

Combination of de-novo assembly of massive sequencing reads with classical repeat prediction improves identification of repetitive sequences in Schistosoma mansoni

Julie Mireille Lepesant, David Roquis, Rémi Emans, Céline Cosseau, Nathalie Arancibia, Guillaume Mitta, Christoph Grunau

▶ To cite this version:

Julie Mireille Lepesant, David Roquis, Rémi Emans, Céline Cosseau, Nathalie Arancibia, et al.. Combination of de-novo assembly of massive sequencing reads with classical repeat prediction improves identification of repetitive sequences in Schistosoma mansoni. Experimental Parasitology, 2012, 130 (4), pp.470-474. 10.1016/j.exppara.2012.02.010. halsde-00674586

HAL Id: halsde-00674586 https://hal.science/halsde-00674586v1

Submitted on 27 Feb 2012 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Page 1 of 12

1 Combination of *de-novo* assembly of massive sequencing reads with

2 classical repeat prediction improves identification of repetitive

- 3 sequences in Schistosoma mansoni
- 4

5 Julie M.J. Lepesant ^{ab}, David Roquis ^{ab}, Rémi Emans ^{ab}, Céline Cosseau ^{ab}, Nathalie Arancibia ^{ab},

- 6 Guillaume Mitta^{ab} and Christoph Grunau^{ab*}
- 7 a) Université de Perpignan Via Domitia, Perpignan, F-66860, France
- 8 b) CNRS, UMR 5244, Ecologie et Evolution des Interactions (2EI), Perpignan, F-66860, France
- 9
- 10 *) corresponding author :
- 11 Christoph Grunau, christoph.grunau@univ-perp.fr, Université de Perpignan Via Domitia, UMR
- 12 5244 CNRS Ecologie et Evolution des Interactions (2EI), 52 Avenue Paul Alduy, 66860 Perpignan
- 13 Cedex, France
- 14 Tel: +33 468662180
- 15 Fax : +33 468662281

16

17

18 Abstract

19 The genome of the parasitic platyhelminth Schistosoma mansoni is composed of approximately 40% of repetitive sequences of which roughly 20% correspond to transposable elements. When the 20 21 genome sequence became available, conventional repeat prediction programs were used to find 22 these repeats, but only a fraction could be identified. To exhaustively characterize the repeats we 23 applied a new massive sequencing based strategy: we re-sequenced the genome by next generation 24 sequencing, aligned the sequencing reads to the genome and assembled all multiple-hit reads into 25 contigs corresponding to the repetitive part of the genome. We present here, for the first time, this 26 de-novo repeat assembly strategy and we confirm that such assembly is feasible. We identified and 27 annotated 4,143 new repeats in the Schistosoma mansoni genome. At least one third of the repeats 28 are transcribed. This strategy allowed us also to identify 14 new microsatellite markers, which can 29 be used for pedigree studies. Annotations and the combined (previously known and new) 5,420 30 repeat sequences (corresponding to 47% of the genome) are available for download (http://methdb.univ-perp.fr/downloads/). 31

- 32
- 33

34 Keywords

35 Schistosoma mansoni; repetitive sequences; massive sequencing; de novo assembly

Page 3 of 12

Despite their abundance, repetitive sequences of the genome are often considered as "junk", 36 "selfish", or "parasitic" DNA that is tolerated by the genome but has no biological or evolutionary 37 functions. This view is about to change. In 2005, Shapiro and von Stenberg discussed the 38 39 importance of the repetitive sequences for the establishment of the frontiers between 40 heterochromatin and euchromatin, and their influence on homologous and nonhomologous recombination (Shapiro and von Sternberg, 2005). Depending on their position, repetitive 41 42 sequences can play a part in activation or repression of gene transcription (Goodier and Kazazian, 43 2008). Some repeats have important structural functions such as telomeric repeats or the long 44 satellite blocks that make up the centromeres of mammals and insects (Kejnovsky et al., 2009). In 45 some cases, transcription of repeats and subsequent processing into small RNA was described. These transcripts are involved in heterochromatization (small heterochromatin inducing RNA -46 shiRNA) (Reinhart and Bartel, 2002). Transposable elements, constituting a substantial share of the 47 48 repetitive DNA, are known to have an impact on the genome evolution. Some were even selected to 49 play a precise role in the cell ("domesticated repeats") (Shapiro and von Sternberg, 2005). Taken 50 together, repetitive elements can no longer be considered as a side-aspect of the genome and 51 deserve a deeper investigation.

52 Schistosoma mansoni is a parasitic plathyhelminth responsible for intestinal schistosomiasis. This parasitic human disease ranks second only to malaria in terms of parasite-induced human morbidity 53 54 and mortality, with more than 200 million infected people. The economic burden caused by the disease is tremendous as, for example, people disabled by the disease have limited job 55 56 performances and are less likely to contribute to the local development. It was estimated that 57 schistosomiasis burden represents 25 - 50 million disability-adjusted life-years (DALY) (King, 2010). The life cycle of the parasite is characterized by passage through two obligatory hosts: a 58 59 fresh-water snail (Biomphalaria species, depending on the geographical location) as intermediate host, and humans or rodents as the final host. Miracidia infect the snail and transform into primary 60 61 and secondary sporocysts, from which cercariae, capable of infecting the human host, are released

62 into the water. Based on RepeatScout data, the genome of S. mansoni was thought to contain approximately 40% repetitive sequences (Berriman et al., 2009), of which roughly 20% correspond 63 64 to transposable elements (Simpson et al., 1982). Over the last 30 years, roughly a dozen repetitive 65 sequences have been identified by classical molecular biology methods e.g. (Copeland et al., 2003; Copeland et al., 2006). When the genome sequence became available, conventional repeat 66 prediction programs were used to identify additional repetitive sequences. These 1,225 repeat 67 68 sequences available from the J. Craig Venter Insitute Institute are 69 (ftp://ftp.tigr.org/pub/data/Eukaryotic_Projects/s_mansoni/preliminary_annotation/homology_evide nce/smal.repeats.gz) and their naming convention suggests that RepeatScout was used for 70 71 prediction. Fifty-five repeats were available in GenBank. At this point, when we had re-sequenced 72 the genome by massively sequencing (next-generation sequencing, NGS) our mapping results 73 suggested that a large number of additional repeats must exist in the S. mansoni genome. We 74 reasoned that by combining alignment information to identify reads that correspond to multiple locations on the genome and short-read assembly it should be possible to indentify all repeats in a 75 76 genome without a priori information.

77 A Brazilian strain (Bre) and a Guadeloupean strain (GH2), maintained respectively in their 78 sympatric *B. glabrata* strain, were used in this study. Miracidia and eight-week adult worms were 79 recovered as described before (Theron et al., 1997) and kept at -80°C. The French Ministère de 80 l'Agriculture et de la Pêche and French Ministère de l'Education Nationale de la Recherche et de la 81 Technologie provided permit A 66040 to our laboratory for experiments on animals and certificate 82 for animal experimentation (authorization 007083, decree 87–848) for the experimenters. Housing, 83 breeding and animal care followed the national ethical requirements. Genomic DNA was extracted 84 from 10 adult couples using the phenol-chloroform protocol. For total RNA purification, three 85 independent preparations of each larvae and adults were used. For the larval stages, RNA was extracted from 10,000 miracidia using 500 µl Trizol (InvitrogenTM). Ten adult couples were 86 87 solubilized in 500µl Trizol with a MagNA Lyser and Green beads (Roche). RNA was treated with

Page 5 of 12

88 DNA-free (Ambion #cat: AM1907) for 45 minutes at 37°C, followed by inactivation of the enzyme using the inactivation reagent. PCR of 28s rDNA was used to test for genomic DNA 89 90 contaminations. First strand cDNA was synthesized using 20 ng of the total RNA preparation, in a 91 final volume of 20µl with 200 U of RevertAid (Fermentas, #cat: G2101). To assemble the repeat 92 genome, we used a total of 38,004,342 36-bp single-end reads generated on a Genome Analyzer II 93 (Illumina) according to the manufacturer's protocol, at the MGX and Oregon State University 94 sequencing facilities. Sequences are available at the NCBI sequence read archive (study accession 95 numbers SRA012151.6 and SRA043796.1). Reads were aligned to the reference genome v.3.1 with 96 SOAP2/SOAPaligner (Li et al., 2009) evoking the -r 0 (not repeats) and -u (write unmapped reads 97 into a file) options. The rationale behind this approach was that in this case, SOAP would only align 98 reads with a single occurrence in the genome. All other reads correspond either to unknown 99 sequences or occurring more than once. *i.e.* are repetitive. The 12,535,613 unmapped sequence 100 reads (33% of total) were then assembled using Velvet 0.7.01 (Zerbino, 2010) with the -cov_cutoff 101 4 -min_contig_length 100 options resulting in 8,608 contigs. A long read assembler (Sequencher version 4.5 (Gene Codes) min match=93%, min overlap=60 bp) was used to produce finally 8,594 102 103 contigs. Each repeat was assembled individually and therefore the assemblies may be composed of 104 two or more distinct, but very similar repeats. First pass annotation of the 8,594 presumed repeat 105 contigs was done with Blast (Altschul et al., 1990), Censor/Repbase (Kohany et al., 2006), TEclass 106 (Abrusan et al., 2009) and Tandem Repeats Finder (Benson, 1999). Blast2GO v2.4.8 (Conesa et al., 107 2005) was used to carry out various types of BLAST searches (conditions in Supplementary Table 1 108 and results in Table 1). CENSOR (http://www.girinst.org/censor/) (Kohany et al., 2006) and the 109 Repbase Update (http://www.girinst.org/repbase/) (Jurka et al., 2005) were applied to find 110 sequences sharing similarity to known repeats. Parameters were: Sequence source: all; Forced 111 translated search: no; Search for identity: no; Mask simple repeats: yes; Mask pseudogenes: yes. Results were evaluated according to the 80/80/80 principle (80% of identity on 80% of the sequence 112 113 spanning a minimum of 80 bp) (Wicker et al., 2007). The web application TEclass

114 (http://www.compgen.uni-muenster.de/tools/teclass/) (Abrusan et al., 2009) was used to predict potential transposable elements in a sequence with default parameters. Finally we used Tandem 115 116 Repeats Finder (TRfinder, http://tandem.bu.edu/trf/trf.html) (Benson, 1999) to identify tandem 117 repeats. Parameters were optimized for highest sensitivity and specificity (respectively 81% and 97%) using in silico generated training sequences: Minimum alignment score: 30; alignment 118 119 parameters: 2-7-7. TR finder was also used to find sequences with a period of 2, 3 or 4 bp that could 120 serve as new microsatellite markers. Candidates were verified to have only one occurrence in the 121 genome, to be polymorphic (by comparison with trace files used for the genome assembly) and it 122 was checked if they were located in a gene.

123 For confirmation of *in-silico* results, PCRs were carried out in a final volume of 25 µL containing 0.2 µmol of each oligonucleotide primer (Supplementary Table 2), 0.2 mmol of each dNTP 124 (Promega), 1.25 U of GoTaq polymerase (Promega, #cat: M3175) used with the recommended 125 126 buffer and completed to the final volume with DNase-free water (95 °C for 10 min followed by 35 127 cycles at 95 °C for 30 s, 53°C for 30 s, 72 °C for 1 min and a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min). The PCR products were separated by electrophoresis through a 2% TBE agarose gel. Real-time 128 129 quantitative PCR analyses were performed using the LightCycler 2.0 system (Roche Applied 130 Science) and LightCycler Fast-start DNA Master SYBR Green I kit (Roche Applied Science) with 131 2.5 µl of cDNA in a final volume of 10 µl (3 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 µM of each primer, 5 µl of master 132 mix). The primers were designed with the LightCycler Probe design software or the Primer3Plus 133 web based interface (http://www.bioinformatics.nl/cgi-bin/primer3plus/primer3plus.cgi). The 134 following protocol was used: 95 °C for 10 minutes; 40 cycles: 95 °C for 10 seconds, 60 °C for 5 135 seconds, 72°C for 16 seconds; melting curve, 60-95 °C with a heating rate of 0.1 °C/s and continuous fluorescence measurement, and a cooling step to 40 °C. For each reaction, the crossing 136 point (Ct) was determined with the "second derivative method" of the LightCycler Software 3.3. 137 138 PCR reactions were done in quadruplicate and the mean value of Ct was calculated. 28s rRNA was 139 used as an internal control and the amplification of a unique band was verified by electrophoresis

Page 7 of 12

140 through 2% agarose gels for each qPCR product. Primer sequences and expected PCR product sizes

are listed in Supplementary Tables 2 and 3. For all qPCR, efficiency was at least 1.95.

142 Our assembly of SOAP-sorted massive sequencing reads delivered 8,594 contigs. Contigs smr 2181, smr 2685, smr 2733, smr 3000, smr 3595, smr 3826, smr 4022, and smr 6227 - that 143 144 we tested by PCR - showed a band at the predicted size indicating that assembly is correct in most 145 cases. For two contigs (smr 3000 and smr 3826) a supplementary band was present, with a 146 molecular weight twice as high as the major band, suggesting repetition in that these fragments 147 correspond to an amplicon of 2 tandem repeats in the genome. Among the 8,594, we clearly identified 6,531 (76%) as repeats via in silico analysis using the following criteria: two or more 148 149 occurrences in the reference genome and no Blast annotation (against the nr database from NCBI) 150 related to a known gene or protein (with the exception of proteins typical of transposable elements, such as transposase, reverse transcriptase or GAG polyprotein), using an e-value cut-off of 1.0E⁻³⁰. 151 152 Using the information obtained from the Blast done on the nr database, we identified 306 contigs 153 related to genes or gene families, which include 40 mitochondrial genes. A Blast search against the reference genome of S. mansoni, which allowed us to count the number of occurrences of each 154 155 repeat, showed that 1,332 sequences (other than the ones identified as genes) were unique, and 230 156 were absent from the reference genome. All Blast conditions are listed in Supplementary Table 1. 157 At this point of our analysis, a total of 10 sequences are suspected to be possible contamination, as 158 they are neither present in the reference genome, nor in the trace files, but match perfectly 159 sequences from other organisms. Four sequences correspond to rodents and six to small freshwater 160 organisms such as Hydra magnipapillata. It is likely that the sequences are due to contaminations 161 from host tissue and spring-water used for parasite culture. Out of the 6,531 repeated sequences, TRfinder detected 516 containing tandem repeats. Two thousand four hundred and forty five 162 163 repeated sequences matched fully or partially to already known repeats, *i.e.* those present in the 164 RepeatScout generated database of repeat predictions. In conclusion, assembly of repeats is possible 165 by our new approach and so far, 4,143 unknown repeats have been identified. Based on the

166 combined results of Blast and Censor we classified the 6,531 repeats into 9 groups, and into 5 with 167 TEClass. This categorization is based on the hierarchy of classes of repeats suggested by the Censor 168 results (list of classes and subclasses available the GIRI website, on 169 http://www.girinst.org/censor/help.html). The majority of repeat annotations belongs to class I and 170 class II (retro) transposons (Table 1b). For 1,921 of the 6,531 repeats (29%) we found ESTs through Blast searches (Supplementary Table 1), indicating that a large part is transcribed. Blast searches in 171 172 stage specific ESTs revealed a homogeneous distribution among the life cycle stages (data not 173 shown). For seven repeats with 0 to 52 EST hits, we verified transcription in miracidia and adults. Six (smr_2181, smr_2685, smr_2733, smr_3595, smr_4022 and smr_6227) showed low, but 174 175 significant above background transcription, while for a single repeat (smr 7590) no transcription 176 was found (Figure 1). There was no correlation between the number of EST hits and transcription level measured by qPCR. We used TR finder. Blast searches against the trace file database and Blast 177 178 searches against the S. mansoni genome followed by visual inspection to identify 14 new polymorphic microsatellite markers. Five of them are located in predicted gene coding regions and 179 the remaining 9 are probably neutral markers (Supplementary Table 4). To generate a combined 180 181 database we used the abovementioned 1,225 predicted repeats. We then analyzed the 55 repeats 182 available in GenBank and identified three duplicates: Sm SR-AB2 (AF025674.1), Sm SR1 pol 3 (U66331.1) and Sm_Sinbad_iS4-T (AY965073.1). For Sm_salmonid (AY834402.1) we have 183 184 shown previously (Grunau and Boissier, 2010) that it is not present in S. mansoni. Consequently, we removed these 4 repeats from the database, and added the remaining 51 repeats sequences 185 186 available in GenBank and a tandem repeat earlier identified in our laboratory (TandemRepeat_266) 187 to the combined database (1,225 predicted + 55 GenBank - 3 duplicates - 1 wrong + 1 previously)188 identified = 1277). In total, our new Schistosoma mansoni repeat database contains 5,420 189 sequences. The workflow and a summary of results are shown in figure 2. This repeat database was 190 used for the annotation of assembly 3.1 of the S.mansoni genome. We employed RepeatMasker 191 (Smit et al., 1996) evoking the -cutoff 250 -norna options. The repeatmasking was done with the Page 9 of 12

192 original Sanger/TIGR library and with our combined library. Repeatmasker identified repeats in 47.40% of the genome. Fragmentation and overlapping repeatmasking were considerably lower 193 194 with our new library resulting in annotation of 623,983 repeats compared to 881,451 obtained with 195 the previous Sanger/TIGR data. Examples that show the improved quality of annotation are shown 196 in supplementary figure 1. During the preparation of the manuscript, version 5.2 of the S. mansoni 197 genome became available in which scaffold redundancy was reduced (Protasio et al., 2012). We 198 reasoned that some of our new repeats might be absent from the new assembly and repeated the 199 blast search with an e-value of 1e-30. We removed all repeats that did not match to the genome 5.2 200 or that matched only once resulting in 3,145 different repeat sequences. Repeatmasking showed that 201 47.73% is repetitive, *i.e.* essentially the same value as for the 3.1 assembly. Repeat annotations 202 were converted into GFF and loaded on an in-house genome browser for visualization. The repeats are available as fasta files and annotations as GFF files (http://methdb.univ-perp.fr/downloads/). 203

204 We describe here - to our knowledge for the first time - a *de novo* assembly strategy for repetitive 205 sequences making use of a filter option in short read alignment programs such as SOAP. Short reads that match to more than one region of the reference genome can be handled in two different 206 207 ways by these programs. Either, they are randomly positioned to the multiple matching loci, or they 208 are not at all aligned. We made use of this latter option and employed the alignment program as a filter for repetitive sequences. A potential caveat of this method is that unique sequences that for 209 210 some reasons are not present in the reference genome (or not aligned to it) will also initially be 211 included in the sequence bin that will serve as the input for the repeat assembly process. These 212 sequences must be eliminated after assembly by alignment to the genome. The rationale behind this 213 approach is that true repeats will be detected - by their very nature - more than once in the genome. 214 All other sequences must be removed. This strategy allowed us to identify 4,143 new repeats in the 215 S. mansoni genome in addition to the 1,225 unique predicted and the 52 experimentally found 216 repeat sequences available in GenBank or through our own work. The strategy can naturally also be 217 used for other species for which a reference sequence and massive sequencing reads are available.

218 We then annotated the repeats with conventional annotation programs in order to classify them. It is 219 interesting to note that a substantial proportion of the repeats (at least 30% but probably more) is transcribed. It is tempting to speculate that this transcription has a biological function as observed in 220 221 other species. Further work will be necessary to explore this option in schistosomes. Finally, our 222 approach allowed us to identify 14 new polymorphic microsatellite markers, of which 9 are probably neutral markers. Microsatellite markers are popular tools in many fields of biology such as 223 pedigree studies or epidemiology. While being of great interest, they are notoriously difficult to 224 225 find, and during the last decade only 43 were discovered (Supplementary Table 4). Our new markers could bring this number to 57, providing a larger choice that will be useful in situations 226 227 where the so far used markers are not sufficiently polymorphic.

228

229 Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Michael Freitag (Oregon State Univesity) for library construction and sequencing of data SRA043796.1, and to the staff of the MGX-Montpellier GenomiX (MGX) sequencing center for generation of data SRA012151.6. Patricia Ruy (SchistoDB, Centro de Pesquisas Rene Rachou, Belo Horizone, Brazil) provided support for Blast against *S.mansoni* EST databases. This work received funding from the French National Agency for Research (ANR), project ANR-2010-BLAN-1720-01 (EPIGEVOL). The funding source had no involvement in the study design and publication decision. The authors declare to have no conflict of interest.

- 237
- 238
- 239

240 **References**

241

- Abrusan, G, Grundmann, N, DeMester, L,Makalowski, W, 2009. TEclass--a tool for automated
 classification of unknown eukaryotic transposable elements. Bioinformatics 25, 1329-1330.
- Altschul, SF, Gish, W, Miller, W, Myers, EW, Lipman, DJ, 1990. Basic local alignment search tool.
 Journal of Molecular Biology 215, 403-410.
- Benson, G, 1999. Tandem repeats finder: a program to analyze DNA sequences. Nucleic Acids
 Research 27, 573-580.
- Berriman, M, et al., 2009. The genome of the blood fluke Schistosoma mansoni. Nature 460, 352358.
- Conesa, A, Gotz, S, Garcia-Gomez, JM, Terol, J, Talon, M,Robles, M, 2005. Blast2GO: a universal
 tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional genomics research. Bioinformatics
 21, 3674-3676.
- Copeland, CS, Brindley, PJ, Heyers, O, Michael, SF, Johnston, DA, Williams, DL, Ivens,
 AC,Kalinna, BH, 2003. Boudicca, a retrovirus-like long terminal repeat retrotransposon from
 the genome of the human blood fluke Schistosoma mansoni. Journal of Virology 77, 61536166.
- Copeland, CS, Lewis, FA,Brindley, PJ, 2006. Identification of the Boudicca and Sinbad
 retrotransposons in the genome of the human blood fluke Schistosoma haematobium. Mem
 Inst Oswaldo Cruz 101, 565-571.
- Goodier, JL,Kazazian, HH Jr, 2008. Retrotransposons revisited: the restraint and rehabilitation of
 parasites. Cell 135, 23-35.
- Grunau, C,Boissier, J, 2010. No evidence for lateral gene transfer between salmonids and
 schistosomes. Nature Genetics 42, 918-919.
- Jurka, J, Kapitonov, VV, Pavlicek, A, Klonowski, P, Kohany, O, Walichiewicz, J, 2005. Repbase
 Update, a database of eukaryotic repetitive elements. Cytogenet Genome Res 110, 462-467.
- Kejnovsky, E, Hobza, R, Cermak, T, Kubat, Z,Vyskot, B, 2009. The role of repetitive DNA in
 structure and evolution of sex chromosomes in plants. Heredity 102, 533-541.
- 268 King, CH, 2010. Parasites and poverty: the case of schistosomiasis. Acta Trop 113, 95-104.
- Kohany, O, Gentles, AJ, Hankus, L,Jurka, J, 2006. Annotation, submission and screening of
 repetitive elements in Repbase: RepbaseSubmitter and Censor. BMC Bioinformatics 7, 474.
- Li, R, Yu, C, Li, Y, Lam, TW, Yiu, SM, Kristiansen, K,Wang, J, 2009. SOAP2: an improved
 ultrafast tool for short read alignment. Bioinformatics 25, 1966-1967.
- Protasio, AV, et al., 2012. A Systematically Improved High Quality Genome and Transcriptome of
 the Human Blood Fluke Schistosoma mansoni. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases 6, e1455.

- 275 Reinhart, BJ,Bartel, DP, 2002. Small RNAs correspond to centromere heterochromatic repeats.
 276 Science 297, 1831.
- Shapiro, JA,von Sternberg, R, 2005. Why repetitive DNA is essential to genome function. Biol Rev
 Camb Philos Soc 80, 227-250.
- Simpson, AJ, Sher, A,McCutchan, TF, 1982. The genome of Schistosoma mansoni: isolation of
 DNA, its size, bases and repetitive sequences. Mol Biochem Parasitol 6, 125-137.
- 281 Smit, AFA, Hubley, R,Green, P, 1996. RepeatMasker Open-3.0.
- Theron, A., Pages, J. R.,Rognon, A., 1997. Schistosoma mansoni: distribution patterns of miracidia
 among Biomphalaria glabrata snail as related to host susceptibility and sporocyst regulatory
 processes. Experimental Parasitology 85, 1-9.
- Wicker, T, et al., 2007. A unified classification system for eukaryotic transposable elements. Nature
 Reviews. Genetics 8, 973-982.
- Zerbino, DR, 2010. Using the Velvet de novo assembler for short-read sequencing technologies.
 Curr Protoc Bioinformatics Chapter 11, Unit 11.5.
- 289

290 Figure legends

Figure 1: Transcription level of arbitrarily chosen repeats in miracidia and adults of *S.mansoni* measured by RT-qPCR (4 replicates). Transcription is expressed in fold of 28S rRNA that served as reference.

Figure 2: Schematic representation of the workflow that led to the identification and annotation of

the repeat genome of *S. mansoni*.

296 Suppl. Figure 1:

- Arbitrarily chosen examples illustrating the improved repeat annotation with the new repeat database (bottom: "new repeat database") compared to the previous solely prediction-based database (middle: "Repeats"). Differences indicated by grey rectangles.
- A) The newly identified repeat smr_6601 exists 170 times in the genome. Gene predictions (e.g.
- 301 Smp_122190) at the same genomic locations are therefore probably an artifact.
- B) Several previously as unique described regions are in fact repetitive. The example shows alsolower redundancy in the new data.
- 304 C and D) Large regions of this scaffold were thought to be unique and (C) to contain genes. Our
- 305 data show that the region is entirely (C) or largely (D) composed of repeats.
- 306

Table 1a : Summary of combined Blast and Censor results

Repeat class	Number	%
Class I (Retrotransposons)	565	8.6
Class I (non-LTR Retrotransposons)	720	11
Class II (DNA transposons)	239	3.7
SINEs	31	0.5
Endogenous retroviruses	18	0.3
Interspersed repeats	21	0.3
Pseudogenes, snRNA, rRNA, tRNA	38	0.6
Unknown	4899	75
total	6531	

Table 1b : Summary of TEClass results

Repeat class	Number	%
Class I (Retrotransposons)	1841	28
Class I (LTR Retrotransposons)	2067	32
Class I (non-LTR Retrotransposons)	70	1
Class II (DNA transposons)	1563	24
LINEs	398	6
Unknown	592	9
total	6531	

Database	BLAST	e-value
nr (NCBI) http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/	Х	1.00E-30
Sma Repbase v2 http://www.sanger.ac.uk/	Ν	1.00E-30
nr (NCBI) http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/	Ν	1.00E-30
Reference genome <i>S. mansoni</i> , strain NMRI versions 3.1 and 5.2 http://www.sanger.ac.uk/	Ν	1.00E-30
Chromatograms used for the assembly of reference genome <u>http://www.sanger.ac.uk</u> /	Ν	1.00E-30
ESTs S. mansoni http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/	Ν	1.00E-15
Reference genome S. <i>japonicum</i> , strain Anhui <u>http://lifecenter.sgst.cn/</u>	Ν	1.00E-20
ESTs S. japonicum http://lifecenter.sgst.cn/	Ν	1.00E-15

Supplementary Table 1: Databases used for BLAST searches and cut-off e-value

Supplementary Table 2: Primers used in the study for standard PCR

Name	Forward	Reverse	Size
smr_2181	GCTATAATGGGCAAGGTAAATAAGTC	AAACAACGATTCACACAAATTCA	400
smr_2685	CATTTCTCTTCCTGCAGTCCT	GATCTTACGTGAAAATCGCATC	340
smr_3000	TTGTCTTATCATTTCTCAGTTGCTTC	TAGAACTTTTATCAGGGAACGTATTC A	539
smr_3826	ATATGGTGTTGTAGCGTGTGC	TTATCCCTTCAATCTCTATTAAAAACA	579
smr_3595	CCCTTTAGGGCCTCTCTTAGC	AAATTGGTAAATACAATGGGATGTG	709
smr_4022	CGTTTGCTATTAGTGTTAGGAATCTT	GTGATAACGGAAACTAGGATGGTC	713
smr_2733	ATCAACTATACGATTCCCTAAAACA	TCACTCGATAATTCGCTTAGCC	393
smr_6227	CATAATCATAGGGGGATAAATGTGAA	ATTAAACCACGTTTGTAATGAATTG	243
smr_7590	GATTTCAATTATCAGGAAAACAACTT T	CTTATAAATCGCTTTGAAAACTCTG	241

Supplementary Table 3: Primers used in the study for RT-qPCR

Name	Forward	Reverse	Size
smr_2181	AGGGTCGGTTGGTTGTCCTCTGG	ACGCTTTTGGCATTGATTTTGCGA	101
smr_2685	CACATGGTCTCCGGAGTCAAGCA	TGAGCGCGTCTGCAACACTGA	125
smr_3000	TTGTCTTATCATTTCTCAGTTGCTT	AATTGAACCAGCATTGAATATACTT	152
smr_3826	ACCAAGCCGAAAGTGAAGACACCG	CGCACAAAGGCGTCTTTCCCA	138
smr_3595	ACACATGAACCAGAAAGTAACGGCCA	TGTCCCGTCCAAGTTGGTTTCCT	113
smr_4022	TCAGTTGGGGCATAGCAGCCA	CACCGGTGTCGGCTTCGTCG	147
smr_2733	ACGTCATCCGGCAGCAACGA	GGCAGAACTCTGGTTTGCACGCT	105
smr_6227	ACGTGCGAAGAAGAAGAAGAACAACCA	GCCCCGTCCATGTCGGCTTT	112
smr_7590	CATGTTTTATAAGAAAGATTACATCAG C	AAACTCTGTAAACGTTGTCTCA	154

Repeat name	Genome position	Gene in vicinity
smr_93	Smp_scaff000078:18838191884094	
smr_534	Smp_scaff008778:843259	
smr_1715	Smp_scaff001981:160389160587	
smr_2501	Smp_scaff006696:10051124	
smr_3069	Smp_scaff011538:822633	
smr_3778	Smp_scaff015860:304150	
smr_3838	Smp_scaff008307:1204419	
smr_6449	Smp_scaff008307:205393	
smr_7342	Smp_scaff008269:13291531	
smr_1025	Smp_scaff018982:46674845	smp_194060
smr_2261	Smp_scaff002707:1010917	smp_106750
smr_2770	Smp_scaff000617:1451714293	smp_098420
smr_6232	Smp_scaff000018:2163821810	smp_127980
smr_6375	Smp_scaff000018:2163821810	smp_186020

Supplementary Table 4: Characteristics of 14 Schistosoma mansoni microsatellite loci.

Supplementary Table 5 : Currently used microsatellite markers and corresponding PCR primers

Locus	Access. number	F	R	Ref.
SmBr1	L81235	CGGAACGACAA GAAAATCAT	GAGTATACGGC TTCTTGGA	Rodrigues,N.B., Coura Filho,P., de
SmBr2	L26968	ATCTCAAAGCC CAATACAAC	CATTTTCACTT ACTGTTTATCC	Souza,C.P., Jannot Passos,L.K., Dias- Neto,E. and
SmBr3	X77211	CATTTATTGAT AATCTTTGGC	TTAACTACTTC TCACTGATG	Romanha,A.J. (2002) Populational
SmBr4	M15371	CAATTGACTAT TGAAAAGGC	CGTAAAGACCA CGATAAG	structure of Schistosoma mansoni assessed
SmBr5	L25065	AAACTATTCAT TACTGTCGGG	GAATTACTGTC CCTTTATCTC	by DNA microsatellites. Int. J. Parasitol. 32:
SmBr6	AF009659	GAATACAGGCT ATAATCTACA	CTTAACAGACA TACACGC	843-851.
SmBr7	DQ137434	CGTCATCACCT TAAACATGAAC	AATCACCAATG GCAACAATCTG	Rodrigues,N.B., Silva,M.R.,
SmBr8	DQ448292	TAGGACAGGTT TTCCACCAA	ATGCCCACACA CAAAGTAAA	Pucci,M.M., Minchella,D.J., Sorensen,R.,
SmBr9	DQ137431	ATTCACCCATT GTCTTAAAACC	ATTGGCGTCAG TAGAAGAGATT	LoVerde,P.T., Romanha,A.J. and Oliveira,G. (2007) Microsatellite- enriched genomic libraries as a
SmBr10	DQ448293	CATGATCTTAG CTCAGAGAGC	GTACATTTTAT GTCAGTTAGCC	
SmBr11	AC112150.4	AAGAAGTGGA GGAGGCCTTT	TTCAGTCCCTG GAACACACA	source of polymorphic loci for Schistosoma
SmBr12	DQ137724	TATATAGCAAA AGTAGTCTATA TTCGTAGC	AGTAAAAACTA TCCTATCCATTT CTATTG	mansoni Molecular Ecology Notes 7: 263-265.
SmBr13	DQ137790	GTCACAGATAC CTGACGAGCTG	ACTCCCCAGCA ATTTGTCC	
SmBr14	DQ514536	CTGCTCATCAT AGAAGTGTGGC	TCTATGTATCT ACCCCACCCTA TC	
SmBr15	AF325695	TATAGGACAAA ACGCGGGTC	TTGGATAAACT TAGTGACTTTT C	
SmBr16	L04480	TGTGACTTTGA TGCCACTGA	GGCCTGATACA ATTCTCCGA	
SmBr17	AQ841039	CTGCAGGGGGA AATAGAAG	TGATCCTTTGT GCCAACA	

Locus	Access. number	F	R	Ref.
SMDA28	AF325695	CATGATCTTAG CTCAGAGAGCC	AGCCAGTATAG CGTTGATCATC	Curtis,J., Sorensen,R.E.,
SMD43	AF325697	CCCACCACAAT TTATTGATCTC	GGGTCCTCCAT TCCACTG	Minchella,D.J. (2001)
SMDA23	AF325696	CCTGGTCCTAC GTTGTAGCTG	ACTTGACCTTA TTCCCCTTTCC	Microsatellite loci in the human blood fluke Schistosoma
SMC1	AF325694	TGACGAGGTTG ACCATAATTCT AC	AACACAGATAA GAGCGTCATGG	mansoni and their utility for other schistosome species Molecular
SMDO11	AF325698	TGTTTAAGTCG TCGGTGCTG	ACCCTGCCAGT TTAGCGTAG	Ecology Notes 1: 143-145.
S2-1	AI740252	TCTTTTTAAACT CTTGGCTCCTA T	GGTGAGACACA TGTTTAGTTT	Blair,L., Webster,J.P. and Barker,G.C. (2001)
SCGA3	AA629514	TCTCCCTTCCCC CACCTT	TGACAGGGAAA TAGTAATGACA AC	characterization of polymorphic microsatellite
SATA12	AI395718	AGGTGCAACAA CCATAACATTT	CTTTGGACCGG CAGTAGC	markers in Schistosoma mansoni from
CA1-1	AI740374	TACTGGGGGCTG GGGAGATG	AATAGTTGGAA CAGTGGCTCAT CA	Africa Molecular Ecology Notes 1: 93-95.
CA11-1	AI068335	TTCAAAACCAT GAGCAATAGAT AC	CAACAAACAAG AAGGCTGATTA G	
sms6 –1	AF330104	ATTACGATTGC ACAGATACTTT TG	TCCCTTTTGCCC TTTTTATTC	
sms7–1	AF330105	TCCTCCTCTCTA TTTTCTCTTTG	ATTACGATTGC ACAGATACTTT TG	
sms9 –1	AF330106	ATTACGATTGC ACAGATACTTT TG	TTTCAGAAATT TGTTTCCTCCTC	
smca7–1	AF330107	AGGAAGCTGCA TTGACTGGAG	CAAGAGCACTC TTCCAACCCT	
smca14 –1	AF330108	ACTATCTCCCT CTACCTCCCTC CC	TGATGGAGATG GTACGAAGAGA GA	
SMD25	AF202965	GATTCCCAAGA TTAATGCC	GCCATTAGATA ATGTACGTG	Durand,P., Sire,C. and Theron,A.

Locus	Access. number	F	R	Ref.
SMD28	AF202966	CATCACCATCA ATCACTC	TATTCACAGTA GTAGGCG	(1995) Isolation of microsatellite
SMD57	AF202967	TCCTTGATTCC ACTGTTG	GCAGTAATCCG AAAGATTAG	digenetic trematode
SMD89	AF202968	AGACTACTTTC ATAGCCC	TTAAACCGAAG CGAGAAG	Schistosoma mansoni from Guadeloupe island
SMD94	AF202969	TAACACTCACA CATACCC	AACTAATCACC CACTCTAC	Journal of Fish Biology 47: 29-55.
AI068335	AI068335	GTTGAGAGAGA AAAAGAAG	AGATGTTAGAA AGTGGTG	
L46951	L46951	CAAACATATAC ATTGAATACAG	TGAATTGATGA ATGATTGAAG	
SCMSMOXII	M85305	TTCTACAATAA TACCATCAAC	TTTTTTTCTCACT CATATACAC	
R95529	R95529	GTGATTGGGGT GATAAAG	CATGTTTCTTC AGTGTCC	
SMU31768	U31768	TACAACTTCCA TCACTTC	CCATAAGAAAG AAACCAC	
SMIMP25	X77211	CACTATACCTA CTACTAATC	TCGATATACAT TGGGAAG	





A)	Smp_scaff000001 	1048k 1049k	1050k 1051k	1052k 1053k	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
	mRNA	Sm	p_122190		Smp_00020
	CDS	Sm	p_122190		Smp_00020
	Repeats unknown 2976 unkno	wn 2979 unknown 298	unknown 2982	unknown 2986	unknown 2988
	R=37 unknown_2977 sm_Saci2 unknown_2978 5m_Saci2 Sm_Saci2	R=1263 known_2980 445	R=1764 unknown_2983 R=1238 unknown_2984 R=7 unknown_2985 R=45	R=58	H=453 unknown_2987 H=1034
	new repeat database Sm_Saci2 R=232 smr_733	R=1263 smr 8 ⊣ ⊢ ⊢	6601 R=7 R=211 R=45	R=58	R=453 H H R=1034 H
B)	Smp_scaff000060 <	2k 713k 714k	715k 716k	717k 718k	•••••••• <u>†</u>
	CDS	predicted by EVM2			
	Reneats	Smp_137730		Smp_137730	
	Repears unknown_1980 R=52 unknown_1981 unknown_1981 R=1701 R=118 unknown_1982 unknown_1982 R=963 (TAA)n	unknown_1986unknow R=296 R=614 unknown_1987 R=1912 unknown_1988 R=659 unknown_198 R=750 unknown_199 R=7	vn_1991inknown_1992 R=433 unknown_1993 R=908	unknown_199 R=274 unknown_19 R=29 unknown_19 R=6 unknown_ R=79	44unknown_1998 R=528 195 unknown_199: R=1756 1996 unknown_200 Sm_alphaT1 1997 unknown_200 Sm_alphaD3 unknown_2 R=1899 unknown
	new repeat dat abase smr_234#=963 smr_2344TTA)n #E=52 smr_1138R=118 smr_2708 smr_2708 R=118 R=118 R=118	_1214 smr_4776 R=7 sm smr_6349smr_6926 R=659	nr_4449 R=908 smi smr_3319 smr_5412	-2929 smr_6727 sm smr_2058 = 513 H R=79	R=476 R=1899 R=528 Sm_alphaD3
\sim	Smp_scaff015349				<u>.</u>
0)	mRNA Smp_117130 Smp_19041 predicted by EVM2 predicted by Repeats	D Sm V EVM2 pre	p_117150 dicted by EVM2	Smp_117160 predicted by EVM	2
	new repeat database 104665.1 5CMDNA#mr_315 sm smr_315 104665.1 5CM 104665.1 SCMDNAP	r_315smr_315 /IDNAP 04665.1 SCMDNAP 040	<u>smr_315</u> 555.1 5CMDNAP 565.1 5CMDNAP	r_315smr_315 MDNAP 04665.1 5CMDNA	P 04665.1 SCMDNA
	Smp_scaff000031				
D)	487k mRNA CDS	488k	489k	490k	491k
	Repeats unknown_1624 R=70 unknown_1625 R=2049 unknown_1626 R=358	unknown_1627 unknown_1630 H=909 H=256 unknown_1628 R=826 unknown_1629 R=940	unknown_] 버T_rich	.631 un R=	known_1632 989
	new repeat database smr_2729 R=358smr_6514 smr_5495 R=70 R=2049 smr_1989 smr	smr_2503 smr_1799 smr_51 7481 R=940 smr_ smr_1624	21 smr_4439 sr 5887 smr_6600 smr_32	nr_4439 smr_5323smr_24 smr_42 R= 167	51 989

