

Superfluidity and coherence of Bose gases in reduced dimensions: from harmonic traps to uniform fluids



Lauriane Chomaz – PhD defense November 10th, 2014







Macroscopic quantum phenomena.

Superfluidity



Superconductivity



Macroscopic quantum phenomena.

Superfluidity



Superconductivity



In 2D: special meaning. Important for understanding new material :



Semiconductors heterostructures

Graphene



Complex oxide heterostructures



YBa2Cu3O7 (.3) lattice

 $High-T_c$ superconductors

2

Infinite uniform gas of non/weakly interacting Bosons at temperature T:

Classical world



describe by trajectories
No coherence

Infinite uniform gas of non/weakly interacting Bosons at temperature T:

Classical world Quantum world Quantum field: $\hat{\psi}(r) \equiv \text{annihilates an atom in } r$ $\langle \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(r)\hat{\psi}(r) \rangle = \text{probability of finding an at. in } r$ $\langle \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(r)\hat{\psi}(r) \rangle = \text{probability of finding an at. in } r$ $\langle . \rangle \equiv \text{average over the thermal state of the gas}$ describe by trajectories No coherence Coherence $G_1(r) = \langle \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(r) \hat{\psi}(0) \rangle$

Infinite uniform gas of non/weakly interacting Bosons at temperature T:



Infinite uniform gas of non/weakly interacting Bosons at temperature T:



3



Bose statistic in 3D.

Bose-Einstein condensation (at $\lambda_T > C \stackrel{\frown}{d}$). $C = c^{st} \approx 1.38$ for 3D ∞ id.

State of matter stemming from Bose stat.

 $\hat{H} = \sum_{i \text{ atoms}} \hat{h}_i | \text{ single-part. Hamiltonian } \hat{h} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m}.$ eigenstates = $|\varphi_k\rangle, \ p = \hbar k.$ ideal

Saturation of the population in $k \neq 0$ at $\lambda_T = C d$.

 \Rightarrow Macroscopic occupation of a single-particle state $|\varphi_0\rangle$.

3D ∞

Bose statistic in 3D.

Bose-Einstein condensation (at $\lambda_T > C \stackrel{\frown}{d}$). $C = c^{\text{st}} \approx 1.38$ for 3D ∞ id.

State of matter stemming from Bose stat.

 $\hat{H} = \sum_{i \text{ atoms}} \hat{h}_i \text{ single-part. Hamiltonian } \hat{h} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m}.$ eigenstates = $|\varphi_k\rangle, \ p = \hbar k.$

Saturation of the population in $k \neq 0$ at $\lambda_T = C d$.

rightarrow Macroscopic occupation of a single-particle state $|arphi_0
angle$.

1995: Groundbreaking observation of BEC in dilute ultracold gases.

 k_y

3D ∞

Bose statistic in 3D.

Bose-Einstein condensation (at $\lambda_T > C \stackrel{\frown}{d}$). $C = c^{\text{st}} \approx 1.38$ for 3D ∞ id.

State of matter stemming from Bose stat.

 $\hat{H} = \sum_{i \text{ atoms}} \hat{h}_i | \text{ single-part. Hamiltonian } \hat{h} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m}.$ eigenstates = $|\varphi_k\rangle, \ p = \hbar k.$ ideal

Saturation of the population in $k \neq 0$ at $\lambda_T = C d$.

rightarrow Macroscopic occupation of a single-particle state $|arphi_0
angle$.

1995: Groundbreaking observation of BEC in dilute ultracold gases.

long-range phase coherence

$$G_1(r) \xrightarrow[r \to \infty]{} n_0 \neq 0$$

 $n_0 = \text{density in } |\varphi_0\rangle$

MIT 1997

 k_y

JILA, NIST.

1995

3D ∞

Outline.

Motivation: specificity of 2D and 3D-to-2D crossover.

Motivation: from harmonic to uniform traps.

+ Experimental setup: creating 2D uniform gases.

 Emergence of an extended phase coherence in 2D uniform gases at thermal equilibrium.

 Dynamics of the establishment of coherence along forced evaporative cooling in uniform fluids.

Outline.

Motivation: specificity of 2D and 3D-to-2D crossover.

Motivation: from harmonic to uniform traps.

+ Experimental setup: creating 2D uniform gases.

 Emergence of an extended phase coherence in 2D uniform gases at thermal equilibrium.

 Dynamics of the establishment of coherence along forced evaporative cooling in uniform fluids.

$2D \otimes$ ideal gas, $\lambda_T > d$

Effect of bidimensionality.

no BEC in an infinite 2D gas at $T \neq 0$

(Peierls - 1935, Mermin-Wagner-Hohenberg - 1966-67)



Effect of bidimensionality.

no BEC in an infinite 2D gas at $T \neq 0$ (Peierls - 1935, Mermin-Wagner-Hohenberg - 1966-67)

 $G_1(r) \stackrel{}{\underset{r o \infty}{\longrightarrow}} 0$

but Bose statistic => broader component in G_1 arises.

 $G_1(r) = G_1^{(ext{th})} + G_1^{(0)} + \dots \leftarrow ext{intermediate energy-states}$

high energy-states

$$G_1^{(\mathrm{th})}(r) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\pi r^2}{\lambda_T^2}\right)$$

ideal gas

2D ∞





 \Rightarrow Fast increase of ℓ_c/λ_T when $\mathcal{D} \gtrsim 1$

Finite size effect

Fast increase of ℓ_c with \mathcal{D} (when ℓ dominates, *i.e.* $\mathcal{D} \gtrsim 1$).

At $\mathcal{D} \geq \mathcal{D}_{BEC}, \ \ell_c \gtrsim L$ \Rightarrow recover BEC : L $G_1(r) > 0 \ \forall r \in \text{gas.}$

 $G_1(L) \Leftrightarrow$ condensed density

 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{BEC} \sim 7\text{--}10 \text{ (for typical } L \text{ and } T)$

Finite size effect

Fast increase of ℓ_c with \mathcal{D} (when ℓ dominates, *i.e.* $\mathcal{D} \gtrsim 1$).

At $\mathcal{D} \geq \mathcal{D}_{BEC}, \ \ell_c \gtrsim L$ \Rightarrow recover BEC : L $G_1(r) > 0 \ \forall r \in \text{gas.}$

 $G_1(L) \Leftrightarrow$ condensed density

 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{BEC} \sim 7\text{--}10 \text{ (for typical } L \text{ and } T)$

Inter-particle interactions

A distinct type of phase transition possible: Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless => **Superfluid**

Finite size effect

Fast increase of ℓ_c with \mathcal{D} (when ℓ dominates, *i.e.* $\mathcal{D} \gtrsim 1$).

At
$$\mathcal{D} \geq \mathcal{D}_{BEC}, \ \ell_c \gtrsim L$$

 \Rightarrow recover BEC : L
 $G_1(r) > 0 \ \forall r \in \text{gas.}$

 $G_1(L) \Leftrightarrow$ condensed density

 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{BEC} \sim 7\text{--}10 \text{ (for typical } L \text{ and } T)$

Inter-particle interactions

A distinct type of phase transition possible: Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless => **Superfluid**

Infinite order transition

 $\mathcal{D}_{\rm BKT} \sim 7 - 10$ for weakly interacting gases

Finite size effect

Fast increase of ℓ_c with \mathcal{D} (when ℓ dominates, *i.e.* $\mathcal{D} \gtrsim 1$).

At
$$\mathcal{D} \geq \mathcal{D}_{BEC}, \ \ell_c \gtrsim L$$

 \Rightarrow recover BEC : L
 $G_1(r) > 0 \ \forall r \in \text{gas.}$

 $G_1(L) \Leftrightarrow$ condensed density

 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{BEC} \sim 7\text{--}10 \text{ (for typical } L \text{ and } T)$

8

Inter-particle interactions

A distinct type of phase transition possible: Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless => **Superfluid**

Infinite order transition

 $\mathcal{D}_{\rm BKT} \sim 7 - 10$ for weakly interacting gases

Boltzmann	«Pre-superfluid» /«Quasi-condensate»	BEC/ Superfluid ${\cal D}$
$G_1(r) \propto e^{-\pi r^2/\lambda_T^2} \approx$	$G_1(r) \propto \rho_0 e^{-r/\ell} + \approx 7$	10 $G_1(r) > 0, \forall r \in \text{gas}$

Finite size effect

Fast increase of ℓ_c with \mathcal{D} (when ℓ dominates, *i.e.* $\mathcal{D} \gtrsim 1$).

At
$$\mathcal{D} \geq \mathcal{D}_{BEC}, \ \ell_c \gtrsim L$$

 \Rightarrow recover BEC : L
 $G_1(r) > 0 \ \forall r \in \text{gas.}$

 $G_1(L) \Leftrightarrow$ condensed density

 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{BEC} \sim 7\text{--}10 \text{ (for typical } L \text{ and } T)$

Inter-particle interactions

A distinct type of phase transition possible: Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless => **Superfluid**

Infinite order transition

 $\mathcal{D}_{\rm BKT} \sim 7 - 10$ for weakly interacting gases

	NIST Gaithersburg, Boulder	Chicago, ENS, Seoul
Boltzmann	«Pre-superfluid» /«Quasi-condensate»	BEC/ Superfluid ${\cal D}$
$G_1(r) \propto e^{-\pi r^2/\lambda_T^2} \approx$	$1 \qquad G_1(r) \propto \ \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 e^{-r/\ell} \ + \ \dots \qquad \approx 7$	$10 \ G_1(r) > 0, \ \forall r \in \text{gas}$

3rd dim. in 2D experiments.

How to realize 2D systems in cold atoms experiments...



 $\mathcal{D}\equiv\lambda_T^2
ho$ –2D density

3rd dim. in 2D experiments.

How to realize 2D systems in cold atoms experiments...



 $\mathcal{D} \equiv \lambda_T^2 \rho$ -2D density

* $k_BT \ll \hbar \omega_z$ thermally 2D, only j = 0 populated:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{tot}} \approx \mathcal{D}_0.$$

3rd dim. in 2D experiments.

How to realize 2D systems in cold atoms experiments...



 $\mathcal{D}\equiv\lambda_T^2
ho$ –2D density

* $k_BT \ll \hbar \omega_z$ thermally 2D, only j = 0 populated:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{tot}} \approx \mathcal{D}_0.$$

* $k_BT\gtrsim \hbar\omega_z$ all js must be accounted for.

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{tot}} = \mathcal{D}_0 + \mathcal{D}_{\text{exc}}$$
$$G_1(r) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} G_1^{(j)}(r)$$

 $G_1^{(0)}(r) \equiv \text{broadest contribution}$

N. J. van Druten & W. Ketterle, PRL (1997)

12

 \rightarrow When \mathcal{D}_{tot} large enough, atoms accumulate in j = 0: $\mathcal{D}_0 \sim \mathcal{D}_{tot}$ even if $k_B T \gg \hbar \omega_z$.

12

Recover relevance for 2D physics!

 $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{0}$ $G_{1}^{(0)}(r)$ becomes dominant => enhanced coherence in plane!

N. J. van Druten & W. Ketterle, PRL (1997)

Outline.

Motivation: specificity of 2D and 3D-to-2D crossover.

Motivation: from harmonic to uniform traps.

+ Experimental setup: creating 2D uniform gases.

 Emergence of an extended phase coherence in 2D uniform gases at thermal equilibrium.

 Dynamics of the establishment of coherence along forced evaporative cooling in uniform fluids.

Harmonic confinement and local probing

Harmonic confinement and local probing

• Useful for exploring local properties : smooth variation = locally uniform. **Local Density Approximation**

EoS = relation between dimensionless parameters.

=> dictates all thermodynamics properties. can deduce EoS for any variables. In weakly interacting 2D gases: only 2 variables (approx. scale invariance).

EoS = relation between dimensionless parameters.

=> dictates all thermodynamics properties. can deduce EoS for any variables. In weakly interacting 2D gases: only 2 variables (approx. scale invariance).



EoS = relation between dimensionless parameters.

=> dictates all thermodynamics properties. can deduce EoS for any variables. In weakly interacting 2D gases: only 2 variables (approx. scale invariance).



=> fit-free method for measuring the EoS by derivations/integrations of $E(V) = \frac{\hbar^2 n(V)}{m}$:

EoS = relation between dimensionless parameters.

=> dictates all thermodynamics properties. can deduce EoS for any variables. In weakly interacting 2D gases: only 2 variables (approx. scale invariance).





$$ightarrow (\mu/k_BT, \mathcal{D})$$



T. Yefsah & al., PRL (2011), R. Desbuquois & al., PRL (2014)

Superfluidity <=> frictionless response to a moving obstacle.

Superfluidity <=> frictionless response to a moving obstacle.

Local probing : rotation of a tightly focused laser beam ($w = 2 \mu m / R_{TF} = 20 \mu m$) on "equi-potential" $r = c^{st}$ ($\mu_{loc} = \mu_0 - V(r)$) at velocity $v = c^{st}$.



Superfluidity <=> frictionless response to a moving obstacle.

Local probing : rotation of a tightly focused laser beam $(w = 2 \ \mu m \ / \ R_{TF} = 20 \ \mu m)$ on "equi-potential" $r = c^{st}$ $(\mu_{loc} = \mu_0 - V(r))$ at velocity $v = c^{st}$.

Measure heating





Superfluidity <=> frictionless response to a moving obstacle.



Limitations of harmonic confinement.

Limitations of harmonic confinement.

Limiting for non-local measurements:

- Iong-range properties
- coherence and correlation functions

In particular at vicinity of a transition point:

- transitions become local phenomena
- divergences are smoothen

Entangles effects of interactions / Bose stat / dimensionality:

- strong influence of interactions (even if weak) / overall state of the gas.
- transverse condensation disappears : not a transition anymore

Limitations of harmonic confinement.

Limiting for non-local measurements:

- Iong-range properties
- coherence and correlation functions

In particular at vicinity of a transition point:

- transitions become local phenomena
- divergences are smoothen

Entangles effects of interactions / Bose stat / dimensionality:

- strong influence of interactions (even if weak) / overall state of the gas.
- transverse condensation disappears : not a transition anymore

Toward uniform traps...

Outline.

Motivation: specificity of 2D and 3D-to-2D crossover.

Motivation: from harmonic to uniform traps.

Experimental setup: creating 2D uniform gases.

 Emergence of an extended phase coherence in 2D uniform gases at thermal equilibrium.

 Dynamics of the establishment of coherence along forced evaporative cooling in uniform fluids.

3D cold gas of ⁸⁷Rb







3D: Hadzibabic group, 2013





3D: Hadzibabic group, 2013





Quasi-2D gases in boxes.



Outline.

Motivation: specificity of 2D and 3D-to-2D crossover.

Motivation: from harmonic to uniform traps.

+ Experimental setup: creating 2D uniform gases.

 Emergence of an extended phase coherence in 2D uniform gases at thermal equilibrium.

 Dynamics of the establishment of coherence along forced evaporative cooling in uniform fluids.

Coherence in time-of-flight.



Coherence in time-of-flight.



Coherence in time-of-flight.



Bimodality of ToF density distribution.



fit by a sum of 2 Gaussians

 $N = N_1 + N_2$

Bimodality of ToF density distribution.



fit by a sum of 2 Gaussians

 $N = N_1 + N_2$

bimodality parameter : $\Delta = N_1/N$

Bimodality of ToF density distribution.



Coherence via matter-wave interference.



 $\rho \,(\mu \mathrm{m}^{-2})$

50

0

Coherence via matter-wave interference.



Release box confinement and let expand in-plane for τ such that the gases overlap **Visualize interferences in a unique plane.**









Outline.

Motivation: specificity of 2D and 3D-to-2D crossover.

Motivation: from harmonic to uniform traps.

+ Experimental setup: creating 2D uniform gases.

 Emergence of an extended phase coherence in 2D uniform gases at thermal equilibrium.

 Dynamics of the establishment of coherence along forced evaporative cooling in uniform fluids.

Kibble Zurek mechanism.



Kibble Zurek mechanism.



Kibble Zurek mechanism.










P. C. Hohenberg, B. I. Halperin, Rev. Mod. Phys. (1977).

27





transition point, e.g. BEC



t = 0

at domains boundaries :

phase windings => topological defects

 $N_{
m d} \propto au_Q^{-2}$

transition point, e.g. BEC



28









In situ images, no excitation: - Phonons = phase defects => not visible - Vortices = too small (charact. size $\approx 0.6 \ \mu m/$ imaging res. $\approx 1.2 \ \mu m$)





In situ images, no excitation: - Phonons = phase defects => not visible - Vortices = too small (charact. size $\approx 0.6 \ \mu m/$ imaging res. $\approx 1.2 \ \mu m$)

After 4 ms Release

«short» 3D TOF

reveals defects as density holes...









Analysis of holes properties (size, contrast)=> single vortices (\neq phonons, \neq pairs) Highly degenerate gas (PSD>100) => no thermally activated vortices.

BEC_{\perp} similar to standard 3D BEC



BEC in an ∞ 3D gas.

 $\bigstar Z$

0









BEC₁ similar to standard **3D BEC**



Quantitative test of KZM scaling.



Quantitative test of KZM scaling.



Quantitative test of KZM scaling.







Similar quench through ${\rm BEC}_{\perp}$

At freezing out:

unique patch in the central disk
ID chain of phase patches in the annulus





Similar quench through $\operatorname{BEC}_{\perp}$

At freezing out:

unique patch in the central disk ✤ID chain of phase patches in the annulus Merging of patches: $\Rightarrow 2\pi n$ phase winding = Supercurrents

 $n_{\rm w} = \langle |n| \rangle \propto t_{\rm evap}^{-d/2}$



Similar quench through ${\rm BEC}_{\perp}$

2D TOF



matter-wave interference



Spiral pattern : $2n\pi$ phase winding in the annulus (+access to *n*)

At freezing out:

unique patch in the central disk
ID chain of phase patches in the annulus

 $\begin{array}{l} \label{eq:main_states} \hline \textbf{Merging of patches:} \\ = > 2\pi n \ \textbf{phase winding} \\ \hline \textbf{supercurrents} \end{array}$

 $n_{\rm w} = \langle |n| \rangle \propto t_{\rm evap}^{-d/2}$



Similar quench through ${\rm BEC}_{\perp}$

At freezing out:

unique patch in the central disk
ID chain of phase patches in the annulus

Experiments	d
Holes	0.35 (8)
Spirals	0.38 (12)

interference

$$n_{\rm w} = \langle |n| \rangle \propto t_{\rm evap}^{-d/2}$$

Spiral pattern : $2n\pi$ phase winding in the annulus (+access to *n*)

Theory, Model
$$F: d = 0.33$$











Outlook.

Toward characterizing correlations and fluctuations:

- Characterization of G_1 : exponential vs. algebraic decay.
- Higher order correlation functions, e.g. G_2 (density fluctuations).

Toward strongly interacting 2D gas in uniform geometry:

- Increase of v_z : the accordion setup
- Regime where coherence established at BKT transition.
- New scaling expected from KZ mechanism.

Toward other geometries, e.g. transport measurement:

- Two uniform reservoirs linked by a small channel.
- Study of superfluidity via transport measurement along the channel

34







Esslinger group

Thanks.

The Team:

Jean Dalibard Jérôme Beugnon Sylvain Nascimbène Kenneth J. Günter Christof Weitenberg Tom Bienaimé Tarik Yefsah Rémi Desbuquois Laura Corman David Perconte Benno Rem Julian Leonard Katharina Kleinlein Jean-Loup Ville

The Group:

BEC: Na,Yb, Dy Gaz de Fermi: Li, K-Li + The theory

The Lab

specially, the directors: Paul Indelicato Antoine Heidmann

+ its tutelles

L'X (teaching)

Linda Vary-Guevel

COLLÈGE DE FRANCE

aboratoire Kastler Brossel.

ECOLE NORMALE SUPERIES

hysique quantique et applications

The technicians & administratives:

Mécanique, Electronique, Matériaux, Magasin, Secrétériats, Informatique, Salle Blanche ...

The «maintenance» team from ENS (Didier Courtiade)

special thanks to Carmen Toderasc for help in organizing

The Jury:

Gabriele Ferrari Bruno Laburthe-Tolra Patrizia Vignolo Chris Westbrook JD & JB

The Family:

My parents Pierrot & Lucile Tonton Jean-Marc & Co. Les Revels Les Chomaz, Borel, Lopez

The Friends:

The atomic physicists The Master «LKB» The «Climbers» & co. The «Caennais» The unclassified

> To all the people present today



Temperature Measurement (I)





Temperature Measurement (II)

Renormalize all *T* and *U* by $\exp(2d_{\max}/w)$, $d_{\max} = \max$ and distance to trap center: w = waist of the 2D trap beam (Hermite-Gauss and box trap) $\exp(-2d_{\max}/w) = \text{reduction}$ of the heights of both traps compare to the center.



	U_0 / k_B	T ₀	η
$v_z = 365 \text{ Hz}$	969 (75) nK	275 (8) nK	3.49 (25)
$v_z = 1460 \text{ Hz}$	712 [115] nK ₃₈	203 (18) nK	3.50[25]



Kibble Zurek in an annulus



Stochastic origin:

random number of windings between -2 and 2 no bias on winding direction $\langle n \rangle = 0.001(12)$

Dynamic origin:

 $n_{\rm w}$ not compatible with thermal predictions
Kibble Zurek in an annulus



Stochastic origin:

random number of windings between -2 and 2 no bias on winding direction $\langle n \rangle = 0.001(12)$

Dynamic origin:

 $n_{\rm w}$ not compatible with thermal predictions



$$n_{\rm w} \propto t_{\rm evap}^{-d/2}$$
$$d = 0.38 \,(12)$$

Reminder: KZM for 3D BEC

	d
MF	0.25
F Model	0.33

no evidence for a breakdown of KZM for 3D BEC (but no counter-evidence either...)₄₀

Direct Observation of vortices (II)



Direct Observation of vortices (II)



Direct Observation of vortices (II)



Dynamical orgin:

- Equilibrium expectation at final PSD (>100) = vanishingly small mean vortex number N_v . Experimentally $N_v \approx 0.6$
- BKT theory at final PSD = vortices must be tightly paired.
- Dissipative dynamic (variation of N_v) with a varying hold time \neq equilibrium. 41











Active Research

Steady state 2D Physics/ BKT Physics:

- Cheng Chin, Chicago, USA.
- ✦ Zoran Hadzibabic, Cambridge, UK.
- ✦ Yong-il Shin, Seoul, Korea.
- ✦ Bill Phillips, NIST, Maryland, USA.
- ◆ E.A. Cornell, JILA, Colorado, USA.
- ✦ Thomas Bourdel, Palaiseau, France.

Kibble Zurek mechanism with cold atoms

- ♦ B. P. Anderson, Tuscon, Arizona, USA.
- ✦ D. Stamper Kurn, Berkeley, California, USA.
- ♦ B. L. DeMarco, Urbana-Ch., Illinois, USA.
- ✦ Gabriele Ferrari, Trento, Italy.
- Immanuel Bloch, Munich, Germany.
- ✦ Zoran Hadzibabic, Cambridge, UK.







Symmetry Breaking in 2D

Peierls 1935

Crystalline order in 2D?



How do the fluctuations of the distance between two atoms vary with the distance itself?

$$\langle (\vec{u_a} - \vec{u_b})^2 \rangle \propto \log(d_{ab})$$

Crystalline order destroyed by long wavelength phonons (T≠0)

Mermin-Wagner-Hohenberg theorem (1966-67)

No breaking of continuous symmetry in dimensions < 3 (+ short range interactions)

No BEC in an infinite, uniform 2D gas

 $g_1(r) = \langle \psi^{\dagger}(r) \psi(0) \rangle ; \lim_{r \to \infty} g_1(r) = 0$

The superfluid transition in 2D

Not all phase transitions are excluded in 2D, only those due to symmetry breaking! universal jump of superfluid density



Coherence + microscopic nature of the transition : studied with cold atoms.

Previous studies with atomic gases and trapped ions:

- Kinks in ion chains: PTB (Mehlstäubler), Simon Fraser U. (Haljan), Mainz U. (Schmidt-Kaler), U. Freiburg (Schaetz)
- Quenched ferromagnetic spinor Bose-Einstein condensates: U. Berkeley (Stamper-Kurn)
- Formation of BEC in harmonic traps: U. Arizona (Anderson) & U. Queensland (Davis)
- Solitons in elongated BECs: U. Trento (Ferrari)
- Superfluid to Mott insulator: Munich (Bloch-Schneider)
- Formation of a 3D BEC in a box-like trap: U. Cambridge (Hadzibabic)



 $\boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}$

54







Kibble Zurek mechanism for a 3D Bose gas

The static picture

Above the critical temperature, coherent patches of size *d*

For large $T : d \sim \lambda$ (thermal wavelength) Approaching $T_c : d$ diverges as $1/(T - T_c)^{\nu}$

Below T_c , full coherence: d = size of the box



Kibble Zurek mechanism for a 3D Bose gas

The static picture

Above the critical temperature, coherent patches of size *d*

For large $T: d \sim \lambda$ (thermal wavelength) Approaching $T_c: d$ diverges as $1/(T - T_c)^{\nu}$

Below T_c , full coherence: d = size of the box



Dynamical aspects

For a finite cooling rate, this picture fails: coherence cannot be established fast enough

- → \dot{T} fixes the maximal size of phase-coherent patches: topological defects (vortex lines) may appear at the border of the patches and survive to the subsequent → thermalization $N_t \propto (\dot{T}/T)^{\alpha}$
 - KZ theory relates the number of topological defects N_t to the cooling rate:

One can also look for other signatures than topological defects: Hadzibabic's talk







N. J. van Druten & W. Ketterle, PRL (1997)





N. J. van Druten & W. Ketterle, PRL (1997)



 \rightarrow When \mathcal{D}_{tot} large enough, atoms accumulate in j = 0: $\mathcal{D}_0 \sim \mathcal{D}_{tot}$ even if $k_B T \gg \hbar \omega_z$.



Recover relevance for 2D physics!

 $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{0}$ $G_{1}^{(0)}(r)$ becomes dominant => enhanced coherence in plane!

N. J. van Druten & W. Ketterle, PRL (1997)





We cross two successive transitions:

T₁: transverse BEC which occurs when the 3D phase-space density reaches 2.6

Atoms accumulate in the ground state j = 0 of the z motion, coherence $\sim a_{ho}$ length T₂: superfluid BKT // full BEC corresponding to quasi-full coherence over the sample



Tentative scenario:

- Formation of independent coherent phase domains during transition T₁
- Going up to the superfluid regime allows us to visualize the vortices