }}=\left\langle f_{11}(\tau), f_{11}(3 \tau)\right\rangle$ has dimension 2 , and so the dimension of $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(33)\right)^{\text {new }}$ is 1 .

The decomposition $S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)=S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)^{\text {old }} \stackrel{\perp}{\oplus} S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)^{\text {new }}$ is actually more than an orthogonal decomposition:

Proposition A.2.2.25. The old subspace and the new subspace of $S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)$ are both stable under the full Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}$, so that

$$
S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)=S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)^{\text {old }} \oplus S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)^{\text {new }}
$$

is actually a $\mathbb{T}$-module decomposition.
Proof. Cf. [DSO5], proposition 5.6.2]. The idea is to first prove that $S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)^{\text {old }}$ is stable under $\mathbb{T}$ by examining carefully the difference between $T_{p}$ on level $N / p$ and $U_{p}$ in level $N$ as in example A.2.2.2] for $p \| N$ prime, and then to deduce that $S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)^{\text {new }}$ is stable under $\mathbb{T}$ by using the formulae for the adjoints of the Hecke operators.

The interest of the new subspace is that it always admits a basis of eigenforms, as implied by the following theorem:

Theorem A.2.2.26. Let $f \in S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)^{\text {new }}$ be an eigenform for the anaemic Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}^{0}$. Then $f$ is also an eigenform for the full Hecke algebra $T$.

This leads to the following definition:
Definition A.2.2.27. A newform in $S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)$ is a cuspform $f \in S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)$ lying in the new subspace and which is a normalised eigenform.

One can show (cf. [DSO5, theorem 5.8.3]) that one has the decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)=\bigoplus_{0<M \mid N} \bigoplus_{0<t \left\lvert\, \frac{N}{M}\right.} \bigoplus_{\substack{f \in S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(M)\right) \\ \text { newform }}} \mathbb{C} f(t \tau) \tag{A.2.2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly for $S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{0}(N)\right)$ and $S_{k}(N, \varepsilon)$.
As a consequence, remark A.2.3.10 shows that there exists a number field $K_{k, N}$ such that the space $S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)$ admits a basis made up of cuspforms whose coefficients $a_{n}$ all lie in the ring of integers of $K_{k, N}$.

Remark A.2.2.29. In the next section, I shall prove by explicit $q$-expansion computations (cf. corollary A.2.2.41) that this fact still holds on the whole space of modular forms $M_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)$.

One can use Hilbert's theorem 90 (cf. [Ser62, proposition X.1.2]) to deduce from this that there exists a free $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule $S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N), \mathbb{Z}\right)$ of the power series ring $\mathbb{Z}[[q]]$ such that

$$
S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)=S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N), \mathbb{Z}\right) \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}
$$

In other words, $S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)$ admits an "integral structure". This allows to see $S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N), \mathbb{Z}\right)$ and the Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}_{k, N}$ as the $\mathbb{Z}$-dual of each other:

Lemma A.2.2.30. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $a_{n}$ denote the linear form $\sum_{m \geqslant 1} a_{m} q^{m} \mapsto a_{n}$ on $S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)$. Then the pairing

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{T}_{k, N} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{Z}} S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N), \mathbb{Z}\right) & \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \\
T \otimes f & \longmapsto a_{1}(T f)
\end{aligned}
$$

is perfect.
Proof. If $T \in \mathbb{T}_{k, N}$ is in the left kernel of this pairing, then as the Hecke algebra is commutative, one has

$$
0=a_{1}\left(T T_{n} f\right)=a_{1}\left(T_{n} T f\right)=a_{n}(T f)
$$

for all $f \in S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where the last equality comes from example A.2.2.17, so that $T f=0$ for all $f$, which means that $T=0$ as an operator.

Similarly, if $f \in S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)$ is on the right kernel of this pairing, then

$$
0=a_{1}\left(T_{n} f\right)=a_{n}(f)
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so that $f=0$.
In [Stu87], J. Sturm proved a useful result concerning the congruence properties of the coefficients $a_{n}$ :

Theorem A.2.2.31 (Sturm bound). Let $f=\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_{n} q^{n} \in M_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)$ be a modular forms whose coefficients $a_{n}$ lie in the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}_{K}$ of a number field $K$, and let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_{K}$. If $a_{n} \equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{a}$ for all $n \leqslant \frac{k}{12}\left[\operatorname{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}): \Gamma_{1}(N)\right]=$ $\frac{k}{12} N^{2} \prod_{p \mid N}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{2}}\right)$, then $a_{n} \equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{a}$ for all $n$.

This leads to a bound on the number of Hecke operators $T_{n}$ required to span $\mathbb{T}_{k, N}$ :

Proposition A.2.2.32. The Hecke operators $T_{n}, n \leqslant \frac{k}{12} N^{2} \prod_{p \mid N}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{2}}\right)$, span the image of the Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}_{k, N}$ in $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)\right)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module.

Proof. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be a prime number. The above Sturm bound shows that the Hecke operators $T_{n}, n \leqslant \frac{k}{12}\left[\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}): \Gamma\right]$, span the space $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right), \mathbb{Z}\right) \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} \mathbb{F}_{p}$, hence $\operatorname{span} \mathbb{T}_{N, k} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_{p}$ by lemma $\alpha .2 .2 .30$. Since $p$ is arbitrary, the result follows.

The action of the Fricke involution on the $q$-expansion of a newform can be made explicit if the level $N$ is squarefree (cf. [Asa76, theorem 2]). In particular, in the case where $N$ is prime (which will be of interest for me later), one has the following formula:

Theorem A.2.2.33. Let $f=q+\sum_{n \geqslant 2} a_{n} q^{n} \in S_{k}(N, \varepsilon)$ be a newform of weight $k$, level $N$ and nebentypus $\varepsilon$. If $N$ is prime, then $W_{N} f$ is the cuspform of weight $k$, level $N$ and character $\bar{\varepsilon}$ defined by

$$
W_{N} f=\lambda_{N}(f)\left(q+\sum_{n \geqslant 2} \overline{a_{n}} q^{n}\right),
$$

where $\lambda_{N}(f) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the pseudo-eigenvalue of $f$, which is given by

$$
\lambda_{N}(f)= \begin{cases}-N^{1-k / 2} \overline{a_{N}} & \text { if } \varepsilon \text { is trivial, } \\ N^{-k / 2} g(\varepsilon) \overline{a_{N}} & \text { if } \varepsilon \text { is non-trivial. }\end{cases}
$$

Besides, $\lambda_{N}(f) \neq 0$, and $\frac{1}{\lambda_{N}(f)} f=q+\sum_{n \geqslant 2} \overline{a_{n}} q^{n}$ is also a newform.
I also state a formula giving the action of the Fricke involution on a twisted newform, since I shall need it later. Recall (cf. [AL78, proposition 3.1]) that if $f=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_{n} q^{n} \in S_{k}(N, \varepsilon)$ is a cuspform of weight $k$, level $N$ and nebentypus $\varepsilon$ and $\chi:(\mathbb{Z} / M \mathbb{Z})^{*} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ is a Dirichlet character modulo $M$, then the twisted form

$$
f \otimes \chi \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_{n} \chi(n) q^{n} \in S_{k}\left(M^{2} N, \chi^{2} \varepsilon\right)
$$

is a cuspform of weight $k$, level $M^{2} N$ and nebentypus $\chi^{2} \varepsilon$. The following result may be found in [AL78, p. 228]:

Theorem A.2.2.34. Let $f \in S_{k}(N, \varepsilon)$ be a newform of weight $k$, level $N$ and nebentypus $\varepsilon$, and let $\chi$ be a Dirichlet character of conductor $M$ prime to $N$. Then $f \otimes \chi \in S_{k}\left(M^{2} N, \chi^{2} \varepsilon\right)$ is a newform of weight $k$, level $M^{2} N$ and nebentypus $\chi^{2} \varepsilon$, and

$$
W_{M^{2} N}(f \otimes \chi)=\frac{g(\chi)}{g(\bar{\chi})} \varepsilon(M) \chi(-N) \cdot\left(W_{N} f\right) \otimes \bar{\chi}
$$

that is to say

$$
W_{M^{2} N}\left(q+\sum_{n \geqslant 2} a_{n} \chi(n) q^{n}\right)=\frac{g(\chi)}{g(\bar{\chi})} \varepsilon(M) \chi(-N) \lambda_{N}(f)\left(q+\sum_{n \geqslant 2} \overline{a_{n}} \bar{\chi}(n) q^{n}\right),
$$

where $g(\cdot)$ denotes the Gauss sum of a Dirichlet character.

## A.2.2.3 Eisenstein series

Although I defined the Petersson inner product on cuspforms, I noted in remark A.2.2.18 that it is still well-defined on couples of modular forms, provided that at least one of them is a cuspform. This makes the following definition possible:

Definition A.2.2.35. Let $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ be a congruence subgroup, and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be even. The Eisenstein subspace $E_{k}(\Gamma)$ is the orthogonal subspace of $S_{k}(\Gamma)$ in $M_{k}(\Gamma)$.

The dimension formulae A.2.2.10 yield

$$
\operatorname{dim} E_{k}(\Gamma)=\operatorname{dim} M_{k}(\Gamma)-\operatorname{dim} S_{k}(\Gamma)= \begin{cases}\varepsilon_{\infty} & \text { if } k \geqslant 4 \\ \varepsilon_{\infty}-1 & \text { if } k=2 \\ 1 & \text { if } k=0 \\ 0 & \text { if } k<0\end{cases}
$$

Besides, the formulae $\langle d\rangle^{*}=\left\langle d^{-1}\right\rangle, T_{p}^{*}=\left\langle p^{-1}\right\rangle T_{p}$ giving the adjoints of the Hecke operators in the anaemic Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}^{0}$ show that these operators preserve the Eisenstein subspace. In particular, for $\Gamma=\Gamma_{1}(N)$, the diamond operators $\langle d\rangle$ split $E_{k}(N)=E_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)$ into a $\mathbb{T}^{0}$-direct sum $E_{k}(N)=\bigoplus_{\chi} E_{k}(N, \chi)$, and the orthogonal decomposition

$$
M_{k}(N, \chi)=E_{k}(N, \chi) \stackrel{\perp}{\oplus} S_{k}(N, \chi)
$$

is also a $\mathbb{T}^{0}$-module decomposition.
Because of the different convergence behaviour of the series of weight $k=2$, I shall study the Eisenstein subspace in weight $k \geqslant 4$ and in weight $k=2$ separately.

## The case of weight $k \geqslant 4$

Let $v \in(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ be a line-vector of order exactly $N$. Consider, for $k \geqslant 4$, the locally normally convergent series

$$
G_{k}^{v}(\tau)=\sum_{\substack{(c, d) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\(c, d) \equiv v \bmod N}} \frac{1}{(c \tau+d)^{k}}
$$

Rewriting $G_{k}^{v}$ as

$$
G_{k}^{v}(\tau)=\frac{1}{N^{k}} \sum_{c, d \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{c_{v} \tau+d_{v}}{N}+c \tau+d\right)^{k}}
$$

where $c_{v}$ and $d_{v}$ are lifts to $\mathbb{Z}$ of the coordinates of $v$, one can interpret $G_{k}^{v}(\tau)$ as being, up to the multiplicative constant $N^{k}$, the evaluation of the elliptic function

$$
\wp_{k, \tau}(z)=\sum_{\omega \in \mathbb{Z} \tau+\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(z+\omega)^{k}}
$$

at the $N$-torsion point $z=\frac{c_{v} \tau+d_{v}}{N}$. In the case of level $N=1$, there is only one possible choice of $v$, and one recovers the series $G_{k} \in M_{k}(1)$ defined in example A.2.2.3.

I have shown that this series $G_{k}$ can be normalised as

$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{k}(\tau)=\sum_{\substack{(c, d) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
(c, d) \neq(0,0)}} \frac{1}{(c \tau+d)^{k}}=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{\substack{(c, d) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\operatorname{gcd}(c, d)=n}} \frac{1}{(c \tau+d)^{k}}=2 \zeta(k) E_{k}, \\
E_{k}(\tau)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{(c, d) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\operatorname{gcd}(c, d)=1}} \frac{1}{(c \tau+d)^{k}},
\end{gathered}
$$

the factor $1 / 2$ ensuring that $E_{k}$ evaluates to 1 at the cusp $\infty$ owing to the symmetry in $\pm(c, d)$. Define similarly

$$
E_{k}^{v}(\tau)=\epsilon_{N} \sum_{\substack{(c, d) \equiv v \bmod N \\ \operatorname{gcd}(c, d)=1}} \frac{1}{(c \tau+d)^{k}},
$$

where $\epsilon_{N}=\frac{1}{2}$ for $N=1$ or 2 and $\epsilon_{N}=1$ for $N>2$. One then has

$$
G_{k}^{v}=\sum_{\substack{n \geqslant 1 \\ \operatorname{gcd}(n, N)=1}} \frac{1}{n^{k}} \sum_{\substack{(c, d) \equiv v \bmod N \\ \operatorname{gcd}(c, d)=n}} \frac{1}{(c \tau+d)^{k}}=\frac{1}{\epsilon_{N}} \sum_{n \in(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{*}}\left(\sum_{\substack{m \geqslant 1 \\ m \equiv n \bmod N}} \frac{1}{m^{k}}\right) E_{k}^{n^{-1} v},
$$

and conversely

$$
E_{k}^{v}=\epsilon_{N} \sum_{n \in(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{*}}\left(\sum_{\substack{m \geqslant 1 \\ m \equiv n \bmod N}} \frac{\mu(m)}{m^{k}}\right) G_{k}^{n^{-1} v}
$$

by Möbius inversion, so that the $E_{k}^{v}$ span the same subspace of $M_{k}(\Gamma(N))$ as the $G_{k}^{v}$, which will turn out to be exactly the Eisenstein subspace $E_{k}(\Gamma(N))$.

It is immediately checked that

$$
\lim _{\operatorname{Im} \tau \rightarrow+\infty} E_{k}^{v}(\tau)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } v= \pm(0,1) \\ 0, & \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

and that

$$
\left.E_{k}^{v}\right|_{k} \gamma=E_{k}^{v \gamma}
$$

for any $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, $\gamma$ being understood to act on the right on $v$ seen as a line-vector. Consequently, for all $v=\left(\bar{c}_{v}, \bar{d}_{v}\right) \in(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{2}, E_{k}^{v} \in M_{k}(\Gamma(N))-S_{k}(\Gamma(N))$ is a modular form which evaluates to 1 at the cusp $\Gamma(N)\left(-d_{v} / c_{v}\right)$ but vanishes at all of the other cusps of $X(N)$.

Proposition A.2.2.36. The $G_{k}^{v}$ and the $E_{k}^{v} \in E_{k}(\Gamma(N))$ lie in the Eisenstein subspace of $M_{k}(\Gamma(N))$.

Proof. One must show that

$$
\left\langle E_{k}^{v}, f\right\rangle=0
$$

for all cuspforms $f \in S_{k}(\Gamma(N))$. Now if $f$ is a cuspform, one has $a_{0}(f)=0$ whence

$$
\forall y>0, \quad \int_{0}^{N} f(x+i y) \mathrm{d} x=0
$$

and so

$$
0=\int_{0}^{+\infty} y^{k-2} \mathrm{~d} y \int_{0}^{N} f(x+i y) \mathrm{d} x=\iint_{\mathcal{D}_{N}} f(\tau)(\operatorname{Im} \tau)^{k} \mathrm{~d} \mu(\tau)
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{D}_{N}=\left\{\tau \in \mathcal{H}^{\bullet} \mid \tau=\infty \text { or } 0 \leqslant \operatorname{Re} \tau \leqslant N\right\}
$$

is a fundamental domain for the action of the group $P_{+}(N)$ made up of the matrices $\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & n N \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right], n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Writing

$$
\Gamma(N)=\bigsqcup_{i} P_{+}(N) \alpha_{i} \text { and } \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})=\bigsqcup_{i^{\prime}} \Gamma(N) \beta_{i^{\prime}}
$$

one has

$$
\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})=\bigsqcup_{i, i^{\prime}} P_{+}(N) \alpha_{i} \beta_{i^{\prime}} \text { and } \mathcal{D}_{N}=\bigsqcup_{i, i^{\prime}}^{\prime} \alpha_{i} \beta_{i^{\prime}} \mathcal{F}_{1}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ is the usual fundamental domain for $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ depicted on figure A.1.2.7 and $\bigsqcup^{\prime}$ means that the union is disjoint up to the boundaries, which have measure 0 , so that
$0=\sum_{i, i^{\prime}} \iint_{\mathcal{F}_{1}} f\left(\alpha_{i} \beta_{i^{\prime}} \cdot \tau\right)\left(\operatorname{Im} \alpha_{i} \beta_{i^{\prime}} \cdot \tau\right)^{k} \mathrm{~d} \mu(\tau)=\sum_{i, i^{\prime}} \iint_{\mathcal{F}_{1}} f\left(\beta_{i^{\prime}} \cdot \tau\right)\left(\operatorname{Im} \beta_{i^{\prime}} \cdot \tau\right)^{k} \overline{1 / j\left(\alpha_{i}, \tau\right)} \mathrm{d} \mu(\tau)$
since $\operatorname{Im} \gamma \cdot \tau=\frac{\operatorname{Im} \tau}{|j(\gamma, \tau)|}, j(\gamma, \tau)=c \tau+d, \gamma=\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right] \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, and $f(\gamma \cdot \tau)=f(\tau) j(\gamma, \tau)^{k}$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma(N)$ by modularity of $f$. Now,

$$
\sum_{i^{\prime}} \iint_{\mathcal{F}_{1}} \phi\left(\beta_{i^{\prime}} \cdot \tau\right) \mathrm{d} \mu(\tau)=\iint_{X(N)} \phi(\tau) \mathrm{d} \mu(\tau)
$$

by definition, and

$$
\sum_{i} \frac{1}{j\left(\alpha_{i}, \tau\right)}=\frac{1}{\epsilon_{N}} E_{k}^{v_{0}}(\tau)
$$

for $v_{0}=(0,1) \in(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{2}$, so this proves that $\left\langle E_{k}^{v_{0}}, f\right\rangle=0$. One concludes by writing any $v \in(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ of order $N$ as $v_{0} \cdot \gamma$ for some $\gamma=\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ and by computing that

$$
\left\langle E_{k}^{v}, f\right\rangle=\left\langle\left. E_{k}^{v_{0}}\right|_{k} \gamma, f\right\rangle=\left\langle E_{k}^{v_{0}},\left.f\right|_{k} \gamma^{-1}\right\rangle=0
$$

as $\left.f\right|_{k} \gamma^{-1}$ is a cuspform of level $\gamma \Gamma(N) \gamma^{-1}=\Gamma(N)$ since $\Gamma(N)$ is normal in $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$.

One may construct Eisenstein series in $E_{k}(N, \chi)$ by symmetrising over the $E_{k}^{v}$. Let $\psi$ and $\varphi$ be two Dirichlet characters with respective conductors $u$ and $v$ such that $u v=N$, and define

$$
G_{k}^{\psi, \varphi}=\sum_{r=0}^{u-1} \sum_{s=0}^{v-1} \sum_{t=0}^{u-1} \psi(r) \bar{\varphi}(s) G_{k}^{(r v, s+t v)}
$$

It is straightforward to check that $G_{k}^{\psi, \varphi} \in E_{k}(N, \psi \varphi)$. In particular, $G_{k}^{\psi, \varphi}$ vanishes identically if $\psi \varphi$ is odd, so I shall assume that $\psi \varphi$ is even from now on.

I shall now compute the $q$-expansion of $G_{k}^{\psi, \varphi}$. To begin with, it is natural to determine what the $q$-expansion of the $G_{k}^{v}$ 's is made up of. To clarify notations, define

$$
C_{k}=\frac{(-2 \pi i)^{k}}{(k-1)!}
$$

I start with the following classical formula (cf. [Ser70, formula (32) p. 150]:
Lemma A.2.2.37.

$$
\sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(\tau+d)^{k}}=C_{k} \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} m^{k-1} q^{m} \quad(\tau \in \mathcal{H}) .
$$

Using this, I may compute the $q$-expansion of $G_{k}^{v}$ :
Proposition A.2.2.38. Let $v=\left(\overline{c_{v}}, \overline{d_{v}}\right)$ be of order exactly $N$ in $(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{k}^{v}(\tau) & =\mathbb{1}_{\overline{c_{v}}=\overline{0}} \sum_{d \equiv d_{v} \bmod N} \frac{1}{d^{k}}+\frac{C_{k}}{N^{k}} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left(\sum_{\substack{m \mid n \\
n / m \equiv c_{v} \bmod N}} \operatorname{sgn}(m) m^{k-1} \mu_{N}^{d_{v} m}\right) q_{N}^{n} \\
& =\mathbb{1}_{\overline{c_{v}}=\overline{0}} \sum_{d \equiv d_{v} \bmod N} \frac{1}{d^{k}}+\frac{C_{k}}{N^{k}} \sum_{\substack{m n>0 \\
n \equiv c_{v} \bmod N}} \operatorname{sgn}(m) m^{k-1} \mu_{N}^{d_{v} m} q_{N}^{m n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here and in what follows, the symbol $\mathbb{1}_{C}$ means 1 if the condition $C$ is satisfied and 0 else. Observe that this is an expansion with respect to $q_{N}=\exp (2 \pi i \tau / N)$ instead of the usual $q=q_{1}$, since the width of the cusp $\infty$ of $X(N)$ is $N$ and not 1 .

Proof. First observe that

$$
G_{k}^{v}(\tau)=\sum_{\substack{(c, d) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\(c, d) \equiv v \bmod N}} \frac{1}{(c \tau+d)^{k}}=\frac{1}{N^{k}} \sum_{c \equiv c_{v} \bmod N} \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{c \tau+d_{v}}{N}+d\right)^{k}} .
$$

I shall now treat separately the terms corresponding to $c=0$ (if any), $c>0$ and $c<0$. First, for $c=0$, one clearly gets

$$
\mathbb{1}_{\overline{c_{v}}=\overline{0}} \sum_{d \equiv d_{v} \bmod N} \frac{1}{d^{k}} .
$$

Next, for $c>0$, one has

$$
\frac{1}{N^{k}} \sum_{\substack{c>0 \\ c \equiv c_{v} \bmod N}} \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{c \tau+d_{v}}{N}+d\right)^{k}}=\frac{C_{k}}{N^{k}} \sum_{\substack{c>0 \\ c \equiv c_{v} \bmod N}} \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} m^{k-1} \mu_{N}^{d_{v} m} q_{N}^{c m}
$$

$$
\underset{n=c m}{ } \frac{C_{k}}{N^{k}} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left(\sum_{\substack{m>0 \\ m \left\lvert\, n \\ \frac{n}{m} \equiv c_{v} \bmod N\right.}} m^{k-1} \mu_{N}^{d_{v} m}\right) q_{N}^{n}
$$

And similarly, for $c<0$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{N^{k}} \sum_{\substack{c \equiv c_{v} \bmod N}} \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{c \tau+d_{v}}{N}+d\right)^{k}}=\frac{(-1)^{k}}{N^{k}} \sum_{\substack{c<0 \\
c \equiv c_{v} \bmod N}} \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{-c \tau-d_{v}}{N}+d\right)^{k}} \\
&=\frac{C_{k}}{N^{k}} \sum_{\substack{c<0 \\
c \equiv c_{v} \bmod N}} \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty}-(-1)^{k-1} m^{k-1} \mu_{N}^{-d_{v} m} q_{N}^{-c m} \\
&=\begin{array}{c}
n=-c m \\
m^{\prime}=-m
\end{array} \frac{C_{k}}{N^{k}} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left(\sum_{\substack{m^{\prime}<0 \\
m^{\prime} \mid n \\
m^{\prime}=c_{v} \bmod N}}-m^{\prime k-1} \mu_{N}^{d_{v} m^{\prime}}\right) q_{N}^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing up ${ }^{[2]}$,

$$
G_{k}^{v}(\tau)=\mathbb{1}_{\bar{c}=\overline{0}} \sum_{d \equiv d_{v} \bmod N} \frac{1}{d^{k}}+\frac{C_{k}}{N^{k}} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left(\sum_{\substack{m \mid n \\ n / m \equiv c_{v} \bmod N}} \operatorname{sgn}(m) m^{k-1} \mu_{N}^{d_{v} m}\right) q_{N}^{n}
$$

which I shall soon use under the slightly different form

$$
=\mathbb{1}_{\overline{c_{v}}=\overline{0}} \sum_{d \equiv d_{v} \bmod N} \frac{1}{d^{k}}+\frac{C_{k}}{N^{k}} \sum_{\substack{m n>0 \\ n \equiv c_{v} \bmod N}} \operatorname{sgn}(m) m^{k-1} \mu_{N}^{d_{v} m} q_{N}^{m n}
$$

I can now achieve the computation of the $q$-expansion of $G_{k}^{\psi, \varphi}$ :
Theorem A.2.2.39. $G_{k}^{\psi, \varphi}(\tau)=\frac{C_{k} g(\bar{\varphi})}{v^{k}} E_{k}^{\psi, \varphi}(\tau)$,

$$
E_{k}^{\psi, \varphi}(\tau)=\mathbb{1}_{\psi \text { trivial }} L(1-k, \varphi)+2 \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left(\sum_{\substack{m>0 \\ m \mid n}} \psi(n / m) \varphi(m) m^{k-1}\right) q^{n}
$$

Here, $g(\chi)$ denotes the Gauss sum of a Dirichlet character $\chi$, and $L(\chi, z)$ is the $L$-function attached to $\chi$.

[^11]Proof. Compute that

$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{k}^{\psi, \varphi}(\tau)=\sum_{r=0}^{u-1} \sum_{s=0}^{v-1} \sum_{t=0}^{u-1} \psi(r) \bar{\varphi}(s) G_{k}^{r v, s+t v}(\tau) \\
=\sum_{r=0}^{u-1} \sum_{s=0}^{v-1} \sum_{t=0}^{u-1} \psi(r) \bar{\varphi}(s)\left(\mathbb{1}_{r v \equiv 0 \bmod N} \sum_{d \equiv s+t v \bmod N} \frac{1}{d^{k}}+\frac{C_{k}}{N^{k}} \sum_{\substack{m n>0 \\
n \equiv r v \bmod N}} \operatorname{sgn}(m) m^{k-1} \mu_{N}^{(s+t v) m} q_{N}^{m n}\right) \\
=n_{n=n^{\prime} v} \psi(0) \sum_{s=0}^{v-1} \bar{\varphi}(s) \sum_{d \equiv s \bmod v} \frac{1}{d^{k}}+\frac{C_{k}}{N^{k}} \sum_{r=0}^{u-1} \sum_{s=0}^{v-1} \psi(r) \bar{\varphi}(s) \sum_{\substack{m n^{\prime}>0 \\
n^{\prime} \equiv r \bmod u}} \operatorname{sgn}(m) m^{k-1} \mu_{N}^{s m} q_{u}^{m n^{\prime}} \underbrace{\sum_{u}^{t m}}_{\mathbb{1}_{u \mid m}^{u-1} \sum_{t=0}^{t m}}
\end{gathered}
$$

But when $u=1, \psi$ is trivial and thus $\varphi$ and hence $\bar{\varphi}$ are even, so that

$$
\sum_{s=0}^{v-1} \bar{\varphi}(s) \sum_{d \equiv s \bmod v} \frac{1}{d^{k}}=2 L(k, \bar{\varphi})
$$

Consequently, setting also $m=m^{\prime} u$, one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{k}^{\psi, \varphi}(\tau)=\mathbb{1}_{u=1} 2 L(k, \bar{\varphi})+\frac{C_{k}}{N^{k}} \sum_{r=0}^{u-1} \sum_{s=0}^{v-1} \psi(r) \bar{\varphi}(s) \sum_{\substack{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}>0 \\
n^{\prime} \equiv r \bmod u}} \operatorname{sgn}\left(m^{\prime}\right) m^{\prime k-1} u^{k-1} \mu_{v}^{s m^{\prime}} q^{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}} u \\
& =\mathbb{1}_{u=1} 2 L(k, \bar{\varphi})+\frac{C_{k}}{v^{k}} \sum_{r=0}^{u-1} \psi(r) \sum_{\substack{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}>0 \\
n^{\prime} \equiv r \bmod u}} \operatorname{sgn}\left(m^{\prime}\right) m^{\prime k-1} q^{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}} \underbrace{\sum_{s=0}^{v-1} \bar{\varphi}(s) \mu_{v}^{s m^{\prime}}}_{g\left(\bar{\varphi}, m^{\prime}\right)=g(\bar{\varphi}) \varphi\left(m^{\prime}\right)} \\
& =\mathbb{1}_{u=1} 2 L(k, \bar{\varphi})+\frac{C_{k} g(\bar{\varphi})}{v^{k}} \sum_{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}>0} \operatorname{sgn}\left(m^{\prime}\right) m^{\prime k-1} \varphi\left(m^{\prime}\right) \psi\left(n^{\prime}\right) q^{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}} \\
& \underset{(\psi \varphi)(-1)=(-1)^{k}}{=} \mathbb{1}_{u=1} 2 L(k, \bar{\varphi})+\frac{2 C_{k} g(\bar{\varphi})}{v^{k}} \sum_{m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}>0} m^{\prime k-1} \varphi\left(m^{\prime}\right) \psi\left(n^{\prime}\right) q^{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It now remains to factor $\frac{C_{k} g(\bar{\varphi})}{v^{k}}$ out of the constant term, so as to make $E_{k}^{\psi, \varphi}$ appear. For even $\varphi$, the functional equation (cf. [DSO.5, section 4.4]) of $L(z, \bar{\varphi})$ reads

$$
\pi^{-z / 2} \Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) v^{z} L(z, \bar{\varphi})=\pi^{-(1-z) / 2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-z}{2}\right) g(\bar{\varphi}) L(1-z, \varphi)
$$

Setting $z=k$, one consequently gets that the constant term of $G_{k}^{\psi, \varphi}$, if any, is

$$
\mathbb{1}_{u=1} 2 L(k, \bar{\varphi})=\mathbb{1}_{u=1} \frac{2 \pi^{k-1 / 2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-k}{2}\right) g(\bar{\varphi}) L(1-k, \varphi)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) v^{k}}
$$

In order to simplify out the Г's, one first invokes Euler's reflection formula

$$
\Gamma(z) \Gamma(1-z)=\frac{\pi}{\sin \pi z}
$$

which shows that

$$
\Gamma\left(\frac{1-k}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)=\frac{\pi}{\sin \pi \frac{k+1}{2}}=\frac{\pi}{\cos \frac{k \pi}{2}}
$$

and therefore

$$
\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-k}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)}=\frac{\pi}{\cos \frac{k \pi}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)},
$$

and then the duplication formula

$$
\Gamma(z) \Gamma(z+1 / 2)=2^{1-2 z} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(2 z)
$$

which yields

$$
\frac{\pi}{\cos \frac{k \pi}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}=\frac{2^{k-1} \sqrt{\pi}}{\cos \frac{k \pi}{2} \Gamma(k)} .
$$

In the end, it appears that the constant term of $G_{k}^{\psi, \varphi}$ is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{1}_{u=1} \frac{2 \pi^{k-1 / 2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-k}{2}\right) g(\bar{\varphi}) L(1-k, \varphi)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) v^{k}}=\mathbb{1}_{u=1} \frac{2^{k-1} 2 \sqrt{\pi} \pi^{k-1 / 2} g(\bar{\varphi}) L(1-k, \varphi)}{\cos \frac{k \pi}{2} \Gamma(k) v^{k}} \\
=\mathbb{1}_{u=1} \frac{2^{k} \pi^{k} g(\bar{\varphi}) L(1-k, \varphi)}{(-1)^{k / 2}(k-1)!v^{k}}=\mathbb{1}_{u=1} \frac{C_{k} g(\bar{\varphi})}{v^{k}} L(1-k, \varphi) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The proof is now complete.
In order to make the term $L(1-k, \varphi)$ more explicit, introduce the twisted Bernoulli numbers $B_{k, \chi}$, defined by

$$
\sum_{a=0}^{n-1} \chi(n) \frac{t e^{a t}}{e^{n t}-1}=\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} B_{k, \chi} \frac{t^{k}}{k!}
$$

where $\chi$ is a Dirichlet character modulo $n$.
Proposition A.2.2.40. (i) Let $B_{k}(X)$ denote the Bernoulli polynomials, defined by the generating function

$$
\frac{t e^{t X}}{e^{t}-1}=\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} B_{k}(X) \frac{t^{k}}{k!}
$$

Then the twisted Bernoulli numbers $B_{k, \chi}$ can be computed using the formula

$$
B_{k, \chi}=n^{k-1} \sum_{a=0}^{n-1} \chi(a) B_{k}(a / n)
$$

(ii) The values of the L-function attached to $\chi$ at non-positive integers is related to the twisted Bernoulli numbers by the formula

$$
L(1-k, \chi)=-\frac{B_{k, \chi}}{k}
$$

For a proof, cf. [DS0.5, section 4.7].

## Corollary A.2.2.41.

$$
E_{k}^{\psi, \varphi}(\tau)=-\mathbb{1}_{\psi \text { trivial }} \frac{B_{k, \varphi}}{k}+2 \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left(\sum_{\substack{m>0 \\ m \mid n}} \psi(n / m) \varphi(m) m^{k-1}\right) q^{n}
$$

For each pair of Dirichlet characters $\psi$ and $\varphi$ of respective conductors $u$ and $v$, the Eisenstein series $E_{k}^{\psi, \varphi}(\tau)$ lies in $E_{k}(u v, \psi \varphi)$, so the series $E_{k}^{\psi, \varphi}(t \tau)$ lies in $E_{k}(N, \psi \varphi)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t u v \mid N$. One can show (cf. [DSO5, theorem 4.5.2]) that the number of triplets $(\psi, \varphi, t)$ such that $\psi \varphi$ is even and $t \mathfrak{f}_{\psi} \mathfrak{f}_{\varphi} \mid N$, where $\mathfrak{f}_{\chi}$ denotes the conductor of a character $\chi$, agrees with the number of cusps of $X_{1}(N)$, which is the dimension of $E_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)$ given by formula A.2.2.10, and that the corresponding series $E_{k}^{\psi, \varphi}(t \tau)$ form a basis of $E_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)$. This can be summarised into the decomposition

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)=\bigoplus_{\chi \text { even }} E_{k}(N, \chi), \\
E_{k}(N, \chi)=\bigoplus_{\substack{\psi, \varphi \\
\psi \varphi=\chi}} \bigoplus_{t f_{\psi} f_{\varphi} \mid N} \mathbb{C} E_{k}^{\psi, \varphi}(t \tau) \quad(\chi \text { even }) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The case of weight $k=2$
For $k=2$, the above definition of the series $G_{k}^{v}$ no longer makes sense due to convergence issues. However, this problem may be overcome by forming null-sum linear combinations of such series:

Proposition A.2.2.42. Let $\left(\lambda_{v}\right)$ be a family of complex numbers indexed by vectors of $(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ of order exactly $N$. If $\sum_{v} \lambda_{v}=0$, it makes sense to define $\sum_{v} \lambda_{v} E_{2}^{v}$ as

$$
\sum_{v} \lambda_{v} E_{2}^{v}(\tau)=\frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{c, d \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{v} \frac{\lambda_{v}}{\left(\frac{c_{v} \tau+d_{v}}{N}+c \tau+d\right)^{2}},
$$

where again $c_{v}$ and $d_{v}$ stand for lifts to $\mathbb{Z}$ of the coordinates of $v$. This is a locally normally convergent series of $\tau$.

Proof. A Taylor expansion computation reveals that the fact that $\sum_{v} \lambda_{v}=0$ kills the first term of the Taylor expansion of $\sum_{v} \frac{\lambda_{v}}{\left(\frac{c_{v} \tau+d_{v}}{N}+c \tau+d\right)^{k}}$ as $(c, d) \rightarrow \infty$. The order of magnitude of this first term was $\frac{1}{\|(c, d)\|^{k}}$, hence this sum becomes $O\left(\frac{1}{\|(c, d)\|^{k+1}}\right)$, ensuring local normal convergence of the double series even for $k=2$.

Remark A.2.2.43. For the same reason, one could even define Eisenstein series of weight $k=1$ by also requiring that $\sum_{v} \lambda_{v} v=0$, which results in killing the second term of the Taylor expansion of $\sum_{v} \frac{\lambda_{v}}{\left(\frac{c_{v} \tau+d_{v}}{N}+c \tau+d\right)^{k}}$. I shall however not need this fact, but I refer the reader to [DSO5, section 4.8] for more comments on this question.

One shows just as in the higher weight case that the series $\sum_{v} \lambda_{v} E_{2}^{v}$ are modular of weight 2 and level $\Gamma(N)$ and that they are orthogonal to the cuspforms.

Say that a vector $v=\left(\bar{c}_{v}, \bar{d}_{v}\right) \in(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ represents the cusp $s=\Gamma(N)\left(-d_{v} / c_{v}\right)$ of $X(N)$, and let $v_{1}, \cdots, v_{\varepsilon_{\infty}}$ represent the $\varepsilon_{\infty}$ cusps of $X(N)$. Then for each $0 \leqslant$ $i<\varepsilon_{\infty}$, the series $E_{2}^{v_{i}}-E_{2}^{v_{\varepsilon} \infty}$ evaluates to 1 on the cusp corresponding to $v_{i}$, to -1 on the cusp corresponding to $v_{\varepsilon_{\infty}}$, and vanishes at the other cusps. These $\varepsilon_{\infty}-1$ series are thus linearly independent, hence form a basis of $E_{2}(\Gamma(N))$ since this space has dimension $\varepsilon_{\infty}-1$ by the formulae A.2.2.10, and therefore

$$
E_{2}(\Gamma(N))=\left\{\sum_{v} \lambda_{v} E_{2}^{v} \mid \sum_{v} \lambda_{v}=0\right\} .
$$

The series

$$
G_{2}^{\psi, \varphi}=\sum_{r=0}^{u-1} \sum_{s=0}^{v-1} \sum_{t=0}^{u-1} \psi(r) \bar{\varphi}(s) G_{2}^{r v, s+t v}(\tau)
$$

is well defined and lies in $E_{2}(N, \psi \varphi)$, unless $\psi$ and $\varphi$ are both trivial. The $q$-expansion computations carried out in the case $k \geqslant 4$ remain valid for these series, and since the second Bernoulli polynomial is $B_{2}(X)=X^{2}-X+\frac{1}{6}$, one has

$$
L(-1, \varphi)=-\frac{B_{2, \varphi}}{2}=-\frac{v}{2} \sum_{a=0}^{v-1} \varphi(a)\left(\left(\frac{a}{v}\right)^{2}+\frac{a}{v}-\frac{1}{6}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=0}^{v-1} \varphi(a) a\left(\frac{a}{v}+1\right)
$$

if $\varphi$ is non-trivial, so that

$$
E_{2}^{\psi, \varphi}(\tau)=-\mathbb{1}_{\psi \text { trivial }} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=0}^{v-1} \varphi(a) a\left(\frac{a}{v}+1\right)+2 \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left(\sum_{\substack{m>0 \\ m \mid n}} \psi(n / m) \varphi(m) m\right) q^{n}
$$

since $\psi$ and $\varphi$ are forbidden to be both trivial.
Recall the series $E_{2}=1-24 \sum_{n \geqslant 1} \sigma_{1}(n) q^{n}$. This series is not modular, but one can prove that $E_{2, N}(\tau)=E_{2}(\tau)-N E_{2}(N \tau)$ lies in $E_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(N)\right)$. For $\psi$ and $\varphi$ Dirichlet characters and $t \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$
E_{2}^{\psi, \varphi, t}(\tau)= \begin{cases}E_{2}^{\psi, \varphi}(t \tau) & \text { if } \psi \text { and } \varphi \text { are not both trivial, } \\ E_{2, t} & \text { if } \psi \text { and } \varphi \text { are both trivial. }\end{cases}
$$

Then, as is the higher weight case, one has the decomposition

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)=\bigoplus_{\chi \text { even }} E_{2}(N, \chi) \\
E_{2}(N, \chi)=\bigoplus_{\substack{\psi, \varphi \\
\psi \varphi=\chi}}^{\substack{\begin{subarray}{c}{t f_{\psi} f_{\varphi} \mid N \\
(\psi, \varphi, t) \neq(\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}, 1)} }}\end{subarray}} \mathbb{C} E_{2}^{\psi, \varphi, t} \quad(\chi \text { even })
\end{gathered}
$$

for $\chi$ even.
Note that the case $\psi$ and $\varphi$ both trivial and $t=1$ is excluded, since $E_{2}^{\psi, \varphi, t}=0$ then. This translates the fact that

$$
\operatorname{dim} E_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)=\varepsilon_{\infty}-1=\operatorname{dim} E_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)-1 \quad(k \geqslant 4)
$$

No matter whether $k \geqslant 4$ or $k=2$, the action of $W_{N}$ on the Eisenstein series $E_{k}^{\psi, \phi}$ is given by the following formula:

Proposition A.2.2.44. Let $k \in 2 \mathbb{N}$, and let $\psi$ and $\varphi$ be Dirichlet characters modulo respectively $u$ and $v$, such that $u v=N$. Then

$$
W_{N} E_{k}^{\psi, \phi}=\frac{g(\psi)}{g(\bar{\varphi})}\left(\frac{v}{u}\right)^{k / 2} \psi(-1) E_{k}^{\bar{\phi}, \bar{\psi}}
$$

Proof. It is easier to work with the $G_{k}^{\psi, \varphi}$. First compute that

$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{k}^{\psi, \varphi}(\tau)=\sum_{r=0}^{u-1} \sum_{s=0}^{v-1} \sum_{t=0}^{u-1} \psi(r) \varphi(s) G_{k}^{(r v, s+t v)}(\tau)=\sum_{r=0}^{u-1} \sum_{s=0}^{v-1} \sum_{t=0}^{u-1} \sum_{\substack{\left(c^{\prime}, d\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\text { anc } \\
d=s+t v \bmod N \\
d \equiv+t v \bmod N}} \frac{\psi(r) \varphi(s)}{\left(c^{\prime} \tau+d\right)^{k}} \\
c^{\prime}=c v \\
=\sum_{r=0}^{u-1} \sum_{s=0}^{v-1} \sum_{t=0}^{u-1} \sum_{\substack{(c, d) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
d \equiv r \bmod u \\
d \equiv s+t v \bmod N}} \frac{\psi(r) \varphi(s)}{(c v \tau+d)^{k}}=\sum_{r=0}^{u-1} \sum_{s=0}^{v-1} \sum_{t=0}^{u-1} \sum_{\substack{(c, d) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
c=r \bmod u \\
d \equiv s+t v \bmod N}} \frac{\psi(c) \varphi(d)}{(c v \tau+d)^{k}} \\
=\sum_{(c, d) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}} \frac{\psi(c) \bar{\varphi}(d)}{(v c \tau+d)^{k}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Using this identity, I can compute $W_{N} G_{k}^{\psi, \varphi}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(W_{N} G_{k}^{\psi, \varphi}\right)(\tau) & =\frac{1}{N^{k / 2} \tau^{k}} \sum_{(c, d) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}} \frac{\psi(c) \bar{\varphi}(d)}{\left(\frac{-v c}{N \tau}+d\right)^{k}}=N^{k / 2} \sum_{(c, d) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}} \frac{\psi(c) \bar{\varphi}(d)}{(-v c+N d \tau)^{k}} \\
& =\frac{N^{k / 2}}{v^{k}} \sum_{(c, d) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}} \frac{\bar{\varphi}(d) \psi(c)}{(u d \tau-c)^{k}}=\left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^{k / 2} \sum_{\left(c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}} \frac{\bar{\varphi}\left(c^{\prime}\right) \psi\left(-d^{\prime}\right)}{\left(u c^{\prime} \tau+d^{\prime}\right)^{k}} \\
& =\left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^{k / 2} \psi(-1) \sum_{\left(c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}} \frac{\bar{\varphi}\left(c^{\prime}\right) \psi\left(d^{\prime}\right)}{\left(u c^{\prime} \tau+d^{\prime}\right)^{k}}=\left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^{k / 2} \psi(-1) G_{k}^{\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\psi}}(\tau),
\end{aligned}
$$

whence the identity

$$
W_{N} G_{k}^{\psi, \varphi}=\left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^{k / 2} \psi(-1) G_{k}^{\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\psi}}
$$

It then only remains to use on both sides the formula $G_{k}^{\psi, \varphi}=\frac{C_{k} g(\bar{\varphi})}{v^{k}} E_{k}^{\psi, \varphi}$ defining $E_{k}^{\psi, \varphi}$ to complete the proof.

## A.2.3 Modular symbols

Let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ be a congruence subgroup, and view the corresponding modular curve $X(\Gamma)$ as a Riemann surface. Modular symbols, which I shall now introduce, are an explicit realisation of the homology group $H_{1}(X(\Gamma), \mathbb{Z})$ as a Hecke-module. This is very useful, since this explicitness can be spread by duality to spaces of modular forms, which allows to compute these spaces, that is to say, given $B \in \mathbb{N}$, to compute the $q$-expansion to precision $O\left(q^{B}\right)$ of the elements of a basis of $S_{2}(\Gamma)$. As an illustration, I shall compute the space $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(11)\right)$ in example a.2.3.12. Note that the ideas presented here can be generalised to higher weights $k \in 2 \mathbb{N}$ without much difficulty (cf. [Ste(07, chapter 8]), but I shall stick to $k=2$ here for simplicity.

## A.2.3.1 Computing with modular symbols

Let $\mathbb{M}_{2}$ be the group of modular symbols, that is to say the free abelian group on the set of couples $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ of cusps $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{Q}$ modulo the relation

$$
\{\alpha, \beta\}+\{\beta, \gamma\}+\{\gamma, \alpha\}=0
$$

and modulo any torsion. The couple $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is meant to be thought of as an oriented path joining $\alpha$ to $\beta$ in $\mathcal{H}^{\bullet}$ and defined up to homotopy, as shown on figure A.2.3.].


Figure A.2.3.1: Two modular symbols

Remark A.2.3.2. Beware that $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ really denotes the class of the couple $(\alpha, \beta)$ and not the set containing $\alpha$ and $\beta$, so that the order between $\alpha$ and $\beta$ matters (modular symbols represent oriented paths). The notation $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is deceptive, but it is standard.

One extends the action of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{Q})^{+}$on $\mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{Q}$ by defining

$$
\gamma \cdot\{\alpha, \beta\}=\{\gamma \cdot \alpha, \gamma \cdot \beta\} \quad\left(\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}), \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{Q}\right)
$$

Lemma A.2.3.3. The group $\mathbb{M}_{2}$ is generated by the elements $\gamma \cdot\{\infty, 0\}, \gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. I shall actually prove that every modular symbol of the form $\{\alpha, 0\}$ is a sum of symbols of the form $\pm \gamma \cdot\{\infty, 0\}, \gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. This is enough since every modular symbol $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ can be written as $\{\alpha, 0\}-\{\beta, 0\}$.

If $\alpha=\infty$, then one can take $\gamma=1$ and the proof is over, so assume that $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$. Let $\lfloor\alpha\rfloor=\frac{p_{0}}{q_{0}}, \frac{p_{1}}{q_{1}}, \cdots, \frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}=\alpha$ be the convergents of the continued fraction expansion of $\alpha$. Then the theory of continued fractions (cf. for instance [HW08, ch. X, theorem 150]) indicates that $p_{i+1} q_{i}-p_{i} q_{i+1}=(-1)^{i}$ for all $i<n$, and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{\alpha, 0\} & =\left\{\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}, \frac{p_{n-1}}{q_{n-1}}\right\}+\cdots\left\{\frac{p_{1}}{q_{1}}, \frac{p_{0}}{q_{0}}\right\}+\{\lfloor\alpha\rfloor, 0\} \\
& =\gamma_{n-1}\{\infty, 0\}+\cdots+\gamma_{0}\{\infty, 0\}+\gamma^{\prime}\{\infty, 0\}+\{\infty, 0\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{c}p_{i+1}(-1)^{i} p_{i} \\ q_{i+1}(-1)^{i} q_{i}\end{array}\right]$ and $\gamma^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\lfloor\alpha\rfloor & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right]$ lie in $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$.
I now fix a level $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and a congruence subgroup $\Gamma=\Gamma_{0}(N)$ or $\Gamma_{1}(N)$.
Definition A.2.3.4. The group $\mathbb{M}_{2}(\Gamma)$ of modular symbols of level $\Gamma$ is the quotient of $\mathbb{M}_{2}$ by the action of $\Gamma$ and modulo any resulting torsion.

Example A.2.3.5. As $\gamma=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right] \in \Gamma$, one has

$$
\{0,1\}=\{\infty, 1\}-\{\infty, 0\}=\gamma \cdot\{\infty, 0\}-\{\infty, 0\}=0
$$

in $\mathbb{M}_{2}(\Gamma)$.
One defines an action of the Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{T}_{2, N}$ of weight 2 and level $N$ (cf. section A.2.1.2) on $\mathbb{M}_{2}(\Gamma)$ by the formulae

$$
\langle\bar{d}\rangle\{\alpha, \beta\}=\gamma \cdot\{\alpha, \beta\}, \gamma=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right] \in \Gamma_{0}(N), d \equiv \bar{d} \bmod N
$$

and

$$
T_{n}\{\alpha, \beta\}=\sum_{\substack{a, d \in \mathbb{N}  \tag{A.2.3.6}\\
\operatorname{gcd}(a, N)=1 \\
a d=n \\
0 \leqslant b<d}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
0 & d
\end{array}\right] \cdot\langle a\rangle\{\alpha, \beta\} .
$$

By the identification of $S_{2}(\Gamma)$ with the space $\Omega^{1}(X(\Gamma))$ of holomorphic differential 1-forms on $X(\Gamma)$, one gets an integration pairing

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{M}_{2}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes} S_{2}(\Gamma) & \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\
\{\alpha, \beta\} \otimes f & \longmapsto\langle\{\alpha, \beta\}, f\rangle=\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \tag{A.2.3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the integral converges since it corresponds to the integration of $f$, seen as a holomorphic differential 1-form on $X(\Gamma)$, along the projection on $X(\Gamma)$ of a path in $\mathcal{H}^{\bullet}$ joining $\alpha$ to $\beta$ (cf. figure A.2.3.1]). Furthermore, this pairing is well-defined, since the differential $f(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau$ is $\Gamma$-invariant as $f \in S_{2}(\Gamma)$ and since $\mathcal{H}^{\bullet}$ is simply connected. Finally, and this is the key point, this paring is Hecke-equivariant, that is to say

$$
\langle T s, f\rangle=\langle s, T f\rangle
$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{M}_{2}(\Gamma), f \in S_{2}(\Gamma)$ and $T \in \mathbb{T}$.
Fix a coset decomposition

$$
\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})=\bigsqcup_{i \in I} \Gamma \gamma_{i} .
$$

According to example A.2.1.3, if $\Gamma=\Gamma_{1}(N)$ one can take $I=A_{N}$, the set of vectors in $(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ of order exactly $N$, whereas if $\Gamma=\Gamma_{0}(N)$, one can take $I=\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})$. Lemma $A .2 .3 .3$ shows that the group $\mathbb{M}_{2}(\Gamma)$ is spanned by the modular symbols $[i]=\gamma_{i}\{\infty, 0\}, i \in I$. The symbols $[i]$ are called the Manin symbols attached to the coset decomposition above.

One lets $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ act on the right on the set of Manin symbols by the rule

$$
[i] \cdot \gamma=[j] \quad \text { where } \Gamma \gamma_{i} \gamma=\Gamma \gamma_{j} .
$$

Let $R=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1\end{array}\right]$ and $S=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right] \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Then one sees that for all $i \in I$,

$$
[i]+[i] \cdot S=\gamma_{i} \cdot\{\infty, 0\}+\gamma_{i} S \cdot\{\infty, 0\}=\left\{\gamma_{i} \cdot \infty, \gamma_{i} \cdot 0\right\}+\left\{\gamma_{i} \cdot 0, \gamma_{i} \cdot \infty\right\}=0
$$

and

$$
[i]+[i] \cdot R+[i] \cdot R^{2}=\left\{\gamma_{i} \cdot \infty, \gamma_{i} \cdot 0\right\}+\left\{\gamma_{i} \cdot 0, \gamma_{i} \cdot 1\right\}+\left\{\gamma_{i} \cdot 1, \gamma_{i} \cdot \infty\right\}=0
$$

J. Manin proved in [Man72] that these are actually the "only" relations satisfied by the Manin symbols:

Theorem A.2.3.8 (Manin). The kernel of the natural surjective morphism

$$
\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}[i] \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}_{2}(\Gamma)
$$

is generated over $\mathbb{Z}$ by the elements $[i]+[i] \cdot S$ and $[i]+[i] \cdot R+[i] \cdot R^{2}, i \in I$.
The proof of this theorem requires some work, cf. [Man72, section 1.7].
From this, one can find a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathbb{M}_{2}(\Gamma)$ (which exists as $\mathbb{Z}$ is principal) in terms on Manin symbols, by performing linear algebra over $\mathbb{Z}$ (cf. [Coh93, section 2.4.3]). The interest of this is that it makes effective computations in $\mathbb{M}_{2}(\Gamma)$ easy (cf. example A.2.3.12 below for a fully worked-out case).

In order to compute the matrix of a Hecke operator $T_{n} \in \mathbb{T}$ acting on $\mathbb{M}_{2}(\Gamma)$ with respect to this basis, it is natural to use formula ( $\boxed{(2.3 .6}$ ) directly, and to convert the resulting terms into Manin symbols by the process explained in the proof of lemma A.2.3.3. One may, however, compute for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a finite set $H_{n} \subset \operatorname{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Z})$ of matrices, called the Heilbronn matrices, such as the action of $T_{n}$ on Manin symbols is given directly by

$$
T_{n}[i]=\sum_{h \in H_{n}}[i] \cdot h,
$$

which is a more efficient approach (cf. [Cre97, section 2.4] or [Ste07, sections 3.4.2 and 8.3.2] for details).

The modular symbols are meant to represent the homology $H_{1}(X(\Gamma), \mathbb{Z})$ of $X(\Gamma)$, but $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ represents a path from $\alpha$ to $\beta$, which projects to a closed loop on $X(\Gamma)$ if and only if the cusps $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are equivalent under $\Gamma$. This justifies the following definition:

Definition A.2.3.9. The subgroup $\mathbb{S}_{2}(\Gamma)$ of cuspidal modular symbols is the kernel of the boundary morphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial: & \mathbb{M}_{2}(\Gamma) \\
& \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left[\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{Q}\right] \\
& \alpha, \beta\}
\end{aligned} \longmapsto \Gamma \beta-\Gamma \alpha,
$$

where $\mathbb{Z}\left[\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{Q}\right]$ denotes the free abelian group on the set $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{Q}$ of cusps of $X(\Gamma)$.
It is clear that the Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}$ stabilises $\mathbb{S}_{2}(\Gamma)$. One can prove (cf. [Man72, theorem 1.9]) that $\mathbb{S}_{2}(\Gamma)$ and $H_{1}(X(\Gamma), \mathbb{Z})$ are isomorphic $\mathbb{T}$-modules as expected; in particular, the $\mathbb{Z}$-rank of $\mathbb{S}_{2}(\Gamma)$ is twice the genus $g$ of $X(\Gamma)$.

Remark A.2.3.10. As mentioned in the beginning of this section, one can also define the group $\mathbb{S}_{k}(\Gamma)$ of modular symbols of higher weight $k \in 2 \mathbb{N}$ (cf. [Ste07, chapter 8]), which is also free of finite $\mathbb{Z}$-rank and which comes with a natural dual action of the Hecke algebra of weight $k$. As a consequence, for each congruence subgroup $\Gamma$ and for each weight $k \in 2 \mathbb{N}$, there exists a number field $K_{k, \Gamma}$ such that for all Hecke operator $T \in \mathbb{T}_{k, \Gamma}$, the eigenvalues of $T$ lie in the ring of integers of $K_{k, \Gamma}$.

In order to compute $\mathbb{S}_{2}(\Gamma)$, one needs a practical criterion for the $\Gamma$-equivalence of cusps. J. Cremona gave such a criterion:

Proposition A.2.3.11. Let $p / q$ and $p^{\prime} / q^{\prime} \in \mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{Q}$ be two cusps written in lowest terms (in particular, $\infty$ must be written $1 / 0$, and $q, q^{\prime} \geqslant 0$ in any case), and let $N \in \mathbb{N}$.
(i) These cusps are equivalent under $\Gamma_{1}(N)$ if and only if $q \equiv q^{\prime} \bmod N$ and $p \equiv p^{\prime} \bmod \operatorname{gcd}(q, N)$.
(ii) Let $r, r^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $p r \equiv 1 \bmod q$ and $p^{\prime} r^{\prime} \equiv 1 \bmod q^{\prime}$. Then these cusps are equivalent under $\Gamma_{0}(N)$ if and only if $q r^{\prime} \equiv q^{\prime} r \bmod \operatorname{gcd}\left(q q^{\prime}, N\right)$.

I refer the reader to [Cre.92, lemma 3.2] for the proof of the $\Gamma_{1}(N)$ case, and to [Cre97, proposition 2.2.3] for the proof of the $\Gamma_{0}(N)$ case.

These criteria allow one to write down the matrix of the boundary morphism $\partial$ with respect to a basis of Manin symbols (computed by applying theorem A.2.3.8) of $\mathbb{M}_{2}(\Gamma)$ and to a set of representatives of $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{Q}$. Note that in practice, one can construct this set along with the computation of $\partial$, by testing for equivalence the cusp which is handled with an initially empty list of pairwise inequivalent cusps. From there, one can compute a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathbb{S}_{2}(\Gamma)$ by performing linear algebra over $\mathbb{Z}$, and deduce the genus of $X(\Gamma)$ as half the $\mathbb{Z}$-rank of $\mathbb{S}_{2}(\Gamma)$ (cf. example A.2.3.12 below).

In what follows, I shall denote by $a_{n}$ the linear form on $S_{2}(\Gamma)$

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} a_{m} q^{m} \longmapsto a_{n}
$$

and by $\mathbb{T}_{C}=\mathbb{T} \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} \mathbb{C} \subset \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(S_{2}(\Gamma)\right)$ denotes the Hecke algebra with scalars extended to $\mathbb{C}$. By lemma A.2.2.30, the pairing

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes S_{\mathbb{C}} S_{2}(\Gamma) & \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\
T \otimes f & \longmapsto a_{1}(T f)
\end{aligned}
$$

is perfect, so that the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi: \mathbb{S}_{2}(\Gamma) & \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee} \\
f & \longmapsto\left(T \mapsto a_{1}(T f)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbb{T}_{C}^{\vee}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ denotes the linear dual of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}$, is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphism. Furthermore, example A.2.2.17 shows that the image of a linear form $\varphi \in \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee}$ by its inverse is

$$
\Psi^{-1}(\varphi)=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \varphi\left(T_{n}\right) q^{n} \in S_{2}(\Gamma)
$$

Now, the computation of the matrices of the Hecke operators $T_{n}$ acting on $\mathbb{S}_{2}(\Gamma)$ above yields an explicit embedding

$$
M: \mathbb{T} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Mat}_{2 g \times 2 g}(\mathbb{Z})
$$

Let $a_{i, j}: \operatorname{Mat}_{2 g \times 2 g}(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant 2 g$, be the " $(i, j)$-matrix coefficient" linear form. By composing with $M$, one gets linear forms $a_{i, j} \circ M \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{Z})$ which span $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee}$ over $\mathbb{C}$, and so the forms

$$
f_{i, j}=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_{i, j}\left(M\left(T_{n}\right)\right) q^{n}
$$

form an explicit generating family of $S_{2}(\Gamma)$.
Example A.2.3.12. In order to illustrate all of this, I shall now compute a basis of $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(11)\right)$ to precision $O\left(q^{4}\right)$ explicitly.

By example A.2.1.3, one has the coset decomposition

$$
\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})=\bigsqcup_{x \in \mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{F}_{11}} \Gamma_{0}(11) \gamma_{x}
$$

with $\gamma_{x}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ \widetilde{x} & 1\end{array}\right]$ for $x \in \mathbb{F}_{11}$ and $\widetilde{x}$ the lift to $\mathbb{Z}$ between 0 and 10 of $x$, and $\gamma_{\infty}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right]$. One finds by looking at the bottom row of the matrices $\gamma_{x} R$ and $\gamma_{x} S$ that the matrices $R$ and $S$ act on the corresponding Manin symbols $[x]$ by

$$
[x] \cdot S=[-1 / x]
$$

whence the relations

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
{[0]+[\infty]=0,} & {[1]+[10]=0,} & {[2]+[5]=0} \\
{[3]+[7]=0,} & {[4]+[8]=0,} & {[6]+[9]=0}
\end{array}
$$

and by

$$
[x] \cdot R=\left[\frac{-1}{x+1}\right],
$$

whence the relations

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
{[0]+[10]+[\infty]=0,} & {[2]+[7]+[4]=0,} \\
{[1]+[5]+[9]=0,} & {[3]+[8]+[6]=0,}
\end{array}
$$

from which it follows that the Manin symbols [0], [2], [4] form a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathbb{M}_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(11)\right)$, the other Manin symbols being given by

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
{[1]=0,} & {[3]=[2]+[4],} & {[5]=-[2],} \\
{[6]=-[2],} & {[7]=-[2]-[4],} & {[8]=-[4],} \\
{[9]=[2],} & {[10]=0,} & {[\infty]=-[0] .}
\end{array}
$$

Next, one has $[0]=\{\infty, 0\},[2]=\{1 / 2,0\}$ and $[4]=\{1 / 4,0\}$, and the cusps $1 / 2$ and $1 / 4$ are equivalent to 0 under $\Gamma_{0}(11)$ by the criterion A.2.3.11(ii) whereas $\infty$ is not equivalent to 0 , so $\mathbb{S}_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(11)\right)$ is the subgroup of $\mathbb{M}_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(11)\right)$ generated by [2] and [4]. In particular, its $\mathbb{Z}$-rank is 2 , so the genus of $X_{0}(11)$ is $g=1$. It follows that the space $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(11)\right)$ is of dimension 1 , so it is generated by a form $f$. By example A.2.2.23, one may suppose that $f$ is a newform $f=q+O\left(q^{2}\right)$.

In order to compute the $q$-expansion of $f$, one must compute the matrices of the Hecke operators acting on $\mathbb{S}_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(11)\right)$, for instance with respect to the basis ([2], [4]). I shall do it here by using formula ( $\mathbf{\alpha . 2 . 3 . 6}$ ) directly. One has $T_{1}=\mathrm{Id}$ by definition, so the matrix of $T_{1}$ is

$$
T_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Next,

$$
T_{2}[2]=T_{2}\left\{\frac{1}{2}, 0\right\}=\{1,0\}+\left\{\frac{1}{4}, 0\right\}+\left\{\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\right\}
$$

by formula ( $A .2 .3 .6$ )

$$
=\left\{\frac{1}{4}, 0\right\}+\left\{\frac{3}{4}, 1\right\}-\left\{\frac{1}{2}, 0\right\}=[4]+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
3 & -1 \\
4 & -1
\end{array}\right] \cdot\{\infty, 0\}-[2]
$$

as $\{1,0\}=0$ by example A.2.3.5

$$
=[4]+[(4:-1)]-[2]=[4]+[7]-[2]=[4]-[2]-[4]-[2]=-2[2],
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{2}[4] & =T_{2}\left\{\frac{1}{4}, 0\right\}=\left\{\frac{1}{2}, 0\right\}+\left\{\frac{1}{8}, 0\right\}+\left\{\frac{5}{8}, \frac{1}{2}\right\}=\left\{\frac{5}{8}, 0\right\}+\left\{\frac{1}{8}, 0\right\} \\
& =\left\{\frac{5}{8}, \frac{2}{3}\right\}+\left\{\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right\}+\left\{\frac{1}{2}, 0\right\}+\left\{\frac{1}{8}, 0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

using the convergents $0,1 / 2,2 / 3,5 / 8$ of $\frac{5}{8}=0+\frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{2}}}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
5 & -2 \\
8-3
\end{array}\right] \cdot\{\infty, 0\}+\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
3
\end{array} \frac{1}{2}\right] \cdot\{\infty, 0\}+[2]+[8]=[(8:-3)]+[(3: 2)]+[2]+[8] \\
& =[1]+[7]+[2]+[8]=0-[2]-[4]+[2]-[4]=-2[4],
\end{aligned}
$$

so the matrix of $T_{2}$ acting on $\mathbb{S}_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(11)\right)$ is

$$
T_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-2 & 0 \\
0 & -2
\end{array}\right]
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{3}[2] & =T_{3}\left\{\frac{1}{2}, 0\right\}=\left\{\frac{3}{2}, 0\right\}+\left\{\frac{1}{6}, 0\right\}+\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}\right\}+\left\{\frac{5}{6}, \frac{2}{3}\right\} \\
& =\left\{\frac{3}{2}, 1\right\}+\left\{\frac{1}{6}, 0\right\}+\left\{\frac{1}{2}, 0\right\}-\left\{\frac{1}{3}, 0\right\}+\left\{\frac{5}{6}, 1\right\}-\left\{\frac{2}{3}, 1\right\} \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{l}
3 \\
2
\end{array}\right] \cdot\{\infty, 0\}+[6]+[2]-[3]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
5 \\
6 \\
6
\end{array}-1\right] \cdot\{\infty, 0\}-\left[\begin{array}{c}
2 \\
3-1 \\
3-1
\end{array}\right] \cdot\{\infty, 0\} \\
& =[(2: 1)]+[6]+[2]-[3]+[(6:-1)]-[(3:-1)] \\
& =[2]+[6]+[2]-[3]+[5]-[8]=[2]-[2]+[2]-[2]-[4]-[2]+[4]=-[2],
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{3}[4] & =T_{3}\left\{\frac{1}{4}, 0\right\}=\left\{\frac{3}{4}, 0\right\}+\left\{\frac{1}{12}, 0\right\}+\left\{\frac{5}{12}, \frac{1}{3}\right\}+\left\{\frac{3}{4}, \frac{2}{3}\right\} \\
& =\left\{\frac{3}{4}, 1\right\}+\left\{\frac{1}{12}, 0\right\}+\left\{\frac{5}{12}, \frac{2}{5}\right\}+\left\{\frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{2}\right\}+\left\{\frac{1}{2}, 0\right\}-\left\{\frac{1}{3}, 0\right\}+\left\{\frac{3}{4}, \frac{2}{3}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

using the convergents $0,1 / 2,2 / 5,5 / 12$ of $\frac{5}{12}=0+\frac{1}{2+\frac{1}{2+\frac{1}{2}}}$

$$
=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
3 & -1 \\
4 & -1
\end{array}\right] \cdot\{\infty, 0\}+[12]+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
5 & 2 \\
12 & 5
\end{array}\right] \cdot\{\infty, 0\}+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 & -1 \\
5 & -2
\end{array}\right] \cdot\{\infty, 0\}+[2]-[3]+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
3 & 2 \\
4 & 3
\end{array}\right] \cdot\{\infty, 0\}
$$

$$
=[(4:-1)]+[12]+[(1: 5)]+[(5:-2)]+[2]-[3]+[(4: 3)]
$$

$$
=[7]+[1]+[9]+[3]+[2]-[3]+[5]=-[2]-[4]+0+[2]+[2]-[2]=-[4],
$$

so the matrix of $T_{3}$ acting on $\mathbb{S}_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(11)\right)$ is

$$
T_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The upper-left and lower-right matrix entries both give the form $q-2 q^{2}-q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right)$ whereas the upper-right and lower-left entries both give the 0 form, so finally one concludes that $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(11)\right)$ is generated by the newform

$$
f=q-2 q^{2}-q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right)
$$

Of course, one may push this computation further so as to get more coefficients of the $q$-expansion of $f$.

The interested reader may find examples of similar computations in [Ste07, chapters 3 and 8 .

Remark A.2.3.13. One can show (cf. [Cre97, sections 2.1.3 and 2.5]) that the symmetry $\tau \mapsto-\bar{\tau}$ around the vertical axis $i \mathbb{R}$ of $\mathcal{H}^{\bullet}$ induces an involution on $\mathbb{S}_{2}(\Gamma)$, and that the corresponding eigenspaces $\mathbb{S}_{2}(\Gamma)^{+}$and $\mathbb{S}_{2}(\Gamma)^{-}$are isomorphic $\mathbb{T}$-modules, which explains why I obtained "twice" the same form in example A.2.3.12 just above. It is therefore possible to work in one of these eigenspaces so as to speed up the computation.

However, even with this trick and the use of Heilbronn matrices, the cost of the computation of the action of the Hecke operator $T_{p}$ on $\mathbb{S}_{2}(\Gamma)$ for $p$ prime is more than linear in $p$ (cf. [Ste07, sections 8.3.3 and 8.3.4]), so the cost of the computation of a basis of $S_{2}(\Gamma)$ to precision $O\left(q^{B}\right)$ with this method is more than quadratic in $B$. I shall present a practical trick of mine to bring down this complexity to $\widetilde{O}(B)$ for fixed $\Gamma$ in section B.3.]. Moreover, the goal of this thesis is to describe an algorithm (unfortunately currently impractical) which computes the coefficient $a_{p}$ of a newform in complexity polynomial in $\log p$, cf. part $\mathbb{B}$.

## A.2.3.2 The Manin-Drinfeld theorem

In the discussions above, the non-cuspidal modular symbols (that is to say, the ones corresponding to non-closed paths on $X(\Gamma)$ ) have been left aside. I shall now examine then more in detail.

Thanks to the integration pairing (A.2.3.7), a modular symbol $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ may be seen as a linear form $\int_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ on $S_{2}(\Gamma) \simeq \Omega^{1}(X(\Gamma))$. Let $S_{2}(\Gamma)^{\vee}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(S_{2}(\Gamma), \mathbb{C}\right)$ denote the space of linear forms on $S_{2}(\Gamma)$. The subgroup $\mathbb{S}_{2}(\Gamma) \subset \mathbb{M}_{2}(\Gamma)$ of cuspidal modular symbols corresponds to the homology $H_{1}(X(\Gamma), \mathbb{Z})$ of the modular curve $X(\Gamma)$, and so forms a full-rank lattice in $S_{2}(\Gamma)^{\vee}$ by corollary A.1.2.12. It follows that

$$
S_{2}(\Gamma)^{\vee}=H_{1}(X(\Gamma), \mathbb{Z}) \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} \mathbb{R}
$$

so in particular every modular symbol lies in $H_{1}(X(\Gamma), \mathbb{Z}) \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} \mathbb{R}$. In other words, if $\left(\gamma_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2 g}$ denotes a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $H_{1}(X(\Gamma), \mathbb{Z})$, then for every modular symbol $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in \mathbb{M}_{2}(\Gamma)$, there exists a unique family $\left(\lambda_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2 g} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 g}$ of real coefficients such that

$$
\int_{\alpha}^{\beta}=\sum_{i=1}^{2 g} \lambda_{i} \int_{\gamma_{i}}
$$

as linear forms on $S_{2}(\Gamma)$.
The Manin-Drinfeld theorem, which I now present, asserts that these coefficients $\lambda_{i}$ are actually rational.

Theorem A.2.3.14 (Manin-Drinfeld). Let $\Gamma$ be a congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Then for all cusps $\alpha$ and $\beta$, the modular symbol $\{\alpha, \beta\}$, seen as a linear form on $S_{2}(\Gamma)=\Omega^{1}(X(\Gamma))$, lies in $H_{1}(X(\Gamma), \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

In view of the Abel-Jacobi theorem A.L.2.15, this can be reformulated as follows:
Corollary A.2.3.15. If $D \in \operatorname{Div}^{0}(X(\Gamma))$ is a null-degree divisor supported by the cusps of $X(\Gamma)$, then the class of $D$ is torsion in $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X(\Gamma))$.

The proof for general $\Gamma$ may be found in [Lan95, section IV.2]. I shall give a proof here for the case $\Gamma \supseteq \Gamma_{1}(N)$. This proof is effective, in that given $\alpha$ and $\beta$, it explains how to compute the coefficients $\lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$
\int_{\alpha}^{\beta}=\sum_{i=1}^{2 g} \lambda_{i} \int_{\gamma_{i}}
$$

I shall use this in my main algorithm so as to accelerate the computation of the period lattice of $X_{1}(N)$, cf. section B.3.2].

Proof. In this proof, I assume that $\Gamma \supseteq \Gamma_{1}(N)$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ be a prime such that $r \equiv 1 \bmod N$. Then the diamond operator $\langle r\rangle$ is the identity, so the Hecke operator $T_{r}$ on $S_{2}(\Gamma)$ is given by

$$
T_{r} f=\left.\sum_{\gamma \in G_{r}} f\right|_{2} \gamma=\sum_{\gamma \in G_{r}} \gamma^{*} f
$$

where

$$
G_{r}=\left\{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & b \\
0 & r
\end{array}\right], b \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leqslant b<r\right\} \cup\left\{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
r & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right\} \subset \operatorname{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Z})
$$

and the right-hand side uses the identification of cuspforms in $S_{2}(\Gamma)$ with differential forms on $X(\Gamma)$. Since clearly $\|f\|=\left\|\alpha^{*} f\right\|$ for all $f \in S_{2}(\Gamma)$ and $\alpha \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{Q})^{+}$, where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the hermitian norm attached to the Petersson inner product with respect to the congruence subgroup $\Gamma \cap \alpha^{-1} \Gamma \alpha$, the operator $T_{r}-\# G_{r}=T_{r}-(r+1)$ is invertible on $S_{2}(\Gamma)$ since an equality $T_{r} f=(r+1) f$ would imply

$$
\left\|\sum_{\gamma \in G_{r}} \alpha^{*} f\right\|=\left\|T_{r} f\right\|=\|(r+1) f\|=\sum_{\gamma \in G_{r}}\left\|\gamma^{*} f\right\|
$$

which by euclidian triangle equality means that the vectors $\gamma^{*} f$ are all equal, so agree with $f$, so in particular (taking $\gamma=\left[\begin{array}{cc}r & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right] \in G_{r}$ ) one would have $f(\tau)=f(r \tau)$, hence by induction $r^{n} \mid \operatorname{ord}_{q} f$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, whence $f=0$. By duality, one deduces that the endomorphism $\varphi=T_{r}-(r+1)$ on $\mathbb{S}_{2}(\Gamma) \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}$ is invertible.

Besides, since $r \equiv 1 \bmod N$, the criterion $A .2 .3 .1]$ shows that for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{Q}$, the cusps $\alpha, r \alpha$ and $\alpha / r$ are equivalent under $\Gamma_{1}(N)$ and hence under $\Gamma$. Since $\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & b \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right] \in \Gamma_{1}(N)$ for all $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, it follows that for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{Q}$, the cusps $\alpha$ and $\gamma \alpha$ are equivalent under $\Gamma$ for all $\gamma \in G_{r}$, whence

$$
\partial\left(T_{r}-(r+1)\right)\{\alpha, \beta\}=\partial\left(\sum_{\gamma \in G_{r}}(\{\gamma \cdot \alpha, \gamma \cdot \beta\}-\{\alpha, \beta\})\right)=0
$$

that is to say that the image of the morphism $\psi=T_{r}-(r+1): \mathbb{M}_{2}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}_{2}(\Gamma)$ lies in $\mathbb{S}_{2}(\Gamma)$. One thus gets a morphism $\varphi^{-1} \circ \psi: \mathbb{M}_{2}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_{2}(\Gamma) \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} \mathbb{Q}$ which induces the identity on $S_{2}(\Gamma)^{\vee}$.

## A. 3 Galois representations

To conclude this introductory part, I shall now describe the relation between modular forms and Galois representations, and exhibit some of the consequences of this relation on modular forms.

## A.3.1 Definitions and first examples

## A.3.1.1 Number fields, Galois groups, and representations

To begin with, let me fix some notation. Let $L / K$ be a Galois extension with Galois group $G$ of number fields with integer rings respectively $\mathbb{Z}_{K}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{L}$, let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime of $K$, and let $\mathfrak{P}$ be a prime of $L$ lying above $\mathfrak{p}$. Denote the corresponding residue fields by $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}=\mathbb{Z}_{K} / \mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}=\mathbb{Z}_{L} / \mathfrak{P}$.

The Galois group $G$ acts transitively on the primes of $L$ lying above $\mathfrak{p}$. The stabiliser of $\mathfrak{P}$ is called the decomposition group of $\mathfrak{P}$ and is denoted by $D_{\mathfrak{F}}$. It identifies with the Galois group of the local extension $L_{\mathfrak{P}} / K_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Reduction modulo $\mathfrak{P}$ yields a surjective group morphism from $D_{\mathfrak{F}}$ to the residual Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}} / \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$, which is cyclic of order $f_{\mathfrak{P} / \mathfrak{p}}=\left[\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{F}}: \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right]$, generated by the Frobenius automorphism $x \mapsto x^{\mathbb{N} \mathfrak{p}}$, where $\mathbb{N} \mathfrak{p}=\# \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the numerical norm of $\mathfrak{p}$. The kernel of this morphism is the inertia subgroup $I_{\mathfrak{P}}$. It has order $e_{\mathfrak{F} / \mathfrak{p}}=\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{P}} \mathfrak{p}$, and identifies with the inertia subgroup of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{\mathfrak{P}} / K_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$. Consequently, the extension $L / K$ is said to be ramified at $\mathfrak{P}$ if $I_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is non-trivial, that is to say if $e_{\mathfrak{P} / \mathfrak{p}}>1$.

More generally, the so-called higher inertia subgroups $I_{\mathfrak{P}}^{(i)}$ made up of the elements of $D_{\mathfrak{F}}$ which induce the identity on $\mathbb{Z}_{L} / \mathfrak{P}^{i+1}$ form a finite decreasing filtration

$$
I_{\mathfrak{F}}=I_{\mathfrak{F}}^{(0)} \supseteq I_{\mathfrak{P}}^{(1)} \supseteq I_{\mathfrak{P}}^{(2)} \supseteq \cdots
$$

of $I_{\mathfrak{P}}$. The normal subgroup $I_{\mathfrak{P}}^{(1)}$ of $I_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is called the wild inertia subgroup. I shall denote it by $W_{\mathfrak{P}}=I_{\mathfrak{P}}^{(1)}$, and the corresponding quotient by $I_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\text {tame }}=I_{\mathfrak{P}} / W_{\mathfrak{P}}$. Picking a uniformiser $\Pi \in \mathbb{Z}_{L}$ (that is to say $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{P}} \Pi=1$ ) yields injections

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
I_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\text {tame }} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{*} \\
\sigma & \longmapsto & \frac{\sigma(\Pi)}{\Pi} \bmod \mathfrak{P}, \quad \text { and } \\
I_{\mathfrak{P}}^{(i)} / I_{\mathfrak{P}}^{(i+1)} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}} \\
\sigma & \longmapsto & \frac{\sigma(\Pi)-\Pi}{\Pi^{i}} \bmod \mathfrak{P} \quad(i \geqslant 1),
\end{array}
$$

so that $I_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\text {tame }}$ is abelian and $W_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is actually the $p$-Sylow subgroup of $I_{\mathfrak{P}}$, where $p \in \mathbb{N}$ is the prime number below $\mathfrak{P}$. In particular, $W_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is non-trivial if and only if $p \mid e_{\mathfrak{F} / \mathfrak{p}}$, in which case the extension $L / K$ is said to be wildly ramified at $\mathfrak{P}$, and tamely ramified (if ramified) else.

An element $\sigma \in D_{\mathfrak{P}}$ reducing modulo $\mathfrak{P}$ to the Frobenius automorphism of the residual extension $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}} / \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is called a Frobenius element at $\mathfrak{P}$ and is denoted by $\left(\frac{L / K}{\mathfrak{P}}\right)$.

Since $G$ acts transitively on the primes $\mathfrak{P}$ above $\mathfrak{p}$, replacing the prime $\mathfrak{P}$ used in the constructions above by another one amounts to conjugating everything by some
element of $G$. In particular, the ramification behaviour depends only on $\mathfrak{p}$, and not on $\mathfrak{P}$. I shall write $D_{\mathfrak{p}}, I_{\mathfrak{p}}, W_{\mathfrak{p}}, I_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(i)}$, and so on to mean $D_{\mathfrak{P}}, I_{\mathfrak{P}}, W_{\mathfrak{P}}, I_{\mathfrak{P}}^{(i)}$, and so on for some $\mathfrak{P}$ when the choice of this $\mathfrak{P}$ does not matter. In particular, an element $\sigma \in G$ which is a Frobenius element at some $\mathfrak{P} \mid \mathfrak{p}$ is called a Frobenius element at $\mathfrak{p}$, and in what follows, I shall denote such an element, which is defined only up to conjugacy and inertia, by $\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, or by $\left(\frac{L / K}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ if the field extension in consideration is not clear.

Example A.3.1.1. Let $K=\mathbb{Q}$, and let $L=\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{\ell^{n}}\right)$ be the $\ell^{n \text {-th }}$ cyclotomic extension, where $\ell$ is a prime. Let $\zeta$ be a primitive $\ell^{n \text {-th }}$ root of 1 in $L$. The extension $L / K$ is Galois of degree $d=\ell^{n}\left(1-\frac{1}{\ell}\right)$, with Galois group $G$ canonically isomorphic to $\left(\mathbb{Z} / \ell^{n} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*}$ by letting $a \in\left(\mathbb{Z} / \ell^{n} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*}$ correspond to $\zeta \mapsto \zeta^{a}$.

The ring of integers of $L$ is well-known to be $\mathbb{Z}_{L}=\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$. The element

$$
\epsilon_{a}=\frac{\zeta^{a}-1}{\zeta-1}=1+\zeta+\cdots+\zeta^{a-1}
$$

is clearly integral for all $a \in \mathbb{Z} / \ell^{n} \mathbb{Z}$; furthermore, if $a$ is invertible in $\mathbb{Z} / \ell^{n} \mathbb{Z}$, then $1 / \epsilon_{a}$ can similarly be expressed as a polynomial in $\zeta^{a}$ and hence in $\zeta$, so that $\varepsilon_{a}$ is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}_{L}^{*}$ in this case. Since

$$
\ell=\prod_{a \in\left(\mathbb{Z} / \ell^{n} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*}}\left(1-\zeta^{a}\right)=(1-\zeta)^{d} \prod_{a \in\left(\mathbb{Z} / \ell^{n} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*}} \epsilon^{a},
$$

the ideal $\mathfrak{l}=\ell \mathbb{Z}$ factors as $\mathfrak{L}^{d}$, where $\mathfrak{L}=(1-\zeta) \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$. In particular, the extension $L / K$ is totally ramified at $\ell$, hence $G=I_{\ell}$ and $\lambda=1-\zeta$ is a uniformiser at $\ell$.

Since $\mathbb{Z}_{L}=\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$, it suffices to look at the Galois action on $\zeta$ to determine the higher ramification filtration: an element $\sigma \in G=I_{\ell}$ lies in $I_{\ell}^{(i)}$ if and only if $\operatorname{ord}_{\lambda}(\sigma(\zeta)-\zeta) \geqslant i+1$. Let $a \in\left(\mathbb{Z} / \ell^{n} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*}$ correspond to $\sigma$, and let $m=\operatorname{ord}_{\ell}(a-1)$, so that $a=1+\ell^{m} u$ for some $u \in\left(\mathbb{Z} / \ell^{n} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*}$ and

$$
\sigma(\zeta)-\zeta=\zeta\left(\zeta^{a-1}-1\right)=\zeta \frac{\zeta^{l^{m} u}-1}{\zeta^{l^{m}}-1}\left(\zeta^{l^{m}}-1\right)
$$

has the same $\lambda$-adic valuation as $\zeta^{l^{m}}-1$. Now, by the same reasoning as above, $\zeta^{l^{m}}-1$ is a uniformiser at $\ell$ for the field $E=\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta^{\ell^{m}}\right)=\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{\ell^{n-m}}\right)$. Since $L / K$ is totally ramified at $\ell$, so is $L / E$, which implies

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{\lambda}\left(\zeta^{l^{m}}-1\right)=[L: E]=\frac{[L: K]}{[E: K]}=\frac{\ell^{n}\left(1-\frac{1}{\ell}\right)}{\ell^{n-m}\left(1-\frac{1}{\ell}\right)}=\ell^{m} .
$$

This means that upon identification of $I_{\ell}=G$ with $\left(\mathbb{Z} / \ell^{n} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*}$, the higher inertia filtration is

$$
\underbrace{G=I_{\ell}^{(0)}}_{\left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{\ell_{\mathbb{Z}}}\right)^{*}} \supsetneq \underbrace{I_{\ell}^{(1)}=\cdots=I_{\ell}^{(\ell-1)}}_{\frac{1+\ell \mathbb{Z}}{\ell^{n} \mathbb{Z}}} \supsetneq \underbrace{I_{\ell}^{(\ell)}=\cdots=I_{\ell}^{\left(\ell^{2}-1\right)}}_{\frac{1+\ell^{2} \mathbb{Z}}{\ell^{n} \mathbb{Z}}} \supsetneq I_{\ell}^{\left(\ell^{2}\right)} \cdots
$$

Finally, the extension $L / K$ is unramified at other primes $p \neq \ell$ since $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$ contains $\ell^{n}$ distinct $\ell^{n \text {-th }}$ roots of 1 . Since $L / K$ is also abelian, the Frobenius element at $p \neq \ell$ is well-defined, and clearly corresponds to $p \bmod \ell^{n} \in\left(\mathbb{Z} / \ell^{n} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*}$.

Let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a fixed algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}$ containing $K$, and consider a now possibly infinite extension $L$ of $K$ contained in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}=\bar{K}$. The extension $L / K$ is Galois if it is a compositum of finite Galois extensions, in which case the group

$$
\operatorname{Gal}(L / K)=\lim _{\substack{L \backslash E / K \\ \text { finite Galois }}}^{\operatorname{lom}} \operatorname{Gal}(E / K)
$$

is endowed with the Krull topology, that is to say the profinite topology, which makes $G$ a compact topological group. In other words, a basis of this topology is made up by the subsets $U$ of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / K)$ of the form $\pi_{E}^{-1}(A)$ for some finite Galois subextension $E / K$ and some subset $A$ of $\operatorname{Gal}(E / K)$, where $\pi_{E}$ denotes the projection $\operatorname{Gal}(L / K) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(E / K)$. In particular, the subgroups $\operatorname{Gal}(L / E)$ for finite Galois subextensions $E / K$ form a basis of compact open neighbourhoods of $\operatorname{Id} \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / K)$. The usual Galois theory extends into a correspondence between subextensions of $L / K$ and closed subgroups of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / K)$, for which finite subextensions correspond to finite index subgroups. Moreover, the Cebotarev density theorem implies that Frobenius elements are dense in $G$.

The above generalises to infinite extensions $L / K$ by seeing them as the compositum of their finite subextensions. In particular, in the case $L=\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, the group $G_{K}=\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / K)$ is called the absolute Galois group of $K$, and the decomposition subgroup of a prime lying above $\mathfrak{p}$ identifies to $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p} / K_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$, which can hence be seen as a subgroup of the absolute Galois group of $K$.

Definition A.3.1.2. Let $R$ be a topological ring, and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A Galois representation of degree $n$ is a continuous group morphism

$$
\rho: G_{K} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n}(R),
$$

where $G_{K}=\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K)$ denotes the absolute Galois group of $K$.
In the case $n=1$, a representation

$$
\rho: G_{K} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{1}(R)=R^{*}
$$

is called a Galois character.
Note that I only consider continuous Galois representations. In particular, the kernel of such a representation $\rho$ is a closed normal subgroup of $G_{K}$, which corresponds to a Galois extension $L$ of $K$, which I call the field cut out by $\rho$. Its Galois group is $\operatorname{Im} \rho$.

The Galois representation $\rho$ is said to be unramified at a finite prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of $K$ if $\rho$ is trivial on the inertia subgroup of a prime $\mathfrak{P}$ of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ lying above $\mathfrak{p}$. This does not depend on $\mathfrak{P}$, since all the $\mathfrak{P}$ 's are conjugate, and the kernel of $\rho$ is a normal subgroup. Actually, it is clear that $\rho$ is unramified at $\mathfrak{p}$ if and only if the number field $L$ it cuts out is unramified at $\mathfrak{p}$.

Remark A.3.1.3. Although the Frobenius element Frob ${ }_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is defined only up to conjugacy and inertia, its image $\rho\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(R)$ lies in a well-defined conjugacy (i.e. similarity) class if $\rho$ is unramified at $\mathfrak{p}$. In particular, it makes sense to refer to the trace, determinant, and characteristic polynomial of $\rho\left(\right.$ Frob $\left._{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$. I shall even write $\operatorname{tr} \mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $\operatorname{det}$ Frob $_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and $\chi_{\text {Frob }_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ when $\rho$ is clear from the context.

In practice, I shall consider $K=\mathbb{Q}, n=1$ or 2 , and three kinds of rings $R$ :

- $R=\mathbb{C}$ endowed with the usual topology, in which case I shall refer to $\rho$ as a complex Galois representation, also known as an Artin representation. This case turns out to be rather uninteresting, since $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{C})$, unlike $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, does not have arbitrarily small subgroups ${ }^{\mathbb{T 3}]}$, so that the image of a complex Galois representation is always finite. In particular, the field cut out by such a representation $\rho$ is a Galois number field, and $\rho$ ramifies at finitely many primes.
- $R=\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ (or a finite extension $K_{\mathfrak{l}}$ thereof) endowed with the $\mathfrak{l}$-adic topology, in which case I shall refer to $\rho$ as an l-adic Galois representation. Unlike in the complex case, the profinite topologies of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $G L_{n}\left(K_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$ are "compatible", so that there do exist $\mathfrak{l}$-adic Galois representations with infinite image as I shall demonstrate shortly, making this case much more interesting. By compacity of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, an $\mathfrak{l}$-adic representation is always conjugate to a representation with values in $\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$. In particular, it is tempting to reduce it modulo $\mathfrak{l}$, which leads to the last case.
- $R=\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ (or a finite extension $\mathbb{F}_{\boldsymbol{l}}$ thereof) endowed with the discrete topology, in which case I shall refer to $\rho$ as a mod $\mathfrak{l}$ Galois representation. The image of such a complex Galois representation is obviously finite. In particular, the field cut out by such a representation $\rho$ is a Galois number field, and $\rho$ ramifies at finitely many primes. This kind of representation is especially well suited for computational purposes.

Remark A.3.1.4. As mentioned above, every $\mathfrak{l}$-adic Galois representation

$$
\rho: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(K_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)
$$

is conjugate to a representation $\rho^{\prime}$ with values in $\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$, which one can reduce modulo $\mathfrak{l}$ to get a mod $\mathfrak{l}$ Galois representation $\bar{\rho}$ with values in $\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$. This representation $\bar{\rho}$ may not not uniquely defined since $\rho^{\prime}$ may not be not uniquely defined. However, by the Brauer-Nesbitt theorem below, the semi-simplification $\bar{\rho}^{\mathrm{ss}}$ of $\bar{\rho}$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}$ is well-defined.

Theorem A.3.1.5 (Brauer-Nesbitt, cf. [Wei03, theorem 7.2.4] ). Let $G$ be a finite group, and let

$$
\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}: G \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)
$$

be two semi-simple representations of $G$ of degree $n$ with coefficients in an algebraically closed field $F$ (of any characteristic). These representations are isomorphic if and only if $\rho_{1}(\sigma)$ has the same characteristic polynomial as $\rho_{2}(\sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in G$.

Although $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is infinite, this theorem does apply, since both $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$ factor through the finite quotient $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{1} L_{2} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, where $L_{1} L_{2}$ denotes the compositum of the Galois number fields $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ cut out respectively by $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$.

In particular, if $\bar{\rho}^{\text {ss }}$ is irreducible over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}$, then $\bar{\rho}=\bar{\rho}^{\text {ss }}$ is well-defined.

[^12]
## A.3.1.2 Examples

Here are some classical examples of Galois representations.
Example A.3.1.6. Let $\chi:(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{*} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ be a Dirichlet character. Then the canonical identification of $(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ with the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{N}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ of the $N^{\text {th }}$ cyclotomic extension allows to see $\chi$ as a complex Galois character

$$
\chi: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{N}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim}(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{*} \xrightarrow{\chi} \mathbb{C}^{*}
$$

The number field it cuts out is $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{N}\right)$ if $\chi$ is a primitive Dirichlet character, and a subfield thereof in general. In particular, $\chi$ is unramified outside $N$. For $p \nmid N$, the image of the Frobenius element $\operatorname{Frob}_{p}$ by $\chi$ is $\chi(p)$.

Let now $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ denote a prime number.
Example A.3.1.7. The action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on the $\ell^{\text {th }}$ roots of unity in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ yields a $\bmod \ell$ Galois character

$$
\bar{\chi}_{\ell}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{\ell}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim}(\mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z})^{*}
$$

called the $\bmod \ell$ cyclotomic character. It cuts out the number field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{\ell}\right)$, and it is ramified only at $\ell$. The image of the Frobenius element $\operatorname{Frob}_{p}$ for $p \neq \ell$ is $p \bmod \ell$.

Example A.3.1.8. More generally, the action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on group $\mu_{\ell \infty}$ of $\ell$-power roots of unity in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ yields an $\ell$-adic Galois character

$$
\chi_{\ell}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{\ell}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}^{*}
$$

called the $\ell$-adic cyclotomic character, whose reduction modulo $\ell$ is the $\bmod \ell$ cyclotomic character defined just above. It cuts out the number field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{\ell \infty}\right)$, and it is ramified only at $\ell$. The image of the Frobenius element $\operatorname{Frob}_{p}$ for $p \neq \ell$ is $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}^{*}$. In particular, $\chi_{\ell}$ has infinite image.

The following lemma shows the omnipresence of the cyclotomic characters.
Lemma A.3.1.9. Let $\psi: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*}$ be a Galois character which is unramified outside $\ell$. Then $\psi$ is a power of the mod $\ell$ cyclotomic character $\bar{\chi}_{\ell}$.

Proof. If $\ell=2$, then $\psi$ is trivial and the statement is vacuous. Assume now that $\ell \geqslant 3$, and let $L$ be the field cut out by $\psi$. Since $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*}$ is abelian, so is the image of $\psi$, so that $L$ is a subfield of the maximal abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, which is the cyclotomic extension $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{\infty}\right)$ by the Kronecker-Weber theorem. Next, since $\psi$ only ramifies at $\ell$, the same is true for $L$, which is thus a subfield of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{\ell \infty}\right)$ since for all $m \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{m}\right)$ is ramified exactly at the primes dividing $m$. Finally, the order of the image of $\psi$ divides the order $\ell-1$ of $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*}$, hence is prime to $\ell$, so that $L$ is a subfield of the field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{\ell}\right)$ fixed by the $\ell$-Sylow subgroup of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{\ell \infty}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}^{*} \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z})^{*} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$.

In order to give a less obvious example of Galois representation, I shall need the following result (cf. [HSOO, theorem C.1.4 and section C.2]):

Theorem A.3.1.10. Let $A$ be an abelian variety defined over a number field $K$, let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime of $K$ at which $A$ has good reduction, and let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be the prime number lying below $\mathfrak{p}$. Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p \nmid n$, the reduction modulo $\mathfrak{p}$ map

$$
A(K) \longrightarrow \bar{A}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)
$$

is injective on the $n$-torsion $A[n](K)$ of $A(K)$.
Example A.3.1.11. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. The action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on $E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ commutes with the group law on $E$ since the latter is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, so that $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ leaves the $\ell$-torsion subgroup $E[\ell]$ of $E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ invariant. Since $E[\ell]$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z}$ as a group by $A .1 .2 .16$, the action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on $E[\ell]$ yields a $\bmod \ell$ Galois representation

$$
\bar{\rho}_{E, \ell}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)
$$

which clearly cuts out the number field $\mathbb{Q}(P, P \in E[\ell])$ generated by the coordinates of the points of $E[\ell]$.

The conductor $N \in \mathbb{N}$ of $E$ is an integer which measures the properties of bad reduction of $E$. In particular, if $p \nmid N$, then $E$ can be reduced modulo $p$ into an elliptic curve $\bar{E}$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Let $\sigma_{p} \in \operatorname{End}(\bar{E})$ be the Frobenius endomorphism on $\bar{E}$, and define

$$
a_{p}=p+1-\# \bar{E}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

If the endomorphism $\sigma_{p}-1$ of $\bar{E}$ were not separable, then it would factor as $f \circ \sigma_{p}$ for some $f \in \operatorname{End}(\bar{E})$, but then one would have $1=(f-1) \circ \sigma_{p}$, which is absurd since $\sigma_{p}$ is not an automorphism (it is of degree $p \neq 1$ ). Therefore,

$$
\# \bar{E}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)=\# \operatorname{Ker}\left(\sigma_{p}-1\right)=\operatorname{deg}_{\text {sep }}\left(\sigma_{p}-1\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(\sigma_{p}-1\right)
$$

and on the other hand one has
$\left[\operatorname{deg}\left(\sigma_{p}-1\right)\right]_{\bar{E}}=\left(\widehat{\sigma_{p}-1}\right)\left(\sigma_{p}-1\right)=\left(\widehat{\sigma_{p}}-1\right)\left(\sigma_{p}-1\right)=\widehat{\sigma_{p}} \sigma_{p}-\sigma_{p}-\widehat{\sigma_{p}}+1=[p]_{\bar{E}}-\sigma_{p}-\widehat{\sigma_{p}}+1$ in $\operatorname{End}(\bar{E})$, so $\left[a_{p}\right]_{\bar{E}}=\sigma_{p}+\widehat{\sigma_{p}}$ and thus $\sigma_{p}^{2}-a_{p} \sigma_{p}+p=0$ on $\bar{E}$. If furthermore $p \neq \ell$, then the right morphism on the commutative diagram

is injective by theorem $A .3 .1 .10$, so the image of an element $\sigma \in D_{p}$ in $\operatorname{Aut}(E[\ell])$ depends only on its image in $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p} / \mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$, which proves that $\bar{\rho}_{E, \ell}$ is unramified at $p$; moreover, the identity $\mathrm{Frob}_{p}^{2}-a_{p} \operatorname{Frob}_{p}+p=0$ stands on $E[\ell]$. Besides, if $P, Q \in E[\ell]$ form an $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^{\prime}}$-basis of $E[\ell]$ with Weil pairing $\langle P, Q\rangle=\zeta \in \mu_{\ell}$, then

$$
\zeta^{p}=\operatorname{Frob}_{p}(\zeta)=\left\langle\operatorname{Frob}_{p}(P), \operatorname{Frob}_{p}(Q)\right\rangle=\langle P, Q\rangle^{\operatorname{det} \bar{\rho}_{E, \ell}\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{p}\right)}
$$

as the Weil pairing on $E[\ell]$, being defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, commutes with the Galois action and as it is an alternate pairing, so that $\operatorname{det} \bar{\rho}_{E, \ell}\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{p}\right)=p \bmod \ell$, and thus the characteristic polynomial of $\bar{\rho}_{E, \ell}\left(\mathrm{Frob}_{p}\right)$ is

$$
X^{2}-a_{p} X+p \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}[X]
$$

In particular, the trace of $\bar{\rho}_{E, \ell}\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{p}\right)$ is $a_{p} \bmod \ell$, so the Galois representations $\bar{\rho}_{E, \ell}$ can be used to compute the coefficients $a_{p}$, by letting $\ell$ vary and using Chinese remainders. This is the central idea of Schoof's algorithm [Sch95], and also of the algorithm presented in this dissertation.

More generally, one can consider the action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on the $\ell$-adic Tate module

$$
\operatorname{Ta}_{\ell} E=\lim _{\left.\underset{n \in \mathbb{N}}{ } E\left[\ell^{n}\right], ~\right]}
$$

of $E$, where the transition maps are multiplication by $\ell$. Since $\mathrm{Ta}_{\ell} E$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ as a group, this yields an $\ell$-adic Galois representation

$$
\rho_{E, \ell}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\right)
$$

which is clearly continuous. The same reasoning as above shows that it is unramified at $p \nmid \ell N$ and that the Frobenius element $\mathrm{Frob}_{p}$ at any unramified $p$ has characteristic polynomial

$$
X^{2}-a_{p} X+p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}[X]
$$

J.-P. Serre proved in [Ser72] that if $E$ is not a CM elliptic curve, that is to say if $\operatorname{End}(E)$ is reduced to $\mathbb{Z}$, then the image of $\rho_{E, \ell}$ is open in $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\right)$ (i.e. contains $1+\ell^{n} \operatorname{Mat}_{2 \times 2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\right)$ for some $\left.n \in \mathbb{N}\right)$ for all $\ell$, and is the whole of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\right)$ for almost all $\ell$. In particular, the Galois representations $\rho_{E, \ell}$ all have infinite image.

## A.3.2 The Dokchitsers' resolvents

Let $\rho: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ be a $\bmod \ell$ Galois representation, where $V$ is a 2-dimensional vector space over the prime field $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}(\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ prime). In this section, I address the problem of computing the image by $\rho$ of the Frobenius elements Frob ${ }_{p} \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, for the primes $p \in \mathbb{N}$ at which $\rho$ is unramified. Of course, this image $\rho\left(\right.$ Frob $\left._{p}\right)$ is only defined up to conjugation, so I actually only characterise it in terms of its conjugacy class in $\mathrm{GL}(V) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$.

Let me first explain how I assumed $\rho$ to be given. Let $L=\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text {Ker } \rho}$ be the number field cut out by $\rho$, so that $\rho$ embeds $G=\operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$ into $\operatorname{GL}(V)$. This yields an action of $G$ on $V$, and I shall assume that this action is transitive on $V-\{0\}$, which means I exclude the degenerate cases of Galois representations with "small" image. Pick then a point $x_{1} \in V$, let $H=\operatorname{Stab}_{G} x_{1} \subsetneq G$ be the stabiliser of $x$, and let $a \in L$ be a primitive element for the subfield $K=L^{H}$ of $L$ corresponding to $H$. The following diagram illustrates the situation:


Then the stabiliser of $a$ in $G$ is exactly $H$, so that $a$ has $[G: H]=\#(V-\{0\})=\ell^{2}-1$ conjugates. Moreover, since $G$ acts transitively on $V-\{0\}$, the formula

$$
a_{\rho(\sigma)\left(x_{1}\right)}=\sigma(a), \quad \sigma \in G
$$

yields a well-defined, natural indexation of these conjugates by $V-\{0\}$, for which $a=a_{x_{1}}$ in particular. Consider now the polynomial

$$
F(X)=\prod_{\substack{x \in V \\ x \neq 0}}\left(X-a_{x}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]
$$

This polynomial lies in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ and is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$ since $G$ acts transitively on $V-\{0\}$, and $L$ is the splitting field of $F(X)$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ since the action of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ on $V-\{0\}$ is faithful. Furthermore, the action of $G$ on the roots $a_{x}$ of $F(X)$ corresponds to the natural action of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ on the points $x$ of $V-\{0\}$. I may (and shall) thus assume that the Galois representation $\rho$ is given as the following data:

- An irreducible polynomial $F(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$,
- its roots in $\mathbb{C}\left(\right.$ or in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ for some prime $\left.p \in \mathbb{N}\right)$,
- an indexation of these roots by $V-\{0\}$, such that the action on $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on them corresponds to the $\rho$-action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on $V-\{0\}$.

In this framework, Tim and Vladimir Dokchitser's work [Dok10] can be adapted, yielding the following result:

Theorem A.3.2.1. Let $h(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be a polynomial with integer coefficients. For each similarity class $C \subset \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$, the resolvent

$$
\Gamma_{C}(X)=\prod_{g \in C}\left(X-\sum_{\substack{x \in V \\ x \neq 0}} h\left(a_{x}\right) a_{g(x)}\right)
$$

lies in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$. Furthermore, these resolvents $\Gamma_{C}(X)$ are pairwise coprime over $\mathbb{Q}$ for a generic choice of $h(X)$ amongst the polynomials of degree at most $\ell^{2}-2$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be a prime such that $F$ is $p$-integral and squarefree modulo $p$, so that in particular, $\rho$ is unramified at $p$. Define $u=\operatorname{tr}_{\frac{\mathbb{F}_{p}(X)}{F(X)} / \mathbb{F}_{p}} h(\bar{a}) \bar{a}^{p} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$, where $\bar{a}$ denotes the class of $X$ in the quotient algebra $\mathbb{F}_{p}[X] /(F(X))$. Then the resolvents $\Gamma_{C}$ are also p-integral, and one has the implication

$$
\rho_{f, \mathrm{l}}\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{p}\right) \in C \Longrightarrow \Gamma_{C}(u)=0 \bmod p .
$$

Proof. If $\operatorname{Im} \rho$ is the whole of $\operatorname{GL}(V)$, then this is a direct application of [Dok]0, theorem 5.3]. The idea is that if $C$ is the similarity class of $\rho\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{p}\right)$, then each $g \in C$ is the image of a Frobenius element $\left(\frac{L / \mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{P}}\right)$ for some ideal $\mathfrak{P}$ of $L$ lying above $p$, so that

$$
\sum_{\substack{x \in V \\ x \neq 0}} h\left(a_{x}\right) a_{g(x)} \equiv \sum_{\substack{x \in V \\ x \neq 0}} h\left(a_{x}\right) a_{x}^{p} \bmod \mathfrak{P} .
$$

If $\operatorname{Im} \rho$ is a strict subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$, then the method still applies since the similarity classes in $\operatorname{Im} \rho$ are unions of conjugacy classes of $\operatorname{Im} \rho$, so that the resolvents $\Gamma_{C}(X)$ are products of resolvents as defined in [DokT0, theorem 5.3].

The point of this is that if the resolvents $\Gamma_{C}$ are indeed pairwise coprime over $\mathbb{Q}$, and if $p$ is very large, then it is likely that they remain pairwise coprime modulo $p$, so that $\Gamma_{C}(u)$ vanishes in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ for only one $C$, which must then be the similarity class of $\rho\left(\mathrm{Frob}_{p}\right)$.

If, however, the resolvents fail to be pairwise coprime modulo $p$ (which can occur only for finitely many $p$ ), then $\Gamma_{C}(u)$ may vanish for several $C$, so that one cannot tell in which class $\rho_{f, l}\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{p}\right)$ lies. But at least this is easy to detect, so one is sure never to get a wrong answer, although one may not be able to conclude for certain values of $p$. Finally, the criterion is

$$
\Gamma_{C}(u)=0 \text { and } \Gamma_{C^{\prime}}(u) \neq 0 \text { for all } C^{\prime} \neq C \Longrightarrow \rho\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{p}\right) \in C .
$$

To compute the resolvents $\Gamma_{C}(X)$, one starts by computing the roots $a_{x}$, which are already known to some mild accuracy which is enough to tell them apart, to a very high accuracy in $\mathbb{C}$ (or in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}$ ) by using Newton iteration on the equation $F(a)=0$. Then, one computes complex (or $p$-adic) approximations of the resolvents $\Gamma_{C}(X)$, and finally, one recognises their coefficients as rational numbers by using continued fractions (or rational reconstruction). This is amenable since an a priori multiple of their denominators in known beforehand, namely $d^{(\# C)(1+\operatorname{deg} h)}$, where $d$ is a common denominator for the coefficients of $F(X)$.

The practical computation of the resolvents $\Gamma_{C}(X)$ requires making explicit the partition of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ into similarity classes. This is easily done:

| Type | Scalar | Split semisimple | Non-split semisimple | Non- semisimple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class representative | $\left[\begin{array}{ll}\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda\end{array}\right]$ | $\left[\begin{array}{ll}\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu\end{array}\right]$ | $\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & -n \\ 1 & t\end{array}\right]$ | $\left[\begin{array}{ll}\lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda\end{array}\right]$ |
| Minimal polynomial | $x-\lambda$ | $(x-\lambda)(x-\mu)$ | $\begin{gathered} x^{2}-t x+n \\ \text { irreducible } \\ \text { over } \mathbb{F}_{\ell} \end{gathered}$ | $(x-\lambda)^{2}$ |
| Number of such classes | $\ell-1$ | $\frac{(\ell-1)(\ell-2)}{2}$ | $\frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{2}$ | $\ell-1$ |
| Size of class | 1 | $\ell(\ell+1)$ | $\ell(\ell-1)$ | $(\ell+1)(\ell-1)$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Centraliser } \\ \text { coset } \\ \text { representatives } \end{gathered}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$ | $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\begin{array}{ll} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right],} \\ & {\left[\begin{array}{ll} u & 1 \\ 1 & v \end{array}\right],} \\ & u, v \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}, \\ & u v \neq 1, \\ & {\left[\begin{array}{cc} w & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array}\right],} \\ & w \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} {\left[\begin{array}{cc} u+2 & t-v n \\ v & u+v t \end{array}\right],} \\ u, v \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}, \\ \operatorname{det} \neq 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\begin{array}{ll} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{array}\right],} \\ & {\left[\begin{array}{ll} u & v \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right],} \\ & u \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}, \\ & v \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*} \end{aligned}$ |

Remark A.3.2.2. Note for future reference that the similarity classes of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ are represented unambiguously by their minimal polynomial. Giving this minimal
polynomial in factored form over $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ is a clear and compact representation of the similarity classes, which I shall use to present tables of results of my computations, cf. section [.].

## A.3.3 Modular Galois representations

## A.3.3.1 Ramanujan congruences and Serre's insight

Recall that Ramanujan's $\tau$ function is the multiplicative function defined by

$$
\Delta=q \prod_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left(1-q^{n}\right)^{24}=q+\sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} \tau(n) q^{n}
$$

where $\Delta \in S_{12}(1)$ is the normalised cuspform of level 1 and weight 12 . Ramanujan conjectured that this function satisfies congruences modulo certain prime numbers, namely

- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ prime to $2, \tau(n) \equiv \sigma(n) \bmod 2$,
- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ prime to $3, \tau(n) \equiv \sigma(n) \bmod 3$,
- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ prime to $5, \tau(n) \equiv n \sigma(n) \bmod 5$,
- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ prime to $7, \tau(n) \equiv n \sigma_{3}(n) \bmod 7$,
- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \tau(n) \equiv 0 \bmod 23$ if $n$ is not a square modulo 23 ,
- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \tau(n) \equiv \sigma_{11}(n) \bmod 691$,
where $\sigma_{k}(n)=\sum_{0<d \mid n} d^{k}$ and $\sigma=\sigma_{1}$. These congruences were proved, but, as P . Swinnerton-Dyer points out in [Swi72], the proofs "do little to explain why such congruences occur". Besides, $\tau$ does not seem to satisfy any simple congruence modulo other primes.
J.-P. Serre then realised in 1967 (cf. [Ser69]) that this phenomenon would be beautifully explained by the existence, for each prime $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, of a $\bmod \ell$ Galois representation

$$
\bar{\rho}_{\Delta, \ell}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)
$$

ramified only at $\ell$, and such that the Frobenius element at $p \neq \ell$ has trace $\tau(p) \bmod \ell$. Indeed, the determinant of $\bar{\rho}_{\Delta, \ell}$ would then be a Galois character, hence a power $\bar{\chi}_{\ell}^{k}$ of the mod $\ell$ cyclotomic character according to lemma A.3.1.9. Besides, the representations $\rho_{\Delta, \ell}$ would be likely to be surjective, or at least to have a big image, for all but finitely many $\ell$. For these exceptional $\ell$, the image would happen to be a small subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$, so that there would be relations between the trace and the determinant of the matrices in this image. Therefore, for each $p \neq \ell$, from information about $p \bmod \ell$, one could deduce information about the determinant $\operatorname{det} \rho_{\Delta, \ell}\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{p}\right)=\bar{\chi}_{\ell}^{k}\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{p}\right)=p^{k} \bmod \ell$, hence about the trace $\operatorname{tr} \rho_{\Delta, \ell}\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{p}\right)=a_{p} \bmod \ell$; in other words, one would get a congruence relation modulo $\ell$ on the $\tau(p)$ for $p \neq \ell$, which would spread to the $\tau(n)$ for $n$ prime to $\ell$ by mutiplicativity of $\tau$. However, for almost all $\ell$ it would be impossible to get information on $\tau(p) \bmod \ell$ from $p \bmod \ell$ since the image of $\rho_{\Delta, \ell}$ would be (almost) the whole
of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$, where it is impossible to get information on the trace from information on the determinant. Similar Galois representations should exist for other newforms, not only for $\Delta$.

Example A.3.3.1. For example, the congruence for $\tau(n)$ modulo 7 would stem from the fact that the Galois representation $\bar{\rho}_{\Delta, 7}$ is of the form

$$
\bar{\rho}_{\Delta, 7} \sim\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\bar{\chi}_{7}^{b} & * \\
0 & \bar{\chi}_{7}^{a}
\end{array}\right]
$$

with $a=1$ and $b=4$ or vice versa, since then for all $p \neq 7$,
$\tau(p) \equiv \operatorname{tr} \bar{\rho}_{\Delta, 7}\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{p}\right)=\bar{\chi}_{7}\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{p}\right)^{a}+\bar{\chi}_{7}\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{p}\right)^{b} \equiv p^{a}+p^{b}=p+p^{4}=p \sigma_{3}(p) \bmod 7$, whence $\tau(n) \equiv n \sigma_{3}(n) \bmod 7$ for all $n$ prime to 7 by multiplicativity of both sides.

Example A.3.3.2. Similarly, the congruence for $\tau(n)$ modulo 23 would stem from the fact that the matrices in the image of the Galois representation $\bar{\rho}_{\Delta, 23}$ are all either diagonal or anti-diagonal in a fixed well-chosen basis. Indeed, this would yield a Galois character

$$
\psi: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \pm 1
$$

such that for all $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}, \psi(\sigma)=+1$ when $\bar{\rho}_{\Delta, 23}(\sigma)$ is diagonal, and $\psi(\sigma)=-1$ when $\bar{\rho}_{\Delta, 23}(\sigma)$ is anti-diagonal. The field $K$ cut out by $\psi$ would then be a quadratic number field (since $\operatorname{Im} \psi$ is of order 2), ramified only at 23 (since it is the case for $\left.\bar{\rho}_{\Delta, 23}\right)$, whence $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-23})$. Identifying $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-23}) / \mathbb{Q})$ with $\pm 1$, this means that the Galois character $\psi$ would actually be

$$
G_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\psi} \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-23}) / \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \pm 1 .
$$

Therefore, $\psi\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{p}\right)=\left(\frac{-23}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{p}{23}\right)$ for all $p \neq 23$ by quadratic reciprocity. In particular, if $p$ is not a square modulo 23 , then $\psi\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{p}\right)=-1$, so that $\bar{\rho}_{\Delta, 23}\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{p}\right)$ is anti-diagonal and hence has trace $\tau(p) \bmod 23=0$.
J.-P. Serre's insight was proved true four years later by P. Deligne, who constructed the following Galois representations (cf. [Del71]):

Theorem A.3.3.3 (Deligne). Let

$$
f=q+\sum_{n \geqslant 2} a_{n} q^{n} \in S_{k}(N, \varepsilon)
$$

be a newform of weight $k$, level $N$, and nebentypus $\varepsilon$. Let $K_{f}=\mathbb{Q}\left(a_{n}, n \geqslant 2\right)$ be the number field generated by the $q$-expansion coefficients of $f$. For each prime $\mathfrak{l}$ of $K_{f}$, there exists an l-adic Galois representation

$$
\rho_{f, l}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right),
$$

which is unramified outside of $\ell N$ (where $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ denotes the $\mathfrak{l}$-adic completion of the integer ring on $K_{f}$, and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ is the prime number below $\mathfrak{l}$ ), and by which the Frobenius element Frob ${ }_{p}$ has characteristic polynomial

$$
X^{2}-a_{p} X+\varepsilon(p) p^{k-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\imath}[X]
$$

for all unramified prime $p \nmid \ell N$.

## A.3.3.2 Arithmetic consequences

The existence of Galois representations attached to newforms as described above has tremendous consequences on the arithmetic properties on the $q$-expansion coefficients of cuspforms.

Example A.3.3.4 ([Ser74]). For instance, it is not too difficult to prove that for every cuspform $f=\sum_{n \geqslant 1} a_{n} q^{n}$ whose coefficients $a_{n}$ lie in the integer ring $\mathbb{Z}_{K}$ of some number field $K$, and for any ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of $K$, the set of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_{n} \not \equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{a}$ has density zero, and actually that

$$
\#\left\{n \leqslant x \mid a_{n} \not \equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{a}\right\}=O\left(x / \log ^{\alpha} x\right)
$$

for some $\alpha>0$ when $x \rightarrow+\infty$.
To see this, suppose first that $f$ is a newform, so that the coefficients $a_{n}$ are multiplicative. Then, for every prime $\mathfrak{l}$ of $K$ and every $v \in \mathbb{N}$, the Cebotarev density theorem applied to the Galois representation

$$
G_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\rho_{f, \mathrm{l}}} \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{l}} / \mathrm{l}^{v} \mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)
$$

indicates that the set $P_{\mathfrak{l}, v}$ of primes $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_{p} \equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{l}^{v}$ has positive density $\delta_{\mathfrak{l}, v}>0$. Since the coefficients $a_{n}$ are multiplicative, one then has $a_{n} \equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{l}^{v}$ for all $n$ such that $p \| n$ for some $p \in P_{\mathrm{l}, v}$. A little analysis then shows that then number of $n \leqslant x$ for which this is not the case is $O\left(x / \log ^{\delta_{1, v}} x\right)$.

This extends to cuspforms since every cuspform is a linear combination of newforms up to finitely many coefficients by ( 4.2 .2 .28 ).

Example A.3.3.5. P. Deligne's construction A.3.3.3 also shows that the Weil conjectures (cf. [Wei49]) imply the Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture, which says that if

$$
f=q+\sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} a_{n} q^{n} \in S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)
$$

is a newform of weight $k$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sigma\left(a_{p}\right)\right| \leqslant 2 p^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \tag{A.3.3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$ prime and every embedding $\sigma$ of $K_{f}=\mathbb{Q}\left(a_{n}, n \geqslant 2\right)$ into $\mathbb{C}$. For instance, in the case of $f=\Delta$, this would say that $\tau(p) \leqslant 2 p^{11 / 2}$. P. Deligne again proved the Weil conjectures a few years later (cf. [Del74, Del80]), and hence the Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture too. Note that the multiplicativity ( A .2 .2 .20 ) of the $a_{n}$ imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sigma\left(a_{n}\right)\right| \leqslant \sigma_{0}(n) n^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \tag{А.3.3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $\sigma$, where $\sigma_{0}(n)$ denotes the number of positive divisors of $n$. One has $d(n)=O\left(n^{\delta}\right)$ for all $\delta>0$ (cf. for instance [HW08], ch. XVIII, theorem $315]$ ), so by ( $\boxed{A .2 .2 .28)}$ ) one concludes that for all cuspform $f$ of weight $k$,

$$
a_{n}(f)=O\left(n^{\frac{k-1}{2}+\delta}\right)
$$

for all $\delta>0$ when $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Note that one can prove by elementary means (cf. [DSO5, proof of proposition 5.9.1]) that $a_{n}(f)=O\left(n^{k / 2}\right)$.

Finally, P. Swinnerton-Dyer proved (cf. [Swi72] or [Ser73]) that for each newform $f$ whose $q$-expansion coefficients are rational (i.e. lie in $\mathbb{Z}$ ), there are only finitely many primes $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the image of the associated $\bmod \ell$ Galois representation $\bar{\rho}_{f, \ell}$ does not contain $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$, which implies that there exist only finitely many $\ell$ such that the coefficients of $f$ satisfy Ramanujan-style congruence relations modulo $\ell$.

Remark A.3.3.8. According to Maeda's conjecture, for all $k$, the newforms in $S_{k}(1)$ form a single Galois orbit, so that in particular a newform has rational coefficients only if the space $S_{k}(1)$ it lies in has dimension 1 . By the dimension formulae given in theorem A.2.2.10, there are only six such newforms: $\Delta, E_{4} \Delta, E_{6} \Delta, E_{8} \Delta, E_{10} \Delta$ and $E_{14} \Delta$, of respective weights $k=12,16,18,20,22$ and 26. Maeda's conjecture has been tested successfully for all $k$ up to 2000 by D. Farmer and K. James in [FW02].

I now present a sketch of P. Swinnerton-Dyer's proof, following the presentation of [Swi72]. To simplify matters, I assume henceforth that $\ell \geqslant 5$. I shall denote reduction modulo $\ell$ by a bar, and the weight of $f$ by $k_{f}$.

Definition A.3.3.9. A prime $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ is exceptional for $f$ if the image of the associated $\bmod \ell$ Galois representation $\bar{\rho}_{f, \ell}$ does not contain $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$.

To begin with, it is natural to wonder what the possible images of a mod $\ell$ Galois representations are. Define a Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ to be a subgroup conjugate to the subgroup of upper triangular matrices, and a Cartan subgroup to be a subgroup which is either conjugate to the subgroup of diagonal matrices, in which case it is said to be split and is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*} \times \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*}$, or conjugate in $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^{2}}\right)$ to the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^{2}}\right)$ made of matrices of the form $\left[\begin{array}{cc}a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{\prime}\end{array}\right]$ where $a^{\prime}=a^{\ell}$ is the conjugate of $a$ by the Frobenius automorphism $x \mapsto x^{\ell}$, in which case it is said to be non-split and is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^{2}}^{*}$. The normaliser of a Cartan subgroup $C$ either swaps the two eigenlines of $C$ or leaves them invariant, so $C$ has index 2 in it, whereas a Borel subgroup is its own normaliser.

Example A.3.3.10. For instance, the representation $\bar{\rho}_{\Delta, 7}$ studied in example $A .3 .3 .1$ has values in a Borel subgroup, whereas the representation $\bar{\rho}_{\Delta, 23}$ studied in example A.3.3.2 has values in the normaliser of a split Cartan subgroup.

One then has the following classification of subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$, due to Dixon:
Proposition A.3.3.11. Let $G$ be a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$. If its order is divisible by $\ell$, then $G$ either contains $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ or is contained in a Borel subgroup. Else, letting $\mathrm{P} G$ denote the image of $G$ in $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$, then either
(i) $\mathrm{P} G$ is cyclic, and $G$ is contained in a Cartan subgroup, or
(ii) PG is dihedral, and $G$ is contained in the normaliser of a Cartan subgroup but not in the Cartan subgroup itself, or
(iii) PG is isomorphic to the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{4}$, or to the alternating group $\mathfrak{A}_{4}$ or $\mathfrak{A}_{5}$.

The proof is fairly standard, cf. [Swi72, lemma 2].

However, some of these subgroups cannot be the image of a $\bar{\rho}_{f, \ell}$.
Lemma A.3.3.12. Let $G$ be the image of $\bar{\rho}_{f, \ell}$. Then $G$ cannot be contained in a non-split Cartan subgroup, and $\mathrm{P} G$ cannot be isomorphic to $\mathfrak{A}_{4}$ nor $\mathfrak{A}_{5}$.

Proof. Let $c \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the complex conjugation corresponding to some embedding of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ in $\mathbb{C}$. Then $\bar{\rho}_{f, \ell}(c) \in G$ is an involutory matrix of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$, of determinant $\operatorname{det} \bar{\rho}_{f, \ell}(c)=\bar{\chi}_{\ell}^{k_{f}-1}(c)=(-1)^{k_{f}-1}=-1$ since $k_{f}$ is even, so its eigenvalues are 1 and -1 . In particular, it cannot lie in a non-split Cartan subgroup.

Consider next the following Galois character


It is non-trivial again because $k_{f}$ is even, so $G$ has a subgroup of index 2. But neither $\mathfrak{A}_{4}$ nor $\mathfrak{A}_{5}$ do.

Corollary A.3.3.13. Let $G$ be the image of $\bar{\rho}_{f, \ell}$. If $\ell$ is an exceptional prime for $f$, then either
(i) $G$ is contained in a Borel subgroup, or
(ii) $G$ is contained in the normaliser of a Cartan subgroup but not in the Cartan subgroup itself, or
(iii) the image PG of $G$ in $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(F_{\ell}\right)$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{4}$.

Indeed, a split Cartan subgroup can be seen as a subgroup of a Borel subgroup.
At this point, it is already apparent that if $\ell$ is an exceptional prime for $f$, then the image of $\bar{\rho}_{f, \ell}$ will be small enough for non-trivial relations to exist between the trace and the norm of elements of its image $G$, whence Ramanujan-like congruences for the coefficients $a_{n}$ of $f$. In other words, the subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ which do not contain $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ all turn out to be sufficiently small for Ramanujan-like congruences to exist, which explains Serre's insight [Ser69]. Note that this also limits the kind of congruences which may occur:

Corollary A.3.3.14. Let $\ell$ be an exceptional prime for $f$. Then the three cases listed in the above corollary respectively imply
(i) There exists an integer a such that $0 \leqslant a<k_{f}-1-a$ and that $a_{n} \equiv n^{a} \sigma_{k_{f}-1-2 a}(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ prime to $\ell$.
(ii) $a_{n} \equiv 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ which are not a square modulo $\ell$.
(iii) For all prime numbers $p \neq \ell, \frac{a_{p}^{2}}{p^{k} f^{-1}} \equiv 0$, 1 , or $4 \bmod \ell$ if $p$ is a square modulo $\ell$, and $\frac{a_{p}^{2}}{p^{k} f^{-1}} \equiv 0$ or $2 \bmod \ell$ else.

Proof. (i) This is a generalisation of example A.3.3.D: by hypothesis, after conjugation by a fixed matrix, the image of $\bar{\rho}_{f, \ell}$ is made up of upper triangular matrices, so that in particular the diagonal entries are Galois characters, hence of the form $\bar{\chi}_{\ell}^{a}$ and $\bar{\chi}_{\ell}^{b}$ by lemma A.3.1.9. Besides, their product is $\operatorname{det} \bar{\rho}_{f, \ell}=\bar{\chi}_{\ell}^{k_{f}-1}$, so that $a+b \equiv k_{f}-1 \bmod \ell-1$. One can assume without loss of generality that $a+b=k_{f}-1$, and that $a<b$ ( $a$ and $b$ cannot be equal since their sum $k_{f}-1$ is odd). Then for all $p \neq \ell$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{p} \bmod \ell= & \operatorname{tr} \bar{\rho}_{f, \ell}\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{p}\right)=\bar{\chi}_{\ell}^{a}\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{p}\right)+\bar{\chi}_{\ell}^{k_{f}-1-a}\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{p}\right) \\
& =p^{a}+p^{k_{f}-1-a}=p^{a} \sigma_{k_{f}-1-2 a}(p),
\end{aligned}
$$

and this congruence spreads to all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ prime to $\ell$ by multiplicativity.
(ii) This is a generalisation of example A.3.3.2: by hypothesis, after conjugation by a fixed matrix, the image of $\bar{\rho}_{f, \ell}$ is made up of matrices which are either diagonal or anti-diagonal, which yields a Galois character

$$
\psi: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \pm 1
$$

such that for all $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}, \psi(\sigma)=+1$ when $\bar{\rho}_{f, \ell}(\sigma)$ is diagonal, and $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $\psi(\sigma)=-1$ when $\bar{\rho}_{f, \ell}(\sigma)$ is anti-diagonal. Since $\psi$ factors through $\bar{\rho}_{f, \ell}$, the number field $K$ it cuts out is contained in the number field cut out by $\bar{\rho}_{f, \ell}$ and so ramifies only at $\ell$, and it is a quadratic number field $\operatorname{since} \operatorname{Im} \psi$ is of order 2 . But there is only one quadratic number field ramified only at $\ell$, namely $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\ell^{*}}\right)$ where $\ell^{*}=(-1)^{\frac{\ell-1}{2}} \ell$, so $\psi$ is actually

$$
G_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\psi} \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\ell^{*}}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right) \simeq \pm 1
$$

Therefore, $\psi\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{p}\right)=\left(\frac{\ell^{*}}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{p}{\ell}\right)$ for all $p \neq \ell$ by quadratic reciprocity. In particular, if $p$ is not a square modulo $\ell$, then $\psi\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{p}\right)=-1$, so that $\bar{\rho}_{f, \ell}\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{p}\right)$ is anti-diagonal and hence has trace $a_{p} \bmod \ell=0$. Since the $a_{n}$ are multiplicative, one therefore also has $a_{n} \equiv 0 \bmod \ell$ if there exists a prime $p \| n$ which is not a square modulo $\ell$, and thus a fortiori if $n$ is not a square $\bmod \ell$.
(iii) First observe that the map

$$
\phi: \begin{array}{rlc}
\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_{\ell} \\
M & \longmapsto \frac{(\operatorname{tr} M)^{2}}{\operatorname{det} M}
\end{array}
$$

factors through $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$. Now, the elements of $\mathfrak{S}_{4}$ are of order $n=1,2,3$ or 4 , and a matrix $M$ whose image in $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ has such order $n$ is killed by a polynomial of the form $(X-a)^{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}[X]$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*}$, which is separable since I assumed $\ell \geqslant 5$. This implies that such a matrix $M$ is semi-simple, hence its image in $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}\right)$ can be represented by $\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta\end{array}\right]$ for some root of unity $\zeta \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}$ of exact order $n$. One then finds that $\phi(M)=4,0,1,2$, respectively. Besides, a reasoning similar to case (ii) shows that the image of Frob ${ }_{p}$ lies in $\mathfrak{A}_{4}$ if and only if $p$ is a square modulo $\ell$. In this case, it has order 1,2 or 3 , else, it has order 2 or 4 .

I shall now effectively prove that each of these cases can happen for only finitely many $\ell$. Let

$$
E_{k}=1-\frac{2 k}{b_{k}} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^{n} \in M_{k}(1)
$$

be the Eisenstein series from example A.2.2.5, which can also be normalised as

$$
F_{k}=\frac{b_{k}}{2 k}-\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^{n} \in M_{k}(1)
$$

and also let

$$
E_{2}=1-24 \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sigma_{1}(n) q^{n}
$$

This is NOT a modular form, and actually $M_{2}(1)=\{0\}$. However,
Proposition A.3.3.15 (cf. [Swi72, lemma 3]). Let $\theta=q \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} q}$ be the operator

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_{n} q^{n} \longmapsto \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} n a_{n} q^{n}
$$

on $q$-series, and let $\partial=12 \theta-k E_{2}$ be the operator

$$
f \longmapsto 12 \theta f-k E_{2} f
$$

for $f \in M_{k}(1)$. Then for all $k \geqslant 4$, $\partial$ maps $M_{k}(1)$ to $M_{k+2}(1)$.
Unfortunately, the operator $\theta$ does not necessarily transform modular forms into modular forms since $E_{2}$ is not modular. However, the situation is much more pleasant modulo $\ell$. To see that, let $M_{k}^{(\ell)}(1)$ denote the subset of $M_{k}(1)$ made up of forms whose $q$-expansion coefficients are all $\ell$-integral, in other words, the $\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}$-span of the so-called Miller basis of $M_{k}(1)$ (cf. [Ste(07, section 2.3]), where $\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}$ denotes the localisation of $\mathbb{Z}$ at $\ell$. The Von-Staudt congruences on Bernoulli numbers (cf. [Lan95, theorem X.2.1]) imply that $E_{k} \in M_{k}^{(\ell)}(1)$ for all $k$, and that $F_{k} \in M_{k}^{(\ell)}(1)$ except if $(\ell-1) \mid 2 k$; furthermore, one has $E_{\ell-1} \equiv 1 \bmod \ell$ and $E_{\ell+1} \equiv E_{2} \bmod \ell$, so $E_{2}$ becomes a modular form $\bmod \ell$. The graded algebra

$$
M=\bigoplus_{k \geqslant 0} M_{k}^{(\ell)}(1)=\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}\left[E_{4}, E_{6}\right]
$$

comes with the injective morphism $\phi: M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}[[q]]$ provided by $q$-expansion, which allows to identify $M$ with a $\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}$-subalgebra of $\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}[[q]]$. Letting

$$
\bar{M}_{k}(1)=\left\{\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \overline{a_{n}} q^{n} \mid \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_{n} q^{n} \in M_{k}^{(\ell)}(1)\right\},
$$

one gets a morphism of $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ algebras

$$
\bar{\phi}: \bar{M}=M / \ell M=\sum_{k \geqslant 0} \bar{M}_{k}^{(\ell)}(1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\ell}[[q]]
$$

which is no longer injective since $E_{\ell-1} \equiv 1 \bmod \ell$. Actually, to quote J.-P. Serre, this is "the only relation" between modular forms modulo $\ell$ :

Theorem A.3.3.16 (cf. [Swi72, theorem 2(iv)]). The kernel of $\bar{\phi}$ is the ideal of $\bar{M}$ generated by $\overline{E_{\ell-1}}-1$.

In particular, $\bar{M}$ inherits a $\mathbb{Z} /(\ell-1) \mathbb{Z}$-graduation from $M$. Besides, since $E_{\ell+1} \equiv E_{2} \bmod \ell$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
12 \theta \bar{f}=\partial \bar{f}+k \overline{E_{2} f}=\overline{E_{\ell-1} \partial f}+k \overline{E_{\ell+1} f} \tag{A.3.3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\bar{f} \in \bar{M}_{k}(1)$, so that $\theta$ now preserves modular forms modulo $\ell$, and is actually a homogeneous operator of degree 2 on $\bar{M}$.

A graded element $\bar{f}$ of $\bar{M}$ is the sum of reductions modulo $\ell$ of forms whose weights all agree modulo $\ell-1$. Multiplying these forms with powers of $E_{\ell-1}$, I can arrange for all of their weights to be equal, so that $\bar{f}$ is the reduction modulo $\ell$ of a modular form $f \in M_{k}^{(\ell)}(1)$ whose weight $k \in \mathbb{N}$ reduces modulo $\ell-1$ to the graduation of $\bar{f}$. The minimal such $k$ is called the filtration of $\bar{f}$, and is denoted by $w(\bar{f}) \in \mathbb{N}$. Equation ( $\mathbf{A . 3 . 3 . 1 7 )}$ ) above hints at how the operator $\theta$ changes the filtration:

Lemma A.3.3.18. For every graded element $\bar{f}$ of $\bar{M}$, one has $w(\theta \bar{f}) \leqslant w(\bar{f})+\ell+1$, with equality unless $\ell \mid w(\bar{f})$.

Proof. The first part is clear form equation ( A .3 .3 .17 ). The second part comes from the fact that the filtration can drop only by getting rid of the $E_{\ell+1}-$ part in ( $\mathbf{\alpha . 3 . 3 . 1 7 \text { ), }}$ which can only happen if $k \equiv 0 \bmod \ell$; cf. [Swi72, lemma 5 (ii)] for the details.

Using this, one can bound effectively the possible exceptional values of $\ell$ for each of the three cases listed above in corollary a.3.3.14.

Theorem A.3.3.19. The cases listed in corollary A.3.3.14 can only occur, respectively, if
(i) $\ell<k_{f}$ or $\ell$ divides the numerator of the Bernoulli number $b_{k_{f}}$,
(ii) $\ell<2 k_{f}$,
(iii) for all primes $p \neq \ell$, $\ell$ divides either $a_{p}^{2}, a_{p}^{2}-p^{k_{f}-1}, a_{p}^{2}-2 p^{k_{f}-1}$ or $a_{p}^{2}-4 p^{k_{f}-1}$.

Proof. (i) Possibly after swapping $a$ and $b=k_{f}-1-a$, one is in the situation where there exist integers $a$ and $b$ such that $0 \leqslant a<b<\ell-1, a+b=k_{f}$, and the $q$-expansion coefficients $a_{n}$ of $f$ satisfy

$$
\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \text { prime to } \ell, a_{n} \equiv n^{a} \sigma_{b-a}(n) \bmod \ell
$$

This can be rewritten as

$$
\theta \bar{f}=\theta^{a+1} \overline{F_{b-a+1}},
$$

where an extra $\theta$ has been applied on both sides in order to kill the terms whose rank is divisible by $\ell$; however, the case $a=0, b=\ell-2$ must be treated separately since $F_{\ell-1}$ is not $\ell$-integral. Now the left hand side has filtration at most $k_{f}+\ell+1$ by lemma 0.3 .3 .18 ; on the other hand, $\overline{F_{b-a+1}}$ clearly has filtration $b-a+1$, and if $b-a>1$ one sees by induction on $i \leqslant a+1$ that the filtration of $\theta^{i} \overline{F_{b-a+1}}$ is exactly $b-a+1+i(\ell+1)$ because one is in the case of
equality in lemma 4.3 .3 .18 every time. Therefore, leaving the case $b-a=1$ aside,

$$
k_{f}+\ell+1 \geqslant b-a+1+(a+1)(\ell+1)
$$

hence $b+a \ell+1 \leqslant k_{f}$. Assume now that $\ell>k_{f}$ on the top of that. Then this forces $a=0$, whence

$$
\theta \bar{f}=\theta \overline{F_{k_{f}}} .
$$

If $\bar{f}$ and $\overline{F_{k_{f}}}$ did not agree, then $\bar{f}-\overline{F_{k_{f}}}$ would have filtration $k_{f}$, whence

$$
0=w(0)=w\left(\theta\left(\bar{f}-\overline{F_{k_{f}}}\right)\right)=k+\ell+1
$$

by lemma A.3.3.18, which is impossible. So $f$ and $F_{k_{f}}$ agree modulo $\ell$, and in particular $F_{k_{f}}$ has no constant term modulo $\ell$, so that $\ell$ divides the numerator of $b_{k_{f}}$. The special cases $b-a=\ell-2$ and $b-a=1$ are dealt with similarly.
(ii) In this case, one has similarly

$$
\theta \bar{f}=\theta^{\frac{\ell+1}{2}} \bar{f} .
$$

If $\ell>2 k_{f}$, then $w(\bar{f})=k_{f}$ and hence $w\left(\theta^{i} \bar{f}\right)=k_{f}+i(\ell+1)$ for $i \leqslant \frac{\ell+1}{2}$ by induction since one is every time in the case of equality of lemma A.3.3.18. Comparing weights on both sides then yields

$$
k_{f}+\ell+1=k_{f}+\frac{\ell+1}{2}(\ell+1),
$$

which is impossible.
(iii) is immediate.

This yields a decision process to determine and test all possible exceptional primes $\ell$ of kind (i) and (ii) for each newform $f$ of level 1 with rational coefficients: test the finitely many possible exceptional values of $\ell$, and use the Sturm bound 0.2 .2 .31 to prove an exceptional congruence $\theta \bar{f}=\theta^{a+1} \overline{F_{b-a+1}}$ or $\theta \bar{f}=\theta^{\frac{\ell+1}{2}} \bar{f}$ whenever suspected. On the other hand, exceptional primes of type (iii) are not harder to detect but are harder to prove, since they cannot be translated in terms of the $\theta$ operator, so that the Sturm bound does not apply.

Example A.3.3.20. Let me apply this to $f=\Delta$ for instance. Since $k_{f}=12$,
(i) can only occur for $\ell<12$ or $\ell=691$ since $b_{12}=-\frac{691}{2730}$. The Ramanujan congruences for $\tau(n)$ show that the values $\ell=2,3,5,7$ and 691 are indeed exceptional of this type. On the other hand, $\ell=11$ is not exceptional of type (i), since $\tau(2)=-24$ and there exists no $0 \leqslant a<6$ such that $2^{a}+2^{11-a}$ is congruent to $-24 \bmod 11$.
(ii) can only occur for $\ell<24$. It actually does not for $\ell=2,3,5,7$ since these are exceptional of type (i) as shown just above, which is incompatible with type (ii). On the other hand, the Ramanujan congruence for $\tau(n)$ modulo 23 shows that $\ell=23$ is indeed exceptional of type (ii). In order to rule out $\ell=13,17$ or 19, it suffices to find for each of those $\ell$ a prime $p$ such that $\left(\frac{p}{\ell}\right)=-1$ and $\ell \nmid \tau(p)$. One can take $p=2$ for $\ell=11$ and 19 , and $p=3$ for $\ell=13$.

| $f$ | $k_{f}$ | Exceptional <br> primes of type (i) | Exceptional <br> primes of type (ii) | Exceptional <br> primes of type (iii) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta$ | 12 | $2,3,5,7,691$ | 23 | - |
| $E_{4} \Delta$ | 16 | $2,3,5,7,11,3617$ | 31 | 59 |
| $E_{6} \Delta$ | 18 | $2,3,5,7,11,13,43867$ | - | - |
| $E_{8} \Delta$ | 20 | $2,3,5,7,11,13,283,617$ | - | - |
| $E_{10} \Delta$ | 22 | $2,3,5,7,13,17,131,593$ | - | - |
| $E_{14} \Delta$ | 26 | $2,3,5,7,11,17,19,657931$ | - | - |

Table A.3.3.21: The exceptional primes for the rational newforms of level 1
(iii) Taking $p=2$ and examining the prime divisors of $\tau(p)^{2}, \tau(p)^{2}-p^{11}, \tau(p)^{2}-2 p^{11}$ and $\tau(p)^{2}-4 p^{11}$, one sees that this case can only occur for $\ell=2,3,5,7,11,17$, or 23. Similarly, taking $p=3$ reveals that this case can only occur for $\ell=2,3,5,7,11,23,61,181$, or 359 . Taking the intersection, the only possibilities left are $\ell=2,3,5,7,11$, or 23 , which have already been seen to be of kind (i) or (ii).

Applying this process to all the newforms of level 1 with rational coefficients leads to table A.3.3.2].

Remark A.3.3.22. Fortunately, it turns out that there is only one exceptional prime of type (iii), namely $\ell=59$ for $E_{4} \Delta$. P. Swinnerton-Dyer detected it and conjectured that it was exceptional of type (iii) in [Swi72], and this was proved a few years later by K. Haberland (cf. [Hab83, Kapitel 3]).

I can now explain what I meant when I claimed that, unlike for Eisenstein series, there is no simple formula for the coefficients $a_{n}$ of cuspforms: the Galois representations attached to them generally have non-abelian images, so that the coefficients $a_{n}$ cannot be computed by using class field theory in the number fields cut out by these representations. On the contrary, it is possible to construct Galois representations attached to Eisenstein series as direct sums of Galois characters. These representations are then reducible and have abelian image, so that class field theory should be able to express the coefficients of Eisenstein series with characters, and indeed the formulae for coefficients of Eisenstein series are based on characters, as I demonstrated in section 0.2 .2 .3 .

## A.3.3.3 Geometric realisation

Even though there are no such closed formulae for the coefficients $a_{n}$ of cuspforms, it is still possible to compute these coefficients. One possible approach consists in using modular symbols, as demonstrated in section A.2.3 (cf. example A.2.3.12). Another approach, originally due to J.-M. Couveignes and B. Edixhoven (cf. [CE1T]) and which is the central topic of this thesis, is to use the Galois representations attached to newforms, and especially the fact that they allow to recover the coefficient $a_{p}$ of a newform for $p$ prime as the trace of the image of the Frobenius element Frob ${ }_{p}$. One can then deduce the coefficients $a_{n}$ of this newform thanks to the multiplicativity
relations (A.2.2.20]), and hence compute the coefficients $a_{n}$ of any cuspform by expressing it as a linear combination of forms directly related to newforms of possibly lower level as in (\$.2.2.28).

In order to compute such Galois representations, I need to describe them more explicitly so as to get a computational grasp on them, and this is what I shall now do. More precisely, I shall sketch a construction of G. Shimura's (cf. [Shi71], chapter 7]) which corresponds to the case of weight $k=2$ of theorem A.3.3.3. This construction was later generalised to higher weights by P. Deligne as he proved theorem A.3.3.3, but this generalisation uses advanced algebraic geometry techniques which are not well-suited for computational purposes. On the other hand, the case $k=2$ is easier to handle thanks to the relationship between the space $S_{2}(\Gamma)$ of cuspforms of weight 2 and the modular jacobian $\operatorname{Jac}(X(\Gamma))=S_{2}(\Gamma)^{\vee} / H_{1}(X(\Gamma), \mathbb{Z})$. As a consequence, I shall first sketch Shimura's construction in weight $k=2$ in elementary terms, and then show how to adapt it to higher weights. The price of this is that I shall only show how to construct the mod $\ell$ Galois representations attached to higher-weight newforms, instead of the $\ell$-adic ones.

## The case of weight $k=2$

To be precise, I shall now sketch the proof of the following theorem:
Theorem A.3.3.23. Let $f=q+\sum_{n \geqslant 2} a_{n} q^{n} \in S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)$ be a newform of weight 2 , let $K_{f}=\mathbb{Q}\left(a_{n}, n \geqslant 2\right)$ be the number field spanned by its $q$-expansion coefficients, and let $\mathfrak{l}$ be a prime of degree 1 of $K_{f}$ lying over a prime $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ which is prime to the level $N$ and such that the $\bmod \mathfrak{l}$ Galois representation $\bar{\rho}_{f, \mathfrak{l}}$ attached to $f \bmod \mathfrak{l}$ is not exceptional (that is to say such that its image contains $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{r}}\right)$ ). Denote by

$$
\bar{\lambda}_{f, \mathfrak{l}}: \mathbb{T} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{K_{f}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{\ell}
$$

the mod $\mathfrak{l}$ eigenvalue system of $f$, that is to say the ring morphism mapping the Hecke operator $T_{n}$ to $a_{n} \bmod \mathfrak{l}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{T}_{2, N}$ is the Hecke algebra of weight 2 and level $\Gamma_{1}(N)$. Then the $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$-subspace

$$
V_{f, \mathfrak{l}}=\bigcap_{T \in \mathbb{T}} \operatorname{Ker}\left(T-\bar{\lambda}_{f, l}(T)\right)_{\mid J_{1}(N)[\ell]}
$$

of the $\ell$-torsion $J_{1}(N)[\ell]$ of the jacobian $J_{1}(N)$ of the modular curve $X_{1}(N)$ is of dimension 2 over $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$, is stable under Galois, and the Galois action on its points yields a mod $\ell$ Galois representation

$$
\bar{\rho}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}\left(V_{f, \mathrm{l}}\right) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)
$$

which is isomorphic to $\bar{\rho}_{f, 1}$.
The proof follows the same lines as the study A.3.1.]l of the Galois representation afforded by the torsion of an elliptic curve. The idea is the following (cf. [DDT95, sections 1.6 and 1.7]).

Let $\Lambda=H_{1}\left(X_{1}(N), \mathbb{Z}\right)$. As explained in remark A.2.3.13, the involution $\tau \mapsto-\bar{\tau}$ of $\mathcal{H}^{\bullet}$ induces an involution on $\Lambda$ and hence splits $\Lambda$ into two eigen sublattices $\Lambda^{+}$
and $\Lambda^{-}$(note that $\Lambda^{+} \oplus \Lambda^{-}$will not in general be the whole of $\Lambda$, but merely a sublattice of finite index). Then the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces $\Lambda^{ \pm} \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\mathbb{Q}}$ are both isomorphic as $\mathbb{T}$-modules to $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N), \mathbb{Q}\right), \mathbb{Q}\right)$, which is a free $\mathbb{T} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$-module of rank one by lemma A.2.2.30, where $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N), \mathbb{Q}\right)=S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N), \mathbb{Z}\right) \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} \mathbb{Q}$. Therefore $\Lambda \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{\mathbb { Q }}$ is a free $\mathbb{T} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$-module of rank 2 .
${ }^{\mathbb{Z}}$ Let now

$$
\operatorname{Ta}_{\ell} J_{1}(N)=\lim _{\check{n \in \mathbb{N}}} J_{1}(N)\left[\ell^{n}\right]
$$

be the Tate module of $J_{1}(N)$, where the transition maps are the multiplication by $\ell$, and let $V_{\ell} J_{1}(N)=\left(\operatorname{Ta}_{\ell} J_{1}(N)\right) \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\underset{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathbb{Q}}} \underset{\left(\operatorname{Ta}_{\ell} J_{1}(N)\right)}{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}} \underset{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathbb{Q}}$. Since $J_{1}(N)\left[\ell^{n}\right]=\frac{1}{\ell^{n}} \Lambda / \Lambda$ by the analytic construction of the jacobian (cf. section A.12), the above shows that $V_{\ell} J_{1}(N)$ is a free $\mathbb{T} \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \ell$-module of rank 2 . Since $J_{1}(N)$ is an abelian variety over $\mathbb{Q}$ and since the action of the Hecke operators $T \in \mathbb{T}$ on $J_{1}(N)$ is also defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, the Galois action commutes with the group law of $J_{1}(N)$ and with the Hecke action, and thus yields a Galois representation

$$
\rho_{N, \ell}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{\underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}\left(V_{\ell} J_{1}(N)\right) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{T} \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)
$$

Let $p \nmid \ell N$ be a prime number, and let $\operatorname{Frob}_{p} \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a Frobenius element at $p$. I shall now prove that $\rho_{N, \ell}$ is unramified at $p$ and that the characteristic polynomial of $\rho_{N, \ell}\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{p}\right)$ is

$$
X^{2}-T_{p} X+p\langle p\rangle \in\left(\underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)[X]
$$

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the right morphism on the commutative diagram

is injective by theorem A.3.1.J0, so the image of an element $\sigma \in D_{p}$ in $\operatorname{Aut}(E[\ell])$ depends only on its image in $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p} / \mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$, which proves that $\rho_{N, \ell}$ is unramified at $p$. Besides, the Eichler-Shimura relation $\overline{\alpha .2 .1 .20}$ says that $\overline{T_{p}}=\sigma_{p}+p \overline{\langle p\rangle} \sigma_{p}^{-1}$ holds in End $\left.\left(J_{1}(N)_{\mathbb{F}_{p}} \ell^{n}\right]\right)$, where $\sigma_{p}$ denotes the Frobenius automorphism $x \mapsto x^{p}$ in characteristic $p$. Multiplying by $\sigma_{p}$, one finds that $\sigma_{p}$ satisfies the relation

$$
\sigma_{p}^{2}-\overline{T_{p}} \sigma_{p}+p \overline{\langle p\rangle}=0
$$

on $J_{1}(N)_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}\left[\ell^{n}\right]$, so Frob $_{p}$ satisfies the relation

$$
\operatorname{Frob}_{p}^{2}-T_{p} \operatorname{Frob}_{p}+p\langle p\rangle=0
$$

on $J_{1}(N)\left[\ell^{n}\right]$ since the right morphism on ( $\widehat{A .3 .3 .24)}$ ) is injective, and hence on $V_{\ell} J_{1}(N)$ since $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is arbitrary.

In order to prove that this is the characteristic polynomial of $\rho_{N, \ell}\left(\right.$ Frob $\left._{p}\right)$, it suffices to show that the trace of $\rho_{N, \ell}\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{p}\right)$ is $T_{p}$. In the beginning of section A.2.1.2,

I constructed the Weil pairing on an elliptic curve, but a similar construction exists on any jacobian, yielding in the present case a Galois-equivariant non-degenerate alternate $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$-pairing

$$
\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: J_{1}(N)[m] \wedge J_{1}(N)[m] \longrightarrow \mu_{m}
$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Taking $m=\ell^{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and passing to the limit yields a non-degenerate alternate $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$-pairing

$$
\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: \operatorname{Ta}_{\ell} J_{1}(N) \wedge \operatorname{Ta}_{\ell} J_{1}(N) \longrightarrow \underset{n \in \mathbb{N}}{\lim _{\ell^{n}}} \mu_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \simeq \varliminf_{\overparen{N}} \mathbb{Z} / \ell^{n} \mathbb{Z}=\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}
$$

which one extends into a non-degenerate alternate $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$-pairing

$$
\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: V_{\ell} J_{1}(N) \wedge V_{\ell} J_{1}(N) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}
$$

I mentioned in remark A.2.2.19 that the adjoint of a Hecke operator $T \in \mathbb{T}$ with respect to the Petersson inner product is $W_{N} T W_{N}$, and one can show by a proof following the same lines that $W_{N} T W_{N}$ is also the adjoint of $T$ with respect to the Weil pairing. Therefore, the pairing

$$
[\cdot, \cdot]=\left\langle\cdot, W_{N} \cdot\right\rangle: V_{\ell} J_{1}(N) \wedge V_{\ell} J_{1}(N) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}
$$

is a perfect $\mathbb{T} \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} \mathbb{Q}$ - -bilinear pairing, so that one has a $\mathbb{T} \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$-linear isomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{\ell} J_{1}(N) & \longrightarrow\left(V_{\ell} J_{1}(N)\right)^{\vee}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}\left(V_{\ell} J_{1}(N), \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right) \\
x & \longmapsto[x, \cdot] .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is a general property of the Weil pairing that the adjoint of an isogeny $\phi$ is the dual isogeny $\widehat{\phi}$. In particular, the adjoint of $\sigma_{p}$ on $J_{1}(N)_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}$ is $\widehat{\sigma}_{p}=p \sigma_{p}^{-1}$, so by theorem A.3.1.10 the adjoint of $\mathrm{Frob}_{p}$ with respect to the Weil pairing $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ on $V_{\ell} J_{1}(N)$ is $p$ Frob $_{p}^{-1}$, and hence the adjoint of $\mathrm{Frob}_{p}$ with respect to the modified pairing $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is $p W_{N}$ Frob $_{p}^{-1} W_{N}$. Since $W_{N}$ acts on $X_{1}(N)$ by $(E, P) \mapsto(E /\langle P\rangle, Q+\langle P\rangle)$ where $Q \in E[N]$ is such that the Weil pairing of $P$ and $Q$ on $E$ is a fixed primitive $N^{\text {th }}$ root of 1 , and since $\mathrm{Frob}_{p}$ raises roots of 1 to the $p$, one sees that $W_{N} \operatorname{Frob}_{p}^{-1} W_{N}(E, P)=$ $\left(E^{\mathrm{Frob}_{p}^{-1}}, p \operatorname{Frob}_{p}^{-1}(P)\right)$, that is to say $W_{N} \operatorname{Frob}_{p}^{-1} W_{N}=\langle p\rangle \operatorname{Frob}_{p}^{-1}$. It follows that the trace of $\mathrm{Frob}_{p}$ on $V_{\ell} J_{1}(N)$ is the same as the trace of $\phi \mapsto \phi \circ\left(p\langle p\rangle \mathrm{Frob}_{p}^{-1}\right)$ on $\left(V_{\ell} J_{1}(N)\right)^{\vee}$, whence

$$
2 \operatorname{tr} \operatorname{Frob}_{p}=\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{Frob}_{p}+\operatorname{tr}\left(p\langle p\rangle \operatorname{Frob}_{p}^{-1}\right)=\operatorname{tr} T_{p}=2 T_{p},
$$

where the middle equality stems again from the Eichler-Shimura relation A.2.1.20]. This proves that the characteristic polynomial of $\rho_{N, \ell}\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{p}\right)$ is

$$
X^{2}-T_{p} X+p\langle p\rangle \in\left(\mathbb{T} \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)[X]
$$

as announced.
Consider now the annihilator $I_{f}$ of $f$ in $\mathbb{T}$,

$$
I_{f}=\{T \in \mathbb{T} \mid T f=0\}
$$

and let $A_{f}=J_{1}(N) / I_{f}$. This is an abelian veriety over $\mathbb{Q}$ of dimension $d=\left[K_{f}: \mathbb{Q}\right]$, which can be described analytically as

$$
A_{f}=S_{f}^{\vee} / \Lambda_{f}
$$

where

$$
S_{f}=\bigoplus_{\sigma: K_{f} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \mathbb{C} f^{\sigma} \subset S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)
$$

is the subspace of $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)$ spanned over $\mathbb{C}$ by the Galois conjugates of $f$, and $\Lambda_{f}=\Lambda / I_{f} \Lambda$ is the lattice of $S_{f}^{\vee}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(S_{f}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ formed by the periods

$$
\int_{\gamma}, \quad \gamma \in H_{1}\left(X_{1}(N), \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

Remark A.3.3.25. By using the decomposition ( $\boxed{A .2 .2 .28)}$ ) of $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right.$ ), one can show that $J_{1}(N)$ is isogenous to the product

$$
\prod_{[f]} A_{f}^{\sigma_{0}\left(N / N_{f}\right)},
$$

where the product ranges over the $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-orbits $[f]$ of newforms $f$ of level $N_{f} \mid N$, and where $\sigma_{0}(n)$ denotes the number of positive divisors of $n$.

By construction, $\operatorname{End}\left(A_{f}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ contains $K_{f}$, and $a_{n}$ acts on $A_{f}$ as $T_{n}$; in particular $\varepsilon(d)$ acts on $A_{f}$ as $\langle d\rangle$, where $\varepsilon$ denotes the nebentypus of $f$. In other words, the action of $\mathbb{T}$ on $A_{f}$ factors exactly through $I_{f}$, so it follows from the above that

$$
V_{\ell} A_{f} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left(\mathrm{Ta}_{\ell} A_{f}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}^{\otimes} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left(\lim _{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_{f}\left[\ell^{n}\right]\right) \underset{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}{\otimes} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}
$$

is free of rank 2 over $K_{f} \underset{\mathbb{Q}}{\otimes} \mathbb{Q} \ell \prod_{\mathfrak{L}_{i} \mid \ell} K_{f, \mathrm{l}_{i}}$, where $K_{f, \mathrm{l}_{i}}$ denotes the $\mathfrak{l}_{i}$-adic completion of $K_{f}$ and the $\mathfrak{l}_{i}$ are the primes of $K_{f}$ lying above $\ell$. Therefore, the Galois action on $V_{\ell} A_{f}$ yields a Galois representation

$$
\rho_{[f], \ell}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(K_{f} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\otimes} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right) \simeq \prod_{\mathbf{r}_{i} \mid \ell} \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(K_{f, \mathrm{l}_{i}}\right)
$$

which is unramified at $p \nmid \ell N$ and such that the characteristic polynomial of the image of $\mathrm{Frob}_{p}$ is

$$
X^{2}-a_{p} X+p \varepsilon(p) \in\left(K_{f} \underset{\mathbb{Q}}{\otimes} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)[X] .
$$

In particular, by considering the $\ell$-torsion instead of the Tate module, one sees that the Galois action yields a Galois representation

$$
\bar{\rho}_{[f], \ell}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{r}_{i} \mid \ell} \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{r}_{i}}\right)
$$

which is unramified at $p \nmid \ell N$ and such that the characteristic polynomial of the image of $\mathrm{Frob}_{p}$ is

$$
X^{2}-a_{p} X+p \varepsilon(p) \in\left(\prod_{\mathfrak{l}_{i} \mid \ell} \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}_{i}}\right)[X]
$$

Taking the $\bar{\lambda}_{f, \mathfrak{l}}$ eigen subspace of $J_{1}(N)[\ell]$ amounts to selecting the factor of $\bar{\rho}_{[f], \ell}$ corresponding to $f$ and $\mathfrak{l}$. As the level $N$ was assumed to be prime to $\ell$ (note that this is the only place where I use this hypothesis in this whole construction of $\bar{\rho}_{f, l}$ ), and since $\bar{\rho}_{f, \mathfrak{l}}$ is irreducible over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}$ as $\mathfrak{l}$ is not an exceptional prime for $f$ by assumption, [Edi92, theorem 9.2] ensures that $V_{f, \mathfrak{l}}$ is of dimension 2 over $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{\ell}$. As a consequence, the Galois action on it yields a $\bmod \ell$ Galois representation $\bar{\rho}$ whose semi-simplification over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}$ is, by the Brauer-Nesbitt theorem A.3.1.5 and the Cebotarev density theorem, isomorphic to the one of $\bar{\rho}_{f, l}$. But since $\bar{\rho}_{f, l}$ is irreducible over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}$, it is equal to its own semi-simplification, and so $\bar{\rho}$ is irreducible and is isomorphic to $\bar{\rho}_{f, r}$ as claimed.

## The case of higher weight

I shall now explain how the above construction of the mod $\mathfrak{l}$ representation attached to a newform of weight 2 can be extended to newforms of higher weight. More precisely, I shall prove the following result:

Theorem A.3.3.26. Let $f=q+\sum_{n \geqslant 2} a_{n} q^{n} \in S_{k}(N, \varepsilon)$ be a newform of even weight $k \geqslant 4$ and of level $N \neq 3,4$, let $K_{f}=\mathbb{Q}\left(a_{n}, n \geqslant 2\right)$ be the number field spanned by its $q$-expansion coefficients, and let $\mathfrak{l}$ be prime of degree 1 of $K_{f}$ such that the mod $\mathfrak{l}$ Galois representation $\bar{\rho}_{f, \mathfrak{l}}$ attached to $f \bmod \mathfrak{l}$ is not exceptional (that is to say such that its image contains $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{l}}\right)$ ), that $k<\ell$, and that $N$ is prime to $\ell$, where $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ is the prime lying below l. Denote by

$$
\bar{\lambda}_{f, \mathfrak{l}}: \mathbb{T} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{K_{f}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{\ell}
$$

the mod $\mathfrak{l}$ eigenvalue system of $f$, that is to say the ring morphism mapping the Hecke operator $T_{n}$ to $a_{n} \bmod \mathfrak{l}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{T}_{k, N}$ is the Hecke algebra of weight $k$ and level $\Gamma_{1}(N)$. Consider the $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$-subspace

$$
V_{f, \mathfrak{l}}=\bigcap_{n=1}^{+\infty} \operatorname{Ker}\left(T_{n}^{(2, \ell N)}-\bar{\lambda}_{f, \mathrm{l}}\left(T_{n}^{(k, N)}\right)\right)_{\mid J_{1}(\ell N)[\ell]}
$$

of the $\ell$-torsion $J_{1}(\ell N)[\ell]$ of the jacobian $J_{1}(\ell N)$ of the modular curve $X_{1}(\ell N)$, where $T_{n}^{(w, M)}$ denotes the Hecke operator $T_{n}$ in weight $w$ and level $M$. Then $V_{f, \mathfrak{l}}$ is of dimension 2 over $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$, is stable under Galois, and the Galois action on its points yields a mod $\ell$ Galois representation

$$
\bar{\rho}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}\left(V_{f, l}\right) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)
$$

which is isomorphic to $\bar{\rho}_{f, 1}$.

Note that this time I am looking for $\bar{\rho}_{f, r}$ in the $\ell$-torsion of $J_{1}(\ell N)$ instead of $J_{1}(N)$. The reason for this is the following result of B. Gross's (cf. [Gro90, theorem 9.3.2]), which roughly says that I can find a newform $f_{2}$ of weight 2 but of higher level $\ell N$ which is congruent to $f$ modulo $\ell$ :

Proposition A.3.3.27. Let $f$ be a newform of even weight $k \geqslant 4$ and level $N \neq 3,4$, let $\mathfrak{l}$ be a prime of the number field $K_{f}=\mathbb{Q}\left(a_{n}, n \geqslant 2\right)$ spanned by its $q$-expansion coefficients, and fix an embedding $\sigma: \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ into a fixed algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$. If $k<\ell$ and if $N$ is prime to the prime number $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ lying below $\mathfrak{l}$, then there exists a newform $f_{2}$ of weight 2 , level $\ell N$ and nebentypus $\varepsilon_{2}$, a prime $\mathfrak{l}_{2}$ of $K_{f_{2}}=\mathbb{Q}\left(a_{n}, n \geqslant 2\right)$, and an embedding $\sigma_{2}: \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}_{2}} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}$ such that

$$
\sigma\left(a_{n}(f) \bmod \mathfrak{l}\right)=\sigma_{2}\left(a_{n}\left(f_{2}\right) \bmod \mathfrak{l}_{2}\right)
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and that

$$
\sigma_{2}\left(\varepsilon_{2}(n) \bmod \mathfrak{l}_{2}\right)=\sigma\left(n^{k-2} \varepsilon(n) \bmod \mathfrak{l}\right)
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Let $\left(f_{2}, \mathfrak{l}_{2}\right)$ be such data corresponding to $f$ and $\mathfrak{l}$ (there is no choice for the embeddings $\sigma$ as $\mathfrak{l}$ is of degree 1 ), so that $\bar{\lambda}_{f, \mathfrak{l}}\left(T_{n}^{(k, N)}\right)=a_{n}(f) \bmod \mathfrak{l}=a_{n}\left(f_{2}\right) \bmod$ $\mathfrak{l}_{2}=\lambda_{f_{2}, \mathfrak{l}_{2}}\left(T_{n}^{(2, \ell N)}\right)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, whence

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{f, \mathfrak{l}} & =\bigcap_{n=1}^{+\infty} \operatorname{Ker}\left(T_{n}^{(2, \ell N)}-\bar{\lambda}_{f, \mathfrak{l}}\left(T_{n}^{(k, N)}\right)\right)_{\left.\mid J_{1}(\ell N) \ell \ell\right]} \\
& =\bigcap_{n=1}^{+\infty} \operatorname{Ker}\left(T_{n}^{(2, \ell N)}-\bar{\lambda}_{f_{2}, \mathrm{l}_{2}}\left(T_{n}^{(2, \ell N)}\right)\right)_{\mid J_{1}(\ell N)[\ell]}=V_{f_{2}, \mathrm{l}_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Although the level $\ell N$ is obviously no longer prime to $\ell$, [Edi92, theorem 9.2] still applies thanks to the hypothesis $k<\ell$, and ensures that $V_{f, r}$ is of dimension 2 over $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$. By the very same reasoning as in the case of weight $k=2$, one then sees that $V_{f, l}$ is invariant under Galois, and moreover that the Galois action on it affords the $\bmod \mathfrak{l}_{2}$ Galois representation $\bar{\rho}_{f_{2}, \mathfrak{l}_{2}}$ attached to $f_{2} \bmod \mathfrak{l}_{2}$. In particular, for all $p \nmid \ell N$, this representation is unramified at $p$, and the characteristic polynomial of the image of $\mathrm{Frob}_{p}$ is

$$
X^{2}-a_{p}\left(f_{2}\right) X+p \varepsilon_{2}(p) \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{r}_{2}}[X] .
$$

The relation between $\varepsilon_{2}$ and $\varepsilon$ implies that it may be rewritten as

$$
X^{2}-a_{p}(f) X+p^{k-1} \varepsilon(p) \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}[X]
$$

which is the characteristic polynomial of the image of $\mathrm{Frob}_{p}$ by $\bar{\rho}_{f, \mathrm{l}}$. The Cebotarev density theorem and the Brauer-Nesbitt theorem A.3.1.5 then imply that $\bar{\rho}_{f, 1}$, which is irreducible and a fortiori semi-simple over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}$ by hypothesis on $\mathfrak{l}$, is isomorphic to the semi-simplification of $\bar{\rho}_{f_{2}, l_{2}}$. Therefore, $\bar{\rho}_{f_{2}, l_{2}}$ is irreducible, hence semi-simple, and so $\bar{\rho}_{f_{2}, l_{2}}$ and $\bar{\rho}_{f, \mathrm{l}}$ are isomorphic and the proof of theorem A.3.3.26 is complete.

Remark A.3.3.28. There is no need to actually compute the newform $f_{2}$ of weight 2 at any point; its mere existence is enough to justify the above construction A.3.3.26.

## A.3.4 The Serre conjecture

As I have illustrated in section A.3.3.2, the mod $\ell$ Galois representation $\bar{\rho}_{f, r}$ attached to a newform $f \in S_{k}(N, \varepsilon)$ is usually ${ }^{\text {Wu }}$ irreducible. It is moreover odd, which means that $\operatorname{det} \bar{\rho}_{f, l}(c)=-1$ for all $c \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ corresponding to the complex conjugation for some embedding of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ in $\mathbb{C}$. Indeed, $\operatorname{det} \bar{\rho}_{f, l}(c)=\bar{\chi}_{\ell}(c)^{k-1} \varepsilon(c)=-1$ since $k$ and $\varepsilon$ must have the same parity (cf. remark 1.2 .2 .14$)$.

In a famous 1987 article [Ser87], J.-P. Serre conversely conjectured that every irreducible and odd $\bmod \ell$ Galois representation

$$
\rho: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}\right)
$$

is modular, that is to say is isomorphic to $\bar{\rho}_{f, l}$ for some newform $f$ and some prime $\mathfrak{l}$ of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ above $\ell$. Even better, he gave recipes to compute from $\rho$ a weight $k_{\rho}$, a level $N_{\rho}$ and a nebentypus $\varepsilon_{\rho}$ such that there should exist a newform $f \in S_{k_{\rho}}\left(N_{\rho}, \varepsilon_{\rho}\right)$ such that $\rho \sim \bar{\rho}_{f, l}$ for some $\mathfrak{l}$. I describe these recipes in detail below.

In 2009, C. Khare and J.-P. Wintenberger managed to prove Serre's conjecture, cf. [KW0.]].

## A.3.4.1 The level and the nebentypus

I first introduce the Artin conductor of a Galois representation $\rho$, which is a measure of the ramification of this representation. The level $N_{\rho}$ attached to $\rho$ by Serre will be a slight modification of the Artin conductor $N(\rho)$ of $\rho$.

Definition A.3.4.1. Let $V$ be a finite-dimensional vector space over a field $F$, and

$$
\rho: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)
$$

be a Galois representation with finite image. For each prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the higher ramification subgroups

$$
G_{\mathbb{Q}} \supseteq D_{p} \supseteq I_{p}=I_{p}^{(0)} \supseteq I_{p}^{(1)} \supseteq I_{p}^{(2)} \supseteq \cdots
$$

corresponding to some prime of the number field cut out by $\rho$ and lying above $p$, and for each $i \geqslant 0$ let $V_{p}^{(i)}=V^{\rho\left(I_{p}^{(i)}\right)}$ denote the subspace on which the higher inertia subgroup $I_{p}^{(i)}$ acts trivially, so that there is an increasing filtration

$$
V^{\rho\left(I_{p}\right)}=V_{p}^{(0)} \subseteq V_{p}^{(1)} \subseteq V_{p}^{(1)} \subseteq \cdots=V
$$

with $V_{p}^{(i)}=V$ for large $i$. The Artin conductor of the Galois representation $\rho$ is then

$$
N(\rho)=\prod_{p} p^{n(\rho, p)}
$$

where the integers $n(\rho, p)$ are defined by

$$
n(\rho, p)=\sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\left[I_{p}: I_{p}^{(i)}\right]} \operatorname{codim}_{V} V_{p}^{(i)}
$$

[^13]This sum is actually finite, and it is a deep result of Artin's that its value is an integer. As a consequence, $N(\rho)$ is an integer divisible only by the ramified primes.

Example A.3.4.2. Let $\chi:(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{*} \longrightarrow F^{*}$ be a Dirichlet-like character. It can be seen as a Galois character, by composing it with the canonical morphism

$$
G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{N}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right) \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{*} .
$$

Assume furthermore that $\chi$ is primitive, that is to say that it does not factor through $\left(\mathbb{Z} / N^{\prime} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*}$ for any strict divisor $N^{\prime}$ of $N$. Then the Artin conductor $N(\chi)$ of $\chi$ seen as a Galois character is equal to $N$. In other words, the conductor of a Dirichlet character is the same as the Artin conductor of the corresponding Galois character.

To see this, write $N=\prod_{p} p^{n_{p}}$, and see $\chi$ as a character on

$$
\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{\infty}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right) \simeq \prod_{p} \mathbb{Z}_{p}
$$

Then, since $\chi$ is primitive, for each $p, \chi$ is trivial on $1+p^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{p} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ if and only if $n \geqslant n_{p}$, which by example A.3.1.] means that $\chi$ is trivial on $I_{p}^{(i)}$ if and only if $i \geqslant p^{n_{p}-1}$. Therefore, one finds that

$$
n(\chi, p)=\sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\left[I_{p}: I_{p}^{(i)}\right]} \operatorname{codim}_{F} F^{I_{p}^{(i)}}=\sum_{0 \leqslant i<p^{n_{p}-1}} \frac{1}{\left[I_{p}: I_{p}^{(i)}\right]},
$$

which is 0 if $n_{p}=0$, and which is

$$
1+\sum_{n=0}^{n_{p}-2} \sum_{p^{n} \leqslant i<p^{n+1}} \frac{1}{(p-1) p^{n}}=1+\sum_{n=0}^{n_{p}-2} 1=n_{p}
$$

else. Thus $n(\chi, p)=n_{p}$ in each case, whence $N(\chi)=N$.
Back to the case of a Galois representation

$$
\rho: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}\right),
$$

the level $N_{\rho}$ is defined to be the Artin conductor $N(\rho)$ of $\rho$ stripped from its $\ell$-part, in other words

$$
N_{\rho}=\prod_{p \neq \ell} p^{n(\rho, p)} .
$$

Example A.3.4.3. In particular, a representation $\rho$ which is ramified only at $\ell$ comes from a form of level $N_{\rho}=1$.

Clearly, the Artin conductor of the Galois character $\operatorname{det} \rho$ divides the one of $\rho$. Besides, $\operatorname{det} \rho$ is at most tamely ramified at $\ell$, since its image, being a finite subgroup of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}^{*}$, is of order prime to $\ell$, so that it cuts out a Galois number field of degree prime to $\ell$. As a consequence, $n(\operatorname{det} \rho, \ell) \leqslant 1$, so that the Artin conductor $N(\operatorname{det} \rho)$ divides $\ell N_{\rho}$. Now $\operatorname{det} \rho$, having an finite abelian image, factors through a finite quotient of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{\infty}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right) \simeq \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$, hence can be seen as a (not necessarily primitive) character modulo $\ell N_{\rho}$ according to example A.3.4.2. Since $\ell$ and $N_{\rho}$ are coprime, $\operatorname{det} \rho$ can be factored into a product $\chi \varepsilon$, where $\chi$ is a character modulo $\ell$, thus of the form $x \mapsto x^{h}$ for some $h \in \mathbb{Z} /(\ell-1) \mathbb{Z}$ upon identification of $(\mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ with $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*}$, and $\varepsilon$ is a character modulo $N_{\rho}$. The nebentypus $\varepsilon_{\rho}$ is then defined to be this $\varepsilon$.

## A.3.4.2 The weight

While the level $N_{\rho}$ is defined by the ramification of $\rho$ away from $\ell$, the weight $k_{\rho}$ is defined by the ramification behaviour of $\rho$ at $\ell$. So let $D_{\ell} \subset G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the decomposition subgroup corresponding to some place of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ lying above $\ell$, and let $\rho_{\ell}=\rho_{\mid D_{\ell}}$ be the restriction of $\rho$ to $D_{\ell}$. Since $D_{\ell}$ is canonically isomorphic to the absolute Galois group $G_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$, I shall identify $D_{\ell}$ with $G_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}$ and regard $\rho_{\ell}$ as a representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}$.

Let $I_{\ell}$ be the inertia subgroup of $D_{\ell}$, and $W_{\ell}$ the wild inertia subgroup. Since $W_{\ell}$ is a normal pro- $\ell$-group of $D_{\ell}$, the following lemma indicates that the semisimplification of $\rho_{\ell \mid I_{\ell}}$ factors through the tame inertia quotient $I_{\ell}^{\text {tame }}=I_{\ell} / W_{\ell}$.

Lemma A.3.4.4. Let $\rho: G \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}\right)$ be a semi-simple continuous representation of a compact group $G$, and let $H \unlhd G$ be a normal pro- $\ell$-subgroup of $G$. Then $\rho$ is trivial on $H$.

Proof. I can assume without loss of generality that $\rho$ is simple. Since $G$ is compact, there exists a finite subextension $\mathbb{F} \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ such that the image of $\rho$ is contained in $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{F})$. Let $V^{H}$ be the subspace of $V=\mathbb{F}^{n}$ on which $H$ acts trivially. This subspace is stable under $G$ since $H$ is normal in $G$, so it is either $\{0\}$ or all $V$ since $V$ is simple. Now

$$
\#(V-\{0\})=\sum_{\Omega \in G \backslash(V-\{0\})} \# \Omega=\#\left(V^{H}-\{0\}\right)+\sum_{i \in I} \#\left(G / \operatorname{Stab}_{G} x_{i}\right)
$$

where $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ is a system of representatives of $G \backslash\left(V-V^{H}\right)$, so $\#\left(V^{H}-\{0\}\right) \neq 0$ since $\ell$ divides $\sum_{i \in I} \#\left(G / \operatorname{Stab}_{G} x_{i}\right)$ but not $\#(V-\{0\})$. Therefore $V^{H}=V$.

Actually, since $I_{\ell}^{\text {tame }}$ is abelian, the semi-simplification of $\rho_{\ell \mid I_{\ell}}$ is the direct sum $\varphi \oplus \varphi^{\prime}$ of two tamely ramified characters $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{\prime}$ of $I_{\ell}$. Therefore, up to equivalence, the restriction of $\rho_{\ell}$ to the inertia is

$$
\rho_{\ell \mid I_{\ell}} \sim\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\varphi & \xi \\
0 & \varphi^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

for some function $\xi: I_{\ell} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}$. Besides,

$$
\rho_{\ell \mid W_{\ell}} \sim\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \xi \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

since $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{\prime}$ are tamely ramified, so that $\xi_{\mid W_{\ell}}$ is an additive character.
In order to proceed further, one must examine what $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{\prime}$ look like. Fix an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$, and let $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ and $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}^{\text {tame }}$ be respectively the maximal unramified and the maximal tamely ramified subextension of $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$. One has the
following diagram of Galois groups:


In particular $I_{\ell}^{\text {tame }}$ identifies canonically with $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}^{\text {tame }} / \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$. Now

$$
\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}^{\mathrm{tame}}=\bigcup_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N} \\ \operatorname{gcd}(n, \ell)=1}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}^{\mathrm{nr}}(\sqrt[n]{\ell})
$$

and since $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}$ contains the $n^{\text {th }}$ roots of 1 for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ prime to $\ell$, Kummer theory yields a canonical isomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{n}: \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}^{\mathrm{nr}}(\sqrt[n]{\ell}) / \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right) & \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} \mu_{n} \\
\sigma & \longmapsto \frac{\sigma(\sqrt[n]{\ell})}{\sqrt[n]{\ell}},
\end{aligned}
$$

whence an isomorphism
where the transition morphism from $\mu_{m n}$ to $\mu_{n}$ consists in raising to the $m^{\text {th }}$ power.
Again since $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}^{*}$ contains the $n^{\text {th }}$ roots of 1 for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ prime to $\ell$, one has canonically

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}^{\mathrm{nr}}(\sqrt[n]{\ell}) / \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right), \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}^{*}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mu_{n}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}^{*}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z} \simeq\left(\frac{1}{n} \mathbb{Z}\right) / \mathbb{Z}
$$

for such $n$, whence a canonical isomorphism

$$
\theta^{\vee}: \operatorname{Hom}\left(I_{\ell}^{\text {tame }}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}^{*}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigcup_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N} \\ \operatorname{gcd}(n, \ell)=1}}\left(\frac{1}{n} \mathbb{Z}\right) / \mathbb{Z}=\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)} / \mathbb{Z}
$$

called the invariant, where $\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}$ denotes the localisation of $\mathbb{Z}$ at the prime ideal $\ell \mathbb{Z}$.
Example A.3.4.5. The morphisms $\theta_{n}$ defined above for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ prime to $\ell$ can be seen as $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}^{*}$-valued characters on $I_{\ell}^{\text {tame }}$, of respective invariants $\frac{1}{n} \bmod \mathbb{Z}$.

Besides, since the integers of the form $\ell^{n}-1, n \in \mathbb{N}$ are cofinal amongst the integers prime to $\ell$ ordered by divisibility, one actually has

$$
I_{\ell}^{\mathrm{tame}} \simeq{\underset{\dddot{n}}{ } \lim _{\mathbb{N}}}^{\mathbb{F}_{\ell^{n}}^{*}}
$$

where the transition maps are the relative norms.

Definition A.3.4.6. A character $\chi: I_{\ell}^{\text {tame }} \simeq \lim _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{F}_{\ell^{n}}^{*} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*}$ is said to be of level $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if it factors through $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^{n}}^{*}$ but not through $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^{m}}^{*}$ for any $m<n$. In other words, $\chi$ is of level $n$ if its invariant $\theta^{\vee}(\chi)$ is of the form $\frac{a}{p^{n}-1}$ and $n$ is the minimal integer with this property.

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the composition of the projection

$$
I_{\ell}^{\text {tame }} \simeq \underset{n \in \mathbb{N}}{\lim _{\ell^{n}}} \mathbb{F}^{*} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\ell^{m}}^{*}
$$

with the $m$ field embeddings of $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^{m}}$ into $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}$ yield $m$ characters

$$
\theta_{\ell^{m}-1}, \theta_{\ell^{m}-1}^{\ell}, \theta_{\ell^{m}-1}^{\ell^{2}}, \cdots, \theta_{\ell^{m}-1}^{e^{m-1}}
$$

from $I_{\ell}^{\text {tame }}$ to $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}^{*}$, called the fundamental characters of level $m$. Their respective invariants are

$$
\frac{1}{\ell^{m}-1} \bmod \mathbb{Z}, \frac{\ell}{\ell^{m}-1} \bmod \mathbb{Z}, \frac{\ell^{2}}{\ell^{m}-1} \bmod \mathbb{Z}, \cdots, \frac{\ell^{m-1}}{\ell^{m}-1} \bmod \mathbb{Z}
$$

Example A.3.4.7. The fundamental character $\theta_{\ell-1}$ of level 1 is none other than the restriction to $I_{\ell}$ of the $\bmod \ell$ cyclotomic character $\bar{\chi}_{\ell}$. Indeed, letting $\lambda=\sqrt[\ell-1]{\ell}$ be a uniformiser for $K=\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}^{\mathrm{nr}}(\sqrt[\ell-1]{\ell})$, one has

$$
\frac{(1+\lambda T)^{\ell}-1}{\lambda \ell}=\frac{(\lambda T)^{\ell}}{\lambda \ell}+\sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{1}{\ell}\binom{\ell}{i} \lambda^{i-1} T^{i} \equiv T^{\ell}+T \bmod \lambda
$$

so that by the Hensel lemma $K$ contains the $\ell^{\text {th }}$ roots of 1 , which are of the form $\zeta=1+a \lambda+O\left(\lambda^{2}\right)$ where $a$ is a root of $T^{\ell}+T$ in $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}^{\mathrm{nr}}$. Then, for each $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(K / \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$, one has

$$
\sigma(\zeta)=\zeta^{\bar{x}_{\ell}(\sigma)}=1+\bar{\chi}_{\ell}(\sigma) a \lambda+O\left(\lambda^{2}\right)
$$

by definition of $\bar{\chi}_{\ell}$ on the one hand, and

$$
\sigma(\zeta)=1+a \sigma(\lambda)+O\left(\lambda^{2}\right)=1+a \theta_{\ell-1}(\sigma) \lambda+O\left(\lambda^{2}\right)
$$

by definition of $\theta_{\ell-1}$ on the other hand.
In particular, the invariant of the $\bmod \ell$ cyclotomic character (or rather of its restriction to $\left.I_{\ell}^{\mathrm{tame}}\right)$ is $\frac{1}{\ell-1}$.
Lemma A.3.4.8. Let $\phi \in D_{\ell}$ be a Frobenius element at $\ell$. Then, for all $\sigma \in I_{\ell}^{\text {tame }}$, one has $\phi \sigma \phi^{-1}=\sigma^{\ell}$ in $I_{\ell}^{\text {tame }}$.

Proof. Since $\theta$ is an isomorphism, it suffices to check that $\theta_{n}\left(\phi \sigma \phi^{-1}\right)=\theta_{n}(\sigma)^{\ell}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ prime to $\ell$. So let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be prime to $\ell$, let $\zeta \in \mu_{n}$ be such that $\phi^{-1}(\sqrt[n]{\ell})=\zeta \sqrt[n]{\ell}$, and let

$$
\eta=\theta_{n}(\sigma)=\frac{\sigma(\sqrt[n]{\ell})}{\sqrt[n]{\ell}} \in \mu_{n}
$$

Then, since $\zeta \in \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}^{\mathrm{nr}}$,

$$
\theta_{n}\left(\phi \sigma \phi^{-1}\right)=\frac{\phi \sigma \phi^{-1}(\sqrt[n]{\ell})}{\sqrt[n]{\ell}}=\frac{\phi \sigma(\zeta \sqrt[n]{\ell})}{\sqrt[n]{\ell}}=\frac{\phi(\zeta \eta \sqrt[n]{\ell})}{\sqrt[n]{\ell}}=\frac{\phi(\eta) \sqrt[n]{\ell}}{\sqrt[n]{\ell}}=\eta^{\ell}
$$

By this lemma, the two representations $\varphi^{\ell} \oplus \varphi^{\prime \ell}$ and $\varphi \oplus \varphi^{\prime}$ are conjugate, so that the pair $\left\{\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}\right\}$ is stable under the $\ell^{\text {th }}$ power map. This means that either $\phi$ and $\phi^{\prime}$ are both of level 1 , or that $\phi$ is of level 2 and $\varphi^{\prime}=\varphi^{\ell}$ is its conjugate.

In the level 2 case, the representation $\rho_{\ell \mid I_{\ell}}$ is semisimple: if it were not, then $\rho_{\ell}$ would not be semisimple either since the proindex of $I_{\ell}$ in $D_{\ell}$ is prime to $\ell$, so that $D_{\ell}$ would act on a stable line of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}^{2}$ by a character $\Phi \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(D_{\ell}, \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*}\right)$. Such a character $\Phi$ cuts out a subextension of a cyclotomic extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ by the local Kronecker-Weber theorem, so must be a power of the $\bmod \ell$ cyclotomic character $\bar{\chi}_{\ell}$, so that its restriction to $I_{\ell}$ is of level at most 1 by example A.3.4.7; on the other hand, this restriction is either $\varphi$ or $\varphi^{\prime}$, a contradiction. The function $\xi$ is thus trivial, and

$$
\rho_{\ell \mid I_{\ell}} \sim\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\varphi & 0 \\
0 & \varphi^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\varphi \oplus \varphi^{\prime}
$$

is tamely ramified. Let $\theta^{\vee}(\varphi)=\frac{a \ell+b}{\ell^{2}-1}$ be the invariant of $\varphi$ where $0 \leqslant a, b<\ell$ are integers, so that $\varphi=\theta_{\ell^{2}-1}^{a \ell+b}$, and that $\varphi^{\prime}=\varphi^{\ell}$ has invariant $b \ell+a$. The integers $a$ and $b$ cannot be equal since $\theta_{\ell^{2}-1}^{a++a}=\theta_{\ell-1}^{a}=\bar{\chi}_{\ell}^{a}$ is of level 1 , so that I may assume that $a<b$ since $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{\prime}$ play symmetric roles. The weight $k_{\rho}$ is then defined to be

$$
k_{\rho}=1+a \ell+b
$$

in this case.
In the level 1 case, the characters $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{\prime}$ are powers of the restriction to $I_{\ell}$ of the $\bmod \ell$ cyclotomic character by example A.3.4.7, so that

$$
\rho_{\ell \mid I_{\ell}} \sim\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\bar{\chi}_{\ell}^{a} & \xi \\
0 & \bar{\chi}_{\ell}^{b}
\end{array}\right]
$$

for some integers $0 \leqslant a, b \leqslant \ell-2$. If $a \not \equiv b+1 \bmod \ell-1$, then the weight $k_{\rho}$ is defined to be

$$
k_{\rho}=1+\min (a, b) \ell+\max (a, b) .
$$

If $a \equiv b+1 \bmod \ell-1$, the definition of $k_{\rho}$ is more delicate: let $K=\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ be the maximal unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$, so that $\rho_{\ell \mid I_{\ell}}$, seen as a representation of $I_{\ell}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} / K\right)$, cuts out a finite Galois extension $L$ of $K$, and let $L_{\text {tame }}$ denote the maximal tamely ramified subextension of $L$. Since $\rho_{\ell \mid I_{\ell}}$ takes values in the group of upper triangular matrices, one may compose it with the morphism

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
x & * \\
0 & y
\end{array}\right] \longmapsto x / y
$$

to get the representation $\bar{\chi}_{\ell}^{a} / \bar{\chi}_{\ell}^{b}=\bar{\chi}_{\ell}$ of $I_{\ell}$, which proves that $L$ contains $K\left(\mu_{\ell}\right)$, a tamely ramified extension of $K$. Besides, the restriction of $\rho_{\ell}$ to $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L / K\left(\mu_{\ell}\right)\right)$ is $\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & \xi \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$ and so is given by the additive character $\xi$, so $L / K\left(\mu_{\ell}\right)$ is totally wild and so $K\left(\mu_{\ell}\right)=L_{\text {tame }}$. The extension $L / L_{\text {tame }}$ is thus a Kummer extension, so that

$$
L=L_{\text {tame }}\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{r}\right)
$$

for some $r$ such that $\left[L: L_{\text {tame }}\right]=\ell^{r}$ and some $y_{i} \in L$ such that $x_{i}=y_{i}^{\ell} \in L_{\mathrm{tame}}^{*}$, and one has an isomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi: \operatorname{Gal}\left(L / L_{\text {tame }}\right) & \stackrel{\sim}{\xi} \mathbb{F}_{\ell^{r}} \simeq \mu_{\ell}^{r} \\
\sigma & \longmapsto\left(\frac{\sigma\left(y_{i}\right)}{y_{i}}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(L / L_{\text {tame }}\right)$, and let $\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / K)$ restrict to a generator of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{\text {tame }} / K\right)$. The identity

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
x^{b+1} & t \\
0 & x^{b}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & y \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
x^{b+1} & t \\
0 & x^{b}
\end{array}\right]^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & x y \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

shows that $\Phi\left(\tau \sigma \tau^{-1}\right)=\tau(\Phi(\sigma))$ where the right-hand-side means the Galois action of $\tau$ on $\mu_{\ell}$, so

$$
\tau\left(\frac{\sigma\left(\tau^{-1} y_{i}\right)}{\tau^{-1} y_{i}}\right)=\frac{\tau \sigma \tau^{-1} y_{i}}{y_{i}}=\tau\left(\frac{\sigma\left(y_{i}\right)}{y_{i}}\right)
$$

and hence $\frac{\tau^{-1} y_{i}}{y_{i}}$ is fixed by $\sigma$ whence lies in $L_{\text {tame }}$, so that the $x_{i}$ may actually be chosen in $K$. Assuming that they are, one says that $\rho_{\ell}$ is peu ramifiée if $\operatorname{ord}_{\ell} x_{i} \equiv 0 \bmod \ell$ for all $i$ (in other words, if the $x_{i}$ may be chosen to be units of $K$ ), and one defines

$$
k_{\rho}=1+\min (a, b) \ell+\max (a, b),
$$

else, one says that $\rho_{\ell}$ is très ramifiée, and one defines

$$
k_{\rho}= \begin{cases}1+\min (a, b) \ell+\max (a, b)+\ell-1 & \text { if } \ell \geqslant 3 \\ 4 & \text { if } \ell=2\end{cases}
$$

Remark A.3.4.9. One can check that $\operatorname{det} \rho_{\mid I_{\ell}}=\bar{\chi}_{\ell}^{k_{\rho}-1}$ in each case, which is necessary since the $\bmod \ell$ Galois representation $\bar{\rho}_{f, \ell}$ attached to a newform $f \in S_{k}(N, \varepsilon)$ has determinant $\operatorname{det} \bar{\rho}_{f, \ell}=\bar{\chi}_{\ell}^{k-1} \varepsilon$, and $\varepsilon$ is unramified at $\ell$ if $N$ is prime to $\ell$.

For instance, in the case where $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{\prime}$ are of level 2 , one has

$$
\operatorname{det} \rho=\varphi \varphi^{\prime}=\theta_{\ell^{2}-1}^{a \ell+b} \theta_{\ell^{2}-1}^{b \ell+a}=\theta_{\ell^{2}-1}^{(a+b)(\ell+1)}=\theta_{\ell-1}^{a+b}=\bar{\chi}_{\ell}^{a+b}
$$

and $k_{\rho}-1=1+a \ell+b-1 \equiv a+b \bmod \ell-1$.

## A.3.4.3 Statement of the Serre conjecture

Now that I have explained the definition of the level, nebentypus and weight, I can finally state the Serre conjecture in detail:

Theorem A.3.4.10 (Serre, Khare, Wintenberger). Let

$$
\rho: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}\right)
$$

be a mod $\ell$ Galois representation. If $\rho$ is irreducible and odd, then there exists an eigenform

$$
f \in S_{k_{\rho}}\left(N_{\rho}, \varepsilon_{\rho}\right),
$$

where $k_{\rho}, N_{\rho}$ and $\varepsilon_{\rho}$ are defined as above, and a prime $\mathfrak{l}$ of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ lying above $\ell$, such that $\rho$ is isomorphic to the Galois representation $\rho_{f, \mathfrak{l}}$ attached to $f$ modulo $\mathfrak{l}$.

The Serre conjecture will be an invaluable tool to prove the results of my computations, cf. the section [.2. In order to demonstrate its power, I shall conclude by sketching how it implies Fermat's last theorem for $\ell \geqslant 5$ :

Example A.3.4.11 (Fermat's last theorem). Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ be integers such that

$$
a^{\ell}+b^{\ell}+c^{\ell}=0
$$

Then $a b c=0$. Indeed, assume that $a b c \neq 0$. Up to permutation and renormalisation, one can then assume that $a, b$ and $c$ are coprime, that $b$ is even, and that $a \equiv-1 \bmod 4$.

Let $A=a^{\ell}, B=b^{\ell}$, and $C=c^{\ell}$, and consider the elliptic curve $E$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ by the equation

$$
y^{2}=x(x-A)(x+B)
$$

For each odd prime $p$, this curve has bad reduction if and only if $p \mid A B C$, in which case it has multiplicative reduction. The change of variables

$$
x=4 X, \quad y=8 Y+4 X
$$

transforms the equation of $E$ into

$$
Y^{2}+X Y=X^{3}+\frac{B-1-A}{4} X^{2}-\frac{A B}{16} X
$$

whose coefficients are integral since $A \equiv-1 \bmod 4$ and $B \equiv 0 \bmod 32$. Reduction modulo $p=2$ yields

$$
Y^{2}+X Y=X^{3}+X^{2} \quad \text { or } \quad X^{3}
$$

depending whether $A \equiv-1$ or $3 \bmod 8$, so that $E$ has multiplicative reduction at $p=2$. Finally $E$ has everywhere either good or multiplicative reduction, so that it is semi-stable, of conductor

$$
N_{E}=\prod_{p \mid 2 A B C} p=\prod_{p \mid A B C} p .
$$

Furthermore, the first equation is minimal at every $p \neq 2$, whereas the second one is minimal at $p=2$, so that the discriminant of $E$ is

$$
\Delta_{E}=\frac{(A B C)^{2}}{2^{8}}
$$

Consider now the $\bmod \ell$ Galois representation

$$
\rho_{E, \ell}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)
$$

afforded by the Galois action on $E[\ell]$, as in example A.3.1.]1. Its determinant is the $\bmod \ell$ cyclotomic character $\bar{\chi}_{\ell}$, so that this representation is odd. It is also irreducible. Indeed, if it were not, the curve $E$ would have a subgroup $X$ of order $\ell$ which is stable under $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, so that one would have

$$
\rho_{E, \ell} \sim\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\varphi & * \\
0 & \varphi^{\prime}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on $X$ would be given by the $\bmod \ell$ Galois character $\varphi$, and since $E$ is semi-stable, by [Ser72, p. 307, lemme 6], one of the Galois characters $\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}$ would be trivial whereas the other one would be a power of $\bar{\chi}_{\ell}$. Since $\varphi \varphi^{\prime}=\operatorname{det} \rho_{E, \ell}=\bar{\chi}_{\ell}$, the character $\varphi$ would be either trivial or equal to $\bar{\chi}_{\ell}$. After possibly replacing $E$ with its quotient $E / X$, one may assume that $\varphi$ is trivial. Then the points in $X$ would be $\mathbb{Q}$-rational, so that $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tors }}$ would be of order at least $2^{2} \ell \geqslant 20$ since the 4 points in $E[2]$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-rational, which would contradict Mazur's bounds A.2.1.18.

The Galois representation $\rho_{E, \ell}$ is thus odd and irreducible, so that the Serre conjecture A.3.4.10] applies. J.-P. Serre then shows (cf. [Ser87, §4.1 and 4.2]) that as $E$ is semi-stable, the Artin conductor of $\rho_{E, \ell}$ is

$$
N\left(\rho_{E, \ell}\right)=\prod_{\substack{p \neq \ell \\ \ell \nsucc^{p}\left(\Delta_{E}\right)}} p=2
$$

so that its level is also $N_{\rho_{E, \ell}}=2$; besides, he computes that its weight is also $k_{\rho_{E, \ell}}=2$. But $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(2)\right)=\{0\}$, a contradiction.
J.-P. Serre also notes in [Ser87, théorème 4] that his conjecture also implies the modularity conjecture for elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$, a.k.a the Taniyama-Shimura-Weil conjecture.

## Part B

## Computing modular Galois representations

Computers are like Old
Testament gods; lots of rules and no mercy.

I now present the heart of my thesis: an algorithm, based on original ideas from J.-M. Couveignes and B. Edixhoven (cf. [CETI]), to compute the mod $\mathfrak{l}$ Galois representation $\rho_{f, \mathfrak{l}}$ attached to a newform $f \in S_{k}(N)$ of even weight modulo a prime $\mathfrak{l}$ of degree 1. By this, I mean that the algorithm which I describe here first computes an irreducible polynomial $F(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ of degree $\ell^{2}-1$ whose decomposition field in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the number field $L$ cut out by $\rho_{f, \mathfrak{l}}$ and such that the Galois action on its roots mimics the $\rho_{f, r}$-action on $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{\imath}}^{2}-\{0\}$, and then it gives an efficient recipe to compute the similarity class of the image in $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{l}}\right)$ of the Frobenius element at almost every prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Apart from making $\rho_{f, l}$ explicit, the main interest of this algorithm is that it allows to compute the coefficients $a_{p}$ of $f$ modulo $\mathfrak{l}$ as the trace of the image of the Frobenius element at $p$. For fixed $f$ and $\mathfrak{l}$, this yields a method to compute $a_{p} \bmod \mathfrak{l}$ using only $\widetilde{O}\left(\log ^{2} p\right)$ bit operations. In theory, one can then use Chinese remainders to compute $a_{p}$ from its reduction modulo sufficiently many primes $\mathfrak{l}$, yielding an algorithm to compute $a_{p}$ in time polynomial in $\log p$, whereas the algorithm based on modular symbols (cf. example $A .2 .3 .12$ ) requires at least $\widetilde{O}(p)$ bit operations. Unfortunately, the complexity of my algorithm with respect to $\ell$, although polynomial, is too bad for this to be practical.

The idea consists in catching $\rho_{f, 1}$ in the $\ell$-torsion of $J_{1}(\ell N)$, as explained in theorem A.3.3.26. In order to simplify the exposition, I shall assume that the newform $f$ is of level $N=1$, so that I am working in prime level $\ell$ and I do not have to worry about the old part in $\Omega^{1}\left(X_{1}(\ell)\right)=S_{2}(\ell)$ (cf. example A.2.2.23). The algorithm presented here can however be easily extended to newforms of higher level $N$, provided

[^14]that $N$ is square-free and is prime to $\ell$. In order not to have to deal with degenerate cases, I shall also assume that the prime $\mathfrak{l}$ is not exceptional in the sense of definition A.3.3.9, so that the image of $\rho_{f, r}$ contains $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{l}}\right)$ and is thus
$$
\operatorname{Im} \rho_{f, \mathfrak{l}}=\left\{g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right) \mid \operatorname{det} g \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{*(k-1)}\right\}
$$
since the determinant of $\rho_{f, \downarrow}$ is the $(k-1)^{\text {th }}$ power of the $\bmod \ell$ cyclotomic character $\bar{\chi}_{\ell}$.

Finally, I shall assume that $\ell>k$, so that theorem A.3.3.26 applies. In particular, the genus $g=\frac{(\ell-5)(\ell-7)}{24}$ of $X_{1}(\ell)$ is then non-zero.

## B. 1 Overview of the algorithm

It is immediate to compute the $\ell$-torsion of $J_{1}(\ell)$ in the analytic model $\mathbb{C}^{g} / \Lambda$ (cf. section $\overline{A . C .2})$, whereas it is easier to write down a Galois-equivariant function to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ on the algebraic model $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(X_{1}(\ell)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}\right)$, as shown on figure $\mathbb{B} .1 .0 .1$. Therefore, I shall start by computing the analytic model, and switch to the algebraic model at some point.


Figure B.1.0.1: Switching between two models of $J_{1}(\ell)$
The first task consists in computing a high-precision complex approximation of the period lattice $\Lambda$ of $X_{1}(\ell)$, which I do by integrating term-by-term the $q$-expansions of a basis $\left(\omega_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant g}$ of cuspforms of weight 2 along modular symbols. In order to get a very accurate result, this requires $q$-expanding the $\omega_{i}$ to high precision, which I show how to do quickly below. Then, since the action of the Hecke algebra on modular symbols is known, I deduce an analytic representation of the $\ell$-torsion subspace

$$
V_{f, \mathfrak{l}}=\left.\bigcap_{n=1}^{+\infty} \operatorname{Ker}\left(T_{n}-a_{n}(f) \bmod \mathfrak{l}\right)\right|_{J_{1}(\ell)[\ell]} \subset J_{1}(\ell)[\ell]=\left(\mathbb{C}^{g} / \Lambda\right)[\ell]=\left(\frac{1}{\ell} \Lambda\right) / \Lambda
$$

which affords $\rho_{f, 1}$ by theorem A.3.3.26, where $T_{n}$ denotes the Hecke operator in weight 2 and level $\ell$.

Next, by locally inverting the Abel-Jacobi map $\jmath$, I find divisors $D_{1}, D_{2}$ in $\operatorname{Div}^{0}\left(X_{1}(\ell)\right)$ such that $\jmath\left(D_{1}\right)=x_{1}$ and $\jmath\left(D_{2}\right)=x_{2}$, where $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are two $\ell$-torsion points on $J_{1}(\ell)$ forming a basis of the two-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^{\ell}}$-subspace $V_{f, \mathfrak{l}} \subset J_{1}(\ell)[\ell]$. This is done as follows:

I pick $g$ points $\left(P_{j}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant g}$ on $X_{1}(\ell)$, and, using Newton iteration, I compute another $g$ points $\left(P_{j}^{\prime}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant g}$ with $P_{j}^{\prime}$ close to $P_{j}$ such that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{g}\left(\int_{P_{j}}^{P_{j}^{\prime}} \omega_{i}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant g}=\frac{\widetilde{x_{1}}}{2^{m}}
$$

where $\widetilde{x_{1}}$ is a lift of $x_{1}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{g}, m \in \mathbb{N}$ is large enough for Newton iteration to converge, and the integrals are taken along paths joining $P_{j}$ to $P_{j}^{\prime}$ and staying inside some coordinate disk. Thus, I get the divisor

$$
D_{1}^{(m)}=\sum_{j=1}^{g}\left(P_{j}^{\prime}-P_{j}\right)
$$

which satisfies $\jmath\left(2^{m} D_{1}^{(m)}\right)=x_{1}$. Then, using K. Khuri-Makdisi's algorithms (cf. section A.L.3) to compute in $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(X_{1}(\ell)\right)$, I double $m$ times the divisor class of $D_{1}^{(m)}$, which yields an $\ell$-torsion divisor $D_{1}$ representing $x_{1}$. I apply the same process another time so as to get another $\ell$-torsion divisor $D_{2}$ representing $x_{2}$.

This way, I find $\ell$-torsion divisors using only integrals along short paths which stay well inside the convergence disks. Therefore, I have far fewer precision problems than with J. Bosman's method [Bos07].

I now have two $\ell$-torsion divisors $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ whose images by the Abel-Jacobi map form a basis of the $\ell$-torsion subspace $V_{f, \mathfrak{l}}$. I then compute all the divisors

$$
D_{a, b} \sim a D_{1}+b D_{2}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}
$$

up to equivalence, yielding a collection of $\ell^{2}$ divisors corresponding to the $\ell^{2}$ points of $V_{f, \mathrm{l}}$. Finally, I evaluate a well-chosen Galois-equivariant map $\alpha: V_{f, \mathfrak{l}} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ in these points. The polynomial

$$
F(X)=\prod_{\substack{a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell},(a, b) \neq(0,0)}}\left(X-\alpha\left(D_{a, b}\right)\right)
$$

then lies in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$, and I can identify its coefficients by using continued fractions. This polynomial encodes the Galois representation $\rho_{f, \mathrm{l}}$, in that its splitting field $L$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is the number field cut out by the representation $\rho_{f, \mathfrak{l}}$, and $\operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$ acts on its roots $\alpha\left(D_{a, b}\right)$ just like $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ acts on $(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{2}$.

My final task is to describe the image of Frobenius elements by this representation. For this, I adapt T. and V. Dokchitser's work [Dok10] (cf. section A.3.2) to get resolvents

$$
\Gamma_{C}(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X], \quad C \text { similarity class of } \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)
$$

such that for almost all rational primes $p$,

$$
\rho_{f, \mathfrak{l}}\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{p}\right) \in C \Longleftrightarrow \Gamma_{C}\left(\operatorname{tr}_{A_{p} / \mathbb{F}_{p}} a^{p} h(a)\right)=0 \bmod p,
$$

where $a$ denotes the class of $X$ in $A_{p}=\mathbb{F}_{p}[X] /(F(X))$ and $h \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ is a polynomial. I furthermore present two tricks to reduce the amount of computations required at this step.

I can then use these resolvents to compute the coefficients $a_{p}$ of the $q$-expansion of $f$ modulo $\mathfrak{l}$, as

$$
a_{p} \bmod \mathfrak{l}=\operatorname{tr} \rho_{f, \mathfrak{l}}\left(\operatorname{Frob}_{p}\right) .
$$

I shall now explain how to use K. Khuri-Makdisi's algorithms on $X_{1}(\ell)$, after what I shall give a detailed description of all the steps of my algorithm.

## B. 2 Computing in $J_{1}(\ell)$

## B.2.1 Arithmetic in the jacobian $J_{1}(\ell)$

In order to efficiently compute in the jacobian $J_{1}(\ell)$, I adapt K. Khuri-Makdisi's algorithms (cf. section A.L.3). This requires choosing an effective divisor $D_{0}$ of degree $d_{0} \geqslant 2 g+1$ for which I know how to compute the associated Riemann-Roch space

$$
V=H^{0}\left(X_{1}(\ell), 3 D_{0}\right)
$$

I then represent a divisor class $x \in J_{1}(\ell)$ by the subspace

$$
W_{D}=H^{0}\left(X_{1}(\ell), 3 D_{0}-D\right) \subset V
$$

where $D$ is an effective divisor of degree $d_{0}$ such that the class of $D-D_{0}$ is $x$. In particular, $0 \in J_{1}(\ell)$ can be represented by

$$
W_{0}=H^{0}\left(X_{1}(\ell), 2 D_{0}\right) \subset V
$$

I shall also want $D_{0}$ to be defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, so that $\left(W_{D}\right)^{\sigma}$ represents $D^{\sigma}$ for all $\sigma \in$ Aut $\mathbb{C}$.

Let me first give an overview of how to find such a divisor $D_{0}$. My strategy consists in choosing $D_{0}=K+c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}$, where $K$ is an effective canonical divisor defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and the $c_{i}$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-rational cusps. In particular I set $d_{0}=2 g+1$ exactly, the minimum to ensure the correctness of K. Khuri-Makdisi's method.

First, I compute the $(g+2)$-dimensional space

$$
V_{2}=H^{0}\left(X_{1}(\ell), \Omega^{1}\left(c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}\right)\right)
$$

This space is the direct sum of all the cusp forms of weight 2 and of the scalar multiples of the Eisenstein series $e_{1,2}$ and $e_{1,3}$ of weight 2 vanishing at all cusps except respectively $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ for $e_{1,2}$ and except $c_{1}$ and $c_{3}$ for $e_{1,3}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{2}=S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(\ell), \mathbb{C}\right) \oplus \mathbb{C} e_{1,2} \oplus \mathbb{C} e_{1,3} \subset M_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(\ell), \mathbb{C}\right) \tag{B.2.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The point of this is that by picking a cusp form $f_{0} \in S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(\ell), \mathbb{Q}\right)$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, one obtains a Galois-equivariant isomorphism

where $K$ is the divisor of the differential 1-form over $X_{1}(\ell)$ associated to the cuspform $f_{0}$, which is indeed an effective canonical divisor. Now, by theorem A.1.3.5, the map

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
V_{2}^{\otimes 3} & \longrightarrow & H^{0}\left(X_{1}(\ell), 3\left(K+c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}\right)\right) \\
f_{1} \otimes f_{2} \otimes f_{3} & \longmapsto & \frac{f_{1} f_{2} f_{3}}{f_{0}^{3}}
\end{array}
$$

is surjective. I may thus choose $V$ to be the image of the multiplication map

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
V_{2}^{\otimes 3} & \longrightarrow & M_{6}\left(\Gamma_{1}(\ell), \mathbb{C}\right) \\
f_{1} \otimes f_{2} \otimes f_{3} & \longmapsto & f_{1} f_{2} f_{3}
\end{array}
$$

In this framework, the subspace $W_{0}$ representing $0 \in J_{1}(\ell)$ is the image of the map

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
V_{2}^{\otimes 2} & \longrightarrow & M_{6}\left(\Gamma_{1}(\ell), \mathbb{C}\right) \\
f_{1} \otimes f_{2} & \longmapsto & f_{1} f_{2} f_{0}
\end{array} .
$$

From now on, I shall identify weight-6 modular form spaces with the corresponding modular function spaces obtained by dividing by $f_{0}^{3}$, without explicitly mentioning it.

I represent weight- 6 forms by their $q$-expansions at each cusp. To compute these $q$-expansions, I start from the $q$-expansion at $\infty$, and apply the Fricke involution and diamond operators in order to reach all the other cusps, as explained below. I could also have represented forms by their $q$-expansions at $\infty$ only, but I surmise that using $q$-expansions at various cusps ensures better numerical stability. Also I shall later need to be able to evaluate the forms at various points of the modular curve, so it is better to know the $q$-expansions at various places.

The modular curve $X_{0}(\ell)$ has exactly two cusps, namely $\Gamma_{0}(\ell) \cdot \infty$ and $\Gamma_{0}(\ell) \cdot 0$, whereas the modular curve I am interested in, $X_{1}(\ell)$, has exactly $\ell-1$ cusps, half of which lie above $\Gamma_{0}(\ell) \cdot \infty$ while the other half lie above $\Gamma_{0}(\ell) \cdot 0$ (cf. example A.2.1.13). I call the former "cusps above $\infty$ " and the latter "cusps above 0". The cusps above 0 are all rational, whereas the cusps above $\infty$ make up a single Galois orbit. Now, the diamond operators $\langle d\rangle, d \in(\mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z})^{*}$, which correspond to the action of the quotient group $\Gamma_{0}(\ell) / \Gamma_{1}(\ell) \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z})^{*}$, map the cusp $\Gamma_{1}(\ell) \cdot \infty$ onto the cusps above $\infty$, and the cusp $\Gamma_{1}(\ell) \cdot 0$ onto the cusps above 0 . Moreover, the Fricke operator $W_{\ell}$ swaps $\Gamma_{1}(\ell) \cdot \infty$ and $\Gamma_{1}(\ell) \cdot 0$. I know how the Fricke operator acts on newforms of weight 2 by theorem A.2.2.33, and on Eisenstein series by proposition A.2.2.44. Besides, all the forms I am dealing with have a nebentypus, so that the action of a diamond operator $\langle d\rangle$ on their $q$-expansions is very easy to compute: it boils down to multiplying by the value $\varepsilon(d)$ of their nebentypus at $d$. Using these two kinds of operators, I thus get the $q$-expansions of the newforms and of the Eisenstein series at all cusps from their $q$-expansions at $\infty$.

## B.2.2 Finding the appropriate Eisenstein series

I shall now explain how to construct Eisenstein series $e_{1,2}$ and $e_{1,3}$ such that ( $\left.\left.\mathbb{B} .2 . \mathrm{I}^{2}\right]\right)$ holds. As explained in section A.2.2.3, the Eisenstein subspace $E_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)$ of $M_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N)\right)$ has a basis formed by the Eisenstein series

$$
G_{2}^{\psi, \varphi}(t \tau)=\sum_{r=0}^{u-1} \sum_{s=0}^{v-1} \sum_{t=0}^{u-1} \psi(r) \bar{\varphi}(s) \sum_{\substack{(c, d) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\ c=r v \\ d \equiv s+t v \bmod N}} \frac{1}{(c t \tau+d)^{2}},
$$

where $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\psi$ and $\varphi$ are Dirichlet characters not both trivial, of the same parity, and of respective conductors $u$ and $v$ such that $t u v \mid N$, along with the

$$
G_{2}(\tau)-t G_{2}(t \tau), \quad \text { where } \quad G_{2}(\tau)=\sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathbb{Z} \\(c, d) \neq(0,0)}} \frac{1}{(c \tau+d)^{2}} \text { and } 1<t \mid N
$$

Their $q$-expansions at $\infty$ are given by

$$
E_{2}^{\psi, \varphi}(\tau)=-\mathbb{1}_{u=1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=0}^{v-1} \varphi(a) a\left(\frac{a}{v}+1\right)+2 \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left(\sum_{\substack{m>0 \\ m \mid n}} \psi(n / m) \varphi(m) m\right) q^{n}
$$

where $\mathbb{1}_{u=1}$ is 1 if $u=1$ and 0 else, $E_{2}^{\psi, \varphi}$ is the normalisation of $G_{2}^{\psi, \varphi}$ defined by the relation

$$
G_{2}^{\psi, \varphi}=\frac{-4 \pi^{2} g(\bar{\varphi})}{v^{2}} E_{2}^{\psi, \varphi}
$$

and where $g(\cdot)$ denotes the Gauss sum of a Dirichlet character, and

$$
E_{2}(\tau)=1-24 \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left(\sum_{\substack{m>0 \\ m \mid n}} m\right) q^{n}, \quad G_{2}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{3} E_{2}
$$

Also, $G_{2}^{\psi, \varphi}(t \tau) \in E_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N), \psi \varphi\right)$ has nebentypus $\psi \varphi$, where $\psi \varphi$ is seen as a Dirichlet character modulo $N$, whereas $G_{2}(\tau)-t G_{2}(t \tau)$ has trivial nebentypus. In what follows, I shall not use the $G_{2}(\tau)-t G_{2}(t \tau)$ at all.

In the case when $N=\ell$ is prime, one is left with only two cases, namely $G_{2}^{\chi, 1}$ and $G_{2}^{1, \chi}$, where $\chi$ is a non-trivial even Dirichlet character modulo $\ell$. Both have nebentypus $\chi$, and $G_{2}^{\chi, 1}$ vanishes at $\infty$ while $G_{2}^{1, \chi}$ does not (cf. section A.2.2.3]).

I construct the Eisenstein series $e_{1,2}$ and $e_{1,3}$ as linear combinations of the $E_{2}^{\chi, 1}$ and of the $E_{2}^{1, \chi}$, because they have nicer $q$-expansions than their $G$-counterparts. First, I choose the cusps $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ to be $c_{1}=\Gamma_{1}(\ell) \cdot 0, c_{2}=\langle 2\rangle c_{1}$, and $c_{3}=\langle 3\rangle c_{1}$, which are all $\mathbb{Q}$-rational. They are also all distinct since $\ell \geqslant 13$. The form $f_{0} \in S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(\ell), \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ because its $q$-expansion at the $\mathbb{Q}$-rational cusp $\Gamma_{1}(\ell) \cdot 0$ has rational coefficients, so its divisor $K$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, and so is my divisor $D_{0}=K+c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}$. Next, according to formula A.2.2.44, one has

$$
W_{\ell} E_{2}^{\chi, 1}=\frac{g(\chi)}{\ell} E_{2}^{1, \bar{\chi}} \quad \text { and } \quad W_{\ell} E_{2}^{1, \chi}=\frac{\ell}{g(\bar{\chi})} E_{2}^{\bar{\chi}, 1}
$$

from which one reads that $E_{2}^{\chi, 1}$ vanishes at the cusps above $\infty$ but not at the cusps above 0 , whereas $E_{2}^{1, \chi}$ has the opposite behaviour. Consequently, I can construct $e_{1,2}$ and $e_{1,3}$ as linear combinations of the $E_{2}^{\chi, 1}$ only. It then follows easily from the orthogonality relations between Dirichlet characters that the Eisenstein series

$$
e_{1,2}=\sum_{\substack{\chi \text { even } \\ \chi \neq 1}} \frac{1-\chi(2)}{g(\chi) \sum_{a=0}^{\ell-1} \bar{\chi}(a) a\left(\frac{a}{\ell}+1\right)} E_{2}^{\chi, 1}
$$

and

$$
e_{1,3}=\sum_{\substack{\chi \text { even } \\ \chi \neq 1}} \frac{1-\chi(3)}{g(\chi) \sum_{a=0}^{\ell-1} \bar{\chi}(a) a\left(\frac{a}{\ell}+1\right)} E_{2}^{\chi, 1}
$$

are the ones which I am looking for, that is to say that $e_{1,2}$ vanishes at all cusps except $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$, and $e_{1,3}$ vanishes at all cusps except $c_{1}$ and $c_{3}$.

Finally, I need to compute the $q$-expansions of $e_{1,2}$ and of $e_{1,3}$ at each of the cusps of $X_{1}(\ell)$, so as to be able to use them in K. Khuri-Makdisi's algorithms. Although these series are probably not eigenseries, this is not a problem, as it is still easy to compute the action of the Fricke involution and of the diamond operators on them simply by linearity, and thus to deduce their $q$-expansions at each of the cusps.

## B. 3 Detailed description of the algorithm

I first show in subsection $\mathbb{B} .3$.$] how to quickly compute a huge number of terms of the$ $q$-expansion at infinity of the cuspforms of weight 2 and level $\ell$, and next, in B.B.2, how to efficiently compute the period lattice of $X_{1}(\ell)$ to high precision using these $q$-expansions. After this, I show in $\mathbb{B} .3 .3$ how to compute a basis of the eigenplane $V_{f, \mathfrak{l}} \subset J_{1}(\ell)[\ell]$. Finally, I explain in B.3.4 how to construct a well-behaved function on the jacobian $J_{1}(\ell)$ and how to evaluate it at the $\ell$-torsion divisors, and I conclude by describing in $\mathbb{B} .3 .5$ an efficient recipe to computing the image of the Frobenius elements by the Galois representation $\rho_{f, \imath}$.

## B.3.1 Expanding the cuspforms of weight 2 to high precision

I need to compute the $q$-expansion of the newforms of weight 2 in order to compute the period lattice of the modular curve. Classical methods based on modular symbols (cf. example A.2.3.12) can be used to compute a moderate number of terms of these $q$-expansions. However, I shall need to compute the periods with very high accuracy, which requires knowing a very large number of coefficients in these $q$-expansions. As using classical methods for this, although possible, would be too slow, I present a new method to quickly compute a huge number of such coefficients. It proceeds roughly as follows:

- First, I compute a moderate number of coefficients of the $q$-expansion of each cuspform $\omega$ by using the classical method based on modular symbols.
- Then, I use these coefficients to determine a polynomial equation relating a modular function depending on $\omega$ to the modular invariant $j$ (cf. example A.2.2.5), whose $q$-expansion is easy to compute, even to very high accuracy.
- Finally, I use Newton iteration on this equation between $q$-series to compute a huge number of coefficients of the modular function depending on $\omega$, and from this I deduce the ones of $\omega$.

Moreover, I perform all of these computations modulo some prime $p$, so as to prevent intermediate coefficient growth from slowing down the process.

More precisely, to compute these $q$-expansions to the precision $O\left(q^{B}\right)$, I first compute a generator of the Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}_{2, \ell} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, by picking a Hecke operator and testing whether it is a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra generator. This is easy as it amounts to check whether its eigenvalues on $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(\ell)\right)$ are all distinct. One can for instance proceed as follows: starting with $n=2$, first check whether $T_{n}$ is a generator, if not then try a few combinations of small integers $\lambda_{m}$ and check whether $T_{n}+\sum_{m=2}^{n-1} \lambda_{m} T_{m}$ is a generator, and if still not, increase $n$ by 1 and start again. In practise, it appears that for $11 \leqslant \ell \leqslant 31$, at least one of $T_{2}$ and $T_{3}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra generator.

Let $\mathcal{B}=\bigsqcup_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}$ be a basis of $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(\ell)\right)$ corresponding to the decomposition

$$
S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(\ell)\right)=\bigoplus_{\varepsilon} S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(\ell), \varepsilon\right)
$$

where $\varepsilon$ ranges over the even characters modulo $\ell$, and $\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}$ is a basis of $S_{2}(\varepsilon)$ consisting in forms which are not necessarily eigenforms ${ }^{\mathbb{Z}}$, but which are normalised, and whose $q$-expansion coefficients lie among the integers $\mathbb{Z}_{K}$ of the common cyclotomic field $K=\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{(\ell-1) / 2}\right)$. To make it easier to reduce $\bmod p$ and lift back to $K$, I require $p$ to split completely in $K$. Also, $p$ should be chosen large enough for reduction $\bmod p$ of the coefficients to be faithful. Deligne's bounds ( $\boxed{A .3 .3 .7}$ ) state that if $q+\sum_{n \geqslant 2} a_{n} q^{n}$ is a newform of weight 2 , then $\left|\sigma\left(a_{n}\right)\right| \leqslant \sigma_{0}(n) \sqrt{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every embedding $\sigma$ of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ into $\mathbb{C}$, where $\sigma_{0}(n)$ denotes the number of positive divisors of $n$. These bounds may not apply to the forms in the bases $\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}$ since they are not eigenforms, but using the $\mathbb{Q}$-generator of the Hecke algebra computed above, I can compute for each $\varepsilon$ a change of basis matrix from the basis $\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}$ to a basis of eigenforms, then deduce from Deligne's bound a bound on the complex embeddings of the $B$ first coefficients of the forms of $\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}$, and finally compute a bound on the coefficients of these coefficients seen as polynomials in $\zeta_{(\ell-1) / 2}$. I then choose $p \neq \ell$ to be the smallest rational prime greater than twice this bound and such that $p \equiv 1 \bmod (\ell-1) / 2$. Then the $(\ell-1) / 2$-th cyclotomic polynomial splits completely over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, and, letting $a_{i}$ denote lifts to $\mathbb{Z}$ of its roots in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, the prime $p$ splits completely in $K$ into $\prod_{i} \mathfrak{p}_{i}$, where $\mathfrak{p}_{i}=\left(p, \zeta_{(\ell-1) / 2}-a_{i}\right)$.

Next, I compute the forms

$$
E_{4}=1+240 \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sigma_{3}(n) q^{n}, E_{6}=1-540 \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sigma_{5}(n) q^{n}, \text { and } u=\frac{1}{j}=\frac{E_{4}^{3}-E_{6}^{2}}{1728 E_{6}^{2}}
$$

in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[[q]]$, as well as $\mathrm{d} j$ in $q^{-2} \mathbb{F}_{p}[[q]] \mathrm{d} q$, to precision $O\left(q^{B}\right)$.
I can then compute the $q$-expansions of the forms $\omega$ with trivial nebentypus $\varepsilon=\mathbb{1}$ in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{1}}$ as follows. Such a form $\omega$ has $q$-coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$. Consider the form $v=\frac{\omega \mathrm{d} q}{q \mathrm{~d} j} \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$. It has weight 0 , so it is a rational function on $X_{1}(\ell)$, which actually descends to a rational function on $X_{0}(\ell)$ because $\varepsilon=\mathbb{1}$. I claim that its degree there is at most $2 g_{0}+\ell+1$, where $g_{0}$ denotes the genus of $X_{0}(\ell)$. Indeed, its degree is at most the number of zeroes of the 1 -form $\omega \frac{\mathrm{d} q}{q}$ plus the number of poles of the 1 -form $\mathrm{d} j$. On the one hand, $\omega \frac{\mathrm{d} q}{q}$ has exactly $2 g_{0}-2$ zeroes as it is regular. On the other hand, as $\mathrm{d} j$ has a double pole at the cusp on $X(1)$, it has a

[^15]pole of order $e_{c}+1$ at each cusp $c$ of $X_{0}(\ell)$, where $e_{c}$ is the ramification index of $c$. Summing over the two cusps of $X_{0}(\ell)$, one thus sees that $\mathrm{d} j$ has $\ell+3$ poles on $X_{0}(\ell)$, hence my claim on the degree of $v$. Besides, $u$ has degree exactly $\ell+1$ on $X_{0}(\ell)$. Consequently, there exists an irreducible polynomial $\Phi(U, V) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[U, V]$ of degree at most $2 g_{0}+\ell+1$ in $U$ and exactly $\ell+1$ in $V$ such that $\Phi(u, v) \equiv 0 \bmod p$. I compute such a polynomial by coefficient identification in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[[q]]$, using a moderately precise $q$-expansion of $\omega$ computed by classical algorithms, along with linear algebra over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Then, by Newton iteration, I can compute $v \bmod p$, and hence $\omega \bmod p$, to the precision $O\left(q^{B}\right)$, and finally lift the coefficients of $\omega$ back to $\mathbb{Z}$.

Now that the forms with trivial nebentypus are dealt with, I can compute the $q$-expansions of the forms $\omega$ with nontrivial nebentypus $\varepsilon$ as follows. Let $\omega_{0} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{1}}$ be one of the $g_{0}$ forms ${ }^{[3]}$ with trivial nebentypus whose $q$-expansion I have just computed. Then $\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}$ is a rational function on $X_{1}(\ell)$ with nebentypus $\varepsilon$. I could thus proceed to find an equation $\Phi$ as previously by reasoning on $X_{1}(\ell)$ instead of $X_{0}(\ell)$, but this would lead to very high degrees and hence would be too slow. Instead, notice that if $r$ denotes the order of $\varepsilon$, then $v=\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{r}$ has trivial nebentypus, so descends to a function on $X_{0}(\ell)$, of degree at most $\frac{\left(2 g_{1}-2\right) r}{(\ell-1) / 2}$, where $g_{1}=g$ denotes the genus of $X_{1}(\ell)$, because it has degree at most $\left(2 g_{1}-2\right) r$ over $X_{1}(\ell)$. I can thus compute as previously for each $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$ an irreducible polynomial $\Phi(U, V) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[U, V]$ of degree at most $\frac{(2 g-2) r}{(\ell-1) / 2}$ in $U$ and exactly $\ell+1$ in $V$ such that $\Phi(u, v) \equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{i}}$. Next, I use Newton iteration as before to compute $v \bmod \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{i}}$, then take the $r^{\text {th }}$ root to recover $\omega \bmod \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{i}}$, and finally lift back to $K$ by Chinese remainders.

Finally, I apply the change of basis matrices from $\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}$ to the basis of eigenforms which I computed in the beginning to the $q$-expansions of the forms which I have just computed, so as to get the $q$-expansions of the newforms.

For large $B$, this method is faster than the one based one modular symbols:
Theorem B.3.1.1. For fixed prime level $\ell$, the number of bit operations required to compute the $q$-expansion of the newforms in $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(\ell)\right)$ to precision $O\left(q^{B}\right)$ with the algorithm described above is quasi-linear in $B$.

In comparison, the bit complexity of the classical algorithm based on modular symbols is at least quadratic in $B$, cf. remark A.2.3.13].

Proof. First notice that for fixed level $\ell$, the change of basis matrices from the bases $\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}$ to the eigenforms are fixed, and so is the common field $K=\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{(\ell-1) / 2}\right)$. Consequently, there exists some $C>0$ not depending on $B$ such that the coefficients of $\zeta_{(\ell-1) / 2}$ in the coefficients up to $q^{B}$ of the forms in the bases $\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}$ are bounded by $M=C \sup _{n<B} \sigma_{0}(n) \sqrt{n}$. One has $M=O(B)$, because $\sigma_{0}(n)=O\left(n^{\delta}\right)$ for every $\delta>0$, cf. for instance [HW08, ch. XVIII, theorem 315]. If $B$ is large enough, then $M$ will be large too, so that by the prime number theorem for arithmetic

[^16]progressions (cf. for instance [Sop10]), I can assume that there exists a prime number $p \equiv 1 \bmod (\ell-1) / 2$ lying between $2 M$ and, say, $3 M$. I can find such a $p$ in $O(B \log B \log \log B)$ bit operations thanks to the sieve of Eratosthenes (cf. the proof of [GG99, theorem 18.10 part ii]). Then arithmetic operations in the residue field $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ will require $O(\log B)$ bit operations. Next, $E_{4}$ and $E_{6}$ can be computed $\bmod p$ to precision $O\left(q^{B}\right)$ in $O(B \log B \log \log B)$ bit operations by using again the sieve of Eratosthenes, and $u$ and $\mathrm{d} j$ can be computed in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[[q]]$ to accuracy $O\left(q^{B}\right)$ in $O(B \log B)$ operations in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ by using fast series arithmetic. As $\ell$ is fixed, computing the short $q$-expansions and finding the equations $\Phi$, which are of fixed degree, takes fixed time. Then, each Newton iteration takes $O(B \log B)$ operations in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ thanks to fast arithmetic, and reaching precision $O\left(q^{B}\right)$ requires $O(\log B)$ such iterations. Finally, the coefficients can be lifted back to $K$ since $p>2 M$, and each such lift requires $O(\log B)$ bit operations, so lifting the forms requires $O(B \log B)$ bit operations, hence the result.

## B.3.2 Computing the periods of $X_{1}(\ell)$

Computing the period lattice $\Lambda$ amounts, by the Manin-Drinfeld theorem A.2.3.14, to compute integrals of newforms $\omega$ of weight 2 along modular symbols, such as

$$
\int_{\infty}^{0} \omega(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau
$$

These integrals can be computed by integrating $q$-expansions term by term. However, the integration path must be split so that the resulting series converges. Furthermore, to increase the convergence speed, I need the path ends to lie well-inside the convergence disks.

To reduce the number of integrals which I compute, I use the adjointness property of the Hecke operators with respect to the integration pairing between modular symbols and cuspforms, so that it is enough for me to compute the periods along a $\mathbb{T}_{2, \ell^{-}}$generating family of cycles, where $\mathbb{T}_{2, \ell}$ denotes the Hecke algebra of weight 2 and level $\ell$. In general, the modular symbol $\{\infty, 0\}$ alone does not span the rational homology of the modular curve, even over the Hecke algebra, so I introduce other modular symbols, the twisted winding elements $w_{p}$, defined (cf. [CE1], section 6.3]) for $p \neq \ell$ prime or $p=1$ as

$$
w_{p}=\sum_{a \bmod p} \epsilon_{p}(a)\left\{\infty, \frac{a}{p}\right\} \in \mathbb{M}_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(\ell)\right)
$$

where $\epsilon_{p}=(\dot{\bar{p}})$ denotes the Legendre symbol at $p$, which I define to be 1 if $p=1$ for convenience.

By the Manin-Drinfeld theorem A.2.3.14, each basis element $\gamma_{j}$ of $H_{1}\left(X_{1}(\ell)(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}\right)$, seen as a linear form on $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(\ell)\right)$, may be written as a $\mathbb{T}_{2, \ell} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$-linear combination

$$
\gamma_{j}=\sum_{p} T_{j, p} w_{p}, \quad T_{j, p} \in \mathbb{T}_{2, \ell} \otimes \mathbb{Q}
$$

I can then compute the periods by using the adjointness property of the integration pairing with respect to Hecke operators as follows:

$$
\int_{\gamma_{j}} \omega(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau=\int_{\sum_{p} T_{j, p} w_{p}} \omega(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau=\sum_{p} \int_{w_{p}}\left(T_{j, p} \omega\right)(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau=\sum_{p} \lambda_{j, p} \int_{w_{p}} \omega(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau
$$

where $\lambda_{j, p} \in \mathbb{C}$ denotes the eigenvalue of the newform $\omega$ for the Hecke operator $T_{j, p}$. Consequently, all I need is to compute the integrals $\int_{w_{p}} \omega(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau$.

The Fricke involution $W_{\ell}$ transforms the form $\omega(\tau)$ into $\frac{1}{\ell \tau^{2}} \omega\left(\frac{-1}{\ell \tau}\right)$. It is useful for my purpose because it can be used to map a point $\tau$ with small imaginary part to $\frac{-1}{\ell \tau}$, which may have a much larger imaginary part and hence significantly accelerae the convergence of $q$-series. Formula $\triangle .2 .2 .33$ asserts that if

$$
\omega=q+\sum_{n \geqslant 2} a_{n} q^{n} \in S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(\ell), \varepsilon\right)
$$

is a newform of weight 2 , level $\ell$ and nebentypus $\varepsilon$, then $W_{\ell} \omega$ is the eigenform of weight 2 , level $\ell$ and conjugate nebentypus $\bar{\varepsilon}$ defined by

$$
W_{\ell} \omega=\lambda_{\ell}(\omega)\left(q+\sum_{n \geqslant 2} \overline{a_{n}} q^{n}\right),
$$

where $\lambda_{\ell}(\omega)$ is given by

$$
\lambda_{\ell}(\omega)= \begin{cases}-\overline{a_{\ell}} & \text { if } \varepsilon \text { is trivial } \\ \frac{g(\varepsilon) \overline{a_{\ell}}}{\ell} & \text { if } \varepsilon \text { is nontrivial, }\end{cases}
$$

where $g(\cdot)$ denotes the Gauss sum of a Dirichlet character. Moreover, according to theorem A.2.2.34, if $\chi$ is a Dirichlet character modulo $p \neq \ell$, then

$$
\omega \otimes \chi=\sum_{n \geqslant 1} a_{n} \chi(n) q^{n}
$$

is a cuspform of level $\ell p^{2}$, and

$$
W_{\ell p^{2}}(\omega \otimes \chi)=\frac{g(\chi)}{g(\bar{\chi})} \varepsilon(p) \chi(-\ell) \cdot\left(W_{\ell} \omega\right) \otimes \bar{\chi}
$$

An easy computation shows that

$$
\sum_{a \bmod p} \bar{\chi}(a) \omega(\tau+a / p)=g(\bar{\chi})(\omega \otimes \chi)(\tau)
$$

This yields the formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{w_{p}} \omega(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau & =g\left(\epsilon_{p}\right) \int_{\infty}^{0}\left(\omega \otimes \epsilon_{p}\right)(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \\
& =g\left(\epsilon_{p}\right)\left(\int_{\infty}^{\frac{i}{p \sqrt{\ell}}}\left(\omega \otimes \epsilon_{p}\right)(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau+\int_{\frac{i}{p \sqrt{\ell}}}^{0}\left(\omega \otimes \epsilon_{p}\right)(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \\
& =g\left(\epsilon_{p}\right)\left(\int_{\infty}^{\frac{i}{p \sqrt{\ell}}}\left(\omega \otimes \epsilon_{p}\right)(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau-\int_{\infty}^{\frac{i}{p \sqrt{\ell}}} W_{\ell p^{2}}\left(\omega \otimes \epsilon_{p}\right)(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \\
& =\frac{g\left(\epsilon_{p}\right)}{2 \pi i} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\left(a_{n}-\varepsilon(p) \epsilon_{p}(-\ell) \lambda_{\ell}(\omega) \overline{a_{n}}\right) \frac{\epsilon_{p}(n)}{n}\left(e^{-\frac{2 \pi}{p \sqrt{\ell}}}\right)^{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

which allows me to compute the integral of a newform along a twisted winding element, and thus to finally compute the period lattice of the modular curve $X_{1}(\ell)$.

I sum power series at $q=e^{-\frac{2 \pi}{p \sqrt{\ell}}}$ for primes $p$, and such a $q$ has small enough modulus to achieve fast convergence. I have indeed checked that $p \leqslant 3$ is very often sufficient for the $w_{p}$ to span the rational homology of the modular curve over $\mathbb{T}_{2, \ell}$, and $p \leqslant 7$ is enough for all levels $\ell \leqslant 61$, except for $\ell=37$ in which case I had to go up to $p=19$.

## B.3.3 Computing an $\ell$-torsion basis

My goal is to find null-degree divisors $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ representing a basis of the eigenplane

$$
V_{f, \mathfrak{l}}=\bigcap_{T \in \mathbb{T}_{2, \ell}} \operatorname{Ker}\left(T-\left[\mu_{f, \mathfrak{l}}(T)\right]\right) \subset J_{1}(\ell)[\ell],
$$

where $\mu_{f, l}\left(T_{p}\right)=a_{p} \bmod \mathfrak{l}($ cf. section A.3.3.3). The period lattice $\Lambda$ which I have just computed yields an analytic model $J_{1}(\ell)(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}^{g} / \Lambda$, and in particular the $\ell$-torsion subgroup $J_{1}(\ell)[\ell](\mathbb{C})$ is represented by $\frac{1}{\ell} \Lambda / \Lambda$. The action of the Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}_{2, \ell}$ on $\mathbb{S}_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}(\ell)\right)$, and hence on $\Lambda$ and on $\frac{1}{\ell} \Lambda / \Lambda$, is known explicitly (cf. section $\left.\boxed{A .2 .3}\right)$. As a consequence, I can compute the subspace of $\frac{1}{\ell} \Lambda / \Lambda$ representing $V_{f, \downarrow}$ as

$$
\left.\bigcap_{T \in \mathcal{T}} \operatorname{Ker}\left(T-\left[\mu_{f, l}(T)\right]\right)\right|_{\frac{1}{\ell} \Lambda / \Lambda}
$$

by starting with $\mathcal{T}=\emptyset$ and successively adjoining $T_{2}, T_{3}$, etc. to $\mathcal{T}$ until the dimension of the intersection ( $(\mathbb{*})$ drops to 2. Proposition A.2.2.32 implies that the Hecke operators $T_{n}$ for $n \leqslant \frac{\ell^{2}-1}{6}$ span $\mathbb{T}_{2, \ell}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module, so that should not take too long (it is enough that $\mathcal{T}$ span $\mathbb{T}_{2, \ell}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}$-algebra). Actually, in practice, it turns out that it is enough to consider $T_{2}$ and $T_{3}$, so this is very fast.

I can thus express the vectors $x_{1}, x_{2}$ making up an $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$-basis of $V_{f, \mathrm{l}}$ as points in $\mathbb{C}^{g} / \Lambda$. I fix $g$ points $P_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, P_{g}^{\prime} \in X_{1}(\ell)(\mathbb{C})$, and for each $k \in\{1,2\}$, I lift $x_{k}$ to $\widetilde{x_{k}} \in \mathbb{C}^{g}$, then I use Newton iteration to compute $g$ points $P_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, P_{g}^{\prime} \in X_{1}(\ell)(\mathbb{C})$, with each $P_{j}^{\prime}$ close to $P_{j}$, such that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{g}\left(\int_{P_{j}}^{P_{j}^{\prime}} \omega_{i}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant g}=\frac{\widetilde{x_{k}}}{2^{m}}
$$

Here $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is an integer, and I introduced the $2^{m}$ factor so as to help the Newton iteration scheme to converge by ensuring that for each $j, P_{j}^{\prime}$ stays well-inside the coordinate disk containing $P_{j}$ (see below). The integral from $P_{j}$ to $P_{j}^{\prime}$ is understood to be along a path which stays inside this disk, so that the left-hand side of ( $\Sigma_{\pi \times}$ ) is well-defined in $\mathbb{C}^{g}$.

If the effective divisor $P_{1}+\cdots+P_{g} \in \mathrm{Eff}^{g}\left(X_{1}(\ell)\right)$ is not special, then the map

$$
\left(P_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, P_{g}^{\prime}\right) \longmapsto \sum_{j=1}^{g}\left(\int_{P_{j}}^{P_{j}^{\prime}} \omega_{i}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant g}
$$

is a local diffeomorphism at $\left(P_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, P_{g}^{\prime}\right)=\left(P_{1}, \cdots, P_{g}\right)$, so for $m$ large enough, the equation (ㅈᄌ大) in $\left(P_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, P_{g}^{\prime}\right)$ has a unique solution in a neigbourhood of $\left(P_{1}, \cdots, P_{g}\right)$, which will lie in the convergence domain of the Newton iteration scheme if $m$ is large enough. In practice I use $m \approx 10$.

More precisely, I first pick $g$ (not necessarily distinct) cusps $c_{1}, \cdots, c_{g}$. For each of these cusps, there is an analytic map, the " $q$-coordinate" around $c_{j}$

$$
\kappa_{j}: \mathbb{E} \longrightarrow X_{1}(\ell)(\mathbb{C})
$$

where $\mathbb{E}$ stands for the open unit disk in $\mathbb{C}$, which maps 0 to the cusp $c_{j}$ and which is a local diffeomorphism. Next, I choose $g$ complex numbers $q_{1}, \cdots, q_{g}$ of small moduli, and I let $P_{j}=\kappa_{j}\left(q_{j}\right)$, which is thus close to the cusp $c_{j}$. Consider another vector of $g$ small complex numbers $\delta_{1}, \cdots, \delta_{g}$. The goal is to adjust this vector so that (토) be satisfied with $P_{j}^{\prime}=\kappa_{j}\left(q_{j}+\delta_{j}\right)$. In a nutshell, the overall map I apply Newton iteration to is

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
U & \xrightarrow{\Pi \kappa_{j}} X_{1}(\ell)^{g} & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Div}^{0} X_{1}(\ell) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^{g} \\
\left(\delta_{j}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant g} & \longmapsto & \left(P_{j}^{\prime}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant g} & \longmapsto & \sum_{j=1}^{g}\left(P_{j}^{\prime}-P_{j}\right) & \longmapsto
\end{array} \sum_{j=1}^{g}\left(\int_{P_{j}}^{P_{j}^{\prime}} \omega_{i}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant g},
$$

where $U$ is a neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{E}^{g}$ such that $\left(q_{j}+\delta_{j}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant g}$ remains in $\mathbb{E}^{g}$ for all $\left(\delta_{j}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant g} \in U$. The differential of this map is given by the newforms $\omega_{i}$ themselves evaluated at the $P_{j}^{\prime}$, so using this map for Newton iteration presents no difficulty.

Once this is done, I need to double the divisor class of

$$
D_{k}^{(m)}=\sum_{j=1}^{g}\left(P_{j}^{\prime}-P_{j}\right)
$$

$m$ times by using K. Khuri-Makdisi's algorithms, so as to get a divisor representing $x_{k}$. This is however not so immediate, since these algorithms only deal with divisors of the form $D-D_{0}$, where $D$ is an effective divisor of degree $d_{0}, D_{0}$ and $d_{0}$ being defined in the beginning of the section B.2.1]. To work around this, I fix what I call a padding divisor, that is to say an effective divisor $C$ of degree $d_{0}-g=g+1$, then I feed the divisors $\sum_{j=1}^{g} P_{j}^{\prime}+C-D_{0}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{g} P_{j}+C-D_{0}$ (which are indeed of the form $D-D_{0}$ ) to K. Khuri-Makdisi's algorithms, and finally I use these algorithms to subtract these two divisor classes. Feeding a divisor $D-D_{0}$ to K. Khuri-Makdis's algorithms is easy: it amounts to computing the subspace $W_{D}=H^{0}\left(X_{1}(\ell), 3 D_{0}-D\right)$ of $V=H^{0}\left(X_{1}(\ell), 3 D_{0}\right)$ consisting of functions of $V$ which vanish at $D$. I do so by evaluating the $q$-series in the basis of $V$ at the points of $D$ and by doing linear algebra. Since I shall thus have to evaluate $q$-series at $C$, it proves convenient to choose a divisor $C$ supported by cusps, hence the notation $C$.

Finally, once the divisor $D_{k}^{(m)}$ is processed, I apply Khuri-Makdisi's chord algorithm A.L.3.12 on it, yielding $(-2)^{m}\left[D_{k}^{(m)}\right]= \pm x_{k}$. The $\pm$ sign is not a problem, because I get a basis $\left( \pm x_{1}, \pm x_{2}\right)$ for $V_{f, l}$ no matter what the signs are, and this is all I actually need.

## B.3.4 Evaluating the torsion divisors

I must construct a Galois-equivariant function $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}\left(J_{1}(\ell)\right)$ which can be efficiently evaluated at every point $x \in V_{f, \text { I }}$ given in Khuri-Makdisi form. I shall then evaluate $\alpha$ in each non-zero point of $V_{f, \mathrm{l}}$, and form the polynomial

$$
F(X)=\prod_{\substack{x \in V_{f, 1} \\ x \neq 0}}(X-\alpha(x)) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]
$$

which encodes the Galois representation $\rho_{f, 1}$. In order to recognise its coefficients as rational numbers, I compute the continued fraction expansion of each of them until I find a huge term. Clearly, the lower the height of $F(X)$ the better, as it requires performing all the computations described above with less precision in $\mathbb{C}$ in order to be able to identify the coefficients. This means that I should use an evaluation function $\alpha$ which is arithmetically well-behaved. In order to try to quantify this, I may look at the class of its divisor of poles (or zeroes) in the Néron-Severi group of $J_{1}(\ell)$; I expect that the "smaller" this class is, the better the arithmetic behaviour of $\alpha$ will be.

The approach used in [CET1], [Bos07] and [Z.J13] consists in selecting a rational function $\xi$ on $X_{1}(\ell)$ which is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, and extending it to $J_{1}(\ell)$ by

$$
\Xi: \begin{gathered}
J_{1}(\ell) \\
{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{g} P_{i}-g O\right]}
\end{gathered} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\longrightarrow} \begin{gathered}
\mathbb{C} \\
\longmapsto
\end{gathered} \sum_{i=1}^{g} \xi\left(P_{i}\right),
$$

where $g$ denotes the genus of $X_{1}(\ell)$ and $O \in X_{1}(\ell)(\mathbb{Q})$ is an origin for the AbelJacobi map. Indeed, by the Riemann-Roch theorem A.L.L.33(v), each divisor class $x \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(X_{1}(\ell)\right)$ can be written as $\left[E_{x}-\Omega\right]$, where $\Omega$ is a fixed divisor of degree $g$ and $E_{x}$ is an effective divisor of degree $g$ which is unique for generic $x$, so this does define a rational function on $J_{1}(\ell)$, which is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ since $O$ and $\xi$ are. The divisor of the poles of this function is

$$
(\Xi)_{\infty}=\sum_{Q \text { pole of } \xi} \tau_{[Q-O]}^{*} \Theta,
$$

where $\tau_{x}$ denotes the translation by $x$ map on $J_{1}(\ell)$ and $\Theta$ is the theta divisor on $J_{1}(\ell)$ attached to the Abel-Jacobi map with origin $O$. Thus $(\Xi)_{\infty}$ is the sum of $\operatorname{deg} \xi$ translates of $\Theta$. If I am to let this function $\Xi$ play the role of $\alpha$, then I want it to be be arithmetically well-behaved, so that I should take a $\xi$ with degree as low as possible. However, this degree is by definition at least the gonality of $X_{1}(\ell)$, which is roughly proportional to $g$ (cf. [Abr96, remark 0.2]), so this method becomes less and less effective as the genus grows.

For this reason, I introduce a radically different method, which can be used to construct a function $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(\operatorname{Jac}(X))$ on the jacobian of any algebraic curve $X$. Let $g$ be the genus of $X$. As previously, every point $x \in \operatorname{Jac}(X)$ can be written as [ $E_{x}-g O$ ], where $E_{x}$ is an effective divisor of degree $g$ on $X$ which is generically
unique, and $O \in X$ is a fixed point. Let $\Pi$ be a fixed divisor on $X$ of degree $2 g$. Then, again by the Riemann-Roch theorem A.L.L.33(v), the space $H^{0}\left(X, \Pi-E_{x}\right)$ is generically 1-dimensional over $\mathbb{C}$, say spanned by $t_{x} \in \mathbb{C}(X)$. The divisor of $t_{x}$ is of the form $\left(t_{x}\right)=-\Pi+E_{x}+R_{x}$, where $R_{x}$ is a residual effective divisor of degree $g$ on $X$, which is the image of $E_{x}$ by the reflection

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{\Pi}: \operatorname{Pic}^{g}(X) & \longrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^{g}(X) \\
{[E] } & \longmapsto[\Pi-E] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $A$ and $B$ be two points on $X$ disjoint from the support of $\Pi$. I can then define

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha: \operatorname{Jac}(X) & -> & \mathbb{C} \\
x & \longmapsto & \frac{t_{x}(A)}{t_{x}(B) .}
\end{array}
$$

This map is well-defined only on a Zariski-dense subset of $\operatorname{Jac}(X)$ because of the genericity assumptions, and it is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ if $X, \Pi, A, B$ and $O$ are. Moreover, it is much better-behaved than the function $\Xi$ used in the classical approach:

Theorem B.3.4.1. The divisor of poles of $\alpha$ is the sum of only two translates of the $\Theta$ divisor.

Proof. The function $\alpha$ has a pole at $x \in \operatorname{Jac}(X)$ if and only if $\left[E_{x}-g O\right]$ or $\left[R_{x}-g O\right]$ are on the support of $\tau_{[B-O]}^{*} \Theta$. But $\left[R_{x}-g O\right]$ is the image of $\left[E_{x}-g O\right]$ by the involution $R_{\Pi}=\tau_{[\Pi-2 g O]} \circ[-1]$ defined above, and $[-1]^{*} \Theta=\tau_{\mathcal{K}}^{*} \Theta$ is the translate of $\Theta$ by the image $\mathcal{K}$ of the canonical class, cf. [HS00, theorem A.8.2.1.i].

This is even in some sense optimal, since by the Riemann-Roch theorem for abelian varieties (cf. [HS00, theorem A.5.3.3]), no non-constant function on $\operatorname{Jac}(X)$ has a single translate of $\Theta$ as divisor of poles, whereas the Néron-Severi group of the jacobian of a generic curve is infinite cyclic and spanned by $\Theta$.

In order to make this practical on the modular curve $X_{1}(\ell)$, there is a difficulty which must be overcome: In K. Khuri-Makdisi's algorithms, a divisor class $x \in J_{1}(\ell)$ is represented by a subspace $W_{D}=H^{0}\left(X_{1}(\ell), 3 D_{0}-D\right) \subset V$, where $D$ is an effective divisor of degree $d_{0}=2 g+1$ such that $\left[D-D_{0}\right]=x$, but such a $D$ is far from being unique - by the Riemann-Roch theorem A.L.L.33(i), there is a whole $(g+1)$-dimensional projective space of them! Thus, the first thing to do is to rigidify the representation $W_{D}$ of $x$ into a representation which depends on $x$ only. To do this, I compute the sub-subspace

$$
W_{D, \text { red }}=H^{0}\left(X_{1}(\ell), 3 D_{0}-D-C_{1}\right) \subset W_{D}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is a fixed effective divisor of degree $d_{1}=2 d_{0}-g$, so that $W_{D, \text { red }}$ will generically be 1-dimensional by the Riemann-Roch theorem A.1.1.3.3(v). Let $s_{D} \in V$ be such that $W_{D, \text { red }}=\mathbb{C} s_{D}$. Its divisor is of the form

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(s_{D}\right)=-3 D_{0}+D+C_{1}+E_{D}
$$

where $E_{D}$ is some effective divisor of degree $g$. Again by the Riemann-Roch theorem A.L.L.33(iv), $E_{D}$ is generically alone in its linear equivalence class. But on the other
hand, if $W_{D}$ and $W_{D^{\prime}}$ both represent the same point $x \in J_{1}(\ell)(\mathbb{C})$, then $D \sim D^{\prime}$, so that $E_{D} \sim E_{D^{\prime}}$ as $D_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ are fixed. Consequently, one generically has $E_{D}=E_{D^{\prime}}$, which shows that $E_{D}$ only depends on $x$ and not on $D$, so that the process $W_{D} \mapsto E_{D}$ is the rigidification which I am looking for. I then use a trick à la Khuri-Makdisi: I first compute

$$
s_{D} \cdot V=\left\{s_{D} v, v \in V\right\}=H^{0}\left(X_{1}(\ell), 6 D_{0}-D-C_{1}-E_{D}\right)
$$

by using the "multiply-by-function" block A.L.3.7, after which I compute

$$
H^{0}\left(X_{1}(\ell), 3 D_{0}-C_{1}-E_{D}\right)=\left\{v \in V \mid v W_{D} \subset s_{D} \cdot V\right\}
$$

by using the "subtract" block A.C.3.8. Next, I fix another effective divisor $C_{2}$ of degree $d_{2}=d_{0}+1-g$, so that the subspace $H^{0}\left(X_{1}(\ell), 3 D_{0}-C_{1}-C_{2}-E_{D}\right)$ of the previously computed space $H^{0}\left(X_{1}(\ell), 3 D_{0}-C_{1}-E_{D}\right)$ is generically one-dimensional. Letting $\Pi=3 D_{0}-C_{1}-C_{2}$, I thus have computed a function $t_{D} \in \mathbb{C}\left(X_{1}(\ell)\right)$ such that

$$
\mathbb{C} t_{D}=H^{0}\left(X_{1}(\ell), \Pi-E_{D}\right)
$$

as wanted. This allows me to compute the map $\alpha$, which is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ as long as $C_{1}, C_{2}, A$ and $B$ are. As in the previous section, it proves convenient to choose the divisors $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ to be supported by cusps, so that the $q$-series can be evaluated effortlessly, hence the notation $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$.

Evaluating $\alpha$ on $V_{f, \mathrm{l}}$, I may thus hope to get a defining polynomial $F(X)$ of logarithmic height $g / 2$ times less than if I had used the classical approach. A numerical comparison of these two methods may be found in [DvHZ14, table 2 p .8$]$.

Table B.3.4.2 below, which compares the genus $g=\frac{(\ell-5)(\ell-7)}{24}$ of the modular curves $X_{1}(\ell)$ to the rough number $h$ of decimal digits in the common denominator of the polynomials $F(X)$ associated to newforms of level $N=1$ (cf. the Tables section below) which I computed using the algorithm currently being described, seems to indicate that the heuristic performance of my method is $h \approx g^{2.5}$.

| $\ell$ | $g$ | $h$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 1 | 0 |
| 13 | 2 | 5 |
| 17 | 5 | 50 |
| 19 | 7 | 150 |
| 23 | 12 | 500 |
| 29 | 22 | 1800 |
| 31 | 26 | 2500 |

Table B.3.4.2: Comparison of the size $h$ of coefficients of $F(X)$ (computed with my method) with the genus $g$ of $X_{1}(\ell)$

## B.3.5 Finding the Frobenius elements

After evaluating a suitable function in the torsion divisors representing the points of $V_{f, \mathrm{I}} \backslash\{0\}$, I have thus got a polynomial $F(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ of degree $\ell^{2}-1$ whose decomposition field is the field $L$ fixed by the kernel of the Galois representation. It is thus a Galois number field, and its Galois group over $\mathbb{Q}$ is embedded by the representation $\rho_{f, l}$ into $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$. I would now like to know the image of the Frobenius elements $\mathrm{Frob}_{p}$ in $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$, especially so as to get the value of the coefficients $a_{p}$ of $f$ modulo $\mathfrak{l}$ by looking at the trace.

The roots $\alpha(x), x \in V_{f, \mathfrak{l}}-\{0\}$ of $F(X)$ come by construction with an indexing by $V_{f, \mathfrak{l}}-\{0\}$ such that the Galois action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on them corresponds to its $\rho_{f, \mathfrak{l}}$-action on the indices, so that I am perfectly poised to use the Dokchitsers' method described in section 4.3.2.

This allows me to determine the similarity class of $\rho_{f, l}\left(\right.$ Frob $\left._{p}\right)$ for almost all primes $p \in \mathbb{N}$. It fails only if $F(X)$ is not integral or not squarefree modulo $p$, or if two resolvents $\Gamma_{C}(X)$ (defined in section (A.3.2) do not remain coprime when reduced modulo $p$. However, the primary goal of my computations is to find the coefficients $a_{p}$ of the $q$-expansion of $f$ modulo $\mathfrak{l}$, and as naive methods compute $a_{p}$ for small $p$ in almost no time, the only case I am really interested in is the case of extremely large (if not titanic) $p$, for which failure is extremely unlikely. The only thing to do is to find a polynomial $h(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that the resolvents $\Gamma_{C}(X)$ are pairwise coprime over $\mathbb{Q}$, and in practise either $h(X)=X^{2}$ or $h(X)=X^{3}$ works.

Once the resolvents are computed, it is easy to deduce what $\rho_{f, l}\left(\right.$ Frob $\left._{p}\right)$ is similar to, and hence to compute the coefficients $a_{p}$ of $f$ modulo $\mathfrak{l}$.

## B.3.5.1 The quotient representation trick

Unfortunately, these computations, although simple, can be rather slow because they require performing operations on very high precision approximations of certain complex numbers, due to the large height of the coefficients of $F(X)$. For instance, for $\ell=29$, about 5 million decimal digits after the decimal point are required to compute the resolvents, so that the computation is almost intractable.

For this reason, I present a simple trick, which in most cases allows to sharply reduce the amount of computations needed. The key is that I have not yet used the fact that I know in advance what the determinant of the image of the Frobenius element $\mathrm{Frob}_{p}$ is, namely $\varepsilon(p) p^{k-1} \bmod \mathfrak{l}$, where $k$ and $\varepsilon$ denote respectively the weight and the nebentypus of the newform $f$.

The idea is then to compute a quotient representation, that is to say the representation $\rho_{f, \mathfrak{l}}$ composed with the projection map from $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$ onto one its quotient groups. The coarser the quotient, the smaller the computation, so one should use a quotient just fine enough to be able to lift correctly an element back to $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{r}}\right)$ provided that the determinant of the lift is known. For instance, $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$ is slightly too coarse, because the knowledge of the image of a matrix in $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$ and of its determinant only determines this matrix up to sign. This is the very reason why J. Bosman, for computing only the projective Galois representation in [Bos07], determined the coefficients $a_{p}$ of $f$ only up to sign. However, some intermediate quotients between $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}$ will do:

Lemma B.3.5.1. Embed $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*}$ into $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ by $\lambda \mapsto\left[\begin{array}{cc}\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda\end{array}\right]$. Let $S$ be a subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*}$, and let $\pi: \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / S$ be the projection morphism. Then the group morphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi: \quad \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) & \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / S \times \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*} \\
g & \longmapsto(\pi(g), \operatorname{det}(g))
\end{aligned}
$$

is injective if and only if $-1 \notin S$.
Proof. Let $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$. If $g \in \operatorname{Ker} \phi$, then in particular $g \in \operatorname{Ker} \pi$, so that there exists a scalar $s \in S$ such that $g=\left[\begin{array}{ll}s & 0 \\ 0 & s\end{array}\right]$. Since also det $g=1$, one has $s^{2}=1$, hence $s= \pm 1$. The result follows.

In the light of this result, I let $S$ to be the largest subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*}$ such that $-1 \notin S$. This is the subgroup made up of the elements of odd order in $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*}$, that is to say, the $2^{\prime}$-subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*}$. If $\ell-1=2^{r} m$ with $r, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m$ odd, its order is $\# S=m$.

Let the associated quotient Galois representation be

$$
\rho_{f, l}^{S}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\rho_{f, \mathrm{l}}} \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / S .
$$

Then the image of the morphism $\rho_{f, \mathrm{l}}^{S} \times \bar{\chi}_{\ell}^{k-1}$ is contained in the image of $\phi$, so the map

$$
\varrho: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\rho_{f, 1}^{S} \times \bar{\chi}_{\ell}^{k-1}} \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / S \times \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*} \xrightarrow{\phi^{-1}} \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)
$$

is well-defined, and agrees with $\rho_{f, l}$.
To compute the linear representation $\rho_{f, \mathfrak{l}}$, it is therefore enough to compute the quotient representation $\rho_{f, \mathrm{l}}^{S}$. This amounts to describing the Galois action on the quotient space $V_{f, l} / S$. I thus begin by computing a polynomial $F^{S}(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ corresponding to the action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on $V_{f, l} / S$, by tracing the roots $\alpha(x), 0 \neq x \in V_{f, l}$ of $F(X)$ along their orbits under $S$ :

$$
F^{S}(X)=\prod_{\substack{S x \in V_{f, 1} / S \\ x \neq 0}}\left(X-\sum_{s \in S} \alpha(s x)\right)
$$

This new polynomial has roughly the same height as the original $F(X)$, but its degree is $\# S$ times smaller.

Next, I compute a resolvent $\Gamma_{\bar{C}}(X)$ for each conjugacy class $\bar{C}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / S$. As the subgroup $S$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ is central, these conjugacy classes are easy to describe:

Lemma B.3.5.2. Let $\pi: \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / S$ denote the projection map, let $\bar{g} \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / S$, and let $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ such that $\pi(g)=\bar{g}$. Then $\pi$ induces a bijection

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\pi_{g}: \text { Conjugacy class of } g & \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} & \text { Conjugacy class of } \bar{g} \\
h g h^{-1} & \longmapsto & \pi\left(h g h^{-1}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. It is clear that the image of the conjugacy class of $g$ by $\pi$ is exactly the conjugacy class of $\bar{g}$, so that $\pi_{g}$ is well-defined and surjective. To show that $\pi_{g}$ is also injective, let $h_{1}, h_{2} \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ such that $\pi\left(h_{1} g h_{1}^{-1}\right)=\pi\left(h_{2} g h_{2}^{-1}\right)$, that is to say
such that $h_{1} g h_{1}^{-1}=s h_{2} g h_{2}^{-1}$ for some $s \in S$. I must prove that $h_{1} g h_{1}^{-1}=h_{2} g h_{2}^{-1}$. By taking determinants, one sees that det $s=1$. As $s$ is scalar, this implies $s= \pm 1$. Since $-1 \notin S$, one concludes that $s=1$, and therefore $h_{1} g h_{1}^{-1}=h_{2} g h_{2}^{-1}$.

A resolvent $\Gamma_{\bar{C}}(X)$ has therefore exactly the same degree as (each of) the corresponding $\Gamma_{C}(X)$, so I still have to use the same very high precision in $\mathbb{C}$ to compute it. However, I now have $\# S$ times less such resolvents to compute. Furthermore, the roots $\sum_{i=1}^{n} h\left(a_{i}\right) \sigma\left(a_{i}\right)$ of these resolvents actually take $(\# S)^{2}$ less time to compute, since they are defined by sums $\# S$ times shorter and there are $\# S$ times less of them.

Using these resolvents $\Gamma_{\bar{C}}(X)$, I can then determine the conjugacy class of the image of the Frobenius element $\mathrm{Frob}_{p}$ in $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / S$ as above, and since $-1 \notin S$, I can deduce the similarity class of the image of the Frobenius element in $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ using the fact that its determinant is $p^{k-1} \bmod \ell$. Consequently, with this trick, I can still compute the linear representation $\rho_{f, \mathrm{l}}$, saving a factor $(\# S)^{2}$ in the computation of the roots of the resolvents, and a factor $\# S$ in their expansion and in the identification of their coefficients as rational numbers.

Since

$$
\# S=m=\frac{\ell-1}{2^{\operatorname{ord}_{2}(\ell-1)}}
$$

this prevents this final step of the Galois representation computation from being the slowest one, as explained in section B. $\mathbb{B}$. .

## B.3.5.2 Reducing the polynomials

The biggest problem is that the coefficients of the polynomial $F(X)$ tend to have larger and larger height as $\ell$ grows. More precisely, table B.3.4.2 seems to indicate that this logarithmic height grows as $g^{2.5}$. While this is rather harmless for $l \leqslant 17$ (that is to say $g \leqslant 5$ ), it makes the Dokchitsers' method intractable as soon as $\ell \geqslant 29$, even with the quotient representation trick. It is thus necessary to reduce this polynomial, that is to say to compute another polynomial whose rupture field is isomorphic to the rupture field of $F(X)$ but whose coefficients are much nicer. An algorithm to perform this task based on LLL lattice reduction is described in [Coh93, section 4.4.2] and implemented in Pari/GP] under the name polred. Unfortunately, the polynomial $F(X)$ has degree $\ell^{2}-1$ and tends to have ugly coefficients, and this is too much for polred to be practical, even for small values of $\ell$.

On the other hand, it would be possible to apply the polred algorithm to the polynomial

$$
F^{\mathrm{proj}}(X)=\prod_{W \in \mathrm{P} V_{f, l}}\left(X-\sum_{\substack{x \in W \\ x \neq 0}} \alpha(x)\right) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]
$$

whose splitting field is the number field $L^{\text {proj }}$ cut out by the projective Galois representation

$$
\rho_{f, \mathfrak{l}}^{\mathrm{proj}}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\rho_{f, \mathrm{l}}} \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right),
$$

but this representation does not contain enough information to recover the values of $a_{p} \bmod \mathfrak{l}$.

However, I have just explained above that working with $F^{\text {proj }}(X)$ is not enough to recover the values of $a_{p} \bmod \mathfrak{l}$, whereas working with $F^{S}(X)$ is. If the degree and height of $F^{S}(X)$ are not too large, then I can apply the polred algorithm to it directly. Write $\ell-1=2^{r} m$. Since $\# S=m$, the degree of $F^{S}(X)$ is $2^{r}(\ell+1)$, so I can polred it directly in the cases $\ell=19$ or 23 , but the cases $\ell=29$ or 31 remain impractical.

For these remaining cases, Bill Allombert suggested to me that one can still reduce $F^{S}(X)$ in several steps, as I now explain. Since $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*}$ is cyclic, there is a filtration

$$
\mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*}=S_{0} \underset{2}{\supset} S_{1} \underset{2}{\supset} \cdots \underset{2}{\supset} S_{r}=S
$$

with $\left[S_{i}: S_{i+1}\right]=2$ for all $i$, namely

$$
S_{i}=\operatorname{Im}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*} \\
x & \longmapsto & x^{2^{i}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

For each $i$, let

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{i}(X)=\prod_{\substack{S_{i} x \in V_{f, l} / S_{i} \\
x \neq 0}}\left(X-\sum_{s \in S_{i}} \alpha(s x)\right) \in \mathbb{Q}[X] \\
K_{i}=\mathbb{Q}[X] / F_{i}(X)
\end{gathered}
$$

and let $L_{i}$ be the Galois closure of $K_{i}$, that is to say the number field cut out by the quotient representation

$$
\rho_{f, l}^{S_{i}}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\rho_{f, l}} \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / S_{i} .
$$

In particular, one has $\rho_{f, l}^{S_{0}}=\rho_{f, \mathfrak{l}}^{\mathrm{proj}}, L_{0}=L^{\text {proj }}$, and I am looking for a nice model of $K_{r}$.

The fields $K_{i}$ fit in an extension tower

and the trick is to polred the polynomials $F_{i}(X)$ along this tower recursively from bottom up, as I now explain.

First, I apply directly the polred algorithm to $F_{0}(X)=F^{\text {proj }}(X)$. Since the degree of this polynomial is only $\ell+1$, this is amenable, as mentioned above.

I then proceed by induction. Assuming that I have managed to reduce $F_{i}(X)$, I have a nice model for $K_{i}=\mathbb{Q}[X] / F_{i}(X)$, so I can factor $F_{i+1}(X)$ in $K_{i}[X]$. Since the extension $K_{i+1}=\mathbb{Q}[X] / F_{i+1}(X)$ is quadratic over $K_{i}$, there must be at least one factor of degree 2. Let $G_{i+1}(X)$ be one of those, and let $\Delta_{i} \in K_{i}$ be its discriminant, so that

$$
K_{i+1} \simeq K_{i}[X] / G_{i+1}(X) \simeq K_{i}\left(\sqrt{\Delta_{i}}\right) .
$$

In order to complete the induction, all I have to do is to strip $\Delta_{i}$ from the largest square factor I can find, say $\Delta_{i}=A_{i}^{2} \delta_{i}$ with $A_{i}, \delta_{i} \in K_{i}$ and $\delta_{i}$ as small as possible. Indeed, I then have $K_{i+1}=K_{i}\left(\sqrt{\delta_{i}}\right)$, and actually even $K_{i+1}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\delta_{i}}\right)$ unless I am very unlucky ${ }^{\mathbb{W}}$, so that if $\chi_{i}(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ is the characteristic polynomial of $\delta_{i}$, then one has

$$
K_{i+1} \simeq \mathbb{Q}[X] / \chi_{i}\left(X^{2}\right)
$$

and so $\chi_{i}\left(X^{2}\right)$ is a reduced version of $F_{i+1}$. If its degree and coefficients are not too big, I can even apply the polred algorithm to this polynomial in order to further reduce it, which is what I do in practice since it makes the next step of the induction faster.

In order to decompose $\Delta_{i}$ into $A_{i}^{2} \delta_{i}$, I would like to factor $\Delta_{i}$ in $K_{i}$, but even if $K_{i}$ were principal, this would not be amenable whatsoever. I can however consider the ideal generated by $\Delta_{i}$ in $K_{i}$, and remove its $\ell$-part. The fractional ideal $\mathfrak{B}_{i}$ which I obtain must then be a perfect square, since $K_{i+1}$ is unramified outside $\ell$ (since $L$ is), and the very efficient idealsqrt script from [BS14] can explicitly factor it into $\mathfrak{B}_{i}=\mathfrak{A}_{i}^{2}$. If $A_{i}$ denotes an element in $\mathfrak{A}_{i}$ close to being a generator of $\mathfrak{A}_{i}$ (that is to say of norm not much bigger than the norm of $A_{i}$ ), or even an actual generator if amenable, then $\delta_{i}=\Delta_{i} / A_{i}^{2}$ must be small, so this does the trick.

## B. 4 Complexity analysis

The most time-consuming part of the computation of the polynomial $F(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ defining the representation is the arithmetic in the jacobian $J_{1}(\ell)$. To perform these operations, K. Khuri-Makdisi's algorithms rely on linear algebra on matrices of size $O(g) \times O(g)$; as $g=\frac{(\ell-5)(\ell-7)}{24}=O\left(\ell^{2}\right)$, and since my algorithm computes $O\left(\ell^{2}\right)$ $\ell$-torsion points in the jacobian, this implies a complexity of $O\left(\ell^{8}\right)$ operations in $\mathbb{C}$ to compute the Galois representation.

Let $h$ be the logarithmic height of $F(X)$, so that computing $F(X)$ with my algorithm requires a precision of $O(h)$ bits in $\mathbb{C}$. Then the complexity of my method to find $F(X)$ is $\widetilde{O}\left(\ell^{8} h\right)$ bit operations. The experiments which I have run (cf. table B.3.4.2) seem to indicate that $h$ is $O\left(g^{5 / 2}\right)=O\left(\ell^{5}\right)$, but I do not try to refine this estimate, because I do not know a proven sharp ${ }^{[10}$ bound on $h$.

[^17]Next, if I did not use the quotient representation trick (cf. section B.3.5.] ), computing a root $\sum_{x} h\left(a_{x}\right) a_{g(x)}$ of a Dokchitsers' resolvent $\Gamma_{C}(X)$ would require $O(\operatorname{deg} F)=O\left(\ell^{2}\right)$ operations in $\mathbb{C}$. As there is one such root for each $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$, computing all these roots would require $O\left(\ell^{6}\right)$ operations in $\mathbb{C}$. Then, computing a resolvent $\Gamma_{C}(X)$ from its roots would require $\widetilde{O}\left(\operatorname{deg} \Gamma_{C}(X)\right)=\widetilde{O}\left(\ell^{2}\right)$ operations in $\mathbb{C}$ using a fast Fourier transform. As there are $O\left(\ell^{2}\right)$ similarity classes in $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$, computing all the resolvents $\Gamma_{C}(X)$ from their roots would require $\widetilde{O}\left(\ell^{4}\right)$ operations in $\mathbb{C}$. Thus the overall computation of all the resolvents would require $O\left(\ell^{6}\right)$ operations in $\mathbb{C}$, the slow part being the computation of their roots. The precision in $\mathbb{C}$ I would have to work at for this is $O\left(\ell^{2} h\right)$, so that the total complexity of the computation of the resolvents $\Gamma_{C}(X)$ would be $\widetilde{O}\left(\ell^{8} h\right)$ bit operations, which is the same as the rest of the computation.

However, with the quotient representation trick, computing the resolvent roots $\sum_{x} h\left(a_{x}\right) a_{g(x)}$ requires only $O\left(\ell^{6} /|S|^{2}\right)=O\left(\ell^{4} \ell_{2}^{2}\right)$ operations in $\mathbb{C}$, where $\ell_{2}=2^{r}$ is the 2-primary part of $\ell-1$, and then computing the resolvents $\Gamma_{\bar{C}}(X)$ from these roots takes only $\widetilde{O}\left(\ell^{4} /|S|\right)=\widetilde{O}\left(\ell^{3} \ell_{2}\right)$ operations in $\mathbb{C}$. Therefore, computing the resolvents $\Gamma_{\bar{C}}(X)$ overall requires $\widetilde{O}\left(\ell^{6} \ell_{2}^{2} h\right)$ bit operations, since the precision in $\mathbb{C}$ I have to work at is still $O\left(\ell^{2} h\right)$. So, for instance, the use of this trick allows me to reduce the complexity of the computation of the resolvents $\Gamma_{\bar{C}}(X)$ by a factor $\ell^{2}$ if I restrict to the primes $\ell \equiv-1 \bmod 4$. Note that restricting to such $\ell$ does not worsen the complexity of the computation of coefficients $a_{p}$ of $f$ by Chinese remainders. On the other hand, in the worst cases $\ell=2^{\lambda}+1$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$, this trick unfortunately does not help at all.

Although its use sharply reduces the computational effort for large $\ell$, I shall not try to quantify here the impact of the quadratic tower reduction trick presented in section $\mathbb{B} .3 .5 .2$, as I do not know the complexity of the idealsqrt script from [BS14].

Finally, once the polynomial $F(X)$ and the resolvents $\Gamma_{\bar{C}}(X)$ are computed for some fixed $f$ and $\mathfrak{l}$, then the element $h(\bar{a}) \bar{a}^{p}$ can be computed in the $\mathbb{F}_{p}$-algebra $\mathbb{F}_{p}[\bar{a}]=\mathbb{F}_{p}[X] / F(X)$ in $O(\log p)$ operations in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ by a square-and-multiply approach, and computing its trace $u$ to $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ and evaluating the resolvents $\Gamma_{\bar{C}}(X)$ at $u$ requires $O(1)$ operations in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Since each arithmetic operation in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ can be performed in $\widetilde{O}(\log p)$ bit operations thanks to fast arithmetic, the coefficient $a_{p}$ of $f$ can be determined modulo $\mathfrak{l}$ in only $\widetilde{O}\left(\log ^{2} p\right)$ bit operations. It is therefore possible to compute $a_{p} \bmod \mathfrak{l}$ for titanic primes $p$ having thousands of decimal digits, cf. the tables in section C.D.

The practical computation times achieved by my algorithm are indicated in table C.1.0.] below.

## Part C

# Tables and proof of the computation results 

Alors ?

- Jean-Marc Couveignes


## C. 1 Tables

I have computed all the Galois representations $\rho_{f, 1}$ attached to the newforms $f \in S_{k}(1)$ of level $N=1$ and of weight $k$ modulo the non-exceptional ${ }^{\text {m }}$ primes $\mathfrak{l}$ of degree 1 and lying above the rational primes $\ell$ ranging from $k+1$ to 31 , along with the much easier case of the Galois representation $\rho_{\Delta, 11}$ attached to $\Delta \bmod 11$ and which is afforded by the 11-torsion of the elliptic curve $X_{1}(11)$ as a warm-up.

According to Maeda's conjecture, for each weight $k$ there is only one newform in $S_{k}(1)$ up to $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-conjugation. This conjecture has been verified in [FW02] for $k$ up to 2000, and since I work with newforms of level 1 and weight $k$ up to only 30 (because of the condition $k<\ell$ ), I may denote without ambiguity one of the newforms in $S_{k}(1)$ by $f_{k}$, and the coefficients of its $q$-expansion at infinity by $\tau_{k}(n)$. Then, for each $k$, the newform $f_{k}$ and the sequence $\left(\tau_{k}(n)\right)_{n \geqslant 2}$ are well-defined up to $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-action, and the newforms in $S_{k}(1)$ are the $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-conjugates of

$$
f_{k}=q+\sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} \tau_{k}(n) q^{n}
$$

Thus for instance $f_{12}=\Delta, \tau_{12}=\tau$ is Ramanujan's $\tau$-function,

$$
f_{16}=E_{4} \Delta=q+\sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} \tau_{16}(n) q^{n}
$$

is the only newform of level 1 and weight 16, and so on. Although I would have liked to treat other similar cases, the only newform with non-rational coefficients for

[^18]which I have computed the attached Galois representation was $f_{24}$, for which I have computed the Galois representations only modulo the primes lying above $\ell=31$, due to the conditions that $\mathfrak{l}$ be of degree 1 and that $k<\ell$.

For each Galois representation $\rho_{f, l}$, I denote by $L$ the number field it cuts out, and I give the image of the Frobenius element $\left(\frac{L / \mathbb{Q}}{p}\right)$ at $p$ for the 40 first primes $p$ above $10^{1000}$. Since these $p$ are unramified, these Frobenius elements are well-defined up to conjugacy, so their images are well-defined up to similarity. I represent a similarity class in $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$ by its minimal polynomial in factored form over $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}$, as explained in remark 1.3 .2 .2 .

I carried out my computations on the PlaFRIM experimental testbed of the Bordeaux university. The softwares used were [SAGE] for the main part of the computation, and Pari/GP for the polynomial reduction.

For each $\ell$, I indicate in table $\mathbb{C} .1 .0$.$] below the precision in \mathbb{C}$ (in bits) at which I chose to perform the computations (it had to be at least twice the height of the coefficients of the output polynomial $F(X)$ describing the field cut out by the representation), as well as the typical execution times of the various steps of the algorithm:

- the human time taken by the computation of the period lattice of $X_{1}(\ell)$ (cf. section $\mathbb{B} .3 .2$ ), including the $q$-expansion of the cuspforms of weight 2 (cf. section $[\operatorname{B.3.7}]$ ), along with the necessary precision of the $q$-series so as to achieve the required accuracy in $\mathbb{C}$ (this depends strongly on the largest $p$ for which I need to consider the twisted winding element $w_{p}$ ),
- the human time needed to initialise K. Khuri-Makdisi's algorithm to compute in $J_{1}(\ell)$, that is to say to compute the $q$-expansion of the Eisenstein series $e_{1,2}$ and $e_{1,3}$ (cf. section $\left[\begin{array}{c}\text { B.2.2) }\end{array}\right)$ and the multiplication of the weight 2 modular forms into weight 4 and weight 6 (cf. section B.B.11),
- the CPU time required to perform one group operation in $J_{1}(\ell)$,
- the CPU time spent in Newton iterations in order to approximate an $\ell$-torsion divisor (cf. section B.3.3]),
- the CPU time required to evaluate my Galois-equivariant function $\alpha: J_{1}(\ell) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}($ cf. section $\mathbb{B} .3 .4)$ at one point of $J_{1}(\ell)$,
- the CPU time required to reduce the polynomial describing the number field cut out by the Galois representation (cf. section B.3.5.2),
- the human time required to compute one of the Dokchitsers' resolvent $\Gamma_{\bar{C}}(X)$ (cf. sections A.3.2 and B.3.5.]),
- and finally the total human time taken to compute the Galois representation, from scratch to the Dokchitsers' resolvents (note that in practise, the period computations and the Makdisi initialisation are run only once for each $\ell$ and then re-used for each newform $f$ of weight $k \leqslant \ell$ and each prime $\mathfrak{l}$ lying above $\ell)$.

By CPU time, I mean the human time that would be required if I did not use any parallelisation.

| Level $\ell$ | 11 | 13 | $17^{\square}$ | 19 | 23 | 29 | 31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Genus $g$ | 1 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 22 | 26 |
| Precision in $\mathbb{C}$ | 700b | 800b | 1.2 kb | 1.5 kb | 5 kb | 15 kb | 30 kb |
| $q$-precision of series | 736 | 959 | 1.6k | 5k | 8k | 26k | 129k |
| Periods of $X_{1}(\ell)$ | 6 s | 88s | 83s | 6 m | 22 m | 2d | 6d |
| Makdisi initialisation | 13s | 13s | 16s | 4.5 m | 10m | 11h | $10{ }^{\text {¹ }}$ |
| One operation in $J_{1}(\ell)$ | 1 s | 2s | 24s | 80s | 15m | 6h | 1d |
| Newton iterations | 1 s | 2 s | 14s | 1 m | 7 m | 31h | 2d |
| Evaluation in $J_{1}(\ell)[\ell]$ | 1 s | 2 s | 15s | 36s | 6 m | 3h | 12h |
| polred ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | 10s | 30s | - | 5 m | 3 h | 1 d | 5 d |
| Resolvents $\Gamma_{\bar{C}}(X)$ | 6 s | 34 s | 1 m | 34 s | 26s | 3 m | 1 m |
| Total time | $<1$ m | 3 m | 30m | 40m | 10h | 6d | 12d |

Table C.1.0.1: Parameters and times of the computations

Once the resolvents $\Gamma_{\bar{C}}(X)$ are computed, the time required to compute the trace of the Frobenius element at $p$ is essentially independent of $\ell$ for large $p$; it is about 30 minutes for $p \approx 10^{1000}$.

The results are the following:

[^19]$\ell=11$
$$
f_{12}=\Delta=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \tau(n) q^{n}=q-24 q^{2}+252 q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right)
$$

| $p$ | Similarity class of $\left(\frac{L / \mathbb{Q}}{p}\right)$ | $\tau(p) \bmod 11$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{1000}+453$ | $(x-9)(x-4)$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+1357$ | $(x-8)(x-2)$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+2713$ | $x^{2}+x+8$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+4351$ | $(x-6)(x-3)$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+5733$ | $x^{2}+3 x+3$ | 8 |
| $10^{1000}+7383$ | $x^{2}+3 x+3$ | 8 |
| $10^{1000}+10401$ | $(x-8)(x-5)$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+11979$ | $x^{2}+1$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+17557$ | $(x-10)(x-9)$ | 8 |
| $10^{1000}+21567$ | $x^{2}+10 x+8$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+22273$ | $(x-9)(x-6)$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+24493$ | $(x-8)(x-1)$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+25947$ | $(x-9)(x-6)$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+27057$ | $x^{2}+4 x+9$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+29737$ | $(x-9)(x-3)$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+41599$ | $x^{2}+9$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+43789$ | $x^{2}+6 x+10$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+46227$ | $(x-7)(x-4)$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+46339$ | $(x-8)(x-1)$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+52423$ | $(x-3)^{2}$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+55831$ | $x^{2}+10 x+7$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+57867$ | $(x-8)(x-1)$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+59743$ | $(x-3)(x-1)$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+61053$ | $(x-9)^{2}$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+61353$ | $x^{2}+x+7$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+63729$ | $x^{2}+x+7$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+64047$ | $(x-3)(x-2)$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+64749$ | $(x-10)(x-7)$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+68139$ | $x^{2}+2 x+6$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+68367$ | $(x-3)(x-1)$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+70897$ | $(x-10)(x-8)$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+72237$ | $(x-4)(x-3)$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+77611$ | $(x-8)(x-5)$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+78199$ | $(x-6)(x-2)$ | 8 |
| $10^{1000}+79237$ | $(x-5)(x-1)$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+79767$ | $x^{2}+4 x+7$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+82767$ | $x^{2}+2 x+4$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+93559$ | $(x-4)^{2}$ | 8 |
| $10^{1000}+95107$ | $(x-10)(x-9)$ | 8 |
| $10^{1000}+100003$ | $(x-9)(x-4)$ | 2 |

$\ell=13$

$$
f_{12}=\Delta=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \tau(n) q^{n}=q-24 q^{2}+252 q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right)
$$

| $p$ | Similarity class of $\left(\frac{L / \mathbb{Q}}{p}\right)$ | $\tau(p) \bmod 13$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{1000}+453$ | $x^{2}+3 x+1$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+1357$ | $(x-10)(x-7)$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+2713$ | $x^{2}+12 x+12$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+4351$ | $x^{2}+x+12$ | 12 |
| $10^{1000}+5733$ | $(x-12)(x-4)$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+7383$ | $x^{2}+6 x+7$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+10401$ | $(x-5)(x-2)$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+11979$ | $(x-9)^{2}$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+17557$ | $x^{2}+6 x+4$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+21567$ | $x^{2}+5 x+9$ | 8 |
| $10^{1000}+22273$ | $(x-10)(x-8)$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+24493$ | $x^{2}+8 x+10$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+25947$ | $x^{2}+10 x+7$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+27057$ | $(x-7)(x-4)$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+29737$ | $x^{2}+x+3$ | 12 |
| $10^{1000}+41599$ | $(x-11)(x-3)$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+43789$ | $(x-10)(x-7)$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+46227$ | $x^{2}+10 x+7$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+46339$ | $(x-8)(x-7)$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+52423$ | $(x-10)(x-3)$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+55831$ | $(x-4)(x-3)$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+57867$ | $(x-2)(x-1)$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+59743$ | $x^{2}+6$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+61053$ | $x^{2}+x+5$ | 12 |
| $10^{1000}+61353$ | $(x-11)(x-5)$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+63729$ | $(x-11)(x-1)$ | 12 |
| $10^{1000}+64047$ | $(x-10)(x-9)$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+64749$ | $(x-4)(x-3)$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+68139$ | $x^{2}+6 x+3$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+68367$ | $(x-7)(x-5)$ | 12 |
| $10^{1000}+70897$ | $(x-12)(x-7)$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+72237$ | $x^{2}+11 x+12$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+77611$ | $x^{2}+5 x+10$ | 8 |
| $10^{1000}+78199$ | $(x-7)(x-4)$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+79237$ | $(x-4)(x-2)$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+79767$ | $x^{2}+7 x+7$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+82767$ | $x^{2}+9 x+12$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+93559$ | $x^{2}+8 x+1$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+95107$ | $x^{2}+10 x+7$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+100003$ | $x^{2}+6 x+4$ | 7 |

$\ell=17$

$$
f_{12}=\Delta=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \tau(n) q^{n}=q-24 q^{2}+252 q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right)
$$

| $p$ | Similarity class of $\left(\frac{L / \mathbb{Q}}{p}\right)$ | $\tau(p) \bmod 17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{1000}+453$ | $x^{2}+3$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+1357$ | $(x-15)^{2}$ | 13 |
| $10^{1000}+2713$ | $(x-14)(x-12)$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+4351$ | $(x-10)(x-6)$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+5733$ | $(x-6)(x-4)$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+7383$ | $(x-15)(x-2)$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+10401$ | $(x-7)(x-3)$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+11979$ | $x^{2}+6 x+3$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+17557$ | $x^{2}+11 x+6$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+21567$ | $x^{2}+16 x+3$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+22273$ | $x^{2}+16 x+8$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+24493$ | $x^{2}+8 x+6$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+25947$ | $x^{2}+2 x+13$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+27057$ | $(x-16)(x-2)$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+29737$ | $x^{2}+5 x+7$ | 12 |
| $10^{1000}+41599$ | $x^{2}+6 x+16$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+43789$ | $(x-11)(x-5)$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+46227$ | $x^{2}+4 x+7$ | 13 |
| $10^{1000}+46339$ | $(x-14)(x-10)$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+52423$ | $(x-16)(x-5)$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+55831$ | $x^{2}+14 x+8$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+57867$ | $x^{2}+8 x+9$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+59743$ | $(x-14)(x-7)$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+61053$ | $x^{2}+15 x+11$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+61353$ | $x^{2}+6 x+16$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+63729$ | $x^{2}+6$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+64047$ | $x^{2}+7 x+14$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+64749$ | $(x-6)(x-1)$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+68139$ | $(x-11)(x-10)$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+68367$ | $(x-16)(x-2)$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+70897$ | $x^{2}+5 x+5$ | 12 |
| $10^{1000}+72237$ | $x^{2}+7$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+77611$ | $x^{2}+15 x+11$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+78199$ | $(x-16)(x-8)$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+79237$ | $(x-10)(x-5)$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+79767$ | $(x-8)(x-1)$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+82767$ | $x^{2}+16 x+3$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+93559$ | $x^{2}+5 x+14$ | 12 |
| $10^{1000}+95107$ | $(x-11)^{2}$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+100003$ | $(x-14)(x-5)$ | 2 |

$$
f_{16}=E_{4} \Delta=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \tau_{16}(n) q^{n}=q+216 q^{2}-3348 q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right)
$$

| $p$ | Similarity class of $\left(\frac{L / \mathbb{Q}}{p}\right)$ | $\tau_{16}(p) \bmod 17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{1000}+453$ | $x^{2}+5 x+12$ | 12 |
| $10^{1000}+1357$ | $x^{2}+3 x+4$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+2713$ | $x^{2}+8 x+2$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+4351$ | $x^{2}+14 x+8$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+5733$ | $x^{2}+11 x+6$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+7383$ | $(x-8)^{2}$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+10401$ | $(x-16)(x-13)$ | 12 |
| $10^{1000}+11979$ | $(x-9)(x-7)$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+17557$ | $(x-5)(x-2)$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+21567$ | $x^{2}+12 x+12$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+22273$ | $x^{2}+13 x+9$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+24493$ | $x^{2}+10$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+25947$ | $(x-16)(x-4)$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+27057$ | $(x-10)(x-7)$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+29737$ | $x^{2}+9 x+6$ | 8 |
| $10^{1000}+41599$ | $x^{2}+4 x+16$ | 13 |
| $10^{1000}+43789$ | $(x-4)(x-1)$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+46227$ | $(x-12)(x-9)$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+46339$ | $x^{2}+15 x+4$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+52423$ | $(x-11)(x-9)$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+55831$ | $x^{2}+9 x+9$ | 8 |
| $10^{1000}+57867$ | $x^{2}+12 x+8$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+59743$ | $(x-8)^{2}$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+61053$ | $(x-15)(x-5)$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+61353$ | $x^{2}+16 x+16$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+63729$ | $x^{2}+14 x+10$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+64047$ | $x^{2}+12 x+5$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+64749$ | $x^{2}+10$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+68139$ | $(x-10)(x-6)$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+68367$ | $x^{2}+8 x+2$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+70897$ | $(x-16)(x-14)$ | 13 |
| $10^{1000}+72237$ | $(x-13)(x-7)$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+77611$ | $(x-6)(x-4)$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+78199$ | $(x-8)(x-1)$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+79237$ | $x^{2}+13 x+16$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+79767$ | $x^{2}+4 x+9$ | 13 |
| $10^{1000}+82767$ | $x^{2}+5 x+12$ | 12 |
| $10^{1000}+93559$ | $x^{2}+5$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+95107$ | $(x-7)^{2}$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+100003$ | $(x-10)^{2}$ | 3 |

## $\ell=19$

$$
f_{12}=\Delta=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \tau(n) q^{n}=q-24 q^{2}+252 q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right)
$$

| $p$ | Similarity class of $\left(\frac{L / \mathbb{Q}}{p}\right)$ | $\tau(p) \bmod 19$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{1000}+453$ | $(x-15)(x-10)$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+1357$ | $(x-17)^{2}$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+2713$ | $(x-11)(x-4)$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+4351$ | $(x-6)(x-4)$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+5733$ | $(x-16)(x-1)$ | 17 |
| $10^{1000}+7383$ | $(x-1)^{2}$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+10401$ | $x^{2}+11 x+4$ | 8 |
| $10^{1000}+11979$ | $(x-16)(x-13)$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+17557$ | $x^{2}+8 x+14$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+21567$ | $(x-11)^{2}$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+22273$ | $(x-13)(x-1)$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+24493$ | $(x-14)(x-10)$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+25947$ | $x^{2}+14 x+15$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+27057$ | $(x-10)(x-9)$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+29737$ | $x^{2}+12 x+7$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+41599$ | $(x-18)(x-15)$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+43789$ | $(x-13)(x-11)$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+46227$ | $x^{2}+5$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+46339$ | $x^{2}+x+11$ | 18 |
| $10^{1000}+52423$ | $x^{2}+7 x+7$ | 12 |
| $10^{1000}+55831$ | $(x-16)(x-13)$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+57867$ | $(x-17)(x-2)$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+59743$ | $x^{2}+5 x+9$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+61053$ | $x^{2}+9 x+3$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+61353$ | $(x-14)(x-10)$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+63729$ | $x^{2}+15 x+8$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+64047$ | $(x-6)(x-5)$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+64749$ | $(x-13)^{2}$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+68139$ | $x^{2}+15 x+13$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+68367$ | $(x-14)(x-5)$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+70897$ | $(x-18)(x-15)$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+72237$ | $(x-10)(x-5)$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+77611$ | $x^{2}+13 x+6$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+78199$ | $(x-15)^{2}$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+79237$ | $x^{2}+12 x+9$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+79767$ | $x^{2}+13 x+13$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+82767$ | $x^{2}+3 x+8$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+93559$ | $x^{2}+4 x+8$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+95107$ | $x^{2}+13 x+15$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+100003$ | $x^{2}+5 x+3$ | 14 |

$$
f_{16}=E_{4} \Delta=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \tau_{16}(n) q^{n}=q+216 q^{2}-3348 q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right)
$$

| $p$ | Similarity class of $\left(\frac{L / \mathbb{Q}}{p}\right)$ | $\tau_{16}(p) \bmod 19$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{1000}+453$ | $(x-15)(x-2)$ | 17 |
| $10^{1000}+1357$ | $(x-18)(x-12)$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+2713$ | $x^{2}+6 x+7$ | 13 |
| $10^{1000}+4351$ | $x^{2}+9 x+11$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+5733$ | $(x-17)(x-4)$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+7383$ | $x^{2}+5 x+1$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+10401$ | $x^{2}+13 x+7$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+11979$ | $(x-16)(x-13)$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+17557$ | $(x-9)(x-3)$ | 12 |
| $10^{1000}+21567$ | $x^{2}+5 x+1$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+22273$ | $(x-17)(x-13)$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+24493$ | $(x-17)(x-9)$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+25947$ | $(x-18)(x-7)$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+27057$ | $x^{2}+5 x+8$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+29737$ | $(x-13)(x-3)$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+41599$ | $x^{2}+7 x+7$ | 12 |
| $10^{1000}+43789$ | $x^{2}+9 x+12$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+46227$ | $x^{2}+16 x+11$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+46339$ | $(x-17)(x-9)$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+52423$ | $(x-15)(x-14)$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+55831$ | $(x-14)(x-4)$ | 18 |
| $10^{1000}+57867$ | $x^{2}+18 x+12$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+59743$ | $x^{2}+7$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+61053$ | $(x-17)(x-15)$ | 13 |
| $10^{1000}+61353$ | $(x-10)(x-2)$ | 12 |
| $10^{1000}+63729$ | $x^{2}+16 x+18$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+64047$ | $(x-10)(x-2)$ | 12 |
| $10^{1000}+64749$ | $x^{2}+10 x+11$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+68139$ | $(x-10)(x-5)$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+68367$ | $(x-18)(x-7)$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+70897$ | $x^{2}+6 x+7$ | 13 |
| $10^{1000}+72237$ | $x^{2}+6 x+18$ | 13 |
| $10^{1000}+77611$ | $x^{2}+13 x+7$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+78199$ | $(x-7)^{2}$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+79237$ | $(x-14)(x-10)$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+79767$ | $(x-12)(x-1)$ | 13 |
| $10^{1000}+82767$ | $(x-16)(x-13)$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+93559$ | $x^{2}+2 x+18$ | 17 |
| $10^{1000}+95107$ | $x^{2}+18 x+12$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+100003$ | $(x-14)(x-6)$ | 1 |

$\ell=23$

$$
f_{16}=E_{4} \Delta=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \tau_{16}(n) q^{n}=q+216 q^{2}-3348 q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right)
$$

| $p$ | Similarity class of $\left(\frac{L / \mathbb{Q}}{p}\right)$ | $\tau_{16}(p) \bmod 23$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{1000}+453$ | $(x-15)(x-5)$ | 20 |
| $10^{1000}+1357$ | $(x-19)(x-15)$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+2713$ | $x^{2}+11 x+21$ | 12 |
| $10^{1000}+4351$ | $x^{2}+7 x+11$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+5733$ | $(x-18)(x-14)$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+7383$ | $(x-13)(x-6)$ | 19 |
| $10^{1000}+10401$ | $x^{2}+4 x+7$ | 19 |
| $10^{1000}+11979$ | $(x-15)(x-7)$ | 22 |
| $10^{1000}+17557$ | $x^{2}+8 x+1$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+21567$ | $x^{2}+8 x+6$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+22273$ | $(x-17)(x-5)$ | 22 |
| $10^{1000}+24493$ | $(x-8)(x-5)$ | 13 |
| $10^{1000}+25947$ | $(x-21)(x-13)$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+27057$ | $(x-8)(x-2)$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+29737$ | $x^{2}+12 x+17$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+41599$ | $(x-20)(x-7)$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+43789$ | $(x-15)^{2}$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+46227$ | $(x-9)(x-2)$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+46339$ | $(x-22)(x-18)$ | 17 |
| $10^{1000}+52423$ | $(x-19)(x-6)$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+55831$ | $x^{2}+4 x+12$ | 19 |
| $10^{1000}+57867$ | $x^{2}+16 x+21$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+59743$ | $(x-7)(x-6)$ | 13 |
| $10^{1000}+61053$ | $x^{2}+21 x+3$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+61353$ | $(x-11)(x-8)$ | 19 |
| $10^{1000}+63729$ | $x^{2}+5 x+13$ | 18 |
| $10^{1000}+64047$ | $(x-22)(x-21)$ | 20 |
| $10^{1000}+64749$ | $x^{2}+16 x+11$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+68139$ | $(x-18)(x-3)$ | 21 |
| $10^{1000}+68367$ | $x^{2}+2 x+3$ | 21 |
| $10^{1000}+70897$ | $x^{2}+21 x+3$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+72237$ | $x^{2}+14 x+5$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+77611$ | $x^{2}+14 x+16$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+78199$ | $x^{2}+6 x+21$ | 17 |
| $10^{1000}+79237$ | $x^{2}+9 x+4$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+79767$ | $x^{2}+15 x+20$ | 8 |
| $10^{1000}+82767$ | $(x-8)(x-1)$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+93559$ | $x^{2}+x+10$ | 22 |
| $10^{1000}+95107$ | $(x-15)(x-11)$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+100003$ | $(x-14)(x-13)$ | 4 |

$$
f_{18}=E_{6} \Delta=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \tau_{18}(n) q^{n}=q-528 q^{2}-4284 q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right)
$$

| $p$ | Similarity class of $\left(\frac{L / \mathbb{Q}}{p}\right)$ | $\tau_{18}(p) \bmod 23$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{1000}+453$ | $(x-18)(x-4)$ | 22 |
| $10^{1000}+1357$ | $x^{2}+10 x+4$ | 13 |
| $10^{1000}+2713$ | $x^{2}+13 x+10$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+4351$ | $(x-6)(x-5)$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+5733$ | $x^{2}+x+22$ | 22 |
| $10^{1000}+7383$ | $(x-20)(x-14)$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+10401$ | $(x-7)(x-4)$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+11979$ | $(x-11)(x-5)$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+17557$ | $x^{2}+7 x+1$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+21567$ | $(x-15)(x-14)$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+22273$ | $x^{2}+22 x+18$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+24493$ | $(x-15)(x-9)$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+25947$ | $(x-7)(x-3)$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+27057$ | $x^{2}+5 x+18$ | 18 |
| $10^{1000}+29737$ | $x^{2}+5 x+20$ | 18 |
| $10^{1000}+41599$ | $(x-13)(x-1)$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+43789$ | $x^{2}+8 x+6$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+46227$ | $x^{2}+4 x+6$ | 19 |
| $10^{1000}+46339$ | $(x-18)(x-15)$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+52423$ | $x^{2}+15 x+22$ | 8 |
| $10^{1000}+55831$ | $(x-17)(x-5)$ | 22 |
| $10^{1000}+57867$ | $x^{2}+22 x+10$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+59743$ | $x^{2}+13 x+15$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+61053$ | $(x-20)(x-7)$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+61353$ | $(x-15)(x-1)$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+63729$ | $x^{2}+9$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+64047$ | $(x-17)^{2}$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+64749$ | $x^{2}+22 x+7$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+68139$ | $(x-9)^{2}$ | 18 |
| $10^{1000}+68367$ | $x^{2}+8 x+2$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+70897$ | $(x-2)(x-1)$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+72237$ | $(x-17)(x-1)$ | 18 |
| $10^{1000}+77611$ | $(x-19)(x-7)$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+78199$ | $(x-17)(x-6)$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+79237$ | $x^{2}+4 x+8$ | 19 |
| $10^{1000}+79767$ | $x^{2}+11 x+21$ | 12 |
| $10^{1000}+82767$ | $x^{2}+x+12$ | 22 |
| $10^{1000}+93559$ | $(x-10)(x-6)$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+95107$ | $x^{2}+13 x+8$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+100003$ | $(x-14)(x-4)$ | 18 |

$$
f_{20}=E_{8} \Delta=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \tau_{20}(n) q^{n}=q+456 q^{2}+50652 q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right)
$$

| $p$ | Similarity class of $\left(\frac{L / \mathbb{Q}}{p}\right)$ | $\tau_{20}(p) \bmod 23$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{1000}+453$ | $x^{2}+5 x+13$ | 18 |
| $10^{1000}+1357$ | $x^{2}+22 x+12$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+2713$ | $x^{2}+11 x+19$ | 12 |
| $10^{1000}+4351$ | $(x-22)(x-6)$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+5733$ | $x^{2}+22 x+22$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+7383$ | $(x-15)(x-10)$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+10401$ | $x^{2}+13 x+20$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+11979$ | $x^{2}+10 x+8$ | 13 |
| $10^{1000}+17557$ | $(x-16)(x-13)$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+21567$ | $(x-18)(x-2)$ | 20 |
| $10^{1000}+22273$ | $(x-22)(x-20)$ | 19 |
| $10^{1000}+24493$ | $(x-11)(x-3)$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+25947$ | $x^{2}+18 x+14$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+27057$ | $x^{2}+16 x+3$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+29737$ | $x^{2}+22 x+10$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+41599$ | $(x-22)(x-19)$ | 18 |
| $10^{1000}+43789$ | $x^{2}+2$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+46227$ | $(x-14)(x-10)$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+46339$ | $(x-18)(x-5)$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+52423$ | $(x-21)(x-12)$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+55831$ | $(x-21)(x-20)$ | 18 |
| $10^{1000}+57867$ | $(x-22)(x-4)$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+59743$ | $(x-11)(x-9)$ | 20 |
| $10^{1000}+61053$ | $x^{2}+9 x+9$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+61353$ | $(x-22)(x-16)$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+63729$ | $x^{2}+19 x+8$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+64047$ | $(x-18)(x-13)$ | 8 |
| $10^{1000}+64749$ | $(x-21)(x-3)$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+68139$ | $(x-18)(x-1)$ | 19 |
| $10^{1000}+68367$ | $x^{2}+x+9$ | 22 |
| $10^{1000}+70897$ | $x^{2}+21 x+9$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+72237$ | $(x-11)(x-4)$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+77611$ | $(x-15)(x-14)$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+78199$ | $(x-17)(x-16)$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+79237$ | $x^{2}+5 x+16$ | 18 |
| $10^{1000}+79767$ | $x^{2}+18 x+14$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+82767$ | $(x-11)(x-10)$ | 21 |
| $10^{1000}+93559$ | $x^{2}+6 x+15$ | 17 |
| $10^{1000}+95107$ | $(x-19)^{2}$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+100003$ | $x^{2}+15 x+19$ | 8 |

$$
f_{22}=E_{10} \Delta=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \tau_{22}(n) q^{n}=q-288 q^{2}-128844 q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right)
$$

| $p$ | Similarity class of $\left(\frac{L / \mathbb{Q}}{p}\right)$ | $\tau_{22}(p) \bmod 23$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{1000}+453$ | $(x-19)(x-7)$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+1357$ | $x^{2}+13$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+2713$ | $x^{2}+8 x+20$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+4351$ | $(x-16)(x-11)$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+5733$ | $x^{2}+19 x+22$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+7383$ | $(x-19)(x-14)$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+10401$ | $(x-16)(x-5)$ | 21 |
| $10^{1000}+11979$ | $(x-17)(x-15)$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+17557$ | $(x-19)(x-17)$ | 13 |
| $10^{1000}+21567$ | $(x-19)(x-7)$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+22273$ | $x^{2}+14 x+12$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+24493$ | $(x-7)(x-4)$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+25947$ | $x^{2}+4 x+17$ | 19 |
| $10^{1000}+27057$ | $x^{2}+3 x+12$ | 20 |
| $10^{1000}+29737$ | $x^{2}+5 x+5$ | 18 |
| $10^{1000}+41599$ | $(x-7)^{2}$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+43789$ | $x^{2}+18 x+16$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+46227$ | $x^{2}+19 x+16$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+46339$ | $x^{2}+22 x+7$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+52423$ | $(x-22)(x-1)$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+55831$ | $x^{2}+12 x+8$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+57867$ | $(x-17)(x-12)$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+59743$ | $(x-21)(x-16)$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+61053$ | $x^{2}+4 x+6$ | 19 |
| $10^{1000}+61353$ | $(x-19)(x-8)$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+63729$ | $(x-5)^{2}$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+64047$ | $(x-12)(x-6)$ | 18 |
| $10^{1000}+64749$ | $(x-13)(x-10)$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+68139$ | $(x-21)^{2}$ | 19 |
| $10^{1000}+68367$ | $(x-19)(x-10)$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+70897$ | $x^{2}+14 x+6$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+72237$ | $(x-20)(x-13)$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+77611$ | $(x-4)(x-3)$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+78199$ | $(x-14)(x-8)$ | 22 |
| $10^{1000}+79237$ | $x^{2}+20 x+9$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+79767$ | $x^{2}+8 x+17$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+82767$ | $x^{2}+16 x+4$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+93559$ | $(x-14)(x-13)$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+95107$ | $(x-3)^{2}$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+100003$ | $(x-19)(x-18)$ | 14 |

$\ell=29$

$$
f_{12}=\Delta=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \tau(n) q^{n}=q-24 q^{2}+252 q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right)
$$

| $p$ | Similarity class of $\left(\frac{L / \mathbb{Q}}{p}\right)$ | $\tau(p) \bmod 29$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{1000}+453$ | $x^{2}+8 x+24$ | 21 |
| $10^{1000}+1357$ | $x^{2}+21 x+1$ | 8 |
| $10^{1000}+2713$ | $x^{2}+18 x+20$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+4351$ | $x^{2}+3$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+5733$ | $(x-20)(x-2)$ | 22 |
| $10^{1000}+7383$ | $(x-19)(x-10)$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+10401$ | $(x-7)(x-2)$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+11979$ | $x^{2}+22 x+22$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+17557$ | $x^{2}+27$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+21567$ | $(x-23)(x-3)$ | 26 |
| $10^{1000}+22273$ | $x^{2}+15 x+3$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+24493$ | $x^{2}+25 x+16$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+25947$ | $(x-27)(x-15)$ | 13 |
| $10^{1000}+27057$ | $x^{2}+22 x+23$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+29737$ | $(x-23)(x-10)$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+41599$ | $(x-13)(x-5)$ | 18 |
| $10^{1000}+43789$ | $(x-18)(x-15)$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+46227$ | $x^{2}+7 x+3$ | 22 |
| $10^{1000}+46339$ | $(x-26)(x-8)$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+52423$ | $(x-17)(x-16)$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+55831$ | $x^{2}+21 x+4$ | 8 |
| $10^{1000}+57867$ | $(x-13)(x-11)$ | 24 |
| $10^{1000}+59743$ | $x^{2}+24 x+2$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+61053$ | $x^{2}+18 x+21$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+61353$ | $(x-24)(x-1)$ | 25 |
| $10^{1000}+63729$ | $(x-20)(x-1)$ | 21 |
| $10^{1000}+64047$ | $x^{2}+14 x+6$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+64749$ | $x^{2}+14 x+28$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+68139$ | $(x-12)(x-2)$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+68367$ | $x^{2}+26 x+26$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+70897$ | $x^{2}+12 x+28$ | 17 |
| $10^{1000}+72237$ | $x^{2}+27 x+13$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+77611$ | $(x-14)(x-13)$ | 27 |
| $10^{1000}+78199$ | $(x-17)(x-14)$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+79237$ | $x^{2}+28 x+25$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+79767$ | $x^{2}+13 x+16$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+82767$ | $(x-27)(x-13)$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+93559$ | $x^{2}+13 x+17$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+95107$ | $(x-25)(x-24)$ | 20 |
| $10^{1000}+100003$ | $(x-26)(x-13)$ | 10 |

$$
f_{16}=E_{4} \Delta=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \tau_{16}(n) q^{n}=q+216 q^{2}-3348 q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right)
$$

| $p$ | Similarity class of $\left(\frac{L / \mathbb{Q}}{p}\right)$ | $\tau_{16}(p) \bmod 29$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{1000}+453$ | $x^{2}+16 x+25$ | 13 |
| $10^{1000}+1357$ | $x^{2}+9 x+1$ | 20 |
| $10^{1000}+2713$ | $(x-23)(x-1)$ | 24 |
| $10^{1000}+4351$ | $x^{2}+18 x+21$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+5733$ | $(x-22)(x-8)$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+7383$ | $x^{2}+x+24$ | 28 |
| $10^{1000}+10401$ | $(x-17)(x-7)$ | 24 |
| $10^{1000}+11979$ | $x^{2}+26 x+9$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+17557$ | $(x-27)(x-24)$ | 22 |
| $10^{1000}+21567$ | $(x-16)(x-11)$ | 27 |
| $10^{1000}+22273$ | $(x-27)(x-4)$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+24493$ | $(x-25)(x-23)$ | 19 |
| $10^{1000}+25947$ | $(x-17)^{2}$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+27057$ | $x^{2}+22 x+7$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+29737$ | $x^{2}+10$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+41599$ | $x^{2}+2 x+20$ | 27 |
| $10^{1000}+43789$ | $x^{2}+19 x+6$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+46227$ | $(x-24)(x-19)$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+46339$ | $x^{2}+17 x+4$ | 12 |
| $10^{1000}+52423$ | $(x-26)(x-9)$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+55831$ | $(x-17)(x-11)$ | 28 |
| $10^{1000}+57867$ | $(x-27)(x-24)$ | 22 |
| $10^{1000}+59743$ | $x^{2}+28 x+19$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+61053$ | $(x-21)(x-20)$ | 12 |
| $10^{1000}+61353$ | $x^{2}+13 x+25$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+63729$ | $(x-28)(x-6)$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+64047$ | $(x-23)(x-6)$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+64749$ | $(x-24)(x-6)$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+68139$ | $(x-24)^{2}$ | 19 |
| $10^{1000}+68367$ | $(x-26)(x-7)$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+70897$ | $x^{2}+15 x+28$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+72237$ | $(x-28)(x-24)$ | 23 |
| $10^{1000}+77611$ | $x^{2}+19 x+15$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+78199$ | $(x-10)(x-8)$ | 18 |
| $10^{1000}+79237$ | $(x-25)^{2}$ | 21 |
| $10^{1000}+79767$ | $x^{2}+17 x+24$ | 12 |
| $10^{1000}+82767$ | $x^{2}+6 x+21$ | 23 |
| $10^{1000}+93559$ | $(x-24)(x-14)$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+95107$ | $x^{2}+6 x+23$ | 23 |
| $10^{1000}+100003$ | $(x-26)(x-6)$ | 3 |

$$
f_{18}=E_{6} \Delta=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \tau_{18}(n) q^{n}=q-528 q^{2}-4284 q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right)
$$

| $p$ | Similarity class of $\left(\frac{L / \mathbb{Q}}{p}\right)$ | $\tau_{18}(p) \bmod 29$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{1000}+453$ | $x^{2}+13 x+23$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+1357$ | $(x-22)(x-4)$ | 26 |
| $10^{1000}+2713$ | $x^{2}+16 x+16$ | 13 |
| $10^{1000}+4351$ | $(x-23)(x-8)$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+5733$ | $(x-16)(x-15)$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+7383$ | $(x-13)(x-6)$ | 19 |
| $10^{1000}+10401$ | $x^{2}+27 x+27$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+11979$ | $x^{2}+10 x+4$ | 19 |
| $10^{1000}+17557$ | $x^{2}+19 x+14$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+21567$ | $(x-27)(x-25)$ | 23 |
| $10^{1000}+22273$ | $(x-27)(x-24)$ | 22 |
| $10^{1000}+24493$ | $x^{2}+6 x+20$ | 23 |
| $10^{1000}+25947$ | $(x-21)(x-11)$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+27057$ | $x^{2}+23 x+24$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+29737$ | $(x-23)(x-17)$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+41599$ | $(x-18)(x-3)$ | 21 |
| $10^{1000}+43789$ | $x^{2}+8 x+13$ | 21 |
| $10^{1000}+46227$ | $(x-14)(x-9)$ | 23 |
| $10^{1000}+46339$ | $(x-18)(x-10)$ | 28 |
| $10^{1000}+52423$ | $(x-16)(x-15)$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+55831$ | $x^{2}+22 x+22$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+57867$ | $x^{2}+13 x+14$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+59743$ | $(x-22)(x-2)$ | 24 |
| $10^{1000}+61053$ | $x^{2}+8 x+18$ | 21 |
| $10^{1000}+61353$ | $(x-11)(x-10)$ | 21 |
| $10^{1000}+63729$ | $(x-12)(x-11)$ | 23 |
| $10^{1000}+64047$ | $(x-23)(x-4)$ | 27 |
| $10^{1000}+64749$ | $(x-19)(x-3)$ | 22 |
| $10^{1000}+68139$ | $x^{2}+4 x+23$ | 25 |
| $10^{1000}+68367$ | $(x-15)(x-9)$ | 24 |
| $10^{1000}+70897$ | $(x-25)(x-22)$ | 18 |
| $10^{1000}+72237$ | $(x-18)(x-15)$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+77611$ | $(x-25)(x-19)$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+78199$ | $(x-19)(x-14)$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+79237$ | $(x-19)(x-8)$ | 27 |
| $10^{1000}+79767$ | $(x-17)(x-8)$ | 25 |
| $10^{1000}+82767$ | $(x-27)(x-24)$ | 22 |
| $10^{1000}+93559$ | $(x-11)(x-9)$ | 20 |
| $10^{1000}+95107$ | $x^{2}+24 x+16$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+100003$ | $x^{2}+7 x+26$ | 22 |

$$
f_{20}=E_{8} \Delta=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \tau_{20}(n) q^{n}=q+456 q^{2}+50652 q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right)
$$

| $p$ | Similarity class of $\left(\frac{L / \mathbb{Q}}{p}\right)$ | $\tau_{20}(p) \bmod 29$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{1000}+453$ | $x^{2}+23 x+20$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+1357$ | $x^{2}+25 x+1$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+2713$ | $x^{2}+25 x+25$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+4351$ | $(x-25)(x-14)$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+5733$ | $x^{2}+27 x+3$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+7383$ | $x^{2}+28 x+7$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+10401$ | $(x-22)(x-15)$ | 8 |
| $10^{1000}+11979$ | $(x-9)(x-7)$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+17557$ | $x^{2}+28 x+8$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+21567$ | $(x-17)(x-7)$ | 24 |
| $10^{1000}+22273$ | $x^{2}+14 x+2$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+24493$ | $(x-18)(x-2)$ | 20 |
| $10^{1000}+25947$ | $x^{2}+2 x+28$ | 27 |
| $10^{1000}+27057$ | $(x-19)(x-10)$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+29737$ | $x^{2}+8 x+8$ | 21 |
| $10^{1000}+41599$ | $x^{2}+x+24$ | 28 |
| $10^{1000}+43789$ | $(x-13)(x-7)$ | 20 |
| $10^{1000}+46227$ | $(x-10)(x-6)$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+46339$ | $(x-22)(x-7)$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+52423$ | $x^{2}+15 x+3$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+55831$ | $(x-19)(x-11)$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+57867$ | $(x-11)(x-6)$ | 17 |
| $10^{1000}+59743$ | $(x-18)(x-6)$ | 24 |
| $10^{1000}+61053$ | $x^{2}+2 x+19$ | 27 |
| $10^{1000}+61353$ | $(x-28)(x-9)$ | 8 |
| $10^{1000}+63729$ | $x^{2}+16 x+25$ | 13 |
| $10^{1000}+64047$ | $x^{2}+5 x+13$ | 24 |
| $10^{1000}+64749$ | $x^{2}+15 x+28$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+68139$ | $(x-25)(x-24)$ | 20 |
| $10^{1000}+68367$ | $(x-22)(x-21)$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+70897$ | $(x-7)(x-4)$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+72237$ | $(x-27)(x-18)$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+77611$ | $(x-17)(x-4)$ | 21 |
| $10^{1000}+78199$ | $x^{2}+8 x+13$ | 21 |
| $10^{1000}+79237$ | $(x-17)(x-15)$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+79767$ | $(x-24)(x-16)$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+82767$ | $x^{2}+15 x+2$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+93559$ | $(x-23)(x-2)$ | 25 |
| $10^{1000}+95107$ | $x^{2}+5 x+25$ | 24 |
| $10^{1000}+100003$ | $x^{2}+13 x+14$ | 16 |

$$
f_{22}=E_{10} \Delta=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \tau_{22}(n) q^{n}=q-288 q^{2}-128844 q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right)
$$

|  | Similarity class of $\left(\frac{L / \mathbb{Q}}{p}\right)$ | $\tau_{22}(p) \bmod 29$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{1000}+453$ | $(x-17)(x-12)$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+1357$ | $x^{2}+8 x+1$ | 21 |
| $10^{1000}+2713$ | $(x-6)(x-5)$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+4351$ | $(x-20)(x-18)$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+5733$ | $(x-4)(x-3)$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+7383$ | $(x-17)(x-12)$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+10401$ | $x^{2}+4 x+12$ | 25 |
| $10^{1000}+11979$ | $(x-19)(x-3)$ | 22 |
| $10^{1000}+17557$ | $x^{2}+15 x+17$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+21567$ | $x^{2}+x+12$ | 28 |
| $10^{1000}+22273$ | $(x-28)(x-17)$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+24493$ | $(x-27)(x-14)$ | 12 |
| $10^{1000}+25947$ | $(x-18)(x-8)$ | 26 |
| $10^{1000}+27057$ | $x^{2}+9 x+1$ | 20 |
| $10^{1000}+29737$ | $(x-13)(x-8)$ | 21 |
| $10^{1000}+41599$ | $(x-10)(x-3)$ | 13 |
| $10^{1000}+43789$ | $(x-21)(x-11)$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+46227$ | $(x-20)(x-18)$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+46339$ | $(x-24)(x-6)$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+52423$ | $x^{2}+14 x+12$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+55831$ | $(x-16)(x-9)$ | 25 |
| $10^{1000}+57867$ | $(x-20)(x-11)$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+59743$ | $(x-4)(x-3)$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+61053$ | $x^{2}+11 x+12$ | 18 |
| $10^{1000}+61353$ | $(x-22)(x-4)$ | 26 |
| $10^{1000}+63729$ | $(x-1)^{2}$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+64047$ | $(x-21)(x-11)$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+64749$ | $(x-19)(x-3)$ | 22 |
| $10^{1000}+68139$ | $x^{2}+20 x+1$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+68367$ | $(x-18)(x-9)$ | 27 |
| $10^{1000}+70897$ | $(x-7)(x-4)$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+72237$ | $x^{2}+2 x+28$ | 27 |
| $10^{1000}+77611$ | $(x-15)(x-5)$ | 20 |
| $10^{1000}+78199$ | $(x-12)^{2}$ | 24 |
| $10^{1000}+79237$ | $x^{2}+24 x+1$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+79767$ | $(x-11)(x-8)$ | 19 |
| $10^{1000}+82767$ | $x^{2}+26 x+12$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+93559$ | $(x-20)(x-18)$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+95107$ | $x^{2}+8 x+1$ | 21 |
| $10^{1000}+100003$ | $(x-10)(x-7)$ | 17 |

$$
f_{26}=E_{14} \Delta=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \tau_{26}(n) q^{n}=q-48 q^{2}-195804 q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right)
$$

|  | Similarity class of $\left(\frac{L / \mathbb{Q}}{p}\right)$ | $\tau_{26}(p) \bmod 29$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{1000}+453$ | $(x-16)^{2}$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+1357$ | $x^{2}+24 x+1$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+2713$ | $x^{2}+27 x+20$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+4351$ | $x^{2}+8 x+26$ | 21 |
| $10^{1000}+5733$ | $x^{2}+14 x+18$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+7383$ | $(x-9)(x-5)$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+10401$ | $x^{2}+4 x+15$ | 25 |
| $10^{1000}+11979$ | $(x-15)^{2}$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+17557$ | $(x-16)(x-11)$ | 27 |
| $10^{1000}+21567$ | $(x-27)(x-20)$ | 18 |
| $10^{1000}+22273$ | $(x-27)(x-16)$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+24493$ | $x^{2}+9 x+16$ | 20 |
| $10^{1000}+25947$ | $x^{2}+20 x+28$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+27057$ | $(x-9)^{2}$ | 18 |
| $10^{1000}+29737$ | $(x-2)(x-1)$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+41599$ | $(x-25)(x-20)$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+43789$ | $(x-9)(x-1)$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+46227$ | $(x-21)(x-4)$ | 25 |
| $10^{1000}+46339$ | $(x-28)(x-24)$ | 23 |
| $10^{1000}+52423$ | $x^{2}+27 x+18$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+55831$ | $x^{2}+11 x+4$ | 18 |
| $10^{1000}+57867$ | $(x-23)(x-19)$ | 13 |
| $10^{1000}+59743$ | $x^{2}+16 x+27$ | 13 |
| $10^{1000}+61053$ | $x^{2}+8 x+8$ | 21 |
| $10^{1000}+61353$ | $(x-24)(x-1)$ | 25 |
| $10^{1000}+63729$ | $x^{2}+27 x+20$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+64047$ | $(x-25)(x-13)$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+64749$ | $(x-23)(x-5)$ | 28 |
| $10^{1000}+68139$ | $(x-18)(x-11)$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+68367$ | $(x-24)(x-11)$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+70897$ | $x^{2}+27 x+28$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+72237$ | $(x-28)(x-16)$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+77611$ | $x^{2}+4 x+21$ | 25 |
| $10^{1000}+78199$ | $(x-21)^{2}$ | 13 |
| $10^{1000}+79237$ | $(x-27)(x-2)$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+79767$ | $x^{2}+24 x+16$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+82767$ | $(x-13)(x-2)$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+93559$ | $(x-16)(x-8)$ | 24 |
| $10^{1000}+95107$ | $x^{2}+7 x+20$ | 22 |
| $10^{1000}+100003$ | $(x-26)(x-16)$ | 13 |

$\ell=31$

$$
f_{12}=\Delta=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \tau(n) q^{n}=q-24 q^{2}+252 q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right)
$$

| $p$ | Similarity class of $\left(\frac{L / \mathbb{Q}}{p}\right)$ | $\tau(p) \bmod 31$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{1000}+453$ | $(x-30)(x-20)$ | 19 |
| $10^{1000}+1357$ | $x^{2}+18 x+29$ | 13 |
| $10^{1000}+2713$ | $x^{2}+27 x+12$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+4351$ | $(x-4)^{2}$ | 8 |
| $10^{1000}+5733$ | $(x-21)(x-8)$ | 29 |
| $10^{1000}+7383$ | $(x-13)(x-11)$ | 24 |
| $10^{1000}+10401$ | $(x-22)(x-9)$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+11979$ | $(x-7)(x-4)$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+17557$ | $(x-27)^{2}$ | 23 |
| $10^{1000}+21567$ | $x^{2}+20 x+27$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+22273$ | $x^{2}+9 x+7$ | 22 |
| $10^{1000}+24493$ | $x^{2}+27 x+8$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+25947$ | $x^{2}+19 x+25$ | 12 |
| $10^{1000}+27057$ | $x^{2}+8 x+30$ | 23 |
| $10^{1000}+29737$ | $(x-17)(x-2)$ | 19 |
| $10^{1000}+41599$ | $x^{2}+x+2$ | 30 |
| $10^{1000}+43789$ | $(x-12)(x-4)$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+46227$ | $(x-13)(x-9)$ | 22 |
| $10^{1000}+46339$ | $x^{2}+28 x+30$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+52423$ | $(x-24)(x-6)$ | 30 |
| $10^{1000}+55831$ | $(x-30)(x-6)$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+57867$ | $(x-23)(x-7)$ | 30 |
| $10^{1000}+59743$ | $(x-26)(x-20)$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+61053$ | $x^{2}+10 x+10$ | 21 |
| $10^{1000}+61353$ | $(x-30)(x-17)$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+63729$ | $(x-20)(x-3)$ | 23 |
| $10^{1000}+64047$ | $x^{2}+2 x+26$ | 29 |
| $10^{1000}+64749$ | $x^{2}+13 x+6$ | 18 |
| $10^{1000}+68139$ | $x^{2}+21 x+26$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+68367$ | $x^{2}+22 x+22$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+70897$ | $x^{2}+8 x+25$ | 23 |
| $10^{1000}+72237$ | $(x-29)(x-5)$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+77611$ | $x^{2}+20 x+23$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+78199$ | $x^{2}+24 x+17$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+79237$ | $(x-21)(x-16)$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+79767$ | $(x-21)(x-11)$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+82767$ | $(x-8)^{2}$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+93559$ | $x^{2}+8 x+26$ | 23 |
| $10^{1000}+95107$ | $x^{2}+9 x+4$ | 22 |
| $10^{1000}+100003$ | $x^{2}+30 x+2$ | 1 |

$$
f_{18}=E_{6} \Delta=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \tau_{18}(n) q^{n}=q-528 q^{2}-4284 q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right)
$$

| $p$ | Similarity class of $\left(\frac{L / \mathbb{Q}}{p}\right)$ | $\tau_{18}(p) \bmod 31$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{1000}+453$ | $x^{2}+10 x+13$ | 21 |
| $10^{1000}+1357$ | $(x-25)(x-11)$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+2713$ | $x^{2}+x+24$ | 30 |
| $10^{1000}+4351$ | $(x-20)(x-19)$ | 8 |
| $10^{1000}+5733$ | $x^{2}+17 x+22$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+7383$ | $x^{2}+24 x+7$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+10401$ | $x^{2}+24 x+24$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+11979$ | $(x-13)^{2}$ | 26 |
| $10^{1000}+17557$ | $(x-22)(x-6)$ | 28 |
| $10^{1000}+21567$ | $(x-5)(x-3)$ | 8 |
| $10^{1000}+22273$ | $x^{2}+5 x+28$ | 26 |
| $10^{1000}+24493$ | $(x-22)(x-17)$ | 8 |
| $10^{1000}+25947$ | $x^{2}+25 x+25$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+27057$ | $(x-19)(x-13)$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+29737$ | $x^{2}+29 x+17$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+41599$ | $(x-7)(x-5)$ | 12 |
| $10^{1000}+43789$ | $x^{2}+10 x+12$ | 21 |
| $10^{1000}+46227$ | $(x-22)(x-10)$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+46339$ | $x^{2}+8 x+30$ | 23 |
| $10^{1000}+52423$ | $(x-17)(x-12)$ | 29 |
| $10^{1000}+55831$ | $x^{2}+9 x+25$ | 22 |
| $10^{1000}+57867$ | $x^{2}+25 x+6$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+59743$ | $(x-26)(x-18)$ | 13 |
| $10^{1000}+61053$ | $x^{2}+23 x+20$ | 8 |
| $10^{1000}+61353$ | $(x-16)(x-7)$ | 23 |
| $10^{1000}+63729$ | $x^{2}+21 x+27$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+64047$ | $x^{2}+20 x+26$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+64749$ | $(x-11)(x-9)$ | 20 |
| $10^{1000}+68139$ | $(x-30)(x-5)$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+68367$ | $(x-20)(x-15)$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+70897$ | $(x-30)(x-6)$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+72237$ | $(x-15)(x-9)$ | 24 |
| $10^{1000}+77611$ | $(x-17)(x-9)$ | 26 |
| $10^{1000}+78199$ | $(x-17)(x-8)$ | 25 |
| $10^{1000}+79237$ | $(x-27)(x-9)$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+79767$ | $x^{2}+2 x+19$ | 29 |
| $10^{1000}+82767$ | $(x-18)(x-14)$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+93559$ | $(x-15)(x-10)$ | 25 |
| $10^{1000}+95107$ | $(x-8)(x-2)$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+100003$ | $(x-2)^{2}$ | 4 |

$$
f_{20}=E_{8} \Delta=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \tau_{20}(n) q^{n}=q+456 q^{2}+50652 q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right)
$$

| $p$ | Similarity class of $\left(\frac{L / \mathbb{Q}}{p}\right)$ | $\tau_{20}(p) \bmod 31$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{1000}+453$ | $(x-21)(x-20)$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+1357$ | $x^{2}+29 x+15$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+2713$ | $(x-25)(x-3)$ | 28 |
| $10^{1000}+4351$ | $x^{2}+x+2$ | 30 |
| $10^{1000}+5733$ | $x^{2}+21 x+12$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+7383$ | $x^{2}+30 x+18$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+10401$ | $x^{2}+10 x+13$ | 21 |
| $10^{1000}+11979$ | $(x-5)(x-2)$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+17557$ | $(x-23)^{2}$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+21567$ | $(x-16)(x-15)$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+22273$ | $(x-8)(x-5)$ | 13 |
| $10^{1000}+24493$ | $(x-28)(x-9)$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+25947$ | $(x-9)(x-4)$ | 13 |
| $10^{1000}+27057$ | $(x-6)(x-5)$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+29737$ | $x^{2}+16 x+21$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+41599$ | $(x-27)^{2}$ | 23 |
| $10^{1000}+43789$ | $x^{2}+6 x+11$ | 25 |
| $10^{1000}+46227$ | $x^{2}+21 x+22$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+46339$ | $x^{2}+24 x+30$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+52423$ | $x^{2}+12 x+14$ | 19 |
| $10^{1000}+55831$ | $x^{2}+7 x+5$ | 24 |
| $10^{1000}+57867$ | $(x-28)(x-12)$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+59743$ | $(x-29)(x-20)$ | 18 |
| $10^{1000}+61053$ | $x^{2}+26 x+28$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+61353$ | $(x-29)(x-21)$ | 19 |
| $10^{1000}+63729$ | $x^{2}+29 x+15$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+64047$ | $x^{2}+16 x+6$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+64749$ | $(x-23)(x-20)$ | 12 |
| $10^{1000}+68139$ | $(x-11)(x-9)$ | 20 |
| $10^{1000}+68367$ | $x^{2}+24 x+24$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+70897$ | $x^{2}+7 x+5$ | 24 |
| $10^{1000}+72237$ | $(x-16)(x-6)$ | 22 |
| $10^{1000}+77611$ | $x^{2}+x+27$ | 30 |
| $10^{1000}+78199$ | $x^{2}+16 x+11$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+79237$ | $(x-17)(x-4)$ | 21 |
| $10^{1000}+79767$ | $x^{2}+23 x+20$ | 8 |
| $10^{1000}+82767$ | $(x-25)(x-18)$ | 12 |
| $10^{1000}+93559$ | $x^{2}+18 x+6$ | 13 |
| $10^{1000}+95107$ | $x^{2}+26 x+8$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+100003$ | $x^{2}+9 x+16$ | 22 |

$$
f_{22}=E_{10} \Delta=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \tau_{22}(n) q^{n}=q-288 q^{2}-128844 q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right)
$$

| $p$ | Similarity class of $\left(\frac{L / \mathbb{Q}}{p}\right)$ | $\tau_{22}(p) \bmod 31$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{1000}+453$ | $(x-27)(x-1)$ | 28 |
| $10^{1000}+1357$ | $(x-30)(x-2)$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+2713$ | $x^{2}+4 x+29$ | 27 |
| $10^{1000}+4351$ | $(x-22)(x-12)$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+5733$ | $x^{2}+28 x+15$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+7383$ | $x^{2}+12 x+2$ | 19 |
| $10^{1000}+10401$ | $(x-22)(x-14)$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+11979$ | $x^{2}+13 x+16$ | 18 |
| $10^{1000}+17557$ | $x^{2}+6 x+16$ | 25 |
| $10^{1000}+21567$ | $(x-27)(x-1)$ | 28 |
| $10^{1000}+22273$ | $(x-21)(x-12)$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+24493$ | $(x-22)(x-6)$ | 28 |
| $10^{1000}+25947$ | $x^{2}+23 x+1$ | 8 |
| $10^{1000}+27057$ | $(x-20)(x-17)$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+29737$ | $(x-11)(x-7)$ | 18 |
| $10^{1000}+41599$ | $(x-18)(x-7)$ | 25 |
| $10^{1000}+43789$ | $(x-17)(x-5)$ | 22 |
| $10^{1000}+46227$ | $x^{2}+16 x+27$ | 15 |
| $10^{1000}+46339$ | $(x-14)(x-11)$ | 25 |
| $10^{1000}+52423$ | $x^{2}+15 x+4$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+55831$ | $x^{2}+22 x+1$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+57867$ | $(x-20)(x-17)$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+59743$ | $x^{2}+25 x+27$ | 6 |
| $10^{1000}+61053$ | $(x-2)(x-1)$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+61353$ | $(x-16)^{2}$ | 1 |
| $10^{1000}+63729$ | $x^{2}+6 x+29$ | 25 |
| $10^{1000}+64047$ | $(x-24)(x-9)$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+64749$ | $x^{2}+5 x+30$ | 26 |
| $10^{1000}+68139$ | $(x-29)(x-16)$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+68367$ | $x^{2}+12 x+23$ | 19 |
| $10^{1000}+70897$ | $(x-13)(x-12)$ | 25 |
| $10^{1000}+72237$ | $(x-10)(x-6)$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+77611$ | $(x-17)(x-5)$ | 22 |
| $10^{1000}+78199$ | $x^{2}+17 x+23$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+79237$ | $(x-18)(x-12)$ | 30 |
| $10^{1000}+79767$ | $(x-25)(x-9)$ | 3 |
| $10^{1000}+82767$ | $(x-18)(x-7)$ | 25 |
| $10^{1000}+93559$ | $x^{2}+7 x+30$ | 24 |
| $10^{1000}+95107$ | $x^{2}+3 x+4$ | 28 |
| $10^{1000}+100003$ | $x^{2}+18 x+2$ | 13 |

$f_{24}=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \tau_{24}(n) q^{n}=q+24(22+\alpha) q^{2}+36(4731-32 \alpha) q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right), \quad \alpha=\frac{1+\sqrt{144169}}{2}$
Here I use slightly different notations: $f_{24}$ is the newform of level 1 and of lowest weight to have irrational coefficients, that is to say for which $K_{f} \neq \mathbb{Q}$. Indeed in this case $K_{f_{24}}=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{144169})$ is the quadratic field with integer ring $\mathbb{Z}_{K_{f_{24}}}=\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$, $\alpha=\frac{1+\sqrt{144169}}{2}$, and (prime) discriminant 144169. The prime 29 is inert in this field, so I could not compute the representation modulo 29 attached to this form; on the contrary, the prime 31 splits into $(31)=\mathfrak{l}_{5} \mathfrak{l}_{27}$, where $\mathfrak{l}_{5}=(31, \alpha-5)$ and $\mathfrak{l}_{27}=(31, \alpha-27)$. Instead of presenting the results for the Galois representations attached to $f_{24}$ modulo $\mathfrak{l}_{5}$ and $\mathfrak{l}_{27}$ separately, it is more interesting to present them together, since I can then compute the coefficients $\tau_{24}(p) \bmod 31 \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ by putting together the information coming from both representations and using Chinese remainders. This is what I do in the table below, where I denote by $L_{5}$ (respectively $L_{27}$ ) the number field cut out by the representation modulo $\mathfrak{l}_{5}$ (respectively $\mathfrak{l}_{27}$ ) attached to $f_{24}$.

| $p$ | Similarity class of $\left(\frac{L_{5} / \mathbb{Q}}{p}\right)$ | Similarity class of $\left(\frac{L_{27} / \mathbb{Q}}{p}\right)$ | $\tau_{24}(p) \bmod 31 \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{1000}+453$ | $x^{2}+26 x+21$ | $(x-20)(x-15)$ | $1+7 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+1357$ | $(x-18)(x-3)$ | $(x-25)(x-22)$ | $1+4 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+2713$ | $(x-24)(x-2)$ | $(x-29)(x-7)$ | $4+23 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+4351$ | $(x-17)(x-13)$ | $(x-11)(x-6)$ | $9+29 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+5733$ | $(x-19)(x-12)$ | $(x-15)(x-9)$ | $3+18 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+7383$ | $x^{2}+4 x+14$ | $(x-7)(x-2)$ | $17+2 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+10401$ | $(x-22)(x-5)$ | $x^{2}+24 x+17$ | $9+16 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+11979$ | $x^{2}+17 x+7$ | $x^{2}+19 x+7$ | $6+14 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+17557$ | $(x-26)(x-24)$ | $(x-17)(x-13)$ | $1+16 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+21567$ | $x^{2}+6 x+29$ | $x^{2}+2 x+29$ | $10+3 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+22273$ | $x^{2}+10 x+19$ | $(x-16)(x-7)$ | $29+17 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+24493$ | $(x-22)(x-12)$ | $(x-25)(x-18)$ | $8+30 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+25947$ | $(x-15)(x-12)$ | $(x-24)(x-23)$ | $14+15 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+27057$ | $x^{2}+10 x+30$ | $(x-26)(x-25)$ | $17+7 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+29737$ | $x^{2}+3 x+24$ | $x^{2}+13 x+24$ | $19+8 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+41599$ | $x^{2}+11 x+8$ | $x^{2}+27 x+8$ | $18+19 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+43789$ | $x^{2}+14 x+3$ | $x^{2}+7 x+3$ | $14+13 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+46227$ | $x^{2}+15 x+12$ | $x^{2}+4 x+12$ | $29+16 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+46339$ | $(x-24)(x-9)$ | $x^{2}+5 x+30$ | $5+18 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+52423$ | $(x-10)(x-1)$ | $x^{2}+16 x+10$ | $27+3 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+55831$ | $x^{2}+7 x+25$ | $(x-28)(x-2)$ | $17+20 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+57867$ | $x^{2}+12 x+6$ | $x^{2}+6 x+6$ | $12+20 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+59743$ | $x^{2}+16 x+12$ | $(x-21)(x-5)$ | $28+16 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+61053$ | $(x-18)(x-16)$ | $x^{2}+15 x+9$ | $24+2 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+61353$ | $(x-26)(x-13)$ | $x^{2}+30 x+28$ | $11+18 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+63729$ | $x^{2}+4 x+23$ | $(x-18)(x-3)$ | $3+11 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+64047$ | $(x-19)(x-3)$ | $(x-13)(x-2)$ | $25+18 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+64749$ | $(x-13)(x-10)$ | $(x-17)(x-4)$ | $15+14 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+68139$ | $x^{2}+2 x+26$ | $(x-19)(x-3)$ | $1+18 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+68367$ | $(x-22)(x-2)$ | $x^{2}+21 x+13$ | $30+5 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+70897$ | $x^{2}+8 x+25$ | $(x-26)^{2}$ | $15+14 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+72237$ | $(x-11)(x-2)$ | $(x-12)(x-7)$ | $6+20 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+77611$ | $x^{2}+5 x+15$ | $x^{2}+28 x+15$ | $27+6 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+78199$ | $(x-30)(x-28)$ | $(x-25)(x-15)$ | $17+2 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+79237$ | $x^{2}+10 x+26$ | $(x-27)(x-9)$ | $19+19 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+79767$ | $(x-15)(x-6)$ | $(x-7)(x-4)$ | $12+8 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+82767$ | $(x-13)(x-3)$ | $(x-24)(x-21)$ | $8+14 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+93559$ | $(x-15)(x-10)$ | $x^{2}+8 x+26$ | $17+14 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+95107$ | $(x-28)(x-20)$ | $(x-18)(x-7)$ | $18+6 \alpha$ |
| $10^{1000}+100003$ | $x^{2}+21 x+8$ | $(x-10)(x-7)$ | $7+13 \alpha$ |

$$
f_{26}=E_{14} \Delta=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \tau_{26}(n) q^{n}=q-48 q^{2}-195804 q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right)
$$

| $p$ | Similarity class of $\left(\frac{L / \mathbb{Q}}{p}\right)$ | $\tau_{26}(p) \bmod 31$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{1000}+453$ | $(x-3)(x-2)$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+1357$ | $(x-23)(x-4)$ | 27 |
| $10^{1000}+2713$ | $x^{2}+13 x+26$ | 18 |
| $10^{1000}+4351$ | $(x-13)(x-12)$ | 25 |
| $10^{1000}+5733$ | $(x-6)(x-1)$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+7383$ | $x^{2}+27 x+5$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+10401$ | $x^{2}+21 x+26$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+11979$ | $(x-27)(x-22)$ | 18 |
| $10^{1000}+17557$ | $(x-17)(x-11)$ | 28 |
| $10^{1000}+21567$ | $(x-27)(x-8)$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+22273$ | $x^{2}+2 x+5$ | 29 |
| $10^{1000}+24493$ | $(x-9)(x-7)$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+25947$ | $(x-20)(x-8)$ | 28 |
| $10^{1000}+27057$ | $(x-18)(x-12)$ | 30 |
| $10^{1000}+29737$ | $(x-25)(x-6)$ | 0 |
| $10^{1000}+41599$ | $x^{2}+23 x+1$ | 8 |
| $10^{1000}+43789$ | $x^{2}+8 x+26$ | 23 |
| $10^{1000}+46227$ | $x^{2}+10 x+26$ | 21 |
| $10^{1000}+46339$ | $x^{2}+22 x+30$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+52423$ | $(x-22)(x-11)$ | 2 |
| $10^{1000}+55831$ | $x^{2}+17 x+5$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+57867$ | $(x-28)(x-12)$ | 9 |
| $10^{1000}+59743$ | $x^{2}+x+26$ | 30 |
| $10^{1000}+61053$ | $(x-5)^{2}$ | 10 |
| $10^{1000}+61353$ | $x^{2}+2 x+5$ | 29 |
| $10^{1000}+63729$ | $(x-29)(x-16)$ | 14 |
| $10^{1000}+64047$ | $(x-3)(x-2)$ | 5 |
| $10^{1000}+64749$ | $(x-29)(x-18)$ | 16 |
| $10^{1000}+68139$ | $x^{2}+27 x+6$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+68367$ | $(x-6)(x-1)$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+70897$ | $x^{2}+24 x+5$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+72237$ | $(x-23)(x-7)$ | 30 |
| $10^{1000}+77611$ | $x^{2}+8 x+30$ | 23 |
| $10^{1000}+78199$ | $(x-30)(x-5)$ | 4 |
| $10^{1000}+79237$ | $(x-11)(x-9)$ | 20 |
| $10^{1000}+79767$ | $x^{2}+24 x+5$ | 7 |
| $10^{1000}+82767$ | $x^{2}+20 x+1$ | 11 |
| $10^{1000}+93559$ | $x^{2}+19 x+6$ | 12 |
| $10^{1000}+95107$ | $(x-29)(x-15)$ | 13 |
| $10^{1000}+100003$ | $(x-19)(x-18)$ | 6 |

## C. 2 Certifying the polynomials

The results presented above rely on the identification by continued fractions of rational numbers given in approximate form as floating-point numbers. In order to certify these results, it is thus necessary to make sure that the number fields cut out by the representations as well as the Galois action on them have been correctly identified.

For this, a first possibility consists in proving bounds on the height of the rational numbers the algorithm will have to identify, and then to certify that the continued fraction identification process is correct, for instance by running the computation with high enough precision in $\mathbb{C}$ and controlling the round-off errors all along. Although it is indeed possible to bound the height of the rational numbers which will have to be identified by using Arakelov theory (cf. [CE1], theorem 11.7.6]), this approach (especially the round-off error control in the linear algebra steps in K. Khuri-Makdisi's algorithms) seems ominously tedious, and I have not attempted to follow it.

I deemed it much better to first run the computations in order to obtain unproven results, and then to prove these results. I explain in this section how this can be done in the case of a newform $f$ of level $N=1$.

## C.2.1 Sanity checks

Before attempting to prove the results, it is comforting to perform a few easy checks so as to ensure that these results seem correct beyond reasonable doubt. Namely,

- By theorem A.3.3.3, the number field $L$ cut out by the Galois representation $\rho_{f, \mathrm{l}}$ attached to a newform $f \in S_{k}(1)$ is ramified only at $\ell$. Therefore, one can check that the discriminant of the polynomial $F(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ is of the form

$$
\pm \ell^{n} M^{2}
$$

for some $M \in \mathbb{Q}^{*}$. Better, one can compute the maximal order of the field $K=\mathbb{Q}[X] / F(X)$ whose Galois closure is $L$ and check that its discriminant is, up to sign, a power of $\ell$. Since a number field ramifies at the same primes as its Galois closure, this proves that the decomposition field $L$ of $F(X)$ is ramified only at $\ell$.

- Since Galois representations $\rho_{f, \mathfrak{r}}$ attached to modular forms are odd, the image of complex conjugation by these representations is an involutive matrix in $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$ of determinant -1 , hence similar to $\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right]$ if $\ell \geqslant 2$. This means that the polynomial $F(X)$ of degree $\ell^{2}-1$ computed by the algorithm should have exactly $\ell-1$ roots in $\mathbb{R}$, which can be checked numerically, and that the sign of its discriminant should be $(-1)^{\ell(\ell-1) / 2}$, which can be checked exactly.
- The fact that the resolvents $\Gamma_{C}(X)$ computed by the Dokchitsers' method seem to have integer (and not just complex) coefficients as expected hints that $\operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$ is indeed isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$, so that the number field $L$ is indeed a number field cut out by a Galois representation.
- The fact that the polynomials $F^{S}(X)$ computed by regrouping the roots of $F(X)$ along their $S$-orbits for the various subgroups $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*}$ considered during the polynomial reduction process (cf. section $\mathbb{B} .3 .52$ ) seem to have rational coefficients with common denominator dividing the one of $F(X)$ also hints that the coefficients of these polynomials have been correctly identified as rational numbers, that $\operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$ is indeed isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$, and that the Galois action on the root of $F(X)$ is the expected one.
- Finally, one can check that the values $a_{p} \bmod \mathfrak{l}$ obtained by the algorithm for a few small primes $p$ are correct, by comparing them with the ones computed by "classical" methods based on modular symbols (cf. example A.2.3.12).


## C.2.2 Proving the polynomials

I shall now present a method to formally prove that the polynomials computed by my algorithm define the number fields cut out by the corresponding Galois representations. It proceeds from the bottom up, in that it consists in first proving the correctness of the projective Galois representation $\rho_{f, l}^{\text {proj }}$, then the correctness of the quotient Galois representation $\rho_{f, l}^{S}$ where $S$ gradually shifts from the whole of $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{*}$ to the maximal subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{*}$ not containing -1 . In each case, I first prove that I am actually dealing with a Galois representation of the correct kind, which amounts to proving that the Galois group of the polynomial defining the representation is the correct one, and then I prove that the representation is the correct one, that is to say that it is modular and comes from the correct newform.

I shall assume that it has been checked that the polynomials $F^{\text {proj }}(X)$ and $F^{S}(X)$ computed by my algorithm and reduced as in section $\mathbb{B . 3 . 5 . 2}$ are irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$.

## C.2.2.1 Proving the projective representation

I begin with the projective Galois representation $\rho_{f, \mathrm{l}}^{\text {proj }}$, which ought to be defined by the monic polynomial $F^{\text {proj }}(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ of degree $\ell+1$ obtained by the polred algorithm (cf. section B.3.5.2). Recall that I denote its splitting field in $\mathbb{C}$ by $L^{\text {proj }}$.

## Proving the Galois group

The first thing to do is to make sure that this polynomial does define a projective Galois representation, by proving that $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\text {proj }} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is isomorphic to either $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ or $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$. Since I am dealing with forms of level $N=1$, hence of trivial nebentypus $\varepsilon$, and of even weight, the determinant of the associated mod $\mathfrak{l}$ Galois representations $\rho_{f, r}$ are odd powers of the cyclotomic character $\bmod \ell$, and so there are matrices with non-square determinant in the image of each of these representations, so that $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\text {proj }} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ should actually be the whole of $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$.

The roots $a_{x}, x \in \mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ of $F^{\mathrm{proj}}(X)$ in $\mathbb{C}$ computed by my algorithm are by construction indexed by $\mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{F}_{\ell}$. Consider the resolvent polynomial

$$
R_{4}^{\operatorname{proj}}(X)=\prod_{\substack{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4} \in \mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{F}_{\ell} \\ \text { pairwise distinct }}}\left(X-\left(\lambda_{1} a_{x_{1}}+\lambda_{2} a_{x_{2}}+\lambda_{3} a_{x_{3}}+\lambda_{4} a_{x_{4}}\right)\right) \in \mathbb{Z}[X],
$$

where $\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{4} \in \mathbb{Z}$ are fixed integer parameters chosen so that $R_{4}^{\text {proj }}(X)$ is squarefree.

Recall that the composed sum of two polynomials $f$ and $g \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ is

$$
f \oplus g=\prod_{f(\alpha)=g(\beta)=0}(X-(\alpha+\beta)),
$$

where the product runs over the roots $\alpha$ of $f$ and $\beta$ of $g$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ counted with multiplicity. As explained in [BFSS06] , it can be computed with quasilinear complexity as follows:

Define, for monic $f \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$, the exponential Newton sum generating series of $f$ by

$$
H(f)=\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\nu_{n}(f)}{n!} T^{n} \in \mathbb{Q}[[T]]
$$

where the $\nu_{n}(f)$ are the Newton sums of $f$,

$$
\nu_{n}(f)=\sum_{f(\alpha)=0} \alpha^{n},
$$

the sum running over the roots $\alpha$ of $f$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ counted with multiplicity. Then for $B \geqslant \operatorname{deg} f$, the conversion between $f$ and $H(f) \bmod T^{B}$ can be performed in $\widetilde{O}(B)$ bit operations, by using fast power series arithmetic and the formulae

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \nu_{n}(f) T^{n}=\sum_{f(\alpha)=0} \frac{1}{1-\alpha T}=\frac{\operatorname{rev}\left(f^{\prime}\right)}{\operatorname{rev}(f)}
$$

in the one way, and

$$
\operatorname{rev}(f)=\exp \left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu_{n}}{n} T^{n}\right)
$$

in the other way, where $\operatorname{rev}(f)=X^{\operatorname{deg} f} f(1 / X)$ denotes the reverse of a polynomial $f$. Since furthermore

$$
H(f \oplus g)=H(f) H(g)
$$

for any two polynomials $f$ and $g$ in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$, this yields a quasilinear method to symbolically compute composed sums, and hence the resolvent $R_{4}^{\text {proj }}(X)$.

Once I have computed the resolvent $R_{4}^{\mathrm{proj}}(X)$ symbolically, I compute numerically a complex approximation of the factor

$$
R_{x}(X)=\prod_{\substack{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4} \in \mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{F}_{e} \\ \text { pairise distinct } \\\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right]=x}}\left(X-\left(\lambda_{1} a_{x_{1}}+\lambda_{2} a_{x_{2}}+\lambda_{3} a_{x_{3}}+\lambda_{4} a_{x_{4}}\right)\right) \in \mathbb{C}[X]
$$

for each $x \in \mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{F}_{\ell}-\{\infty, 0,1\}$, where $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right]=\frac{x_{3}-x_{1}}{x_{3}-x_{2}} \frac{x_{4}-x_{2}}{x_{4}-x_{1}} \in \mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ denotes the cross-ratio (a.k.a. anharmonic ratio) of the $x_{i}$, and check that this approximation seems to lie in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$. I then check that the polynomials $R_{x}(X)$ all divide $R_{4}^{\text {proj }}(X)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$.

This proves that the action of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\text {proj }} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ on the ordered quadruplets of roots of $F^{\text {proj }}(X)$ preserves the cross-ratio, which implies that $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\text {proj }} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ acting on the roots $a_{x}, x \in \mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ of $F^{\text {proj }}(X)$ in the same way as $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ acts on $\mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{F}_{\ell}$.

## Correctness of the projective representation

Now that I have made sure that the Galois action on the roots of $F^{\text {proj }}(X)$ does define a projective representation

$$
\rho^{\text {proj }}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\text {proj }} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right),
$$

I prove that this representation is isomorphic to $\rho_{f, \mathrm{l}}^{\mathrm{proj}}$ as expected. For this, I use the following theorem from [Bos(07]:

Theorem C.2.2.1. Let $\pi: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ be an projective mod $\ell$ Galois representation. Let $H \subset \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ be the stabiliser of a point of $\mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{F}_{\ell}$, and let $K=\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\pi^{-1}(H)}$ be the corresponding number field. If the number field $L$ cut out by $\pi$ is not totally real and if there exists an integer $k$ such that

$$
\text { Disc } K= \pm \ell^{k+\ell-2}
$$

then there exists a newform $f \in S_{k}(1)$ and a prime $\mathfrak{l}$ of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ above $\ell$ such that

$$
\pi \sim \rho_{f, l}^{p r o j}
$$

Proof. This is [Bos07]. The idea is that the projective representation $\pi$ can be lifted to a linear representation

$$
\rho: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}\right)
$$

which, just like $\pi$, is irreducible and ramifies only at $\ell$. Furthermore, the image of the complex conjugation (corresponding to some embedding of $L$ into $\mathbb{C}$ ) by $\rho$ has order at most 2, so is similar to either $\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}-1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right]$ or $\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right]$, but the first two are impossible since they reduce to the identity in $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ and $L$ is not totally real, which proves that $\rho$ is odd. Serre's conjecture A.3.4.10 then applies and shows that $\rho \sim \rho_{f, \mathfrak{l}}$ for some newform $f \in S_{k_{\rho}}\left(N_{\rho}, \varepsilon_{\rho}\right)$ and some prime $\mathfrak{l}$ of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ above $\ell$. Then $N_{\rho}=1$ by example A.3.4.3, so that $\varepsilon_{\rho}$ is trivial. Next, if the lift $\rho$ is chosen so that $k_{\rho}$ is minimal, then [MT03, theorem 3] gives a formula for the $\ell$-adic valuation of the discriminant of the Galois number field cut out by $\rho$, which by J. Bosman's work boils down to

$$
\operatorname{Disc} K= \pm \ell^{k_{\rho}+\ell-2}
$$

Thus, in order to prove that $\rho^{\text {proj }} \sim \rho_{f, \mathfrak{l}}^{\text {proj }}$, all I have to do is check that not all the roots of $F^{\text {proj }}(X)$ are real, which can be done by using Sturm's method (cf. [Lan02, chapter XI, theorem 2.7]), and that the discriminant of the rupture field $K^{\mathrm{proj}}=\mathbb{Q}[X] / F^{\mathrm{proj}}(X)$ is $\pm \ell^{k+\ell-2}$, which is a piece of cake for Pari/GP].

Except in the case $\ell=31, k=24$, this concludes in all the cases I have computed since $\operatorname{dim} S_{k}(1)=1$ so that there is only one possibility for $f$, and its coefficients are rational so that the choice of $\mathfrak{l}$ does not matter. In the special case $\ell=31, k=24$, I still know that $\rho^{\text {proj }}$ is equivalent to either $\rho_{f_{24}, \mathrm{I}_{5}}^{\text {proj }}$ or its conjugate $\rho_{f_{24}, \mathrm{I}_{27}}^{\text {pro }}$. I order to tell which, I pick a small prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$ which does not divide $\operatorname{Disc} F^{\text {proj }}(X)$ (in particular $p \neq \ell$ ), and such that $\tau_{24}(p) \equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{l}_{5}$ but $\tau_{24}(p) \not \equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{l}_{27}$ (the opposite would
do too). Since an element of $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ is of order 2 if and only if it has trace 0 , looking at the factorisation of $F^{\text {proj }}(X) \bmod p$ allows me to tell $\mathfrak{l}_{5}$ and $\mathfrak{l}_{27}$ apart: if $F^{\text {proj }}(X)$ splits into linear and quadratic factors in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[X]$, then it is associated to $\rho_{f_{24}, l_{5}}^{\mathrm{proj}}$, else it is associated to $\rho_{f_{24}, \mathrm{l}_{27}}^{\mathrm{proj}}$.

In particular, this implies that the Galois $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{0} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ (whereas I had only proved that it was isomorphic to a transitive subgroup thereof until now).

## C.2.2.2 Proof of the polynomial $F^{S}(X)$

I now move on to the polynomial $F^{S}(X)$ defining the quotient representation. Write $\ell-1=2^{r} m$ with $m$ odd, and recall from section B.3.5.2 that I considered the filtration

$$
\mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*}=S_{0} \underset{2}{\supset} S_{1} \underset{2}{\supset} \cdots \underset{2}{\supset} S_{r}=S
$$

with $\left[S_{i}: S_{i+1}\right]=2$ for all $i$, so that

$$
S_{i}=\operatorname{Im}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*} \\
x & \longmapsto & x^{2^{i}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and I computed polynomials $F_{i}(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that the Galois action on the roots of $F_{i}(X)$ is supposed to yield the quotient Galois representation

$$
\rho_{f, \mathrm{l}}^{S_{i}}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\rho_{f, \mathrm{l}}} \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / S_{i} .
$$

Let $K_{i}=\mathbb{Q}[X] / F_{i}(X)$ be the rupture field of $F_{i}(X)$, and $L_{i}$ be its Galois closure, which is thus supposed to be the number field cut out by $\rho^{S_{i}}$. I have just proved above that it is the case for $i=0$.

For each $i<r$, the extension $K_{i+1} / K_{i}$ is quadratic by construction, generated by the square root of some primitive element $\delta_{i}$ of $K_{i}$, so that the fields $K_{i}$ fit in an extension tower


Let $\ell^{*}=(-1)^{(\ell-1) / 2} \ell$, so that $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\ell^{*}}\right)$ is the unique quadratic number field which ramifies only at $\ell$, and consider the following assertions:
(A1) The polynomials $F_{i}(X)$ are irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$, and their decomposition fields $L_{i}$ ramify only at $\ell$.
(A2) For each $i$, let $\Delta_{i}(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be the monic minimal polynomial of $\delta_{i}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, and let

$$
Q_{i}(X)=\frac{\operatorname{Res}_{Y}\left(\Delta_{i}(Y), \Delta_{i}(X Y)\right)}{(X-1)^{2^{i}(\ell+1)}} \in \mathbb{Z}[X]
$$

Then $Q_{i}(X)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$ and even over $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\ell^{*}}\right)$, but $Q_{i}\left(X^{2}\right)$ splits into two factors of equal degrees over $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\ell^{*}}\right)$.

These assertions can be proved easily with Pari/GP]. For (A1), it suffices to check that the discriminant of the rupture field $K_{i}$ of $F_{i}(X)$ is of the form $\pm \ell^{n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For (A2), note that if $f=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(X-\alpha_{i}\right)$, then

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{Y}\left(\Delta_{i}(Y), \Delta_{i}(X Y)\right)=(-1)^{n} f(0) \prod_{i, j}\left(X-\frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{j}}\right)
$$

so that

$$
\frac{\operatorname{Res}_{Y}\left(\Delta_{i}(Y), \Delta_{i}(X Y)\right)}{(X-1)^{n}}=(-1)^{n} f(0) \prod_{i \neq j}\left(X-\frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{j}}\right)
$$

is indeed a polynomial.
I shall now prove that if these assertions hold, then for all $i \leqslant r, L_{i}$ is the number field cut out by $\rho_{f, l}^{S_{i}}$.

To begin with, I shall prove that $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{i} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / S_{i}$ for all $i$. Since $K_{i+1}=K_{i}\left(\sqrt{\delta_{i}}\right)$, one has

$$
L_{i+1}=L_{i}\left(\sqrt{\delta_{i}^{\sigma}}, \sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{i} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\right)
$$

I first claim that actually $L_{i+1}=L_{i}\left(\sqrt{\delta_{i}}\right)$, that is to say that $\frac{\delta_{i}^{\sigma}}{\delta_{i}}$ is a square in $L_{i}$ for all $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{i} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$. To see this, note that since $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ has a quotient $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / \mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ of order 2 , the field $L_{i} \supset L_{0}$ has a quadratic subfield, which can only be $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\ell^{*}}\right)$ since $L_{i}$ ramifies only at $\ell$ by (A1). Consider the extension diagram


Assume for now that the extensions marked with a 2 are indeed quadratic and not trivial. If $L_{i}$ were the number field cut out by $\rho_{f, \mathrm{l}}^{S_{i}}$, then the corresponding Galois subgroup diagram would be

and since the group

$$
\left\{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
s & 0 \\
0 & s^{\prime}
\end{array}\right] / S_{i}, s, s^{\prime} \in S_{i}\right\} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*} / S_{i}
$$

is cyclic, it has only one subgroup of index 2 , so that these two quadratic extensions should agree.

Now, back to the proof, letting $n=2^{i}(\ell+1)=\left[K_{i}: \mathbb{Q}\right]$, then

$$
\left[\mathbb{Q}\left(\delta_{i}, \delta_{i}^{\sigma}\right): \mathbb{Q}\right]=\left[\mathbb{Q}\left(\delta_{i}, \delta_{i}^{\sigma}\right): \mathbb{Q}\left(\delta_{i}\right)\right]\left[\mathbb{Q}\left(\delta_{i}\right): \mathbb{Q}\right] \leqslant(n-1) n
$$

whereas

$$
\left[\mathbb{Q}\left(\frac{\delta_{i}^{\sigma}}{\delta_{i}}\right): \mathbb{Q}\right]=\operatorname{deg} Q_{i}(X)=(n-1) n
$$

since $Q_{i}(X)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$ by (A2), so that $\mathbb{Q}\left(\delta_{i}, \delta_{i}^{\sigma}\right)=\mathbb{Q}\left(\frac{\delta_{i}^{\sigma}}{\delta_{i}}\right)$. Furthermore, the extension $\mathbb{Q}\left(\frac{\delta_{i}^{\sigma}}{\delta_{i}}, \sqrt{\ell^{*}}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\left(\frac{\delta_{i}^{\sigma}}{\delta_{i}}\right)$ is not trivial since $Q_{i}(X)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\ell^{*}}\right)$ by (A2). I may also assume that the extension $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\delta_{i}^{\sigma}}{\delta_{i}}}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\left(\frac{\delta_{i}^{\sigma}}{\delta_{i}}\right)$ is not trivial, since the proof that $\sqrt{\frac{\delta_{i}^{\sigma}}{\delta_{i}}} \in L_{i}$ is over if it is. The two extensions marked with a 2 in the extension tower above are thus non-trivial, hence quadratic, so that one has the extension diagram

where the labels denote the degrees. By looking at the bottom parallelogram, one sees that $z=n(n-1)$, so that $x=y$ by looking at the top parallelogram. Now since $Q_{i}\left(X^{2}\right)$ splits into two factors of degrees $n(n-1)$ over $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\ell^{*}}\right)$ by (A2), one has

$$
\left[\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\delta_{i}^{\sigma}}{\delta_{i}}}, \sqrt{\ell^{*}}\right): \mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\ell^{*}}\right)\right]=n(n-1),
$$

so that $y=1$, whence $x=1$. Therefore

$$
\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\delta_{i}^{\sigma}}{\delta_{i}}}\right)=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\delta_{i}^{\sigma}}{\delta_{i}}}, \sqrt{\ell^{*}}\right)=\mathbb{Q}\left(\frac{\delta_{i}^{\sigma}}{\delta_{i}}, \sqrt{\ell^{*}}\right) \subset L_{i},
$$

so that $\sqrt{\frac{\delta_{i}^{\sigma}}{\delta_{i}}} \in L_{i}$ as I claimed.

As a consequence, $L_{i+1}=L_{i}\left(\sqrt{\delta_{i}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{i+1} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is an extension of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{i} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ by $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$, which is necessarily central since $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})$ is trivial.

Recall now that given a group $G$ and a $G$-module $M$, the extensions of $G$ by $M$ such that the conjugation action of lifts of elements of $G$ on $M$ corresponds to the $G$-module structure on $M$ are classified by the cohomology group $H^{2}(G, M)$, the class of the cocycle $\beta: G \times G \longrightarrow M$ corresponding to the set $M \times G$ endowed with the group law

$$
(m, g) \cdot\left(m^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)=\left(m+g \cdot m^{\prime}+\beta\left(g, g^{\prime}\right), g g^{\prime}\right)
$$

Example C.2.2.2. Consider an extension

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \widetilde{G} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1
$$

of a group $G$ by $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. The $G$-action on $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ is necessarily trivial since $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})$ is trivial, so this extension is necessarily central. Let $\beta: G \times G \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ be a cocycle representing the corresponding cohomology class, and let $g \in G$ be an element of $G$ of order 2 . Then the lifts of $g$ in $\widetilde{G}$ are the $(x, g), x \in \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$, and one computes that

$$
(x, g) \cdot(x, g)=\left(x+x+\beta(g, g), g^{2}\right)=(\beta(g, g), 1)
$$

in $\widetilde{G}$. Therefore, the lifts of $g$ have order 2 if $\beta(g, g)=0$, but have order 4 if $\beta(g, g)=1$.

Furthermore (cf. [Kar87, theorem 2.1.19]), if $M$ is a trivial $G$-module, there is a split exact sequence of abelian groups

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}\left(G^{\mathrm{ab}}, M\right) \stackrel{\phi}{\longleftrightarrow} H^{2}(G, M) \stackrel{\psi}{\rightleftarrows} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\widehat{M}, H^{2}\left(G, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)\right) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{С.2.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}\left(G^{\mathrm{ab}}, M\right)$ classifies the abelian extensions of the abelianised $G^{\mathrm{ab}}$ of $G$ by $M, \widehat{M}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(M, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$ is the group of complex-valued characters on $M, H^{2}\left(G, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$ (with trivial $G$-action on $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ ) is the so-called Schur multiplier of $G$, and $\psi$ maps the class of the cocycle $\beta \in H^{2}(G, M)$ to the transgression map (not to be confused with a trace)

$$
\begin{array}{rlc}
\operatorname{Tra}_{\beta}: \widehat{M} & \longrightarrow & H^{2}\left(G, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right) \\
\chi & \longmapsto & \chi \circ \beta
\end{array}
$$

associated to the class of $\beta$. Besides, the Schur multiplier $H^{2}\left(G, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$ is trivial if $G$ is cyclic (cf. [Kar87, proposition 2.1.1.(ii)]), and for each central extension $\widetilde{G}$ of $G$ by $M$, the subgroup $M \cap D \widetilde{G}$ of $\widetilde{G}$ is isomorphic to the image of $\operatorname{Tra}_{\beta}$, where $\beta \in H^{2}(G, M)$ is the cohomology class corresponding to $\widetilde{G}$, and $D \widetilde{G}$ denotes the commutator subgroup of $\widetilde{G}$ (cf. [Kar87, proposition 2.1.7]).

Applying this to the group $G=\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ and the trivial $G$-module $M=\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}$ yields the following result (cf. Que95]):

Theorem C.2.2.4. Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
(i) $H^{2}\left(\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$, so that there are four central extensions of $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ by $\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}$.
(ii) These extensions are

- the trivial extension $\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$, corresponding to the trivial cohomology class $\beta_{0} \in H^{2}\left(\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right)$,
- the group $2_{\operatorname{det}}^{i} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$, whose associated cohomology class $\beta_{\text {det }} \in H^{2}\left(\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ is the inflation of the non-trivial element of

$$
H^{2}\left(\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}, \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}
$$

(in other words, $\beta_{\mathrm{det}}\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)$ is non-zero if and only if neither $g$ nor $g^{\prime}$ lie in $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ ),

- the group $2_{-}^{i} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$, with associated cohomology class $\beta_{-} \in H^{2}\left(\operatorname{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right)$, defined for $i=1$ as

$$
2 \_\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)=\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) \sqcup\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sqrt{\varepsilon} & 0 \\
0 & 1 / \sqrt{\varepsilon}
\end{array}\right] \mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) \subset \mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^{2}}\right)
$$

where $\varepsilon$ denotes a generator of $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*}$, and which $i \geqslant 2$ corresponds the image of the cohomology class of $2_{-} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ by the map

$$
H^{2}\left(\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}\right) \longrightarrow H^{2}\left(\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

induced by the embedding of $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ into $\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}$,

- and the group $2_{+}^{i} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$, whose associated cohomology class $\beta_{+}$is the sum in $H^{2}\left(\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ of $\beta_{\text {det }}$ and of $\beta_{-}$.
(iii) Let $g \in \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ be an element of order 2 , and let $\beta_{0}$, $\beta_{\text {det }}$, $\beta_{-}$and $\beta_{+}$be normalised cocycles (that is to say $\beta(1, h)=\beta(h, 1)=0$ for all $h \in \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ ) representing the cohomology classes of these four extensions. If $i=1$, then their value at $(g, g)$ does not depend on the choice of these cocycles, and are
- $\beta_{0}(g, g)=0 \forall g$,
- $\beta_{\text {det }}(g, g)= \begin{cases}0, & g \in \operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), \\ 1, & g \notin \operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right),\end{cases}$
- $\beta_{-}(g, g)=1 \forall g$,
- $\beta_{+}(g, g)= \begin{cases}1, & g \in \operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), \\ 0, & g \notin \operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) .\end{cases}$
(iv) For $i \geqslant 2$, the abelianisations of these extensions are
- $\left(\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$,
- $\left(2_{\text {det }}^{i} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i+1} \mathbb{Z}$,
- $\left(2_{-}^{i} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i-1} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$,
- $\left(2_{+}^{i} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. I shall only give the idea of the proof here, and refer the reader to Que95, proposition 2.4 and lemma 3.2].
(i) On the one hand, the abelianised of $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ is $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / \mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$, so that

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}\left(\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}, \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}
$$

On the other hand, the Schur multiplier $H^{2}\left(\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ (cf. [Que95, proposition 2.3]). The result then follows from the split exact sequence (C.2.2.3).
(ii) Consider again the exact sequence (C.2.2.3]). Then $\beta_{\text {det }}$ lies in the image of $\phi$ since it is inflated from $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}$. On the other hand, for $i=1, \beta_{-}$does not lie in $\operatorname{Im} \phi$, for if it did, then the associated transgression map would be trivial, so that the commutator subgroup of $2_{-} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ would meet the kernel $\pm\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$ of the extension trivially, which is clearly not the case since $\left[\begin{array}{cc}-1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right]$ is a commutator in $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) \subset 2 \_\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$. For $i \geqslant 2$, the commutative diagram

shows that $\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}$ still intersects the commutator subgroup of $2_{-}^{i} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ nontrivially, so that $\beta_{-}$does not lie in $\operatorname{Im} \phi$ either. The extensions $2_{\text {det }}^{i} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ and $2_{-}^{i} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ thus represent different non-trivial cohomology classes in $H^{2}\left(\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$, hence the result.
(iii) It is a general fact (cf. [Que95, lemma 3.1] that the image at $(g, g)$ of a normalised cocycle representing an extension of a group $G$ by $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ only depends on the cohomology class of this cocycle in $H^{2}(G, \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})$.

- The case of the trivial extension is obvious since the zero cohomology class is represented by the zero cocycle.
- The case of $\beta_{\text {det }}$ follows from its very definition.
- Since it is a subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^{2}}\right)$, the group $2_{-} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ has only one element of order 2, namely the central element $\left[\begin{array}{cc}-1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right]$. In particular, no element $g \in \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ of order 2 remains of order 2 when lifted to $2_{-} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$, and the result follows by example C.2.2.2].
- The case of $\beta_{+}$follows since one may take $\beta_{+}=\beta_{\text {det }}+\beta_{-}$.
(iv) Again, the case of the trivial extension is clear. In the other cases, the result follows from the fact that the intersection of $\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}$ with the commutator subgroup of the extension is isomorphic to the image of transgression map

$$
\operatorname{Tra}_{\beta}: \widehat{\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow H^{2}\left(\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), \mathbb{C}^{*}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}
$$

which is trivial in the case of $\beta_{\text {det }}$ and non-trivial in the case of $\beta_{-}$and $\beta_{+}$.

I shall now prove that $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / S_{r}$. I first deal with the first extension $L_{1} / L_{0}$ in the quadratic tower $L_{r} / \cdots / L_{0}$. The Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{1} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is a (necessarily central) extension of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{0} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$, which is isomorphic by $\rho_{f, \mathrm{l}}^{\mathrm{proj}}$ to $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ since $L_{0}$ is the number field cut out by $\rho_{f, \mathrm{l}}$. Let $\beta$ be a normalised cocycle representing the cohomology class corresponding to this extension. According to theorem $\mathbb{C} .2 .2 .4(\mathrm{ii}), \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{1} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is isomorphic either to $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$, $2_{\text {det }} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), 2_{-} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ or $2_{+} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$, and $\beta$ is correspondingly cohomologous to $\beta_{0}, \beta_{\mathrm{det}}, \beta_{-}$or $\beta_{+}$.

If $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{1} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ were the trivial extension $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$, then $L_{1}$ would have a subextension $L_{1}^{\text {ab }}$ with Galois group isomorphic to

$$
\left(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}
$$

and hence three distinct quadratic subfields, which is impossible since $L_{1}$ is ramified only at $\ell$ by (A1), yet there is only one quadratic number field which ramifies only at $\ell$, namely $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\ell^{*}}\right)$.

Let now $\tau_{1} \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{1} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ be the complex conjugation relative to some embedding of $L_{1}$ into $\mathbb{C}$. It induces an element $\tau_{0} \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{0} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ which is not the identity, since its image by $\rho_{f, \mathrm{l}}^{\text {proj }}$ is conjugate to $g=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right] \in \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$. In particular, $\tau_{1}$ is not trivial either, so it has order 2. Therefore $\tau_{0}$ has a lift to $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{1} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ of order 2 , so that $\beta(g, g)=0$ by example C.2.2.2. Theorem C.2.2.4(iii) then only leaves one possibility: if $\ell \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, then $g \in \operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$, so that $\beta$ cannot be cohomologous to $\beta_{-}$nor to $\beta_{+}$, so $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{1} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is isomorphic to $2_{\operatorname{det}} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$, whereas if $\ell \equiv-1 \bmod 4$, then $g \notin \operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$, so that $\beta$ cannot be cohomologous to $\beta_{-}$nor to $\beta_{\text {det }}$, so $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{1} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is isomorphic to $2_{+} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$.

Now let $L_{1}^{\prime}$ be the number field cut out by $\rho_{f, l}^{S_{1}}$, which is supposed to be isomorphic to $L_{1}$. Then $L_{1}^{\prime}$ is also a quadratic extension of $L_{0}$ and is also only ramified at $\ell$, so that the same reasoning applies and shows that $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{1}^{\prime} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is isomorphic to $2_{\text {det }} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ if $\ell \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ and to $2_{+} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ if $\ell \equiv 1 \bmod 4$. On the other hand, it is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Im} \rho_{f, l}^{S_{1}} \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / S_{1}$ since the determinant of $\rho_{f, l}$ is an odd power of the $\bmod \ell$ cyclotomic character, so that in each case

$$
\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{1} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{1}^{\prime} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \simeq \operatorname{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / S_{1} .
$$

If $\ell \equiv-1 \bmod 4$, then $r=1$, so that the proof that $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / S_{r}$ is over. I shall therefore concentrate on the case $\ell \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ from now on. I shall first prove by induction on $i$ that $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{i} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is an extension of $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ by $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*} / S_{i} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}$. Note that I have just proved above that this is the case for $i=1$.

Let $1 \leqslant i<r$. By induction hypothesis, there is a commutative diagram

whose middle row and right column are exact. A diagram chase then reveals that the top row and the diagonal short sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow q^{-1}\left(\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right) \xrightarrow{\iota} \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{i+1} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \xrightarrow{p \circ q} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) \longrightarrow 1
$$

are exact, so that $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{i+1} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is an extension of $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ by $q^{-1}\left(\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right)$, which itself is an extension of $\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}$ by $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$, which is necessarily central since $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})$ is trivial.

Now $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)=\{0\}$ since $\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}$ is cyclic, so the extensions of of $\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}$ by $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ are all abelian by the exact sequence (C.2.2.3), so that $q^{-1}\left(\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right)=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{i+1} / L_{0}\right)$ is isomorphic either to $\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i+1} \mathbb{Z}$ or to $\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. I shall now prove that the latter is impossible.

Since $\ell \equiv 1 \bmod 4, S_{1}^{2}=\mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{* 4}$ is a strict subgroup of $S_{1}=\mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{* 2}$. The determinant induces a surjective morphism

$$
\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{1} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\rho_{f, \mathrm{I}}^{S_{1}}} \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / S_{1} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{det}} \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*} / S_{1}^{2}=\mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*} / \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{* 4} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z},
$$

so that $L_{1}$ has a quartic subfield. This subfield is abelian, hence is a subfield of the cyclotomic extension $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{\infty}\right)$, and ramifies only at $\ell$ since $L_{1}$ ramifies only at $\ell$ by (A1), so is a subfield of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{\ell}\right)$. Since

$$
\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{\ell \infty}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}^{*}=\mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*} \times\left(1+\ell \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} /(\ell-1) \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}
$$

has only one quotient isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ (it does exist since $\ell \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ ), this quartic subfield is unique, and I shall denote a primitive element of it by $\lambda$. This $\lambda$ thus lies in $L_{1}$, but it cannot lie in $L_{0}$ since the maximal abelian subextension of $L_{0}$ has Galois group $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ (and hence is $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\ell^{*}}\right)$ ). Since $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ is a quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\ell^{*}}\right) \subset L_{0}$ and $L_{1}$ is a quadratic extension of $L_{0}$, one has $L_{1}=L_{0}(\lambda)$. Now if $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{i+1} / L_{0}\right)$ were isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$, then, letting $E=L_{i+1}^{\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z} \times\{0\}}$, one would have the extension tower


The extensions $E / L_{0}$ and $L_{1} / L_{0}$ are both quadratic subextensions of $L_{i+1} / L_{0}$, but they are distinct since they correspond respectively to the distinct subgroups $\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z} \times\{0\}$ and $2 \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{i+1} / L_{0}\right)=\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, the field $E$ is a quadratic extension of $L_{0}$ which is ramified only at $\ell$ since $L_{i+1}$ is by (A1), so the same reasoning as above shows that its Galois group is $\operatorname{Gal}(E / \mathbb{Q}) \simeq 2_{\mathrm{det}} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / S_{1}$ since $\ell \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, so that it has a quartic subfield, which can only be $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$. But then $E \supseteq L_{0}(\lambda)=L_{1}$, hence $E=L_{1}$ since they are both quadratic extensions of $L_{0}$, a contradiction. This shows that $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{i+1} / L_{0}\right)$ cannot be isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$, so must be isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i+1} \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{i+1} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is an extension of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{0} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \simeq \operatorname{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ by $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{i+1} / L_{0}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i+1} \mathbb{Z}$, and the induction is complete.

I shall now prove by induction on $i$ that this extension is central. Note that it is true for $i=1$, since every extension by $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ is central since $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})$ is trivial. Let $i \geqslant 2$, and assume on the contrary that the extension

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{i} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) \longrightarrow 1
$$

is not central. Since $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right) \simeq\left(\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*}$ is abelian, the morphism $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ expressing the conjugation action of $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ on $\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}$ factors through $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}=\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / \mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$, so that $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ acts trivially whereas there exists an involution $\phi$ of $\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}$ such that $g \cdot x=\phi(x)$ for all $g \notin \mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ and $x \in \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}$. By induction hypothesis, this involution induces the identity on $\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i-1} \mathbb{Z}$, so it must be $x \mapsto\left(1+2^{i-1}\right) x$.

There is thus only one possible non-trivial action of $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$. In order to compute $H^{2}\left(\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ for this non-trivial action, I use the inflation-restriction exact sequence:

Lemma C.2.2.5. Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$, let $G$ be a group, let $H \triangleleft G$ be a normal subgroup of $G$, and let $M$ be a $G$-module. If $H^{j}(H, A)=0$ for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant q-1$, then the sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow H^{q}\left(G / H, M^{H}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { Infl }} H^{q}(G, M) \xrightarrow{\text { Res }} H^{q}(H, M)
$$

is exact.
For a proof, see for instance [Ser62, chapter VII $\S 6$ proposition 5]. As $\operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ acts trivially, one has

$$
H^{1}\left(\mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right)=0
$$

since $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ is simple, so that lemma $C .2 .2 .5$ applies and yields the exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow H^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { Inf }} H^{2}\left(\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { Res }} H^{2}\left(\mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right) \tag{C.2.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the one hand, since $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}=\{1, \varepsilon\}$ is cyclic, the groups $H^{q}(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}, M)$ are the cohomology groups of the complex

$$
0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\varepsilon-1} M \xrightarrow{\varepsilon+1} M \xrightarrow{\varepsilon-1} M \xrightarrow{\varepsilon+1} \cdots
$$

for any $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-module $M$ (cf. [Lan02, chapter XX exercise 16]). In particular,

$$
H^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{Ker}(\varepsilon-1)}{\operatorname{Im}(\varepsilon+1)}=\frac{\left(\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right)\left[2^{i-1}\right]}{\left(2+2^{i-1}\right)\left(\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right)} \simeq \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}, & i=2 \\ 0, & i \geqslant 3\end{cases}
$$

One the other hand, as $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ acts trivially, the group $H^{2}\left(\mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ can be computed by using the split exact sequence (C.2.2.3). As $\operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}=\{1\}$ since $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ is simple, and as the Schur multiplier is

$$
H^{2}\left(\mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), \mathbb{C}^{*}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}
$$

(Steinberg, cf. [Kar87, theorem 7.1.1.(ii)]), it results that

$$
H^{2}\left(\mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}
$$

Let $2^{i} \mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ denote the non-trivial extension. One has

$$
2 \mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) \simeq \mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)
$$

and the non-trivial element of $H^{2}\left(\mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ is the image of the non-trivial element $\gamma_{\mathrm{SL}_{2}} \in H^{2}\left(\mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}\right)$ corresponding to $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ by the map

$$
H^{2}\left(\mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}\right) \longrightarrow H^{2}\left(\mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

induced by the embedding of $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ into $\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}$.
Consider the inflation-restriction exact sequence (C.2.2.6), and let

$$
\beta \in H^{2}\left(\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

be the cohomology class corresponding to the extension

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{i} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) \longrightarrow 1
$$

If $\gamma=\operatorname{Res} \beta \in H^{2}\left(\operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ were trivial, then $\beta=\operatorname{Infl} \alpha$ would be the inflation of some $\alpha \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right)$, so that $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{i} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ would be isomorphic to the fibred product (a.k.a. pullback) $G_{\alpha} \underset{\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}}{\times} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$, where $G_{\alpha}$ is the group extension

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow G_{\alpha} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0
$$

corresponding to $\alpha$. Actually, if $i \geqslant 3$, then $\beta=\operatorname{Inf} \alpha$ would be trivial since $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right)=0$, so that $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{i} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ would be isomorphic to the semi-direct product

$$
\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right),
$$

whereas if $i=2$, then $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$, so that $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{2} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ would be isomorphic either to $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ or to $Q_{8} \times \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$, where $Q_{8}$, the quaternionic $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$
group $\{ \pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\}$, is the extension

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow Q_{8} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0
$$

corresponding to the non-trivial element of $H^{2}(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z})$. However, since the abelianisations

$$
\left(\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i-1} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}
$$

and

$$
\left(Q_{8} \underset{\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}}{ } \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}
$$

are not cyclic, this is impossible, since $L_{i}$ ramifies only at $\ell$ by (A1) and there is only one quadratic number field which ramifies only at $\ell$, namely $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\ell^{*}}\right)$.

It follows that $\gamma=\operatorname{Res} \beta \in H^{2}\left(\operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ cannot be trivial, so it must be $\gamma_{\mathrm{SL}_{2}} \in H^{2}\left(\operatorname{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}\right)$ followed by the embedding of $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ into $\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z}$. Let $g=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right] \in \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$. As $\ell \equiv 1 \bmod 4, g$ lies in $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$, and since the only element of order 2 of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ is $\left[\begin{array}{cc}-1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right], g$ cannot be lifted to an element of order 2 of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$, so that $\gamma_{\mathrm{SL}_{2}}(g, g) \neq 0$ by example C.2.2.2. On the other hand, since $g$ is the image of the complex conjugation (with respect to some embedding of $L_{0}$ into $\mathbb{C}$ ) by the projective Galois representation $\rho_{f, l}^{\text {proj }}$, it must lift to an element of order 2 of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{i} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$, which is contradictory: in the extension $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{i} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$, seen as the set $\mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ endowed with the group law

$$
\left(x_{1}, g_{1}\right) \cdot\left(x_{2}, g_{2}\right)=\left(x_{1}+g_{1} \cdot x_{2}+\beta\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right), g_{1} g_{2}\right),
$$

one computes that

$$
(x, g) \cdot(x, g)=\left(x+g \cdot x+\beta(g, g), g^{2}\right)=(\beta(g, g), 1)
$$

as $g \in \mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ acts trivially, so $\beta(g, g)$ must be zero, but $\beta(g, g)=\gamma_{\mathrm{SL}_{2}}(g, g) \neq 0$ since $g \in \mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$.

It is therefore impossible that the extension

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2^{i} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{i} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) \longrightarrow 1
$$

be not central, which completes the induction.
In particular, $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is a central extension of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{0} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \simeq \operatorname{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ by $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{r} / L_{0}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2^{r} \mathbb{Z}$, so that it is isomorphic either to $\mathbb{Z} / 2^{r} \mathbb{Z} \times \operatorname{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$, $2_{\text {det }}^{r} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), 2_{-}^{r} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ or $2_{+}^{r} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ by theorem C.2.2.4(ii). Let $L_{r}^{\text {ab }}$ be the maximal subfield of $L_{r}$ which is abelian over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then its Galois group is the abelianised of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$, which is thus respectively isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 2^{r} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z} / 2^{r+1} \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} / 2^{r-1} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z} / 2^{r} \mathbb{Z}$ by theorem C.2.2.4(iv). This allows to exclude $\mathbb{Z} / 2^{r} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ and $2_{-}^{r} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ since $L_{r}$, which ramifies only at $\ell$ by (A1), can only have one quadratic subfield, namely $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\ell^{*}}\right)$. Furthermore, since $L_{r}^{\text {ab }}$ is abelian and ramifies only at $\ell$, it is a subfield of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{\ell} \infty\right)$, so that its Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right)^{\text {ab }}$ is a quotient of

$$
\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{\ell \infty}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}^{*} \simeq \mathbb{Z} /(\ell-1) \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}
$$

In particular, this quotient cannot be isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 2^{r+1} \mathbb{Z}$ since $\ell-1=2^{r} m, m$ odd, so $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ cannot be isomorphic to $2_{\text {det }}^{r} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ either. It must therefore be isomorphic to $2_{+}^{r} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$. Besides, the same reasoning applies to the number field cut out by the quotient Galois representation $\rho_{f, \mathrm{l}}^{S_{r}}$, whose Galois group is isomorphic to the image of $\rho_{f, l}^{S_{r}}$, which is the whole of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / S_{r}$ since the determinant of $\rho_{f, 1}$ is an odd power of the $\bmod \ell$ cyclotomic character. Therefore, $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / S_{r}$.

Remark C.2.2.7. From there, one can go back down the quadratic tower $L_{r} / \cdots / L_{0}$ and see that $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{i} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / S_{i}$ for all $i$. Besides, it is easy to see that the abelianised of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / S_{i}$ is $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*} / S_{i}^{2}$, the projection being induced by the determinant. Since $S_{i}^{2}=S_{i+1} \subsetneq S_{i}$ for $i<r$ whereas $S_{r}^{2}=S_{r}$ as $-1 \notin S_{r}$, theorem C.2.2.4(iv) leads to the unified formula

$$
\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{i} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / S_{i} \simeq \begin{cases}\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), & i=0, \\ 2_{\text {det }}^{i} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), & 0<i<r, \\ 2_{+}^{r} \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right), & i=r,\end{cases}
$$

which is valid for $\ell \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ and for $\ell \equiv-1 \bmod 4$ as well, and which allows to identify for each $i$ the extension $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / S_{i}$ of $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$ amongst the ones listed in theorem C.2.2.4(ii).

It follows that there exists a quotient Galois representation

$$
\rho^{S_{r}}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{r} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / S_{r}
$$

which cuts out the field $L_{r}$ and whose projectivisation

$$
G_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\rho^{S_{r}}} \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / S_{r} \longrightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)
$$

is isomorphic to $\rho_{f, l}^{\mathrm{proj}}$. This representation $\rho^{S_{r}}$ is therefore a twist $\rho_{f, \mathfrak{l}}^{S_{r}} \otimes \psi$ of $\rho_{f, \mathfrak{l}}^{S_{r}}$ by a Galois character

$$
\psi: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*} / S_{r}
$$

The number field cut out by $\psi$ is abelian and, since it is contained in $L_{r}$, it ramifies only at $\ell$ by (A1), so it is a subfield of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{\ell^{\infty}}\right)$. Besides, its Galois group is isomorphic to the image of $\psi$, whose order is prime to $\ell$, so that this field is a subfield of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{\ell}\right)$, which is also contained $L_{r}^{\text {ab }}$. Since $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{\ell}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} /(\ell-1) \mathbb{Z}$ is cyclic and since the order of $\operatorname{Im} \psi \subset \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*} / S_{r}$ divides the order of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L_{r}^{\text {ab }} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \simeq \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*} / S_{r}$, the number field cut out by $\psi$ is contained in $L_{r}^{\text {ab }}$. The kernel of the quotient representation $\rho \sim \rho_{f, \mathrm{l}}^{S_{r}} \otimes \psi$ therefore agrees with the kernel of $\rho_{f, l}^{S_{r}}$, which completes the proof of the fact that the decomposition field of the polynomial $F_{r}(X)$ computed by my algorithm is the number field cut out by $\rho_{f, 1}^{S_{r}}$.

Remark C.2.2.8. Since the linear Galois representation $\rho_{f, l}$ can be recovered from the quotient Galois representation $\rho_{f, l}^{S_{r}}$ and the $\bmod \ell$ cyclotomic character $\bar{\chi}_{\ell}$ as

$$
\rho_{f, 1}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\rho_{f, 1}^{s_{r} \times x_{\ell}^{k-1}}} \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / S_{r} \times \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*} \xrightarrow{\phi^{-1}} \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi: \quad \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) & \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right) / S \times \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{*} \\
g & \longmapsto(\pi(g), \operatorname{det}(g))
\end{aligned}
$$

(cf. section $\mathbb{B} .3 .5 .7)$ ) the number field $L$ cut out by the linear representation $\rho_{f, 1}$ is the compositum of the number field $L_{r}$ cut out by $\rho_{f, \boldsymbol{l}}^{S_{r}}$ and of the number field $E \subseteq \mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{\ell}\right)$ cut out by $\bar{\chi}_{\ell}^{k-1}$. This yields an easy method to compute a nice monic polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ whose decomposition field is $L$ : using Pari/GP], first compute a polynomial defining the subcyclotomic field $E$ by using the polsubcyclo function, then apply the polcompositum function to $F_{r}(X)$ and to this polynomial.

This is useful since the polynomial $F(X)$ computed by my algorithm is usually too big to be reduced, even by the methods presented in section B.3.5.2.
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## Résumé

J.-P. Serre a conjecturé à la fin des années 60 et $P$. Deligne a prouvé au début des années 70 que pour toute newform $f=q+\sum_{n \geqslant 2} a_{n} q^{n} \in S_{k}(N, \varepsilon), k \geqslant 2$, et tout premier $\mathfrak{l}$ du corps de nombres $K_{f}=\mathbb{Q}\left(a_{n}, n \geqslant 2\right)$, il existe une représentation galoisienne $\mathfrak{l}$-adique $\rho_{f, l}: \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{K_{f, l}}\right)$ qui est non-ramifiée en dehors de $\ell N$ et telle que le polynôme caractéristique du Frobenius en $p \nmid \ell N$ est $X^{2}-a_{p} X+\varepsilon(p) p^{k-1}$. Après réduction modulo $\mathfrak{l}$ et semi-simplification, on obtient une représentation galoisienne $\bar{\rho}_{f, \mathfrak{l}}: \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$ modulo $\mathfrak{l}$, non-ramifiée en dehors de $\ell N$ et telle que le polynôme caractéristique du Frobenius en $p \nmid \ell N$ est $X^{2}-a_{p} X+\varepsilon(p) p^{k-1} \bmod \mathfrak{l}$, d'où un moyen de calcul rapide de $a_{p} \bmod \mathfrak{l}$ pour $p$ gigantesque.

L'objet de cette thèse est l'étude et l'implémentation d'un algorithme reposant sur cette idée (initialement due à J.-M. Couveignes and B. Edixhoven), qui calcule les coefficients $a_{p}$ modulo $\mathfrak{l}$ en calculant d'abord cette représentation modulo $\mathfrak{l}$, en s'appuyant sur le fait que pour $k<\ell$, cette représentation est réalisée dans la $\ell$-torsion de la jacobienne de la courbe modulaire $X_{1}(\ell N)$.

Grâce à plusieurs améliorations, telles que l'utilisation des méthodes de K. KhuriMakdisi pour calculer dans la jacobienne modulaire $J_{1}(\ell N)$ ou la construction d'une fonction $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}\left(J_{1}(\ell N)\right)$ au bon comportement arithmétique, cet algorithme est très efficace, ainsi qu’illustré par des tables de coefficients. Cette thèse se conclut par la présentation d'une méthode permettant de prouver formellement que les résultats de ces calculs sont corrects.

Mots clés: Formes modulaires, représentations galoisiennes, conjecture de modularité de Serre, jacobiennes modulaires, algorithme rapide.

## Summary

It was conjectured in the late 60 's by J.-P. Serre and proved in the early 70's by P. Deligne that to each newform $f=q+\sum_{n \geqslant 2} a_{n} q^{n} \in S_{k}(N, \varepsilon), k \geqslant 2$, and each prime $\mathfrak{l}$ of the number field $K_{f}=\mathbb{Q}\left(a_{n}, n \geqslant 2\right)$, is attached an $\mathfrak{l}$-adic Galois representation $\rho_{f, \mathrm{l}}: \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{K_{f, \mathrm{l}}}\right)$, which is unramified outside $\ell N$ and such the characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius element at $p \nmid \ell N$ is $X^{2}-a_{p} X+\varepsilon(p) p^{k-1}$. Reducing modulo $\mathfrak{l}$ and semi-simplifying, one gets a $\bmod \mathfrak{l}$ Galois representation $\bar{\rho}_{f, \mathfrak{l}}: \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$, which is unramified outside $\ell N$ and such that the characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius element at $p \nmid \ell N$ is $X^{2}-a_{p} X+\varepsilon(p) p^{k-1} \bmod \mathfrak{l}$. In particular, its trace is $a_{p} \bmod \mathfrak{l}$, which gives a quick way to compute $a_{p} \bmod \mathfrak{l}$ for huge $p$.

The goal of this thesis is to study and implement an algorithm based on this idea (originally due to J.-M. Couveignes and B. Edixhoven) which computes the coefficients $a_{p}$ modulo $\mathfrak{l}$ by computing the mod $\mathfrak{l}$ Galois representation first, relying on the fact that if $k<\ell$, this representation shows up in the $\ell$-torsion of the jacobian of the modular curve $X_{1}(\ell N)$.

Thanks to several improvements, such as the use of K. Khuri-Makdisi's methods to compute in the modular Jacobian $J_{1}(\ell N)$ or the construction of an arithmetically wellbehaved function $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}\left(J_{1}(\ell N)\right)$, this algorithm performs very well, as illustrated by tables of coefficients. This thesis ends by the presentation of a method to formally prove that the output of the algorithm is correct.

Key words: Modular forms, Galois representations, Serre's modularity conjecture, modular jacobians, fast algorithm.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The fact that the group law is abelian is actually a consequence of the projectiveness of the variety, cf. [HSOO, lemma A.7.1.3].

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The word "invariant" here applies indifferently to the divisor or to its linear equivalence class. Indeed, the existence of a $K$-rational point $O \in X(K)$ implies that a divisor class in $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X(\bar{K}))$ is invariant under $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / L)$ if and only if it can be represented by a divisor in $\operatorname{Div}^{0}(X(\bar{K}))$ which is globally invariant under $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / L)$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3} H^{0}(\mathcal{L})$ has thus projective dimension 1 , hence the term pencil.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Clearly, the computation cannot succeed if one takes only one $a_{i}$, since the condition $\inf _{i} \operatorname{div}\left(a_{i}\right)=-A$ cannot be satisfied then.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ It is at this point that the condition $d_{0} \geqslant 2 g$ is required.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ This can be improved by using fast linear algebra algorithms. However, when the ground field $K$ is not exact (e.g. $K=\mathbb{C}$ in my case), such fast algorithms may be numerically instable.

[^6]:    ${ }^{7}$ Here and in what follows, I implicitly extend the definition of the action of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})^{+}$on $\mathcal{H}$, and rather consider the action on $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1} \mathbb{C}$.

[^7]:    ${ }^{8}$ Since the Weil pairing is a perfect alternate pairing, the possible choices of $Q$ differ by multiples of $P$, so $W_{N}$ is well defined.

[^8]:    ${ }^{9}$ Actually, one can generalise definition $\boxed{A .2 .2 .7}$ to the case of half-integral weight $k$. It then turns out that $\Theta$ is modular of level $\Gamma_{0}(4)$ and weight $1 / 2$, so one can also study odd powers of $\Theta$. I shall not do it here.

[^9]:    ${ }^{10}$ This is the standard notation, the S comes from the German term "Spitzenform".

[^10]:    ${ }^{11}$ If $\Gamma=\Gamma_{0}(N)$, then the diamond operators $\langle d\rangle$ all reduce to the identity, and so all the discussion about them below is of course vacuous.

[^11]:    ${ }^{12}$ pun intended.

[^12]:    ${ }^{13}$ This comes from the fact that the exponential map exp: $\mathfrak{g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})=\operatorname{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ is locally invertible at 0 , so that a small enough subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ would be linearisable, and hence could not stay near 0 .

[^13]:    ${ }^{14}$ More precisely, one can show that if $f$ is not CM , that is to say if $f \neq f \otimes \chi$ for every non-trivial Dirichlet character $\chi$, then $\bar{\rho}_{f, \mathfrak{l}}$ is exceptional for finitely many $\mathfrak{l}$. Note that a newform of level 1 is never CM by theorem A.2.2.34.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ This representation was denoted by $\bar{\rho}_{f, \mathfrak{r}}$ in the previous part, but I shall drop the bar from now on, for the sake of readability.

[^15]:    ${ }^{2}$ If I used a basis made up of eigenforms, the common number field containing the Fourier coefficients of all these forms could be much larger.

[^16]:    ${ }^{3}$ Here, the method breaks down for $\ell=13$. Indeed, this is the only case in which $g_{0}=0$ (remember that I supposed $\ell \geqslant 11$ ), so that there is no such form in this case. So, in this special case $\ell=13$, classical methods to expand the forms should be used instead. This is not a big problem, as this is a "small" case ( $g$ is only 2 ), so little accuracy in the $q$-expansions is needed to compute the Galois representation, and classical methods based on modular symbols can be used in this case.

[^17]:    ${ }^{4}$ The case $K_{i+1} \supsetneq \mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\delta_{i}}\right)$ has never happened to me in practice. Should it happen, it can be corrected by multiplying $\delta_{i}$ by the square of an (hopefully small) element in $K_{i}$.
    ${ }^{5}$ B. Edixhoven and R. de Jong proved the bound $h=O\left(\ell^{16}\right)$ in [CETI, theorem 11.7.6], but that seems really pessimistic compared to table $\mathbb{B} .3 .4 .2$, and it is completely impractical to use $\ell^{16}$ bits of precision in $\mathbb{C}$, even in the small genus cases: even all the hard drives in Bordeaux university put together would barely be enough to store just one complex number with such an insane accuracy.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the sense of definition $A .3 .3 .9$

[^19]:    ${ }^{2}$ The case $\ell=17$ is somehow special since it is the case where the quotient representation trick does not help. I have not tried to reduce the polynomials computed by my algorithm in this case, and I have proceeded directly to the computation of the resolvents, so the algorithm I use is slightly different.
    ${ }^{3}$ Due to an exaggerated memory usage to store the Fourier coefficients of the series, I split my code to initialise K. Khuri-Makidisi's algorithms into several substeps, and I used the occasion the partly-rewrite it and parallelise it, whence the better time than for $\ell=29$.
    ${ }^{4}$ For $\ell<23$, the coefficents of the polynomial $F(X)$ computed by my algorithm were not too ugly, so I applied Pari/GP's polred algorithm directly on the polynomial $F^{S}(X)$ defining the quotient representation. It is only for $\ell=29$ and 31 that I deemed it necessary to used the step-by-step reduction process described in section B.3.5.2].

