



HAL
open science

Climate change rivals fertilizer use in driving soil nitrous oxide emissions in the northern high latitudes: Insights from terrestrial biosphere models

Naiqing Pan, Hanqin Tian, Hao Shi, Shufen Pan, Josep G Canadell, Jinfeng Chang, Philippe Ciais, Eric A Davidson, Gustaf Hugelius, Akihiko Ito, et al.

► To cite this version:

Naiqing Pan, Hanqin Tian, Hao Shi, Shufen Pan, Josep G Canadell, et al.. Climate change rivals fertilizer use in driving soil nitrous oxide emissions in the northern high latitudes: Insights from terrestrial biosphere models. *Environment International*, 2025, 196, pp.109297. 10.1016/j.envint.2025.109297 . hal-04939779

HAL Id: hal-04939779

<https://hal.science/hal-04939779v1>

Submitted on 11 Feb 2025

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



Full length article

Climate change rivals fertilizer use in driving soil nitrous oxide emissions in the northern high latitudes: Insights from terrestrial biosphere models

Naiqing Pan^a, Hanqin Tian^{a,b,*}, Hao Shi^c, Shufen Pan^{a,d}, Josep G. Canadell^e, Jinfeng Chang^f, Philippe Ciais^g, Eric A. Davidson^h, Gustaf Hugelius^{i,j}, Akihiko Ito^k, Robert B. Jackson^l, Fortunat Joos^m, Sebastian Lienert^m, Dylan B. Milletⁿ, Stefan Olin^o, Prabir K. Patra^p, Rona L. Thompson^q, Nicolas Vuichard^g, Kelley C. Wellsⁿ, Chris Wilson^{r,s}, Yongfa You^a, Sönke Zaehle^t

^a Center for Earth System Science and Global Sustainability, Schiller Institute for Integrated Science and Society, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA, USA

^b Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA, USA

^c State Key Laboratory of Urban and Regional Ecology, Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

^d Department of Engineering and Environmental Studies Program, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA, USA

^e Global Carbon Project, CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, Australia

^f College of Environmental and Resource Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China

^g Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, LSCE, CEA CNRS, UVSQ UPSACLAY, Gif sur Yvette, France

^h Appalachian Laboratory, University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science, Frostburg, MD, USA

ⁱ Department of Physical Geography, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden

^j Bolin Centre for Climate Research, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden

^k The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

^l Department of Earth System Science, Woods Institute for the Environment, Precourt Institute for Energy, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

^m Climate and Environmental Physics, Physics Institute and Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

ⁿ Department of Soil, Water, and Climate, University of Minnesota, St Paul, MN, USA

^o Department of Physical Geography and Ecosystem Science, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

^p Research Institute for Global Change, JAMSTEC, Yokohama, Japan

^q Norsk Institutt for Luftforskning, NILU, Kjeller, Norway

^r National Centre for Earth Observation, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

^s Institute for Climate and Atmospheric Science, School of Earth & Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

^t Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Jena, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Handling Editor: Xavier Querol

Keywords:

Nitrous oxide
Northern high latitudes
Climate change
Anthropogenic activities
Model ensemble estimation
Permafrost

ABSTRACT

Nitrous oxide (N₂O) is the most important stratospheric ozone-depleting agent based on current emissions and the third largest contributor to increased net radiative forcing. Increases in atmospheric N₂O have been attributed primarily to enhanced soil N₂O emissions. Critically, contributions from soils in the Northern High Latitudes (NHL, >50°N) remain poorly quantified despite their exposure to rapid rates of regional warming and changing hydrology due to climate change. In this study, we used an ensemble of six process-based terrestrial biosphere models (TBMs) from the Global Nitrogen/Nitrous Oxide Model Intercomparison Project (NMIP) to quantify soil N₂O emissions across the NHL during 1861–2016. Factorial simulations were conducted to disentangle the contributions of key driving factors, including climate change, nitrogen inputs, land use change, and rising atmospheric CO₂ concentration, to the trends in emissions. The NMIP models suggest NHL soil N₂O emissions doubled from 1861 to 2016, increasing on average by 2.0 ± 1.0 Gg N/yr ($p < 0.01$). Over the entire study period, while N fertilizer application (42 ± 20 %) contributed the largest share to the increase in NHL soil emissions, climate change effect was comparable (37 ± 25 %), underscoring its significant role. In the recent decade (2007–2016), anthropogenic sources contributed 47 ± 17 % (279 ± 156 Gg N/yr) of the total N₂O emissions from the NHL, while unmanaged soils contributed a comparable amount (290 ± 142 Gg N/yr). The trend of increasing emissions from nitrogen fertilizer reversed after the 1980 s because of reduced applications in non-

* Corresponding author at: Center for Earth System Science and Global Sustainability, Schiller Institute for Integrated Science and Society, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA, USA.

E-mail address: hanqin.tian@bc.edu (H. Tian).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2025.109297>

Received 24 September 2024; Received in revised form 20 December 2024; Accepted 19 January 2025

Available online 21 January 2025

0160-4120/© 2025 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

permafrost regions. In addition, increased plant growth due to CO₂ fertilization suppressed simulated emissions. However, permafrost soil N₂O emissions continued increasing attributable to climate warming; the interaction of climate warming and increasing CO₂ concentrations on nitrogen and carbon cycling will determine future trends in NHL soil N₂O emissions. The rigorous interplay between process modeling and field experimentation will be essential for improving model representations of the mechanisms controlling N₂O fluxes in the Northern High Latitudes and for reducing associated uncertainties.

1. Introduction

Nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions have received increasing attention, because N₂O is the most important stratospheric ozone-depleting agent based on current emissions (Ravishankara et al., 2009) and the third largest contributor to net radiative forcing by greenhouse gases (Canadell et al., 2021; Etminan et al., 2016). The large amount of nitrogen additions to soils since the preindustrial period has significantly increased the atmospheric N₂O burden (Canadell et al., 2021; Tian et al., 2020). Denitrification and nitrification are two primary soil processes controlling N₂O production, which are regulated by multiple factors such as temperature, water availability, acidity, substrate availability and microbial diversity (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2013; Rees et al., 2013). Over the past 40 years, the northern high latitudes, usually defined as the region north of 50°N (Watts et al., 2012), have experienced climate warming at a rate faster than anywhere else on Earth (Rantanen et al., 2022), a trend expected to continue in the coming decades (Masson-Delmotte et al., 2021). Therefore, there is a need to understand and quantify how changes in climate and other environmental factors since the pre-industrial era have affected soil N₂O emissions from the NHL and thus have shaped the strength of climate-biogeochemical feedback.

The terrestrial nitrogen cycle in the NHL is closely related with permafrost, which underlays more than 60 % of the area (Brown et al., 1997). Although large N stocks are stored in this region (Harden et al., 2012; Hugelius et al., 2020), the associated soil N₂O emissions received little attention because they were considered to be small due to limited microbial activities and low mineralization rates under low-temperature and the presence of permafrost. Permafrost constrains soil processes by limiting the depth of the active layer, influencing soil moisture dynamics, and reducing nitrogen availability. (Voigt et al., 2020). However, recent in-situ studies found that both barren and vegetated soils in the NHL can emit substantial amounts of N₂O (Marushchak et al., 2011; Marushchak et al., 2021; Repo et al., 2009; Voigt et al., 2017b). For example, Marushchak et al. (2011) found that N₂O emissions from an unvegetated peatland in Russia can be $1.40 \pm 0.15 \text{ g N}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, which is higher than the average N₂O emission rate ($0.19 \text{ g N}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) from tropical rainforest soils (Werner et al., 2011). The N₂O emission during the snow-free season was $1.2 \pm 0.3 \text{ g N}_2\text{O m}^{-2}$ in a pristine tundra area (Repo et al., 2009), which is as high as the rate of boreal organic croplands ($0.3\text{--}1.7 \text{ g N}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$; Majanen et al., 2007). Meanwhile, Arctic amplification, the phenomenon that climate change is amplified in the NHL, is projected to continue in the 21st century (Christensen et al., 2013; Pithan and Mauritsen, 2014) with further implications for N₂O emissions: first, a large amount of immobile N stored in permafrost becomes available for decomposition and remobilization after permafrost thawing; second, rapid warming enhances N mineralization and promotes nitrification and denitrification; and third, warming may also promote biological nitrogen fixation (BNF), increasing ecosystem N availability and thereby potentially also N₂O production. Field experiments show that experimental warming can increase soil N₂O emissions from the northern high latitudes by 10–460 % (Cui et al., 2018; Voigt et al., 2017b; Wang et al., 2017).

Another influential factor for N₂O emissions in the NHL is the atmospheric CO₂ concentrations. Elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations do not have significant direct effects on reactive N flows controlling N₂O production, but can indirectly affect soil N₂O emissions by changing plant nitrogen uptake and root exudates due to enhanced

plant growth (Usyskin-Tonne et al., 2020). On one hand, elevated atmospheric CO₂ promotes plant growth and thus more absorption of soil mineral N, restricting N₂O production (Tian et al., 2019). On the other hand, it may stimulate denitrification-derived N₂O emissions by increasing plant biomass and hence carbon substrate availability (Kammann et al., 2008). Additionally, elevated CO₂ can affect soil moisture by improving plant water-use efficiency, which can increase anaerobic conditions that stimulate denitrification (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2013). Such contrasting effects of elevated CO₂ concentrations on N₂O emissions have been observed in field experiments (Dijkstra et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2018; X Sun et al., 2018) but the magnitude of the CO₂ effect on northern soil N₂O emissions remains poorly understood.

In recent years, process-based models have been employed to investigate soil N₂O emissions from northern regions. For example, Xu-Ri et al. (2012) used the DyN-LPJ model and found that N₂O emissions from boreal forests and tundra are generally low (typically less than $0.2 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) due to temperature limitations. Lacroix et al. (2022) applied the QUINCY terrestrial biosphere model to simulate N₂O emissions in permafrost regions and demonstrated that emissions could increase disproportionately with deeper soil thawing. Additionally, Del Grosso et al. (2022) highlighted that N₂O emissions in cold climates are often underestimated due to the omission of freeze–thaw-induced pulses in national greenhouse gas inventories. They suggested that incorporating these dynamics into process-based models could significantly improve emission estimates. However, despite these advances, no study has comprehensively evaluated the magnitude, trends, and drivers of soil N₂O emissions from the NHL using process-based models. To address these gaps, this study aims to provide a comprehensive quantification of soil N₂O emissions across the NHL using an ensemble of process-based terrestrial biosphere models (TBMs) and atmospheric inversion frameworks. The main objectives of our study are to: (1) investigate the spatiotemporal variations of soil N₂O emissions from 1861 to 2016 in the NHL, (2) disentangle the contributions of climate change, nitrogen inputs, land use change, and atmospheric CO₂ concentration to N₂O emissions, and (3) assess the uncertainties associated with both bottom-up and top-down approaches in estimating N₂O fluxes in this region. Specifically, we investigated NHL soil N₂O emissions using six TBMs from NMIP (Tian et al., 2018). Using factorial simulation experiments, we quantified the contributions of different driving factors, particularly climate change and rising atmospheric CO₂, to the variations in soil N₂O emissions during 1861–2016. Statistical methods were further employed to disentangle the effects of temperature and precipitation on soil N₂O emissions. We also compared bottom-up (BU, including process-based TBMs for soil emissions and emission factor approaches for non-soil emissions) estimates of N₂O emissions with those of three atmospheric inversion frameworks (top-down, TD) (Rona L. Thompson et al., 2019) to investigate the uncertainties in current estimates of N₂O emissions from the NHL.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Data sources

2.1.1. Soil N₂O emissions

An ensemble estimate of soil N₂O emissions from the NHL was derived from simulations by the six TBMs that participated in the NMIP: (1) DLEM (Tian et al., 2015), (2) LPJ-GUESS (Olin et al., 2015), (3) LPX-

Bern (Joos et al., 2020), (4) O-CN (Zaehle et al., 2011), (5) ORCHIDEE-CNP (Goll et al., 2017; Y Sun et al., 2021), and (6) VISIT (Inatomi et al., 2010). NMIP models are calibrated against a range of available datasets, including observations in the northern high latitudes (Xu et al., 2017, 2020; Xu-Ri et al., 2012; Zaehle et al., 2010). Each model performed a subset of seven simulations (S0-S6) to quantify N₂O emissions from both agricultural and natural soils, and to disentangle the effects of multiple environmental factors on N₂O emissions (Table S1). The differences between paired simulations, i.e., S1-S2, S2-S3, S3-S4, S4-S5, S5-S6, and S6-S0, were used to evaluate the simulated effects of manure N, mineral N fertilizer, atmospheric N deposition, land use and land cover change (LULCC), atmospheric CO₂ concentration, and climate, respectively. More information about the model simulation protocol and forcing data can refer to Tian et al. (2018). Among the six NMIP models, LPJ-GUESS and LPX-Bern have dedicated permafrost modules and consider freeze–thaw processes; O-CN lacks an explicit permafrost representation but describes freeze–thaw cycles; the other models have no explicit representation of the permafrost layer or freeze–thaw processes.

2.1.2. Fire-induced N₂O emissions and non-soil anthropogenic N₂O emissions

N₂O emissions from biomass burning were from the GFED4.1 s dataset. N₂O emissions from non-soil anthropogenic sources were obtained from EDGAR 6.0 (Crippa et al., 2019). EDGAR non-soil anthropogenic emissions were combined with GFED biomass burning emissions and with NMIP soil emissions to constitute BU estimates of total N₂O emissions, aiming to make comparison with TD estimates.

2.1.3. Top-down N₂O emission estimates

Three independent atmospheric inversion models were used: GEOS-Chem (Wells et al., 2018), INVICAT (Wilson et al., 2014) and MIROC4-ACTM (Patra et al., 2018; Patra et al., 2022). GEOS-Chem and INVICAT used the same prior estimates: soil emissions from the O-CN model, biomass burning emissions from GFEDv4.1 s, and non-soil anthropogenic emissions from EDGAR v4.2FT2010. The MIROC4-ACTM prior used natural soil emissions from the VISIT model, and all anthropogenic emissions from EDGAR 4.2. The MIROC4-ACTM prior included agricultural burning but did not explicitly include wildfire emissions. All models used the Bayesian inversion framework to find the optimal emissions that provide the best agreement to observed N₂O mixing ratios while being coupled to an atmospheric transport model.

2.2. Statistical methods

The path analysis model (PAM) was used to investigate how climatic factors affected NHL soil N₂O emissions. PAM can deal with complex relationships among multiple independent and dependent variables, and disentangle direct and indirect effects of the explanatory variables on the response variable (Alwin and Hauser, 1975; You and Pan, 2020). Here, we developed the conceptual model by specifying the relationships between soil N₂O emissions and two climate factors: temperature and precipitation. Specifically, our conceptual model assumes that precipitation and temperature not only directly affect soil N₂O emissions but also indirectly affect cumulative soil N₂O emissions through interactions between temperature and precipitation (Fig. S7). When conducting the PAM, we used the ensemble mean of NMIP estimations from S6 (climate only experiment) and temperature and precipitation data from CRU-NCEP. The effects of the explanatory variables on response variable were quantified using standardized path coefficients which describe the strength and sign of the relationship between two variables. We also conducted partial correlation analysis between soil N₂O emissions and temperature/precipitation to explore the linkage between N₂O emissions and single climatic driving factor while controlling the effects of other remaining factors. The temporal sensitivities of soil N₂O emissions to temperature and precipitation were fitted using a multiple regression model. The Mann–Kendall test was used to assess the

significance of trends in N₂O emissions. When calculating the ensemble means of terrestrial biosphere models and atmospheric inversion models, each model was weighed equally, we assume that each individual model simulation is of equal value since there is no prior knowledge of model performance in estimating N₂O emissions from the northern high latitudes. In this study, we use mean value ± one standard deviation to represent the best estimate and the uncertainty range.

3. Results

3.1. Spatiotemporal variations of soil N₂O emissions since the 1860 s

Multi-model ensemble estimates show that soil N₂O emissions from the NHL increased from 312 ± 125 Gg N/yr in 1861 to 605 ± 269 Gg N/yr in 2016 (Fig. 1a), with an average increase rate of 2.0 ± 1.0 Gg N/yr ($p < 0.01$). Soil N₂O emissions from non-permafrost regions dominated the temporal variations of total NHL emissions, which were relatively stable over the first five decades, then rapidly increased from the 1920 s to the 1980 s, and peaked in the 1980 s. In the late 1980 s and early 1990 s, northern soil N₂O emissions drastically decreased and fluctuated afterwards. Meanwhile, soil N₂O emissions from permafrost regions showed different temporal dynamics; they remained relatively stable before the 1980 s, and rapidly increased thereafter. In the 1860 s, the highest emission density occurred in Central Europe. During 1861–2016, soil N₂O emissions from most regions significantly increased. In the recent decade (2007–2016), Western Europe had the highest emission flux (Fig. 1b–d), and more than half of the soil N₂O emissions were from croplands (Fig. S2). During 1861–1980, the fastest increase in N₂O emissions occurred in Western and Central Europe where the average increase exceeded $2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ g N m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Fig. 1e). However, trends in soil N₂O emissions have largely changed since 1980, with emissions significantly decreasing in Eastern Europe and Russia but rapidly increasing in Siberia and Southern Canada (Fig. 1f).

3.2. Contributions of different driving factors to soil N₂O emissions during 1861–2016

Our results derived from model factorial simulations suggested that increasing atmospheric CO₂ concentrations reduced NHL soil N₂O emissions, while the other five factors stimulated N₂O emissions (Fig. 2a). Climate change played a dominant role in stimulating N₂O emissions before the 1930 s and N inputs made increasing contributions from the 1940 s to the 1980 s. From the 1860 s to the 1980 s, fertilizer application contributed 53 ± 22 % to the increase in emissions, followed by atmospheric N deposition (26 ± 12 %), manure N application (15 ± 9 %), climate change (12 ± 11 %), and land use change (5 ± 7 %). The effect of increased atmospheric CO₂ (−10 ± 10 %) almost offset that of climate change. Since the 1980 s, the role of anthropogenic N inputs in stimulating N₂O emissions weakened gradually; by contrast, drastic warming and wetting made climate change increasingly important (Fig. S3). Over the entire study period, climate change made the second largest contribution (37 ± 25 %) to the increase of NHL soil emissions after N fertilizer application (42 ± 20 %). Climate change had a larger relative contribution to the emission increase in permafrost regions (Fig. 2c) than in non-permafrost regions. During 1861–2016, climate change contributed 114 ± 58 % (partly offset by the negative CO₂ effect) to the emission increase in permafrost regions, which was stronger than in non-permafrost regions (28 ± 17 %) (Fig. 2d). All individual models agreed that climate change made a larger relative contribution to emission increases in permafrost regions than in non-permafrost regions, and that the effects of climate change have increased since the 1980 s (Fig. S4–6). In most northern regions, trends in soil N₂O emissions were dominated by climate change; fertilizer only dominated trends in Western Europe and some intensive agricultural lands over Eastern Europe, Russia, and south Canada, while atmospheric N deposition dominated trends in part of Central and Eastern Europe. Regions




Fig. 1. (a) Changes in soil N_2O emissions from the NHL as estimated by NMIP models, the shaded area indicates one standard deviation of all estimates. (b) and (c) show spatial pattern of mean annual soil N_2O emissions during the 1860s and 2007–2016, respectively. Trends in soil N_2O emissions during 1861–2016 (d), 1861–1980 (e), and 1980–2016 (f); grids with non-significant trends ($p > 0.05$) were excluded, and stippling indicates where a majority of models (at least 4 out of 6) agree on the sign of the trend.

dominated by other factors were relatively small (Fig. 2b).

Temperature and precipitation changes alter soil microclimate, nutrient availability and microbial ecology, thereby influencing N_2O emissions (Dalal and Allen, 2008). For the entire NHL, both temperature and precipitation significantly increased during 1901–2016, with rates of $0.14\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ per decade and 0.38 mm yr^{-1} , respectively (Fig. S3). According to multiple regression model results, the sensitivities of soil N_2O emissions to temperature and precipitation were $29 \pm 21\text{ Gg N }^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ and $0.4 \pm 0.7\text{ Gg N mm}^{-1}$ during 1901–2016, suggesting that warming and wetting increased soil N_2O emissions by $48 \pm 35\text{ Gg N/yr}$ and $15 \pm 26\text{ Gg N/yr}$, respectively. The path analysis model also suggested that warming contributed more to soil N_2O emission increases than wetting (Fig. S7). Both warming and wetting have accelerated since 1980 (Fig. S3, S8, S9), with average rates of $0.38\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ per decade and 0.57 mm yr^{-2} , respectively. At the same time, the sensitivities of soil N_2O emissions to temperature and precipitation increased. These two factors together led to the large climate effects in the recent four decades. Soil N_2O emissions were positively correlated with temperature in most regions (Fig. S10a), because warming enhanced biological N fixation and net N mineralization and further promoted nitrification and denitrification (Fig. S11). During the study period, most of the NHL experienced significant warming (Fig. S10c), indicating that warming universally stimulated N_2O emissions in this region. Unlike temperature, the correlation between soil N_2O emissions and precipitation varied spatially (Fig. S10b), which explained why precipitation had a smaller effect than temperature on the regional total emissions even though most regions experienced significant wetting (Fig. S10d).

3.3. Declining soil N_2O emissions since the 1980s

According to NMIP models, soil N_2O emissions from the NHL rapidly increased before the 1980s, however, declined thereafter. Although total BNF over the NHL increased since 1980 (Fig. S12), the ensemble mean of soil N_2O emissions from the NHL decreased at an average rate of -1.1 GgN yr^{-1} ($p < 0.05$) during 1980–2016 (Fig. 3a). The rapid decline in emissions during 1988–1996 was due to reduced fertilizer application (Fig. S13), after which period the negative effect of CO_2 fertilization was enhanced (Fig. S14). Decreases in fertilizer use and the enhanced CO_2 effect roughly cancelled increased in emissions due to climate change, resulting in a non-significant trend in emissions from non-permafrost regions after the 1990s. The most pronounced decline occurred in Eastern Europe and Russia (Fig. 1f), mainly caused by the sharp decrease in external nitrogen inputs due to the collapse of the Soviet Union (Fig. S14). Concurrently, soil emissions from Siberia and Southern Canada significantly increased, due to climate change and nitrogen enrichment, respectively (Fig. S14). Soil N_2O emissions fluctuated after 1998 because the positive climate effect was counteracted by combined effects of fertilizer application, CO_2 and land use change.

The dominant drivers of negative effects differed between permafrost and non-permafrost regions. In permafrost regions, elevated CO_2 concentration was the only factor suppressing soil N_2O emissions and counteracted more than half of the climate-induced emissions (Fig. 3b). By contrast, reduced N fertilizer application, elevated CO_2 concentration and land use change jointly reduced emissions from non-permafrost regions (Fig. 3c). For the entire NHL, the atmospheric CO_2 -induced decline in soil N_2O emissions surpassed the effect of reduced fertilizer




Fig. 2. (a) Decadal variations in the contributions of different driving factors. (b) Distribution of dominant driving factors of soil N₂O emissions during 1861–2016; grids with non-significant trends were excluded. Contributions of different driving factors to soil N₂O emissions from permafrost regions (c) and non-permafrost regions (d).




Fig. 3. Contributions of different driving factors in the entire NHL (a), permafrost regions (b), and non-permafrost regions (c) during 1980–2016.

application over the recent decade. Elevated atmospheric CO₂ significantly suppressed N₂O emissions in most northern regions (Fig. S14). Since the 1980 s, increased atmospheric CO₂ concentrations stimulated terrestrial gross primary production (Fig. S15a, c), thus enhancing plant nitrogen uptake (Fig. S15b, d) and reducing the availability of soil inorganic nitrogen, which finally suppressed N₂O emissions. The largest

stimulation effect of CO₂ on vegetation growth and nitrogen uptake occurred in the boreal forests, where the CO₂-induced suppression of N₂O emissions was the most pronounced. Enhanced vegetation growth in the NHL has been reported in previous studies (Berner et al., 2020; Myers-Smith et al., 2020; Virkkala et al., 2021). Reduced N₂O emissions due to enhanced plant growth and nitrogen uptake is also consistent

with field observations in the NHL (Gong and Wu, 2021; Groffman et al., 2011; Marushchak et al., 2011; Stewart et al., 2012).

3.4. Comparison with TD estimates

Using the current N₂O observation network, TD models estimate total N₂O emissions with its spatial distribution across the land but cannot well quantify the contributions of different sources. With the aim of comparing BU estimates with TD estimates, we added N₂O emissions from soil, biomass burning and non-soil anthropogenic sources (Fig. S16) together to constitute BU estimates of total N₂O emissions. According to the resulting BU estimates, soil was the largest source of N₂O emissions in the NHL (mean value: 572 Gg N yr⁻¹ during 1998–2014), followed by non-soil anthropogenic sources (192 Gg N yr⁻¹) and biomass burning (91 Gg N yr⁻¹). Both BU and TD approaches indicated similar spatial emission patterns (Fig. 4), but the ensemble mean of total BU estimate (855 ± 267 Gg N yr⁻¹) was generally higher than the TD estimate (668 ± 134 Gg N yr⁻¹) for the overlapping 1998–2014 period. Both TD and BU approaches revealed that the total N₂O emissions (include emissions from soils, biomass and other anthropogenic sources) had no significant trend during 1998–2014 ($p > 0.05$). Subtracting BU estimates of N₂O emitted by biomass burning and non-soil anthropogenic sources from the TD estimates, the remaining N₂O exhibited a decreasing trend during 1998–2014 (from -8.6 to -2.4 Gg N yr⁻², mean -5.7 Gg N yr⁻²), implying that the TD models also suggest a decreasing trend in NHL soil N₂O emissions.

3.5. Comparison with empirical estimates

Based on site-level observation data, Voigt et al. (2020) estimated soil N₂O emissions from permafrost regions using a simple extrapolation method, and proposed that peatlands had the highest N₂O emissions among natural permafrost ecosystems. However, these extrapolation-based estimates have large uncertainties, with the implied annual soil N₂O emissions from the NHL ranging from 140 to 1030 Gg N⁻¹. In particular, estimates based on mean fluxes are an order of magnitude larger than those based on median fluxes because of several N₂O emission hot spots. Combining observed peatland annual fluxes and peatland distribution maps, Hugelius et al. (2020) estimated a much smaller northern peatland source of 22 ± 5 Gg N·y⁻¹, with only half of that peatland area being permafrost. This suggests a smaller source than the estimates of Voigt et al. (2020). NMIP estimates of soil N₂O emissions from the permafrost regions are close to the lower-limit of estimates by Voigt et al. (2020), and have smaller uncertainty range (0.11–0.26 Tg

N⁻¹, mean 0.17 Tg N⁻¹), which partly reflect the usage of unified model input data.

4. Discussion

Our study provides a first estimate of simulated soil N₂O emissions from the NHL, although large uncertainties remain in both TD and BU approaches (Fig. S17, S18). Our results are based on state-of-the-art terrestrial biosphere models; however, these estimates are subject to uncertainties arising from model parameterization, limited field observations, and missing or uncertain representation of important processes such as seasonal freeze–thaw cycles and permafrost thaw (Risk et al., 2013), BNF (Meyerholt et al., 2020) and reactive N flows through ecosystems (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2013), and critical information such as timing and frequency of fertilizer application (Nishina et al., 2017). Several NMIP models do not include freeze–thaw processes which contribute to a large proportion of annual N₂O emissions in northern croplands (Wagner-Riddle et al., 2017), the absence of freeze–thaw processes likely renders these models incapable of capturing the pulses of N₂O emissions associated with early spring thaw (Del Grosso et al., 2022), leading to an underestimation of total soil N₂O emissions. The inclusion of freezing and thawing would enable better representation of “hot moments” of soil N₂O emissions in northern agricultural ecosystems (Voigt et al., 2020; Wagner-Riddle et al., 2017). A recent study suggests that high-Arctic N₂O emissions are threefold higher when considering permafrost nitrogen release from permafrost thaw (Lacroix et al., 2022). NMIP models with permafrost/freezing–thaw cycles show higher sensitivity to climate change. During the study period, these models attribute an increase of 112 ± 58 Gg N/yr in soil N₂O emissions to climate change, accounting for 71 ± 11 % of the total increase (Fig. S4). In contrast, models without permafrost layer and freeze–thaw cycles estimate a smaller increase of 103 ± 51 Gg N/yr (25 ± 8 % of total increase). These findings suggest that missing permafrost layers and freeze–thaw dynamics may lead to an underestimation of the reaction of soil N₂O emissions to climate change, underscoring the importance of including the permafrost layer and freeze–thaw cycles in terrestrial biosphere models. Current process-based TBMs also have insufficient representation of the upland thermokarst formation (Yang et al., 2018) and fine-grained landscape structure of arctic ecosystems (e.g., landscape elements that are ultra-emitters of N₂O such as non-vegetated organic soil). Integrating sub-grid scale information and processes into models may provide a solution for fine-grained physical-hydrological modelling. As revealed by Voigt et al. (2020), peatlands have the highest N₂O emission rate in permafrost regions. It is thus




Fig. 4. (a) Comparison between TD and BU estimates of total N₂O emissions, the lines represent the ensemble means and the shaded areas indicate one standard deviation of model estimates. Spatial pattern of total N₂O emissions estimated by BU (b) and TD (c) approaches.

important for process-based TBMs to explicitly consider peatland thermal, hydrological, and biogeochemical processes.

Soil N₂O emissions from non-permafrost regions are largely controlled by fertilizer and manure applications. According to NMIP models, the emission factors of fertilizer and manure in non-permafrost regions during 1980–2016 were $1.4 \pm 0.7\%$ and $1.7 \pm 0.5\%$, respectively. Both factors were positively correlated with temperature and precipitation, suggesting positive interactions between nitrogen additions and climate change (Tian et al., 2020). NMIP models show varying effects of land use and land cover change on soil N₂O emissions, ranging from -57 to 45 Gg N/yr during 2007–2016 (Fig. S4), while the ensemble mean remained close to zero (1 Gg N/yr, Fig. 2a). These uncertainties arise from differences in how each model represents land use distribution and land conversion processes (see Section S1 of the supplementary material). Although all NMIP models used the same cropland area and nitrogen fertilizer input data, variations in crop cultivation, management practices, the classification, distribution, and parameterization of natural vegetation, nitrogen pool allocation after vegetation conversion contributed to the model divergence. Despite these uncertainties in the land use effect (-57 to 45 Gg N/yr during 2007–2016), our conclusion that nitrogen fertilizer application (120 ± 60 Gg N/yr during 2007–2016) and climate change (108 ± 55 Gg N/yr during 2007–2016) were the dominant and counteracting drivers of NHL soil N₂O emissions remains robust. Although the NMIP models can simulate transitions between different biomes, such as from boreal forests to peatlands and wetlands, they do not account for transitions from terrestrial ecosystems to aquatic ecosystems, including streams, rivers and lakes. This restricts the models' ability to capture changes in N₂O emissions due to the potential expanding of wet environments under climate change. As permafrost thaw and changing hydrology create wetter conditions, N₂O emission hotspots may shift to wet environments. Using estimates from DLEM-TAC (Yao et al., 2020; Tian et al., 2023), which accounts for both small streams and large rivers, we calculated that N₂O emissions from rivers and streams in the NHL were 29 ± 2 Gg N yr⁻¹ during 2007–2016, equivalent to about 5 % of soil N₂O emissions. These findings suggest that aquatic systems, while currently a smaller contributor, may play a larger role in the regional N₂O budget as warming continues. Therefore, integrating terrestrial and aquatic N₂O dynamics into future model frameworks will be crucial for accurately assessing the evolving N₂O budget in the NHL.

TD estimates have a stronger dependence on the prior fluxes in NHL where atmospheric N₂O measurements are sparse (Nevison et al., 2018; Thompson et al., 2014; Rona L. Thompson et al., 2019). Differing prior N₂O fluxes between the inversions (see methods) also lead to somewhat varying inversion estimates. Using the ensemble mean NMIP soil emission estimates as prior for the TD inversions may improve model agreement. The total prior ocean flux also has important impacts on the magnitude of the terrestrial flux. However, there have been few observational constraints on the ocean source until recently (Patra et al., 2022). The sparseness of atmospheric observations over both land and ocean north of 50°N and systematic model errors in stratosphere-troposphere exchange increase the uncertainty in TD estimates. Building denser regional atmospheric N₂O concentration monitoring networks and launching (regular) aircraft campaigns in the NHL will help improve model reliability by providing additional constraints for atmospheric inversion models, reducing biases, improving parameterization, and refining uncertainty estimates (Thompson et al., 2019; Bisht et al., 2021; Stell et al., 2022).

NMIP model results suggest that the NHL contributed approximately 8 % of the increase in global soil N₂O emissions during 1861–2016 (Tian et al., 2019). By 2016, NHL soil N₂O emissions had increased by 293 ± 158 Gg N/yr compared to 1861, a value 63 % higher than the combined N₂O emissions from energy, transportation, and industry in 2016, as estimated by EDGAR V6.0. Warming and wetting stimulated NHL soil N₂O emissions, while elevated CO₂ concentrations suppressed emissions (through increased plant growth and larger uptake of soil N), findings

that are in line with field observations (Cui et al., 2018; Dijkstra et al., 2012; Gong and Wu, 2021; Marushchak et al., 2011; Voigt et al., 2017a). From 1980 to 2016 when warming was strongest, the NHL contributed 14 % of global climate effect enhancing soil N₂O emissions. Our analysis indicates that the ten warmest years in the NHL during 1901–2016 all occurred after 1990, with nine of these years occurring after 2003. This trend reflects the increasing frequency of extreme warm years in recent decades. During the ten warmest years, climate change increased N₂O emissions by an average of 98 Gg N yr⁻¹, with the highest increase of 139 Gg N yr⁻¹ in 2016. These findings suggest that the rising frequency of extreme warming events, particularly under high-emission scenarios, will likely stimulate substantial increases in soil N₂O emissions. Under the SSP370 and SSP585 scenarios, CMIP6 climate models predict that the mean temperature of the NHL will increase by 6.2 (4.1 – 9.8) °C and 7.8 (5.5 – 12.1) °C, respectively, during 2015–2100; the mean precipitation will increase by 96 (65 – 177) mm yr⁻¹ and 129 (51 – 206) mm yr⁻¹, respectively (Fig. S19). If the sensitivities of soil N₂O emissions to temperature and precipitation in the future are consistent with historical values, future climate change alone will substantially increase NHL soil N₂O emissions. However, additional processes such as abrupt permafrost collapse (thermokarst) and wildfires may further amplify N₂O emissions. Permafrost collapse exposes previously frozen organic matter, increasing nitrogen availability and enhancing nitrification and denitrification, which results in higher N₂O emissions (Abbott et al., 2015; Takakai et al., 2008). Studies suggest that thermokarst landscape can become significant sources of N₂O (Hashemi et al., 2024; Schulze et al., 2023). Wildfires can increase inorganic nitrogen availability, thus stimulate N₂O emissions (Guo et al., 2024; Köster et al., 2017). Moreover, post-fire conditions can also accelerate permafrost thaw, which alters soil thermal and moisture regimes, further enhancing N₂O emissions. Given the increasing frequency and intensity of thermokarst and wildfires under future scenarios, their combined effects may significantly contribute to NHL N₂O emissions. However, atmospheric CO₂ concentrations also rapidly increase under SSP370 and SSP585 scenarios (Fig. S20), potentially offsetting a significant fraction of the positive climate effect if arctic vegetation continues to take up more carbon and nitrogen with elevated CO₂. Uncertainties arise regarding the degree of recycling of that extra nitrogen uptake in soils by mineralization. The magnitude of the future CO₂ effect is also highly uncertain (Walker et al., 2021), and how it will affect future northern N₂O emissions requires further study. Reconstructions from ice cores show that global N₂O emissions increased over the last deglaciation when the climate warmed, CO₂ increased, and land carbon inventories grew in size, providing evidence for a net positive relationship between past warming, CO₂, land carbon, stocks, and N₂O emissions at the global scale (Fischer et al., 2019; Joos et al., 2020). Future N₂O emission projections in the NHL are influenced by the interplay of multiple factors, including rising temperatures, increasing frequency of extreme climate events, permafrost thaw, land-cover changes, and wildfires. To reduce uncertainties and improve confidence in future emission projections, it is essential to integrate more extensive field observations, refine model parameterizations, and enhance the representation of critical processes including abrupt permafrost thaw, wildfires, and transitions from dry soils to wet environments. Since the NMIP project did not design simulation experiments to separate the effects of temperature and precipitation on soil N₂O emissions, we used statistical methods to explore these relationships. The statistical methods indicate that warming in the recent four decades largely stimulated soil NO₂ emissions. Recent manipulation experiments also suggest that warming can significantly increase soil N₂O emissions from the NHL (Cui et al., 2018; Voigt et al., 2017b; Wang et al., 2017). However, the collinearity between temperature and precipitation variations may undermine the reliability of the inferred sensitivities of soil N₂O emissions to temperature and precipitation. Future model intercomparison projects need to design simulations to disentangle the effects of temperature and precipitation. While NMIP models provided valuable insights into nitrogen

cycle and N₂O emissions in terrestrial ecosystems, they did not provide outputs related to permafrost shrinkage and thaw, because NMIP project primarily focused on terrestrial nitrogen fluxes and did not request permafrost-related variables as model outputs. Since permafrost thaw can significantly alter soil conditions, moisture regimes, and microbial activities- all of which influence N₂O emissions, we propose that future NMIP simulations include outputs for permafrost extent, thaw depth, and associated land-cover transitions. Incorporating these variables would enable a more comprehensive understanding of how permafrost dynamics affect N₂O emissions.

5. Conclusion

This study provides a comprehensive assessment of soil N₂O emissions across the NHL from 1861 to 2016, emphasizing the important role of these regions in global N₂O dynamics. Using an ensemble of process-based terrestrial biosphere models and atmospheric inversion frameworks, we quantified historical trends and identified the key drivers of N₂O emissions. The results show that soil N₂O emissions from the NHL have doubled since 1861, and climate change played a role nearly equivalent to fertilizer use in driving the increase in NHL soil N₂O emissions, highlighting the profound influence of warming and hydrological changes on regional nitrogen cycling, particularly in the context of Arctic amplification. Our study identified regional differences in emission trends: non-permafrost regions experienced a peak in the 1980s, followed by a decline linked to reduced nitrogen inputs and enhanced plant nitrogen uptake due to CO₂ fertilization; in contrast, emissions from permafrost regions have continued to rise due to rapid warming. These divergent trends illustrate the growing importance of permafrost regions in the global N₂O budget. More field experiments and long-term observational networks are crucial for elucidating the key mechanisms and processes controlling soil N₂O emissions in the NHL and will lay a robust foundation for improving models and reducing uncertainties.

CRedit authorship contribution statement

Naiqing Pan: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Methodology, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Hanqin Tian:** Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization. **Hao Shi:** Writing – review & editing. **Shufen Pan:** Writing – review & editing. **Josep G. Canadell:** Writing – review & editing. **Jinfeng Chang:** Writing – review & editing. **Philippe Ciais:** Writing – review & editing. **Eric A. Davidson:** Writing – review & editing. **Gustaf Hugelius:** Writing – review & editing. **Akihiko Ito:** Writing – review & editing. **Robert B. Jackson:** Writing – review & editing. **Fortunat Joos:** Writing – review & editing. **Sebastian Lienert:** Writing – review & editing. **Dylan B. Millet:** Writing – review & editing. **Stefan Olin:** Writing – review & editing. **Prabir K. Patra:** Writing – review & editing. **Rona L. Thompson:** Writing – review & editing. **Nicolas Vuichard:** Writing – review & editing. **Kelley C. Wells:** Writing – review & editing. **Chris Wilson:** Writing – review & editing. **Yongfa You:** Writing – review & editing. **Sönke Zaehle:** Writing – review & editing.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

This study was resulted from NMIP (global N/N₂O Model Inter-comparison Project) co-sponsored by Global Carbon Project and International Nitrogen Initiative. This work contributes to the REgional Carbon Cycle Assessment and Processes-2 of the Global Carbon Project. H.T., N. Pan, S. Pan acknowledge funding support from NSF (grant nos.

1903722, 1922687); PKP is partly funded by the Arctic Challenge for Sustainability phase II (ArCS-II; JPMXD1420318865) Projects of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT); KCW and DBM acknowledge support from NASA (Grant #NNX17AK18G) and NOAA (Grant #NA13OAR4310086).

Data availability statement.

EDGAR 6.0 dataset is available at https://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/dataset_ghg60. GFED4.1 s dataset is available at <https://www.geo.vu.nl/~gwerf/GFED/GFED4/>. Soil N₂O emissions, terrestrial GPP and plant nitrogen uptake estimated by NMIP models and top-down N₂O emission are available at <https://datadryad.org/stash/share/isclqpuRaZ5GJLLok3LCvjBrQ20ybXX7M3dQzuVWFck>.

Author contributions

H.T. initiated and designed this research, N.P. conducted data analysis and synthesis, N.P. and H.T. drafted the manuscript. All co-authors contributed to the writing and development of the manuscript.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2025.109297>.

Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

References

- Abbott, B.W., Jones, J.B., Godsey, S.E., Larouche, J.R., Bowden, W.B., 2015. Permafrost collapse alters soil carbon stocks, respiration, CH₄, and N₂O in upland tundra. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 21 (12), 4570–4587.
- Alwin, D.F., Hauser, R.M., 1975. The decomposition of effects in path analysis. *American sociological review* 37–47.
- Berner, L.T., Massey, R., Jantz, P., Forbes, B.C., Macias-Fauria, M., Myers-Smith, I., Kumpula, T., Gauthier, G., Andreu-Hayles, L., Gaglioti, B.V., 2020. Summer warming explains widespread but not uniform greening in the Arctic tundra biome. *Nat. Commun.* 11 (1), 1–12.
- Bisht, J.S.H., Machida, T., Chandra, N., Tsuboi, K., Patra, P.K., Umezawa, T., Niwa, Y., Sawa, Y., Morimoto, S., Nakazawa, T., 2021. Seasonal Variations of SF₆, CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O in the UT/LS Region due to Emissions, Transport, and Chemistry. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 126 (4), e2020JD033541.
- Brown, J., O. J. Ferraris Jr, J. A. Heginbottom, and E. S. Melnikov (1997), Circum-arctic map of permafrost and ground ice conditions.
- Butterbach-Bahl, K., Baggs, L., Dannenmann, M., Kiese, R., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., 2013. Nitrous oxide emissions from soils: How well do we understand the processes and their controls?, *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences* 368, 20130122.
- Canadell, J. G., P. M. S. Monteiro, M. H. Costa, L. C. Da Cunha, P. M. Cox, V. Alexey, S. Henson, M. Ishii, S. Jaccard, and C. Koven (2021), Global carbon and other biogeochemical cycles and feedbacks, edited.
- Christensen, J.H., Kanikicharla, K.K., Aldrian, E., An, S.I., Cavalcanti, I.F.A., de Castro, M., Dong, W., Goswami, P., Hall, A., Kanyanga, J.K., 2013. In: *Climate Phenomena and Their Relevance for Future Regional Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press, pp. 1217–1308.
- Crippa, M., Oreggioni, G., Guizzardi, D., Muntean, M., Schaaf, E., Lo Vullo, E., Solazzo, E., Monforti-Ferrario, F., Olivier, J.G.J., Vignati, E., 2019. Fossil CO₂ and GHG emissions of all world countries. Publication Office of the European Union, Luxembourg.
- Cui, Q., Song, C., Wang, X., Shi, F., Yu, X., Tan, W., 2018. Effects of warming on N₂O fluxes in a boreal peatland of Permafrost region. Northeast China, *Science of the Total Environment* 616–617, 427–434.
- Dalal, R.C., Allen, D.E., 2008. Greenhouse gas fluxes from natural ecosystems. *Aust. J. Bot.* 56 (5), 369–407.
- Del Grosso, S.J., Ogle, S.M., Nevison, C., Gurgun, R., Parton, W.J., Wagner-Riddle, C., Williams, S., 2022. A gap in nitrous oxide emission reporting complicates long-term climate mitigation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 119 (31), e2200354119.
- Dijkstra, F.A., Prior, S.A., Runion, G.B., Torbert, H.A., Tian, H., Lu, C., Venterea, R.T., 2012. Effects of elevated carbon dioxide and increased temperature on methane and nitrous oxide fluxes: evidence from field experiments. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 10 (10), 520–527.
- Etminan, M., Myhre, G., Highwood, E.J., Shine, K.P., 2016. Radiative forcing of carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous oxide: A significant revision of the methane radiative forcing. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 43 (24), 12–614.

- Fischer, H., et al., 2019. N₂O changes from the Last Glacial Maximum to the preindustrial – Part 1: Quantitative reconstruction of terrestrial and marine emissions using N₂O stable isotopes in ice cores. *Biogeosciences* 16 (20), 3997–4021.
- Goll, D.S., et al., 2017. A representation of the phosphorus cycle for ORCHIDEE (revision 4520). *Geosci. Model Dev.* 10 (10), 3745–3770.
- Gong, Y., Wu, J., 2021. Vegetation composition modulates the interaction of climate warming and elevated nitrogen deposition on nitrous oxide flux in a boreal peatland. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 27 (21), 5588–5598.
- Guo, J., Feng, H., Peng, C., Du, J., Wang, W., Kneeshaw, D., ... & Chen, A. (2024). Fire effects on soil CH₄ and N₂O fluxes across terrestrial ecosystems. *Science of The Total Environment*, 948, 174708. Harden, J. W., et al. (2012). Field information links permafrost carbon to physical vulnerabilities of thawing. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39(15).
- Hashemi, J., Lipsdon, D.A., Arndt, K.A., Davidson, S.J., Kalhori, A., Lunneberg, K., Zona, D., 2024. Thermokarst landscape exhibits large nitrous oxide emissions in Alaska's coastal polygonal tundra. *Commun. Earth Environ.* 5 (1), 473.
- Hugelius, G., Loisel, J., Chadburn, S., Jackson, R.B., Jones, M., MacDonald, G., Marushchak, M., Olefeldt, D., Packalen, M., Siewert, M.B., 2020. Large stocks of peatland carbon and nitrogen are vulnerable to permafrost thaw. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 117 (34), 20438–20446.
- Inatomi, M., Ito, A., Ishijima, K., Murayama, S., 2010. Greenhouse gas budget of a cool-temperate deciduous broad-leaved forest in Japan estimated using a process-based model. *Ecosystems* 13 (3), 472–483.
- Joos, F., Spahni, R., Stocker, B.D., Lienert, S., Müller, J., Fischer, H., Schmitt, J., Prentice, I.C., Otto-Bliesner, B., Liu, Z., 2020. N₂O changes from the Last Glacial Maximum to the preindustrial – Part 2: terrestrial N₂O emissions and carbon–nitrogen cycle interactions. *Biogeosciences* 17 (13), 3511–3543.
- Kammann, C., Müller, C., Grünhage, L., Jäger, H.-J., 2008. Elevated CO₂ stimulates N₂O emissions in permanent grassland. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 40 (9), 2194–2205.
- Köster, E., Köster, K., Berninger, F., Aaltonen, H., Zhou, X., Pumpanen, J., 2017. Carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide fluxes from a fire chronosequence in subarctic boreal forests of Canada. *Sci. Total Environ.* 601, 895–905.
- Lacroix, F., Zaehle, S., Caldararu, S., Schaller, J., Stimmler, P., Holl, D., Gockede, M., 2022. Mismatch of N release from the permafrost and vegetative uptake opens pathways of increasing nitrous oxide emissions in the high Arctic. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 28 (20), 5973–5990.
- Liu, S., Ji, C., Wang, C., Chen, J., Jin, Y., Zou, Z., Li, S., Niu, S., Zou, J., 2018. Climatic role of terrestrial ecosystem under elevated CO₂: a bottom-up greenhouse gases budget. *Ecol. Lett.* 21 (7), 1108–1118.
- Maljanen, M., Hytönen, J., Mäkiranta, P., Alm, J., Minkkinen, K., Laine, J., Martikainen, P.J., 2007. Greenhouse gas emissions from cultivated and abandoned organic croplands in Finland. *Boreal Environmental Research* 12, 133–140.
- Marushchak, M.E., Pitkämäki, A., Kopenon, H., Biasi, C., Seppälä, M., Martikainen, P. J., 2011. Hot spots for nitrous oxide emissions found in different types of permafrost peatlands. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 17 (8), 2601–2614.
- Marushchak, M.E., Kerttula, J., Diáková, K., Faguet, A., Gil, J., Grosse, G., Knoblauch, C., Lashchinskiy, N., Martikainen, P.J., Morgenstern, A., 2021. Thawing Yedoma permafrost is a neglected nitrous oxide source. *Nat. Commun.* 12 (1), 1–10.
- Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S. L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, and M. I. Gomis (2021), *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, IPCC: Geneva, Switzerland.*
- Meyerholt, J., Sickel, K., Zaehle, S., 2020. Ensemble projections elucidate effects of uncertainty in terrestrial nitrogen limitation on future carbon uptake. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 26 (7), 3978–3996.
- Myers-Smith, I.H., Kerby, J.T., Phoenix, G.K., Bjerke, J.W., Epstein, H.E., Assmann, J.J., John, C., Andreu-Hayles, L., Angers-Blondin, S., Beck, P.S., 2020. Complexity revealed in the greening of the Arctic. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 10 (2), 106–117.
- Nevison, C., Andrews, A., Thoning, K., Dlugokencky, E., Sweeney, C., Miller, S., Saikawa, E., Benmergui, J., Fischer, M., Mountain, M., 2018. Nitrous oxide emissions estimated with the CarbonTracker-Lagrange North American regional inversion framework. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 32 (3), 463–485.
- Nishina, K., Ito, A., Hanasaki, N., Hayashi, S., 2017. Reconstruction of spatially detailed global map of NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ application in synthetic nitrogen fertilizer. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* 9 (1), 149–162.
- Olin, S., Lindeskog, M., Pugh, T.A.M., Schurgers, G., Wårlind, D., Mishurov, M., Zaehle, S., Stocker, B.D., Smith, B., Arneeth, A., 2015. Soil carbon management in large-scale Earth system modelling: implications for crop yields and nitrogen leaching. *Earth Syst. Dyn.* 6 (2), 745–768.
- Patra, P. K., E. J. Dlugokencky, J. W. Elkins, G. S. Dutton, Y. Tohjima, M. Sasakawa, A. Ito, R. F. Weiss, M. Manizza, and P. B. Krummel (2022), *Forward and inverse modelling of atmospheric nitrous oxide using MIROC4-atmospheric chemistry-transport model, Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan. Ser. II.*
- Patra, P.K., Takigawa, M., Watanabe, S., Chandra, N., Ishijima, K., Yamashita, Y., 2018. Improved chemical tracer simulation by MIROC4. 0-based atmospheric chemistry-transport model (MIROC4-ACTM). *Sola* 14, 91–96.
- Pithan, F., Mauritsen, T., 2014. Arctic amplification dominated by temperature feedbacks in contemporary climate models. *Nat. Geosci.* 7 (3), 181–184.
- Rantanen, M., Karpechko, A.Y., Lipponen, A., Nordling, K., Hyvärinen, O., Ruosteenoja, K., Vihma, T., Laaksonen, A., 2022. The Arctic has warmed nearly four times faster than the globe since 1979. *Commun. Earth Environ.* 3 (1), 168.
- Ravishankara, A.R., Daniel, J.S., Portmann, R.W., 2009. Nitrous oxide (N₂O): the dominant ozone-depleting substance emitted in the. *Science* 326 (5949), 123–125.
- Rees, R.M., Augustin, J., Alberti, G., Ball, B.C., Boeckx, P., Cantarel, A., Castaldi, S., Chirinda, N., Chojnicki, B., Giebels, M., 2013. Nitrous oxide emissions from European agriculture—an analysis of variability and drivers of emissions from field experiments. *Biogeosciences* 10 (4), 2671–2682.
- Repo, M.E., Susiluoto, S., Lind, S.E., Jokinen, S., Elsakov, V., Biasi, C., Virtanen, T., Martikainen, P.J., 2009. Large N₂O emissions from cryoturbated peat soil in tundra. *Nat. Geosci.* 2 (3), 189–192.
- Risk, N., Snider, D., Wagner-Riddle, C., 2013. Mechanisms leading to enhanced soil nitrous oxide fluxes induced by freeze–thaw cycles. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 93 (4), 401–414.
- Schulze, C., Sonntag, O., Voigt, C., Thompson, L., van Delden, L., Heffernan, L., Olefeldt, D., 2023. Nitrous oxide fluxes in permafrost peatlands remain negligible after wildfire and thermokarst disturbance. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeophys.* 128 (4), e2022JG007322.
- Stell, A.C., Bertolacci, M., Zammit-Mangion, A., Rigby, M., Fraser, P.J., Harth, C.M., Ganesan, A.L., 2022. Modelling the growth of atmospheric nitrous oxide using a global hierarchical inversion. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 22 (19), 12945–12960.
- Stewart, K.J., Brummell, M.E., Farrell, R.E., Siciliano, S.D., 2012. N₂O flux from plant-soil systems in polar deserts switch between sources and sinks under different light conditions. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 48, 69–77.
- Sun, Y., D. S. Goll, J. Chang, P. Ciais, B. Guenet, J. Helfenstein, Y. Huang, R. Lauerwald, F. Maignan, and V. Naipal (2021), *Global evaluation of the nutrient-enabled version of the land surface model ORCHIDEE-CNP v1. 2 (r5986), Geoscientific Model Development, 14(4), 1987-2010.*
- Sun, X., Han, X., Ping, F., Zhang, L., Zhang, K., Chen, M., Wu, W., 2018. Effect of rice-straw biochar on nitrous oxide emissions from paddy soils under elevated CO₂ and temperature. *Sci. Total Environ.* 628, 1009–1016.
- Takakai, F., Desyatkin, A.R., Lopez, C.L., Fedorov, A.N., Desyatkin, R.V., Hatano, R., 2008. CH₄ and N₂O emissions from a forest-alas ecosystem in the permafrost taiga forest region, eastern Siberia. *Russia. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences* 113, G2.
- Thompson, R.L., Ishijima, K., Saikawa, E., Corazza, M., Karstens, U., Patra, P.K., Bergamaschi, P., Chevallier, F., Dlugokencky, E., Prinn, R.G., 2014. TransCom N₂O model inter-comparison—Part 2: Atmospheric inversion estimates of N₂O emissions. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 14 (12), 6177–6194.
- Thompson, R.L., Lassaletta, L., Patra, P.K., Wilson, C., Wells, K.C., Gressent, A., Koffi, E. N., Chipperfield, M.P., Winiwarter, W., Davidson, E.A., 2019. Acceleration of global N₂O emissions seen from two decades of atmospheric inversion. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 9 (12), 993–998.
- Tian, H., et al., 2018. The Global N₂O Model Intercomparison Project. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* 99 (6), 1231–1251.
- Tian, H., Chen, G., Lu, C., Xu, X., Hayes, D.J., Ren, W., Pan, S., Huntzinger, D.N., Wofsy, S.C., 2015. North American terrestrial CO₂ uptake largely offset by CH₄ and N₂O emissions: toward a full accounting of the greenhouse gas budget. *Clim. Change* 129 (3–4), 413–426.
- Tian, H., Yang, J., Xu, R., Lu, C., Canadell, J.G., Davidson, E.A., Jackson, R.B., Arneeth, A., Chang, J., Ciais, P., 2019. Global soil nitrous oxide emissions since the preindustrial era estimated by an ensemble of terrestrial biosphere models: Magnitude, attribution, and uncertainty. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 25 (2), 640–659.
- Tian, H., Xu, R., Canadell, J.G., Thompson, R.L., Winiwarter, W., Suntharalingam, P., Davidson, E.A., Ciais, P., Jackson, R.B., Janssens-Maenhout, G., 2020. A comprehensive quantification of global nitrous oxide sources and sinks. *Nature* 586 (7828), 248–256.
- Tian, H., Yao, Y., Li, Y., Shi, H., Pan, S., Najjar, R.G., Leung, L.R., 2023. Increased terrestrial carbon export and CO₂ evasion from global inland waters since the preindustrial era. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 37 (10), e2023GB007776.
- Ussyskin-Tonne, A., Hadar, Y., Yermiyahu, U., Minz, D., 2020. Elevated CO₂ has a significant impact on denitrifying bacterial community in wheat roots. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 142, 107697.
- Virkkala, A.M., Aalto, J., Rogers, B.M., Tagesson, T., Treat, C.C., Natali, S.M., Watts, J.D., Potter, S., Lehtonen, A., Mauritz, M., 2021. Statistical upscaling of ecosystem CO₂ fluxes across the terrestrial tundra and boreal domain: Regional patterns and uncertainties. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 27 (17), 4040–4059.
- Voigt, C., Lamprecht, R.E., Marushchak, M.E., Lind, S.E., Novakovskiy, A., Aurela, M., Martikainen, P.J., Biasi, C., 2017a. Warming of subarctic tundra increases emissions of all three important greenhouse gases—carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous oxide. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 23 (8), 3121–3138.
- Voigt, C., Marushchak, M.E., Lamprecht, R.E., Jackowicz-Korczyński, M., Lindgren, A., Mastezanov, M., Granlund, L., Christensen, T.R., Tahvanainen, T., Martikainen, P.J., 2017b. Increased nitrous oxide emissions from Arctic peatlands after permafrost thaw. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 114 (24), 6238–6243.
- Voigt, C., Marushchak, M.E., Abbott, B.W., Biasi, C., Elberling, B., Siciliano, S.D., Sonntag, O., Stewart, K.J., Yang, Y., Martikainen, P.J., 2020. Nitrous oxide emissions from permafrost-affected soils. *Nat. Rev. Earth Environ.* 1 (8), 420–434.
- Wagner-Riddle, C., Congreves, K.A., Abalos, D., Berg, A.A., Brown, S.E., Ambadan, J.T., Gao, X., Tenuta, M., 2017. Globally important nitrous oxide emissions from croplands induced by freeze–thaw cycles. *Nat. Geosci.* 10 (4), 279–283.
- Walker, A.P., De Kauwe, M.G., Bastos, A., Belmecheri, S., Georgiou, K., Keeling, R.F., McMahon, S.M., Medlyn, B.E., Moore, D.J.P., Norby, R.J., 2021. Integrating the evidence for a terrestrial carbon sink caused by increasing atmospheric CO₂. *New Phytol.* 229 (5), 2413–2445.
- Wang, X., Siciliano, S., Helgason, B., Bedard-Haughn, A., 2017. Responses of a mountain peatland to increasing temperature: A microcosm study of greenhouse gas emissions and microbial community dynamics. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 110, 22–33.
- Watts, J.D., Kimball, J.S., Jones, L.A., Schroeder, R., McDonald, K.C., 2012. Satellite Microwave remote sensing of contrasting surface water inundation changes within the Arctic–Boreal Region. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 127, 223–236.

- Wells, K.C., et al., 2018. Top-down constraints on global N₂O emissions at optimal resolution: application of a new dimension reduction technique. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 18 (2), 735–756.
- Wilson, C., Chipperfield, M.P., Gloor, M., Chevallier, F., 2014. Development of a variational flux inversion system (INVICAT v1. 0) using the TOMCAT chemical transport model. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 7, 2485–2500.
- Xu, R., Tian, H., Lu, C., Pan, S., Chen, J., Yang, J., Zhang, B., 2017. Preindustrial nitrous oxide emissions from the land biosphere estimated by using a global biogeochemistry model. *Clim past* 13 (7), 977–990.
- Xu, R., Tian, H., Pan, S., Prior, S.A., Feng, Y., Dangal, S.R., 2020. Global N₂O emissions from cropland driven by nitrogen addition and environmental factors: Comparison and uncertainty analysis. *Glob Biogeochem Cycles* 34 (12), e2020GB006698.
- Xu-Ri, P.I.C., Spahni, R., Niu, H.S., 2012. Modelling terrestrial nitrous oxide emissions and implications for climate feedback. *New Phytol* 196 (2), 472–488.
- Yang, G., Peng, Y., Marushchak, M.E., Chen, Y., Wang, G., Li, F., Zhang, D., Wang, J., Yu, J., Liu, L., 2018. Magnitude and pathways of increased nitrous oxide emissions from uplands following permafrost thaw. *Environ. Sci. Tech.* 52 (16), 9162–9169.
- Yao, Y., Tian, H., Shi, H., Pan, S., Xu, R., Pan, N., Canadell, J.G., 2020. Increased global nitrous oxide emissions from streams and rivers in the Anthropocene. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 10 (2), 138–142.
- You, Y., Pan, S., 2020. Urban Vegetation Slows Down the Spread of Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) in the United States. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 47 (18), e2020GL089286.
- Zaehle, S., Friend, A.D., Friedlingstein, P., Dentener, F., Peylin, P., Schulz, M., 2010. Carbon and nitrogen cycle dynamics in the O-CN land surface model: 2. Role of the nitrogen cycle in the historical terrestrial carbon balance. *Glob Biogeochem Cycles* 24, GB1006.
- Zaehle, S., Ciais, P., Friend, A.D., Prieur, V., 2011. Carbon benefits of anthropogenic reactive nitrogen offset by nitrous oxide emissions. *Nat. Geosci.* 4 (9), 601–605.