

Spectroscopic study of the incommensurate phase of ThBr4 via the optical and magnetooptical properties of U4+ $$\rm U4+$$

B. Briat, P. Delamoye, J.C. Rivoal, S. Hubert, P. Evesque

▶ To cite this version:

B. Briat, P. Delamoye, J.C. Rivoal, S. Hubert, P. Evesque. Spectroscopic study of the incommensurate phase of ThBr4 via the optical and magnetooptical properties of U4+. Journal de Physique, 1985, 46 (8), pp.1375-1386. 10.1051/jphys:019850046080137500 . jpa-00210081

HAL Id: jpa-00210081 https://hal.science/jpa-00210081

Submitted on 4 Feb 2008 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Spectroscopic study of the incommensurate phase of ThBr₄ via the optical and magnetooptical properties of U^{4+}

B. Briat (*), P. Delamoye (⁺), J. C. Rivoal (*), S. Hubert (⁺) and P. Evesque (*)

(*) Laboratoire d'Optique Physique, ESPCI, 10, rue Vauquelin, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France

(⁺) Laboratoire de Radiochimie, Institut de Physique Nucléaire, Université de Paris-Sud, B.P. 1, 91406 Orsay, France

(Reçu le 19 décembre 1984, accepté le 22 mars 1985)

Résumé. — U⁴⁺ est utilisé pour tester la phase incommensurable de ThBr₄ grâce à des mesures d'absorption et de dichroïsme circulaire magnétique. En dessous de $T_c = 95$ K, la symétrie au site de l'ion sonde est D_{2d} ou D_2 ; elle peut être simulée en faisant varier un angle de phase φ entre $0(D_{2d})$ et $\pm \pi/2(D_2)$. Le DCM présente sur l'absorption le grand avantage de montrer une singularité pour les centres D_{2d} . Nous présentons un modèle permettant de reproduire assez fidèlement nos courbes d'absorption, d'effet Zeeman et de DCM. Nous aboutissons à deux conclusions importantes : (i) la phase est probablement vraiment incommensurable dans la mesure où nous établissons à 80 une limite inférieure du nombre de sites différents ; (ii) pour un cristal de concentration 2×10^{-4} , nous établissons l'absence d'accrochage de l'onde incommensurable sur les impuretés. Par ailleurs, le DCM démontre sans ambiguïté que l'état fondamental de U⁴⁺ dans ThBr₄ est Γ_4 en symétrie D_{2d} . Nous déterminons enfin le facteur de Landé de cinq niveaux excités, ces mesures étant en bon accord avec les résultats de calculs récents.

Abstract. — U^{4+} is used to probe the incommensurate structure of ThBr₄ via absorption and magnetic circular dichroism (MCD) measurements. Below $T_c = 95$ K, the crystal field experienced by U^{4+} ions is either of D_{2d} or D_2 symmetry. This can be simulated by varying a phase angle φ form $0(D_{2d})$ to $\pm \pi/2$ (D_2). One very neat advantage of MCD over absorption is that the D_{2d} centres show up very clearly in the former due to the occurrence of a derivative-like singularity. We present a model to simulate absorption, Zeeman and MCD profiles. It accounts satisfactorily for most of our data and we arrive at the following main conclusions : (i) it is probable that the phase is truly incommensurate since the lower limit of the number of sites is found to be 80; (ii) for a low concentration of U^{4+} (2×10^{-4}), we found no evidence of a pinning of the incommensurate modulation by the impurities. MCD provides also a definite proof that the ground state of U^{4+} in ThBr₄ is Γ_4 with a D_{2d} symmetry. Finally, we determine the Landé factors of five excited states, our findings being in fair agreement with the results of recent crystal field calculations.

1. Introduction.

Seven years ago [1], ThBr₄ was only considered as an additional suitable host for spectroscopic studies on tetravalent actinide ions. At room temperature, the crystal is tetragonal with space group D_{4h}^{19} . As for Zr^{4+} in zircon [2, 3], each Th⁴⁺ ion is located at a site of D_{2d} symmetry. Due to the lack of an inversion centre, absorption spectra of doped crystals were expected to show parity allowed electric dipole origins, the location of which was to be compared with the results of crystal-field calculations. The situation is substantially different since the discovery [4] that ThBr₄ is a prototype incommensurate (INC) material below $T_c = 95$ K and is probably so all the way down to zero Kelvin. One aim of present studies is

probably to probe the INC structure by, e.g., spectroscopic means or else to examine the effect of impurities on this structure. Earlier low-temperature absorption and emission spectra [1] of ThBr₄/U⁴⁺ have been reexamined and a model has been presented to explain the unusual line-shapes encountered [5]. Basically, in the INC phase there is a transverse sinusoidal displacement of the bromide ions perpendicular to the *c*-axis of the crystal and the crystal field probed by U⁴⁺ is either D_{2d} or D₂. This model has recently been checked further against site-selective excitation measurements [6] and theoretical calculations [7]. All experimental data available support a continuous distribution of crystal-field strengths at the U⁴⁺ sites. The present study has been undertaken with several aims in mind :

(i) to provide an experimental and unambiguous proof of the long postulated singlet character of the ground state of U^{4+} in ThBr₄. For that purpose, polarized absorption studies (axial, π and σ) have been complemented by magnetic circular dichroism (MCD) measurements. It is well established [8] that MCD can easily distinguish between a diamagnetic and a paramagnetic species. Moreover, the sign of the observed features can be correlated with the nature of the ground and excited states;

(ii) to derive (via MCD) the spectroscopic splitting factors of the U^{4+} excited states for a severe test of the correctness of computed wave functions;

(iii) to develop more fully and check further the model outlined previously, by predicting the profile of MCD spectra and comparing with experimental findings.

In the next section, § 2, we present our model for the simulation of absorption and MCD profiles. In § 3 we describe our experimental conditions and the more important results. These data are finally discussed in § 4.

2. Theoretical model.

2.1 Absorption and MCD.

2.1.1 D_{2d} symmetry. — We first ignore the existence of an INC phase and establish selection rules for electric dipole transitions in the case of an even electron configuration (f^2). Those for a D_{2d} symmetry [9] are given in table Ia where σ and π refer to the electric vector of the light beam being perpendicular and parallel to the tetragonal c(z) axis respectively. The axial (α) and σ spectra are expected to be similar. In the presence of a magnetic field B along z, the symmetry is lowered to S₄. Then $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \to \Gamma'_1; \Gamma_3, \Gamma_4 \to \Gamma'_2$ and $\Gamma_5 \rightarrow \Gamma'_3 + \Gamma'_4$ where primes are used to distinguish S_4 from D_{2d} notations. The new selection rules are also shown in table Ib, where σ_+ and σ_- stand for circularly polarized light travelling along z (α spectrum) and are associated with the operators $m_{\pm} = \mp$ $(i/\sqrt{2})(m_x \pm m_y)$ which transform as $\Gamma'_4(m_+)$ and $\Gamma'_3(m_-).$

MCD is defined as the difference in absorbance $\Delta A = A_+ - A_-$ for σ_+ and σ_- light. In D_{2d} (Table Ia), this can only occur for a singlet \rightarrow doublet or a doublet \rightarrow singlet transition between the ground state $|g_0\rangle$ and the excited state $|e_0\rangle$. In the limit where the Zeeman splitting is small compared to both the thermal energy kT and half the line-width, the rigid shift approximation leads to [8] :

$$\Delta A/E = K\mu_{\rm B} B \left\{ \mathcal{A}_1(-\partial f/\partial E) + (\mathfrak{B}_0 + \mathcal{C}_0/kT) f \right\}$$
(1)

while $A/E = Kf \mathfrak{D}_0$ for a zero magnetic field.

Table I. — Electric dipole transitions for even electron configurations. g_0 and e_0 (g'_0 and e'_0) stand for the ground and excited states respectively.

$$a - D_{2a}$$

g ₀ e ₀	Γ_1	Γ_2	Γ_{3}	Γ_4	Γ_5
Γ ₁				π	σ
Γ2			π		σ
Гз		π			σ
Γ ₄	π				σ
Г	σ	σ	σ	σ	π

 $b - S_4$

g ₀ e ₀	Γ_1'	Γ_2'	Γ'_3	Γ'_4
Γ_1'		π	σ_+	σ_
Γ'2	π		σ_	σ_+
Γ'_3	σ_	σ_+		π
Γ'4	σ+	σ_	π	

f is a normalized shape function $\left(\int f dE = 1\right)$, E is

the energy (in wave numbers hereafter); \mathfrak{D}_0 is the dipole strength of the transition; K is a numerical factor and $\mu_{\rm B}$ is the Bohr-magneton. The C₀ term arises from the difference in population among the possible substates of $|g_0\rangle$ in S₄, i.e., it can be nonzero only when $|g_0\rangle = \Gamma_5$. The origin of the \mathcal{A}_1 term lies in the energy differences between the two Zeeman components. This term should therefore be observable in principle for all σ transitions of U⁴⁺. \mathfrak{B}_0 arises from the possible mixing of $|g_0\rangle$ or/and $|e_0\rangle$ with a state $|k_0\rangle$ located at an energy ΔW above it. Since B transforms as Γ'_1 in S₄, \mathfrak{B}_0 terms are expected only for those representations of D_{2d} which reduce to the same representation in S_4 , namely Γ_1 - Γ_2 , Γ_3 - Γ_4 and Γ_5 - Γ_5 . Note that if the coupling arises between $|e_0\rangle$ and $|k_0\rangle$, the transitions $|g_0\rangle \rightarrow |e_0\rangle$ and $|g_0\rangle \rightarrow k_0\rangle$ show \mathfrak{B}_0 terms of the same absolute magnitude but of opposite sign. Finally, the peak to peak magnitude of the derivativelike term in equation (1) varies approximately as $1/\gamma$ while the two absorption-like contributions are proportional to $1/\Delta W$ and 1/kT respectively.

MCD parameters are usually extracted via a moment analysis of the data. For further use, we define :

$$\langle A \rangle_{0} = \int (A/E) dE = K \mathfrak{D}_{0},$$
$$\overline{E} = (1/\langle A \rangle_{0}) \int A dE$$
$$\langle \Delta A \rangle_{0} = (1/\langle A \rangle_{0}) \int (\Delta A/E) dE$$
$$\langle \Delta A \rangle_{1} = (1/\langle A \rangle_{0}) \int (\Delta A/E) (E - \overline{E}) dE$$

where \overline{E} is the barycentre of the line.

Assuming first a non-degenerate ground state and an isolated $s \rightarrow d$ transition, we easily find $\langle \Delta A \rangle_0 = 0$ and $\langle \Delta A \rangle_1 = \pm 2 g\mu_B B$. Here we adopt the convention $(^1) \langle \Gamma'_3 | L_z + 2 S_z | \Gamma'_3 \rangle = g$, the plus or minus sign depending on whether the ground state is Γ'_2 or Γ'_1 in S_4 symmetry. Knowledge of the nature of the ground state and the sign of the associated $\langle \Delta A \rangle_1$ would lead to the sign of the graces for the excited doublets (D_{2d}) . We note that the relationship between $\langle \Delta A \rangle_1$ and g does not require the rigid shift approximation and is independent of electron-phonon coupling. If the ground state were a doublet, then $\langle \Delta A \rangle_0$ would carry the information regarding the sign of its g factor and the symmetry of the excited state.

2.1.2 D₂ symmetry. — Anticipating upon our discussion, we treat the MCD problem for the case of a $\Gamma_4 \rightarrow \Gamma_5$ transition in D_{2d}. This has been done previously in the case of Pr³⁺ in AlLaO₃ [10]. Let $|s\rangle$ be a Γ'_2 ground state in the presence of B, $|p\rangle$ and $|q\rangle$ the excited states associated with Γ'_3 and Γ'_4 respectively. Then $|\langle p | m_+ | s \rangle| = |\langle q | m_- | s \rangle|$ (Table Ib). For convenience, we take 1 as their common value. In the absence of B, for D₂ symmetry, the Γ_5 doublet splits into two singlets separated by an energy 2 Δ . Appropriate wavefunctions are now of the form :

$$|\mathbf{P}\rangle = \alpha |\mathbf{p}\rangle + \beta |\mathbf{q}\rangle$$
$$|\mathbf{Q}\rangle = \beta^* |\mathbf{p}\rangle - \alpha^* |\mathbf{q}\rangle$$

where one can take $\alpha = \beta = 1/\sqrt{2}$ without loss of generality. Then, $|P\rangle$ and $|Q\rangle$ transform as y and -ix respectively in D₂ symmetry. When B is applied, the Hamiltonian is :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} | \mathbf{P} \rangle & | \mathbf{Q} \rangle \\ | \mathbf{P} \rangle & \left(\begin{array}{cc} \Delta & g \mu_{\mathbf{B}} B \\ | \mathbf{Q} \rangle & \left(\begin{array}{cc} g \mu_{\mathbf{B}} B & -\Delta \end{array} \right) \end{array} \right)$$

with eigenvalues $\pm \lambda$ where $\lambda = [\Delta^2 + (g\mu_B B)^2]^{1/2}$.

journal de physique. — t. 46, nº 8, août 1985

The associated eigenfunctions are :

$$|+\rangle = a^{-1/2}[|\mathbf{P}\rangle + b |\mathbf{Q}\rangle]$$
$$|-\rangle = -a^{-1/2}[-b |\mathbf{P}\rangle + |\mathbf{Q}\rangle]$$

where $a = 2 \lambda/(\lambda + \Delta)$ and $b = g\mu_{\rm B} B/(\lambda + \Delta)$.

So, for example, $|\langle +| m_{\pm} | s \rangle|^2 = (1/2 a) (1 \pm b)^2$ and $\langle \Delta A \rangle_0$ for the $| s \rangle \rightarrow | \pm \rangle$ transitions is $\pm g\mu_B B/\lambda$. One has the situation of two states mixed via the magnetic field and giving rise to opposite \mathcal{B}_0 terms with an absorption like shape.

2.2 INCOMMENSURATE PHASE. — Below $T_c = 95$ K, neutron diffraction experiments [4] indicate a modulated structure for ThBr₄, with the modulation wavevector q_s being directed along the tetragonal axis :

$$q_{\rm s} = (2 \pi/c) \zeta_{\rm s}$$
 with $\zeta_{\rm s} = 0.310 \pm 0.005$

The consequences of this structural change upon absorption line-shapes have already been outlined by Delamoye [5]. We provide here a more general and quantitative treatment with the added consideration of the action of a magnetic field.

For the dilution levels used in our experiments, the U^{4+} ions may be treated as isolated impurities, randomly distributed throughout the host lattice. Each of them is surrounded by two distorted tetrahedra of Br⁻ ions. Below T_c , each of 8 Br⁻ ions (index *i*) suffers a displacement u_i along one or the other of two orthogonal directions perpendicular to the tetragonal axis. u_i can be written as :

$$u_i = \eta \cos{(\varphi + \varphi_i)}$$

where η is a temperature dependent amplitude factor which may be taken as the order parameter; $(-\pi/2 \le \varphi \le \pi/2)$ is a linear function of the z coordinate and characterizes a given U⁴⁺ ion. The various φ_i are known from crystallographic data. It can be shown [5] that U⁴⁺ ions lie at a site of symmetry D₂₄ ($\varphi = 0$) or D₂ ($\varphi \ne 0$).

We have derived formally the explicit dependence of the crystal field parameters upon the displacements of the Br⁻ ions. After tedious calculations, one obtains the energies $\Delta(\varphi)$ of the various levels under the general form :

$$\Delta(\varphi) = \Delta_0 + \eta^2 (\alpha + \beta \sin^2 \varphi) \pm \gamma \eta \sin \varphi \quad (2)$$

where the coefficients α , β and γ are all (in principle) non-zero in the case of a « high temperature » doublet while $\gamma = 0$ for a D_{2d} singlet. Equation (2) can also be found by using solely symmetry arguments. A comparable approach was used previously by Blinc *et al.* [11] to discuss their NMR data. Since optical spectroscopy concerns differences in energy $E(\varphi)$ between the ground state and the various excited states, we adopt here the following notation :

$$E(\varphi) = E_0 \pm v_1 \sin \varphi + (v_2/2) \sin^2 \varphi \,. \tag{3}$$

⁽¹⁾ Γ'_3 states are associated with wavefunctions of the general form $\Sigma a_i \mid LSJM$; $M = 5, 1 \text{ or } -3 \rangle$.

We can now express the inhomogeneous line-shape of the composite absorption spectrum, or the absorbance at energy E as :

$$A/E \sim \int L[E, E(\varphi)] n \,\mathrm{d}E$$

where *n* is the density of spectral lines at the frequencies $E(\varphi)$ and $L[E, E(\varphi)]$ is a normalized shape function corresponding to the absorption of U⁴⁺ in a given site.

If dN stands for the number of atoms absorbing at an energy lying in the range d[$E(\varphi)$] around $E(\varphi)$, then :

$$n \, \mathrm{d}E = \mathrm{d}N = (\mathrm{d}N/\mathrm{d}z) \, (\mathrm{d}z/\mathrm{d}\varphi) \, \mathrm{d}\varphi$$

Since the distribution is random (dN/dz = constant)and φ is a linear function of z, one has $n dE = d\varphi$ and :

$$A/E \sim L[E, E(\varphi)] d\varphi$$

A/E will present singularities whenever n is maximum, i.e., when $d[E(\varphi)]/d\varphi = 0$. For a singlet \leftrightarrow singlet transition, two singularities with equal intensity are predicted for $| \varphi | = \pi/2 (E_+ = E_- = E_0 + v_2/2)$ and $\varphi = 0$ ($E = E_0$). In the case of a singlet doublet transition, the absorption profile also shows two singularities ($\varphi = \pm \pi/2$) at $E_{\pm} = E_0 \pm v_1 + v_2/2$ when $k = |v_2/v_1| < 1$. A third one is predicted at $E_{\rm c} = E_0 + (v_1)^2/2 v_2$ for sin $\varphi = \mp 1/k$ when |k| > 1. Note that, when present, the extra band at $E_{\rm c}$, always lies outside the interval between E_{+} and E_{-} . Furthermore, upon increasing the energy, the relative intensities of the three bands either increase or decrease continuously. An important result is that no singularity is expected for $\varphi = 0$, i.e., for those centres at a site of D_{2d} symmetry. The frequency separation between E_+ and E_- is predicted to vary with temperature since :

$$E_{+} - E_{-} = 2 v_{1} \sim \eta \sim (T_{c} - T)^{\beta}$$
 (5)

where β is the critical exponent of the order parameter.

A program has been written to compute absorption, Zeeman effect and MCD profiles for a model including in D_{2d} a singlet ground state and two excited doublets, one of which (or one of its D_2 branches) being thermally populated. The following notations were adopted :

Ground state

$$E(\varphi) = 0$$

Low lying doublet

$$E_{\pm 1} = E_0^1 + (v_2^1/2) \sin^2 \varphi \pm \lambda_1 \, .$$

Higher doublet

$$E_{\pm 2} = E_0^2 + (v_2^2/2) \sin^2 \varphi \pm \lambda_2$$

with

$$\lambda_1 = \left[(v_1^1 \sin \varphi)^2 + (z_1)^2 \right]^{1/2}, \quad z_1 = g_1 \,\mu_{\rm B} \,B$$
$$\lambda_2 = \left[(v_1^2 \sin \varphi)^2 + (z_2)^2 \right]^{1/2}, \quad z_2 = g_2 \,\mu_{\rm B} \,B$$

Putting $v_1^2 = z_2 = 0$ corresponds to the problem of a singlet \rightarrow singlet transition.

The first step is to compute for all φ values $(-\pi/2 \leq \varphi \leq \pi/2)$ the respective populations P_i (Boltzmann distribution) of the levels at E_i (i = 0, +1 and -1). Next, we assume normalized Lorentzian lines $L(E, E(\varphi)) = (1/\pi\gamma)/(1 + X^2)$ with $X = (E - E_i)/\gamma$. For a singlet \rightarrow doublet transition (D_{2d} notation), one finally obtains the Zeeman effect and MCD profiles from the following relationships :

$$A/E \propto (1/2) \int \left[L(E, E_{+2}) + L(E, E_{-2}) \right] P_0 \, \mathrm{d}\varphi \quad (6)$$
$$\Delta A/E \propto \int \left[L(E, E_{+2}) - L(E, E_{-2}) \right] (z_2/\lambda_2) P_0 \, \mathrm{d}\varphi \quad (7)$$

Absorption profiles are found by simply putting $z_1 = z_2 = 0$.

Figure 1 illustrates the results of such calculations $(d\varphi = \pi/100)$ in the case where the first doublet is ignored. One very neat qualitative advantage of MCD versus absorption is that the D_{2d} centre ($\varphi = 0$) shows up very clearly in the former, due to the occurrence of



Fig. 1. — Illustration of the simulated absorption (doted line) and MCD (full line) profiles in the case of an isolated $s \rightarrow d$ transition, as a function of $k = v_2/v_1$. We chose $z_2 = 0.02 v_1$, $\gamma = 0.1 v_1$ and $v_1 > 0$. For k positive, the energy increases from left to right (right to left for k < 0).

the associated A_1 term. As |k| increases from 0, this term moves from the centre of the spectrum towards one of the ends since E_c is hardly distinguishable from E_0 when $|k| \ge 3$. We note that, irrespective of the value and sign of v_1^2 and v_2^2 , $\langle \Delta A \rangle_1$ is always 2 z_2 i.e., the value found in the case of one centre in D_{2d} symmetry.

Without loss of generality, we can always state that $v_1 > 0$ and so only change the sign of v_2 to simulate all possible situations. Only slight modifications in equations (6), (7) are necessary in order to compute profiles for the hot bands associated with doublet \rightarrow singlet transitions (D_{2d} nomenclature).

3. Experimental.

3.1 EQUIPMENT. — Absorption and MCD data have been taken on five crystals separated from different lingots, of thickness t ranging from 0.54 to 2.6 mm and concentration c(U/Th) of the order of 10^{-3} - 10^{-4} . All quantitative data will be given for a crystal of t = 0.54 mm and chemically determined $c = 2 \times 10^{-4}$. A spectral band pass of 0.3 Å was found to be appropriate to get all the details of the σ , π and MCD spectra.

ThBr₄/U⁴⁺ crystals prepared as described in [12] are sensitive to moisture and are highly birefringent. Special care was required for MCD measurements since a slight misorientation of the crystal, especially when combined with a defective surface leads to a significant depolarization of the light beam and thus to an important reduction of the MCD signal (or even artefacts). Data were taken on platelets cleaved perpendicularly to the tetragonal axis, checked under the polarizing microscope and freshly polished under a stream of dry hot air. We verified the absence of stray signal in zero field and corrected the data for the rate of depolarization as measured by placing an optically active sample (camphorquinone in dioxane) before and after the crystal investigated. MCD spectra were taken with standard equipment, i.e., a photoelastic modulator [13] and a phase sensitive detector. Measurements at 4.2 K and below (pumped helium) were made with a superconducting magnet (2.5 T or 5 T). All quantitative data are normalized to 1 T. Less care was required to obtain reliable axial σ and π absorption spectra over a wide range of temperatures.

3.2 MAIN RESULTS. — Figure 2 shows a summary of our polarized absorption and MCD spectra in the 14 200-22 100 cm⁻¹ spectral range. Quite noticeable is the fact that a number of sharp features occur on the top of a poorly defined broad band structure. This occurs especially around 17 350 cm⁻¹. Up to 2.5 T, the height of MCD peaks was found to vary linearly with the applied magnetic field.

The σ and axial spectra were found to be identical, thus demonstrating the electric dipole character of transitions. More detailed data for selected spectral



Fig. 2. — Schematic view of our MCD and polarized absorption spectra. All scales are arbitrary. T = 2.1 K.

ranges are shown in subsequent figures and will now be briefly commented upon.

— 14 580-14 730 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 3).

The strongest axial absorption is found in this region and extends over more than a hundred wavenumbers. The extreme bands α and β are separated by 92 cm⁻¹, with an unresolved shoulder on the blue side of α . Additional features occur such as band δ and a quite intringuing dip γ .

The associated MCD was reproduced for three different samples where in one case the positive bump around band β was replaced by a dip going to $\Delta A = 0$. At both 4.2 K and 1.7 K, $\langle \Delta A \rangle_0$ was found to be strictly zero, a result inconsistent with a paramagnetic ground state in D_{2d} .

— 15 390-15 450 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 4).

We always observed two sharp peaks α and β $(E_{\alpha} - E_{\beta} = 30 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ in the axial spectrum while the additional features marked by arrows were found to depend upon the sample, and are clearly seen in the MCD spectrum.

 $-15\ 800-16\ 400\ cm^{-1}$ (Fig. 5).

The sharp features shown in figure 5b are reasonably well isolated from the broad bands which appear on each side in figure 5a. The spacing between lines α and β in the axial spectrum is 13 cm⁻¹ with a relative intensity $I_{\alpha}/I_{\beta} \sim 4-5$, depending upon the sample. The



Fig. 3. — Axial absorption (---) and MCD (——) in the 14 580-14 730 cm⁻¹ spectral range (arb. scales) at 1.7 K.



Fig. 4. — Axial absorption (---) and MCD (——) in the 15 390-15 450 cm^{-1} spectral range (arb. scales) at 2.1 K.



Fig. 5. — a) Axial (---) and π (— · —) absorption spectra at 2.1 K in the 15 800-16 400 cm⁻¹ spectral range; b) sharp lines around 16 010 cm⁻¹ with the accompanying MCD spectrum (——). Note that the π spectrum is approximately twice as intense as the α spectrum.

shape of band α suggests that it covers two components. Under a 4.2 T longitudinal field, only this line shows a noticeable splitting.

The MCD profile for this region was found to vary only slightly from sample to sample, the peak corresponding to band β eventually becoming more intense than that around 16 007 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 5b). We note that the negative part of the MCD becomes less negative (~ 10 %) upon cooling the crystal from 4.2 K to 1.7 K, in contrast to what we found during the same experiment for the 14 580-14 730 cm⁻¹ region (slight increase in ΔA at all energies). These features suggest the existence of an impurity line in this region. This is further supported by the presence of a strong π band (Fig. 5b) which no theoretical calculation can reasonably account for and whose overall intensity, as compared to that of the axial spectrum, is greatly dependent upon the sample. It has in fact recently been established [14] that U^{3+} is responsible for these features if ThCl₄ is intentionally doped with this ion. Note that the stray π band has a two-peak structure with $E_{\beta'} - E_{\alpha'} = 7.5$ cm⁻¹ and intensities of ratio $I_{\beta'}/I_{\alpha'} \sim 0.97$.

- 17 335-17 390 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 6).

The features in this region have been reproduced for several crystals with no noticeable spurious effects. No π line is seen within several hundred wavenumbers on each side. The axial spectrum (Fig. 6a) shows three well resolved lines with $E_{y} - E_{a} =$ 32.5 cm^{-1} and $E_{\gamma} - E_{\beta} = 20.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Our most important result is again that A and ΔA vary by no more than about 3 % between 4.2 K and 2.1 K, $\langle \Delta A \rangle_0$ being zero. Actually, as will be seen in § 4, these very clear-cut data demonstrate that the ground state of U^{4+} in ThBr₄ is Γ_4 (D_{2d}) and that the host has an incommensurate structure. Furthermore, since $\langle \Delta A \rangle_0$ = 0, we know that we are dealing with a complete set of excited states, i.e., no noticeable mixing (via B) occurs with states outside this spectral range. The Zeeman spectrum under 4.2 T (Fig. 6b) is perfectly consistent with the MCD. Only line α shows a measurable splitting $\binom{2}{|g|} = 1.3$ or displacement, the barycentre of the split lines (see arrow) being at an energy slightly higher than that of the zero field components. Similarly, the \mathcal{A}_1 term is also displaced towards high energies while only \mathcal{B}_0 terms of opposite sign are seen for bands β and γ , with a continuum between them. The difference in absorption profiles between figures 6a and 6b results from the fact that



Fig. 6. — a) Axial (---) and MCD spectra through the 17 335-17 390 cm⁻¹ spectral range at 2.1 K; b) transmission spectra in zero field (——) and under a 4.2 T longitudinal field (---).

^{(&}lt;sup>2</sup>) Note that our present definition of g is different from that (g') used in reference [7]. We have the relation g' = -2g.

the Zeeman experiment was performed by simply recording the light transmitted by the sample.

- 19 300-19 600 cm⁻¹ (Figs. 7-8).

The dominant feature of the axial spectrum (Fig. 7) is a three level reasonably sharp structure at low energy (see inset) with a less intense and broader vibrational counterpart roughly 50 cm⁻¹ towards higher energies. The low temperature (1.7 K) π spectrum shows also weak lines in this region, the sharpest occurring at 19 311 cm⁻¹.

The energies of the axial lines are such that $E_{\alpha} - E_{\gamma} = 31.8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $E_{\alpha} - E_{\beta} = 7.8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The band β is substantially broader than the two others and its intensity is greatly dependent upon the U⁴⁺ concentration of the sample. The MCD associated to these bands is weak and does not change appreciably upon cooling from 4.2 K to 1.7 K.

An important result in that region is the occurrence of a disymmetrical *hot band at* 19 311 (inset of Fig. 7).



Fig. 7. — Axial (--- at 2.1 K, ... at 4.1 K) absorption, π absorption (black 1.2 K) and MCD at 2.1 K (full line in the inset) in the 19 400 cm⁻¹ region.



Fig. 8. — a) Cold band - hot band behaviour of absorption in the 19 240-19 400 cm⁻¹ spectral range. T/K = 20 (----), 30 (...) and 40 (---). b) Temperature dependence at $T \le 4.2$ K of the hot band peaking around 19 310 cm⁻¹.

It is observed at 4.2 K but shows no measurable MCD and nearly vanishes at 2.1 K. The hot band-cold band behaviour is further illustrated in figure 8a at higher temperatures. It is clear that the hot band increases at the expanse of the cold band when T increases, the former becoming less and less symmetrical. We made a detailed study of the T dependence of $\langle A \rangle_0$ for the hot band at $T \leq 4.2$ K. The zero order moment was found to vary as $\exp(-W/kT)$ with $W = (9.8 \pm$ 0.2) cm^{-1} , as shown in figure 8b. In the frame of a D_{2d} model, this finding demonstrates that a level lies 10 cm^{-1} above the ground state, both having the same degeneracy d. This spacing was found independently from fluorescence measurements [6]. It is also tempting to presume that the hot σ band at 19 311 cm⁻¹ (intensity I_1) and the cold π line at 19 321 cm⁻¹ (I_0) reach the same excited state. A glance at table I shows however that this possibility is excluded in D_{2d} with either d = 1 or d = 2. We cannot explain either the hot band profile with a one-site picture. These failures provided a stimulus to find another type of explanation. We note finally that I_1/I_0 can be obtained easily from the zero order moments of the two bands. We found 1.45 at 4.2 K, in excellent agreement with the value obtained at 20 K (1.40)

— 19 950-22 050 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 9).

Absorption features in these regions are significantly broader than those encountered so far. We assign two electronic σ origins at 19966 and 21 866 cm⁻¹. Some plausible vibrational assignments



Fig. 9. — Axial absorption (---) π absorption (black) and MCD (——) in the 19 500-20 150 cm⁻¹ (a) and 21 750-22 050 cm⁻¹ (b) regions. All measurements at 1.8 K.

are sketched on the figure by reference to the Raman data [15]. No MCD could be measured in the 19 950-20 150 cm⁻¹ range. The MCD at higher energy (21 850-22 050 cm⁻¹) has an overall S-shape with $\langle \Delta A \rangle_0 \sim 0$. A few weak π lines were seen in that region for the more concentrated crystals.

— Other π features (Fig. 10).

The sharpest band in the π spectrum of U⁴⁺ occurs around 14 369 cm⁻¹ with two singularities separated by 3.25 cm⁻¹. The low energy peak is about 3% more intense that the high-energy one. This band is accompanied by a σ hot band at 14 359 cm⁻¹ having the same profile and temperature behaviour as that found at 19 311 cm⁻¹. Note that the 10 cm⁻¹ spacing is met for the second time in these experiments. A similar situation occurs at a somewhat higher energy. A hot π band is seen at 14 609 cm⁻¹ on the foot of a residual (~ 2%) σ cold spectrum peaking around 14 620 cm⁻¹.

Finally, a much weaker π line with two singularities was observed around 15 212 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 10). It occurs on the blue foot of an intense and highly structured broad band. On the basis of this experiment only, it is clearly impossible to locate precisely an origin for the latter.

— Summary.

Anticipating the fact (§ 4) that all sharp absorption structures with two (π) or two/three (σ) singularities actually cover only one electronic origin in D_{2d}, we have summarized our results for energy, polarization and dipole strengths in the first three columns of table II. The figures quoted are sometimes slightly different from those published previously [7], due to the use of several spectrometers.



Fig. 10. — Most important features in the π spectra at 2.1 K (----) and 4.2 K (----).

In view of the arguments developed so far, it should be realized that an accurate numbering of these origins has been long and difficult. Some of the assignments (marked by an asterisk) could only be firmly established *via* fluorescence and site selective excitation experiments [6].

3.3 ROLE OF IMPURITIES. — The presence of impurities in our crystals was most clearly demonstrated by MCD experiments. For example, all the features marked by arrows on figure 11 are spurious on the basis of their sample dependence. Actually, only the two peak structure around 15 400 cm⁻¹ was retained as belonging to U⁴⁺ in the 15 100-15 500 cm⁻¹ region. The rest of the MCD spectrum shows some low temperature dependence which requires further investigation. For example, the term around

Table II. — Experimental (e) and theoretical (t, from Ref. [7]) results in the investigated spectral range. Eigenvectors are given with the relative importance of the dominant $|SLJ\rangle$ levels.

E_{e}	Pol.	Do	ge	$\Gamma(t)$	E_{t}	g _t	Eigenvector (t)
				4	0		$90^{3}H_{4} + 8^{1}G_{4}$
78				5	109	+ 0.23	$88^{3}H_{4} + 9^{1}G_{4}$
14 370	π			1	14 392		$41 {}^{3}P_{0} + 19 {}^{1}D_{2} + 17 {}^{3}P_{2}$
14 650	σ	600	+ 0.58	5	14 632	+ 1.05	$48 {}^{1}D_{2} + 35 {}^{3}P_{2}$
14 890 (*)	π			1	14 940		$45 {}^{3}P_{0} + 27 {}^{1}D_{2} + 19 {}^{3}P_{2}$
15 212	π			1	15 229		$47 {}^{3}F_{4} + 40 {}^{1}G_{4}$
15 420	σ	29	?	5	15 389	+ 0.97	$47 {}^{1}G_{4} + 48 {}^{3}F_{4}$
15 828 (*)	π			1	15 821	-	$48 {}^{1}G_{4} + 41 {}^{3}F_{4}$
16 003	σ	16	- 1.18	5	16 052	- 1.13	$51 {}^{1}G_{4} + 42 {}^{3}F_{4}$
17 347	σ	100	+ 1.28	5	17 313	+ 1.50	$97 {}^{3}P_{1}$
19 321	π			1	19 285		$88 {}^{1}I_{6} + 8 {}^{3}H_{6}$
19 352	σ	52	- 0.05	5	19 344	0	$91 {}^{1}I_{6} + 8 {}^{3}H_{6}$
19 966	σ		?	5	19 991	+ 1.00	$93 {}^{1}I_{6} + 7 {}^{3}H_{4}$
20 360 (*)	σ		?	5	20 387	+ 2.05	$92 {}^{1}I_{6} + 6 {}^{3}H_{6}$
20 408	π			1	20 446		91 ${}^{1}I_{6} + 5 {}^{3}H_{6}$
21 866	σ		+ 1.59	5	21 813	+ 1.32	$61 \ {}^{3}P_{2} + 35 \ {}^{1}D_{2}$
22 220	π		·	1	22 229		$57 {}^{3}P_{2} + 37 {}^{1}D_{2}$



Fig. 11. — Role of impurities in the 15 100-15 500 cm⁻¹ spectral range. MCD experiments (full lines) were run on two different samples with a different band pass for (a) and (b) (0.3 Å and 0.6 Å respectively). The absorbance is shown in dotted lines.

15 335 cm⁻¹ becomes less symmetrical upon cooling from 4.2 K to 1.8 K, this implying that the responsible impurity is paramagnetic.

A large number of weak sharp lines were observed in absorption for the more concentrated crystals on the red foot of the strongest σ band, i.e., between 14 350 and 14 550 cm⁻¹. These are shown with moderate resolution in figure 12a with the associated MCD (Fig. 12b). Under the appropriate resolution (0.6 cm⁻¹), the MCD shows a wealth of fine structure (Fig. 12c). This was examined in detail as a function of T for the strongest lines in the 14 430-14 450 cm⁻¹ range (Fig. 12d). We found four absorption components, each being associated with a combination of an A_1 term and a C₀ term. We conclude therefore that these lines are associated with a paramagnetic impurity.



Fig. 12. — Role of impurities in the 14 350-14 600 cm⁻¹ range. a and b : A and ΔA at moderate resolution; c and d : ΔA at high resolution.

In view of their sharpness, it has to be an actinide or lanthanide ion, most likely U^{3+} . Four π lines in the region 13 710-13 725 cm⁻¹ probably belong to the same species.

4. Discussion.

4.1 Spectroscopic assignments.

4.1.1 Historical. — The structure of the ground multiplet of U^{4+} in D_{2d} symmetry (zircon and ThBr₄ hosts) has long been uncertain due to the lack of conclusive experiments. Calculations can produce either a singlet or a doublet ground state for the f^2 configuration. Actually, it was precisely to firmly establish this characteristic that the present work was undertaken.

In 1975 [3], Zeeman and MCD data on ZrSiO₄/U⁴⁺ proved unambiguously that the ground state is Γ_4 for that host. At present, the accepted picture for ThBr₄/U⁴⁺ is also Γ_4 lowest D_{2d}. Our arguments for this choice can be summarized as follows :

(i) our Zeeman effect experiments have shown that the splitting is substantially different on the various lines which have been examined carefully. This necessarily implies a singlet ground state since doublet \leftrightarrow doublet transitions are forbidden for non-Kramers ions in D_{2d} . Due to the use of linearly polarized light however, we lack one crucial piece of information, i.e., the respective circular polarization of the split components;

(ii) the choice of Γ_4 rests essentially upon a precise numbering of the σ and π electronic origins. In the 14 000-22 200 cm⁻¹ region investigated here, we should find those crystal field levels originating from the strongly mixed free ion terms ${}^{3}P_{0}$, ${}^{1}D_{2}$, ${}^{1}G_{4}$, ${}^{3}P_{1}$ ${}^{1}I_{6}$ and ${}^{3}P_{2}$. Altogether, one expects 7, 2, 5, 5 and 7 levels of $\Gamma_1 \dots \Gamma_5$ symmetry respectively. With the help of table Ia, one can easily predict the number of σ and π lines expected, according to the nature of the ground state in D_{2d} (cf. Table III). Experimentally (Table II) we found 8 σ lines and 7 π lines and this strongly suggests that Γ_4 is indeed the ground state. This assignment is further supported by the observance of a π band with two singularities associated with ${}^{3}P_{0}(\Gamma_1)$ around 14 400 cm⁻¹. In no case can the lowest state be Γ_5 (too many σ lines predicted) or Γ_3 (too few).

Table III. — Number of predicted σ and π lines according to the nature of the ground state.

Ground state	N_{σ}	N_{π}
Γ_1	8	5
Γ_2	8	5
Γ_3	8	2
Γ_4	8	7
Γ_5	19	8

4.1.2 New information.

(i) Nature of the ground state. — A definite proof of the ground state being Γ_4 for U⁴⁺ in ThBr₄ is provided by the present MCD data, as will be demonstrated now. Within the assumption of a D_{2d} model, Γ_5 is excluded because none of the observed features in the spectra show the C₀/kT behaviour observed previously for all paramagnetic systems. For example, the ΔA associated to band γ in figure 6 has indeed the shape of the corresponding absorption component but $\Delta A/A$ does not vary within the limits of experimental errors (~ 1 %) between 4.2 K and 2.1 K.

All calculations made so far predict a fairly well isolated D_{2d} doublet with almost pure ${}^{3}P_{1}$ parentage around 17 300 cm⁻¹. With our convention, g is certainly positive for that state since the $M_J = +1$. Zeeman component transforms as Γ'_3 in S₄ symmetry. Then, for a centre in D_{2d} symmetry, the A_1 parameter associated with the transition should be positive or negative according to whether the ground state is Γ'_2 or Γ'_1 in S₄ (§ 2). Actually, the argument applies as well to the first order moment $\langle \Delta A \rangle_1$ if the integration is carried over the features associated to all D_2 and D_{2d} centres in the corresponding region. The only assumption is that the distortion is sufficiently small to act as a perturbation, i.e., it does not mix ${}^{3}P_{1}$ with any other level. This turns out to be the case since $\langle \Delta A \rangle_0$ is found to be zero. From our results in figure 6, it is clear that both the A_1 term at low energy and $\langle \Delta A \rangle_1$ over the entire band are positive. We therefore conclude that the ground state is Γ'_2 in S₄, i.e., Γ_3 or Γ_4 in D_{2d} . It has to be Γ_4 since Γ_3 can be firmly ruled out on the basis of the lack of any noticeable π absorption ($\Gamma_3 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ of 3P_1) in this region and the large number of observed π components in the whole spectrum, as compared to only 2 predicted (Table III) in this situation. The lack of π absorption also rules out the possibility of C₂ symmetry in the low temperature phase.

(ii) Testing of wavefunctions. — With a Γ_4 ground state for D_{2d} , σ and π transitions are allowed towards excited states of symmetry Γ_5 and Γ_1 respectively. One therefore arrives at the assignments shown in table II which contains also the results of most recent crystal field calculation [7] (energies and g factors). As can be seen, the computed energies match those observed fairly well.

MCD also provides a powerful means to test the wavefunctions. g factors were determined experimentally from first order moments. As shown in table II, not only does the calculation account for the actual sign of g for 5 bands, but its magnitude is also reasonably well reproduced. The uncertainty on experimental data is estimated to be about 10 %.

g unfortunately could not be measured for the bands at 19966 cm⁻¹ and 20360 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 9a) because the absorbance in these regions was too low on the investigated samples. It should be remembered that ΔA is grossly proportional to the maximum absorbance, this being typically 10^{-2} at 19 966 cm⁻¹ and 10^{-3} at 20 360 cm⁻¹ for a crystal of thickness 0.54 mm and of concentration U/Th = 2 × 10^{-4} . The A_1 coefficient measured for the band at 15 420 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 4) is not reported in table II. It is unreliable since we have demonstrated that sample dependent (impurity) features occur in that region.

4.2 INCOMMENSURATE MODEL. — The model presented in detail at the beginning of this paper provides a nice understanding of most of the unusual features observed in absorption and MCD experiments. Hereafter, we shall use some now well established facts in our fitting procedure. Of course the ground state is singlet Γ_4 in D_{2d} . Furthermore, fluorescence experiments [7] can only be understood if the next higher level is $\Gamma_5(D_{2d})$ at 78 cm⁻¹, the splitting of its two D_2 branches implying $v_1^1 = 69$ cm⁻¹ and $v_2^1 = 2$ cm⁻¹. In the following, we shall use v_1 to characterize the excited state of the transition considered.

4.2.1 Absorption and MCD profiles. — First, we select the ${}^{3}P_{1}$ region around 17 360 cm⁻¹ since it is fairly well isolated and no spurious effects were observed. Our simulated curves (for $v_{2}/v_{1} = 4.3$) are shown on figure 13. A comparison with figure 6 indicates that the overall experimental profiles are well reproduced. Clearly, as shown by the Zeeman and MCD curves, it is not the α band which splits under B but the component associated with the site at $\varphi = 0$ and located slightly higher in energy than α . The relative intensities of the three absorption singularities are well reproduced if we take a due account of the intense absorption background illustrated in figure 2.

During the preliminary work of Delamoye [5] the reasonable assumption was made that $v_1(\sim \eta)$ was probably larger than $v_2/2(\sim \eta^2)$ and this led to the conclusion that only two singularities should be observed in both the σ and the π spectra. It is thus striking to see that a good agreement between prediction and observation occurs precisely for a band for which $(2 v_1/v_2) > 2$. Actually, we know nothing of the coefficients of η and η^2 and there may occur the



Fig. 13. — Simulation of the MCD (_____), axial absorption in zero field (- - -) and axial absorption under a 4.2 T field (...). The value of g for the excited state is 1.28. T = 1.8 K, $v_1 = 10.25$, $v_2 = 44$ and $\gamma = 0.8$ cm⁻¹.

unusual situation where the latter is much bigger than the former. This is not really surprising since, in the case of a singlet \rightarrow doublet transition [5], the odd $(v_1, v_3...)$ and even $(v_2...)$ terms do not arise via the same process, i.e., we do not merely have a Taylor expansion.

The features in the 16 000 cm⁻¹ region (Fig. 5) were simulated with $v_1 = 6.5$ cm⁻¹ and $v_2/v_1 \sim 3$ ($\gamma = 1$ cm⁻¹). The agreement was found to be satisfactory for the MCD while the calculated value I_{α}/I_{β} is smaller (~ 3) than that found experimentally (4-5). This failure is quite certainly related to the presence of a spurious very strong π line and a positive C₀ residual contribution in the 16 007-16 015 cm⁻¹ region (Fig. 5). Both are tentatively assigned to a $\Gamma_7 \rightarrow \Gamma_6$ transition (allowed π and σ) of U³⁺ (possibly of charge transfer character).

Apart from the weak bumps shown by arrows in figure 4, the absorption spectrum in the 15 400 cm⁻¹ region is fairly well reproduced with $v_1 = 15$ cm⁻¹, $v_2 = -6$ cm⁻¹ and $\gamma = 0.6$ cm⁻¹. The MCD data are not and this is due to the mentioned presence of presumably paramagenetic impurities giving a relatively large MCD. The sharp π line at 14 370 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 10) is well reproduced with $v_2 = -7.5$ cm⁻¹. Note that this figure actually corresponds to the difference in v_2 coefficients for the excited state and the ground state. The negative sign is imposed by the fact that the low energy component is approximately 3 % more intense than the high energy one.

The profile and T dependence of hot bands (e.g., Fig. 8) is also produced by our calculation, as shown in figure 14. Curve a ($\gamma = 0.8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) is similar to our experimental spectrum (Fig. 7) while b shows the red shift and red broadening of the line under heating.

We now consider the 19 300 cm⁻¹ region (Fig. 7). No value of v_2/v_1 could possibly reproduce the absorption (3 bands) and MCD spectra. Regarding



Fig. 14. — Simulation of the hot bands : (a) proper profile at 4.2 K of the band at 19 311 cm⁻¹ ($\gamma = 0.8$ cm⁻¹); (b) temperature dependence of the profile (we used $\gamma = 7$ cm⁻¹ to save computing time).

the former, it is clear from figure 1 that band α would be much stronger than band γ if β was a « normal » third band. Regarding the latter, we do observe the expected opposite \mathcal{B}_0 terms for α and γ but the plausible \mathcal{A}_1 term around 19 352 cm⁻¹ (arrow) is surprisingly small. Such a result is only obtainable when the half band width is larger than v_1 , a quite unrealistic situation. Actually, calculations (Table II) indicate that the g factor should be close to zero and it is therefore likely that the whole MCD can hardly be attributed to only U⁴⁺. Note that « extra » bands are also found in a few regions of the infra-red spectrum of ThBr₄/U⁴⁺.

Difficulties also arise in the 14 650 cm^{-1} region (Fig. 3). The model accounts for the respective intensities of bands α and β by placing E_0 at 14 650 cm⁻¹ where a disymmetrical \mathcal{A}_1 term seems to occur, and choosing $v_1 = 46 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $v_2 = 5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. This interpretation is further supported by the fact (Fig. 15) that the α - β splitting (~ order parameter η) is experimentally found to vary as $(T - T_c)^{1/3}$ for $T \leq 40$ K, as does the frequency of the Raman soft mode [15]. Note that an exactly similar result was obtained for the α - β spacing in figure 8. This 1/3 figure is quite reliable since it was obtained by taking a proper account of the variation of the bandwidth with temperature. No reliable measurement of the splitting between bands α and β at temperatures near $T_c = 95$ K could be made because of the vibrational broadening of the lines upon heating. The $(T_c - T)^{1/3}$ behaviour of η far below T_c is not presently understood.

Returning to figure 3, our model certainly fails to account for the additional bumps and the dip γ in the absorption spectrum, as well as for some of the MCD structures.

4.2.2 Role of vibrations. — One possible issue to the puzzling questions raised for the above two regions is to consider the role of vibrations, which we have ignored so far in our discussion. As encountered for d^n ions at a field of D_{2d} symmetry [16], electronic states are generally coupled to several vibrational modes. This obviously occurs in figure 7 where the absorption features around 19 400-19 450 cm⁻¹ bear a striking resemblance to the three-bands origin.



Fig. 15. — Plot of the splitting between bands α and β in figure 3 as a function of $T_c - T$ on a logarithmic scale.

The active modes might be b_1 at 45 cm⁻¹ and b_2 at 66 cm^{-1} [15]. The consequences of vibrational coupling are also fairly obvious in figure 9. Finally, Raman experiments at 4.2 K reveal modes at an even lower frequency : 30-38 cm⁻¹ and 19 cm⁻¹ (soft mode). We think it therefore likely that vibrations play a very significant role when their frequency is smaller than (or comparable to) 2 v_1 , as, e.g., around 14 650 cm⁻¹. Although the exact result is difficult to predict, it is fairly certain that anticrossing of D_2 states may occur in this situation if for example the $|\Gamma_2\rangle$ and $|\Gamma_4\rangle$ electronic components of a D_{2d} doublet couple to an a_2 or $b_2(D_{2d})$ mode (Γ_3 in \tilde{D}_2) to produce | Γ_4 » and $|\Gamma_2\rangle$ vibronic states. Thus $|\Gamma_2\rangle$ and $|\Gamma_2\rangle$ or $|\Gamma_4\rangle$ and $|\Gamma_4\rangle$ will interact strongly and this will lead to a dip in the absorption spectrum. The separation between the two arrows in figure 3 (~ 10 cm⁻¹) is just twice the magnitude of the matrix element between the two mixing states. Additional bands will occur for $\varphi = \pm \pi/2$, due to the presence of vibrational branches. Our arguments are equally valid in the case of a coupling with an a_1 mode (e.g., the soft mode). Anticrossing then occurs between the two lowest of the four D_2 branches.

4.2.3 Is there a phase pinning ? — At least for our crystal of concentration $c \sim 2 \times 10^{-4}$ (U/Th), our data demonstrate that there is no pinning of the incommensurate modulation at $\varphi = 0$. This would be observable via MCD in several regions of the spectrum. We made some calculations by increasing the number of sites at $\varphi = 0$. Invariably, the \mathcal{A}_1 term in the MCD becomes quickly symmetrical, all other features being completely swamped out. This prediction contrasts strongly with our observations, non only around 17 345 cm⁻¹ (³P₁ region) but also around 16 003 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 6). The argument is independent of the type of distribution adopted around $\varphi = 0$, e.g., δ -function or Gaussian. If the pinning occurred for $\varphi \neq 0$, then it would show up as extra peaks in all spectral regions, i.e., ${}^{3}P_{1}$ among others.

5. Conclusions.

A number of firm conclusions arise from this paper. First of all, MCD experiments leave no doubt that the ground state of U^{4+} in ThBr₄ is indeed Γ_4 in D_{2d} symmetry. New information has been gained regarding the g factors (magnitude and sign) of five excited states. Our findings strongly support the results of recent crystal field calculations. MCD has also demonstrated quite neatly that the investigated samples contained one (or several) paramagnetic impurity, this being U^{3+} in all likehood.

The model presented previously for the low-temperature phase $(D_{2d}-D_2 \text{ symmetry})$ has been developed quantitatively further and extended to the simulation of Zeeman and MCD spectra. It is found that our simulations and experiments are in good agreement in the ${}^{3}P_{1}$ region. From this result, we are able to state that : (i) the phase is probably truly incommensurate since an inferior limit to the number of centres is found to be 80; (ii) for a low concentration of U^{4+} (2 × 10⁻⁴), our data demonstrate the absence of a phase pinning at either $\varphi = 0$ or $\varphi \neq 0$; (iii) for T < 40 K, the order parameter η is found to vary as $(T_c - T)^{1/3}$, as does the frequency of the Raman soft mode. This behaviour far below $T_c = 95$ K is not presently understood.

Two regions of the spectra (19 360 and 14 600 cm⁻¹) are not yet fully understood although we believe that electron phonon coupling in excited states plays an important role. Further progress could arise from a detailed investigation of $\text{ThCl}_4/\text{U}^{4+}$ (change of T_c and the vibrational frequencies) or $\text{ThBr}_4(\text{ThCl}_4)$ intentionally doped with the impurity U³⁺. We note finally that our model is easily applicable to Kramers ions in hosts with an incommensurate phase.

References

- GENET, M., DELAMOYE, P., EDELSTEIN, N. and CONWAY, J., J. Chem. Phys. 67 (1977) 1620.
- [2] RICHMAN, I., KISLINK, P. and WONG, E. Y., Phys. Rev. 155 (1967) 262.
- [3] MACKEY, D. J., RUNCIMAN, W. A. and VANCE, E. R., *Phys. Rev.* B 11 (1975) 211.
- [4] BERNARD, L., CURRAT, R., DELAMOYE, P., ZEYEN,
 C. M. E., HUBERT, S. and DE KOUCHKOVSKY, R.,
 J. Phys. C : Solid State Phys. 16 (1983) 433.
- [5] DELAMOYE, P. and CURRAT, R., J. Physique Lett. 43 (1982) L-655.
- [6] DELAMOYE, P., KRUPA, J. C., CONWAY, J. and EDELSTEIN, N., Phys. Rev. B 28 (1983) 4913.
- [7] DELAMOYE, P., RAJNAK, K., GENET, M. and EDELSTEIN, N., Phys. Rev. B 28 (1983) 4923.
- [8] See, e.g., BRIAT, B., in *Electronic States of Inorganic Compounds : New experimental techniques*, edited by P. Day (Reidel) 1975.

- [9] KOSTER, G. F., DIMMOCK, J. O., WHEELER, R. G. and STATZ, H., Properties of the thirty-two point groups (M.I.T. Press, Cambridge) 1963.
- [10] FERRE, J., BOCCARA, A. C. and BRIAT, B., J. Physique 31 (1970) 631.
- [11] BLINC, R., ALEKSANDROVA, I. P., CHAVES, A. S., MILIA, F., RUTAR, V., SELIGER, J., TOPIC, B. and ZUMER, S., J. Phys. C : Solid State Phys. 15 (1982) 547.
- [12] HUSSONOIS, M., KRUPA, J. C., GENET, M., BRILLARD, L. and CARLIER, R., J. Crystal Growth 51 (1981) 11.
- [13] CANIT, J. C. and BADOZ, J., Appl. Opt. 23 (1984) 2861.
- [14] KRUPA, J. C., personal communication.
- [15] HUBERT, S., DELAMOYE, P., LEFRANT, S., LEPOSTOLLEC, M. and HUSSONNOIS, M., J. Solid State Chem. 36 (1981) 36.
- [16] BRIAT, B. and CANIT, J. C., Mol. Phys. 48 (1983) 33.