

The 12C(16O, 12C)16O reaction: an insight into the reaction mechanism by particle correlation measurements

F. Pougheon, I.M. Turkiewicz, M. Bernas, P. Dessagne, G. Rotbard, Pierre Roussel, J. Turkiewicz

▶ To cite this version:

F. Pougheon, I.M. Turkiewicz, M. Bernas, P. Dessagne, G. Rotbard, et al.. The 12C(16O, 12C)16O reaction : an insight into the reaction mechanism by particle correlation measurements. Journal de Physique, 1984, 45 (1), pp.65-69. 10.1051/jphys:0198400450106500 . jpa-00209740

HAL Id: jpa-00209740 https://hal.science/jpa-00209740

Submitted on 4 Feb 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Classification Physics Abstracts 24.70 — 25.70 — 27.30

The ${}^{12}C({}^{16}O, {}^{12}C){}^{16}O$ reaction : an insight into the reaction mechanism by particle correlation measurements

F. Pougheon, I. M. Turkiewicz (*), M. Bernas, P. Dessagne, G. Rotbard, P. Roussel and J. Turkiewicz (*)

Institut de Physique Nucléaire, BP nº 1, 91406 Orsay, France

(Reçu le 7 juillet 1983, accepté le 14 septembre 1983)

Résumé. — La réaction ${}^{12}C({}^{16}O, {}^{12}C){}^{16}O$ a été étudiée par des mesures de corrélations angulaires de particules. Cette expérience a démontré : i) que le mécanisme de double excitation en voie de sortie est un phénomène très important, ii) que l'alignement du noyau résiduel ${}^{16}O$ est très fort.

Abstract. — The ${}^{12}C({}^{16}O, {}^{12}C){}^{16}O$ reaction was studied by particle correlation measurements. It is shown : i) that the double excitation mechanism is very important in the exit channel, ii) that the alignment of the residual nucleus ${}^{16}O$ is very strong.

1. Introduction.

In the past few years a large amount of experimental and theoretical works have been devoted to the study of heavy ion induced transfer reactions. In particular the alpha particle transfer has been extensively studied using different targets and projectiles and was proved to be a good tool to populate high spin states in light even-even nuclei [1]. But in most cases it has not been possible to extract quantitative spectroscopic information for these states from simple angular distributions.

A part of the reaction mechanism is not yet well understood and not yet well described by reaction mechanism theories. One of the open problems is the importance of the ejectile excitation and of the double excitation (ejectile + residual nucleus) mechanism.

A deeper insight on these problems can be reached by correlation measurements. In a previous work on the ${}^{16}O({}^{16}O, {}^{12}C){}^{20}Ne$ reaction [2] we have shown that the polarization measurement of the residual nucleus was a stringent test of the reaction models and the ${}^{20}Ne$ polarization was found to be very strong.

In the present experiment we have measured particle-particle correlations in the four-nucleon trans-

fer reaction :

$${}^{12}C({}^{16}O, {}^{12}C){}^{16}O^* \rightarrow \alpha + {}^{12}C$$

where the ${}^{16}O^*$ levels are selected by the energy of the associated ${}^{12}C$.

The aim of this work was :

to determine the alignment of the ¹⁶O residual nucleus in order to compare it to previous experiments;
 to evaluate the part of ejectile and double

excitation in this reaction, this problem being still open at this date.

2. Experimental set-up.

The experimental set-up has been described in details in a previous paper [2]. We chose to detect the ¹²C resulting from the ¹⁶O decay instead of the α for the optimization of the efficiency.

The ¹⁶O 7⁺ beam from the Orsay MP Tandem is focussed on ¹²C targets (50 µg/cm²) and stopped in a Faraday cup. The energy and the position of the decay products from the ¹⁶O* excited nucleus are obtained in a silicon position sensitive detector (PSD) 5 cm long, placed in the reaction chamber 7 cm away from the target. The ¹²C particles from the two body reaction are analysed by a n = 1/2spectrometer and are localized in two position sensitive gas counters which provide the ray tracing [3] at the exit of the magnet. The ion identification is

^(*) Permanent address : Institute for Nuclear Research, Warsaw (Poland).

unambiguously achieved with a ΔE , E ionization chamber [4].

For each event, nine primary signals are generated, namely : S1, S2, S3, S4 from the two ends of the position counters, ΔE , E from the ionization chamber, E' and P'E' the energy and position signals from the PSD, and the TAC which operates between timing signals coming from E' and the first gaz counter. These signals are processed through a computer which provides for several on line visualizations and puts the data on tape for an off line treatment.

3. Results.

3.1 ¹²C ENERGY SPECTRA FROM THE ¹²C(¹⁶O, ¹²C)¹⁶O REACTION. — The ¹²C(¹⁶O, ¹²C)¹⁶O reaction has been studied at 100 MeV incident energy. Spectra obtained for this reaction are shown in figure 1*a* and 2*a*. These spectra exhibit a strong selectivity. The populated states are members of the $K^{\pi} = 0^+$ (6.05 MeV 0⁺, 6.92 MeV 2⁺, 10.35 MeV 4⁺, 16.3 MeV 6⁺) and $K^{\pi} = 0^-$ (9.63 MeV 1⁻, 11.60 MeV 3⁻, 14.67 MeV 5⁻) alpha cluster bands. The same states are selectivity populated in the (⁷Li, t) [5] and (¹²C, ⁸Be) [1] reactions.

The main problem in the study of the (¹⁶O, ¹²C) reaction is the important overlap between the ¹⁶O ground state wave function and the ¹²C* (4.43 MeV 2⁺) $\otimes \alpha$ wave function. Thus the population of this 2⁺ excited state is expected to be large. This peak is clearly observed in the spectra in spite of the Q mismatch. The remaining problem is the contribution of this state (¹²C* (2⁺)) in the higher excitation energy peaks. In fact, several excitation energies of the populated states correspond to a possible double excitation. For example, the 4⁺ state of ¹⁶O at 10.36 MeV can be mixed with the double excitation peak coming from 6.05 MeV (0⁺) of ¹⁶O + 4.43 MeV (2⁺) of ¹²C and so on.

3.2 CORRELATION MEASUREMENTS; PROBLEM OF THE EJECTILE EXCITATION. — One way to solve this problem is to observe the deexcitation of the residual nucleus in the outgoing channel. Figures 1b and 2b display the outgoing ¹²C spectra measured with a coincidence between a ¹²C at the exit of the magnet and a decaying particle coming from the ¹⁶O residual nucleus. The detailed analysis of these spectra together with the bidimensional energy-position spectra obtained in the PSD permit to precise the mode of deexcitation of each level under study and to evaluate the contribution of the double excitation in simple spectra.

- Peak around 10.40 MeV.

Figure 3 shows in details the area in the energy spectrum around 10.40 MeV. The plot (1) is the energy spectrum gated by a coincidence with a signal in the PSD. Plot (2) is the one obtained with a window put around the recoil events (the 4.44 MeV (2^+)



Fig. 1. — a) ${}^{12}C$ energy spectrum observed in the ${}^{12}C({}^{16}O, {}^{12}C){}^{16}O$ reaction at 100 MeV incident energy. This spectrum corresponds to the first exposure of the magnet. b) Same spectrum but gated by a coincidence between a ${}^{12}C$ event at the exit of the magnet and an event in the position sensitive detector set in the reaction chamber.



Fig. 2. $(a)^{12}C$ energy spectrum observed in the ${}^{12}C({}^{16}O, {}^{12}C){}^{16}O$ reaction at 100 MeV incident energy. This spectrum corresponds to the second exposure of the magnet. b) Same spectrum but gated by a coincidence between a ${}^{12}C$ event at the exit of the magnet and an event in the position sensitive detector set in the reaction chamber.



Fig. 3. — Detail of the ${}^{12}C$ energy spectrum in the area around 10 MeV. See text to have explanation for the different plots (1) (2) and (3).

level of ¹²C decaying by γ emission gives a recoil nucleus which is detected in the PSD and gives a well defined group of events at a given angle and energy). Plot (3) corresponds to a window put on the correlation plot ¹⁶O* 10.35 MeV (4⁺) $\rightarrow \alpha$ + ¹²C corresponding to these three-body kinematics. The first thing to note is that peak (3) well corresponds to the difference between peak (1) and peak (2). This checks that there is no other background. The bump observed in (2) corresponds to the two double excitation peaks 4.44 MeV (2^+) ${}^{12}C^* + 6.05 (0^+)$ MeV and 6.91 MeV (2^+) ${}^{16}O^*$. These peaks are broadened by γ Doppler effect. The ratio of the double excitation cross section to the 4⁺ ${}^{16}O$ state was estimated to be

$$N = \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma/\mathrm{d}\Omega(D.E)}{\mathrm{d}\sigma/\mathrm{d}\Omega (10.35 \mathrm{ MeV 4^+})} = 3.0 \pm 0.5 .$$

- Peak around 14.7 MeV.

This broad peak can be attributed to the 5⁻ state of ¹⁶O at 14.67 MeV or (and) to the double excitation 4.44 MeV (2^+) ¹²C* + 10.35 MeV (4^+) ¹⁶O*. One can observe (Fig. 2) that the ratio of this peak to the peak around 10.4 MeV is not the same in the single spectrum (a) and in the coincidence spectra (b). This is due to the fact that the PSD was not set at an angle where the recoil nucleus ¹²C* coming from the double excitation could be detected.

The distribution of the particles detected in the PSD gated by the coincidence with the peak around 14.7 MeV in the two-body reaction spectrum is shown in figure 4. The full line is the kinematical line corresponding to the deexcitation of ${}^{16}O^*$ in the two different sequential reactions :

$${}^{12}C({}^{16}O, {}^{12}C^*_{4.43\,\text{MeV}}){}^{16}O^*_{10.35}\,(4^+) \to \alpha + {}^{12}C\,(\text{gs})$$
(1)

and

$${}^{12}C({}^{16}O, {}^{12}C \text{ gs}){}^{16}O_{14.67}^* (5^-) \to \alpha + {}^{12}C^* (4.43)$$
(2)



Fig. 4. — Distribution of the ¹²C and α particles detected in the PSD and gated by the coincidence with ¹²C at the exit of the magnet, corresponding to the peak at 14.7 MeV. The full line is the kinematical line corresponding to the deexcitation of the ¹⁶O* in ¹²C(¹⁶O, ¹²C* (2⁺))¹⁶O* (4⁺) $\rightarrow \alpha + ^{12}C$ (gs) and ¹²C(¹⁶O, ¹²C)¹⁶O* (5⁻) $\rightarrow \alpha + ^{12}C^*$ (2⁺). The dotted line is the kinematical line corresponding to the deexcitation of the 5^{- 16}O* state at 14.67 MeV. ¹²C(¹⁶O, ¹²C)¹⁶O* (5) $\rightarrow \alpha + ^{12}C$ (gs). The other lines correspond to the detection of the α coming from the same decays.

The three-body kinematics are exactly the same and the only way to distinguish these two reactions would be to detect the ¹²C recoil nucleus after γ emission in reaction (1).

Nevertheless when a gate is put on this correlation and the energy spectrum is observed, the obtained peak is broad (~ 1 MeV). This indicates that the reaction (1) corresponding to the double excitation is largely dominant.

The dotted line is the kinematical line corresponding to the deexcitation of the 5^{-16} O state at 14.67 MeV :

$${}^{12}C({}^{16}O, {}^{12}C \text{ gs}){}^{16}O_{14.67}^*(5^-) \rightarrow \alpha + {}^{12}C \text{ (gs)}.$$

The contribution of this 5^- state was evaluated to be only 30 % of the whole peak around 14.6 MeV.

- Peak around 16.3 MeV.

This peak cannot contain any double excitation process. But there are two decay channels for this ${}^{16}O(6^+)$ state, namely :

$${}^{16}O^* \to \alpha + {}^{12}C \text{ (gs)}$$
 (1)

$${}^{16}O^* \rightarrow \alpha + {}^{12}C^* (4.43 2^+)$$
.

These two channels were also clearly distinguished in the correlation figure. The first decay channel is dominant and is evaluated to be 65 %, of the decay mode. This result is in agreement with that obtained by S. S. Sanders *et al.* [1].

3.3 Correlation functions and alignment of the ¹⁶O RESIDUAL NUCLEUS. — Correlation functions between ¹²C (at the exit of the magnet) and ¹²C (coming from the ¹⁶O decay) have been measured in the reaction plane $\left(\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ for a ¹²C lab. angle of 10° with an aperture of 1º. A sample of correlation functions obtained for some levels is displayed in figure 5. It is seen that for the ¹⁶O states decaying to $\alpha + {}^{12}C$ (gs), rather regular oscillations are observed. Their number is equal to the spin of the level in the φ range of 0° to 180°. This is not true for the 6⁺ decaying to $\alpha + {}^{12}C(2^+)$. In this case the shape of the correlation function is very different with no regular oscillations. This is due to the fact that, only in the first cases, all the particles involved have spin zero (with the exception of the residual nucleus).

In our previous work it was shown [2, 6] that the population of the different magnetic substates could be extracted from the experimental correlation functions. Two different methods can be used [2]. In this work we used the extraction from the average value of the correlation function. Only the alignment, that is to say the sum, $|p_j^j|^2 + |p_j^{-j}|^2$ can be deduced from this method.

It is recalled that, for a level with a given spin 3, the correlation function, in the reaction plane $W(\pi/2)$,



Fig. 5. — ¹²C-¹²C angular correlations measured in the ¹²C(¹⁶O, <u>¹²C</u>)¹⁶O* $\rightarrow \alpha + \frac{^{12}C}{^{2}}$ at 100 MeV incident energy for different ¹⁶O* states. The correlations are observed in the reaction plane $\left(\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ for a ¹²C lab. angle of 10°.

averaged over φ can be written as

$$\langle W_{j} \rangle = \sum_{M \ge 0} \left(|p_{j}^{M}|^{2} + |p_{j}^{-M}|^{2} \right) \langle W_{JM} \rangle$$

where $\langle W_{JM} \rangle$ is the contribution, with weight $|p_j^M|^2 + |p_j^{-M}|^2$ of the two magnetic substates M and -M to the average value of the correlation function.

In table I, the values of the partial average value $\langle W_{ij} \rangle$, that is to say the average value of the correlation function if only the two magnetic substates $M = \pm J$ are populated, are compared to the experi-

Table I. — Values of the calculated partial average $\langle W_{jj} \rangle$ compared to the experimental average values for the tree different studied ¹⁶O states.

¹⁶ O states	$\langle W_{jj} \rangle$ calculated values	$\langle W_j \rangle$ experimental values
10.35 MeV (4 ⁺)	0.19	0.17
10.67 MeV (5 ⁻)	0.22	0.18
16.29 MeV (6 ⁺)	0.24	0.21

mental values for different ¹⁶O levels. It is seen that the experimental values are very close to calculated maximum values. The results show the strong ¹⁶O alignment since the contributions of the magnetic substates |M| = J are predominant. One can estimate that the ¹⁶O alignment is of the same order of magnitude that as that obtained for ²⁰Ne in our previous work, that is to say an alignment of $85 \pm 5 \%$.

4. Summary.

The aim of this work was to study the ${}^{12}C({}^{16}O, {}^{12}C){}^{16}O$ reaction mechanism by particle angular correlation measurements. The reaction exhibits a strong selectivity. The two alpha cluster bands $K = 0^+$ and $K = 0^-$ are preferentially populated.

The correlation measurements have allowed us to obtain two results.

i) The double excitation $({}^{12}C^*(4.43 \text{ MeV}, 2^+) + \text{residual nucleus})$ process is very large and contributes for 70 % to the differential cross section of the peaks at 10.35 MeV and 14.7 MeV.

ii) The alignment of the ¹⁶O residual nucleus has been deduced and found to be very strong, comparable to that obtained for ²⁰Ne in a previous work [2]. So this strong alignment seems to be a general characteristic of such an α transfer reaction on light targets.

Acknowledgments.

Two of us (I.M.T. and Y.T.) want to thank IPN for the hospitality received during their stay. This work has been carried out in the frame of the collaboration operated under IN2P3 — Warsaw University agreement.

References

- SANDERS, S. J., MORTZ, L. M. and PARKER, P. D., *Phys. Rev. C.* 20 N. 5 (1979) 1743.
- [2] POUGHEON, F., ROUSSEL, P., BERNAS, M., DIAF, F., FABBRO, B., NAULIN, F., PLAGNOL, E. and ROT-BARD, G., Nucl. Phys. A 325 (1979) 481.
- [3] ROUSSEL, P., BERNAS, M., DIAF, F., NAULIN, F., POU-GHEON, F., ROTBARD, G. and ROY-STEPHAN, M., Nucl. Instrum. Methods 153 (1978) 111.
- [4] NAULIN, F., ROY-STEPHAN, M. and KASHY, E., Nucl. Instrum. Methods 180 (1980) 646.
- [5] BRODLOW, H. S., RAE, W. D., FISHER, P. S., GOD-WIN, N. S., PROUDFOOT, G. and SINCLAIR, D., *Nucl. Phys. A* 314 (1979) 207.
- [6] DIAF, F., thesis (Orsay) (1978).