

STUDY OF THE ELECTRIC FIELD GRADIENT IN EuBa2Cu3O7- δ ($\delta \approx 0$ AND $\delta \approx 1$) BY 151Eu MÖSSBAUER SPECTROSCOPY

P. Chaudouët, J. Sénateur, F. Weiss, P. de Réotier, P. Vulliet, A. Yaouanc

► To cite this version:

P. Chaudouët, J. Sénateur, F. Weiss, P. de Réotier, P. Vulliet, et al.. STUDY OF THE ELECTRIC FIELD GRADIENT IN EuBa2Cu3O7- δ ($\delta \approx 0$ AND $\delta \approx 1$) BY 151Eu MÖSS-BAUER SPECTROSCOPY. Journal de Physique Colloques, 1988, 49 (C8), pp.C8-2161-C8-2162. 10.1051/jphyscol:19888968. jpa-00229256

HAL Id: jpa-00229256 https://hal.science/jpa-00229256

Submitted on 4 Feb 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

STUDY OF THE ELECTRIC FIELD GRADIENT IN $EuBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$ ($\delta \simeq o$ AND $\delta \simeq 1$) BY ¹⁵¹Eu MÖSSBAUER SPECTROSCOPY

P. Chaudouët (¹), J. P. Sénateur (¹), F. Weiss (¹), P. Dalmas de Réotier (²), P. Vulliet (^{2, 3}) and A. Yaouanc $\binom{2}{}$

(¹) INPG/ENSPG, F-38042 Saint-Martin d'Hères Cedex, France

(2) CENG/DRF/SPh/MDIH 85X, F-38041 Grenoble Cedex, France
(3) Université Jospeh Fourier, Grenoble, France

Abstract. – We have measured the quadrupole interaction parameter and the asymmetry coefficient at the 151 Eu Mössbauer nucleus for EuBa₂Cu₃O_{7- δ}, $\delta \simeq 0$ and $\delta \simeq 1$. The measurements have been extended up to 420 K and 600 K respectively. From the temperature dependence of the electric field gradient parameter V_{zz}^{Eu} and the isomer shift we deduce that the contribution of the excited electronic state of Eu³⁺ to the measured parameters is stronger in the superconducting than in the semiconducting oxide.

A number of groups have already published results obtained by ¹⁵¹Eu Mössbauer spectroscopy on EuBa₂Cu₃O₇ [1] but only recently data have been presented on the temperature dependence of the quadrupole interaction parameter and the isomer shift for EuBa₂Cu₃O_{4- δ}, $\delta \simeq 0$ and $\delta \simeq 1$ [2]. The measurements were performed up to 420 K and 600 K for the $\delta \simeq 0$ and $\delta \simeq 1$ compound respectively. Here we give a first approach to the analysis of these data.

In these compounds the electric quadrupole interaction is unresolved [1] but a carefull analysis shows that the Mössbauer spectra can not be fitted by a simple Lorentzian line and that a quadrupole interaction does exist. Our samples were very thin $(3.6 \text{ mg/cm}^2 \text{ of})$ ¹⁵¹Eu) in order to avoid saturation effects. This point was carefully examined by transmission-integral fits to the spectra. The line width of a single line was for all the spectra 2.04 (3) mm/s. The value of the component of the electric field gradient (EFG) along the main axis, V_{zz}^{Eu} , was deduced from the measured quadrupole interaction parameter using the quadrupole moments given in reference [3]. The asymmetry parameter η was temperature independent with $\eta = 0.77$ (8), 0.84 (8) for the $\delta \simeq 0$ and $\delta \simeq 1$ compounds respectively. In the figure 1 we present the temperature dependence of $\left(-V_{zz}^{Eu}\right)$ and the isomer shift (IS) for the two compounds. At first sight the non-monotonous temperature dependence for the superconducting oxide ($\delta \simeq 0$) is surprising. This type of behaviour has already been seen for Eu₂Ti₂O₇ and has been attributed to the contribution of the electronic state J = 2 of Eu³⁺ to the EFG [4].

The continuous line on the IS data for the two compounds is a fit to a Debye model with a Debye temperature of 290 K. This fit works perfectly well only for the semiconducting compound. It is remarkable that the deviation from the fit for the superconducting oxide occurs at the same temperature as the min-



Fig. 1. – Temperature dependence of $\left(-V_{zz}^{Eu}\right)$ and the isomer shift (IS) of EuBa₂Cu₃O_{7- δ} for $\dot{\delta} \simeq 1$ and $\delta \simeq 0$. The continuous line on the IS data is the result of the Debye model with a Debye temperature of 290 K. The dashed line in the high temperature IS data for $\delta \simeq 0$ has a slope of $\simeq -4.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mm.s}^{-1}.\text{K}^{-1}.$

imum in $(-V_{zz})$. The IS curves describe the effect of the second order Doppler shift (SOD) for a particular model. But the misfit which is seen starting at $\simeq 200$ K can not be attributed to any SOD because the minimum slope which is model independent can only be -2.75×10^{-4} mm.s⁻¹.K⁻¹ whereas our data have a slope of $\simeq -4.0 \times 10^{-4}$ mm.s⁻¹.K⁻¹. We attribute this extra IS to the excited electronic states J = 1, J = 2 of Eu³⁺. Our data show that the mixing of these states to the J = 0 ground state decreases the s-electron density at the Eu nucleus. Because we do not see any deviation from the Debye model for the semiconducting oxide we deduce that in this case the mixing is negligible.

The Mössbauer spectroscopy provides a measurement of the EFG tensor V_{ij} at the nucleus which in our case is the sum of two tensors, one representing the effect of the lattice and the conduction electrons and one taking into account that 4f electron shell. If we suppose that the main axis of both tensor are parallel we can write:

$$V_{zz}^{\rm Eu} = V_{zz,\rm lat} + V_{zz,\rm 4f} \tag{1}$$

 $V_{zz,lat}$ can be taken directly from the ¹⁵⁵Gd Mössbauer measurement because the only contribution to the EFG for this nucleus comes from the lattice and the conduction electrons. Using the quadrupole moments given in reference [6, 7] we obtain at 42 K: $V_{zz,lat} =$ -6.00 (15) and $-5.32(2) \times 10^{21}$ V.m⁻² for the $\delta \simeq 0$ and $\delta \simeq 1$ oxides respectively (2,5). Therefore we can deduce $V_{zz,4f}$ and compare to the result of Elliott (8) who has computed the EFG due to the polarization of the 4f orbitals by an axial crystalline field potential. This theory takes into account only the mixing of the $|J = 2, m = 0\rangle$ state to the $|J = 0\rangle$ ground state. At low temperature

$$V_{zz,4f} = \frac{32}{75} e \left\langle r^{-3} \right\rangle (1-R) \frac{V_2^0}{\Delta_2}$$
(2)

 Δ_2 is the energy of the $|J = 2, 0\rangle$ state and V_2^0 is the crystalline field potential parameter which can be expressed in term of $V_{zz,lat}$ as follows

$$V_{zz,\text{lat}} = -4V_2^0 \left(1 - \gamma_\infty\right) / \left(1 - \sigma_2\right) \left\langle r^2 \right\rangle \qquad (3)$$

Using the parameters R, $\langle r^{-3} \rangle$, Δ_2 , γ_{∞} , σ_2 , and $\langle r^2 \rangle$ given in reference [4] and our data at 4.2 K for the superconducting oxide we deduce from equations (1) and (2) a value of V_2^0 which agrees with the value deduced from the ¹⁵⁵Gd measurement and equation (3). But we find a discrepancy of 20 % for the $\delta \simeq 1$ compound. In fact equations (1), (2) and (3) imply that

$$\left(V_{zz}^{\mathrm{Eu}} / V_{zz}^{\mathrm{Gd}}\right)_{\delta=0} = \left(V_{zz}^{\mathrm{Eu}} / V_{zz}^{\mathrm{Gd}}\right)_{\delta=1}$$

This is not seen experimentally. But we must remember that our model is crude: for instance it takes into account only the mixing of the $|J = 2, m = 0\rangle$ state and suppose that $V_{zz,4f}$ has the same main axis as $V_{zz,lat}$. A complete theoretical analysis is under way which should explain the minimum seen $\left(-V_{zz}^{Eu}\right)$ [4]. But it is clear from the present analysis that the differences seen between the two oxides is due to the excited electronic state of Eu³⁺. These states should give a Van-Vleck contribution to the magnetic susceptibility.

 Erbschutz, M. et al., Phys. Rev. B 35 (1987) 8714; Coey, J. M. D. and Donnelly, K., Z. Phys. B 67 (1987) 513; Boolchand et al., Solid state Commun. 63 (1987) 521;

Wortmann, G. et al., Phys. Lett. A 126 (1988) 434.

- [2] Dalmas de Réotier, P. et al., Physica C 153 (1988).
- [3] Stevens, J. G. and Stevens, V. E., Mössbauer effect Data Index (Plenum) 1978.
- [4] Bauminger, E. R. et al., Phys. Lett. A 50 (1974) 321.
- [5] Bornmann, H. J. et al., J. Phys. F 17 (1987) L337.
- [6] Tanaka, Y. et al., Phys. Lett. B 108 (1982) 8.
- [7] Armon, H., et al., Phys. Lett. B 43 (1973) 380.
- [8] Elliot, A. J., Proc. Phys. Soc. London B 70 (1957) 119.