



**HAL**  
open science

# **Artificial Intelligence and Personalized Nutrition: How Algorithms Facilitate Balanced Macro-and Micronutrient Intake**

Natalya Plotnikova, Andrey Bocharov

► **To cite this version:**

Natalya Plotnikova, Andrey Bocharov. Artificial Intelligence and Personalized Nutrition: How Algorithms Facilitate Balanced Macro-and Micronutrient Intake. *Endocrinologie-Nutrition ClinicalKey Now - EMC & Outils Cliniques*, 2025. ⟨hal-05069680⟩

**HAL Id: hal-05069680**

**<https://hal.science/hal-05069680v1>**

Submitted on 15 May 2025

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

# Artificial Intelligence and Personalized Nutrition: How Algorithms Facilitate Balanced Macro- and Micronutrient Intake

By

Plotnikova Natalya Vladimirovna

LLC "Kulikado"

and

Bocharov Andrey Viktorivich

LLC "Kulikado"

The rapid convergence of nutrition science and artificial-intelligence (AI) engineering is enabling menu-planning systems that dynamically satisfy population dietary guidelines while adapting to the metabolic, genetic and behavioural diversity of individuals. Contemporary studies show that algorithm-generated menus can remain within the Acceptable Macronutrient Distribution Ranges (AMDRs) and micronutrient reference values, predict post-prandial responses, and reduce cardiometabolic risk markers more effectively than generic advice. Smart-kitchen appliances and inventory-aware shopping lists further close the loop by cutting food waste and cost. Yet, these benefits arrive with regulatory and ethical responsibilities around data privacy and algorithmic fairness. The article below reviews the scientific foundations, digital workflows and clinical evidence underlying AI-driven personalised menu generation and identifies research priorities for the coming decade.

## Abstract

**Background:** One-size-fits-all diets fail to account for inter-individual variability in nutrient needs and post-prandial metabolism.

**Objective:** To synthesise current evidence on how AI algorithms generate personalised menus that meet macro- and micronutrient targets and to evaluate their clinical, economic and sustainability impacts.

**Methods:** Narrative review of dietary guidelines, nutrient-profiling frameworks, machine-learning architectures, randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and food-technology case studies published 2019–2025.

**Results:** Algorithms leveraging continuous glucose monitors, microbiome, wearable and purchase data can predict glycaemic responses ( $R^2 \approx 0.77$ ) and compose 7-day meal plans with < 5 % deviation from recommended intakes. Personalised-nutrition RCTs ( $n = 347$ ) demonstrated greater reductions in LDL-C (-9 %) and HbA1c (-0.3 %) versus standard advice. Digital personalised-nutrition market value is projected to reach USD 1.57 billion by 2029 (CAGR 17.8 %). AI food-waste analytics reduced kitchen discards by 30–60 %.

**Conclusions:** AI-enabled menu systems can operationalise nutrient guidelines at scale, but future work must standardise clinical validation, embed privacy-by-design principles and address algorithmic bias.

## Introduction

Global guidance such as the **Dietary Guidelines for Americans 2020-2025** defines AMDRs of 45-65 % energy from carbohydrates, 20-35 % from fat and 10-35 % from protein . Nevertheless, micronutrient deficiencies remain prevalent; the World Health Organization (WHO) lists iron, vitamin A and iodine shortfalls as persistent public-health threats . Inter-individual variability—illustrated by the PREDICT study, where post-prandial glycaemic excursions varied >100 % after identical meals—challenges population-level menus . AI now offers computational leverage to resolve this mismatch by integrating heterogeneous data streams into real-time meal recommendations.

## Nutritional Frameworks for Algorithmic Targets

### Macronutrients

AMDR tables serve as hard constraints during menu optimisation, ensuring total energy and macronutrient balance .

### Micronutrients

The European Food Safety Authority's Dietary Reference Values and tolerable upper limits supply boundary conditions to prevent both deficiency and toxicity .

### Nutrient Profiling

The 2023 WHO Europe Nutrient Profile Model translates food composition into healthfulness scores and can function as an objective function for optimisation engines .

## Data Streams and Digital Ecosystem

Continuous-glucose monitors, gut-microbiome sequencing, wearable devices and point-of-sale receipts feed high-frequency phenotypic and behavioural data into personal diet models. Even the kitchen is becoming sensor-rich: Samsung's AI Family Hub refrigerator recognises 33 fresh items, tracks expiry and proposes recipes to prevent spoilage .

## AI Methodologies for Personalised Menu Generation

1. **Generative & Hybrid Models:** Variational auto-encoders combined with large language models produce weeklong menus that deviate < 5 % from nutrient targets in validation sets.
2. **Predictive Models:** Gradient-boosting ensembles in PREDICT achieve  $R^2 \approx 0.77$  for individual glycaemic response prediction .
3. **Food-Processing Adjustment:** Support-vector-regression models now estimate micronutrient retention after cooking with mean absolute errors 15 % lower than legacy tables .
4. **Constraint-Satisfaction Planners:** Classic branch-and-bound algorithms still underpin cost-aware, preference-aware meal plans, demonstrating Pareto-optimality across nutrient and price objectives .

## Clinical Evidence

An 18-week RCT (ZOE METHOD, n = 347) showed personalised diet advice lowered LDL-C by 9 % and HbA1c by 0.3 % compared with USDA-style guidelines . A 2023 systematic review of RCTs confirmed benefits for salt reduction and diet quality but noted heterogeneity in weight and lipid outcomes [academic.oup.com](https://academic.oup.com).

## Economic and Sustainability Outcomes

Market analyses project the digital personalised-nutrition sector to grow to USD 1.57 billion by 2029 at 17.75 % CAGR . In parallel, AI vision systems such as Winnow cut institutional food waste by 40–75 % , while KITRO reports average 30 % waste reductions within six months, saving 2–6 % of food-cost budgets .

## Ethical and Regulatory Considerations

Because personalised-nutrition platforms process health and genomic data, they fall under the EU General Data Protection Regulation; non-compliance risks fines up to €20 million or 4 % of global turnover . Compliance demands data minimisation, explicit consent and privacy-by-design architectures.

## Future Directions

- **Multimodal Foundation Models:** Integrating images, text and biosensor data into a unified embedding space for “digital twin” nutrition.
- **Edge Computing in Smart Kitchens:** On-device inference for privacy-preserving menu updates.
- **Regulatory Sandboxes:** Pilot environments where AI diets can be clinically validated before classification as software-as-a-medical-device.

## Conclusion

AI-driven personalised menu systems operationalise evidence-based nutrient targets while respecting individual biology and preferences. Early RCTs indicate meaningful cardiometabolic advantages, and kitchen-level AI reduces waste and cost. Scaling these benefits safely will require harmonised validation protocols, stronger privacy guarantees and vigilance against algorithmic bias.

---

## References

1. U.S. Department of Agriculture & HHS. *Dietary Guidelines for Americans 2020-2025*.
2. WHO. *Micronutrients—Nutrition and Food Safety*.
3. EFSA. *Dietary Reference Values portal*.
4. Zeevi D. *et al. Personalized Nutrition by Prediction of Glycemic Responses. Cell* (2019).
5. WHO Regional Office for Europe. *Nutrient Profile Model, 2nd ed.* (2023).
6. Samsung Electronics. AI Family Hub feature report. *The Sun Tech* section (2024).
7. Arizton. *Digital Personalized Nutrition Market Report 2024-2029*.
8. Winnow Solutions. *Case studies—food-waste reduction*.
9. KITRO SA. *Solution overview and performance metrics*.

10. Nature Medicine. *Effects of a personalized nutrition program on cardiometabolic health.* (2024).
11. Nutrition Reviews. *Do personalized-nutrition interventions improve CVD risk factors?* (2024). [academic.oup.com](https://academic.oup.com)
12. GDPR.eu. *What is GDPR?*
13. Springer. *Leveraging machine-learning techniques to analyse nutritional content in processed foods.* (2025).
14. Linköping University. *Constraint Satisfaction for Meal Planning.* (2005).
15. Cell Press. *Testing the carbohydrate–insulin model.* (2025).