

VOLUMIC FACETING OF SMECTIC-A LIQUID CRYSTALS

J. Fournier, Geoffroy Durand

▶ To cite this version:

J. Fournier, Geoffroy Durand. VOLUMIC FACETING OF SMECTIC-A LIQUID CRYSTALS. Journal de Physique Colloques, 1990, 51 (C7), pp.C7-157-C7-162. 10.1051/jphyscol:1990715 . jpa-00231114

HAL Id: jpa-00231114 https://hal.science/jpa-00231114

Submitted on 4 Feb 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

VOLUMIC FACETING OF SMECTIC-A LIQUID CRYSTALS

J.B. FOURNIER and G. DURAND

Laboratoire de Physique des Solides, Bât 510, F-91405 Orsay, France

RESUME - Les coniques focales qui décorent les interfaces Smectique-A/ Isotrope sont des défauts de volume intrinsèques qui relaxent l'anisotropie des energies d'interface. Elle réalisent, pour les systèmes lamellaires un véritable facetage en volume, analogue au facetage de surface des cristaux usuels à trois dimensions.

ABSTRACT - The focal conics decorating the Smectic-A/ Isotropic interfaces are intrinsic bulk defects. They relax the surface energy anisotropy, realizing for lamellar systems a volumic faceting analogous to the surface faceting of usual three dimentionnal cristals.

I) INTRODUCTION

Smectic-A (Sm-A) are lamellar liquid cristalline phases made by the piling of two-dimentionnal (2D) liquid layers. Inside each layer, rod-like molecules are oriented with their long axis normal to the lamellae. Sm-A phases are then both 1D-crystals and 2D-liquids (Fig.1a). In 1910, G.Friedel and F.Grandjean observed Sm-A drops laying on a glass substrate^[1], with a polarizing optical microscope. Such drops did not show "ideal" Sm-A phases with parallel equidistant planar layers, but regular networks of defects. These defects, called "Focal Conics" (FC)^[2] are now well-known and characteristic of lamellar phases. The FC structure consists in bulk domains in which the Sm-A layers are curved at constant thickness, because lamellae curvature involves much less distortion energy than layer dilation^[3]. The curvature energy density scales like $\sim K/L^2$, K being a traditionnal elastic constant (K~10⁻⁶ cgs) and L a typical curvature radius; the FC bulk curvature energy scales then as $\sim KL$, L being the size of the FC domain^[4]. Such a structure requires topological singularities ("disclinations") where the Sm-A locally melts. Obviously their energy should not exceed the total bulk distortion energy; therefore, with our previous argument, only *line* singularities are aceptables (*not* surfaces). In the most general case, the disclination lines are "confocal" *ellipses* and conjugated *hyperbolae* (Fig. 1b).



FCs are quite always present in Sm-A samples. They are tradionnally explained by substrate induced antagonistic "anchoring directions" of the Sm-A layers, forcing layer curvature. Note that, long before X-rays structural studies, it is from the very observation of confocal ellipses and hyperbolae visible FCs defect lines that G.Friedel and F.Grandjean first deduced the layered structure of Sm-A phases. From then, the geometrical features of FC domains have been deeply studied^[5] but many physical problems concerning their stability and their origin are still unsolved.

II) THE Sm-A PLATE INSTABILITY

Investigation of processes occuring during FC nucleation requires dynamical studies and attention to interfacial phenomena. From this point of view, we have reproduced^[6] in Orsay the old Sm-A drop experiment of G.Friedel and F.Grandjean who originally obtained FC networks after cooling an Isotropic(Iso) droplet toward the Sm-A phase. We have controlled the thickness of the Sm-A plate growing from the top air interface of the Iso droplet with a well-defined thermal gradient. The FC networks does appear when the Sm-A plate is still in contact with the Iso phase (Fig. 2a) and disappears at contact with the glass substrate when treated ("silane" coating) to induce parallel layer/substrate orientation. These interfacial floating networks are energetically stable. The Sm-A plate thickness is the reversible control parameter fixing the size r(h) of the FCs. For low thicknesses, the stable state is a monocristalline plate without defects, the Sm-A layers being parallel to both interfaces; above a thickness threshold, the FC network is created by a first-order textural instability.



The density drop at the air interface forces the Sm-A layers to grow *parallel* to the interface^[7]. Then, instead of the assumed antagonistic smectic layer anchoring on the glass substrate, we introduced an *anisotropy* $\Delta Y = Y_{//}^{-} Y_{\perp}$, of the *Sm-A/Iso* interfacial energy favoring the orientation of the layers *perpendicular* to this interface and inducing the *FC* network curvature (Fig.2b). A *FC* of radius *r* (*r~h*) relaxes a surface energy $\sim \Delta Y r^2$, but implies a curvature energy $\sim Kr$ and is therefore *stable* above a typical size $l_0 \sim K/\Delta Y$. A precise model giving the thresholds and the law *r*(*h*) is given in Ref.6 and leads to $\Delta Y \sim 0.05$ cgs and $l_0 \sim 0.1-1$ µm. Note that a similar characteristic length was already introduced^[8] in another context.

III) FOCAL CONICS AS VOLUMIC FACETS

The previous experiment has shown that not only external solid substrates but also "natural boundary" interfacial anisotropies, such as the *Sm-A/Iso* one, can induce FCs. It is of some interest to compare this phenomenon, which seems general for lamellar systems, with *3D*-crystals *faceting*, i.e: What would be the equilibrium shape of a *Sm-A* closed volume having nucleated inside the *Iso* phase? We keep assuming the minimal surface energy to be reached for layers *perpendicular* to the interface. If one forbids bulk distortions, as for *3D*-crystals, the equilibrium shape is obtained by minimizing the interfacial energy for a fixed total volume, i.e. by solving the Wulf's problem^[9]

$$\oint \gamma \, dS + \alpha V = \min \tag{1}$$

with the implicit assumption that the cristalline volume ordering will not change in the process. For a given γ function, the solution corresponds to a defined *homothetical* shape (Fig. 3a) given by "Wulf's construction" in which the relative surface areas of low energy increase. Note that facets are due to discontinuities in the derivatives of γ near orientations of *low* Miller indices (cristallines planes). In the case of smectics which are *soft 1D*-crystals, a volume contribution describing the free energy f_d associated with all possible volume distortions^[3] must be added in Eq.1, so that the generalized Wulf's problem now reads :

$$\oint \gamma \, \mathrm{d}S + \int f_{\mathrm{d}} \, \mathrm{d}V + \alpha \mathrm{V} = \min \tag{2}$$

As for FCs, it can be shown that only curvature energy plays an important role for macroscopic sizes. However, mathematical solutions of *this* new problem are unknown. We will show that solutions of much *lower energy* than Wulf's one can be obtained if bulk FCs are included. From the homothetical property, the energy of Wulf's solution (Fig. 3b) scales like $W_w \sim \alpha \gamma L^2$, in which γ is a mean value defined by the integration of the surface energy. Placing a "revolution" FC (circle-straight line - Fig. 3a) of homothetical radius $R \propto L$ sets a better layer/surface orientation, closer to the perpendicular one, on one end. It reduces the surface energy down to $W_s \sim \alpha \gamma_{FC} L^2$, with $\gamma_{FC} < \gamma$. Since the FC energy $\sim KL$ is negligible compared to surface terms $\sim L^2$, this solution is better than Wulf's one for L>>l_o (Fig. 3c). FCs should then be present in equilibrium shapes above the size l_o since they relax a finite part of the surface energy.



We can distinguish three regimes :*i*) $L < l_o$, Wulf's solution is valid since FCs involve too high energies (Fig. 4a). For usual thermotropic Sm-A, l_o is quasi sub-micronic and then hardly visible. *ii*) $L > l_o$: A mixed "Wulf's type" surface plus bulk FCs should correspond to equilibrium. Real "batonnets" (see Friedel[2] drawings sketched on Fig. 4b) may have external surfaces out of equilibrium resulting from dynamical growth processes, but are well explained by our model. The FC distribution inside real "bâtonnets" can be *erased* with an aligning electrical field and instantly *reappears* when the field is switched off . FCs do then correspond to equilibrium states. *iii*) $L \rightarrow \infty$; In that limit, we can predict an interesting asymptotic shape in which the surface orientation is *everywhere optimal*. The external surface must then be a sphere with a radial FC network relaxing all the

anisotropic part of γ (Fig. 4c). Such a solution has indeed an optimal assymptotic energy $W \rightarrow 4\pi L^2 \gamma_{\min}$, L been the radius of the sphere and $\gamma_{\min} \equiv \gamma_{\perp}$. The existence of these assymptotic shapes could be studied in low gravity experiments.



In the limit of large sizes, a Sm-A/Iso interface of any given shape can be relaxed by an adequate FC distribution (Fig. 5a) with the ellipses lying on the interface. To avoid elastic strains between FCs, FCs must be limited to *bi-conical domains* (Fig. 1b), the neighbouring domains being tangent along the generatrices of the cones[5]. FC bi-conical domains have the interesting property that the Sm-A layers are everywhere perpendicular to the boundary, which we know corresponds to the minimal Sm-Allso surface energy. Therefore such FC bi-conical domains appear as individuel "bricks" of lowest surface energy for the bulk texture construction. This is qualitatively analogous to the construction of a 3D-crystal surface equilibrium shape with facets being the individual bricks of lowest energy (Fig. 5b). One often observes "second FC generations"[2] (right part of Fig. 5a) and intersticial smaller FCs. This would correspond to the appearance of smaller facets of higher Miller indices sets as also sketched on Fig.5b. The smooth parts between facets would correspond to the assumed intersticial spherical filling[10] between biconical FC domains. It follows that FCs are intrinsic defects of Sm-A in contact with their isotropic phase, the FC bi-conical domains playing the role of "volumic facets" for equilibrium shapes.



IV) CONCLUSION

The plate instability was created by two antagonistic parallel/perpendicular favored orientations. More generally, the unique perpendicular favored orientation of the Sm-A/Isotropic interface alone creates also topological constraints and leads, as previously shown, to volumic faceting by FC nucleation. This constraint in our euclidian R₃ space could be released in a *curved* space, as recently demonstrated in a 2D-model^[11]. A similar 3D-study remains to be done. FC appearance is a more general phenomenon in Sm-A, as shown for instance by the internal texture of Sm-A droplets inside mixtures of Sm-A-amorphous liquids^[2]. However, it has not been demonstrated that the anisotropy of these other Isotropic-smectic interfaces is here the leading creation mechanism. Another example is the one of short pitch "cholesterics" which do show FCs and behave as stiff lamellar phases. Finally, the possibility of surface energy minimization by volume curvature applies also to tubular systems (i.e. *ID*-liquids and *2D*-crystals) where non-compressive curvature is restrained to one direction. It would be interesting to check experimentally if the "developpable domains"^[12] of discotics, for instance, are intrinsic defects or not of the Iso/discotic interface.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Friedel and F. Grandjean, Bull. Soc. Fr. Miner. 33, 409 (1910)
- [2] G. Friedel, Ann. Phys. 18, 273 (1922)
- [3] P.G. de Gennes, The Physics of Liquid Crystals (Academic, New York, 1974)
- [4] M. Kleman, J.Phys. 38, 1511 (1977)
- [5] see for instance Y. Bouligand, J.Phys. (Paris) 33,525 (1972)
- [6] J.B. Fournier, I. Dozov and G. Durand, Phys. Rev. A 41, 2252 (1990)
- [7] P.S. Pershan, A. Braslau, A.H. Weiss and J. Als-Nielsen, Phys. Rev. A 35, 4800 (1987)
- [8] O.D. Lavrentovich, Sov. Phys. JETP 64 (5), 984 (1986)
- [9] see for instance L. Landau and E. Lifshitz, Statistical Physics (MIR, Moscow)
- [10] J.P. Sethna and M.Kleman, Phys. Rev. A 26 (5), 2037 (1982)
- [11] J.B. Fournier, J.F. Sadoc and G. Durand, Proceedings OTAN Workshop, Prevenza (1989)
- [12] M. Kleman, J. Phys. 41, 737 (1980)