

Concerning the first-order transition in the κ -phase (BEDT-TTF)4PtCl6 . C6H5CN

Marie-Liesse Doublet, Enric Canadell, Rimma Shibaeva

▶ To cite this version:

Marie-Liesse Doublet, Enric Canadell, Rimma Shibaeva. Concerning the first-order transition in the κ -phase (BEDT-TTF)4PtCl6. C6H5CN. Journal de Physique I, 1994, 4 (10), pp.1479-1490. 10.1051/jp1:1994202. jpa-00247007

HAL Id: jpa-00247007 https://hal.science/jpa-00247007

Submitted on 4 Feb 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Classification Physics Abstracts 71.25P — 71.45L

Concerning the first-order transition in the κ -phase (BEDT-TTF)₄PtCl₆ · C₆H₅CN

Marie-Liesse Doublet (¹), Enric Canadell (¹) and Rimma P. Shibaeva (²)

(1) Laboratoire de Chimie Théorique (^{*}), Université de Paris-Sud, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France
 (2) Institute of Solid State Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences, 142432 Chernogolovka, Moscow District, Russia

(Received 19 May 1994, accepted 4 July 1994)

Abstract. — (BEDT-TTF)₄PtCl₆ · C₆H₅CN is a κ -phase exhibiting a first-order transition at 250 K. The crystal structure of this salt contains two different donor layers before the transition but only one after the transition. Every layer contains two different BEDT-TTF donor molecules. Our tight binding band structure study suggests that this is the first BEDT-TTF salt containing BEDT-TTF in three different oxidation states (0, + 1/2 and + 1). One of the layers (.4) contains [BEDT-TTF⁺¹] and [BEDT-TTF⁰]₂ dimers whereas the other layer (B) contains two different [BEDT-TTF⁺¹]₂ dimers. We suggest that the first-order transition is associated with the disproportionation of the two [BEDT-TTF⁺¹]₂ dimers of layer B in [BEDT-TTF⁺¹] and [BEDT-TTF⁺¹]₂ dimers. This process is associated with a conformational change in one of the dimers which apparently optimizes the donor-acceptor interactions in this κ -phase. According to the present results it would be worthwhile to reexamine the transport properties of this salt.

Among the many charge transfer salts presently known, the so called κ -phases have not only led to the highest superconducting transition temperatures [1] (excluding fullerenes) but have also given a seemingly endless series of electronic surprises. The transport properties of these salts seem to be extremely dependent on small changes in pressure, temperature, disorder environment [2, 3]. or chemical For instance, whereas at ambient pressure κ -BEDT-TTF₂Cu[N(CN)₂]Br [4] and κ -BEDT-TTF₂Cu[N(CN)₂]Cl_{0.5}Br_{0.5} [5] (where BEDT-TTF means bis(ethylenedithio)tetrathiafulvalene, 1) are superconductors,



BEDT-TTF

^(*) CNRS URA 506.

 κ -BEDT-TTF₂Cu[N(CN)₂]Cl[6] is a semiconductor. However a very small applied pressure (0.3 kbar) is enough to make the latter superconducting [6]. The calculated band structures for the donor slabs of the two salts κ -(MDT-TTF)₂AuI₂[7] (MDT-TTF : (ethylenedithio)tetrathia-fulvalene) and κ -(EDT-TTF(CH₂OH))₂ClO⁴₄ (EDT-TTF(CH₂OH)) : (ethylenedithio hydroxy-methyl)tetrathiafulvalene) are almost indistinguishable [8, 9] meaning that the different donor ... donor interactions in these slabs are extremely similar, and yet the first is metallic and superconducting at 4.5 K [7] whereas the second is insulating [8]. The corollary of these and many other similar observations is that the κ -phases probably lie at the bordeline of the validity range of the delocalized and localized descriptions of their electronic structure.

(BEDT-TTF)₄PtCl₆ · C₆H₅CN [10, 11] is an intriguing addition to the growing arsenal of κ phases. This salt undergoes a first-order transition at 250 K after which it becomes insulating [10]. The temperature dependence of the conductivity before the transition is very weak although it seems it is weakly activated. This contrasts with the fact that during the same temperature range it shows temperature independent paramagnetic susceptibility [10], as if it was metallic. An interesting feature of the 250 K transition is the associated change in the crystal structure. The unit cell of $(BEDT-TTF)_4PtCl_6$ C₆H₅CN before the transition contains two nonequivalent cation slabs whereas after the transition the two slabs become identical. In this paper we report our study of the crystal and electronic structures of $(BEDT-TTF)_{4}PtCl_{6} \cdot C_{6}H_{5}CN$ at 293 K and 218 K, i.e., before and after the transition, in order to unravel the origin of the first-order transition and to provide some rationale for the reported physical properties of this salt. Our study suggests that the transition in $(BEDT-TTF)_{4}PtCl_{6} \cdot C_{6}H_{5}CN$ is quite unique among the κ -phases.

Crystal structure of $(BEDT-TTF)_{4}PtCl_{6}C_{6}H_{5}CN$ before (293 K) and after (218 K) the first-order transition.

A projection of the 293 K crystal structure of $(BEDT-TTF)_4PtCl_6 \cdot C_6H_5CN$ along the *a* direction is shown in figure 1 BEDT-TTF cation radical layers parallel to the *ab* plane alternate with layers of $PtCl_6^{2-}$ and C_6H_5CN along the *c* direction. As shown in figure 2 which is a projection view of one of the donor layers along the direction of the central C=C bond, the BEDT-TTF layers are built from almost orthogonal BEDT-TTF dimers, as in the usual κ -phases. However, in the present case the two BEDT-TTF slabs are crystallographically nonequivalent layer \mathcal{A} contains centrosymmetric dimers (I-I₁) and (II-II₁) whereas layer \mathcal{B} contains centrosymmetric dimers (III-III₁). In layer \mathcal{A} one of the two ethylene groups of II is disordered whereas in layer \mathcal{B} the two ethylene groups of both III and IV are disordered [11].

The cation radical sublattice of $(BEDT-TTF)_4PtCl_6 \cdot C_6H_5CN$ at 218 K, i.e., after the firstorder transition, is such that the two BEDT-TTF layers become identical. I and II are related by a translation c' = c/2 with III and IV. Thus, the cation sublattice of the 218 K structure has a periodicity of c' = c/2 perpendicular to the cation radical layers [11]. The ethylene groups of both I and II do not exhibit any disorder. As shown in table I, where the unit cell parameters of the 293 K and 218 K structures are reported, the remaining changes are quite small except for a slight decrease of the total volume.

To summarize, although by construction of its cation radical slabs, (BEDT-TTF)₄PtCl₆ · C₆H₅CN belongs to the general class of the κ -phases, there is a noticeable difference with all other BEDT-TTF salts of this family. In (BEDT-TTF)₄PtCl₆ · C₆H₅CN the two almost orthogonal dimers which constitute the elemental motif of the cation radical layers are non equivalent both crystallographically and in terms of the intradimer mode of overlap. This leads to the very low symmetry of the crystal structure (space groupe $P\bar{1}$) which



Fig. 1. — Projection along the *a* direction of the 293 K crystal structure of $(BEDT-TTF)_4PtCl_6 \cdot C_6H_5CN$. The four different types of BEDT-TTF centrosymmetric dimers (I-I₁) (II II₁) (III-III₁) and (IV-IV₁) are indicated.



Fig. 2. — Projection view of one of the donor layers in $(BEDT-TTF)_4PtCl_6 C_6H_5CN$ along the direction of the central C=C bond of the donors showing the typical κ -phase topology.

	293 K	218 K (*)	
<i>a</i> (Å)	8.661(2)	8.582(3)	
b (Å)	11.960(5)	11.891(6)	
c(Å)	34.690(7)	17.512(4)	
α (°)	82.97(3)	81.55(3)	
β (°)	87.21(2)	86.65(2)	
γ(°)	94.97(3)	. 94.44(3)	
V (Å ³)	3 546	1 758	
1			

Table I — Unit cell parameters for (BEDT-TTF)₄PtCl₆ C₆H₅CN at 293 K and 218 K.

(`) The parameters for the cation sublattice are given.

distinguishes the present salt from the other BEDT-TTF κ -phases. How this non equivalence affects the electronic structure of this salt is considered in detail in the next section. Finally it is worth mentioning that (BEDT-TTF)₄PtCl₆ · C₆H₅CN is the first κ -phase exhibiting a first-order phase transition.

Electronic structure of $(BEDT-TTF)_4PtCl_6 \cdot C_6H_5CN$ and origin of the first-order transition.

In order to understand the origin of the 250 K transition and how the structural changes noted in the previous section are related to the change in the transport properties, we have studied the electronic structure of the BEDT-TTF layers before and after the transition. Our tight-binding band structure calculations [12] use an extended Hückel type Hamiltonian [13] and a double- ζ basis set [14] for all atoms except hydrogen. The exponents, contraction coefficients and parameters were taken from previous work [15].

A. ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE AFTER THE TRANSITION. — After the 250 K transition, the cation radical sublattice contains just one type of BEDT-TTF layer built from two BEDT-TTF dimers. With the formal oxidation required by the stoichiometric formula, $(BEDT-TTF)_4^2$, there are six electrons per unit cell to fill the four HOMO bands. In contrast with the other κ -phases, the two BEDT-TTF dimers of the layer are crystallographically non equivalent so that



Fig. 3. — Dispersion relations for the HOMO bands of the donor slab in (BEDT-TTF)₄PtCl₆ C₆H₅CN ut 218 K. *Г*, *X*, *Y*, *M* and *S* refer to the wave vectors (0, 0), (*a* /2, 0), (0 *b* /2), (*a* /2, *b* /2), (*b* /2), (*a* /2, *b* /2), (*a* /2, *b* /2), (*b* /2), (*a* /2, *b* /2), (*a* /2, *b* /2), (*b* /2), (*a* /2, *b* /2), (*a* /2), (*a* /2, *b* /2), (*b* /2), (*a* /2), (*a* /2), (*b* /2), (

there is no symmetry reason why the third and fourth bands (from bottom) should be degenerate at the border of the Brillouin zone leading to a metallic type filling. Thus it is not clear whether there is or not a band gap between these bands. The calculated band structure for the BEDT-TTF slabs of (BEDT-TTF)₄PtCl₆ C_6H_5CN using the crystal structure at 218 K is reported in figure 3. The upper HOMO band is clearly separated from the next lower one by a band gap. Calculations for the full Brillouin zone confirm the existence of a band gap of 0.12 eV. This result is in agreement with the activated conductivity and susceptibility behavior of (BEDT-TTF)₄PtCl₆ C_6H_5CN after the 250 K transition [10].

B. ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE BEFORE THE TRANSITION. — The calculated dispersion relations for layers \therefore and \mathscr{B} of the crystal structure of (BEDT-TTF)₄PtCl₆ C₆H₅CN at 293 K are shown in figures 4a and 4b, respectively. Although much smaller (0.02 eV), there is also a band gap between the third and fourth bands from botton of the donor layer \therefore (Fig. 4a). In this and almost every other detail, the band structure of the donor layers after the transition (Fig. 3) and that of layer \therefore before the transition (Fig. 4a) are extremely similar. The calculated band structure for layer \mathscr{B} of the 293 K structure is however very different. The two higher HOMO bands overlap so that the Fermi level for an electron filling of (BEDT-TTF)₄²⁺ (shown as a dotted line in Fig. 4b) cuts both of these bands. Thus the associated Fermi surface (shown in





Fig. 4. — Dispersion relations for the HOMO bands of (a) layer \mathfrak{t} and (b) layer \mathfrak{B} of (BEDT-TTF)₄PtCl₆ C₆H₅CN at 293 K. *I*, *X*, *Y*, *M* and *S* refer to the wave vectors (0, 0) (*a* /2, 0) (0, *b* /2), (*a* /2, *b* /2), (*-a* /2, *b* /2), respectively. The dotted line in (b) refers to the Fermi level. (c) Fermi surface associated with the partially filled bands of (b).

Fig. 4c) contains hole pockets centered at Y and electron pockets centered at M. This Fermi surface differs from all other Fermi surfaces reported for BEDT-TTF κ -phases in that both the electron and hole pockets are closed [9].

C. BEDT-TTF OXIDATION STATES AND DONOR --- DONOR INTERACTIONS. --- The previous results clearly show that the 250 K transition is mainly associated with the opening of a band gap between to overlapping bands is usually associated with modifications of the donor --- donor intermolecular interactions. Thus, in order to discuss the origin of the 250 K transition we report in table II the calculated values of the $\beta_{HOMO-HOMO}$ interaction energies [16] for the different BEDT-TTF --- BEDT-TTF intermolecular interactions associated with S --- S contacts shorter than 4.0 Å in the 218 K and 293 K structures of (BEDT-TTF)₄PtCl₆ C₆H₅CN. These interaction energies reflect the strength of the interaction between a pair of BEDT-TTF HOMOs in adjacent sites of the crystal and give important information relating the crystal and electronic structures of molecular solids. $\beta_{HOMO-HOMO}$ interaction energies for different κ -phases have also been reported by Whangbo *et al.* [9].



Donors II, Π_i (IV, IV_i)

If the slabs 2 are viewed as being built from parallel chains of BEDT-TTF dimers along approximately the a-direction, the different interaction energies in table II can be classified in three different groups : (a) those associated with intradimer interactions (A and B), (b) those associated with interdimer interactions (C and D), and (c) those associated with interdimer interchain interactions (E, F, G and H). According to the calculated values of table II, the intradimer interactions are always considerably larger than both the interchain and intrachain interdimer interactions. This is not always the case as shown by the $\beta_{HOMO-HOMO}$ interaction energies reported by Whangbo *et al.* [9]. For instance, there is no net separation between the interchain and intrachain interdimer interactions for κ -(BEDT-TTF)₂Cu(NCS)₂ or κ -(BEDT-TTF)₂I₃. The situation for the present salt is however quite similar to that of κ -(MDT-TTF)₂AuI₂ [9] which is metallic and superconducting at 4.5 K [7]. In the present N° 10

context, however, the important result of table II is that there are very large changes in the intradimer interactions whereas most of the interdimer interactions remain remarkably constant. This strongly suggests that the reason for the gap opening at 250 K is to be found in the inner structure of the different dimers and not in the interdimer interactions.

Shown in figure 5 are two different views of the four dimers $(I-I_1)$, $(II-II_1)$, $(III-III_1)$ and $(IV-III_1)$ IV₁) found in the crystal structure at 293 K. Those of the crystal structure at 218 K are very similar to those of figures 5a and 5b and thus are not shown. Let us recall that dimers (I-I,) (Fig. 5a) and (II-II₁) (Fig. 5b) are those of layer A before the transition and also those of the salt after the transition, whereas dimers (III-III₁) (Fig. 5c) and (IV-IV₁) (Fig. 5d) are those of layer B before the transition. The dimers in figures 5a and 5c are of the so-called bond-over-ring type. This is the dimer overlap mode found in almost all κ -phases presently known. The dimers of figures 5b and 5d are also of the bond-over-ring type, but now, the central C=C bond of one donor is over a six membered ring of the other donor, in contrast with those of figures 5a and 5c, where the central C = C bond of one donor is over a five membered ring of the other donor. Thus the top projection views of figure 5 suggest that the overlap modes of dimers (II-II,) (Fig. 5b) of layer A and (IV-IV) (Fig. 5d) of layer B are similar as are those of dimers (I-I) (Fig. 5a) of layer .4 and (III-III₁) (Fig. 5c) of layer 3. The side views of figure 5 reveal however an interesting difference : whereas the dimers (II-II,) and (IV-IV,) are effectively very similar, the dimers $(I-I_i)$ and $(III-III_i)$ are very different despite the similar overlap mode. It is to be noted that the β interaction energies of table II are quite similar for dimers (II-II₁) and (IV-



Fig. 5. — Two different projection views of the BEDT-TTF dimens in the 293 K structure of $(BEDT-TTF)_4PtCl_6 C_6H_5CN :$ (a) (I I₁) (b) (II II₁) (c) (III-III₁) and (d) (IV-IV₁).

 IV_1) at 293 K, i.e., 0.308 vs. 0.266, but quite different for dimers (I-I₁) and (III-III₁), i.e., 0.340 vs. 0.504. Thus, it is tempting to associate the 250 K transition with the intradimer change occurring in dimer (III-III₁) of layer \mathcal{B} .

The dimer (I-I₁) is completely different from the other three dimers of figure 5, because of both the different conformation of the six membered rings and the quite strong deviation from planarity of the π framework of BEDT-TTF. As a matter of fact, the dimer shown in figure 5a is the same found in the crystal structure of neutral BEDT-TTF [17]. This immediately suggests that dinner (I-I₁) of layer .4 at 293 K is build from two BEDT-TTF⁰ molecules and consequently that dimer (II-II,) of the same layer is build from two BEDT-TTF⁺ cations. Thus, $(BEDT-TTF)_4PtCl_6 \cdot C_6H_5CN$ would be the first BEDT-TTF charge transfer salt containing the basic structural motif of neutral BEDT-TTF, i.e., the [BEDT-TTF 0], dimer. This receives strong support from examination of the central C=C bond distances in the crystal structure at 293 K. The distance is noticeably shorter for I (1.338 Å) than for II (1.374 Å). The same is true for the 218 K structure : 1.341 Å for I and 1.378 Å for II. As a comparison, the distances found for BEDT-TTF⁺ in (BEDT-TTF)Ag₁(CN)₅ [18] and BEDT-TTF⁰ in neutral BEDT-TTF [17] are 1.38 Å and 1.32 Å, respectively. By contrast, the central C=C bond distances found in the dimers (III-III₁) and (IV-IV₁) of layer *B* at 293 K are quite similar: 1.364 Å for III and 1 370 Å for IV. These values are not only quite similar but also very close to those found for $(BEDT-TTF)^{1/2+}$ in β -BEDT-TTF₂I₃ (1.362 Å) [19]. All these observations suggest that BEDT-TTF is found in three different oxidation states (0, +1/2 and +1)in the room temperature crystal structure of $(BEDT-TTF)_4PtCl_6 \cdot C_6H_5CN$.

Suggestive as it may be, the previous crystal structure analysis can not be taken as conclusive. In order to have a more quantitative basis for our interpretation we need to consider the electronic structure of the BEDT-TTF dimers. Every BEDT-TTF HOMO leads to a bonding (HOMO₊) and a antibonding (HOMO₋) combinations for the dimer. Shown in figures 6a-d are the molecular orbital diagrams for the dimers shown in figures 5a-d, respectively. At the right of each diagram we report the HOMO level of the monomer and at left the HOMO_ and HOMO_ levels of the dimer. A first observation is that the HOMO levels of III and IV are quite similar (0.1 eV) whereas there is a large energy difference between those of I and II (0.5 eV). In addition, the HOMO of II is the highest. As noted before the overlap mode of dimers (II-II₁) and (IV-IV₁) are similar and so are the calculated β interaction energies. The overlap mode of dimers (I-I₁) and (III-III₁) is also similar but the β interaction energies are quite different. This is due to the nonplanarity of the π backbone in I which makes the interaction sizeably smaller. The final outcome is that the HOMO_ levels of the two dimers in layer B lie at very similar energies (see Figs. 6c and 6d), whereas there is a large energy difference between the HOMO_ levels of the two dimers in layer A (see Figs. 6a and 6b). This is a significant result because they are the HOMO_ levels which are going to lead to the highest HOMO band of the layer, 1e. the empty band in figure 4a. When two levels on neighboring dimers interact, the mixing between the two levels is, as a first approximation, directly proportional to the interaction matrix element and inversely proportional to the energy difference between the two levels [20]. Since, as shown by the results of table II, the interaction matrix elements are similar for layers \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , it is the energy difference between the dimer levels which plays the leading role in determining the degree of mixing of these levels. The HOMO_ levels of figures 6c and 6d are not far apart so that the HOMO_ levels of the two different dimers of layer 3 mix strongly to lead to the higher HOMO bands of figure 4b. Thus, both dimers (III-III,) and (IV-IV,) contribute with a comparable weight to the wave functions associated with the empty band wave vectors of figure 4b, i.e., the oxidation state of the donors III and IV is + 1/2. By contrast, there is an important energy difference between the HOMO_ levels of figures 6a and 6b which is quite large compared with the



Fig. 6. — Molecular orbital diagrams for the BEDT-TTF dimers in the 293 K structure of $(BEDT-TTF)_4PtCl_6 \cdot C_6H_5CN :$ (a) $(I-I_1)$ (b) $(II-II_1)$ (c) $(III-III_1)$ and (d) $(IV-IV_1)$.

interdimer interchain interaction matrix elements [21]. As a consequence, the HOMO_ levels of the two different dimers practically do not mix and the highest band of figure 4a, which is empty, is made of the HOMO_ levels of dimer (II-II₁) whereas the band build from the HOMO_ levels of dimer (II-II₁) is full Consequently, the oxidation state of the donors I and II are 0 and + 1, respectively.

D. ORIGIN OF THE TRANSITION AND PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF (BEDT-TTF)₄PtCl₆ · C₆H₅CN. — It is clear from our results (see Figs. 3, 4a and 4b) that the transition at 250 K is associated with the opening of a band gap in layer \mathcal{B} . The similarity of the interdimer interaction energies of table II suggests that it is not a change in the interdimer interactions which is responsible for the opening of this band gap. Our study of the geometrical and electronic structure of the different dimers clearly shows that the opening of the band gap is associated with the change on the oxidation state of the donors III and IV of layer \mathcal{B} from + 1/2 to 0 and + 1, respectively. Thus, the transition is associated with a *structural localization* [22] as opposed to the *electronic localization* which is believed to be at the origin of the nonmetallic properties of other κ -phases. The question which remains to be answered is : what is the driving force for this structural localization ? It could be thought that the disproportionation of two [BEDT-TTF^{+1/2}]₂ dimers in one [BEDT-TTF⁺¹]₂ and one [BEDT-TTF⁰]₂ is the essential factor lowering the energy of the system. We consider unlikely this possibility because in that case it is not easy to understand why such phenomenon has not already been observed in the many existing κ -phase salts.

Table II. — Absolute values of the $\beta_{HOMO-HOMO}$ interaction energies (eV) calculated for the different BEDT-TTF \cdots BEDT-TTF interactions in the 218 K and 293 K crystal structures of (BEDT-TTF)₄PtCl₆ · C₆H₅CN (see 2 for labelling).

Interaction	293 K structure		218 K structure
type	layert	layer B	
A	0.340	0.504	0.402
В	0.308	0.266	0.343
С	0.207	0.208	0.203
D	0.145	0.191	0.155
E	0.098	0.111	0.091
F	0.090	0.099	0.079
G	0.067	0.018	0.068
H	0.054	0.068	0.063

Until now we have completely disregarded the role of the $PtCl_6^{2-}$ anions. Our calculations show that the energy levels of $PtCl_6^2$ are practically identical before and after the transition. Thus a change in the amons structure which induces the disproportionation in the donor layer should also be discarded. The only remaining possibility is that the disproportionation is induced in the donor slab to optimize the donor-acceptor interactions. We recall that the disproportionation is associated with a strong conformational change in the two donors of dimer (III-III,) to adopt the structure of neutral BEDT-TTF. A detailed analysis of the short donor-acceptor contacts before and after the transition strongly suggests that this is indeed the most likely possibility. All the short S - Cl contacts before and after the transition are associated with the six-membered ring sulfur atoms. These contacts before the transition are : 3.433, 3.410, 3.635, 3.474 Å and are associated with the donors I II, III and IV, respectively. The short contacts after the transition become 3.404, 3.413, 3.404, 3.413 Å for the same donors, respectively. Thus there is almost no change for the donors of layer .4 but a large change for donor III of layer B. This shortening coupled with the conformational change of the CH₂-CH₂ group of the six membered ring in donor III leads to much better H ~ Cl hydrogen bonding interactions which stabilize the low temperature structure. We believe this is the driving force for the 250 K first-order transition.

Finally, let us comment on the physical properties of $(BEDT-TTF)_4PtCl_6 \cdot C_6H_5CN$. According to our calculations, this salt should be metallic before the transition and non magnetic and insulating after the transition. The conductivity and magnetic susceptibility measurements after the transition [10] agree with our results. The transport properties before the transition are more puzzling because it is reported that the salt has weakly activated conductivity but temperature independent paramagnetic susceptibility [10]. We believe the temperature independent paramagnetic susceptibility is associated with layer \mathcal{B} . The origin of the weakly activated conductivity is unclear. It could be an artifact due to the relatively small number of data points. By analogy with other κ -phases, it can be thought that the disorder on the external CH₂-CH₂ groups of the layer \mathcal{B} donors is enough to suppress the metallic properties of the layer although the conductivity remains high. In such a case, the electrons would probably be localized in the dimers, which from the electronic viewpoint are the N° 10

250 K would be the result of the transition from a Mott-Hubbard to a forbidden energy gap conductivity regimes. However, this possibility seems to be in conflict with the observation of temperature independent paramagnetic susceptibility. In view of the present study the transport properties of this quite unique salt certainly deserve further attention.

Acknowledgments.

We are greatly indebted with V. Laukhin (Chernogolovka) for an invaluable discussion concerning the physical properties of $(BEDT-TTF)_4PtCl_6 \cdot C_6H_5CN$. E.C. thanks J. Fontcuberta (Barcelona) and J.-P. Pouget (Orsay) for several discussions during this work. R.P.S. thanks the Ministère de l'Education Supérieure et de la Recherche (France) for a Senior Visiting Scientist fellowship, Patrick Batail and all the members of his group for their kind hospitality in Orsay, and the Russian Foundation for Fundamental Research (Gr. n° 93-03-18312).

References

- Williams J. M., Schultz A., Geiser U., Carlson K. D., Kini A. M., Wang H.-H., Kwok W.-K., Whangbo M.-H., Schirber J. E., Science 251 (1991) 1501.
- [2] Ishiguro T., Yamaji K., Organic Superconductors (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990)
- [3] Williams J. M., Ferraro J. R., Thorn R. J., Carlson K. D., Geiser U., Wang H.-H., Kini A. M., Whangbo M.-H., Organic Superconductors (Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 1992).
- [4] Kini A. M., Geiser U., Wang H.-H., Carlson K. D., Williams J. M., Kwok W. K., Vandervoot K. G., Thompson J. E., Stupka D. L., Jung D., Whangbo M.-H., *Inorg. Chem.* 29 (1990) 2555.
- [5] Shibaeva R. P., Rozenberg L. P., Yagubskii E. B., Kushch N. D., Crystallogr. Rep. 38 (1993) 474.
- [6] Williams J. M., Kini A. M., Wang H.-H., Carlson K. D., Geiser U., Montgomery L. K., Pyrka G. L., Watkins D. M., Kommers J. M., Boryschuk S. J., Crouch A. V. S., Kwok W. K., Schirber J. E., Overmyer D. L., Jung D., Whangbo M.-H., *Inorg. Chem.* 29 (1990) 3272.
- [7] Papavassiliou G. C., Mousdis G. A., Zambounis J. S., Terzis A., Hountas S., Hilti B., Mayer C., Pfeiffer W., J. Synth. Met. 27 (1988) B379.
- [8] Blanchard P., Boubekeur K., Sallé M., Duguay G., Jubault M., Gorgues A., Martin J. D., Canadell E., Auban-Senzier P., Jérome D., Batail P., Adv Mat. 4 (1992) 579.
- [9] Jung D., Evain M., Novoa J. J., Whangbo M.-H., Beno M. A., Kim A. M., Schultz A. J., Williams J. M., Nigrey P. J., *Inorg. Chem.* 28 (1989) 4516.
- [10] Galimzyanov A. A., Ignatev A. A., Kushch N. D., Laukhin V. N., Makova M. K., Merzhanov V. A., Rozenberg L. P., Shibaeva R. P., Yagubskii E. B., Synth. Met. 33 (1989) 81.
- [11] Korotkov V. E., Molchanov V. N., Shibaeva R. P., Sov Phys Crystallogi. 37 (1972) 776.
- [12] Whangbo M.-H., Hoffmann R., J. Am Chem Soc. 100 (1978) 6093.
- [13] Hoffmann R., *I. Chem. Phys.* 39 (1963) 1397.
 A modified Wolfsberg-Helmholz formula (Ammeter J., Bürgi H.-B., Thibeault J., Hoffmann R., *I Am. Chem. Soc* 100 (1978) 3686) was used to evaluate the nondiagonal H_{u1} values.
- [14] Clementi E., Roetti C., At. Nucl. Data Tables 14 (1974) 177.
- [15] Pénicaud A., Boubekeur K., Batail P., Canadell E., Auban-Senzier P., Jérôme D., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 115 (1993) 4101.
- [16] (a) Whangbo M.-H., Williams J. M., Leung P. C. W., Beno M. A., Emge T. J., Wang H.-H., *Inorg. Chem.* 24 (1985) 3500.
 - (b) Williams J. M., Wang H.-H., Emge T. J., Geiser U., Beno M. A., Leung P. C. W., Carlson K. D., Thorn R. J., Schultz A. J., Whangbo M.-H., Prog Inorg. Chem. 35 (1987) 51.

- (c) Since overlap is explicitly included in extended Hückel calculations, these interaction energies (β) should not be confused with the conventional transfer integrals (t). Although the two quantities are obviously related and have the same physical meaning, the absolute values of β are somewhat greater than those of t.
- [17] Kobayashi H., Kobayashi A., Sasaki Y., Saito G., Inokuchi H., Bull Chem. Soc Jpn 59 (1986) 301.
- [18] Geiser U., Wang H.-H., Gerdom L., Firestone M. A., Sowa L., Williams J. M., Whangbo M.-H., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 107 (1985) 8305.
- [19] Shibaeva R. P., Kaminskii V. F., Bel'skii V. K., Soc. Phys. Crystallogr. 29 (1984) 638.
- [20] Albright T., Burdett J. K., Whangbo M.-H., Orbital Interactions in Chemistry (Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1984).
- [21] Because overlap is explicitely included in our extended Hückel calculations, the β interaction energies have to be corrected by an overlap term which makes them smaller. Thus the effective interaction matrix elements are smaller than the values in table II
- [22] Shaik S. S., Whangbo M.-H., Inorg Chem 25 (1986) 1201