



HAL
open science

A Comparative Analysis of the Socio-Economic and Health Impacts of Three Major Pandemics : The Black Death, Spanish Flu, and Covid-19

Estelle Coulibali, Jules Sadefo Kamdem, Clovis Foguem

► **To cite this version:**

Estelle Coulibali, Jules Sadefo Kamdem, Clovis Foguem. A Comparative Analysis of the Socio-Economic and Health Impacts of Three Major Pandemics : The Black Death, Spanish Flu, and Covid-19. 2025. <hal-04977730>

HAL Id: hal-04977730

<https://hal.science/hal-04977730v1>

Preprint submitted on 5 Mar 2025

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

A Comparative Analysis of the Socio-Economic and Health Impacts of Three Major Pandemics: The Black Death, Spanish Flu, and Covid-19

Estelle Coulibali (MRE UR 209, Université de Montpellier)

Jules Sadefo Kamdem (MRE UR 209, Université de Montpellier)

Clovis Fogueum (CH d'Épernay - GHU de Champagne, ULR Metrics, Université de Lille)

Abstract

Widespread occurrence of diseases, mainly infectious in a whole country or the world at a particular time, are critical events that profoundly affect societies by exposing vulnerabilities in public health systems, economies, and global cooperation mechanisms. This study provides a comparative analysis of three major pandemics, Black Death (1347-1352), Spanish Flu (1918-1920) and Covid-19 (November 2019 -2022), looking at their socioeconomic, environmental and health impacts. Through detailed comparisons, our article highlights the evolution of pandemic responses, from basic basic quarantines among others during Black Death to advanced campaign vaccination (including COVID-19 messenger RNA vaccines) and telemedicine during Covid-19. The findings emphasize the importance of robust health infrastructures, sustainable environmental policies to mitigate zoonotic risks, and strengthened international cooperation.

Drawing lessons from these historical pandemics, this study offers actionable recommendations to improve global resilience against future crises. These include investments in telemedicine, resilient health-care systems, and equitable resource-sharing platforms, in addition to leveraging artificial intelligence and promoting global health education. The insights presented aim to guide policy makers and stakeholders in fostering a sustainable and equitable approach to managing pandemics and avoid negative economic outcomes such as recessions or societal impacts such as increased isolation, stigmatization of social groups, or exacerbation of inequalities.

Keywords: Pandemic, Black Death, Spanish flu, COVID-19, Socio-economic impact, Public health, Crisis management, Sustainable development.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Methodology and Analysis of the Pandemics	3
2.1	Study Design	3
2.2	Data Collection	3
2.3	Selection Criteria	3
2.4	Screening and Review Process	4
2.5	The Black Death: A Historical Overview	4
2.5.1	Economic Disruptions during the Black Death	4
2.5.2	Societal Disruptions and Adaptations	5
2.5.3	Public and Institutional Management Strategies	5
2.5.4	Post-Pandemic Management Measures	6
2.6	The Spanish Flu: A 20th Century Perspective	6
2.6.1	Crisis Management and Public Health Initiatives	7
2.6.2	Long-Term Impacts and Lessons Learned	8
2.7	COVID-19: A Contemporary Case Study	8
2.7.1	Global Management	10

3 Results and Comparative Analysis	11
3.1 Comparative Management Approaches	11
3.2 Social and Educational Implications	12
3.3 Economic Impacts: Historical and Modern Insights	12
3.4 Environmental Consequences of Pandemics	13
4 Recommendations	14
4.1 Strengthen Telemedicine and Epidemic Surveillance Systems	14
4.2 Invest in Resilient Healthcare Infrastructures	14
4.3 Adopt Environmental Policies to Mitigate Zoonotic Risks	14
4.4 Foster More Equitable International Cooperation	14
4.5 Expand Global Health Education Initiatives	14
4.6 Develop Robust, Transparent Health Data-Sharing Platforms	15
5 Conclusion	15

1 Introduction

Throughout history, pandemics have shaped human civilizations, exposing vulnerabilities in health systems, economies, and societal structures. From the Black Death (a bubonic plague pandemic) in the 14th century to the Spanish Flu of 1918 and the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, despite the WHO’s declaration of the end of the international health emergency on May 5, 2023, these crises have demonstrated the far-reaching consequences of infectious diseases. The Black Death decimated half of Europe’s population and was one of the most fatal pandemics in human history, the Spanish flu emerged in the aftermath of World War I, and COVID-19 unfolded in an interconnected globalized world, from November 2019. Despite temporal and contextual differences, each pandemic offers valuable lessons in resilience, innovation, and adaptation Gross [2022] Mackowiak [2021] Sampath et al. [2021].

Pandemics are not new phenomena. Historically, the expansion of trade routes, human migrations, and wars facilitated the emergence and spread of infectious diseases (viral, bacterial (such as tuberculosis) and less parasitic). In modern times, urbanization, population growth, climate change, and deforestation have compounded these risks, while globalization and international travel allow localized outbreaks to escalate into global crises within weeks Arthi and Parman [2021] Tulchinsky and Varavikova [2014].

The Black Death exemplifies the profound demographic and societal disruptions caused by pandemics, showing how flourishing trade routes became vectors for transmission. The Spanish Flu highlighted the fragility of healthcare systems during wartime and underrated the importance of coherent public health communication. COVID-19, on the contrary, revealed the complexities of managing pandemics in a technologically advanced and interconnected world, where scientific advancements coexist with socio-political constraints Sampath et al. [2021] Hiscott et al. [2020].

This study aims to examine these three pandemics through a comparative lens, focusing on their epidemiological, socioeconomic, and environmental impacts. By analyzing management strategies, public health measures, and societal responses, this research seeks to uncover commonalities and divergences in pandemic responses. Ultimately, the study aims to obtain actionable insights for policy makers to strengthen global resilience against future potential pandemics.

Problem Statement: What lessons can historical pandemics teach us about designing resilient public health systems and equitable policies for future crises?

Objectives of the Study:

- Compare the epidemiological, economic, and social characteristics of Black Death, Spanish Flu, and COVID-19.
- Identify recurring themes and unique dynamics across these pandemics.
- Propose recommendations to improve global preparedness and resilience.

Problem Statement

What lessons can historical pandemics teach us about designing resilient public health systems and equitable policies for future crises?

Objectives of the Study

- To compare the epidemiological, economic and social characteristics of Black Death, Spanish Flu, and COVID-19.
- Identify recurring themes and unique dynamics across these pandemics.
- To propose recommendations to improve global preparedness and resilience.

The paper is structured as follows:

- **Section 1:** Highlights the importance of pandemics, introduces case studies (Black Death, Spanish Flu, and COVID-19) and defines the objectives of the study.
- **Section 2:** Presents the systematic narrative review methodology, which covers data collection, selection criteria, sources, and management strategies used during each pandemic.
- **Section 3:** Provides a comparative analysis of pandemics in critical dimensions, including public health, management, economic, social, and environmental impacts.
- **Section 4:** Synthesizes the findings, uncovers recurring patterns, and addresses gaps in pandemic response strategies.
- **Section 5:** Proposes actionable recommendations for future pandemic preparedness and concludes with key findings of the study.

2 Methodology and Analysis of the Pandemics

2.1 Study Design

This narrative review aimed to be as comprehensive as possible, following a structured methodology consistent with the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines. Three well-recognized scientific and medical databases were utilized: ScienceDirect, PubMed, and JSTOR.

2.2 Data Collection

The literature search was conducted using keywords relevant to the three pandemics under study. Keywords included: “*Covid-19, Spanish flu, black death, plague*”, “*Pandemic RESPONSE, Covid-19, Spanish flu, plague, black death*”, “*Pandemic management, Covid-19, Spanish flu, plague*”. The search covered all available articles on these platforms up to May 22, 2024.

A total of 962 articles were identified through this initial search, distributed as follows:

- **ScienceDirect:** 684 articles
- **PubMed:** 19 articles
- **JSTOR:** 259 articles

All identified articles were exported for further processing.

2.3 Selection Criteria

Inclusion Criteria:

- Articles addressing the pandemics studied (Black Death, Spanish Flu, and Covid-19).
- Publications analyzing their health, social, or economic impacts.
- Articles on pandemic management.
- Full-text articles are available in English or French.

Exclusion Criteria:

- Articles outside the scope or objectives of the study.
- Publications outside the temporal context of the studied pandemics.
- Documents inaccessible in full text or written in other languages.

2.4 Screening and Review Process

After reviewing the titles, 231 articles were selected for a detailed analysis. Among these, 84 duplicates were identified and removed, including 46 duplicate articles and 19 triplets (resulting in 38 additional duplicates), which results in 147 remaining articles.

The abstracts and full texts of these remaining 147 articles were examined. At this stage, articles were excluded for the following reasons:

- Non-accessible full texts.
- Articles outside the scope and/or objectives of the study.
- Articles not meeting the inclusion criteria.

2.5 The Black Death: A Historical Overview

The Black Death spread across Europe between 1347 and 1352; it was the first wave of the second major plague pandemic and caused the death of approximately half of the population of Europe at the time Gross [2022].

It was introduced to Europe from the steppes of Central Asia with Mongol invasions and was then transmitted through vast trade routes throughout Europe via sea and land Tulchinsky and Varavikova [2014]. Epidemics spread rapidly with armies and merchant caravans, then by ship as global trade expanded in the 14th and 15th centuries Tulchinsky and Varavikova [2014].

It mainly affected densely populated and urban areas Munshi et al. [2022]. The Black Death not only disrupted the demographic structure of Europe, but also had profound impacts on the economic, social, and cultural aspects of medieval society.

There are three types of clinical plague: (1) bubonic plague, characterized by sudden high fever; (2) septicemic plague, where the patient suffers from septicemia and gangrene of the nose and ears due to disseminated intravascular coagulation; and (3) pneumonic plague, transmitted through aerosols and causing often fatal hemoptysis.

The Black Death, which ravaged the 14th century, was primarily a form of bubonic plague Kelly [2020]. It is considered one of the deadliest pandemics in human history, with an estimated death toll of around 200 million Sampath et al. [2021]. It affected people of all ages Yaskey and Dahl-Grove [2024] Jedwab et al. [2021].

The 14th century plague, probably originating in Mongolia, spread west along the Silk Road, facilitated by the movements of crusaders Mackowiak [2021] returning from battles in Jerusalem and its kingdom (Palestine or the Holy Land). This scourge, depicted in the work of Bruegel, caused such a high number of deaths that it generated a widespread sense of despair and inevitability of death. This atmosphere of terror and hopelessness triggered a series of violent and chaotic reactions, including wars, increased criminality, popular revolts, flagellant movements, and targeted persecutions, especially against minorities falsely accused of causing the epidemic Mackowiak [2021].

The bacterium *Yersinia pestis*, a zoonotic bacteria responsible for the plague, persists quietly among various animal species, primarily wild rodents Mackowiak [2021]. Infection is transmitted to humans via fleas that live and feed on rats and other small infected animals. These fleas, once infected with *Yersinia pestis*, can bite humans and transmit the disease. This bacterium resurfaces periodically, causing localized outbreaks. These outbreaks still occur today, as evidenced by the recent resurgence in certain Third World countries such as Madagascar. Despite medical advancements, the plague remains a threat due to its ability to persist in animal reservoirs and reemerge under favorable conditions, often in rural areas where surveillance and public health systems may be insufficient.

2.5.1 Economic Disruptions during the Black Death

The effects of past pandemics are estimated primarily as follows: direct effects include the rising costs of access to healthcare, both for individuals and governments, and indirect costs include wage losses due

to prolonged illnesses and the need for care, especially within the working population Kumar [2022]. Premature deaths and chronic disabilities had economic repercussions on families.

The plague had a profound economic impact on societies. Harvests went unfinished, travel and trade were reduced, leading to shortages of food and manufactured goods Roubík et al. [2022]. Premature death and chronic disability compounded the economic difficulties of families Kumar [2022].

The effects were more important in some regions, while others, such as Ireland, the Iberian Peninsula, and parts of eastern Europe, appeared to escape its ravages and were able to continue agricultural activities as usual Gross [2022]. The reasons for these varied impacts are not yet fully understood, but some researchers suggest that local cultural, demographic, economic, environmental, and social contexts played a decisive role in the various outcomes.

2.5.2 Societal Disruptions and Adaptations

During the Middle Ages and early modern periods, pandemics frequently led to food shortages Roubík et al. [2022]. The closure of cities to prevent the spread of the disease disrupted the flow of goods from unaffected rural areas, resulting in the absence of regular markets.

In addition, families of millers, bakers, and other food producers often fell ill or died, interrupting the processing of agricultural products, causing de facto food shortages and famine episodes. The pandemic also led to severe labor shortages in both agriculture and crafts, causing lasting disruption in production and supply Glatter and Finkelman [2021].

The Black Death led to the spread of unscientific treatments, often ineffective, a shortage of physicians, and the proliferation of misinformation Sampath et al. [2021].

2.5.3 Public and Institutional Management Strategies

At the time, during the Black Death, medical professionals believed that the disease was caused by miasma, or “corrupted air”. Jedwab et al. [2021]. The role of fleas in the spread of plague was not understood. Only after decades of research did the Indian Plague Research Commission in 1905 establish a connection between plague and dead rats near human homes, identifying the rat flea as the disease vector Sampath et al. [2021]. This *a posteriori* discovery has not led to the implementation of appropriate contemporary care and prevention strategies.

In the Middle Ages, medicine was still rudimentary, with limited knowledge of the causes of disease and often ineffective treatments. Ignorance of infection transmission mechanisms and the importance of hygiene exacerbated the spread of black death, particularly in enclosed spaces such as cities, monasteries, and convents Plüss [2020].

Local efforts to prevent the disease included the implementation of quarantines and the isolation of the sick Munshi et al. [2022]. Fear of a new deadly disease, coupled with a lack of knowledge, speculation, and rumors, often led to countermeasures that exacerbated the spread of epidemics Tulchinsky and Varavikova [2014] Kelly [2020]. These reactions included popular uprisings, the emergence of flagellant movements, and unjustified persecution, particularly targeting minorities falsely accused of being behind the spread of the disease Mackowiak [2021]. For example, in Jewish ghettos, hygiene practices limited the spread of the plague. However, this led to accusations against Jews for causing the outbreak, resulting in massacres, particularly in Germany and central Europe.

In western Europe, religious and civil authorities encouraged public ceremonies, religious gatherings, and funerals, increasing contact with infected individuals. A false belief that cats were responsible for the plague led to their culling, ironically removing a natural control for rat populations Glatter and Finkelman [2021].

In the 14th century, port cities began requiring ships arriving from plague-affected areas to wait in isolated parts of the harbor, initially for 30 days (trentina), later extended to 40 days (quarantina). This practice led to the modern term ‘quarantine’ Amin [2022].

Trade guilds were established to protect the economic interests of merchants and skilled artisans. Mutual aid funds were created to offer financial support and other benefits in the case of illness or death. These early forms of social insurance provided medical care and funeral services for members and their families Tulchinsky and Varavikova [2014]. This system can be compared with modern insurance practices developed during the Covid-19 pandemic.

2.5.4 Post-Pandemic Management Measures

Plague management measures have undergone significant improvements over time, gradually adapting to medical knowledge and epidemiological contexts.

As early as the 17th century in Europe, the famous plague doctors marked a symbolic and practical step forward in the fight against the disease. Dressed in a long cape and a beak mask filled with aromatic substances, they sought to protect themselves from the 'bad air' that supposedly carries the infection Conti [2020], Glatter and Finkelman [2021]. This outfit, considered the ancestor of modern protective suits, included glass eye sockets and a leather cap with a spout designed to filter air and mask foul odors.

Later, during the Manchurian plague (1910-1911), the physician Wu Lien-teh perfected the surgical mask by adding layers of gauze and cotton for more effective air filtration, drawing inspiration from models observed in the western world Conti [2020]. At the same time, preventive practices such as systematic destruction of victims' clothing were introduced to limit the spread of the virus Glatter and Finkelman [2021].

In Russia, strict measures were also applied to contain epidemics. Along major overland trade routes, travel was restricted during periods of plague. In the 16th century, the city of Novgorod banned public funerals to limit gatherings. In the 17th century, Tsar Boris Godunov imposed restrictions on trade and religious ceremonies, in addition to implementing quarantine measures.

However, the Black Death led to significant economic advances, as the need to compensate for the reduction in the workforce spurred the development of more efficient technologies [15]. The 14th century Black Death caused a labor shortage in agriculture, prompting innovations in farming practices. Common pasture enclosures reduced animal diseases, increased crop productivity, and improved sheep farming. This promoted the development of the wool and textile industries, the search for new energy sources, industrialization, and the expansion of international markets [19]. By the late Middle Ages, guilds had considerable political power. These organizations inspired modern social protection structures, such as mutual aid societies and health insurance funds. Today, this tradition continues in western countries, where labor unions play a major role in advocating for the health of workers and their families.

Table 1: SWOT Analysis of the Black Death

Strengths	Weaknesses
- Triggered advancements in public health measures, such as quarantine practices.	- Lack of medical knowledge led to reliance on superstitions and ineffective treatments.
- Increased awareness of the need for sanitation and hygiene in urban areas.	- High mortality rates devastated population levels, disrupting economies and labor markets.
- Encouraged societal restructuring, reducing feudalism and increasing labor mobility.	- Limited ability to identify and control the transmission pathways of <i>Yersinia pestis</i> .
- Highlighted the interconnectedness of trade routes and disease spread.	- Absence of healthcare infrastructure exacerbated the spread and impact of the disease.
Opportunities	Threats
- Stimulated advancements in agriculture and technology due to labor shortages.	- The pandemic led to widespread social unrest, including revolts and scapegoating of minorities.
- Enhanced understanding of disease prevention through isolation and quarantine.	- Repeated outbreaks of the plague in subsequent years kept communities in a state of vulnerability.
- Promoted innovations in public health policies and practices in affected regions.	- Disruption of trade and economic systems caused long-term instability.
- Set the stage for medical and scientific inquiry into infectious diseases.	- The reliance on trade routes facilitated the rapid and recurrent spread of the disease.

2.6 The Spanish Flu: A 20th Century Perspective

The Spanish flu pandemic began in 1918, coinciding with the First World War, when millions of combatants were fighting on the battlefields. This period had already weakened the infrastructures and populations of the countries involved, making the world particularly vulnerable to a health crisis of this magnitude.

Identified in 1930 and confirmed in 1990, the H1N1 strain of influenza A virus was recognized as the causative agent of the 1918 Spanish flu pandemic Gross [2022] Sampath et al. [2021]. Since 1918, all influenza A pandemics and seasonal influenza outbreaks have been caused by descendants of the 1918 virus.

At that time, it was difficult for the scientific community to reach a consensus on the cause of the disease. Researchers in Switzerland and Germany had suggested that symptoms might be due to the return of the bubonic plague or a marked resurgence of smallpox or cholera Jin et al. [2024].

The exact origin of the 1918 influenza pandemic remains unknown, although East Asia, particularly China, is often considered a breeding ground for new influenza strains.

This pandemic was mistakenly called “Spanish flu”, although it certainly did not originate in Spain. Current research suggests that it originated in Kansas Sampath et al. [2021] Mackowiak [2021] North [2020].

The first report of this unusual influenza activity in 1918 came from Haskell County, Kansas, on March 11, 1918 Behl et al. [2022]. This county, characterized by its hog farms and position on a wild bird migration route, was the starting point of the virus. Then it spread to Camp Funston, located in Fort Riley, before reaching other American mobilization centers, before reaching France and spreading worldwide Mackowiak [2021].

The 1918 flu pandemic occurred in three waves Berche [2022]. The first wave, in June and July, resembled seasonal flu. The second wave, from October to December (with a peak in March 1919), and the third wave (autumn 1918), were much more severe, affecting almost every region of the world, indicating a possible mutation of the virus. It persisted until 1920 Barro et al. [2022].

This pandemic was distinguished by its scope and depth, sweeping through North America and Europe, even reaching remote areas such as the Alaskan wilderness (where some villages were completely wiped out) and distant Pacific islands. It had an especially high mortality rate among young people Gallardo-Albarrán and De Zwart [2021] Berche [2022]. More than 99% of influenza-related deaths occurred in individuals under the age of 65 years, particularly young adults aged 20 to 44 years, including sailors, soldiers, pilots, doctors, nurses, and support staff Munshi et al. [2022] Schwartz and Kapila [2021]. It killed enough young people to reduce the average life expectancy in the United States by 12 years Sampath et al. [2021].

500 million people (one-third of the world’s population) are estimated to have been infected with the Spanish flu, and 1–3% of the global population died from the disease Yaskey and Dahl-Grove [2024]. If a similar pandemic occurred today, it could result in between 100 and 500 million deaths Mackowiak [2021].

The 1918 flu claimed the lives of famous figures such as Max Weber, Gustav Klimt, and Frederick Trump, and weakened US President Woodrow Wilson, influencing the Treaty of Versailles negotiations Barro et al. [2020].

2.6.1 Crisis Management and Public Health Initiatives

Countries still involved in the conflict gave the highest priority to military efforts which compromised the implementation of effective coordinated health measures. Medical and human resources were mobilized for the front, and healthcare professionals who did not mobilize for the war in Europe were severely affected by the pandemic, becoming too sick to care for the victims Mackowiak [2021].

This exacerbated the health crisis, as the lack of competent medical personnel left many patients without adequate care. Fear of contagion was widespread: healthy individuals avoided the sick, leading to widespread social isolation. The hospitals were overwhelmed and collective panic often prevented the communities from organizing effectively to address the emergency. Thus, the pandemic not only triggered a public health crisis but also created a climate of fear and mistrust, further complicating management efforts. Exaggerations, rumors, myths and falsehoods also abounded during the Spanish flu pandemic, causing confusion, distress, and great panic Kelly [2020].

During World War I, various factors, such as the inaccessibility of healthcare workers due to their participation in the conflict, worsened the pandemic. However, the most significant impact of the war on the pandemic may have been the manipulation of information by governments Mackowiak [2021].

To maintain morale among troops and civilians, authorities often denied the existence of the epidemic, preventing its recognition and proper management Brantly and Brantly [2023], Rai and Singh [2022]. Censorship was reinforced by propaganda campaigns urging citizens to denounce pessimistic rhetoric, thus limiting the spread of information, including on President Wilson’s infection. Consequently, the news of the outbreak was delayed, postponing the implementation of necessary control measures until it

was too late to prevent the catastrophe. For some, restrictions on information increased suspicion and mistrust toward government, media, and public health authorities Jin et al. [2024].

The flu was called the 'Spanish flu' because Spain, being neutral in the war, was one of the few countries to accurately report the extent of the disease, unlike other countries that censored information.

The pandemic thrived in the absence of adequate antiviral or antiviral drugs to help victims.

With no antibiotics or antivirals to treat victims, caregivers resorted to administering very high doses of certain drugs, despite their limited efficacy and sometimes dangerous side effects. Experts believe that excessive administration of certain drugs played a role, at least in part, in the massive hemorrhages observed in patients during the pandemic Mackowiak [2021]. Some manufacturers in the United States took advantage of the situation to sell highly priced vaccines originally designed to prevent colds or other respiratory infections Jin et al. [2024]. Aspirin, quinine, arsenic, digitalis, and strychnine were administered despite their dangerous side effects. At the same time, alternative practices emerged: In India, sorcerers used flour and water figurines in rituals to deter evil spirits.

In China, people paraded dragon-king statues, frequented public baths to 'sweat away the evil winds', smoked opium, or used a traditional powder made of honeysuckle and forsythia (too yin qiao san). In Great Britain, phenolic soap was described as a miracle disinfectant. Faced with the absence of validated treatments, these often ineffective methods reflected the widespread despair of the time Qc [2020].

As the pandemic worsened, control measures were introduced. Measures comparable to those applied during the COVID-19 pandemic were put in place, such as quarantining those infected or coming from affected areas. In some countries, universities and schools were also closed. These measures also included the closure of auditoriums, places of worship, and schools, as well as the cancellation of funerals, processions, weddings, and other large gatherings Amin [2022].

Military camps were quarantined and newcomers were subjected to observation and daily examinations. In addition to quarantine, daily inspections and early isolation of the sick were implemented. Medical personnel started wearing masks and patients were placed in separate cabins to limit contagion. Curtains were hung between the bunks to provide some degree of isolation.

Additional hygiene measures were introduced, and newspapers regularly carried instructions; for example, the USPHS distributed more than six million brochures informing citizens about the dangers of influenza and preventive measures. Citizens were encouraged to avoid crowded spaces, walk instead of taking public transport, wash their hands before meals, spend more time outdoors, steam cleaning clothes and boiling cooking utensils. The authorities imposed penalties on those who did not comply with the directives, with fines and prison sentences for violators North [2020] Plüss [2020].

However, response measures varied and evolved on the part of health authorities and affected communities. Resulting in sometimes contradictory prevention strategies and recommendations (for example, despite an official statement from the California State Board of Health indicating that face masks were not required, local governments imposed this measure in most of California, USA) Jin et al. [2024].

2.6.2 Long-Term Impacts and Lessons Learned

One factor complicating the assessment of the impact of the Spanish flu is the difficulty in distinguishing the effects of World War I from those of the influenza pandemic Barro et al. [2022]. Nevertheless, it intensified the already massive human losses resulting from the global conflict Berche [2022]. A study reports a 6% drop in GDP and an 8% decline in consumption in a typical country Barro et al. [2020]. In 1918–1919, food shortages, worsened by import difficulties, droughts, and labor shortages caused by the flu, severely disrupted agricultural production chains Gallardo-Albarrán and De Zwart [2021].

The 1918 influenza pandemic reportedly led to a relative increase in wages due to the labor shortage Kumar [2022].

2.7 COVID-19: A Contemporary Case Study

The COVID-19 pandemic represents the third recorded coronavirus outbreak, following the epidemic of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS, SARS-CoV-1 or SARS-CoV) in 2002 and the epidemic of respiratory syndrome in the Middle East (MERS or MERS-CoV) in 2012 Feehan and Apostolopoulos [2021].

COVID-19 is, to date, the greatest health disaster of the 21st century. Its global impact makes it the second most severe pandemic in modern history, after the 1918 Spanish flu Wu et al. [2022], with approximately 6.95 million deaths recorded as of August 18, 2023.

Table 2: SWOT Analysis of the Spanish Flu

Strengths	Weaknesses
- Highlighted the need for public health infrastructure and global health surveillance systems.	- Lack of effective treatments or vaccines exacerbated mortality.
- Showcased the resilience of communities in adapting to crises.	- Inadequate communication and misinformation led to confusion and increased transmission rates.
- Provided critical insights into the importance of early intervention in pandemic management.	- Insufficient healthcare capacity resulted in overwhelmed hospitals and healthcare worker shortages.
- Development of early strategies for mass quarantines and public health measures.	- Failure to address socioeconomic inequalities worsened the pandemic's effects on marginalized populations.
Opportunities	Threats
- Spurred advancements in virology and epidemiology, laying the groundwork for influenza research.	- High mortality rates among young adults disrupted social structures and economic stability.
- Encouraged international collaboration in public health policies and research.	- Globalized transportation networks accelerated the spread of the virus.
- Highlighted the importance of public health education to combat misinformation and improve hygiene.	- Limited understanding of aerosol transmission contributed to ineffective mitigation strategies.
- Set a precedent for developing national public health agencies (e.g., CDC in the US).	- The pandemic overlapped with World War I, creating additional challenges in resource allocation and response.

The coronavirus was first identified in 1965, and in 1975, virologists specializing in animal viruses discovered a new strain. It was named 'coronavirus' due to its crown-shaped appearance Behl et al. [2022].

Coronaviridae (CoV) are a large family of zoonotic viruses responsible for a variety of diseases, ranging from common cold to severe acute respiratory infections, such as SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV outbreaks Baghchechi et al. [2020].

They can infect a wide range of animals and humans. Human coronaviruses (HCoVs) cause mainly seasonal respiratory illnesses and occasionally gastroenteritis. Some, such as HCoV-229E (alpha-coronavirus) and HCoV-OC43 (beta-coronavirus), cause the common cold. Others, such as HCoV-NL63 (alpha-coronavirus) and HCoV-HKU1 (beta-coronavirus), can result in more severe respiratory infections, although rarely fatal. Among the beta-coronaviruses, three strains are partly pathogenic: SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2. The latter is responsible for COVID-19, which can cause severe pneumonia in humans Earn et al. [2020].

In December 2019, a group of patients in Wuhan, Hubei province, China, presented severe atypical pneumonia, later identified as coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). A highly virulent pathogenic viral infection with an incubation period ranging from 2 to 12 days, transmitted by inhalation of infected droplets or physical contact with infected droplets Amin [2022].

Several factors contribute to the spread of viruses such as SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2: increased population density, domestication and increased contact with wild animals (zoonoses), intensified international travel, long incubation periods of up to two weeks, the absence of specific treatments, and a lack of herd immunity Gryksa and Neumann [2022]. Transmission occurs primarily through respiratory droplets and aerosols emitted when an infected person coughs or sneezes Arthi and Parman [2021]. Severe forms of the disease affect mainly elderly individuals and those with comorbidities Yaskey and Dahl-Grove [2024].

The symptoms of coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19) include fever, fatigue, productive cough, shortness of breath, headache, anosmia, dysgeusia, and sore throat. Severe cases exhibited complications such as ARDS, respiratory failure, cardiac failure, and septic shock Sampath et al. [2021]. Although the exact origin of this viral outbreak is unclear, the first 27 reported cases were all related to the Huanan Seafood Wholesale Market in Wuhan, southern China Baghchechi et al. [2020], Sampath et al. [2021].

2.7.1 Global Management

The speed of disease transmission directly influences its management, and the spread of the virus was remarkably rapid. The first cases were reported in December 2019 in Wuhan, China. By January 2020, cases were confirmed in Asia, Europe, North America, and Africa. By the end of the month, the global case count had already reached 10,000 Arthi and Parman [2021].

The globalized nature of our economy played a significant role in the spread of COVID-19. This phenomenon is not new and has also been observed during previous pandemics, where spread was facilitated by trade connections between regions. In those times, isolation often remained the only effective measure to protect against pandemics. Even in a world less interconnected than today, isolation was the primary tool to escape epidemics Arthi and Parman [2021].

Furthermore, most pandemic diseases lack specific treatments, in addition to symptomatic care for mild cases and medical support for severe cases. Given this reality, non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) were used as tools to manage the COVID-19 pandemic Kumar [2022]. The WHO recommended that countries implement strict social distancing and quarantine measures to curb virus spread and protect public health Hiscott et al. [2020].

Governments around the world were forced to take drastic measures, including nationwide lockdowns lasting several weeks or months, mass-imposed quarantines, and widespread social distancing Gryksa and Neumann [2022]. However, these measures varied from country to country, and China enacted them particularly strictly Wei and Chen [2020].

Recommendations included regular handwashing, proper hygiene when coughing or sneezing, and wearing masks in public. It was also advised to maintain a social distance of 1 to 2 meters to avoid close contact with people who show respiratory symptoms. Thus, social distancing became an essential practice to reduce the risk of transmission Nundy et al. [2021].

Here are the hygiene measures to prevent spread

- **Practice good hygiene (practices that improve cleanliness):**
 - Keep hands clean by washing with soap and water for at least 20 seconds or using hand sanitizer containing at least 60% alcohol.
 - Avoid touching your eyes, nose, and mouth.
 - Cover coughs and sneezes with tissues or your arm / sleeves and dispose of tissues in the trash.
- Take steps for cleaner air.
- Stay up-to-date with COVID-19 vaccines, especially for frail people, which significantly reduces the risk of getting very sick, being hospitalized, or dying of COVID-19, although vaccinated people sometimes get infected with the virus that causes COVID-19.
- Take precautionary measures with regard to sick people.
- Wearing a mask and putting distance between yourself and others can help reduce the risk of COVID-19 transmission. However, wearing a homemade mask offers the least protection.
- Testing for COVID-19 can help you decide what to do next, such as getting treatment to reduce your risk of serious illness and taking steps to reduce your chances of spreading COVID-19 to others.

If the person is ill (have COVID-19)

- Use precautions to prevent spread, including staying home and away from others (including people you live with who are not sick) if you have respiratory symptoms, for 7 to 14 days.
- Seek health care promptly for testing and/or treatment if you have risk factors for severe illness. Treatment may help lower your risk of severe illness, but it needs to be started within a few days of when your symptoms begin.

Table 3: SWOT Analysis of COVID-19

Strengths	Weaknesses
- Accelerated adoption of telemedicine and remote work technologies.	- Lack of preparedness in global healthcare systems exposed vulnerabilities.
- Highlighted the importance of vaccine development and distribution.	- Misinformation and inconsistent public health messaging caused confusion.
- Promoted international collaboration for vaccine research and pandemic management.	- Economic disparities exacerbated access to healthcare and essential services.
- Enhanced awareness of hygiene practices and public health measures.	- Overburdened healthcare systems led to delayed treatments for other conditions.

Opportunities	Threats
- Innovations in vaccine technology, including mRNA vaccines, with potential for other diseases.	- Emergence of new variants poses ongoing challenges to containment efforts.
- Increased investments in global health infrastructure and pandemic preparedness.	- Economic recessions and job losses created widespread financial instability.
- Development of policies for equitable resource distribution during crises.	- Social isolation and mental health issues surged due to lockdowns and restrictions.
- Strengthened public-private partnerships in healthcare and technology.	- Distrust in governments and health organizations fueled by misinformation.

3 Results and Comparative Analysis

3.1 Comparative Management Approaches

Table 4: Comparative Strategies and Public Health Impacts Across Pandemics

Dimension	Black Death	Spanish Flu	COVID-19
Belief on Cause	Miasma (corrupted air)	Viral transmission (limited understanding)	Aerosols, droplets (scientifically confirmed)
Mortality	50% of Europe	1-3% globally	Varies by region
Transmission	Fleas on rats	Respiratory droplets	Aerosol particles, respiratory droplets
Public Behavior	Fear, misinformation	Community resilience	Mixed due to misinformation
Hygiene Practices	Limited understanding	Some public health interventions	Global promotion of hand hygiene, masks, social distancing
Control Measures	Quarantine, isolation	Masks, public awareness campaigns	Vaccination, digital tracing, social distancing, masks
Healthcare Response	Minimal (untrained doctors)	Field hospitals, mobilized nurses	Advanced ICUs, telemedicine
Economic Protections	Mutual aid funds	Minimal	Economic stimulus packages
Key Innovations	Quarantine concept	Mobilized global nursing	AI in health management

Summary: Management strategies have evolved from rudimentary quarantines during Black Death to advanced vaccination campaigns during Covid-19 [Schwartz and Kapila [2021] Gross [2022]].

3.2 Social and Educational Implications

Pandemics have profoundly affected societal structures and educational systems. During the Black Death, social hierarchies changed as labor shortages Glatter and Finkelman [2021] increased the bargaining power of workers Gallardo-Albarrán and De Zwart [2021] Jedwab et al. [2021]. The Spanish Flu disrupted community interactions and led to closures of schools and public spaces Tisdell [2020] Amin [2022], emphasizing the importance of adapting education to crisis scenarios. Covid-19 amplified these effects with prolonged lockdowns Hiscott et al. [2020] Amin [2022], forcing the rapid adoption of online education. However, this shift highlighted digital inequalities, leaving many students without access to quality education Beaunoyer et al. [2020]. Social cohesion was also tested, and misinformation and stigma often exacerbating community tensions Hill [2021].

Table 5: Comparative Social and Educational Impacts of Pandemics

Dimension	Black Death	Spanish Flu	COVID-19
Education Disruption	Minimal due to pre-modern schools	Closure of public schools	Shift to remote learning
Social Cohesion	Weakened due to deaths	Strong during recovery	Mixed due to misinformation
Healthcare Capacity	Limited	Strained	Advanced but unequal

Summary: The social and educational impacts of pandemics have evolved, from minimal disruption during Black Death to widespread school closures and digital inequalities during COVID-19, highlighting the need for adaptable systems.

3.3 Economic Impacts: Historical and Modern Insights

The COVID-19 pandemic caused millions of deaths worldwide. Beyond the human toll, COVID-19 triggered both short- and long-term economic damage. To reduce the risk of exposure to viruses, people limited their interactions with suppliers, resulting in a decrease in demand for goods and services. This behavior, combined with nonpharmaceutical interventions such as national lockdowns, while vital to controlling the spread of the virus, caused simultaneous supply and demand shocks. These measures affected the livelihoods of millions of people around the world. According to the International Labor Organization, nearly 400 million full-time jobs were lost due to COVID-19 Sampath et al. [2021], illustrating the magnitude of the global economic impact of the pandemic.

Before the crisis, the eurozone was already experiencing slow economic growth, but the pandemic caused a major recession Hiscott et al. [2020]. The economic productivity of the 19 countries in the eurozone decreased by 3.8% in the first quarter of 2020, with even sharper declines in countries such as France, Italy and Spain. Closed city and border services disrupted supply chains, exacerbated food shortages, and increased prices Amin [2022]. In addition, massive job losses drove millions of people to poverty Gallardo-Albarrán and De Zwart [2021]. Economic support measures, such as financial aid from the European Union and deferred payments, provided some relief but were often insufficient. In Italy, the crisis was so severe that charitable initiatives emerged to help those who had lost their livelihoods Hiscott et al. [2020].

In the United States, an economy that had been growing at the beginning of 2020 came to a sudden halt by mid-March Hiscott et al. [2020]. Temporary measures, such as increased unemployment benefits and stimulus packages, were not enough to resolve these structural inequalities.

The implementation of social and physical isolation measures also caused sudden and profound economic difficulties, with a marked decline in global trade and the activity of small local businesses Stewart et al. [2024].

The Black Death (14th century) had a dramatic economic impact on Europe, with GDP contracting by an estimated 25-30% during its peak years, reflecting the severe reduction in economic activity caused by widespread mortality Mackowiak [2021]. Labor markets underwent significant changes as severe labor shortages drove up real wages by nearly 50% in certain regions, innovations such as improved farming techniques and enclosure movement, which boosted productivity and reshaped the economic landscape Sampath et al. [2021].

Public investment during this period was minimal due to limited state capacity, but private initiatives in land use and resource allocation played an important role in facilitating economic recovery.

These changes underscored the resilience and adaptability of societies in the face of profound demographic and economic upheavals.

During the Black Death, labor shortages led to a rise in real wages, transforming agricultural structures and fostering an early form of industrialization. In contrast, the Spanish Flu primarily impacted the wartime and manufacturing industries, while pushing governments to establish more robust public health systems. Meanwhile, COVID-19 marked a pivotal shift toward rapid digitalization of the global economy, highlighting the importance of resilient supply chains and remote working technologies. These structural changes underscore the need for differentiated economic strategies to mitigate short-term impacts while fostering long-term recovery.

The Spanish Flu (1918–1920) had profound economic repercussions worldwide. Severely affected countries, such as the United States, experienced GDP declines of 6–8%, coupled with a 5% reduction in labor productivity, reflecting the significant disruption in workforce efficiency Barro et al. [2022].

Public health spending increased during this period and governments increased expenditures on average by 15%, particularly in developed nations such as France and the United Kingdom, as they sought to combat the devastating effects of the pandemic Gross [2022]. The global economy also faced substantial challenges, as international trade contracted by 10% at the peak of the pandemic, exposing vulnerabilities in supply chains and underscoring the interconnected nature of global commerce at the time. These impacts highlighted the critical need for more resilient economic and health infrastructure to mitigate future crises.

COVID-19 (2019 - present) brought significant economic upheaval worldwide. In 2020, global GDP contracted by 4.3 percent, with regions such as Europe and Latin America experiencing declines exceeding 10 percent Hiscott et al. [2020]. Public health investments reached unprecedented levels, with more than 8 trillion allocated worldwide for mitigation efforts, including 3.5 trillion specifically for vaccine development and distribution Stewart et al. [2024]. Employment was severely affected, as the International Labor Organization reported Sampath et al. [2021]. These figures highlight the widespread economic and social impact of the pandemic, revealing vulnerabilities in global systems while prompting the reallocation of resources and structural adjustments in industries and nations. Economic disruptions catalyzed significant innovations in all pandemics.

Table 6: Comparative Economic Impacts of Major Pandemics

Dimension	Black Death	Spanish Flu	COVID-19
GDP Contraction	25–30%	6–8%	4.3% (global average)
Public Health Spending Increase	N/A	15%	\$8 trillion
Job Losses	Severe labor shortages	5–10% productivity decline	400 million jobs (ILO estimate)
Unemployment	Labor shortages	Industrial decline	Rising unemployment
Innovation	Agricultural advances	Industrial reorganization	Telework, digitization
Disruptions	Trade breakdowns	Agricultural disruptions	Supply chain disruptions

3.4 Environmental Consequences of Pandemics

Pandemics have indirect but significant environmental effects. The Black Death led to temporary reforestation as agricultural land was abandoned, contributing to a decrease in carbon emissions. The Spanish Flu saw fewer environmental changes, but briefly disrupted industrial activities. Covid-19, in contrast, resulted in significant environmental benefits during lockdowns, including reduced air pollution and lower greenhouse gas emissions. These temporary changes underscore the potential for integrating environmental considerations into recovery policies, promoting sustainable development and resilience against future zoonotic diseases Hiscott et al. [2020] Yaskey and Dahl-Grove [2024].

Summary: Environmental impacts reveal opportunities for sustainable policies during recovery from pandemics.

Table 7: Comparative Environmental Impacts of Pandemics

Dimension	Black Death	Spanish Flu	COVID-19
Emissions Reduction	Temporary due to reforestation	Minimal	Significant due to lockdowns
Industrial Activity	Declined	Briefly interrupted	Digital transformation
Biodiversity Impact	Positive	Neutral	Positive awareness

4 Recommendations

4.1 Strengthen Telemedicine and Epidemic Surveillance Systems

Expanding telemedicine and improving epidemic surveillance systems are critical to pandemic preparedness. Telemedicine proved invaluable during Covid-19 to maintain access to healthcare despite lockdowns. Future investments should focus on scaling telehealth platforms, integrating artificial intelligence for diagnostics Jin et al. [2024], and enabling real-time patient monitoring.

Surveillance systems must utilize big data analytics Silva and Smith [2023] to detect early outbreaks and predict their trajectory, ensuring timely responses. Strengthened infrastructure for both urban and rural areas will bridge healthcare disparities and improve pandemic resilience Baghchechi et al. [2020].

4.2 Invest in Resilient Healthcare Infrastructures

Resilient healthcare systems are the cornerstone of an effective pandemic response. This includes ensuring scalable hospitals, adequate ICU capacity, and stockpiling essential medical supplies Tavakoli et al.. Training healthcare professionals in crisis management and cross-functional skills is equally important. Governments should prioritize funding for public health infrastructures and encourage private sector partnerships. By creating adaptable facilities and processes, healthcare systems can better respond to sudden surges in patients during pandemics Mackowiak [2021].

4.3 Adopt Environmental Policies to Mitigate Zoonotic Risks

Environmental degradation and habitat destruction increase the risk of zoonotic diseases such as Covid-19. Governments and organizations must adopt policies to protect biodiversity, regulate wildlife trade, and enforce sustainable land use practices ?. Restoring natural habitats and reducing human encroachment on wildlife areas can limit the spread of pathogens from animals to humans. In addition, incorporating the One Health approach Tulchinsky and Varavikova [2014] will address the intersection of human, animal, and environmental health.

4.4 Foster More Equitable International Cooperation

Global health crises require unified efforts. Strengthening international cooperation involves creating equitable frameworks for vaccine distribution, resource sharing, and re- search collaboration. Programs like COVAX highlight the importance of multilateral efforts, but inequalities in vaccine access must be addressed North [2020]. Establishing transparent and accountable mechanisms for the funding and distribution of medical supplies will enhance global solidarity and trust ?.

4.5 Expand Global Health Education Initiatives

Education is fundamental to community preparedness and resilience. Introducing global health education programs in schools and public campaigns can improve understanding of the benefits of disease prevention, hygiene, and vaccination Sampath et al. [2021]. Training healthcare workers in pandemic-specific skills, such as contact tracing and outbreak management, will enhance readiness. Community-driven initiatives to disseminate accurate health information can combat misinformation and promote collective action Jin et al. [2024].

4.6 Develop Robust, Transparent Health Data-Sharing Platforms

The sharing of health data is essential for real-time decision-making during pandemics. Developing secure and transparent platforms will allow governments and organizations to share critical information without compromising privacy Silva and Smith [2023]. These systems should integrate data from hospitals, laboratories, and international agencies to provide comprehensive insights. Enhanced data analysis can support predictive modeling and policy planning, ultimately improving the efficiency of the pandemic response Baghchechi et al. [2020].

5 Conclusion

Comparative analysis of black death, Spanish flu, and Covid-19 underscores the profound and multifaceted impacts of pandemics. Historically, these crises have exposed weaknesses in public health systems, disrupted economies, and reshaped societal norms Mackowiak [2021] North [2020].

The Black Death highlighted the devastating consequences of inadequate sanitation and healthcare infrastructure, while the Spanish Flu demonstrated the challenges of managing a pandemic during global conflict. Covid-19 has uniquely illustrated the role of globalization, digital technology, and international cooperation in addressing modern health crises Hiscott et al. [2020] Sampath et al. [2021].

From a public health perspective, the evolution of control measures from quarantines during Black Death to advanced vaccination campaigns during Covid-19 demonstrates the importance of continuous innovation.

Economically, while pandemics have historically driven significant disruptions, they have also catalyzed technological and organizational advancements. Environmentally, temporary reductions in CO2 emissions during Covid-19 reveal potential pathways for integrating sustainability into recovery efforts Roubík et al. [2022] Baghchechi et al. [2020].

In the future, improving the preparedness and resilience of global health systems is imperative. Recommendations for future pandemics include integrating the 'One Health' approach to address zoonotic risks, promoting international agreements that prioritize equitable access to medical resources, and leveraging advances in artificial intelligence for predictive analytics in disease surveillance. These steps, coupled with sustained investment in public health infrastructure and education, can mitigate the socioeconomic and health impacts of future pandemics, ensuring that societies are better equipped to respond to global health emergencies.

References

- Pravin Amin. Life after covid-19: Future directions? In *COVID-19 Pandemic*, pages 211–226. Elsevier, 2022.
- Vellore Arthi and John Parman. Disease, downturns, and wellbeing: Economic history and the long-run impacts of covid-19. *Explorations in Economic History*, 79:101381, 2021.
- Mohsen Baghchechi, Navin Jaipaul, and Sharon E Jacob. The rise and evolution of covid-19. *International journal of women's dermatology*, 6(4):248–254, 2020.
- Robert J Barro, José F Ursúa, and Joanna Weng. The coronavirus and the great influenza pandemic: Lessons from the “spanish flu” for the coronavirus’s potential effects on mortality and economic activity. Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research, 2020.
- Robert J Barro, José F Ursúa, and Joanna Weng. Macroeconomics of the great influenza pandemic, 1918–1920. *Research in Economics*, 76(1):21–29, 2022.
- Elisabeth Beaunoyer, Sophie Dupéré, and Matthieu J Guitton. Covid-19 and digital inequalities: Reciprocal impacts and mitigation strategies. *Computers in human behavior*, 111:106424, 2020.
- Amanpreet Behl, Ashrit Nair, Sanika Mohagaonkar, Pooja Yadav, Kirtida Gambhir, Nishant Tyagi, Rakesh Kumar Sharma, Bhupendra Singh Butola, and Navneet Sharma. Threat, challenges, and preparedness for future pandemics: A descriptive review of phylogenetic analysis based predictions. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution*, 98:105217, 2022.
- Patrick Berche. The spanish flu. *La Presse Médicale*, 51(3):104127, 2022.

- Aaron Brantly and Nataliya Brantly. Biopolitics: Power, pandemics, and war. *Orbis*, 67(1):64–84, 2023.
- Andrea Alberto Conti. Protective face masks through centuries, from xvii century plague doctors to current health care professionals managing the covid-19 pandemic. *Acta Bio Medica: Atenei Parmensis*, 91(4), 2020.
- David JD Earn, Junling Ma, Hendrik Poinar, Jonathan Dushoff, and Benjamin M Bolker. Acceleration of plague outbreaks in the second pandemic. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(44):27703–27711, 2020.
- Jack Feehan and Vasso Apostolopoulos. Is covid-19 the worst pandemic? *Maturitas*, 149:56, 2021.
- Daniel Gallardo-Albarrán and Pim De Zwart. A bitter epidemic: The impact of the 1918 influenza on sugar production in java. *Economics & Human Biology*, 42:101011, 2021.
- Kathryn A Glatter and Paul Finkelman. History of the plague: An ancient pandemic for the age of covid-19. *The American journal of medicine*, 134(2):176–181, 2021.
- Michael Gross. Pandemics past and present. *Current Biology*, 32(16):R855–R857, 2022.
- Katharina Gryksa and Inga D Neumann. Consequences of pandemic-associated social restrictions: Role of social support and the oxytocin system. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 135:105601, 2022.
- Justin J Hill. The overlooked tragedy of the pandemic: How media coverage of the covid-19 pandemic has led to an increase in anti-asian bias and xenophobia. *Asian Pac. Am. LJ*, 25:125, 2021.
- John Hiscott, Magdalini Alexandridi, Michela Muscolini, Evelyne Tassone, Enrico Palermo, Maria Soultioti, and Alessandra Zevini. The global impact of the coronavirus pandemic. *Cytokine & growth factor reviews*, 53:1–9, 2020.
- Remi Jedwab, Amjad M Khan, Jason Russ, and Esha D Zaveri. Epidemics, pandemics, and social conflict: Lessons from the past and possible scenarios for covid-19. *World Development*, 147:105629, 2021.
- Sabrina L Jin, Jessica Kolis, Jessica Parker, Dylan A Proctor, Dimitri Prybylski, Claire Wardle, Neetu Abad, Kathryn A Brookmeyer, Christopher Voegeli, and Howard Chiou. Social histories of public health misinformation and infodemics: case studies of four pandemics. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 2024.
- BD Kelly. Plagues, pandemics and epidemics in irish history prior to covid-19 (coronavirus): what can we learn? *Irish Journal of Psychological Medicine*, 37(4):269–274, 2020.
- Sanjeev Kumar. The global impact of pandemics on world economy and public health response. In *Computational Approaches for Novel Therapeutic and Diagnostic Designing to Mitigate SARS-CoV-2 Infection*, pages 43–48. Elsevier, 2022.
- Philip A Mackowiak. Prior pandemics. looking to the past for insight into the covid-19 pandemic. *Journal of community hospital internal medicine perspectives*, 11(2):163–170, 2021.
- Mohona Munshi, Saptashish Deb, Santanu Malakar, KR Jolvis Pou, and Sourav Chakraborty. Novel coronavirus disease (covid-19): Emergence, early infection rate, and deployment strategies for preventive solutions. In *Lessons from COVID-19*, pages 213–240. Elsevier, 2022.
- Diane MT North. California and the 1918–1920 influenza pandemic. *California History*, 97(3):3–36, 2020.
- Srijita Nundy, Aritra Ghosh, Abdelhakim Mesloub, Ghazy Abdullah Albaqawy, and Mohammed Mashary Alnaim. Impact of covid-19 pandemic on socio-economic, energy-environment and transport sector globally and sustainable development goal (sdg). *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 312:127705, 2021.
- Jean-Daniel Plüss. Covid-19, the church, and the challenge to ecumenism. *Transformation*, 37(4):286–296, 2020.

- Ian Freckelton Qc. Covid-19: Fear, quackery, false representations and the law. *International journal of law and psychiatry*, 72:101611, 2020.
- Rashmi Rai and Priyanka Singh. Revisiting the efficacy of policies in the indian primary healthcare sector: Interventions and approaches during the covid-19 pandemic. In *Lessons from COVID-19*, pages 139–169. Elsevier, 2022.
- Hynek Roubík, Michal Lošťák, Chama Theodore Ketuama, Petr Procházka, Jana Soukupová, Josef Hakl, Petr Karlík, and Michal Hejzman. Current coronavirus crisis and past pandemics-what can happen in post-covid-19 agriculture? *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 30:752–760, 2022.
- Shrikanth Sampath, Anwar Khedr, Shahraz Qamar, Aysun Tekin, Romil Singh, Ronya Green, and Rahul Kashyap. Pandemics throughout the history. *Cureus*, 13(9), 2021.
- Robert A Schwartz and Rajendra Kapila. Pandemics throughout the centuries. *Clinics in Dermatology*, 39(1):5–8, 2021.
- Diego S Silva and Maxwell J Smith. Data sharing during pandemics: Reciprocity, solidarity, and limits to obligations. *Journal of Bioethical Inquiry*, 20(4):667–672, 2023.
- Nakosi Stewart, Kathryn C. Kelley, Lorenzo Paladino, Juan A. Asensio, and Stanislaw P. Stawicki. Surgery during global pandemics: Focus on prioritization and resource allocation. In Juan A. Asensio and J. Wayne Meredith, editors, *Current Therapy of Trauma and Surgical Critical Care (Third Edition)*, pages 849–850.e15. Elsevier, Philadelphia, third edition edition, 2024. ISBN 978-0-323-69787-3. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-69787-3.00139-8>. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780323697873001398>.
- Reza Tavakoli, Houri Hosseinalizadeh Seighalani, Soheil Shahbazi, Zahra Rahimian, Sahel Ramezani, Sadaf Salehi, Moein Kiani, Fatemeh Rostamian Motlagh, Arian Afzalian, Sanaz Varshochi, et al. Saharnaz esmaeili.
- Clement A Tisdell. Economic, social and political issues raised by the covid-19 pandemic. *Economic analysis and policy*, 68:17–28, 2020.
- Theodore H Tulchinsky and Elena A Varavikova. A history of public health. *The new public health*, page 1, 2014.
- Leijie Wei and Shuoying Chen. How china succeeded in the covid-19 epidemic prevention and control: Interview with associate professor leijie wei, editor of waiting for dawn: 21 diaries from 16 covid-19 frontlines. *World Review of Political Economy*, 11(4):551–565, 2020.
- Qin Wu, Qianlin Li, and Jiahai Lu. A one health strategy for emerging infectious diseases based on the covid-19 outbreak. *Journal of biosafety and biosecurity*, 4(1):5–11, 2022.
- Regina Yaskey and Deanna Dahl-Grove. Pediatric pandemics and disasters-a summary. *Pediatric Clinics*, 71(3):353–370, 2024.