



HAL
open science

CLUSTER FORMATION BY FAST HEAVY ION IMPACT ON METAL ACETATES

P. Weiland, K. Wien, S. Della-Negra, J. Depauw, H. Joret, Y. Le Beyec

► **To cite this version:**

P. Weiland, K. Wien, S. Della-Negra, J. Depauw, H. Joret, et al.. CLUSTER FORMATION BY FAST HEAVY ION IMPACT ON METAL ACETATES. International Workshop on MeV and KeV Ions and Cluster Interactions with Surfaces and Materials 2, 1988, Orsay, France. pp.C2-141-C2-145, 10.1051/jphyscol:1989224 . jpa-00229422

HAL Id: jpa-00229422

<https://hal.science/jpa-00229422>

Submitted on 4 Feb 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

CLUSTER FORMATION BY FAST HEAVY ION IMPACT ON METAL ACETATES

P. WEILAND, K. WIEN, S. DELLA-NEGRA*, J. DEPAUW*, H. JORET* and
Y. LE BEYEC*

*Institut für Kernphysik der Technischen Hochschule
Schlossgartenstrasse 9, D-6100 Darmstadt, F.R.G.*

**Institut de Physique Nucléaire, B.P. n°1, F-91406 Orsay Cedex, France*

Résumé

Récemment Jungclass et Schmidt ont observé qu'une cible d'acétate d'uranyle bombardée par des produits de fission de ^{252}Cf émet toute une série d'ions agrégats composés de groupements uranyle sans groupements acétate. La question posée est donc de savoir quelle sorte de mécanisme peut exclure la formation de groupements acétate. L'expérience avec des échantillons d'acétate d'uranyle a été recommencée à Orsay avec le spectromètre de masse à haute résolution SuperDEPIL et d'autres instruments. Des expériences avec d'autres types d'acétate ont de plus été réalisées à Darmstadt avec ^{252}Cf , acétate de cobalt(II), manganèse(II), lead(II), calcium et sodium.

Introduction

Recently Jungclass and Schmidt observed that solid uranyl acetate eject, under ^{252}Cf fission fragment bombardment, a pronounced series of cluster ion composites of uranyl groups, but not containing acetate groups. So far, it is an open question as to what kind of mechanism excludes the acetate groups from the cluster formation process [1].

The experiment with uranyl acetate was repeated in Orsay using the high resolution Super Depil spectrometer as well as other instruments. In addition, in Darmstadt other acetates were investigated with ^{252}Cf -PDMS as the acetates of cobalt(II), manganese(II), lead(II), calcium and sodium.

Experimental method

For the measurements in Darmstadt a time of flight mass-spectrometer with an acceleration path of 0.3 cm and a drift path of 64 cm was used. The set up of the ^{252}Cf -PDMS-spectrometer is shown in figure 1. One of the two ^{252}Cf -fission fragments which are ejected in opposite directions produces the start signal, the other one hits the target from the back and desorbs the secondary ions. The desorbed secondary ions were




Figure 1: Principle set up of the ^{252}Cf -PDMS spectrometer

accelerated by 10 kV. A mass resolution of $m/\Delta m \approx 900$ can be obtained with the apparatus. The used TDC is able to count 256 stop signals correlated to one start signal. The maximum resolution was about 0.5 ns and the dead time of the TDC about 30 ns. The samples were prepared in two different ways - by means of drying and electrospraying.

Drying means that an aqueous solution of the compound was dried on a blank aluminized polyesterfoil. The thickness of the foil was $350 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$. The typical concentration of the solution was in the order of 10 mg/ml H_2O . One of the problems of the drying method is, that the foil must be equally covered by the deposited compounds. If there are uncovered areas, cluster series of aluminium oxide appear in the TOF spectrum. To avoid this problem targets were prepared by the electrospray technique, with the compounds were dissolved in methanol. The solution was of the same concentration as in the aqueous one.

TOF-Mass Spectra

The experiment with uranyl acetate was repeated in Orsay. The mass spectra of the positive and negative ions are shown in figure 2. Cluster series up to $n=50$ were observed in the positive spectrum. In the negative spectrum cluster series up to $n=35$ are found. The cluster ions were composites of uranyl groups. Contributions from acetate groups were weak in negative cluster series and negligible in positive clusters.






Figure 2: Positive and negative ion spectrum of uranyl acetate

A comparison of the two spectra shows that the intensity of the positive cluster groups is higher than of the negative ones. The intensity of the cluster lines decreases with increasing n . The following cluster configurations were observed:



There were also some very weak series with acetate groups (figure 3):



Observed lines:





Figure 3: First positive and negative cluster group of uranyl acetate

One typical spectrum of the other metal acetates is shown in figure 4. The strongest observed cluster series for the positive ions is in all cases $(MO)_nH^+$ followed by $(MO)_nM^+$ [$M = Pb, Co, Mn$ and Ca]. Also $(MO)_nO_m^+$ and $(MO)_nO_m^-$ [$m \leq 4$] is found. In the negative mass spectra series of $(MO)_nOH^-$ appear with very strong intensities. The cluster lines of these series can also deprotonate. One weak series was $(MO)_n^-$.




Figure 4: Positive ions of lead(II) acetate of a dried and a sprayed sample

Pure metal clusters M_n^+ were only observed with lead acetate up to cluster numbers of about 25. The other compounds formed series of minor length. The investigation of the lead acetate series was complicated by the natural isotopic distribution. A comparison of the two target preparation methods shows that the number of observed cluster groups is higher for the sprayed target (figure 4). The time period of the measurements was the same in both cases, the intensities of the first cluster groups were of the same order of magnitude. The marked cluster groups consists of $(PbO)_nH^+$ and $(PbO)_{n-1}Pb^+$. Their intensity has a maximum at $n=2$. The yield of the pure metal clusters Pb_n^+ shows relative maxima at $n=7, n=10$ and $n=13$ (figure 4). In the dried spectrum there is also a relative maximum of the intensity at $n=14$. For this no explanation could be given.

Results

We obtained the following results:

1. With exception of sodium acetate all samples emitted series of cluster ions - in most cases up to high numbers of constituents ($n = 10 - 50$).
2. The basic cluster constituents were the metal oxides. Pure metal clusters M_n^+ formed series of minor length.
3. If the acetate group was involved in cluster formation, the corresponding yields were much smaller than that of the oxide cluster.

Conclusion

Two different extreme ways of cluster ion formation could be possible. First it could be a formation of small oxide groups via destruction of the matrix and then condensation to clusters in the gas phase. Secondly it could be a formation of clusters as matrix fragments and then evaporation of acetate groups. In the first case a certain number of inelastic collisions are needed between oxide molecules to build up clusters of high numbers. For the sprayed targets clusters of higher masses were observed; perhaps microclusters were performed by the spraying procedure at the surface.

References

- [1] H. Jungclas and L. Schmidt
Proceedings of the Third International Congress on " Ion Formation from Organic Solids " (IFOS IV)
Münster, Sept. 1987