

Multiple orthoflaviviruses secrete sfRNA in mosquito saliva to promote transmission by inhibiting MDA5-mediated early interferon response

Idalba Serrato-Pomar, Jim Zoladek, Hacene Medkour, Quentin Narpon, Felix Rey-Cadilhac, Solena Rossi, Stephanie French, Cassandra Modahl, Wannapa Sornjai, Elliott F. Miot, et al.

To cite this version:

Idalba Serrato-Pomar, Jim Zoladek, Hacene Medkour, Quentin Narpon, Felix Rey-Cadilhac, et al.. Multiple orthoflaviviruses secrete sfRNA in mosquito saliva to promote transmission by inhibiting MDA5-mediated early interferon response. 2025. hal-04918595

HAL Id: hal-04918595 <https://hal.science/hal-04918595v1>

Preprint submitted on 29 Jan 2025

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

²⁴**Abstract**

25 Numerous orthoflaviviruses transmitted through the bites of different mosquito 26 species infect more than 500 million people annually. Bite-initiated skin infection 27 represents a critical and conserved step in transmission and a deeper understanding 28 of this process will promote the design of broad-spectrum interventions to address 29 diverse orthoflavivirus health threats. Here, we identify and characterize a 30 transmission-enhancing viral factor in mosquito saliva that is shared across 31 orthoflaviviruses. Saliva of West Nile virus-infected *Culex* and Zika virus-infected ³²*Aedes* contains a viral non-coding RNA, subgenomic orthoflaviviral RNA (sfRNA), 33 within lipid vesicles distinct from virions. Higher concentration of sfRNA in infectious 34 saliva positively correlates with infection intensity in human cells and skin explants. ³⁵Early sfRNA delivery into transmission-relevant skin cell types and human skin 36 explant demonstrate that sfRNA is responsible for the infection enhancement. Co-37 inoculation of sfRNA in a mouse model of transmission enhanced skin infection and 38 worsened disease severity, evidencing the role of salivary sfRNA as a transmission-39 enhancer. Mechanistically, salivary sfRNA attenuates early interferon response in 40 human skin cells and skin explants by altering MDA5-mediated signaling. Our results, 41 derived from two distinct orthoflaviviruses and supported by prior studies, establish 42 salivary sfRNA as a pan-orthoflavivirus transmission-enhancing factor driven by a 43 conserved viral non-coding RNA.

- 44
-

⁴⁶**Keywords:** Mosquito-borne diseases, transmission, extracellular vesicles, innate 47 immunity, skin

⁴⁹**Introduction**

⁵⁰Numerous mosquito-borne orthoflaviviruses cumulatively infect half a billion people 51 annually, resulting in an estimated 150,000 fatalities and economic losses exceeding €9 billion ¹ ⁵². Given the wide geographic distribution of *Aedes* and *Culex* mosquito 53 vectors, nearly the entire human population faces the risk of infection $2,3$. 54 Furthermore, predictive models considering escalating urbanization, global 55 transportation, and climate change anticipate exacerbation and broadening of 56 associated public health, economic, and social burdens 4 . More concerning is the 57 very likely emergence of yet-unknown mosquito-borne orthoflaviviruses in the near 58 future, further complicating the landscape of orthoflavivirus diseases that necessitate 59. targeted interventions 1 . However, safe and effective intervention to protect against 60 orthoflaviviral diseases are lacking. While vector mosquito control stands as the most ⁶¹widely implemented strategy, its effectiveness in preventing epidemics is only 62 moderate ⁵. Scaling up vector control approaches to cover extensive territories is also 63 challenging, and the efficacy is hindered by the rapid development of insecticide 64 resistance 6 . Additionally, there is no curative treatment 7 , and the available vaccines 65 pose notable safety concerns $8,9$. A promising approach to confront the rising threat 66 posed by multiple orthoflaviviruses is the design of pan-orthoflavivirus interventions, 67 achieved through the identification of targets that are conserved across flaviviruses.

68 The transmission of all mosquito-borne orthoflaviviruses occurs during a 69 mosquito bite 10 , when infectious virions present in the saliva are deposited in the 70 epidermis and dermis $11,12$. Subsequent productive infection of the skin is essential for 71 transmission $13,14$. However, infection initiation is hindered by a potent antiviral 72 response in the skin $15,16$. Double-stranded viral RNA (dsRNA), an intermediate of 73 viral replication, is detected by retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I), melanoma

74 differentiation-associated gene 5 (MDA5) or toll-like receptor 3 (TRL3) 17 . These 75 three viral RNA sensing branches separately and synergistically activate biochemical 76 cascades that converge onto the phosphorylation of interferon regulatory factor-3 77 (IRF3) and/or IRF7, which trigger the expression of type I interferon (IFN). IFN then 78 triggers an antiviral state by inducing the expression of IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs) 79 with anti-orthoflaviviral properties $18,19$. Robust evidence supports that mosquito saliva ⁸⁰modulates the antiviral innate immune response to promote orthoflavivirus skin 81 infection $13,20-22$. Nonetheless, identification of these anti-immune transmission-82 enhancing factors remains partial.

83 Recently, we discovered a mosquito saliva factor that augments saliva 84 infectivity for dengue virus (DENV), the most prevalent orthoflavivirus 23 . DENV 85 secretes a non-coding subgenomic orthoflaviviral RNA (sfRNA) with anti-immune 86 properties $24-26$ inside salivary lipid vesicles to enhance saliva infectivity. 87 Nonetheless, our prior study did not provide evidence of an effect on transmission 88 and lacked a mechanistic understanding. SfRNA biogenesis results from the 89 incomplete degradation of orthoflaviviral genomic RNA (gRNA) by 5'-to-3' host 90 exoribonucleases, which stall on 3'UTR secondary structures, called 91 exoribonuclease-resistant RNA (xrRNA) 27 . Intriguingly, the process of sfRNA 92 biogenesis is conserved across orthoflaviviruses $28-31$, raising the hypothesis that 93 salivary sfRNA secretion occurs for multiple orthoflaviviruses.

94 West Nile (WNV) and Zika (ZIKV) viruses rank among the most important 95 orthoflaviviruses. WNV is the most widely distributed orthoflavivirus 32 and elicits 96 symptoms potentially resulting in severe neurological manifestations leading to 97 mortality or persistent sequelae 33 . Since 2000, WNV has infected an estimated 7 98 million people, causing 2,700 deaths in the USA 34 , and is annually responsible for

99 over 100 fatalities in the EU 35 . ZIKV, acknowledged as a pathogen of international 100 concern by the World Health Organization (WHO) in 2014, has infected more than 2 101 million people since its emergence $36,37$. While ZIKV symptoms typically present as 102 mild, the infection poses substantial risks of microcephaly in newborns of infected 103 mothers and of Guillain-Barré syndromes in infected adults. Importantly, WNV and ¹⁰⁴ZIKV exhibit distinct transmission dynamics with WNV transmitted by various *Culex* 105 mosquitoes, notably *Cx. quinquefasciatus* ³⁸, whereas ZIKV is primarily disseminated 106 by *Aedes* mosquitoes, predominantly *Ae. aegypti* ^{39,40}.

107 Here, using WNV and ZIKV as orthoflavivirus models, we investigated whether 108 sfRNA secretion in mosquito saliva represents a pan-orthoflavivirus mechanism for 109 enhancing transmission and elucidated how salivary sfRNA increases transmission. 110 First, we detected sfRNA in the saliva of *Culex* mosquitoes infected with WNV and ¹¹¹*Aedes* mosquitoes infected with ZIKV. Second, we found that salivary sfRNA from ¹¹²WNV-infected *Culex* and ZIKV-infected *Aedes* is packaged within lipid vesicles. 113 Third, combining approaches with transmission-relevant human skin cell types, 114 human skin explants and a mouse model of transmission, we demonstrated that 115 salivary sfRNA enhances orthoflavivirus transmission. Finally, we showed that early 116 sfRNA exposure suppresses the initial IFN response by disrupting MDA5-mediated 117 signaling.

- 118
- ¹¹⁹**Results**

¹²⁰**SfRNA is secreted in saliva from WNV-infected** *Culex* **and ZIKV-infected** *Aedes* ¹²¹**mosquitoes**

¹²²WNV can produce up to four sfRNA species by stalling host exoribonucleases at 123 distinct xrRNA structures ⁴¹. To identify the sfRNA species in *Cx. quinquefasciatus*,

124 we performed Northern blot on mosquitoes orally infected with WNV and observed a ¹²⁵major band above 500 nt (Fig. 1a), as previously reported in *Cx. pipiens* mosquitoes 126 42 and corresponding to the predicted sfRNA1 species at 528 nt. We also noted a 127 fainter band above 400 nt, which does not align with any predicted xrRNA, but was 128 previously observed in monkey Vero cells 42 . We then collected saliva and salivary 129 glands (SG) – the site of saliva production - from *Culex* mosquitoes 10 days post oral 130 infection and using absolute quantification (S1a,b Fig.) detected WNV gRNA in 80% 131 of SG with a geometric mean of 1.95 x 10³ copies per infected sample and in 60% of 132 saliva with a geometric mean of 1.97 x 10^2 copies per infected sample (Fig. 1b). 133 Using an optimized RT-qPCR protocol for absolute quantification (Supplementary 134 Materials; S2a-c and S3a,b Fig.), we detected sfRNA in 93% of SG with a geometric 135 mean of 1.96 x 10⁶ per infected sample and in 100% of saliva with a geometric mean 136 of 4.15 x 10⁵ copies per infected sample (Fig. 1c). To normalize sfRNA to the 137 infection level (estimated by gRNA level), we calculated the ratio of sfRNA:gRNA and 138 observed similar ratios in SG and saliva at 3×10^3 and 3.3×10^3 , respectively (Fig. 139 1d).

¹⁴⁰We further analysed the data to gain deeper insights of salivary sfRNA 141 secretion. In SG, we observed a positive correlation between sfRNA and gRNA 142 copies (Fig. 1e), indicating a relationship between sfRNA and its precursor in this 143 organ and suggesting that sfRNA biogenesis occurs within the SG. In contrast, in 144 saliva, we identified a negative correlation between sfRNA and gRNA levels (Fig. 1f). 145 These opposing correlations between gRNA and sfRNA in the SG and saliva are 146 consistent with the two viral RNAs being secreted through distinct mechanisms. By 147 analyzing paired SG and saliva samples from the same mosquito, we further 148 examined correlations between the two compartments. The quantities of gRNA and

149 sfRNA in saliva remained relatively constant and showed no significant association 150 with their levels in the SG (S4a,b Fig.). Collectively, our findings provide evidence 151 that WNV secretes sfRNA into *Culex* mosquito saliva and underscore the pivotal role 152 of the SG in the production of expectorated sfRNA.

153 To further support the role of the SG, we bypassed midgut infection by 154 inoculating WNV into the thorax of *Culex* mosquitoes and collected SG and saliva at 155 7 days post-infection (dpi), i.e. earlier than after oral infection due to the shortened 156 extrinsic incubation period. Direct injection resulted in 100% of infected SG and saliva 157 samples positive for gRNA, with geometric means of 1.57 x 10⁷ and 2.72 x 10⁴ 158 copies per sample, respectively (Fig. 1g). SfRNA was detected in 100% of infected 159 SG, with a geometric mean of 1.40 x 10^8 copies per sample, and in 100% of infected 160 saliva, with a geometric mean of 2.09 x 10⁵ copies per sample (Fig. 1h). This 161 corresponded to sfRNA:gRNA ratios at 9.58 in SG and 16 in saliva (Fig. 1i). 162 Collectively, these results indicate that midgut infection is not required for WNV 163 sfRNA secretion in saliva.

164 To determine whether sfRNA secretion in saliva is conserved among 165 orthoflaviviruses transmitted by different mosquito genera, we inoculated *Aedes* 166 mosquitoes with ZIKV and collected SG and saliva at 7 dpi. ZIKV gRNA was detected 167 in 100% of SG and in 100% of saliva samples, with geometric means of 1.00 \times 10⁷ 168 and 1.63 x 10⁴ copies per sample, respectively (Fig. 1j; S5a,b Fig.). We quantified 169 ZIKV sfRNA using primers that annealed to both previously identified sfRNA species 170 in *Ae. aegypti* ⁴³ and an optimized sfRNA absolute quantification method ¹⁷¹(Supplementary materials; S6a-c and S7a,b Fig.). ZIKV sfRNA was detected in 100% 172 of infected SG, with a geometric mean of 3.22×10^8 copies per sample, and in 100% 173 of infected saliva, with a geometric mean of 2.47 x 10⁵ copies per sample (Fig. 1k).

174 This resulted in sfRNA:gRNA ratios of 36.4 in SG and 65.1 in saliva (Fig. 1l). 175 Collectively, the findings demonstrate that ZIKV, another orthoflavivirus, secretes 176 sfRNA in the saliva of *Aedes* mosquitoes, indicating that sfRNA secretion in saliva is 177 conserved mechanism among orthoflaviviruses vectored by different mosquito 178 species.

¹⁸⁰**Salivary sfRNAs from WNV-infected** *Culex* **and ZIKV-infected** *Aedes* **are within**

¹⁸¹**lipid vesicles**

182 In our previous study, we provided biochemical and microscopic evidence that DENV 183 sfRNA is expectorated within lipid vesicles distinct from virus particles 23 . To 184 determine whether this phenomenon is shared by other orthoflaviviruses, we 185 assessed the nuclease resistance of salivary sfRNA from WNV-inoculated *Culex* 186 mosquitoes following detergent-based disruption of lipid membranes (Fig. 2a). As 187 control, we confirmed that *in vitro* transcribed WNV sfRNA was degraded by 188 micrococcal nuclease (MNase) (RNase A/T1 was inefficient in degrading WNV 189 sfRNA) in the presence of the Triton-X 100 detergent and uninfected *Culex* saliva ¹⁹⁰(Fig. 2b). Consistent with salivary gRNA and sfRNA being enclosed within lipid 191 particles, neither of the viral RNAs were degraded by MNase unless pre-treated with 192 Triton X-100 (Fig. 2c,d). To account for variability in the initial amounts of sfRNA and 193 gRNA between the saliva pools, we normalized the RNA quantities within each saliva 194 pool and observed consistent trends (S8a-c Fig.). We then reasoned that if sfRNA 195 and gRNA are enclosed in separate lipid particles, they would exhibit different 196 sensitivities to the detergent treatment. Leveraging the inherent variability between 197 biological replicates – potentially due to mechanical stresses from pipetting and liquid 198 handling 44 – we found a lack of correlation between sfRNA and gRNA levels after

199 detergent and MNase treatments (Fig. 2e). This observation suggests that sfRNA is

200 encapsulated in distinct lipid particles, separate from those containing gRNA.

201 We repeated the nuclease resistance assay using saliva from ZIKV-inoculated ²⁰²*Aedes* mosquitoes. After confirming the degradation of *in vitro*-transcribed ZIKV 203 sfRNA by RNase A/T1 (RNase) (Fig. 2f), we observed that salivary sfRNA was 204 protected from RNase degradation unless pretreated with a detergent (Fig. 2g). 205 Surprisingly, ZIKV gRNA in saliva was degraded by RNase both with and without 206 detergent pre-treatment (Fig. 2h), potentially due to unintended physical stress on the 207 virions during the one-day-long process from saliva collection to RNase treatment. 208 Nonetheless, leveraging this unexpected gRNA sensitization, we observed a clear 209 discrepancy between sfRNA and gRNA quantities (Fig. 2g,h), consistent with the two 210 RNA fragments being enclosed in different particles. Similar trends were observed 211 after normalizing RNA quantities within saliva pools (S8d-f Fig.). Finally, we noted the 212 absence of correlation between salivary sfRNA and gRNA in samples treated with 213 both detergent and RNase (Fig. 2i). Altogether, the analysis of saliva from two 214 different mosquito genera infected with different orthoflaviviruses strongly supports 215 the conservation of sfRNA secretion within salivary lipid vesicles across multiple 216 orthoflaviviruses.

217

²¹⁸**Salivary sfRNA increases WNV transmission**

²¹⁹To elucidate the role of salivary sfRNA in transmission, we infected *Culex* mosquitoes 220 with WNV by oral feeding, which introduces greater variability in the salivary 221 sfRNA:gRNA ratio compared to thoracic inoculation (Fig. 1). Saliva pools were 222 collected and categorized based on their sfRNA:gRNA ratio into low (ratio $<$ 15), 223 moderate (15 < ratio < 350), or high (350 < ratio) sfRNA concentrations (Fig. 3a; S1

224 Table). To validate the use of the saliva-collection media as an inoculum, we 225 determined that Erioglaucine, used as a proxy for salivation in the saliva-collection 226 media, did not affect cell viability (S9 Fig.). To support the normalization of saliva 227 inoculum dose based on gRNA quantities, we showed that sfRNA concentration was 228 not associated with the gRNA-to-particle-forming-units (PFU) ratio (S10 Fig.), a 229 measure for virion infectivity. Saliva from the different sfRNA concentration groups 230 were then used to infect Huh7 human hepatocyte cells, using volumes containing the 231 same number of gRNA copies (S1 Table). Total saliva volumes were normalized with 232 uninfected saliva. Quantification of WNV infection at 24 and 48 hours post-infection ²³³(hpi) showed a progressive increase in infection with ascending categories of salivary 234 sfRNA concentration (Fig. 3b,c) and a positive correlation with sfRNA: q RNA ratio ²³⁵(Fig. 3d). We repeated the saliva infection experiments with human skin explants 236 (Fig. 3a). Injection of saliva containing higher sfRNA concentrations (S2 Table) led to 237 increased WNV infection at 24hpi (Fig. 3e,f).

238 We next investigated whether early presence of sfRNA enhances infection. 239 First, we transfected Huh7 cells with *in vitro*-transcribed sfRNA shortly before WNV 240 infection (Fig. 3g). Intracellular levels of sfRNA or a same-size viral RNA control were 241 comparable after transfection (S11a Fig.). We observed that sfRNA transfection 242 increased WNV infection at 24 and 48 hpi (Fig. 3h). Second, as orthoflaviviruses are 243 thought to initially infect skin fibroblasts and monocytes following a mosquito bite $10,45$, 244 we repeated WNV infection post sfRNA transfection in HFF1 fibroblasts and U937 245 monocytes. While intracellular levels of sfRNA and control RNA were equivalent 246 (S11b, c Fig.), infection was increased after sfRNA transfection at 24 and 48hpi in 247 both cell types, although the increase was lower in U937 (Fig. $3i,j$). Third, we injected 248 a mixture of WNV with either sfRNA or the control RNA into human skin explants

249 (Fig. 3g) and observed that co-injection with sfRNA enhanced infection at 24 hpi (Fig. 250 3k).

251 Finally, we used a mouse model of transmission to evaluate the effect of 252 sfRNA on infection and disease outcomes. Mice were intradermally injected with a 253 small volume containing WNV and either sfRNA or the control RNA (Fig. 3l). As a 254 non-infected control, mice were injected with sfRNA alone. At one day post injection ²⁵⁵(dpi), infection at the injection site in the skin was increased by co-injection with 256 sfRNA (Fig. 3m). At 4 dpi, we confirmed successful systemic infection by detecting 257 RNAemia (S12 Fig.), although this alone does not inform about disease severity $46-48$. 258 Over a longer observation period, we found that sfRNA co-injection aggravated 259 disease severity (Fig. 3n), increased weight loss (S13 Fig.) and reduced mouse 260 survival (Fig. 3o). Altogether, these results – obtained using infectious saliva with 261 variable sfRNA concentrations and *in vitro*-transcribed sfRNA to mimic salivary 262 delivery in *in vitro*, ex vivo and *in vivo* models - demonstrate that salivary sfRNA 263 enhances transmission, worsening disease outcomes.

²⁶⁵**Salivary sfRNA mitigates the IFN response**

266 To decipher how WNV sfRNA impacts the innate immune response, we quantified ²⁶⁷expression of *IFN-*β and three ISGs, namely *CXCL10*, *MX1* and *IFI6*, in the Huh7 cell 268 samples previously infected with saliva containing different sfRNA concentrations ²⁶⁹(same samples as in Fig. 3b-d). At 24 hpi, we observed a robust induction of *IFN-*^β 270 and the three ISGs in all samples treated with infectious saliva compared to mock-271 infected control (Fig. 4a-d). However, the levels of innate immune gene induction 272 were similar across saliva samples irrespective of salivary sfRNA concentrations. 273 Since cells infected with saliva containing higher sfRNA concentrations had higher

274 viral loads (Fig. 3b) and previous studies have shown a correlation between viral load 275 and intensity of IFN induction $49,50$, these findings could suggest that higher salivary 276 sfRNA dampened the innate immune response at 24 hpi. In contrast, at 48 hpi, the 277 expression of all four IFN-related genes correlated with the infection levels (Fig. 4a-²⁷⁸d).

279 To determine whether sfRNA inhibits the innate immune response, we 280 quantified the expression of the IFN-related genes in hepatocyte Huh7, fibroblast 281 HFF1 and monocyte U937 cells that were transfected with sfRNA or the control RNA 282 prior to WNV infection (same samples as in Fig. 3h-j). In Huh7 cells (Fig. 4e-h), ²⁸³sfRNA transfection inhibited the expression of *IFN-*β and *IFI6* at both 24 and 48 hpi, ²⁸⁴while *CXCL10* and *MX1* expressions were diminished only at 48 hpi. Notably, 285 whereas *IFN-β*, *CXCL10* and *MX1* expressions increased upon control RNA 286 transfection from 24 to 48 hpi, sfRNA transfection prevented this innate immune 287 activation. In HFF1 cells (Fig. 4i-l), sfRNA strongly suppressed *IFN-β* expression at ²⁸⁸24 hpi and reduced *CXCL10* expression at both 24 and 48 hpi. In contrast, in U937 289 cells (Fig. 4m-p), sfRNA transfection did not inhibit the expression of the IFN-related 290 genes, which may explain the limited effect of sfRNA transfection on infection levels 291 (Fig. 3j). The lack of inhibition of certain IFN-related genes may reflect differences in 292 the kinetics or intensity of gene expression among the different cell types.

293 Finally, we quantified the expression of IFN-related genes in human skin 294 explants co-injected with WNV and either sfRNA or control RNA (same samples as in ²⁹⁵Fig. 3k). SfRNA moderately reduced *IFN-*β expression and significantly diminished ²⁹⁶*CXCL10*, *MX1* and *IFI6* expressions at 24 hpi (Fig. 4q-t). Together, these results 297 demonstrate that salivary sfRNA dampens innate immune activation in the skin.

298

²⁹⁹**SfRNA alters MDA5 signaling of interferon**

300 To determine whether the early presence of sfRNA increases infection by altering the 301 IFN response, we chemically inhibited IFN induction using the TBK1/IKKε inhibitor ³⁰²MRT67037, and infected Huh7 cells with WNV following transfection with either 303 sfRNA or control RNA (Fig. 5a). Successful inhibition of the IFN response was ³⁰⁴confirmed by the lack of induction for *IFN-*β, *CXCL10*, *MX1* and *IFI6* expressions 305 following control RNA transfection and infection (S14 Fig.). Supporting the hypothesis 306 that sfRNA enhances infection by altering the IFN response, we observed that 307 inhibition of IFN induction abolished sfRNA-mediated enhancement of infection at 24 308 and 48 hpi (Fig. 5b). The main pattern recognition receptors triggered by 309 orthoflavivirus infection are RIG-I, MDA5 and TLR3⁵¹. Since Huh7 cells used here do 310 not express TLR3 52 , we tested the role of RIG-I by using RIG-I-deficient Huh7.5 cells 311 ⁵³ (Fig. 5a). In Huh7.5 cells, the sfRNA-mediated enhancement of WNV infection was ³¹²maintained at 24 and 48 hpi (Fig. 5c). Next, we silenced MDA5 in Huh7.5 to avoid 313 any confounding effect from RIG-I (S15a,b Fig.). Strikingly, while sfRNA-mediated 314 enhancement of infection was observed following control siRNA transfection, the 315 infection enhancement was abolished at 24 and 48 hpi when MDA5 was silenced ³¹⁶(Fig. 5d). Together, these findings indicate that early presence of sfRNA promotes 317 infection by altering MDA5 downstream signaling.

³¹⁹**Discussion**

320 The incomplete understanding of orthoflavivirus transmission by mosquitoes hampers 321 the identification of pan-orthoflavivirus targets that could be harnessed for broad-322 spectrum strategies to simultaneously protect against the multiple orthoflavivirus-323 related health threats. In this study, we identified and characterized sfRNA in

³²⁴mosquito saliva as a transmission-enhancing factor conserved across 325 orthoflaviviruses. Using two phylogenetically distant orthoflaviviruses (WNV and 326 ZIKV), belonging to distinct phylogenetic groups 54 and vectored by different genera 327 of mosquitoes (*Culex* and *Aedes* mosquitoes), we showed that both orthoflaviviruses 328 secrete sfRNA in salivary lipid particles. Combined with our previous discovery of 329 DENV sfRNA in *Aedes* salivary vesicles ²³, the current study implies that sfRNA 330 secretion in mosquito saliva is conserved across multiple, if not all, orthoflavivirus-³³¹mosquito species systems. We then designed a robust experimental approach to 332 assess the function of salivary sfRNA in infection by infecting human cells and human 333 skin explants with infectious mosquito saliva. This unprecedented dataset revealed 334 that higher salivary sfRNA concentrations correlate with enhanced infection in human 335 skin. We further demonstrated that sfRNA is responsible for the infection 336 enhancement by reproducing this effect through the supplementation of *in vitro*-337 transcribed sfRNA in transmission-relevant cell types and human skin explants. To 338 extrapolate from the *in vitro* and *ex vivo* findings and provide *in vivo* evidence for the 339 role of salivary sfRNA in transmission, we used a mouse model of transmission. We 340 established that sfRNA co-delivered with orthoflavivirus in the skin increases 341 transmission and aggravates disease severity. Finally, we elucidated the mechanism 342 by which the early presence of sfRNA enhances infection, demonstrating that sfRNA 343 suppresses the early innate immune response and increases WNV infection by 344 altering MDA5-mediated IFN induction. Altogether, our study establishes that multiple 345 orthoflaviviruses secrete sfRNA in mosquito saliva to promote bite-initiated skin 346 infection by inhibiting the innate immune response, thereby enhancing transmission.

347 Our results show that salivary sfRNA is shielded from nucleases by a 348 detergent-sensitive layer, indicating the presence of a protective lipid membrane.

349 These detergent-sensitive compartments could be either virions enclosed by a lipid 350 bilayer envelop or extracellular vesicles (EVs). EVs are non-replicative, cell-derived 351 membranous particles secreted into the extracellular space by most cells 55 . We 352 previously detected EVs in saliva of *Aedes* mosquitoes ²³, while others observed EVs 353 from mosquito cells $56,57$. Multiple lines of evidence support that sfRNA is secreted 354 inside EVs rather than virions, which by definition contain gRNA. Firstly, 355 orthoflavivirus virions are spherical structures with a diameter of approximately 55 356 nm, which is too small to accommodate both gRNA and sfRNA $58-60$. Secondly, we 357 observed a divergence between sfRNA and gRNA quantities in SG and saliva, 358 suggesting that sfRNA and gRNA produced in SG are not secreted through the same 359 pathway. Thirdly, we showed that the particles containing sfRNA and gRNA differ in 360 their sensitivity to nuclease degradation. Fourthly, the cytosolic origin of the EV 361 Iumen $55,61$ would allow the loading of sfRNA. Indeed, sfRNA biogenesis, which 362 results from gRNA degradation, occurs in the cytosol within processing bodies (PB) 363 62 , a process supported in mosquitoes by the interaction of sfRNA with PB proteins 364 such as Staufen $63,64$. In contrast, virions are assembled in the ER and secreted via 365 the trans-Golgi network $⁷$. Overall, several viruses exploit EVs to secrete diverse</sup> 366 types of viral RNA fragments $65-68$ and our integrated datasets with DENV, WNV and 367 ZIKV in *Aedes* and *Culex* mosquitoes suggest that orthoflaviviruses load sfRNA into 368 mosquito EVs for salivary secretion.

369 We observed that salivary sfRNA favors bite-initiated skin infection by 370 mitigating the early innate immune response. During the initial stages of 371 orthoflavivirus infection, the innate immune system mounts a potent antiviral 372 response mediated by IFN and involving ISGs $¹⁵$. RIG-I and MDA5 are the two main</sup> 373 viral RNA recognition receptors responsible for IFN activation via the IRF3/IRF7

374 phosphorylation signaling cascade 69 . Loss-of-function studies for RIG-I and MDA5 375 have shown increased infection for WNV, ZIKV and DENV, establishing RIG-I and 376 MDA5-mediated responses as major barriers to orthoflavivirus infection $70-74$. We 377 demonstrated that the infection enhancement by the early presence of WNV sfRNA 378 was abrogated when MDA5 signaling was silenced, whereas RIG-I elimination did 379 not affect sfRNA's impact on infection. Our results indicate that salivary WNV sfRNA 380 dampens the IFN response by mitigating MDA5 signaling in Huh7 cells, although 381 sfRNA target may not be conserved across cell types. Consistent with sfRNA altering 382 IFN upstream of IRF3/IRF7, one previous study reported that WNV sfRNA modulates 383 IFN activation upstream of IRF3/IRF7 in murine embryonic fibroblasts (MEF) 75 and 384 our findings show that chemical inhibition of IRF3/IRF7 activation abrogated the 385 sfRNA effect. Alternatively, ZIKV sfRNA inhibits the IFN response by stabilizing NS5 386 inhibition of STAT1 phosphorylation – a step downstream of IRF3/IRF7 76 . DENV sfRNA inhibits RIG-I activation by binding TRIM25 to prevent RIG-I ubiquitylation ²⁶ 388 and interacts with G3BP1, G3BP2 and Caprin1 to reduce ISG translation 77 . Despite 389 differences in mechanisms, sfRNA from multiple orthoflaviviruses share the ability to 390 inhibit the innate immune response 24 . However, our mechanistic contribution to WNV 391 sfRNA function differs from previous studies as we evaluated the early presence of 392 sfRNA prior to infection establishment and the production of other viral IFN inhibitors 7 ³⁹³.

394 Salivary sfRNA emerges as the first transmission-enhancing strategy linked to 395 an orthoflaviviral component. Our study provides evidence that co-delivery of sfRNA 396 at the bite site increases skin infection and exacerbates disease severity. Previous 397 studies have underscored the importance of skin infection in transmission $10,14$, and 398 our findings showing the potent immune inhibition of sfRNA in fibroblasts - the

399 primary dermal cell types - emphasize the critical role of orthoflavivirus replication in 400 stromal cells before viral migration through myeloid cells 78,79 . Based on our data and 401 those of others, we propose a model in which the early presence of sfRNA in saliva 402 prevents the initial activation of innate immune response to facilitate the ⁴⁰³establishment of skin infection and amplify subsequent viral dissemination. Our 404 findings suggest that this model is valid for mosquito-borne orthoflaviviruses.

⁴⁰⁶**Limitations of the study**

⁴⁰⁷While we propose that sfRNA secretion in salivary vesicles is a shared characteristic 408 among orthoflaviviruses, our evidence is derived from the examination of only three 409 orthoflavivirus species vectored by two distinct mosquito genera. Given that there are 410 over 70 known orthoflavivirus species 80 , including other highly pathogenic ones 411 transmitted by mosquito genera not investigated here - such as for yellow fever virus, 412 which is transmitted by *Haemagogus* mosquitoes ⁸¹ - our findings may not universally 413 apply to all mosquito-borne orthoflaviviruses. Although sfRNA biogenesis and its anti-⁴¹⁴immune functions are conserved across all orthoflavivirus groups tested to date $2^{4,82,83}$, it remains to be determined whether salivary sfRNA secretion contributes to 416 transmission enhancement for all mosquito-borne orthoflaviviruses. Additionally, our 417 characterization of the lipidic vesicles containing sfRNA is limited. The small volume 418 of mosquito saliva restricts the extent of biochemical and biophysical analyses 419 typically performed on EVs 84 . Moreover, isolating sfRNA-containing vesicles from 420 virions presents challenges due to the physical and chemical similarities between 421 virions and lipidic vesicles ⁶⁷.

 $\frac{1}{2}$

423

⁴²⁴**Materials and methods**

⁴²⁵**Cells and viruses.** *Aedes albopictus* C6/36 and Human hepatocyte Huh7 cells were 426 obtained from Cell Lines Services GmbH and Huh7.5 cells were kindly provided by 427 Paul D. Biensiasz (The Rockefeller University, New York, NY, USA). HFF1 (SCRC-428 1041) and U937 (CRL-1593.2) cells were obtained from ATCC. Mammalian cell lines 429 were grown in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) (Gibco), supplemented 430 with 5 % heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Eurobio) – except for HFF-1 431 which was grown with 15 % FBS - and 1 % penicillin/streptomycin mix (Invitrogen) at 432 37°C with 5 % CO2. Mosquito cells were grown in Roswell Park Memorial Institute 433 (RPMI) media supplemented with 1 % non-essential amino-acids (ThermoFisher 434 Scientific), 10 % FBS and 1 % penicillin/streptomycin mix at 28°C with 5% CO2.

⁴³⁵WNV strain IS-98-ST1 (or Stork 98) was isolated from a stork in Israel in 1998 436 ⁸⁵ and obtained from Dr. Philippe Desprès, Centre de Ressources Biologiques, 437 Institut Pasteur, Paris. ZIKV strain H/PF13 was collected from human serum in 438 French Polynesia in 2013⁸⁶ and obtained from the European Virus Archive-Global 439 (EVAg). Viruses were amplified in C6/36 cells, titrated in BHK21 cells as described 39 440 and stored in aliquots at -70° C.

⁴⁴²**Mosquitoes.** Experiments with *Culex* mosquitoes were carried out using the *Culex* 443 *quinquefasciatus* SLAB strain, collected in California, USA ⁸⁷, and obtained from the ⁴⁴⁴Institut des Sciences de l'Evolution in Montpellier, France. Experiments with *Aedes* ⁴⁴⁵mosquitoes were carried out using the *Aedes aegypti* Bora-Bora strain collected in 446 French Polynesia in 1980⁸⁸. Eggs from *Cx. quinquefasciatus* were hatched and 447 reared at 25 \pm 1°C, whereas eggs from *Ae. aegypti* were reared at 27 \pm 1°C. Both 448 species were reared at 70 \pm 5% relative humidity and 12h:12h day:night. Larvae were

449 distributed in plastic trays at a density of 200 individuals per tray and fed half a tablet 450 of concentrated yeast and 1 g of TetraMin (Tetra) on the day of hatching, and then 451 1.5 g of TetraMin every two days until pupation. Pupae were transferred to cages and ⁴⁵²supplied with 10 % sugar solution and water *ad libitum*.

⁴⁵⁴**Mice.** C57BL/6J male mice were purchased from Charles-River (France) and housed 455 in ventilated cages in NexGen Mouse 500 (Allentown; Serial number: 1304A0078) in 456 the biosafety level 3 animal facility at MIVEGEC-IRD, Montpellier, France. Mice were 457 maintained with a 17h:7h light/dark cycle, 53-57 % humidity, 20-24°C temperature 458 and provided with an irradiation-sterilized mouse diet (A03, SAFE, France) and ⁴⁵⁹sterilized water *ad libitum*. Upon arrival, mice were let to rest for one week before 460 experiments. Animal protocols were approved by the national ethical committee ⁴⁶¹(permission numbers: 43466; 31273).

462

⁴⁶³**Mosquito oral infection.** Four-day-old female *Cx. quinquefasciatus* were starved for ⁴⁶⁴12h, and offered an infectious blood meal for 60 min at sunset time, using the 465 Hemotek feeding system (Discovery Workshops) with chicken skin (obtained from 466 spring chicken purchased in supermarket). The artificial blood meal contained WNV 467 at 8 x 10⁵ plaque forming unit (PFU)/ml, 50 % volume of washed erythrocytes from 468 rabbit's blood (animals housed in the BSL2 VectoPole animal facility, authorization 469 number: H3417221), 25 mM ATP (ThermoFisher Scientific), 5 % FBS (Eurobio-470 scientific) and RPMI to complete the volume to 2.5 ml. Engorged females were 471 selected and maintained in the rearing conditions for 10 days before analysis.

472

⁴⁷³**Mosquito inoculation.** Five-day-old cold-anesthetized female *Cx. quinquefasciatus* ⁴⁷⁴or *Ae. aegypti* were intrathoracically inoculated with 69 nl containing 100 PFU of 475 WNV or 50 PFU of ZIKV, respectively, using Nanoject II (Drummond Scientific 476 Company) and needles made from 1.14 mm O.D. glass capillaries x 1.75" length ⁴⁷⁷(Drummond). Mosquitoes were then maintained in rearing conditions for 7 days 478 before analysis.

⁴⁸⁰**Mosquito salivary glands dissection and saliva collection.** Cold-anesthetized 481 mosquitoes had their wings and legs removed before inserting individual proboscises 482 into 20 µl filter-sterile tips containing 10 µl of RPMI (for RNase resistance assay) or of 483 DMEM (for human cell infection with WNV) with 2% 25 mM Erioglaucine ⁴⁸⁴(SigmaAldrich) for 30 min at 25°C. Mosquitoes with a blue abdomen (color of 485 Erioglaucine) were considered to have salivated and the corresponding media were 486 collected either individually or as pools. Salivary glands were dissected after 487 salivation, labeled to identify the associated saliva and homogenized using Fast-Prep 488 bead bitter homogenizer (MP) with glass beads.

⁴⁹⁰**sfRNA production**. Full length *in vitro*-transcribed sfRNAs from WNV were 491 generated by amplifying the sfRNA sequence from virus cDNA using the following ⁴⁹²primer pair with T7-tagged forward: 5'- 493 TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGTCAGGCCGGGAAGTTCC-3' and 5'-494 AGATCCTGTGTTCTCGCACC-3'. Amplicons were reverse transcribed using ⁴⁹⁵Megascript T7 kit (Ambion), monophosphorylated at the 5' end using RNA 5' 496 Polyphosphatase (Lucigen), extracted in RNase-free water (Invitrogen) using ⁴⁹⁷E.Z.N.A. Total RNA kit I (Omega) to remove the polyphosphatase and folded by

498 slowly reducing the temperature from 95 to 4° C with 5 mM of Mg2+, which regulates 499 sfRNA folding ⁸⁹. sfRNA quantity was estimated using nanodrop (ThermoFisher 500 Scientific) and used to calculate copy number.

501 As control, a same-size RNA fragment (i.e., 483 nt) corresponding to a part of 502 the dengue virus NS2 gene was *in vitro*-transcribed using the primers 5'-503 TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGGCAGCTGGACTACTCTTGAG-3', 5'-

504 GGTCCTGTCATGGGAATGTC-3' ⁶³, monophosphorylated and folded as for sfRNA.

505

⁵⁰⁶**Northern Blot.** Northern blot was conducted using NorthernMax Kit (Ambion) with 507 modifications to manufacturer's protocol as described 23 . Total RNA from 100 ⁵⁰⁸infected mosquitoes was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) and separated 509 on a denaturing gel with 5 % Acrylamide/Bisacrylamide 19:1 (Starlab) and 8 M Urea 510 (Invitrogen). Biotinylated single-stranded RNA ladder (Kerafast) was also loaded on 511 the gel. RNA was transferred onto a Hybond-N+ nylon membrane (Merck) using 512 Trans-Blot Turbo (Bio-Rad) at constant 1.3 A for 30 min with Voltage \Box 25 V. The 513 membrane was UV-crosslinked, pre-hybridized and hybridized overnight with a biotin-514 16-dUTP (Roche) labeled dsDNA probe (800 ng) generated from the primers used to 515 amplify the qPCR sfRNA targets. After washes, the membrane was blocked using 516 Odyssey Blocking Buffer (LI-COR) and stained with IRDYE 800cw streptavidin (LI-517 COR) in TBS. Pictures were taken with ChemiDoc (Bio-Rad).

⁵¹⁹**Absolute quantification of gRNA copies.** Total RNA was extracted using E.Z.N.A. 520 Total RNA kit I (Omega Bio-Tek). gRNA for WNV was quantified using iTaq Universal 521 SYBR Green one-step RT-qPCR kit (Bio-Rad) with primers: 5'-522 ATTCGGGAGGAGACGTGGTA-3' and 5'-CAGCCGCCAACATCAACAAA-3'; in

523 LighCycler 96 thermocycler (Roche) with the following thermal profile: 50°C for 10 524 min, 95°C for 2 min, and 40 cycles at 95°C for 15s, 60°C for 15s and 72°C for 20s. 525 gRNA for ZIKV was quantified using iTaq Universal Probes one-step RT-qPCR kit ⁵²⁶(Bio-Rad) with primers : 5'-TTGGTCATGATACTGCTGATTGC-3' and 5'- 527 CCTTCCACAAAGTCCCTATTGC-3' and probe: 5'-528 CGGCATACAGCATCAGGTGCATAGGAG-3'; in LighCycler 96 (Roche) with the 529 following thermal profile: 50°C for 15 min, 95°C for 2 min, and 45 cycles at 95°C for 530 10s and 60°C for 30s.

531 Absolute quantification for both gRNAs was obtained by generating standard ⁵³²equations using *in vitro-*transcribed RNA qPCR targets. RNA targets for WNV and 533 ZIKV were produced by amplifying virus cDNA with primer pairs: 5'-534 TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGATTCGGGAGGAGACGTGGTA-3' / 5'-535 CAGCCGCCAACATCAACAAA-3', and and 5'-

536 TAATACGACTCACTATAGTTGGTCATGATACTGCTGATTGC-3' / 5'-

537 CCTTCCACAAAGTCCCTATTGC-3', respectively. Amplicons were reverse 538 transcribed using Megascript T7 kit (Ambion), extracted using RNeasy Mini Kit ⁵³⁹(Qiagen) and quantified using nanodrop (ThermoFisher Scientific) to calculate copy 540 number. Serial dilutions were used to establish absolute standard equations. Using 541 the gRNA templates, the limit of detection (LoD) at 95% was determined by 542 calculating fractions of detected samples in three replicates of serial dilutions 90 .

543 gRNA detection rate was calculated as the proportion of samples with 544 detectable amount of gRNA among all samples.

⁵⁴⁶**Absolute quantification of sfRNA copies.** sfRNA and 3'UTR were jointly quantified 547 in total RNA extracts from E.Z.N.A. Total RNA kit I using the following primers for

548 WNV: 5'-AGTTGAGTAGACGGTGCTGC-3' and 5'-CCGTAGCGTGGTCTGACATT-549 3', and for ZIKV: 5'-GCTGGGAAAGACCAGAGACT-3' and 5'-550 CTATTCGGCGATCTGTGCCT-3'. Two different qPCR conditions were applied for 551 each pair of primers. First, quantification for both viruses was conducted using iTaq 552 Universal SYBR Green one-step RT-qPCR kit (Bio-Rad) in LightCycler with the 553 following thermal profile: 50°C for 10 min, 95°C for 2 min, and 40 cycles at 95°C for 554 10s and 60°C for 25s. Second, quantification with WNV primers was conducted in the 555 same conditions but for the reverse transcription step set at 60°C for 20 min. 556 Additionally, quantification with ZIKV primers was conducted using a two-step RT-557 qPCR. RT was conducted with the reverse primer and M-MLV enzyme (Promega) 558 with a denaturation/annealing step at 70°C and following manufacturer's conditions 559 with RT at 37°C. qPCR was then performed in AriaMx thermocycler (Agilent) using 560 EvaGreen qPCR MixPlus (Euromedex) with the following thermal profile: 90°C for 15 561 min, and 40 cycles at 95°C for 15s, 62°C for 30s and 72°C for 20s.

562 Absolute quantification and LoD for sfRNA for WNV and ZIKV were obtained 563 as described above for gRNA but using dilutions of sfRNA copies.

564 sfRNA copy number was calculated by subtracting the number of 3'UTR + 565 sfRNA copies to the number of gRNA copies. For samples that contained detectable 566 amount of gRNA, sfRNA: gRNA ratio was calculated by dividing the number of sfRNA 567 over the number of gRNA. sfRNA detection rate was calculated as the number of 568 samples with detectable amount of sfRNA among samples with detectable amount of 569 gRNA.

571 **RNase resistance assay.** 10⁷ copies of *in vitro*-transcribed sfRNA were mixed with ⁵⁷²10 saliva samples from uninfected mosquitoes and subjected to RNAse resistance

573 assay. Pools of 40 saliva samples from intrathoracically-inoculated mosquitoes were 574 divided into 4 equal volumes and subjected to the different conditions of the RNAse 575 treatment assay.

576 For WNV, samples were supplemented with 10 µl of 0.1 % Triton X-100 ⁵⁷⁷(Sigma) for 30 min at 4°C, before adding 0.5 µl of Micrococcal nuclease (MNase) 578 (Thermo Scientific) and 10 µl of buffer (50mM Tris-HCl pH 8; 10mM CaCl₂ final 579 concentration) for 1h. Controls for Triton X-100 and RNase were added the same 580 volume of PBS instead and maintained at the corresponding temperatures. RNA was 581 then extracted using QIAamp viral RNA kit (Qiagen).

582 For ZIKV, the same treatments were applied except that 3 µL of RNase A/T1 583 (ThermoFisher Scientific) was added for 30 min at 37°C instead of MNase.

⁵⁸⁵**Infection of human cells with WNV infectious** *Culex* **saliva.** Pools of 10 saliva 586 samples from *Culex* mosquitoes infected by oral feeding with 10⁸ pfu/ml of WNV 587 were collected and stored at -70 $^{\circ}$ C. gRNA and sfRNA were quantified from a frozen 588 aliquot of each pool. 5,000 Huh7 cells per well of a 96-well flat-bottomed plate were 589 inoculated with 1,000 gRNA copies of the different saliva pools for 2h. Total volume 590 of the infected saliva was homogenized to 50 µl by adding uninfected saliva from ⁵⁹¹*Culex* female mosquitoes. After infection, inoculum was removed and cells were 592 supplemented with 2 % FBS DMEM and collected at 24 and 48h post inoculation by 593 adding TRK lysis buffer and total RNA was extracted using E.Z.N.A. total RNA kit I.

594

⁵⁹⁵**Injection of WNV infectious** *Culex* **saliva into human skin explants.** Five mm 596 diameter Human skin explants obtained from Biopredic International (France) were 597 decontaminated by washing in 25 mM HEPES (Gibco), 400 U/ml P/S and 10 µg/ml

598 amphotericin B (biowest) in DMEM. Explants were maintained individually in 8 um 599 cell culture insert (Thincert) with 200 µl of two-week skin culture medium w/o animal 600 components (MIL215C, Biopredic) at 37° C, 5% CO₂. Explants were inoculated with ⁶⁰¹760 gRNA copies of saliva pools. Total volume of the infected saliva was ⁶⁰²homogenized to 30 µl by adding uninfected saliva from *Culex* female mosquitoes. At ⁶⁰³24 h post injection, explants were cut into small pieces and, homogenized using the ⁶⁰⁴bead bitter homogenizer and glass beads before RNA extraction as detailed for 605 mouse skin above.

⁶⁰⁷**Relative quantification of WNV gRNA and IFN-related genes in human cells and** ⁶⁰⁸**skin explants.** RNA was reverse transcribed using the PrimeScript RT Reagent Kit ⁶⁰⁹(Perfect RealTime, Takara Bio Inc.). Real-time PCR reaction was performed in 610 duplicate using Takyon ROX SYBR MasterMix blue dTTP (Eurogentec) on an 611 Applied Biosystems QuantStudio 5 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in 384-well plates. 612 Transcripts were quantified using the following program: 3 min at 95° C followed by 613 35 cycles of 15 s at 95°C, 20s at 60°C, and 20s at 72°C. Values for each transcript ⁶¹⁴were normalized to the geometric means of Ct values of 4 different housekeeping ⁶¹⁵genes (*RPL13A*, *ACTB*, *B2M*, and *GAPDH*) using the 2-∆∆Ct method. Primers used 616 for quantification of transcripts by real-time $qPCR$ were: RPL13A $5'$ -617 AACAGCTCATGAGGCTACGG-3' and 5'-TGGGTCTTGAGGACCTCTGT-3', ACTB ⁶¹⁸5'-CTGGAACGGTGAAGGTGACA-3' and 5'-AAGGGACTTCCTGTAACAATGCA-3', 619 B2M 5'-TGCTGTCTCCATGTTTGATGTATCT-3' and 5'-⁶²⁰TCTCTGCTCCCCACCTCTAAGT-3', GAPDH 5'-TGCACCACCAACTGCTTAGC-3' 621 and 5'-GGCATGGACTGTGGTCATGAG-3', IFN-β 5'-⁶²²TGCTCTCCTGTTGTGCTTCTC-3' and 5'-CAAGCCTCCCATTCAATTGCC-3',

623 CXCL10 5'-CGCTGTACCTGCATCAGCAT-3' and 5'-624 GCAATGATCTCAACACGTGGAC-3', Mx1 5'-AAGCTGATCCGCCTCCACTT-3' and ⁶²⁵5'-TGCAATGCACCCCTGTATACC-3', IFI6 5'-GGGTGGAGGCAGGTAAGAAA-3' 626 and 5'-GACGGCCATGAAGGTCAGG-3'. Primers for WNV gRNA were as described 627 above.

629 **Infection of human cells post sfRNA transfection.** 2 x 10⁵ Huh7, HFF1, U937 or 630 Huh7.5 cells were transfected with 10^{10} copies of either monophosphorylated-folded-631 sfRNA or the control RNA using TransIT-mRNA Transfection Kit (Mirus) for 2 h. The 632 transfection media was removed and cells were immediately infected with WNV at a ⁶³³multiplicity of infection, MOI, of 0.005 for 1h. Cells were then maintained in 2% FBS ⁶³⁴media for 24 and 48 h before extracting RNA using E.Z.N.A. total RNA kit I.

⁶³⁶**Co-injection of sfRNA and WNV in human skin explants.** Five mm diameter 637 human skin explants as detailed above were inoculated with 10^2 PFU of WNV mixed 638 with 10^9 copies of monophosphorylated-folded-sfRNA or the control RNA in a total 639 volume of 4 µl using a Nanoject II injector (Drummond) and glass needles. At 24 h 640 post injection, explants were cut into small pieces and, homogenized using the bead 641 bitter homogenizer and glass beads before RNA extraction as detailed for mouse 642 skin above.

⁶⁴⁴**Co-injection of sfRNA and WNV in mice.** Five-to-seven-week-old mice were 645 shaved with the animal trimmer (VITIVA MINI, BIOSEB) on the lower back one day 646 prior to injection to limit shaving-induced inflammation. Mice anesthetized by 647 intraperitoneal injection of 0.2 ml/mouse of 10 mg/ml of ketamine (Imalgène 1000,

648 Boehringer Ingelheim Animal Health) and 1 mg/ml of xylazine (Rompun 2%, Elanco 649 GmbH) were intradermally inoculated with 10³ PFU of WNV mixed with 10¹⁰ copies of 650 monophosphorylated-folded-sfRNA or the same number of the control RNA. The total 651 volume of inoculum was 4 µl. As an additional control, other mice were only injected 652 with monophosphorylated-folded-sfRNA.

⁶⁵³At 24 h post-injection, skin biopsies from the injection site and draining lymph 654 nodes were collected and homogenized in 340 µL of TRK lysis buffer using Fast-655 Prep bead bitter homogenizer (MP) set at 1.4 m/s for 60 sec. with 1 nm glass beads. 656 Total RNA was extracted using E.Z.N.A. total RNA kit I. At 4 days post injection, 657 blood samples were collected via mandibular puncture. RNA was extracted using 658 Qlamp viral RNA kit (Qiagen) and WNV gRNA per ml of blood was absolutely 659 quantified after evaluating blood volume by pipetting. Clinical signs and weight were 660 registered daily to determine a clinical score (CS) and calculate the percentage of ⁶⁶¹weight loss until 14 days post-injection. CS of 0 was assigned to healthy mice; 1 for 662 mice with ruffled fur, lethargy, hunched posture, no paresis, normal gait; 2 for mice 663 with altered gait, limited movement in 1 hind limb; 3 for lack of movement, paralysis in 664 1 or both hind limbs; 4 for moribund mice; and 5 for dead mice 91 . Mice were 665 euthanized under anesthesia if they displayed neurological symptoms, severe 666 distress, or weight loss exceeding 20%, or reached 12 days post-injection.

667

668 Chemical inhibition of interferon signaling. Media of 2 x 10⁵ Huh7 cells was 669 supplemented with 10 µM of MRT67307 (MedChemExpress) diluted in water for 1h, 670 then during transfection of monophosphorylated-folded-sfRNA or the control RNA, 671 and infection with WNV as described above.

673 **MDA-5 Silencing.** 2 x 10⁵ Huh7.5 cells were transfected overnight with 5 pmol of 674 multiplex siRNAs (SI05130608, Qiagen) using Lipofectamine RNAiMax ⁶⁷⁵(ThermoFisherScientific). As a control, Allstars multiplex siRNAs (SI91027281, 676 Qiagen) were similarly transfected. 48 h later, cells were transfected with 677 monophosphorylated-folded-sfRNA or the RNA control and subsequently infected 678 with WNV as described above.

⁶⁸⁰**Statistical analysis**

681 Comparison of gRNA and sfRNA copies, relative gRNA fold changes and IFN-related 682 genes were conducted using one tailed T-test or post-hoc Fisher's LSD test. Absolute 683 number and relative values of gRNA and sfRNA copies were log-transformed before 684 statistical analysis. Correlation analysis was performed using linear regression 685 analysis. Survival analysis were performed with Gehan-Breslow-Wilcoxon test. The 686 statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism v9.0.

687 The progression of clinical scores in mice was analyzed using a general linear 688 mixed model with a negative binomial distribution to account for overdispersed data. ⁶⁸⁹The progression of weight change in mice was analyzed using a general linear mixed 690 model with an inverse Gaussian distribution and log link function, appropriate for non-691 negative, right-skewed data. The model assessed the effects of days post-infection 692 and experimental groups (WNV + sfRNA vs. WNV + Ctl.), including random 693 intercepts for individual mice to account for variability across subjects. For pairwise 694 comparisons between groups, post hoc analyses were conducted using estimated 695 marginal means (emmeans) with Tukey's adjustment for multiple comparisons. All 696 analyses were conducted in R (version 4.3.3) using the lme4 92 , glmmTMB 93 , and 697 emmeans packages 94 .

⁶⁹⁹**Acknowledgments**

⁷¹⁰**Competing interests**

711 The authors declare no competing interests.

⁷¹³**Author contributions**

- 714 Conceptualization: ISP, JP
- 715 Formal analysis: ISP, JP
- 716 Funding acquisition: JP
- 717 Investigation: ISP, JZ, HM, SR, SN, SF, CM, WS, SN
- 718 Methodology: ISP, JZ, HM, QN, FRC, RH, SN, EM, RH, DM, SN, JP
- 719 Project administration: JP
- 720 Supervision: OM, JP
- 721 Visualization: ISP, JP

- 722 Writing original draft: ISP, JP
- 723 Writing review & editing: all authors

 $\overline{1}$

⁷²⁶**References**

- ⁷⁴⁷10. Pingen, M., Schmid, M. A., Harris, E. & McKimmie, C. S. Mosquito Biting
- ⁷⁴⁸Modulates Skin Response to Virus Infection. *Trends Parasitol.* **³³**, 645–657
- ⁷⁴⁹(2017).
- ⁷⁵⁰11. Choumet, V. *et al.* Visualizing Non Infectious and Infectious Anopheles gambiae
- 751 Blood Feedings in Naive and Saliva-Immunized Mice. *PLOS ONE* 7, 1–13 (2012).
- ⁷⁵²12. Styer, L. M. *et al.* Mosquitoes inoculate high doses of West Nile Virus as they
- ⁷⁵³probe and feed on live hosts. *PLoS Pathog.* **³**, e132 (2007).
- ⁷⁵⁴13. Styer, L. M. *et al.* Mosquito Saliva Causes Enhancement of West Nile Virus
- 755 Infection in Mice. *J. Virol.* **85**, 1517–1527 (2011).
- ⁷⁵⁶14. Bryden, S. R. *et al.* Pan-viral protection against arboviruses by activating skin
- 757 macrophages at the inoculation site. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **12**, (2020).
- 758 15. Rathore, A. P. S. & St. John, A. L. Immune responses to dengue virus in the skin. ⁷⁵⁹*Open Biol.* **⁸**, (2018).
- ⁷⁶⁰16. Lei, V., Petty, A. J., Atwater, A. R., Wolfe, S. A. & MacLeod, A. S. Skin Viral
- 761 Infections: Host Antiviral Innate Immunity and Viral Immune Evasion. *Front.*
- ⁷⁶²*Immunol.* **¹¹**, (2020).
- ⁷⁶³17. Rehwinkel, J. & Gack, M. U. RIG-I-like receptors: their regulation and roles in
- ⁷⁶⁴RNA sensing. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **²⁰**, 537–551 (2020).
- ⁷⁶⁵18. Lesage, S. *et al.* Discovery of Genes that Modulate Flavivirus Replication in an 766 Interferon-Dependent Manner. *J. Mol. Biol.* 434, 167277 (2022).
- 767 19. Wichit, S. *et al.* Interferon-inducible protein (IFI) 16 regulates Chikungunya and
- ⁷⁶⁸Zika virus infection in human skin fibroblasts. *EXCLI J.* **¹⁸**, 467–476 (2019).
- 769 20. Vogt, M. B. *et al.* Mosquito saliva alone has profound effects on the human
- 770 immune system. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **12**, e0006439 (2018).
- 771 21. McCracken, M. K. *et al.* Route of inoculation and mosquito vector exposure
- 772 modulate dengue virus replication kinetics and immune responses in rhesus
- ⁷⁷³macaques. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **¹⁴**, e0008191 (2020).
- ⁷⁷⁴22. McCracken, M. K., Christofferson, R. C., Chisenhall, D. M. & Mores, C. N.
- ⁷⁷⁵Analysis of Early Dengue Virus Infection in Mice as Modulated by Aedes aegypti
- ⁷⁷⁶Probing. *J. Virol.* **⁸⁸**, 1881–1889 (2014).
- 777 23. Yeh, S.-C. *et al.* The anti-immune dengue subgenomic flaviviral RNA is present in
- ⁷⁷⁸vesicles in mosquito saliva and is associated with increased infectivity. *PLOS*
- ⁷⁷⁹*Pathog.* **¹⁹**, e1011224 (2023).
- ⁷⁸⁰24. Slonchak, A. & Khromykh, A. A. Subgenomic flaviviral RNAs: What do we know
- ⁷⁸¹after the first decade of research. *Antiviral Res.* **¹⁵⁹**, 13–25 (2018).
- 782 25. Bidet, K., Dadlani, D. & Garcia-Blanco, M. A. G3BP1, G3BP2 and CAPRIN1 Are
- 783 Required for Translation of Interferon Stimulated mRNAs and Are Targeted by a
- 784 Dengue Virus Non-coding RNA. *PLOS Pathog.* **10**, 1–17 (2014).
- 785 26. Manokaran, G. *et al.* Dengue subgenomic RNA binds TRIM25 to inhibit interferon
- ⁷⁸⁶expression for epidemiological fitness. *Science* **³⁵⁰**, 217–221 (2015).
- 787 27. Pijlman, G. P. *et al.* A Highly Structured, Nuclease-Resistant, Noncoding RNA
- ⁷⁸⁸Produced by Flaviviruses Is Required for Pathogenicity. *Cell Host Microbe* **⁴**,
- ⁷⁸⁹579–591 (2008).
- 790 28. Akiyama, B. M. *et al.* Zika virus produces noncoding RNAs using a multi-
- 791 pseudoknot structure that confounds a cellular exonuclease. *Science* 354, 1148– 792 1152 (2016).
- ⁷⁹³29. Kieft, J. S. *et al.* The Structural Basis of Pathogenic Subgenomic Flavivirus RNA
- ⁷⁹⁴(sfRNA) Production. *Science* **³⁴⁴**, 307–310 (2014).
- 795 30. Yeh, S.-C. & Pompon, J. Flaviviruses Produce a Subgenomic Flaviviral RNA That
- ⁷⁹⁶Enhances Mosquito Transmission. *DNA Cell Biol.* **³⁷**, 154–159 (2018).
- 797 31. MacFadden, A. *et al.* Mechanism and structural diversity of exoribonuclease-
- 798 resistant RNA structures in flaviviral RNAs. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 119 (2018).
- 799 32. Heidecke, J., Lavarello Schettini, A. & Rocklöv, J. West Nile virus eco-
- ⁸⁰⁰epidemiology and climate change. *PLOS Clim.* **²**, e0000129 (2023).
- 801 33. Suthar, M. S., Diamond, M. S. & Gale Jr, M. West Nile virus infection and
- ⁸⁰²immunity. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **¹¹**, 115–128 (2013).
- 803 34. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, N. C. for E. and Z. I. D. Historic Data
- ⁸⁰⁴(1999-2022) _ West Nile Virus _ CDC. *Centers for Disease Control*
- 805 https://www.cdc.gov/westnile/statsmaps/historic-
- 806 data.html?CDC_AA_refVal=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.cdc.gov%2Fwestnile%2Fstat
- 807 smaps%2FcumMapsData.html (2023).
- 808 35. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Historical data by year -
- ⁸⁰⁹West Nile virus seasonal surveillance. *An agency of the European Union*
- 810 https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/west-nile-fever/surveillance-and-disease-
- 811 data/historical (2023).
- 812 36. Kazmi, S. S., Ali, W., Bibi, N. & Nouroz, F. A review on Zika virus outbreak,
- ⁸¹³epidemiology, transmission and infection dynamics. *J. Biol. Res.* **²⁷**, 5 (2020).
- 814 37. Hamel, R. *et al.* Zika virus: epidemiology, clinical features and host-virus
- ⁸¹⁵interactions. *Microbes Infect.* **¹⁸**, 441–449 (2016).
- 816 38. Chancey, C., Grinev, A., Volkova, E. & Rios, M. The global ecology and
- 817 epidemiology of west nile virus. *BioMed Res. Int.* **2015**, (2015).
- 818 39. Pompon, J. *et al.* A Zika virus from America is more efficiently transmitted than an
- 819 Asian virus by Aedes aegypti mosquitoes from Asia. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 1215 (2017).
- 820 40. Aubry, F. *et al.* Enhanced Zika virus susceptibility of globally invasive Aedes
- ⁸²¹aegypti populations. *Science* **³⁷⁰**, 991–996 (2020).
- ⁸²²41. Funk, A. *et al.* RNA Structures Required for Production of Subgenomic Flavivirus
- ⁸²³RNA. *J. Virol.* **⁸⁴**, 11407 (2010).
- ⁸²⁴42. Göertz, G. P. *et al.* Noncoding Subgenomic Flavivirus RNA Is Processed by the
- 825 Mosquito RNA Interference Machinery and Determines West Nile Virus
- 826 Transmission by Culex pipiens Mosquitoes. *J. Virol.* **90**, 10145–10159 (2016).
- ⁸²⁷43. Pallarés, H. M. *et al.* Zika Virus Subgenomic Flavivirus RNA Generation Requires
- 828 Cooperativity between Duplicated RNA Structures That Are Essential for
- 829 Productive Infection in Human Cells. *J. Virol.* **94**, 343–363 (2020).
- ⁸³⁰44. Subedi, P., Schneider, M., Atkinson, M. J. & Tapio, S. Isolation of Proteins from
- 831 Extracellular Vesicles (EVs) for Mass Spectrometry-Based Proteomic Analyses.
- 832 in *Proteomic Profiling: Methods and Protocols* (ed. Posch, A.) 207–212 (Springer
- 833 US, New York, NY, 2021). doi:10.1007/978-1-0716-1186-9 12.
- ⁸³⁴45. Wang, Z.-Y., Nie, K.-X., Niu, J.-C. & Cheng, G. Research progress toward the
- 835 influence of mosquito salivary proteins on the transmission of mosquito-borne
- ⁸³⁶viruses. *Insect Sci.* **³¹**, 663–673 (2024).
- ⁸³⁷46. Diamond, M. S. *et al.* A Critical Role for Induced IgM in the Protection against
- ⁸³⁸West Nile Virus Infection. *J. Exp. Med.* **¹⁹⁸**, 1853–1862 (2003).
- 839 47. Shrestha, B. & Diamond, M. S. Role of CD8+ T Cells in Control of West Nile Virus
- ⁸⁴⁰Infection. *J. Virol.* **⁷⁸**, 8312–8321 (2004).
- 841 48. Shrestha, B., Samuel, M. A. & Diamond, M. S. CD8+ T Cells Require Perforin To
- 842 Clear West Nile Virus from Infected Neurons. *J. Virol.* **80**, 119 (2006).
- 843 49. Zhao, M., Zhang, J., Phatnani, H., Scheu, S. & Maniatis, T. Stochastic Expression
- ⁸⁴⁴of the Interferon-β Gene. *PLOS Biol.* **¹⁰**, 1–16 (2012).

- ⁸⁴⁵50. Garcia, M. *et al.* Innate Immune Response of Primary Human Keratinocytes to
- 846 West Nile Virus Infection and Its Modulation by Mosquito Saliva. *Front. Cell.*
- ⁸⁴⁷*Infect. Microbiol.* **⁸**, 387 (2018).
- 848 51. Suthar, M. S., Aguirre, S. & Fernandez-Sesma, A. Innate Immune Sensing of
- ⁸⁴⁹Flaviviruses. *PLOS Pathog.* **⁹**, e1003541 (2013).
- 850 52. Li, K., Chen, Z., Kato, N., Gale, M. & Lemon, S. M. Distinct Poly(I-C) and Virus-
- 851 activated Signaling Pathways Leading to Interferon-β Production in Hepatocytes*.
- ⁸⁵²*J. Biol. Chem.* **²⁸⁰**, 16739–16747 (2005).
- 853 53. Blight, K. J., McKeating, J. A. & Rice, C. M. Highly Permissive Cell Lines for
- 854 Subgenomic and Genomic Hepatitis C Virus RNA Replication. *J. Virol.* **76**,
- ⁸⁵⁵13001–13014 (2002).
- 856 54. Gould, E. A., de Lamballerie, X., de A. Zanotto, P. M. & Holmes, E. C. Evolution,
- 857 epidemiology, and dispersal of flaviviruses revealed by molecular phylogenies. in
- ⁸⁵⁸*Advances in Virus Research* vol. 57 71–103 (Academic Press, 2001).
- 859 55. van Niel, G., D'Angelo, G. & Raposo, G. Shedding light on the cell biology of
- ⁸⁶⁰extracellular vesicles. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **¹⁹**, 213–228 (2018).
- ⁸⁶¹56. Vora, A. *et al.* Arthropod EVs mediate dengue virus transmission through
- 862 interaction with a tetraspanin domain containing glycoprotein Tsp29Fb. *Proc.*
- ⁸⁶³*Natl. Acad. Sci.* 201720125 (2018) doi:10.1073/pnas.1720125115.
- 864 57. Reyes-Ruiz, J. M. *et al.* Isolation and characterization of exosomes released from
- ⁸⁶⁵mosquito cells infected with dengue virus. *Virus Res.* **²⁶⁶**, 1–14 (2019).
- ⁸⁶⁶58. Kuhn, R. J. *et al.* Structure of Dengue Virus: Implications for Flavivirus
- 867 Organization, Maturation, and Fusion. *Cell* **108**, 717–725 (2002).
- ⁸⁶⁸59. Mukhopadhyay, S., Kim, B.-S., Chipman, P. R., Rossmann, M. G. & Kuhn, R. J.
- ⁸⁶⁹Structure of West Nile Virus. *Science* **³⁰²**, 248–248 (2003).
- ⁸⁷⁰60. Kostyuchenko, V. A. *et al.* Structure of the thermally stable Zika virus. *Nature* **⁵³³**,
- 871 425–8 (2016).
- 872 61. Raposo, G. & Stoorvogel, W. Extracellular vesicles: Exosomes, microvesicles,
- ⁸⁷³and friends. *J. Cell Biol.* **²⁰⁰**, 373–383 (2013).
- ⁸⁷⁴62. Pijlman, G. P. *et al.* A highly structured, nuclease-resistant, noncoding RNA
- 875 produced by flaviviruses is required for pathogenicity. *Cell Host Microbe* 4, 579–

876 591 (2008).

- ⁸⁷⁷63. Yeh, S.-C. *et al.* Characterization of dengue virus 3'UTR RNA binding proteins in
- 878 mosquitoes reveals that AeStaufen reduces subgenomic flaviviral RNA in saliva.
- ⁸⁷⁹*PLOS Pathog.* **¹⁸**, e1010427 (2022).
- ⁸⁸⁰64. Barbee, S. A. *et al.* Staufen- and FMRP-Containing Neuronal RNPs Are
- 881 Structurally and Functionally Related to Somatic P Bodies. *Neuron* **52**, 997–1009 ⁸⁸²(2006).
- ⁸⁸³65. Kerviel, A., Zhang, M. & Altan-Bonnet, N. A New Infectious Unit: Extracellular
- ⁸⁸⁴Vesicles Carrying Virus Populations. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **³⁷**, 171–197 ⁸⁸⁵(2021).
- 886 66. Diosa-Toro, M., Strilets, T., Yeh, S.-C. & Garcia-Blanco, M. A. Tinkering with
- 887 extracellular vesicles viruses evolve new infectious units. *ExRNA* 4, (2022).
- 888 67. Rey-Cadilhac, F., Rachenne, F., Missé, D. & Pompon, J. Viral Components
- 889 Trafficking with(in) Extracellular Vesicles. *Viruses* **15**, 2333 (2023).
- ⁸⁹⁰68. Xiong, J. *et al.* Extracellular vesicles promote the infection and pathogenicity of
- 891 Japanese encephalitis virus. *J. Extracell. Vesicles* **14**, e70033 (2025).
- ⁸⁹²69. Rehwinkel, J. & Gack, M. U. RIG-I-like receptors: their regulation and roles in
- ⁸⁹³RNA sensing. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **²⁰**, 537–551 (2020).

- 895 Establishment and maintenance of the innate antiviral response to West Nile
- 896 Virus involves both RIG-I and MDA5 signaling through IPS-1. *J. Virol.* 82, 609–

⁸⁹⁷616 (2008).

- 898 71. Loo, Y.-M. *et al.* Distinct RIG-I and MDA5 signaling by RNA viruses in innate
- ⁸⁹⁹immunity. *J. Virol.* **⁸²**, 335–345 (2008).
- ⁹⁰⁰72. Nasirudeen, A. M. A. *et al.* RIG-I, MDA5 and TLR3 synergistically play an
- ⁹⁰¹important role in restriction of dengue virus infection. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **⁵**,
- 902 e926 (2011).
- 903 73. Sprokholt, J. K. *et al.* RIG-I–like Receptor Triggering by Dengue Virus Drives
- ⁹⁰⁴Dendritic Cell Immune Activation and TH1 Differentiation. *J. Immunol.* **¹⁹⁸**, 4764– ⁹⁰⁵4771 (2017).
- ⁹⁰⁶74. Hamel, R. *et al.* Biology of Zika Virus Infection in Human Skin Cells. *J. Virol.* **⁸⁹**, 907 8880–8896 (2015).
- 908 75. Schuessler, A. *et al.* West Nile Virus noncoding subgenomic RNA contributes to
- 909 viral evasion of the Type I Interferon-mediated antiviral response. *J. Virol.* **86**,
- 910_{5708–5718} (2012).
- 911 76. Slonchak, A. *et al.* Zika virus noncoding RNA cooperates with the viral protein

912 NS5 to inhibit STAT1 phosphorylation and facilitate viral pathogenesis. *Sci. Adv.*

- ⁹¹³**8**, eadd8095 (2022).
- 914 77. Bidet, K., Dadlani, D. & Garcia-Blanco, M. A. G3BP1, G3BP2 and CAPRIN1 are
- 915 required for translation of interferon stimulated mRNAs and are targeted by a
- 916 dengue virus non-coding RNA. *PloS Pathog.* **10**, e1004242 (2014).
- 917 78. Wang, Z. *et al.* A mosquito salivary protein-driven influx of myeloid cells facilitates
- 918 flavivirus transmission. *EMBO J.* 1–32 (2024) doi:10.1038/s44318-024-00056-x.
- 919 79. Pingen, M. *et al.* Host Inflammatory Response to Mosquito Bites Enhances the
- 920 Severity of Arbovirus Infection. *Immunity* 44, 1455–1469 (2016).
- 921 80. Fauquet, C. M. TAXONOMY, CLASSIFICATION AND NOMENCLATURE OF
- 922 VIRUSES. *Encyclopedia of Virology* 1730–1756 Preprint at
- 923 https://doi.org/10.1006/rwvi.1999.0277 (1999).
- 924 81. Cardoso, J. da C. *et al.* Yellow fever virus in Haemagogus leucocelaenus and
- ⁹²⁵Aedes serratus mosquitoes, southern Brazil, 2008. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **¹⁶**, 1918– 926 1924 (2010).
- 927 82. Pijlman, G. P. *et al.* A Highly Structured, Nuclease-Resistant, Noncoding RNA
- ⁹²⁸Produced by Flaviviruses Is Required for Pathogenicity. *Cell Host Microbe* **⁴**,
- 929 579–591 (2008).
- 930 83. MacFadden, A. *et al.* Mechanism and structural diversity of exoribonuclease-

931 resistant RNA structures in flaviviral RNAs. Nat. Commun. **9**, 119 (2018).

- ⁹³²84. Théry, C. *et al.* Minimal information for studies of extracellular vesicles 2018
- 933 (MISEV2018): a position statement of the International Society for Extracellular
- ⁹³⁴Vesicles and update of the MISEV2014 guidelines. *J. Extracell. Vesicles* **⁷**,

⁹³⁵(2018).

- 936 85. Malkinson, M. *et al.* Virus encephalomyelitis of geese: some properties of the viral ⁹³⁷isolate. *Isr. J. Vet. Med.* **⁵³**, 44 (1998).
- 938 86. Cécile, B. *et al.* Complete Coding Sequence of Zika Virus from a French
- 939 Polynesia Outbreak in 2013. Genome Announc. 2, 10.1128/genomea.00500-14 940 (2014).
- 941 87. Georghiou, G. P., Metcalf, R. L. & Gidden, F. E. Carbamate-resistance in
- 942 mosquitos. Selection of Culex pipiens fatigans Wiedemann (=C. quinquefasciatus
- 943 Say) for resistance to Baygon. *Bull. World Health Organ.* **35**, 691–708 (1966).
- 944 88. Kuno, G. Early History of Laboratory Breeding of Aedes aegypti (Diptera:
- 945 Culicidae) Focusing on the Origins and Use of Selected Strains. *J. Med. Entomol.*
- ⁹⁴⁶**47**, 957–971 (2010).
- 947 89. Mainan, A., Kundu, R., Singh, R. K. & Roy, S. Magnesium Regulates RNA Ring
- 948 Dynamics and Folding in Subgenomic Flaviviral RNA. *J. Phys. Chem. B* (2024)
- 949 doi:10.1021/acs.jpcb.4c03981.
- 950 90. Forootan, A. *et al.* Methods to determine limit of detection and limit of
- ⁹⁵¹quantification in quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR). *Biomol. Detect. Quantif.* **¹²**,
- 952 1-6 (2017).
- 953 91. Graham, J. B., Swarts, J. L. & Lund, J. M. A Mouse Model of West Nile Virus
- ⁹⁵⁴Infection. *Curr. Protoc. Mouse Biol.* **⁷**, 221–235 (2017).
- ⁹⁵⁵92. Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B. & Walker, S. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects ⁹⁵⁶Models Using lme4. *J. Stat. Softw.* **⁶⁷**, 1–48 (2015).
- 957 93. Brooks, M. E. *et al.* glmmTMB Balances Speed and Flexibility Among Packages
- 958 for Zero-inflated Generalized Linear Mixed Modeling. *R J.* 9, 378–400 (2017).
- 959 94. Lenth, R. emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means.
- ⁹⁶⁰(2024).
-

962

964

⁹⁶⁵**Fig. 1 | sfRNA is secreted in saliva from WNV-infected** *Culex* **and ZIKV-infected**

⁹⁶⁶*Aedes* **mosquitoes. a** Detection of WNV sfRNA in orally infected *Culex* mosquitoes 967 by Northern blot. MM, molecular marker; Ctrl., *in vitro* transcribed folded WNV 968 sfRNA1; Inf., WNV-infected *Culex* mosquitoes; Uninf., mock-infected *Culex* 969 mosquitoes. **b-d** Quantification of gRNA (b), sfRNA (c), and the ratio of sfRNA:gRNA 970 (d) in salivary glands (SG) and saliva from *Culex* mosquitoes orally infected with ⁹⁷¹WNV. **e-f** Correlations between gRNA and sfRNA quantity in SG (e) and saliva (f) 972 from *Culex* orally infected with WNV. **g-i** Quantification of gRNA (g), sfRNA (h), and 973 the ratio of sfRNA:gRNA (i) in SG and saliva from *Culex* mosquitoes micro-injected 974 with WNV. **j-I** Quantification of gRNA (j), sfRNA (k), and the ratio of sfRNA:gRNA (l) 975 in SG and saliva from *Aedes* mosquitoes micro-injected with ZIKV. Each point 976 represents one pair of SG or saliva collected from one mosquito, b, c, g, h, i and k 977 Lines show geometric means \pm 95% C.I. d, i and I Lines show arithmetic means \pm 978 s.e.m. Dotted lines indicate LoD.

- ⁹⁹¹(h). Saliva was collected from ZIKV-inoculated *Aedes* mosquitoes. **i** Correlation
- 992 between sfRNA and gRNA quantities in ZIKV-infected *Aedes* saliva after triton X-100
- 993 and RNase A/T1 treatments. Boxplots indicate median \pm lower and higher quartiles.
- 994 Dots indicate saliva pool repeats. The dot colors indicate the different pools of saliva.
- 995 u, uninfected saliva; i, infected saliva from the corresponding mosquito. \dot{r} , p < 0.05;
- 996 $**$, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001 according to T-test.
- 997

⁹⁹⁹**Fig. 3 | SfRNA in saliva increases WNV transmission. ^a** Pools of saliva were 1000 collected, categorized according to sfRNA:gRNA ratio and used to inoculate cells or 1001 human skin explants. **b-c** WNV infection in Huh7 cells at 24 (b) and 48 hpi (c) with 1002 low (L), moderate (M) and high (H) concentration of salivary sfRNA. N per category, ¹⁰⁰³2. **d** Correlation between infection intensity (i.e., WNV gRNA fold change) in Huh7 1004 and sfRNA concentration (i.e., sfRNA:gRNA ratio) in the corresponding saliva used 1005 for infection. **e** WNV infection in skin explants at 24 h post injection with low and high 1006 salivary sfRNA concentration. **f** Correlation between infection intensity in skin 1007 explants and sfRNA concentration in the corresponding saliva used for infection. **g** 1008 Cells and skin explants were transfected and injected, respectively, with sfRNA or ¹⁰⁰⁹Control RNA (Ctl.) prior WNV infection. **h-j** WNV infection in Huh7 (h), HFF1 (i) and ¹⁰¹⁰U937 (j) cells at 24 and 48 hpi with WNV post sfRNA transfection. **k** WNV infection in 1011 skin explants at 24 hpi with WNV post sfRNA injection. **l** Mice were intradermally co-1012 injected with WNV and sfRNA or Ctl. RNA. SfRNA alone was injected as control. Skin 1013 biopsies were collected at day 1 and clinical signs and survival were recorded daily. ¹⁰¹⁴N, 14. **m** WNV infection in mouse skin at 24 h post injection. **n-o** Clinical signs (n) 1015 and survival (o) for mice co-injected with WNV and either sfRNA or Ctl. RNA. b, c, e 1016 Bars show mean \pm s.e.m. h-j, k, m Bars show geometric mean \pm 95% C.I. n Lines 1017 show mean \pm s.e.m. Dots indicate repeats. Different letters show significant 1018 differences and $*$, $p < 0.05$; $**$, $p < 0.01$ according to post hoc Fisher's LSD test, T-1019 test or general linear mixed model. h-j, Different letters indicate statistical differences 1020 according to Fisher's LDS test.

1022

¹⁰²⁴**Fig. 4 | Salivary sfRNA inhibits IFN responses. a-d** Expression of IFN-β (a), 1025 CXCL10 (b), MX1 (c), and IFI6 (d) in Huh7 cells at 24 and 48 h post infection (hpi)

1023

1026 with WNV-infected *Culex* saliva containing different concentrations of sfRNA. 1027 Category of sfRNA concentration; L, low; M, moderate; and H, high. N per category, ¹⁰²⁸2. The dotted line indicates the mock-infected values. **e-h** Expression of IFN-β (e), 1029 CXCL10 (f), MX1 (g), and IFI6 (h) in Huh7 cells at 24 and 48 hpi with WNV post 1030 sfRNA transfection. **i-l** Expression of IFN-β (i), CXCL10 (i), MX1 (k), and IFI6 (l) in ¹⁰³¹HFF1 cells at 24 and 48 hpi with WNV post sfRNA transfection. **m-p** Expression of 1032 IFN- β (m), CXCL10 (n), MX1 (o), and IFI6 (p) in U937 cells at 24 and 48 hpi with 1033 WNV post sfRNA transfection. **q-t** Expression of IFN-β (q), CXCL10 (r), MX1 (s), and 1034 IFI6 (t) in skin explants at 24 hpi with WNV post sfRNA injection. Ctl., RNA control. 1035 Bars show mean \pm sem. Repeats are represented by dots. Different letters show 1036 significant differences according to post hoc Fisher's LSD test or T-test.

