

From random walks to epidemic spreading: Compartment model with mortality for vector transmitted diseases

Téo Granger, Thomas M. Michelitsch, Bernard A. Collet, Michael Bestehorn, Alejandro P. Riascos

▶ To cite this version:

Téo Granger, Thomas M. Michelitsch, Bernard A. Collet, Michael Bestehorn, Alejandro P. Riascos. From random walks to epidemic spreading: Compartment model with mortality for vector transmitted diseases. 2025. hal-04945871

HAL Id: hal-04945871 https://hal.science/hal-04945871v1

Preprint submitted on 13 Feb 2025

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

From random walks to epidemic spreading: Compartment model with mortality for vector transmitted diseases^{*}

Téo Granger¹, Thomas M. Michelitsch¹[†], Bernard A. Collet¹ Michael Bestehorn², Alejandro P. Riascos³

¹Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Institut Jean Le Rond d'Alembert, F-75005 Paris, France

²Brandenburgische Technische Universität Cottbus-Senftenberg Institut für Physik Erich-Weinert-Straße 1, 03046 Cottbus, Germany

³Departamento de Física, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá, Colombia

February 13, 2025

Abstract

Epidemic compartment models have become a popular fashion for describing the propagation of an epidemic. To combine compartment models with random walk simulations gives valuable insights into the microscopic mechanisms of the spreading. Here we focus on the propagation of vector-transmitted diseases in complex networks such as Barabási-Albert (BA) and Watts-Strogatz (WS) types. The class of such diseases includes Malaria, Dengue (vectors are mosquitos), Pestilence (vectors are fleas), and many others. There is no direct transmission of the disease among individuals. Individuals are mimicked by independent random walkers and the vectors by the nodes of the network. The walkers and nodes can be either susceptible (S) or infected and infectious (I) representing their states of health. Walkers in compartment I may die from the infection (entering the dead compartment D) whereas infected nodes never die. This assumption is based on the observation that vectors do not fall ill from their infection. A susceptible walker can be infected with a certain probability by visiting an infected node, and a susceptible node by visits of infected walkers. The time spans of infection of walkers and nodes as well as the survival time span of infected walkers are assumed to be independent random variables following specific probability density functions (PDFs). We implement this approach into a multiple random walkers model. We establish macroscopic stochastic evolution equations for the mean-field compartmental population fractions and compare this dynamics with the outcome of the random walk simulations. We obtain explicit expressions for the basic reproduction numbers R_M, R_0 with and without mortality, respectively, and prove that $R_M < R_0$. For $R_M, R_0 > 1$ the healthy state is unstable, and the disease is starting to spread in presence of at least one infected walker or node. For zero mortality, we obtain in explicit form the stable endemic equilibrium which exists for $R_0 > 1$ and which is independent of the initial conditions. The random walk simulations agree well with the mean-field solutions for strongly connected (small world) graph topologies, whereas for weakly connected graph architectures (large world) and for diseases with high mortality the agreement is less well or occurs only after a long observation time. We also investigate the effect of confinement measures on the spreading of the disease. Our model has a wide range of interdisciplinary applications beyond epidemic dynamics, for instance in the kinetics of certain chemical reactions, the propagation of contaminants, wood fires, and population dynamics.

Keywords. Compartment model, Vector transmitted diseases, mortality, delay, memory effects, random walks, random graphs, population dynamics

^{*}PROCEEDINGS ICDEA 2024

[†]Corresponding, E-mail: thomas.michelitsch@sorbonne-universite.fr

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Stochastic compartmental model with mortality	3
	2.1 Mean field approach	3
	2.2 Zero mortality: endemic equilibrium	6
	2.3 Spreading condition with mortality	7
3	Random Walk Simulations	9
	3.1 Multiple walkers approach	9
	3.2 Discussion	16
4	Conclusions	16

1 Introduction

Since the breakout of the COVID-19 pandemics, epidemic models have attracted considerable attention. A popular way to tackle epidemic spreading are so-called compartmental models, where the individuals of a population are divided according to their states of health. The first compartmental model traces back to the seminal work of Kermack and McKendrick [1] where an individual is in one of the states (compartments) susceptible (to infection) - S, infected and infectious - I, recovered (immune) - R. Standard models of the SIR class are able to describe several features of certain infectious diseases, which include mumps, measles, rubella and others. However, standard SIR models do not exhibit persistent oscillatory behaviors or spontaneous outbursts, features which are often observed in the evolution of epidemics. One of the first works able to capture periodic behavior goes back to the model of Soper [2].

The classical SIR model has been generalized in many directions [3, 4, 5, 6] and consult [7] and references therein for models related to the context of COVID-19 pandemics. In order to relate macroscopic compartment models to microscopic dynamics, epidemic spreading has been studied in random graphs with emphasis on the complex interplay of the network topology and spreading features [8, 9, 10].

A further class are stochastic compartmental models combined with random walk approaches [13, 14, 18, 20, 22, 23, 24, 27, 29, 30] and non-exponentially distributed compartmental sojourn times leading to non-Markovian models were considered recently [11, 25, 26, 27].

In the present paper, our aim is to study the spreading of the class of vector transmitted diseases in a large population of individuals (random walkers) moving on complex graphs similar to human mobility patterns in complex environments such as cities, street-, and transportation networks. The walkers are mimicking the individuals of the population, and the nodes represent the vectors. We also account for the mortality of infected individuals (random walkers) and assume absence of mortality for the infected nodes (vectors). The latter assumption is based on the observation that the vectors (for instance mosquitos) do not fall ill during their infection, and therefore may not die from it.

The paper has the following structure. In Sect. 2 we establish a mean field model and obtain macroscopic evolution equations in stochastic and explicit forms. We derive the basic reproduction number R_M in presence of mortality and show that $R_M \leq R_0$, i.e. the basic reproduction number R_0 without mortality is un upper bound. We show by linear stability analysis that the healthy state is unstable for $R_R, R_M > 1$ and stable for $R_R, R_M < 1$. For zero mortality ($R_M = R_0$) a globally stable endemic equilibrium exists for $R_0 > 1$ independent of the initial conditions. In Sect. 3 we compare the outcomes of the mean field model with random walk simulations, where we focus on WS and BA graphs. At the end of the paper, we investigate for zero mortality the effect of confinement measures on the spreading of the disease and the basic reproduction number in the small world BA graph and compare it with the case of the large world WS graph. For more detailed demonstrations and discussions, we refer to our recent article [28].

2 Stochastic compartmental model with mortality

2.1 Mean field approach

Here, we recall briefly the essentials of our macroscopic mean field model for infectious diseases which are transmitted via vectors. Vector transmitted diseases include Dengue, Malaria (transmission vectors are mosquitos) and Pestilence (vectors are fleas), and others [31].

The vectors of the disease are represented by infected nodes of the network. This assumption is based on the observation that in the cases of Malaria and Dengue, the transmitting mosquitoes live in specific areas such as swamps and forests. Each walker performs independent steps along edges connecting nodes of the network. Both walkers and nodes are in one of the states (compartments): S – susceptible or I–infected. In addition, walkers can die during their infection by performing a transition from the I to the dead (D) compartment. Infected nodes representing the vectors never die. This feature accounts for the observation that infected mosquitos are not ill and therefore do not die from their infection.

Now we introduce $Z_S(t)$, $Z_I(t)$ ($N_S(t)$, $N_I(t)$) indicating the number of walkers (nodes) in compartments S and I, and $Z_D(t)$ the non-decreasing number of walkers (in compartment D) died during their infection up to time t. We consider the total number of walkers $Z = Z(0) = Z_I(t) + Z_S(t) + Z_D(t)$ and of nodes $N = N_I(t) + N_S(t)$ to be constant, independent of time. We account only for mortality of walkers during their infections. No natural birth- and dead processes are considered.

We assume that at time instant t = 0 the first spontaneous infections occur: $Z_I(0) \ll Z$ walkers and $N_I(0) \ll N$ nodes and no dead walkers $Z_D(0) = 0$. It is convenient to introduce the compartmental fractions $S_w(t) = \frac{Z_S(t)}{Z}$, $J_w(t) = \frac{Z_I(t)}{Z}$, $d_w(t) = \frac{Z_D(t)}{Z}$ for the walkers (normalized with respect to the time-independent total number Z of walkers which includes the number $Z_D(t)$ of dead walkers) with $S_w(t) + J_w(t) + d_w(t) = 1$, and $S_n(t) = \frac{N_S(t)}{N}$, $J_n(t) = \frac{N_I(t)}{N}$ with $S_n(t) + J_n(t) = 1$.

We denote with $\mathcal{A}_w(t), \mathcal{A}_n(t)$ the infection rates (rates of transitions $S \to I$) of walkers and nodes, respectively. We assume the simple bi-linear forms inspired from mass-action laws

$$\mathcal{A}_w(t) = \mathcal{A}_w[S_w(t), J_n(t)] = \beta_w S_w(t) J_n(t)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_n(t) = \mathcal{A}_n[S_n(t), J_w(t)] = \beta_n S_n(t) J_w(t)$$
(1)

with time-independent constant rate parameters β_w , $\beta_n > 0$. Eqs. (1) correspond to a predator-prey mechanism where susceptible walkers (nodes) are the prey of the predator, the infected nodes (walkers). $\mathcal{A}_w(t)$ indicates the infection rate of walkers, the susceptible walkers S_w may be infected with a certain probability by (visiting) infected nodes $J_n(t)$. $\mathcal{A}_n(t)$ stands for the infection rate of nodes, indicating that susceptible nodes $S_n(t)$ may be infected with a certain probability by visits of infected walkers $J_w(t)$. As mentioned, no direct transmissions among walkers and among nodes can happen. Infections occur with specific transmission probabilities $p_{w,n}$ which determine the transmission rate constants $\beta_{w,n}$. The latter contain additional topological information when considering the spreading on networks.

We assume that the infection time spans $t_I^w, t_I^n > 0$ without mortality (waiting times in compartment I) of walkers and nodes, respectively, are independent random variables drawn from specific probability density functions (PDFs). Further, as the only type of mortality, we allow only infected walkers to die. In other words, we admit walkers to die only during the phases of their infection. To this end, we introduce the independent random variable $t_M > 0$ measuring the survival time span of an infected walker. Both the infection and life time spans t_I^w, t_M are counted from the moment of the infection event. An infected walker survives the disease only if $t_M > t_I^w$ and dies for $t_M < t_I^w$. With these considerations, we are able to give a

stochastic formulation of the evolution equations for the compartmental fractions

$$\frac{d}{dt}S_{w}(t) = -\mathcal{A}_{w}(t) + \langle \mathcal{A}_{w}(t-t_{I}^{w})\Theta(t_{M}-t_{I}^{w})\rangle + J_{w}(0)\langle \delta(t-t_{I}^{w})\Theta(t_{M}-t_{I}^{w})\rangle \\
\frac{d}{dt}J_{w}(t) = \mathcal{A}_{w}(t) - \langle \mathcal{A}_{w}(t-t_{I}^{w})\Theta(t_{M}-t_{I}^{w})\rangle - J_{w}(0)\langle \delta(t-t_{I}^{w})\Theta(t_{M}-t_{I}^{w})\rangle - \frac{d}{dt}d_{w}(t) \\
\frac{d}{dt}d_{w}(t) = \langle \mathcal{A}_{w}(t-t_{M})\Theta(t_{I}^{w}-t_{M})\rangle + J_{w}(0)\langle \delta(t-t_{M})\Theta(t_{I}^{w}-t_{M})\rangle \\
\frac{d}{dt}S_{n}(t) = -\mathcal{A}_{n}(t) + \langle \mathcal{A}_{n}(t-t_{I}^{n})\rangle + J_{n}(0)\langle \delta(t-t_{I}^{n})\rangle \\
\frac{d}{dt}J_{n}(t) = -\frac{d}{dt}S_{n}(t).$$
(2)

 $\frac{d}{dt}d_w(t)$ stands for the (non-negative) mortality rate of infected walkers (coinciding with the total mortality rate of walkers). The symbol $\langle ... \rangle$ indicates averaging over the contained set of independent random variables $t_I^{w,n}, t_M$. With $\Theta(...)$ we denote the Heaviside unit-step function and with $\delta(...)$ the Dirac's δ -distribution. The system is coupled by the infection rates (1). In Eqs. (2) is taken into account that infected walkers may die during the time span of their infection, i.e. when $t_I^w > t_M$ (thus $\Theta(t_I^w - t_M) = 1$) and otherwise (for $t_I^w < t_M$) an infected walker recovers with a transition I to S when the time span t_I^w of its infection has elapsed. Letting $t_M \to \infty$ recovers the model without mortality. The last two equations of (2) take into account that there is no mortality for infected nodes. The contributions involving δ -distributions account for the transitions and mortality of the initially infected walkers and of initially infected nodes without mortality. We start the observation at t = 0 and assume the initial conditions $S_{w,n}(0) = 1 - J_{w,n}(0)$ with $J_{w,n}(0) > 0$ with presence of a few infected walkers and/or nodes in a large susceptible population without dead walkers $d_w(0) = 0$.

We can average (2) over the independent random variables $T_i = \{t_I^w, t_I^n, t_M\}$ using

$$\langle f(T_1, T_2, T_3) \rangle = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty K_1(\tau_1) K_2(\tau_2) K_3(\tau_3) f(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) \mathrm{d}\tau_1 \mathrm{d}\tau_2 \mathrm{d}\tau_3 \tag{3}$$

with their respective PDFs $K_i(\tau) = \{K_I^w(\tau), K_I^n(\tau), K_M(\tau)\}$ and accounting for causality of the infection rates and PDFs with $\mathcal{A}_{w,n}(t), K_i(t) = 0$ for t < 0 taking into account that no infections occur prior to the outbreak of the disease at t = 0 and for the positivity of $T_i = \{t_I^w, t_I^n, t_M\}$. An important special case arises for $f(T_1, T_2, T_3) = g_1(T_1)g_2(T_2)g_3(T_3)$ where from the independence of the T_i follows the convenient property

$$\langle f(T_1, T_2, T_3) \rangle = \langle g_1(T_1) \rangle \langle g_2(T_2) \rangle \langle g_3(T_3) \rangle \tag{4}$$

which we will use throughout this paper. These ingredients take us to

$$\langle \mathcal{A}_{w,n}(t-T_i) \rangle = \int_0^t \mathcal{A}_{w,n}(t-\tau) K_i(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau.$$
(5)

Useful are the persistence probabilities

$$\Phi_i(t) = Prob(T_i > t) = \langle \Theta(T_i - t) \rangle = \int_t^\infty K_i(\tau) d\tau$$
(6)

with $\Phi_i(0) = 1$ reflecting normalization of the PDFs $K_i(\tau)$. Further worthy of mention is the relation $\langle \delta(t-T_i) \rangle = K_i(t)$ from which follows that $\frac{d}{dt} \Phi_i(t) = -K_i(t)$, and consult [28] for further details. With these

considerations, the explicit form of the averaged system (2) reads

$$\frac{d}{dt}S_w(t) = -\mathcal{A}_w(t) + \int_0^t \mathcal{A}_w(t-\tau)K_I^w(\tau)\Phi_M(\tau)d\tau + J_w(0)K_I^w(t)\Phi_M(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}J_w(t) = \mathcal{A}_w(t) - \int_0^t \mathcal{A}_w(t-\tau)\mathcal{K}_{I,M}^w(\tau)d\tau - J_w(0)\mathcal{K}_{I,M}^w(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}d_w(t) = \int_0^t \mathcal{A}_w(t-\tau)K_M(\tau)\Phi_I^w(\tau)d\tau + J_w(0)K_M(t)\Phi_I^w(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}S_n(t) = -\mathcal{A}_n(t) + \int_0^t \mathcal{A}_n(t-\tau)K_I^n(\tau)d\tau + J_n(0)K_I^n(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}J_n(t) = -\frac{d}{dt}S_n(t).$$
(7)

In the second equation of this system comes into play the PDF $\mathcal{K}^w_{I,M}(t) = K^w_I(t)\Phi_M(t) + K_M(t)\Phi^w_I(t)$ capturing all exists of walkers from compartment I, in which the term

 $b_r(t) = \langle \delta(t - t_I^w) \Theta(t_M - t_I^w) \rangle = K_I^w(t) \Phi_M(t)$

describes the recovery rate of walkers that survived the disease, and

$$b_d(t) = \langle \delta(t - t_M) \Theta(t_I^w - t_M) \rangle = K_M(t) \Phi_I^w(t)$$

the mortality rate, both referring to $J_w(0) = 1$. The quantities $b_r(t), b_d(t)$ represent 'defective' PDFs and are not properly normalized [12]. Observe that

$$\mathcal{K}_{I,M}^{w}(t) = b_r(t) + b_d(t) = -\frac{d}{dt} [\langle \Theta(t_M - t)\Theta(t_I^w - t)\rangle] = -\frac{d}{dt} [\Phi_I^w(t)\Phi_M(t)]$$
(8)

where proper normalization of $\mathcal{K}^w_{I,M}(t)$ can be readily retrieved from

$$\int_0^\infty \mathcal{K}_{I,M}^w(t) \mathrm{d}t = -\int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dt} [\Phi_I^w(t)\Phi_M(t)] \mathrm{d}t = \Phi_I^w(0)\Phi_M(0) = 1$$

where $\Phi_I^w(\infty), \Phi_M(\infty) = 0$. Moreover, one has

$$\left\langle \Theta(t_M - t)\Theta(t_I^w - t) \right\rangle = \left\langle \Theta(t_M - t) \right\rangle \left\langle \Theta(t_I^w - t) \right\rangle = \Phi_I^w(t)\Phi_M(t) \tag{9}$$

since the indicator function $\Theta(t_M - t)\Theta(t_I^w - t) = 1$ as long the infection persists, i.e. for $t < t_{IM}^w = min(t_M, t_I^w)$ and where we used independence of t_I^w, t_M . (9) has the interpretation of the persistence probability of the infection given the infection starts at t = 0. Further, we will need the following quantities

$$\mathcal{R}(t) = \langle \Theta(t - t_I^w) \Theta(t_M - t_I^w) \rangle = \int_0^t b_r(\tau) d\tau = \int_0^t K_I^w(\tau) \Phi_M(\tau) d\tau$$
$$\mathcal{D}(t) = \langle \Theta(t - t_M) \Theta(t_I^w - t_M) \rangle = \int_0^t b_d(\tau) d\tau = \int_0^t K_M(\tau) \Phi_I^w(\tau) d\tau$$
$$\mathcal{R}(t) + \mathcal{D}(t) = \int_0^t \mathcal{K}_{I,M}^w(\tau) d\tau = \int_0^t [b_d(\tau) + b_r(\tau)] d\tau = 1 - \Phi_I^w(t) \Phi_M(t)$$
$$\mathcal{D}(\infty) + \mathcal{R}(\infty) = 1$$
(10)

where is used $\Phi_M(0) = \Phi_I^w(0) = 1$. The quantity $\mathcal{D}(\infty) \in (0,1)$ can be interpreted as the overall probability that a walker dies from its infection, and $\mathcal{R}(\infty) = 1 - \mathcal{D}(\infty) \in (0,1)$ as the probability that it survives the disease. For $t_M = \infty$ one has $\mathcal{D}(\infty) = 0$ and $\mathcal{R}(\infty) = 1$ corresponding to zero mortality. With these considerations, we can write the second equation of (7) as

$$\frac{d}{dt}J_w(t) = \frac{d}{dt}\left\{\int_0^t \mathcal{A}_w(\tau)\Phi_M(t-\tau)\Phi_I^w(t-\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau + J_w(0)\Phi_I^w(t)\Phi_M(t)\right\}.$$
(11)

For the numerical determination of the mean-field solutions, we used a fourth order Runge-Kutta (RK4) scheme in the stochastic formulation of Eqs. (2) together with the generalized Monte-Carlo convergence theorem

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} A(t - T^{(k)}) = \int_{0}^{t} A(t - \tau) K_{i}(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau$$
(12)

holding for random numbers $T^{(k)}$ drawn from PDF $K_i(\tau)$ for suitable causal functions A, avoiding in this way numerical evaluation of the involved convolutions.

2.2 Zero mortality: endemic equilibrium

An important special case occurs for zero mortality $\frac{d}{dt}d_w(t) = 0$ and is retrieved by $t_M = \infty$. Eqs. (2) boil then down to

$$\frac{d}{dt}J_{w}(t) = \mathcal{A}_{w}(t) - \langle \mathcal{A}_{w}(t-t_{I}^{w}) \rangle - J_{w}(0) \langle \delta(t-t_{I}^{w}) \rangle$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}J_{n}(t) = \mathcal{A}_{n}(t) - \langle \mathcal{A}_{w}(t-t_{I}^{n}) \rangle - J_{n}(0) \langle \delta(t-t_{I}^{n}) \rangle$$
(13)

where we skipped redundant equations. In order to explore the existence of an endemic equilibrium which consists in constant asymptotic values $J_w(\infty), J_n(\infty)$, it is convenient to consider the Laplace transformed system (13) which reads

$$\lambda \hat{J}_w(\lambda) = \left(\hat{\mathcal{A}}_w(\lambda) + J_w(0)\right) \left[1 - \hat{K}_I^w(\lambda)\right]$$

$$\lambda \hat{J}_n(\lambda) = \left(\hat{\mathcal{A}}_n(\lambda) + J_n(0)\right) \left[1 - \hat{K}_I^n(\lambda)\right].$$
(14)

Here we have introduced the Laplace transform (LT) of a suitable function f(t) as

$$\hat{f}(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} f(t) \mathrm{d}t.$$
(15)

The endemic equilibrium solves (13) for vanishing left-hand sides and representing the asymptotic solution for $t \to \infty$. Letting $\lambda \to 0$ in (14), by using the limiting value theorem

$$f(\infty) = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \lambda \hat{f}(\lambda)$$

we can conveniently extract the endemic values

independent of initial conditions $J_{w,n}(0)$ where we denote the endemic values from now on $J_{w,n}^e = J_{w,n}(\infty)$, $S_{w,n}^e = S_{w,n}(\infty) = 1 - J_{w,n}^e$. In these relations, we assume that the PDFs $K_I^{w,n}(t)$ are such that $\langle t_I^{w,n} \rangle < \infty$. One gets straightforwardly

$$J_{w}^{e} = \frac{R_{0} - 1}{R_{0}} \frac{\beta_{w} \langle t_{I}^{w} \rangle}{1 + \beta_{w} \langle t_{I}^{w} \rangle}
J_{n}^{e} = \frac{R_{0} - 1}{R_{0}} \frac{\beta_{n} \langle t_{I}^{n} \rangle}{1 + \beta_{n} \langle t_{I}^{n} \rangle}$$

$$R_{0} = \beta_{w} \langle t_{I}^{w} \rangle \beta_{n} \langle t_{I}^{n} \rangle = \frac{1}{S_{w}^{e} S_{n}^{e}}.$$
(17)

These relations have exchange symmetry with respect to walkers and nodes, reflecting this symmetry in the system (13). Since $J_{w,n}^e \in (0,1)$ the endemic equilibrium exists only for $R_0 > 1$. Worthy of mention are the limits

(i)
$$\beta_w \langle t_I^w \rangle \to \infty$$
 (while $\beta_n \langle t_I^n \rangle$ finite): $J_w^e \to 1$ whereas $J_n^e \to \frac{\beta_n \langle t_I^n \rangle}{1 + \beta_n \langle t_I^n \rangle} < 1$
(ii) $\beta_n \langle t_I^n \rangle \to \infty$ (while $\beta_w \langle t_I^w \rangle$ finite): $J_n^e \to 1$ whereas $J_w^e \to \frac{\beta_w \langle t_I^w \rangle}{1 + \beta_w \langle t_I^w \rangle} < 1$

where in both cases $R_0 \to \infty$. We depict the R_0 -dependence of the endemic equilibrium in Fig. 1. Only positive values of J_w^e , J_n^e occurring for $R_0 > 1$ correspond to endemic equilibria.



Figure 1: Endemic states J_w^e , $J_n^e = \frac{R_0 - 1}{R_0 + r_0}$ versus R_0 of (17) for some values of r_0 . Read $r_0 = \beta_n \langle t_I^n \rangle$ for J_w^e and $r_0 = \beta_w \langle t_I^w \rangle$ for J_n^e .

We infer that the healthy state $S_{w,n} = 1$ is unstable for $R_0 > 1$ and the endemic state (17) stable. On the other hand, for $R_0 < 1$ the healthy state is globally stable, and the endemic state does not exist. $R_0 = \beta_w \langle t_I^w \rangle \beta_n \langle t_I^n \rangle > 1$ is hence the condition that the disease without mortality is starting to spread. This can be proven by a stability analysis of endemic and healthy states, see [28] for details, and also follows from the results of the next section when considering the limit of zero mortality.

2.3 Spreading condition with mortality

Here we are interested in how mortality modifies the basic reproduction number. From now on we denote with R_0 the basic reproduction number without mortality (see (17) and with R_M the basic reproduction number in presence of mortality. To answer this question, we perform a linear stability analysis of the healthy state $S_{w,n} = 1$. To that end we set

$$S_w(t) = 1 + a e^{\mu t}, \quad J_w(t) = b e^{\mu t}, \quad d_w(t) = -(a+b) e^{\mu t}, \quad S_n(t) = 1 - c e^{\mu t}, \quad J_n(t) = c e^{\mu t}$$
(18)

with $\mathcal{A}_w(t) = \beta_w c e^{\mu t}$ and $\mathcal{A}_n(t) = \beta_n b e^{\mu t}$ where a, b, c, are 'small' constants. Note that (18) is such that $S_w(t) + J_w(t) + d_w(t) = 1$ and $S_n(t) + J_n(t) = 1$. Plugging this expression for $\mu \ge 0$ into three independent

Eqs. of (2), one gets

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mu; & 0; & \beta_w [1 - \hat{b}_r(\mu)] \\ \mu; & \mu; & \beta_w \hat{b}_d(\mu) \\ 0 & -\beta_n [1 - \bar{K}_I^n(\mu)]; & \mu \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(19)

Putting the determinant of this matrix to zero leads to

$$G_M(\mu) = 1 - \beta_n \beta_w \frac{[1 - \bar{K}_I^n(\mu)]}{\mu} \frac{[1 - \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{I,M}^w(\mu)]}{\mu} = 0$$
(20)

where $\mathcal{K}_{I,M}^w(t) = b_d(t) + b_r(t) = -\frac{d}{dt} [\Phi_I^w(t) \Phi_M(t)]$ is the PDF that governs the end of the infection (either by recovery or by death). In particular

$$\frac{\left[1-\hat{\mathcal{K}}^w_{I,M}(\mu)\right]}{\mu} = \int_0^\infty \Phi_M(t)\Phi^w_I(t)e^{-\mu t}\mathrm{d}t$$

is the LT of the persistence probability $\Phi_M(t)\Phi_I^w(t) = \langle \Theta(t_M - t)\Theta(t_I^w - t) \rangle$ of the walker's infection, i.e. the probability that $t < min(t_I^w, t_M)$. The healthy state is unstable if there is a solution $\mu > 0$ solving (20). In the following the mean sojourn time of a walker in compartment I with mortality

$$\langle t_{IM}^w \rangle = \langle min(t_I^w, t_M) \rangle = \frac{[1 - \mathcal{K}_{I,M}^w(\mu)]}{\mu} \Big|_{\mu=0} = \int_0^\infty t \mathcal{K}_{I,M}^w(t) dt = \int_0^\infty \Phi_M(t) \Phi_I^w(t) dt \le \int_0^\infty \Phi_I^w(t) dt = \langle t_I^w \rangle$$
(21)

comes into play, leading to

$$G_M(\mu)\Big|_{\mu=0} = 1 - \beta_n \beta_w \langle t_I^n \rangle \langle t_{IM}^w \rangle.$$
(22)

From (21) follows that $\langle t_{IM}^w \rangle \leq \langle t_I^w \rangle$ (equality only for zero mortality) reflecting simply the feature that $t_{IM}^w = min(t_I^w, t_M) \leq t_I^w$ with again equality for zero mortality $t_M = \infty$. On the other hand, we have $G_M(\mu) \to 1$ for $\mu \to \infty$, so there is a positive solution $\mu = \mu_*$ of $G_M(\mu) = 0$ only if

$$R_M = \beta_n \beta_w \langle t_I^n \rangle \langle t_{IM}^w \rangle > 1, \tag{23}$$

see Fig. 2. We identify the quantity R_M as the basic reproduction number modified by mortality, which fulfills

$$R_M = \beta_n \beta_w \langle t_I^n \rangle \langle t_{IM}^w \rangle \le R_0 = \beta_n \beta_w \langle t_I^n \rangle \langle t_I^w \rangle$$
(24)

(equality for zero mortality only).



Figure 2: We depict $G_M(\mu)$ from Eq. (20) for some R_M for exponentially distributed $t_I^{w,n}$, t_M ($\Phi_I^{w,n}(t) = e^{-\xi_I^{w,n}t}$, $\Phi_M(t) = e^{-\xi_M t}$) The parameters are $\beta_w = 0.5$, $\beta_n = 2$, $\xi_I^w = 1$, $\xi_I^n = 0.5$. The basic reproduction number without mortality ($\xi_M = 0$) is $R_0 = 2$ with $R_M = R_0/(1 + \xi_M)$. One can see that (20) has a positive solution for $R_M > 1$ indicating instability of the healthy state.

To visualize the effect of mortality on the instability of the healthy state, we plot $G_M(\mu)$ for a few values of R_M in Fig. 2. One can see that increasing the mortality rate parameter ξ_M sufficiently turns an unstable healthy state into a stable one. R_M is monotonously decreasing with increasing ξ_M assuming here an exponentially distributed t_M following the PDF $K_M(t) = \xi_M e^{-\xi_M t}$.

3 Random Walk Simulations

3.1 Multiple walkers approach

In order to obtain a microscopic picture of the dynamics, we implement the mean field model into random walk simulations. We consider a population of Z individuals, represented by random walkers moving independently on connected undirected (ergodic) networks¹ where we focus on random graphs of the Barabási–Albert (BA) and Watts– Strogatz (WS) type by using the PYTHON NetworkX library.

We explore the effect of the graph topology on the propagation of the disease and compare the results of the random walk simulations with those of the mean field model by integrating evolution Eqs. (2) numerically in a fourth-order Runge–Kutta scheme (RK4). Doing so, we employ feature (12) to avoid performing the convolutions explicitly. At the end of the section, we also investigate how confinement measures may affect the spreading of the disease.

The considered WS network has connectivity parameter m = 2 with emergence of a large-world graph, see Figs. 3, 4. The WS graph is generated by starting in a first step by a ring of N nodes, where each node is connected to its m left and m right closest neighbors, thus each node has 2m connections. In a second step, each of the connections is rewired with a probability p to a randomly chosen node by avoiding multiple connections [17, 21]. The considered WS graph G is generated by NetworkX employing $G = nx.connected_watts_strogats_graph(N, m, p, seed = seed)$ (allowing to fix the "seed", i.e. the generated random numbers to obtain the same random graph in different simulation runs).

To explore the effect of the connectivity of a network, we consider the spreading in a BA network (generated by $G = nx.barabasi_albert_graph(N, m, seed = seed)$) which is small world (Fig. 5) contrarily to

¹Each pair of distinct nodes is connected by a path along edges of finite length.

the considered WS graph. The BA graph is generated by a preferential attachment mechanism for newly added nodes: One starts with m_0 connected nodes and adds new nodes in the following way. Any newly added node is connected with $m \le m_0$ existing nodes (*m* is called attachment parameter). The newly added node is preferentially connected to a node with high degree. In this way, an asymptotically scale-free small-world graph emerges with a power law degree distribution [13, 14, 15, 16]. It has been pointed out in the literature that small-world architectures are favorable for the propagation of epidemics [8, 9]. This is also confirmed by the case study of this section.

We employ Gamma distributed $t_I^{w,n}$, t_M waiting-times due to the high flexibility of the Gamma distribution to adapt relevant shapes observed in real world situations. The Gamma PDF reads

$$K_{\alpha,\xi}(t) = \frac{\xi^{\alpha} t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} e^{-\xi t}, \qquad \xi, \ \alpha > 0$$
(25)

where α is the so-called "shape parameter" and ξ the rate parameter (often, the term "scale parameter" is used, $\theta = \xi^{-1}$) and $\Gamma(\alpha)$ stands for the Gamma function. The Gamma PDF has finite mean $\langle t \rangle_{\alpha,\xi} = \int_0^\infty t K_{\alpha,\xi}(t) dt = \frac{\alpha}{\xi}$, and for $\alpha \in (0,1)$, the Gamma PDF is weakly singular at t = 0 and $\alpha = 1$ recovers exponential PDFs. For $\alpha > 1$, the Gamma PDF has a maximum at $t_{max} = \frac{\alpha - 1}{\xi}$ and becomes narrower the larger ξ approaching a Dirac δ -distribution $K_{\alpha,\xi}(t) \to \delta(t - t_0)$ for $\xi \to \infty$ while keeping its mean $\langle t \rangle_{\alpha,\xi} = t_0$ constant.



Figure 3: Spreading with mortality for a single random walk realization (for PYTHON seed = 0) in the WS graph $G = nx.connected_watts_strogats_graph(2500, m = 2, p = 0.8, seed = seed)$ (Z = 2500 walkers, N = 2500 nodes; average degree $\langle k \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} k_i = 2 = m$). The parameters of the Gamma distributed compartment sojourn times are: $\langle t_I^n \rangle = 12$, $\xi_I^n = 10^5$ (t_I^n sharp δ -distributed); $\langle t_I^w \rangle = 6$, $\xi_I^w = 10$, $\langle t_M \rangle = 10$, $\xi_M = 0.4$. Initial condition: 10 infected nodes at t = 0. An animated simulation video of this dynamics can be viewed by clicking online here. S walkers are represented in cyan color, I walkers in red. Nodes are drawn in black without representation of their infection state.





Figure 4: Spreading in the WS graph [$nx.connected_watts_strogatz_graph(1500, m = 2, p = 0.9, seed = seed)$] with a higher rewiring probability p = 0.9 than in Fig. 3 and with 1500 nodes and Z = 1500 walkers (10 I nodes at t = 0.), average degree $\langle k \rangle = m = 2$. Left frame: without mortality. Right frame: same setting with mortality. Average over 100 equivalent random walk realizations (by choosing for each realization a different PYTHON seed = $\{0, \ldots, 99\}$). All other parameters are identical as in Fig. 3 except here we have $\xi_M = 2$. The simulations confirm that $R_M = 65.19 < R_0 = 65.44$.

In order to describe the random walk of each walker, we denote with i = 1, ..., N the nodes of the network and introduce the $N \times N$ the symmetric adjacency matrix (A_{ij}) where $A_{ij} = 1$ if the pair of nodes i, j is connected by an edge, and $A_{ij} = 0$ if the pair is disconnected. Further, we assume $A_{ii} = 0$ to avoid self-connections of nodes. We restrict our analysis to undirected networks, where edges have no predefined direction and the adjacency matrix is symmetric. The degree k_i of a node i counts the number of its neighbor nodes (connected with i by edges). Each walker performs independent Markovian steps between connected nodes. The steps from a node i to one of its $k_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{ij}$ neighbor nodes are chosen with probability $1/k_i$, leading for all walkers to the same transition matrix which reads [19, 20, 32]

$$\Pi(i \to j) = \frac{A_{ij}}{k_i}, \qquad z = 1, \dots, Z, \qquad i, j = 1, \dots, N$$
(26)

and is by construction row-normalized $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \prod(i \to j) = 1$. To ensure ergodicity, we exclude that the graph is bipartite by the presence of at least one return path of odd length [32]. In the simulations, the departure nodes at t = 0 of each walker is randomly chosen. The path of each walker is independent and not affected by contacts or infection events by other walkers. We assume uniform transmission probabilities $p_{w,n} = 1$ of walkers and nodes unless stated otherwise.





Figure 5: Spreading in the BA graph [$nx.barabasi_albert_graph(1500, m = 6, seed = seed)$] (10 I nodes at t = 0) with 1500 walkers, average degree $\langle k \rangle = 11.95$. Left frame: without mortality. Right frame: same setting with mortality. Average over 100 equivalent random walk realizations. The Gamma-distributed waiting time parameters are identical as in Fig. 4. Basic reproduction numbers with and without mortality: $R_M \approx 80.17 < R_0 \approx 80.48$.



Figure 6: Spreading without mortality in the same BA graph as in Fig. 5 (1500 walkers and averaging over 100 equivalent RW realizations) and the same setting with identical parameters of Gamma distributed $t_I^{w,n}$ for some values of transmission probabilities p_n of I-walkers to S-nodes (lower left and upper frames). This mimics the effect of confinement measures where $1 - p_n$ is identified with the fraction of confined walkers' population.

Lower right frame: R_0 versus fraction of confined walkers for the BA graph of this figure and for the WS graph of Fig. 4.

3.2 Discussion

Fig. 3 shows the epidemic evolution on a large world WS graph with high mortality. The overall probability of $D(\infty) \approx 0.22$ that an infected walker dies in one infection leads to an extremely high mortality in the walkers' population due to successive multiple infections of surviving walkers. One can see in the animated video – to view it click online here – that almost the entire walkers' population is dying during the epidemic. A vector transmitted disease with such a high mortality is for instance Pestilence.

We observe that the mean field model agrees well with the random walk result in the case of the small world BA graph (Figs. 5, 6). For the WS graph of Fig. 4 which is large world, the agreement between random walk and mean field is characterized by a delayed increase of the compartment fractions in the random walk simulations which can be explained that the infection needs more time to spread in a weakly connected architecture with long average distances between nodes. For large observation times, the agreement is well for zero mortality, but less well in presence of mortality. We explain this discrepancy that in the case of a large world graph the infection rates in the network are deviating from the simple mass-action-law forms (1).

In the simulation runs of Fig. 6 we investigate the impact of confinement measures in absence of mortality. We mimic the effect of walkers subjected to confinement by varying the transmission probability p_n that an I-walker infects an S-node. We interpret the quantity $1 - p_n$ as the fraction of confined walkers which are disabled to transmit the disease. $p_n = 1$ corresponds to no confinement and $p_n = 0$ to full confinement of the walkers' population. The lower right frame of Fig. 6 shows that for both types of graphs (BA and WS) R_0 falls off linearly with respect to the fraction $1 - p_n$ of confined walkers. This reflects a linear relationship between p_n and R_0 . For the same fractions of confined walkers, the R_0 values of the small-world BA graph are larger than those of the large-world WS graph. This confirms the feature of high susceptibility of small world networks to epidemic spreading. The decrease of R_0 with the fraction of confined walkers also gives strong evidence for the efficiency and need of confinement measures, especially for populations living in small world networks such as agglomerations and cities.

4 Conclusions

We established a stochastic mean field compartment model for vector transmitted diseases, where we take into account mortality of the individuals during the phase of the disease. Based on the assumption that vectors do not fall ill, we neglected mortality of the vectors. Moreover, we neglect demographic effects coming from natural natality and mortality. Our model allows for interpretations beyond epidemiological dynamics, for instance has an analogy to certain chemical reactions [33], propagation of wood fires, pollutants, and others.

Comparison of the results of the mean field model with random walk simulations shows that the mean field model assuming simple forms of the infection rates (Eqs. (1)) are well capturing the spreading dynamics in small world networks and vanishing mortality (see Figs. 5, 6). The agreement is less well in large world networks (Fig. 4) in the beginning of the epidemic, but again agrees well for large observation times in absence of mortality. Larger deviations between mean field and random walk occur for higher mortality. For future research, a more sophisticated mean field model would be desirable, which is able to better capture topological effects of large world (weakly connected) networks.

Another direction of interest is the spreading with modified steps in the random walks, such as for instance under resetting, mimicking the influence of long-range journeys of individuals [34, 35, 36, 37, 38]. Further promising extensions of the present model are opened by non-monotonous infection rates (different from simple mass-action-laws) for which under certain conditions the endemic equilibrium exhibits bifurcations, allowing for emergence of chaotic attractors [39]. Also the inclusion of demographic effects originating from natural natality and mortality may open an interesting generalization of the present approach.

References

- W.O. Kermack, A.G. McKendrick, A contribution to the mathematical theory of epidemics, Proc. Roy. Soc. A 115, 700–721 (1927).
- [2] H. E. Soper, The interpretation of periodicity in disease prevalence, J. Royal Statistical Society 92, 34-61 (1929).
- [3] W. M. Liu, H.W. Hethcote HW, S.A. Levin, Dynamical behavior of epidemiological models with non-linear incidence rate. J. Math. Biol. 25, 359–380 (1987).
- [4] M.Y. Li, J.R. Graef, L. Wang, J. Karsai, Global dynamics of a SEIR model with varying total population size. Math. Biosci. 1999 160:191–213.
- [5] R. M. Anderson, and R. M. May, 1992, Infectious Diseases in Humans, (Oxford University Press, Oxford).
- [6] M. Martcheva, An Introduction to Mathematical Epidemiology, Springer (2015).
- [7] J. E. Harris, Population-Based Model of the Fraction of Incidental COVID-19 Hospitalizations during the Omicron BA.1 Wave in the United States, COVID 3(5), 728-743 (2023). Doi: 10.3390/covid3050054
- [8] R. Pastor-Satorras, C. Castellano, P. Van Mieghem, A. Vespignani, Epidemic processes in complex networks, Rev. Mod. Phys. 87, 925-979 (2015).
- [9] R. Pastor-Satorras, A. Vespignani, Epidemic dynamics and endemic states in complex networks, Phys. Rev. E 63, 066117 (2001).
- [10] Y. Okabe Y, A. Shudo, Microscopic Numerical Simulations of Epidemic Models on Networks. Mathematics 9, 932 (2021).
- [11] L Basnarkov, I. Tomovski, T. Sandev, L. Kocarev, on-Markovian SIR epidemic spreading model of COVID-19, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals 160, 112286 (2022).
- [12] G. d'Onofrio, T.M. Michelitsch, F. Polito, A.P. Riascos, On discrete-time arrival processes and related random motions, (preprint) arXiv:2403.06821
- [13] A.-L. Barabási, Network Science. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2016).
- [14] A.-L. Barabási and R. Albert, Emergence of Scaling in Random Networks, Science 286, 509 (1999).
- [15] Barabási, R. Albert, and H. Jeong, Mean-field theory for scale-free random networks, Physica A 272, 173-187 (1999).
- [16] H. Jeong, B. Tombor, R. Albert, Z. N. Oltvai, A L Barabási, The large-scale organization of metabolic networks, Nature 407(6804):651-4 (2000).
- [17] P. Erdös, P. Rényi, On the evolution of random graphs, Publ. Math. Inst. Hung. Acad. Sci 5, 17 (1960).
- [18] S.M. Ross, Stochastic Processes (John Wiley & Sons, New York), 1996.
- [19] M.E.J. Newman, Networks: An Introduction, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2010.
- [20] T.M. Michelitsch, A.P. Riascos, B.A. Collet, A.F. Nowakowski, F.C.G.A. Nicolleau, Fractional Dynamics on Networks and Lattices, ISTE/Wiley, London, 2019.
- [21] D. J. Watts, S. H. Strogatz, Collective dynamics of 'small-world' networks, Nature, London, 393, 440 (1998).
- [22] A. Barrat, M. Barthélemy, A. Vespignani, Epidemic spreading in population networks, In: Dynamic Processes on Complex Networks, pp. 180–215, Cambridge University Press (2008), DOI: 10.1017/CBO9780511791383.010

- [23] A. P. Riascos, D. P. Sanders, Mean encounter times for multiple random walkers on networks, Phys. Rev. E 103, 042312 (2021). Doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.103.042312
- [24] M. Bestehorn, A. P. Riascos, T. M. Michelitsch, B. A. Collet, A Markovian random walk model of epidemic spreading, Continuum Mech. Thermodyn. 33:1207–1221 (2021), Doi: doi.org/10.1007/s00161-021-00970-z
- [25] M. Bestehorn, T. M. Michelitsch, B. A. Collet, A. P. Riascos, and A. F. Nowakowski, Simple model of epidemic dynamics with memory effects, Phys. Rev. E 105, 024205 (2022).
- [26] T. Granger, T. M. Michelitsch, M. Bestehorn, A. P. Riascos, B. A. Collet, Four-compartment epidemic model with retarded transition rates, Phys. Rev. E 107 044207 (2023).
- [27] M. Bestehorn, T. M. Michelitsch, Oscillating Behavior of a Compartmental Model with Retarded Noisy Dynamic Infection Rate, Int. J. Bifurcation Chaos 33 2350056 (2023).
- [28] T. Granger, T. M. Michelitsch, M. Bestehorn, A.P. Riascos, B.A. Collet, Stochastic Compartment Model with Mortality and Its Application to Epidemic Spreading in Complex Networks, Entropy 26(5), 362 (2024), Doi: doi.org/10.3390/e26050362
- [29] van Kampen, N. G. (1981), Stochastic processes in chemistry and physics (North Holland, Amsterdam).
- [30] P. Van Mieghem, Exact Markovian SIR and SIS epidemics on networks and an upper bound for the epidemic threshold, arXiv:1402.1731 (2014).
- [31] S. S. Whitehead, J. E. Blaney, A. P. Durbin, B.R. Murphy, Prospects for a dengue virus vaccine. Nat Rev Microbiol 5, 518–528 (2007). Doi: 10.1038/nrmicro1690
- [32] J.-D. Noh, H. Rieger, Random walks on complex networks, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, No. 11 (2004).
- [33] C. M. Simon, The SIR dynamic model of infectious disease transmission and its analogy with chemical kinetics, PeerJ Physical Chemistry (2020). DOI 10.7717/peerj-pchem.14
- [34] M. R. Evans and S. N. Majumdar, "Diffusion with stochastic resetting," Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 160601 (2011).
- [35] T.M. Michelitsch, G. D'Onofrio, F. Polito, A. P. Riascos, Random walks with stochastic resetting in complex networks: A discrete-time approach, Chaos 35, 013119 (2025).
- [36] A.G.Guerrero-Estrada, A.P. Riascos, D. Boyer, Random walks with long-range memory on networks, Chaos 35, 013117 (2025).
- [37] A. Pal, V. Stojkoski, and T. Sandev, Random resetting in search problems. In: Target Search Problems, edited by D. Grebekov, R. Metzler, and G. Oshanin (Springer Nature, 2024), ISBN: 978-3-031-67801-1 (arXiv:2310.12057).
- [38] P. Trajanovski, P Jolakoski, L Kocarev, R. Metzler, T Sandev, Generalised Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process: memory effects and resetting, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 58 045001 (2025).
- [39] M. Bestehorn, T.M. Michelitsch, Periodic solutions and chaotic attractors of a modified epidemiological SEIS model, Chaos 35, 023104 (2025).
- [40] Supplementary materials: PYTHON codes (© Téo Granger 2023) and animated videos: https://sites.google.com/view/scirs-model-supplementaries/accueil