

# Mathas in Early Medieval Bengal: A Delineation from Two Inscriptions

Ryosuke Furui

### ▶ To cite this version:

Ryosuke Furui. Maṭhas in Early Medieval Bengal: A Delineation from Two Inscriptions. Endowment Studies, 2024, 8 (1-2), pp.3-24. 10.1163/24685968-08120001. hal-04918106

## HAL Id: hal-04918106 https://hal.science/hal-04918106v1

Submitted on 29 Jan 2025

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





Endswment brill.com/ends

# Maṭhas in Early Medieval Bengal: A Delineation from Two Inscriptions

Ryosuke Furui
Institute for Advanced Studies on Asia, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan furui@ioc.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Received 18 August 2023 | Accepted 7 July 2024

#### **Abstract**

The Brahmanical monastic institution called *matha* was an important element of the religious landscape of early medieval Bengal. Its history from the mid-sixth century onwards can be traced in fragmentary inscriptional references. The Paschimbhag copperplate inscription and the Bangarh stone slab inscription give exceptionally rich information on the organisation of the *matha* and activities around it. The *mathas* in both inscriptions were complexes established by royal patronage and functioned as centres of worship and learning. They do, however, show some differences closely related to their different locational and social contexts. The *matha* in the Paschimbhag plate functioned as the centre of a newly-settled *brāhmaṇa* community in a rural area, while that in the Bangarh inscription functioned as the semi-urban residence of a lineage of Śaiva ascetics connected with a wider monastic network. This difference had implications for the later histories of their respective constituencies.

#### Keywords

South Asia – Bengal – early medieval – epigraphy – monastic institution – Śaiva

#### Introduction

*Maṭhas*, Brahmanical monastic institutions which may have been established as a counterpart to Buddhist and Jain *vihāras*,<sup>1</sup> have been an important element of the religious landscape of South Asia since their emergence in

the early medieval period. Their presence in early medieval Bengal can be inferred from inscriptional evidence in this region and beyond.<sup>2</sup> Nevertheless, we have so far no ruins of mathas assignable to the early medieval period in Bengal, which would have given us a clue to their structure, in contrast to Central India, where the ruins of Śaiva mathas still remain and are studied thoroughly.<sup>3</sup> Descriptions of *mathas* in the inscriptions, mostly prepared in connection with the foundation of these institutions or endowments to them by kings or other agents, are rather simple and stereotypical. There are, however, a few inscriptions which provide us with substantial information on the organisation of *matha*s and activities around them. In the present article, I will present two such inscriptions, namely, the Paschimbhag copperplate inscription of Śrīcandra and the Bangarh stone slab inscription of the time of Nayapāla, respectively datable to the first half of the tenth century and the second quarter of the eleventh century, and discuss the organisational character of mathas in early medieval Bengal and the religious and other activities surrounding them through the analysis of these inscriptions.

Before the main body of the discussion, I would like to present an overview of the inscriptional references to *maṭhas* in early medieval Bengal.

# Epigraphic References to *Maṭha*s in Early Medieval Bengal: An Overview

So far, the earliest inscriptional reference to a *maṭha* in Bengal is found in the Jayarampur copperplate inscription of the time of Gopacandra dated year 1, assignable to the second half of the sixth century, pertaining to Daṇḍa *bhukti*, the area adjacent to present Odisha. In it, *mahāsāmanta mahārāja* Acyuta, a petitioner for the purchase and donation of a village, mentions deities, *brāhmaṇas*, *maṭhas*, *vihāras*, and dwellings, presumably of ascetics, as entities for which many good people had purchased and donated villages, land plots and homesteads in an administrative unit called Śvetavālikā vīthī.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> For the evolution of Śaiva monasticism in relation to the development of Jain institutions in the Deccan and other regions, see Nandi 1973: 76–81.

<sup>2</sup> Bhattacharyya 2004.

<sup>3</sup> Sears 2014.

<sup>4</sup> Jayarampur plate of the time of Gopacandra, year 1, ll. 13–16: prārthitā (14) vayam śrī-mahāsāmanta-mahārājācyutena yuşmad-vīthyām sādhubhir anekair ācandrārkka-kālīna-tāmra-paṭṭa-paṭa-śāsana-sthityā satā-mūlyena (15) grāma-kṣettra-vāstūni yuṣmat(·) krītv¿a?krtvā deva-dvija-maṭha-vihārāvasathebhyo 'tisṛṣṭā¿t?(n)y atisṛjyamānāni (ta)th(ai)va samyak prati(16)pālyamānā¿ny eti?(nīti). For transcriptions of inscriptional texts, I

This sentence shows that mathas had been recognised as religious institutions comparable with Buddhist or Jain  $vih\bar{a}ras$  by that time.<sup>5</sup>

The presence of a *maṭha* and its landholdings in eastern Bengal in the following period is attested by the Uriswar plate of Śrīdhāraṇarāta, assignable to the second half of the seventh century, which mentions a land plot of Yogeśvara *maṭha* and a plot of donated land of the master (*īśvara*) of the same *maṭha* as boundary markers of the donated tracts. The "master" could denote the head of the institution, indicating some form of hierarchy or organisation among members of the *maṭha*.

Two copperplate inscriptions of eastern Bengal from the seventh century, close to the aforementioned plate of Śrīdhāraṇarāta both in place and time, record the foundation of *maṭhas* as abodes of deities, and the donation of land tracts for the deities and groups of *brāhmaṇas*. In the Tippera plate of Lokanātha, datable to the second half of the seventh century, *mahāsāmanta* Pradoṣaśarman, a subordinate ruler, announces his establishment of the deity Anantanārāyaṇa in a forest tract after building an abode of the deity (*devāvasatha*),<sup>7</sup> and asks Lokanātha, his overlord, to bestow this forest tract for the regular practice of offerings of eight flowers (*aṣṭapuṣpikā*), food (*bali*), milk rice (*caru*) and charitable feeding (*sattra*) for the deity, and to noble *brāhmaṇas* knowing the four Vedas who formed a community at the locality.<sup>8</sup> Approving his petition, Lokanātha donates the tract for the deity and a large number of *brāhmaṇas* with their service providers,<sup>9</sup> and states the

follow the editorial conventions of the Dharma Project (ERC n°809994), available at https://dharmalekha.info/editorial-conventions, through the present article.

<sup>5</sup> Some copperplate inscriptions of Bengal belonging to the fifth and sixth centuries mention Jain *vihāras*; Griffiths 2018: 45–50.

<sup>6</sup> Uriswar plate of Śrīdhāraṇarāta, l. 27: yogeśvara-maṭha-kṣettre; l. 26: pūrvveṇa yogeśvara-maṭheśvara-tāmra-kṣett(r)a. Cf. Islam 2012: 66.

<sup>7</sup> Tippera plate of Lokanātha, ll. 21–22: mahāsāmanta-pradoṣaśarmaṇā vijñāpitā vayam su(vvu)nga-viṣaye mṛga-mahiṣa-varāha-vyāghra-sar¿i?⟨ī⟩sṛpādibhir yatheccham anubhūyamāna-gṛ(ha?)-(22)sambhoga-gahana-gulma-latā-vitāne kṛtākṛtāviruddhāṭavī-bhū-khaṇḍ¿o?⟨e⟩ ma(yā?) devāvasatha{m}n kārayitvā bhagavān aviditānto ⟨'⟩nanta-nārāyaṇa⟨ḥ⟩ sthāpayita ...; Sircar 1983: 31.

<sup>8</sup> Tippera plate, ll. 24–26: ⟨'⟩nanta-nārāyaṇasya satatam aṣṭa-puṣpikā-vali-caru-satra-pravṛttaye tatra kṛta-sāmānyānāñ ca cātur-vidya-vrāhmaṇā(ryā)ṇāṁ ... (25) [kṛtākṛ]tāviruddhāṭavī-bhū-khaṇḍa[ḥ] tāmre 'bhilikhya mātā-pitror mama ca puṇya-pravṛ(ddhaye) sarvato bhogenā(gra)hāra ... (26) ... (loka?)nāthe¿ṇ?⟨n⟩a ... pratipā(ditaḥ?); Sircar 1983: 31.

<sup>9</sup> For the names of 192 brāhmaṇas and six service providers, see Furui 2017: 213–215.

accomplishment of the manner of worship of the deity Anantanārāyaṇa at the *maṭha* belonging to him as one of the purposes of the endowment. <sup>10</sup> The Kalapur plate of Maruṇḍanātha, pertaining to northeast Bengal in the seventh century, also refers to the establishment of a deity named Anantanārāyaṇa by the *brāhmaṇa* Jayasvāmin after building a *maṭha* on a donated land plot, and to his request for the donation of land for the practice of offerings of food and milk rice, and charitable feeding for the deity and to noble *brāhmaṇa*s knowing the three Vedas, who formed a community at the locality. <sup>11</sup> Though the corrosion of the plate does not allow us to fully comprehend its contents, the donor, *sāmanta* Maruṇḍanātha or his son, seems to have donated "the land tract of water and forest" (*jalāṭavī-bhū-khaṇḍa*) to "the deity and *brāhmaṇa*s of the *maṭha"* (*maṭha-deva-dvijebhyaḥ*). <sup>12</sup>

The services for the deity Anantanārāyaṇa listed in these two inscriptions are almost the same as those rendered to deities installed at their shrines (devakula/āyatana),<sup>13</sup> indicating that the function of a maṭha was somewhat comparable with that of a shrine. This interpretation is strengthened by the synonymous use of maṭha and "abode of deity" (devāvasatha) in the Tippera plate of Lokanātha mentioned above. On the other hand, the land endowment to brāhmaṇas, who were to be settled in the forest tracts where a maṭha was established, suggests their newly-made association with the institution, with which they shared interest in the granted land tract. Brāhmaṇas belonging to pañcamaṭha,<sup>14</sup> who were the recipients of a land donation together with the Buddhist saṅgha of the Dharmasabha vihāra in the Bangladesh National Museum metal vase inscription of Devātideva, assignable to the area around Chittagong in the early eighth century, could also be such brāhmaṇas associated with matha organisation(s). The Ashmolean Museum metal vase

<sup>10</sup> Tippera plate, l. 32: *Etadīya-maṭhe bhagavato* ⟨'⟩nanta-nārāyaṇasya pūjā-vidhi-sampattaye; Sircar 1983: 32.

<sup>11</sup> Kalapur plate of Maruṇḍanātha, obverse, ll. 18–19: jayasvāminā vayam eva jñāpitā viṣaye samvaddha ... jalā ... śrītandreṇā ... sthalīḥ khaṇḍa-dattaka-kṣetra-pāṭake mayā maṭhan kārayitvā bha(19)gavān anantanārāyaṇa(ḥ) sthāpita-pādas (tatra kṛta)-sāmānyāṇāñ ca trai-vidya-vrāhmaṇāryāṇām; Gupta 1967: 70.

<sup>12</sup> Kalapur plate, obverse, ll. 21, 23; Gupta 1967: 70.

<sup>13</sup> Jagadishpur plate of the time of Kumāragupta I, year 128 Gupta Era, ll. 10–11: bhagavatas sahasra-raśmeḥ kāritaka-devakule ca bali-caru-sa[ttra]-(11)pravartta¿ṇ?⟨n⟩āya; Plate of Vainyagupta, year 184 Gupta Era, ll. 12–13: jayanāṭane bhagavataś catur-mmukha-mūrtter mmaṇibhadrasya nāthameṭāyatanaṁ kāritan tasya bhagavataḥ s(uma)no-gandha-dhūpa-va(13)li-caru-sattra-pravarttanāya; Furui 2016: 660.

<sup>14</sup> Bangladesh National Museum metal vase inscription of Devătideva, year 77, l. 10: pañca-maṭhīya-brāhmaṇānām dattaḥ. Whether pañca-maṭha denotes the proper name of a matha or five mathas cannot be ascertained from the context.

inscription of Attākaradeva pertaining to the same area, assignable to the early tenth century, on the other hand, mentions a  $mathik\bar{a}$  as a facility where the Buddha was installed and worshipped, buttressing the observation that the function of a matha was close to that of a shrine, <sup>15</sup> even among Buddhists in this particular case.

The reference to a matha in connection with ascetics is found in the Nimgachi stone slab inscription of Pāhila, a subordinate ruler of the Pāla king Devapāla, which belongs to the first half of the ninth century. The inscription records the donation of a *matha* by Pāhila to Vaisnava mendicants (*pravrajita*) presumably for their residence.<sup>16</sup> Another inscription of a Pāla subordinate ruler, on the other hand, mentions his foundation of mathas. The Bhaturiya stone slab inscription of Yaśodāsa, a subordinate of Rājyapāla, assignable to the mid-tenth century, records the establishment of a Saiva temple complex (a Śiva *linga* installed at a temple surrounded by eight shrines) by Yaśodāsa, and the donation of the village Madhusrava to the deity by king Rājyapāla, with some amount of tax retained by the latter.<sup>17</sup> The verses recording these donative acts are preceded by a eulogy of Yaśodāsa, in which he is credited with the construction of mathas, in addition to other meritorious deeds, including the establishment of shrines and other buildings, lakes/reservoirs and embankments, sacrifices and charitable feedings. <sup>18</sup> Among the buildings established by him, mathas and shrines (deva-geha) are said to have been made of stones that were black like clouds.

<sup>15</sup> Ashmolean Museum metal vase inscription of Attākaradeva, ll. 6–8: tena prāptapañcamahāśavdena mahāpratihāra-śrī-sa(ha)devena mātā-pitror ātmanaḥ sakalasya ca
(7) satva-rāśer anuttarāyāḥ samyak-samvodher adhigamaika-hetoḥ puṇyasyābhivṛddhyai
bhagavantam sakala-surāsurendra-vṛnda{m}-vandita{m}-caraṇāravinda-yugalam
munīndra-bhaṭṭārakam uddiśya maṭhikā kāritā| ta(8)syām sthāpitasya tathāgatabhaṭṭārakasya yathā-vidhi pūjā-pravarttanāya.

<sup>16</sup> Nimgachi stone slab inscription of Pāhila, ll. 12–14, verse 11: tenāsmin kali-kā(13)la-kalmaṣa-muṣā kīrttim sthirām ta¿t?⟨n⟩vatā lakṣmī-nātha-samarppitācala-dhiyā tad-bhaktimadbhyaḥ sadā| sampaj-jīvita-yauvanāni capalāny ālocya dharmmam (14) sthiram dattaḥ pravrajitebhya Eṣa vivudha-sthānānukārī maṭhaḥ||.

<sup>17</sup> Bhaturiya stone slab inscription of Yaśodāsa, ll. 14–17, verses 11–12: Aṣṭābhiḥ suramandiraiḥ parivṛtam (15) prāsādam abhram-liham sampādyendu-marīci-jāla-dhavalair
lliptam sudhā-karddamaiḥ| tenāyam naya-śālinā śuci-śilā-vinyasta-lingākṛtir bhaktyā
(16) dharma-parāyaṇena bhagavān āropitaś śaṅkaraḥ|| Asmai yaśodāsa-niveśitāya śrīrājyapālo vṛṣabha-dhvajāya| śatam purāṇān nikaram niyamya (17) madhusravam grāmam
adāt kṣitīśaḥ||.

<sup>18</sup> Bhaturiya stone slab, ll. 12–14, verses 9–10: toyādhārair amrta-śiśirair ājya-dhārā-vinidrair agny-āgārair upahita-sudhair yajvanām mandiraiś ca| vidyā-sattrair ghana-śiti-śilair deva-(13)gehair mmaṭhair vvā naika-dvārā diśi diśi guṇair yasya jāgartti kīrttiḥ|| Ārāma-s(e)tu-maṭha-maṇḍapa-sattra-dāna-prāsāda-sankrama-jalāśaya-(14)sanniveśaiḥ| tair ebhir ātma-caritokti-padaiḥ praśastair yaḥ svam praśasti-pṛthu-pīṭham ivākrtorvvīm||.

The active involvement of the Pāla kings with the foundation of *maṭhas* is glimpsed from the Siyan stone slab inscription of the time of Nayapāla, datable to the second quarter of the eleventh century. The inscription seems to contain the eulogy of the Pāla kings mentioning their establishment of temples and other religious facilities in various places of Bengal and Bihar, though the full picture is unavailable due to the mutilated condition of the stone slab. The inscription still gives some fragmentary information which includes the following references to *maṭhas* founded by some Pāla kings or members of the royal household at unidentifiable locations: 1) a *maṭha* of two stories as a residence for an unknown category of people, 19 2) a *maṭha*, a lake, and a house of Śambhu (Śiva) called Varākeśvara, 20 3) a *maṭha* for the residence of ascetics and a pond at the king's own city, 21 and 4) a *maṭha* where one *cakravartin*, presumably a Pāla king, established Vaikuṇṭha (Viṣṇu) after constructing it. 22

The inscriptions reviewed above show that the characteristics of *maṭha*s differed in particular periods and areas. *Maṭha*s in eastern Bengal in the seventh and eighth centuries were hardly differentiated from shrines of Brahmanical and Buddhist deities in their function as venues of worship. They were also characterised by their association with newly-settled *brāhmaṇas*. On the other hand, *maṭha*s in the period from the ninth century onwards, mostly in northern and western Bengal, were residences of ascetics of both Vaiṣṇava and Śaiva affiliations, though they also retain their function as venues of worship.

While the above-mentioned inscriptional references enable us to discern the two characteristics of *mathas* in early medieval Bengal, they do not provide much information on the structure and organisation of *mathas*. The Paschimbhag plate of Śrīcandra and the Bangarh stone slab inscription of the time of Nayapāla are exceptions which give detailed information on these aspects of *mathas*. I now proceed to the analysis of these inscriptions.

### Paschimbhag Plate of Śrīcandra: Maṭha Complex in a Brahmāpura

Śrīcandra, the issuer of the Paschimbhag copperplate inscription, was the fourth in the line of the Candras who started their career as subordinate rulers

<sup>19</sup> Siyan stone slab inscription of the time of Nayapāla, l. 16, verse 24: ...-vāsāya maṭho dvi-bhūmah||; Sircar 1971: 53.

<sup>20</sup> Siyan stone slab, ll. 19–20, verse 32: ... yayā maṭhañ ca sara(20)sīñ ca| dhāma varākeśvara Iti śambhor api śailam uttālaṁ||; Sircar 1971: 53.

<sup>21</sup> Siyan stone slab, l. 32, verse 59: maṭhañ ca tāpasa-sthityai nije tu nagare saraḥ||; Sircar 1971: 55-

<sup>22</sup> Siyan stone slab, l. 33, verse 61: ... (33) taran tac cakravarttīha sah| kṛtvāmum maṭham etam atra nidadhe vaikuntham asminn ayan devo raivata-bhūbhṛtīva rucire ...; Sircar 1971: 55.

in Rohitagiri and then in Candradvīpa under the kings of Harikela, the area around present-day Chittagong. During the reigns of Trailokyacandra and his son Śrīcandra, the Candras grew to become the sovereign rulers of the whole of eastern Bengal, except Harikela.<sup>23</sup> The Paschimbhag plate, dated year 5 of his reign and assignable to the first half of the tenth century, is so far the earliest of the copperplate charters of Śrīcandra, of which eight have been published in one way or another to date,<sup>24</sup> and the only one pertaining to Śrīhaṭṭa, the northeastern sub-region of Bengal.

The Paschimbhag plate records the royal donation of a large tract of land to nine *maṭhas* and 6,000 *brāhmaṇas*. It is issued from a military camp pitched at Vikramapura, the capital of the Candras, and is addressed to several groups of people, including officials and the local residents in the three *viṣayas* (districts) of Garalā, Pogāra and Candrapura, accompanied by the land called Aveḍikā, which belonged to Tāla *varga* of Śrīhaṭṭa *maṇḍala* in Pauṇḍravardhana *bhukti.*<sup>25</sup>

The announcement by the king to the addressees is given as his statement in first person. It first says that the king made those three visayas, for which boundary markers for the four cardinal directions are given, into a  $brahm\bar{a}pura$ , which seems to denote a special area assigned to  $br\bar{a}hman$ , named Śrīcandrapura. Then the mathas in Śrīcandrapura are mentioned with their personnel and purposes to which land plots of particular size, computed in a unit of  $p\bar{a}taka$  equalling 10 dronas, are allotted. The first is Brahmā and his matha, for which 81 people are listed and 120  $p\bar{a}taka$  of land are allotted in total. The details are given as follows:

- 1) 1 teacher (*upādhyāya*) of the *Candravyākhyāna*: 10 *pāṭakas*.
- 2) 10 pupils ( $ch\bar{a}tra$ ), for stipulated meals ( $p\bar{a}li$ ) and woollen clothes (phuttaka): 10  $p\bar{a}takas$  (1  $p\bar{a}taka$  each).
- 3) 5 guest (*apūrva*) *brāhmaṇa*s, to be provided food every day: 5 *pātaka*s (1 *pāṭaka* each).

<sup>23</sup> For the political history of the Candras, see Chowdhury 1967: 150–189. For an updated description, with the addition of rather untenable interpretations, see Islam 2018: 610–637.

For the list of these plates, see Furui 2020: 261–262, nos. 54–61. Apart from these, two more unpublished plates are known: one kept at the Bangladesh National Museum with Acc. No. 77. 1477 (Islam 2018: 611) and another held by a private collector (personal communication by late Gouriswar Bhattacharya).

Paschimbhag plate of Śrīcandra, year 5, ll. 25–34. For the list of addressees, see Furui 2020: 138, Table 5.2 a.

<sup>26</sup> Paschimbhag plate, ll. 34–36: viṣayān etāN pūrvveṇa vṛhat-koṭṭāli sīmā | dakṣiṇena maṇi-nadī sīmā | paści(me)(35)na jujjū-khātaka kāṣṭhaparṇṇī-khātaka vetraghaṭī-nadī sīmā | Uttareṇa kosiyāra-nadī sīmā | Ity evaṁ catus-sīmā-paryantāN śrī-śrī(36)candrapurābhidhānaṁ vrahmāpuram parikalpya |.

<sup>27</sup> A pāṭaka is said to be daśa-droṇika; Paschimbhag plate, ll. 36–37, 54.

4) 1 *brāhmaṇa* executive who supervised this (arrangement): 1 *pāṭaka*.

- 5) 1 astrologer (gaṇaka): 1 pāṭaka.
- 6) 1 scribe (kāyastha): 2½ pāṭakas.
- 7) 4 garland-makers (*mālākāra*): ½ *pāṭaka* each (2 *pātaka*s).
- 8) 2 oil-pressers (tailika): ½ pāṭaka each (1 pāṭaka).
- 9) 2 potters (*kumbhakāra*): ½ pāṭaka each (1 pāṭaka).
- 10) 5 kāhala players: ½ pāṭaka each (2½ pāṭakas).
- 11) 2 conch shell blowers (śaṅkha-vādaka): ½ pāṭaka each (1 pāṭaka).
- 12) 2 *dhakkā* drum players (*dhakkā-vāda*): ½ *pāṭaka* each (1 *pāṭaka*).
- 13) 8 kettle-drummers (*drāgaḍika*): ½ *pāṭaka* each (4 *pāṭaka*s).
- 14) 22 workers and leatherworkers (*karmmakara-carmmakāra*): ½ *pāṭaka* each (11 *pāṭaka*s).
- 15) 1 dancer (naṭa): 2 pāṭakas.
- 16) 2 carpenters (sūtradhāra): 2 pāṭakas each (4 pāṭakas).
- 17) 2 architects (*sthapati*): 2 *pāṭakas* each (4 *pāṭakas*).
- 18) 2 blacksmiths (*karmmakāra*): 2 *pāṭaka*s each (4 *pāṭaka*s).
- 19) 8 courtesans (beţikā): ¾ pāṭakas each (6 pāṭakas).
- 20) Repairs (navakarmma): 47 pāṭakas.<sup>28</sup>

Then two groups of four *maṭhas*, namely, the foreign (*deśāntarīya*) and Vaṅgāla *maṭhas* of Vaiśvānara (Agni), Yogeśvara, Jaimani (Jaimini) and Mahākāla, are mentioned with their personnel, purposes and land allotment, which amount to 170 people and 280 *pāṭakas* in total. The first detailed among them is:

1) 8 teachers of *Rg*, *Yajus*, *Sāma* and *Atharva* (*Vedas*) belonging to both groups of *maṭhas*: 10 *pāṭakas* each (80 *pāṭakas*).

Following them are the personnel and so on of each *maṭha*:

- 2) 5 pupils: 5 pāṭakas (1 pāṭaka each) / 40 heads: 40 pāṭakas in sum.
- 3) 1 garland maker: ½ pāṭaka / 8 heads: 4 pāṭakas in sum.
- 4) 1 barber (*nāpita*): ½ *pāṭaka* / 8 heads: 4 *pāṭaka*s in sum.
- 5) 1 oil-presser: ½ pāṭaka / 8 heads: 4 pāṭakas in sum.

<sup>28</sup> Paschimbhag plate, ll. 36-42: *vrahmane* Etan-matha-prativaddha-candravyākhyānopādhyāyasya da(37)śa-dronika-daśa-pāṭakāḥ daśa-cchātrāṇām pāliphuttakārtham daśa-pāṭakāḥ Apūrvva-pañca-vrāhmaṇānām praty-aham bhaktan dātum pañca-pā(38)ţakāḥ Etad adhiṣṭhāya kārayitur vrāhmaṇasya pāṭakaḥ gaṇakasya pāṭakaḥ kāyasthasya sārddha-pāṭaka-dvayam mālākāra-catuṣṭa(39)yasya tailikadvayasya| kumbhakāra-dvayasya| pañca-kāhalikānām| śankha-vādaka-dvayasya| ḍhakkāvāda-dvayasya| Aṣṭa-drāgaḍikā(40)nām| dvāvinsati-karmmakara-carmmakārāṇāñ ca| pratyekam | Arddha-pātakah | natasya pātaka-dvayam | sūtradhāra-dvayasya | sthapatidvayasya| karmmakā(41)ra-dvayasya ca| pratyekam pāṭaka-dvayam Aṣṭa-veṭṭikānām pratyekam pādona-pātakah navakarmma-nimittañ ca sapta-catvārinśat-pātakāh E(42)vam anena niyamena vinśaty-adhika-pāṭaka-śatam|.

- 6) 1 washerman (*rajaka*): ½ *pāṭaka* / 8 heads: 4 *pāṭaka*s in sum.
- 7) 8 workers and leatherworkers: ½ pāṭaka each (4 pāṭakas) / 64 heads: 32 pāṭakas in sum.
- 8) 2 courtesans: ¾ pāṭaka each (1½ pāṭakas) / 16 heads: 12 pāṭakas in sum.
- 9) Repairs: 10 pāṭakas / 80 pāṭakas in sum.

Then personnel of each group of 4 *mathas* and land allotted to them are given as follows:

- 10) 1 mahattara brāhmaņa: 2 pāṭakas / 2 heads: 4 pāṭakas in sum.
- 11) 1 manager (vārika): 1½ pāṭakas / 2 heads: 3 pāṭakas in sum.
- 12) 1 scribe: 2½ pāṭakas / 2 heads: 5 pāṭakas in sum.
- 13) 1 astrologer: 1 pāṭaka / 2 heads: 2 pāṭakas in sum.
- 14) 1 physician (*vaidya*): 3 *pāṭakas* / 2 heads: 6 *pāṭaka*s in sum.<sup>29</sup>

The remaining land is divided into equal portions among 6,000  $br\bar{a}hmanas$  of diverse gotras and pravaras, leaned in various schools of the four Vedas, of whom 37 are named. And all the visayas, or rather their land tracts organised as Śrīcandrapura, are given to the deities of the mathas and to the 6,000  $br\bar{a}hmanas$  with a usual set of privileges covering an extensive range of local resources and some level of judicial power, with the exception of an unspecified size of land belonging to the Three Jewels (a Buddhist establishment) and 52  $p\bar{a}takas$  of land attached to the boat landing of Indreśvara.

The description of the mathas as presented above shows some aspects of their organisation. Noteworthy is first of all the superiority of the matha of Brahmā to the other mathas. It must have had a larger building that was to be maintained by the bigger size of land plots allotted to its repairs,  $47 p\bar{a}takas$  against 10  $p\bar{a}takas$  for each of the other mathas, close to five times as much. The larger space can also be presumed by the accommodation of ten pupils and five guest  $br\bar{a}hmanas$  against five pupils each at the other mathas. The

<sup>29</sup> Paschimbhag plate, ll. 42–47: tathā deśāntarīya-maṭha-catuṣṭaye| vaṅgāla-maṭha-catuṣṭaye| ca| vaiśvānara-yogeśvara-(43)jaimani-mahākālebhyaś ca| Eṣām ubhayeṣām maṭha-prativaddha-rg-yajus-sāmātharvvopādhyāyānām aṣṭānām pratyekam daśa-pāṭakāḥ| pra(44)ti-maṭha-pañca-cchātrāṇām pañca-pāṭakāḥ| mālākāra-nāpita-tailika-rajakānām| (A)ṣṭa-karmmakara-carmmakārāṇāñ ca| pratyekam| Ardha-(45)pāṭakaḥ| veṭṭikā-dvayasya pratyekaṃ pādona-pāṭakaḥ| prati-maṭhan navakarmma-nimittañ ca daśa-pāṭakāḥ| prati-maṭha-catuṣṭaye ca| ma(46)hattara-vrāhmaṇasya| pāṭaka-dvayam| vārikasya sārddha-pāṭakaḥ kāyasthasya sārddha-pāṭaka-dvayam| gaṇakasya pāṭakaḥ| v{y}aidyasya pāṭa(47)ka-trayam| Evam anena niyamena| Aśīty-uttara-pāṭaka-śata-dvayam|.

<sup>30</sup> Paschimbhag plate, ll. 50–51: sad-vrāhmaṇa-sahasrebhyaś ca| nānā-gotra-pravarebhyaḥ| catuś-caraṇa-nānā-śākhādhyāyibhyaḥ (51) sama-vibhāgena śeṣa-bhūmiḥ|. For the names of the brāhmaṇas listed in the preceding section (ll. 47–50), see Furui 2020: 161, Table 5.4.

<sup>31</sup> Paschimbhag plate, ll. 51–56.

number of personnel attached to the *matha*, 81, is also much larger than the 20 belonging to each of the eight *mathas*. 32 As for the personnel common to all the *mathas*, their numbers in the *matha* of Brahmā surpass their numbers in any of the other *mathas* by a factor of two (pupils and oil-pressers), two and three quarters (workers and leatherworkers) or four (garland-makers and courtesans).

The personnel exclusive to the *maṭha* of Brahmā attests to its centrality in this *maṭha* complex. Apart from eight courtesans, this *maṭha* has four kinds of musicians and a dancer as performers, while the other *maṭha*s have only courtesans. The dancer seems to be charged with choreography and other directorial duties in view of the relatively large size of land allotted to him: the courtesans may perform dances under his guidance. His and the musicians' services are indispensable for the dedication of dance and music to the deities of all the *maṭha*s. The *maṭha* of Brahmā also has carpenters, architects, potters and blacksmiths, artisans in charge of the construction of buildings and production of special objects, both necessary for all the *maṭhas*. Thus, the personnel and their services indispensable for the other *maṭhas* point to the centrality of this *maṭha*.

The other *maṭha*s in turn have barbers and washermen among the service providers. Together with physicians attached to each group of the four *maṭhas*, they are charged with duties catering to bodily needs, which are not met by the personnel of the *maṭha* of Brahmā. The difference in personnel could have accrued from a division of roles between the last *maṭha* and others.

The allocation of management-related personnel also has implications for the administration of the *maṭhas*. The *maṭha* of Brahmā has its own astrologer and scribe, apart from a *brāhmaṇa* supervising the provisions for guest *brāhmaṇas*.<sup>33</sup> In contrast, the eight other *maṭhas* do not have such functionaries on their own, and the personnel fulfilling administrative duties, like *mahattara brāhmaṇa*, who seems to be a *brāhmaṇa* chief, *vārika* (manager), astrologer and scribe, are attached to each group of the four *maṭhas*. This means that a collective of the four *maṭhas*, not each *maṭha*, functions as a unit of administration, while the *maṭha* of Brahmā is managed on its own. As noted above, physicians are also attached to each group of *mathas*.

<sup>32</sup> Apart from those 20, one of the 8 teachers of the Vedas may have also been attached to each *matha*.

<sup>33</sup> Emending *adhiṣṭhāya* to *adhiṣṭhāna*, Sircar interprets the last as "the Brāhmaṇa who built the temple" (Sircar 1973: 32) or "the Brāhmaṇa who built (or supervised the building of) the temple" (Sircar 1967–68: 195). However, the land plot of 1 *pāṭaka* assigned to him is rather small for such an important position.

"Foreign" ( $des\bar{a}ntar\bar{v}ya$ ) and Vaṅgāla prefixed to the respective groups of mathas indicates the division based on the regional identities, presumably of the people who would be served by those mathas among the 6,000  $br\bar{a}hmanas$  settled in Śrīcandrapura. Vaṅgāla originally denoted the coastal area of southeastern Bengal co-extensive with Candradvīpa, the early stronghold of the Candras, and came to mean almost all the parts of eastern Bengal following the expansion of Candra territory. The binary of  $des\bar{a}ntar\bar{v}ya$  and Vaṅgāla strongly suggests that the latter connotes the whole territory of the Candras encompassing Śrīhaṭṭa, contrasting with "another country/region" ( $des\bar{a}ntara$ ). The division of the same set of mathas into these two groups may have accrued from rivalry between the  $br\bar{a}hmanas$  from eastern Bengal and those from other areas, 35 which seems to be irreconcilable.

The description of the *maṭhas* also has implications for activities centred on them, which in turn suggest their functions. The most notable is the function of those *maṭhas* as centres of learning. Pupils, ten at the *maṭha* of Brahmā and five each at the eight other *maṭhas*, are accommodated with provisions of food and clothing. These pupils, fifty in total, are taught by a teacher specialised in grammar or lexicography of Sanskrit posted at the *maṭha* of Brahmā,<sup>36</sup> and teachers of the four Vedas assigned to the two *maṭha* groups. Five guest *brāhmaṇas* accommodated at the *maṭha* of Brahmā with daily provisions of food may also participate in the educational activities.

The *maṭhas* also functioned as venues for worshipping the deities installed at each of them. Materials required for offerings, including garlands, oil for lamps and earthenware, are produced by artisans belonging to the *maṭhas*. Services of dance and music to deities are performed by musicians, a dancer and courtesans, of whom those belonging to the *maṭha* of Brahmā fulfil the central roles, as discussed above. In addition, astrologers are present to suggest the proper time for rituals and other religious activities surrounding the *maṭhas*.

<sup>34</sup> Furui 2020: 29.

<sup>35</sup> Sircar 1973: 33.

The *Candravyākhyāna* is cited in the commentary on the *Uṇādisūtras*, 3. 141 by Ujjvaladatta, who lived in the period earlier than the mid-thirteenth century (Aufrecht 1859: XIV, 91). Aufrecht listed Candra as one of the lexicographers consulted by Ujjvaladatta (Aufrecht 1859: XVII). D. C. Sircar interprets *candravyākhyāna* in this inscription as the *Cāndravyākaraṇa*, the grammar of Candragomin; Sircar 1967–68: 195. In the other edition of the plate, in which he misreads the relevant characters as *candravyākaraṇopādhyāyasya* (Sircar 1973: 67), Sircar refers to the tradition of Candragomin living in Candradvīpa, the original territory of the Candras (Sircar 1973: 33).

The two functions of the *matha*s delineated above point to their centrality for brāhmanas newly settled in Śrīcandrapura. As centres of learning, the mathas contribute to the supply of members of the brāhmana community properly equipped with knowledge of Sanskrit and the Vedas. As venues of worship, the *matha*s provide *brāhmana*s and their families with both places and opportunities for gatherings and interaction. The choice of Brahmā as the main deity may reveal the intention of the founder of the *mathas*, presumably the king Śrīcandra, to make them the centre of the new Brahmanical settlement. The installation of Agni, symbolising sacrificial fire, and Jaimini, the founder of the Pūrvamīmāmsā School, at the other *matha*s supports this possibility. On the other hand, the remaining deities of the *mathas*, Yogeśvara and Mahākāla, instead show an inclination towards the Saiva faith. The inclusion of the last two deities may address the diverse orientations of migrant brāhmanas. The sectarian diversity is also shown in the other part of the grant. Towards the end of the document, a person named Vināvaka, born in Kāttīgrāma, is mentioned as the one who settled the 6,000 *brāhmaṇas*. <sup>37</sup> He is called a Vaiṣṇava, attesting to the presence of Vaisnavas in this locality.

The *maṭhas* functioning as centres of a newly-established Brahmanical settlement reminds us of the *maṭhas* of Anatanārāyaṇa in seventh-century eastern Bengal, which were established in the middle of forests settled by a group of *brāhmaṇas*, mostly as venues of worship. The *maṭhas* of Śrīcandrapura may have inherited this tradition with organisational refinement and functional development as their descriptions in the Paschimbhag plate show.

# Bangarh Stone Slab Inscription: A Lineage of Śaiva Ascetics and Their ${\it Matha}$

The Bangarh stone slab inscription of the time of Nayapāla, assignable to the second quarter of the eleventh century, contains the eulogy ( $pra\acute{s}asti$ ) of the Śaiva ascetic Mūrtiśiva, which Rūpaśiva, his co-disciple as well as friend, commissioned with his image. The inscription was discovered from Shibbari, the area to the east of the site of Bangarh in the South Dinajpur district of West Bengal. Images of a Śaiva ascetic and the goddess Carcikā were also recovered from the same area, suggesting its connection with a Śaiva institution together with the

<sup>37</sup> Paschimbhag plate, l. 64, verse 22: kāṭṭīgrāma-bhavo vaiṣṇavaḥ samāropayām vabhūva kṛtī(|) śrīmāN vināyakākhyo viprāṇām ṣa;ḍ?⟨ṭ⟩-sahasrāṇi||.

<sup>38</sup> Bangarh stone slab inscription of the time of Nayapāla, l. 31, verse 31.

<sup>39</sup> Sircar 1973-74: 135.

name Shibbari denoting the house/palace of Śiva.<sup>40</sup> The inscribed slab must have been embedded in the wall of a building belonging to the Śaiva *maṭha* complex mentioned in the inscription.

Preceded by a preamble consisting of verses of invocation,<sup>41</sup> the eulogy gives a genealogy of Śaiva ascetics beginning with Durvāsas, the mythical progenitor, to whose lineage was "born" the great *maṭha* of Golagī.<sup>42</sup> Vidyāśiva was an ascetic from this *maṭha*, and his disciple Dharmaśiva established a lofty temple of Śiva at Vārānasī.<sup>43</sup>

The history of the matha at Bangarh started with Indraśiva, the disciple of Dharmaśiva, to whom Mahīpāla I donated the matha with a lofty palace/temple. His Indraśiva is said to have performed the sixteen great gifts  $(mah\bar{a}d\bar{a}na)$ . His disciple Sarvaśiva became the preceptor of king Nayapāla.

Sarvaśiva conferred the preceptorship of the Gauḍa king, Nayapāla, on Mūrtiśiva, his brother and disciple, and left for the forest. And mūrtiśiva excavated oblong ponds to the cardinal and semi-cardinal directions and established a hundred gardens ( $\bar{a}r\bar{a}ma$ ). His seat/abode ( $\bar{a}saya$ ), namely the matha, and religious monuments ( $k\bar{\nu}ti$ ) established there are eulogised with poetic expression. His fame is acclaimed in reference to his victory in scholarly discussions and performance of the donation of gold weighed against himself ( $tul\bar{a}purusa$ ). Mūrtiśiva constructed a  $vadabh\bar{\iota}$  temple enshrining the goddess Bhayānī.

Ghosh 2010: 136. The image could be that of Mūrtiśiva commissioned by Rūpaśiva, though a fragmentary inscription on a pedestal possibly detached from the image is not legible enough to establish its identity; Ghosh 2010: 137–138. Another inscribed image of a Śaiva ascetic, clearly labelled as Mūrtiśiva, was found at Dogachia in Nadia district of West Bengal, around 200 km to the south of Bangarh; Goswami 1996: 269–270. Debala Mitra, the editor of the volume in which Goswami's article is published, gives a better interpretation of the carelessly engraved inscription in a note of the article; Goswami 1996: 274, note 18.

<sup>41</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 1–4, verses 1–4.

<sup>42</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 4–6, verses 5 (Durvāsas) and 6 (*maṭha* of Golagī).

<sup>43</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 6–8, verses 7 (Vidyāśiva) and 8 (Dharmaśiva).

<sup>44</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 8–9, verse 9.

<sup>45</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 10-11, verse 11.

<sup>46</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 11–12, verse 12.

<sup>47</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 13-14, verse 14.

<sup>48</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 16–18, verses 17 (oblong ponds) and 18 (gardens).

<sup>49</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 18-20, verses 19-20.

Bangarh stone slab, ll. 21–23, verses 22 (learning) and 23 (*tulāpuruṣa*). For the textual description of the ritual of *tulāpuruṣa* and the cases of its performance recorded in an extensive range of inscriptions, see Schmiedchen 2006.

<sup>51</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 24–25, verse 25.

The genealogy of the ascetics ends with Rūpaśiva, another disciple of Sarvaśiva and a friend of Mūrtiśiva. The last portion of the inscription mentions Rūpaśiva as the commissioner of the eulogy and image of Mūrtiśiva; Lakṣmīdhara, the son of Gaṇapati, as the organiser ( $\bar{a}yojaka$ ) of the construction of an edifice, presumably a monument in the matha; and Śrīkaṇṭha as the composer of the eulogy. Following a stanza wishing the edifice of Mūrtiśambhu (Mūrtiśiva) to remain forever, the last verse mentions another Lakṣmīdhara as the engraver of the eulogy.

The genealogy given in the inscription, especially the reference to the *maṭha* of Golagī identifiable with the present site of Gurgī in Rewa district of Madhya Pradesh,<sup>56</sup> suggests that the ascetics of the *maṭha* of Bangarh belonged to the lineage of Saiddhāntika Śaiva ascetics called the Mattamayūras. Originating from Gopakṣetra, the area around Gwalior, it expanded towards east in Central India, especially in Þāhaladeśa by the patronage of the Kalacuri kings of Tripurī.<sup>57</sup> In the process of its expansion, this ascetic lineage saw the development of their *maṭhas* from forest hermitages to semi-urban mansions and temple complexes well-connected with kingship.<sup>58</sup> This process of expansion and development was accompanied by the formation of a network connecting *maṭhas* within the Kalacuri territory and beyond.<sup>59</sup> The extension of this network through Vārāṇasī resulted in the emergence of the *maṭha* of Bangarh, as shown by the activities of Dharmaśiva and his disciple Indraśiva.<sup>60</sup>

Corresponding to the developed form witnessed in Central India, the *maṭha* of Bangarh is depicted in the inscription as a complex centred on the main temple and furnished with diverse buildings and other facilities. The *maṭha* donated to Indraśiva is compared to Mount Kailāsa, glittering like Meru with a lofty palace/temple (*prāsāda*) and constructed beautifully with a mass of gold.<sup>61</sup> With diverse shrines (*surālaya*) and oblong ponds (*dīrghikā*), it

<sup>52</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 28-29, verse 28.

<sup>53</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 31–33, verses 31 (Rūpaśiva), 32 (Lakṣmīdhara) and 33 (Śrīkaṇṭha).

<sup>54</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 33-34, verse 34.

<sup>55</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 34–35, verse 35. He was the son of Śrīdhara and the grandson of līvadhara.

<sup>56</sup> Bangarh stone slab, l. 5, verse 6ab: vamśe tasya vabhūva bhūṣaṇa-maṇir bhū-gola Evākhile golagyās sa mahā-maṭhaḥ sughaṭitaḥ kailāsa Evāparaḥ|. For the name of Golagī and its identification with Gurgi, see Sanderson 2009: 264, note 620.

<sup>57</sup> Sears 2014: 28-34.

<sup>58</sup> Sears 2014: 78-116, 131-185.

<sup>59</sup> Sears 2014: 189-229.

<sup>60</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 7-9, verses 8-9.

<sup>61</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 8–9, verse 9: śrīmān indraśivaḥ sphuṭaṁ hari-hara-prāyāṁ śivendrākṛtim vibhrad vaṁ(9)śa-vibhūṣanaṁ samabhavac chiṣyo (')ṣya puṇyātmanaḥ

resembled the world with the seven oceans and the eight mountain ranges. Such a depiction is rather conventional and the poet may have composed it even without visiting the actual site. Still, it conveys the shared notion on what a matha was supposed to be. Mūrtiśiva excavated oblong ponds to the cardinal and semi-cardinal directions and established a hundred gardens  $(\bar{a}r\bar{a}ma)$ , presumably around the main temple of the matha. His religious monuments  $(k\bar{a}rti)$ , which are compared to the continental mountain ranges and the oceans, may also connote facilities constructed within the matha compound. He further constructed a  $vadabh\bar{i}$  temple, which was decorated with a pot (kalaśa) on the top, banners and lamps. These construction projects commissioned by Mūrtiśiva indicate the character of the matha as a complex expanded by a series of such undertakings. The description that his seat  $(\bar{a}śaya)$ , namely the matha, is not full, compared with the world which is filled with his fame, indicates the ongoing state of the projects.

Due to the character of the inscription as a eulogy of a Śaiva ascetic and his lineage, the activities around the *maṭha* depicted in it are mostly limited to those of the eminent members of the lineage. The most prominent element of their activities is the connection with kingship. The dependence of the *maṭha* and its ascetics on royal patronage is duly acknowledged in the donation by

yasmai kāñcana-puňja-mañju-racita-prāsāda-meru-sphurat-kailāsābha-maṭhan dadāv iha mahīpālo nṛpas tattva-vit-||.

<sup>62</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 9–10, verse 10: yadi jala-dhayah saptāpy ete tathāṣṭa-kulācalāḥ pra(10)ti-diśam amī kāya-vyūham vidhāya milanty api| bhavati sadṛśair etan nānā-surālaya-dīrghikopamitir api cen manye devaḥ kaviś caturānanah||.

<sup>63</sup> Sears 2014: 55.

<sup>64</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 16–18, verses 17–18: mlāya(17)(d-v)ismita-viśvakarmma-sa-śiraḥ-kampekṣitā nirmmitāś citran dikṣu vidikṣu yena pṛthivī-hāra-śriyā dīrghikāḥ| yā dūrād avalokya vāridhi-milad-velā-bhramād bhāsvato vāhā vihvalayanty anū(18)(rddhva)m adhara-grīvā vahanto (')nv-aham-|| yasyārāma-śatair bhāti pulakāṅkura-danturā| bhūr iyan tādṛśāsīma-purāṇa-puruṣāgamat-||.

<sup>65</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 19–20, verse 20: bhavati bhavitā bhūto vā kiṁ kṣitau kvacid īdṛśo vadata maruto yūyaṁ sākṣāt-kṛtākhila-vastavaḥ| ya Iha vidadhe dhātṛ-(20)sparddhā-vivarddhita-kautukaḥ kula-giri-payo-rāśi-cchannaṁ jagan nija-kīrttibhiḥ||.

<sup>66</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 24–27, verses 25–26: teneyam hima-kāñcanāca(25)la-mahā-kautūhalāveśita-svīyārddhārddha-vapuṣmatīva vaḍabhī puṇyātmanā nirmmitā| yat-simha-prativimvam amvara-dhunī-toyeṣu manye 'dbhutam dṛṣṭvā samkucad-aṅghrir adya na jalāny airāvataḥ pāsyati|| yan-mau(26)lau kalaśena kim sa bhagavān- bhānuḥ śiro-bhūṣaṇam kin citra-dhvaja-ḍamvaraiḥ sura-saril-lekhā⟨ḥ⟩ patākāvaliḥ| kin dīpa-prakareṇa ratna-vahala-jyotiṣmatīyam sadā yat satyam svayam udbhavanti vividhāḥ si(27)ddhāśrame sampadaḥ||.

<sup>67</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll, 18–19, verse 19: mahīyasīyan na tathā mahī yathā tapasvinas tasya mahān ihāśayaḥ| ta(19)thā hi bhūmiḥ kila kīrttibhir bhṛtā (bhṛ)to na tasyāśaya Eṣa vismayaḥ||.

Mahīpāla I mentioned as the cause of the establishment of the matha. The complementarity of their relation, on the other hand, is obvious in Sarvaśiva and Mūrtiśiva acting as the royal preceptors  $(r\bar{a}jaguru)$  of Nayapāla in succession. Sarvaśiva

The other activity of ascetics prominent in the inscription is generosity. While Sarvaśiva and Mūrtiśiva are vaguely praised for their munificence, which would shame or anger the Rohaṇa Mountain, 70 and also for donations, 71 Indraśiva is credited with the sixteen great gifts ( $mah\bar{a}d\bar{a}na$ ) prescribed in the Purāṇas. Mūrtiśiva may also have performed the donation of gold weighed against himself ( $tul\bar{a}puruṣa$ ), one of the so-called great gifts, as suggested in a stanza. Their munificence and donative acts must have been supported by accumulated wealth, either personal or institutional, stored at the maṭha, though the inscription gives no information on its origin. The accumulated wealth enabled them to behave like their royal patrons, 74 as succinctly shown by the performance of the great gifts, which are prescribed for kings and royal aspirants, and would later be performed by the Pāla and Sena kings in Bengal. 75

<sup>68</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 8–9, verse 9.

<sup>69</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 11–12, verse 12: rājňaḥ śrī-nayapālasya gurus tattva-vi(12)dām varaḥ| śrīmān· sarvvaśivas tasya śiṣyo (')bhūd bhūṣaṇam bhuvaḥ||; ll. 13–14, verse 14: yenāvarjjita-gauḍa-rāja-gurutā-lakṣmīn nija-bhrātari śrīman-mūrttiśive niveśya vipināvāsam sva(14)yam vaṅchatā| kṣīrodārṇṇava-manthanotthita-milal-lakṣmīm sva-śiṣye harāv āropyāharato viṣaṁ paśupater vvṛttāntam udghāṭitam-||.

<sup>70</sup> Bangarh stone slab inscription, ll. 12–13, verse 13: yad-dānāmvu-sarit-pravāha-laharīnirvvāpitārthi-sphurad-dāridrya-jvalane milanty api janair ggauḥ kāma-dhṛg vāritā|
prodbhūtojjvala-ratna-(13)jāla-vahala-jyotiḥ-paṭācchādita-svāngo lajjitavat sa rohaṇagirir mmanye ('\)dhunā sthāsyati||; ll. 20–21, verse 21: yasmāt pūrṇṇa-manorathe 'rthini
phalair bhū-bhāga-lagnātmanaḥ śākhābhir vviśatīva tad-vali-gṛham kalpa-drumo vrīḍitaḥ|
nirllajja(21)s tu sa kopi-rohaṇa-girir yad-vañcito ('\)py arthinām sārthair artha-kṛtaikagaurava-bharair aṅgair mmudhā varddhate||.

<sup>71</sup> Bangarh stone slab, l. 15, verse 15cd: bhrātā mūrttiśivaḥ sa mānya-mahim(ā) dānāmvu-sekair jjagat pūtam yaḥ kṛtavān nirasta-nikhila-kleśañ ca yaḥ sarvvataḥ||.

<sup>72</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 10–11, verse 11: pṛthvī-meru-sa-viśva-cakra-jaladhi-vrahmāṇḍa-kalpadruma-śrī-(11)nandīśvara-kāmadhenu-bhavana-grāmāṁś ca gāḥ parvvatān-| yo ⟨'\dād bhadra-ghaṭañ ca kalpa-latayā sārddhañ ca kalpa-drumaṁ hemāś¿ṛ?⟨v⟩ebha-hiraṇya-garvbha-turaga-vyūhān-tulā-pūruṣam-||. For the sixteen mahādānas prescribed in the Purāṇas, see Kane 1974: 870–877. For the correspondence of the objects listed in this verse and those of mahādāna, see Sircar 1973–74: 139–141.

<sup>73</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 22–23, verse 23ab: vidvadbhiḥ sa-suvarṇṇakāra-nivahaiḥ kāmaṁ tulāropitā yat-kīrttir nna parīkṣi(23)tā na hi samī-bhāvaṁ gatā kair api|.

<sup>74</sup> Sanderson 2009: 268.

<sup>75</sup> For the inscriptional references to mahādānas by the Pāla and Sena kings, see Furui 2020: 202.

Ascetic practices and learning surely constituted a part of the activities around the *matha*, though the former is mentioned rather vaguely, as in Dharmaśiva being called a storehouse of asceticism (tapo-nidhi),76 or in Sarvasiva having incandescent asceticism (dīpta-tapas).<sup>77</sup> In contrast, the learning of the ascetics is described with specificity. Mūrtiśiva acquired fame surpassing that of the teachers of Bhrgu and Bhaskara by "drinking up", namely defeating, Jains (digambara) and refuting the theory of the Krsnādvaita School.<sup>78</sup> Rūpaśiva is praised for his learning which uplifted the Śaiva doctrine in decline.<sup>79</sup> He travelled extensively and defeated other logicians in all the quarters, and this feat is compared to the world conquest (āśā-vijaya). Bhoja, presumably the famous Paramāra king, praised him for winning over many theorists versed in the six logics.<sup>80</sup> His inference, wisdom and skill of narration in discussions against heretic scholars (pāṣaṇḍi-paṇḍita) are also extolled.81 In these descriptions, the victory over logicians of the other schools in discussions through the skill of debate looms large. It suggests the character of the *matha* as a centre of learning where the training on debate constituted a part of its curriculum. On the other hand, the itinerary of Rūpaśiva, which could stretch as far as Dhārā in Malwa, the capital of Bhoja whom we may identify with his namesake mentioned in the inscription, shows the wide geographical range covered by the network constructed by the Mattamayūra Śaiva ascetics and their activities.

Though sidelined by the deeds of eminent ascetics, the other activities around the *maṭha* can also be glimpsed from the inscription. The temple of the goddess Bhavānī, which must be the main temple of the *maṭha* complex,

<sup>76</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 7–8, verse 8ab: sisyo dharmmasivas tapo-nidhir abhūt tasya vyadhād yo'dbhutam prāsādam bhagavat-trilo(8)cana-guror vvārāṇasī-bhūṣaṇam|.

<sup>77</sup> Bangarh stone slab, l. 28, verse 28ab: śiṣyaḥ sarvvaśivasya dīpta-tapasaḥ sarvvārthicintāmanir jīvo mūrttiśivasya yo (')para Iva prakhyāta-tīvra-vrataḥ|.

<sup>78</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 21–22, verse 22: śaśvat-pīta-digamvarā 'rtha-viraha-bhrāntim tiraskurvvatī kṛṣṇādvaita-kathān nirasya vahuśo vṛddher abhāvam (22) guṇe| Ācchādyaiva bhṛgu-prabhākara-gurūn-yat-kīrttir ujjṛmbhiṇī yat-prajñeva visāriṇī sumanasām vṛndair mmudā gīyate||.

<sup>79</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 28–29, verse 28cd: śrīmān rūpaśivo vabhūva ya Idan devasya caṇḍī-guror mmagnan darśanam uddadhāra (29) dharaṇīm krīḍā-varāho yathā|.

<sup>80</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 29–30, verse 29: yenāśā-vijayodyatena jaladhi-śrīman-milan-mekhala-kṣmā-cakram (bhr)amatā na ke diśi diśi khyātā jitā vādinaḥ| yasyāsāv api bhojadeva-nṛpatiḥ stauti sma dṛṣtvā(30)dbhutaṁ ṣaṭ-tarkka-priya-vādi-vṛnda-dalana-vyāpāra-lavdhaṁ yaśaḥ|.

<sup>81</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 30–31, verse 30: nānānumāna-(śa)ra-pūrṇṇa-tamori-tūnaḥ prajñā-dhanur ddṛḍha-kathā-guṇa-karṇṇa-pūraḥ| pāṣaṇdi-paṇdita-mṛgeṣu gato niṣiddha-mārggānusāri-mṛga-hantṛ-(31)hara-śriyam yaḥ||.

is said to have "a thousand playful nubile damsels." They seem to have been courtesans who offered dance performances to the deity, and their presence hints at the regular worship of deities undertaken at temples and shrines within the matha complex. Apart from that, Lakṣmīdhara, a  $br\bar{a}hmaṇa$ , is mentioned as an organiser ( $\bar{a}yojaka$ ) in charge of an edifice ( $k\bar{i}rti$ ), which seems to denote a monument in the matha to which the inscribed stone slab was attached. Sti is remarkable that Lakṣmīdhara worked as the organiser of the construction of the monument at the order of Mūrtiśiva. We may detect here the power wielded by the ascetic, who was probably the head of the matha, being in charge for all the matters of the entire complex.

In spite of its limited scope as a eulogy, the Bangarh stone slab inscription still gives us some insights on the *matha* of the Mattamayūra Śaiva ascetics. It was a complex consisting of a main temple and other shrines where regular worship was offered, and which was embellished with oblong ponds and gardens. It was also a centre of learning, where ascetic teachers taught their pupils several disciplines which were not limited to the Śaiva doctrine. Its foundation depended on royal patronage, and perhaps so did its maintenance, though nothing is clearly said about the latter in the inscription. The relation with kingship was complementary, with the ascetics serving as royal preceptors. The *matha*, or rather its ascetics, accumulated enormous wealth with which they were able to fund construction projects and great donations with royal dignity. The origin of this wealth, and its management, is unclear from the inscription.

### **Concluding Remarks**

Though belonging to different traditions, one being local and the other translocal, the *mathas* depicted in the Paschimbhag copperplate inscription and the Bangarh stone slab inscription shared some characteristics. Both were highly developed *matha* complexes consisting of multiple buildings and other facilities, and functioned as centres of worship and learning. Both depended on royal patronage for their foundation, and possibly for their economic

<sup>82</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 27–28, verse 27: hima-girim iva śubhram ramya-ratnāmśu-jāla-pratiphalita-sakeli-prauḍha-rāmā-sahasram-| bhavanam idam avetyāsanna-śambhur bhavānyāḥ smaratu nija-vivāhāgāra-lakṣmīñ cire(28)ṇa||.

<sup>83</sup> Bangarh stone slab, ll. 31–32, verse 32ab: kīrttau vāṭaka-bhūsaṇam gaṇapateḥ sūnuḥ sa laksmīdharah śrīman-mūrttiśivājñayā ha(32)rir abhūt sāksād ihāyojakah|.

base, to which the Paschimbhag plate gives a clear answer in the form of the endowment of land tracts. The Bangarh inscription provides no information on the economic base of the *matha*, though its affluence is duly expressed by the deeds of its ascetics. The maintenance of the *mathas* also required personnel and administrative organisation, for which the Paschimbhag plate contains detailed information and the Bangarh inscription only a little.

The difference between the two *matha* complexes, on the other hand, is detectable in the divergent character of the education in each institution. The matha of Śrīcandrapura offered Sanskrit and Vedic education to the accommodated disciples, who seem to have come from a community of newly-settled brāhmanas. The matha of Bangarh, conversely, taught the Śaiva doctrine and other disciplines, including debate, presumably to members, both already initiated and to be initiated, of the ascetic lineage. While the former contributed to the maintenance of the local community consisting of an enormous number of *brāhmanas*, the latter aimed at the continuation of the lineage of Śaiva ascetics with limited membership. This difference was closely connected with the different locational and social contexts in which both matha complexes lay. The matha of Śrīcandrapura was established in a new Brahmanical settlement in rural space and functioned as the centre of a brāhmaṇa settler community. The matha of Bangarh was located in a semiurban area adjacent to the city of Koţīvarṣa and accommodated a closelyknit circle of ascetics who were segregated from ordinary social interactions but connected with the wider Saiva monastic network. Thus, the former had a local but open constituency, while the latter had a trans-local but closed constituency. In addition, the Śaiva sectarian background and the semi-urban location of the latter gave its ascetics an opportunity to form close ties with kingship as royal preceptors, which the rural brāhmaṇas of Śrīcandrapura could not aspire to.

The two inscriptions of early medieval Bengal analysed in the present study allow us to delineate the two different types of *maṭhas* with regard to their similarities and differences. Both disappeared with little trace, but their constituencies saw different trajectories in later history: no Brahmanical centres arose in the eastern part of Bengal, <sup>84</sup> while the lineage of the Mattamayūras and their *maṭhas* spread to western Bengal and the Andhra country. <sup>85</sup>

<sup>84</sup> Furui 2013: 236.

<sup>85</sup> Bhattacharyya 2004.

### Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Annette Schmiedchen, Dániel Balogh and Zachary Chitwood for their insightful comments which saved me from many errors and rendered my sentences more readable. The research culminating in the present article has been supported by the ERC DHARMA Project (ERC N° 809994), as well as the JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (19K01014).

### **Primary Sources**

Ashmolean Museum metal vase inscription of Attākaradeva: https://dharmalekha.info/texts/INSBengalCharters00123.

Bangarh stone slab inscription of the time of Nayapāla: https://dharmalekha.info/texts/INSBengalCharters00113.

Bangladesh National Museum metal vase inscription of Devātideva, year 77. Reading by Arlo Griffiths and the author from digital photographs taken by Adeline Levivier and himself. Cf. Bhattacharya 1996.

Bhaturiya stone slab inscription of Yaśodāsa: https://dharmalekha.info/texts/INSBengalChartersooo61.

Jagadishpur plate of the time of Kumāragupta I, year 128 Gupta Era: https://dharmale kha.info/texts/INSBengalCharters00034.

Jayarampur plate of the time of Gopacandra, year 1: https://dharmalekha.info/texts/INSBengalCharters00076.

Kalapur plate of Maruṇḍanātha. Gupta 1967: 68-80.

Nimgachi stone slab inscription of Pāhila: https://dharmalekha.info/texts/INS BengalCharters00044.

Paschimbhag plate of Śrīcandra, year 5: https://dharmalekha.info/texts/INS BengalCharters00008.

Plate of Vainyagupta, year 184 Gupta Era. Furui 2016.

Siyan stone slab inscription of the time of Nayapāla. Sircar 1971.

Tippera plate of Lokanātha, year 44. Sircar 1983: 28-35.

Uriswar plate of Śrīdhāraṇarāta. My own reading from the digital photographs taken by Adeline Levivier. Cf. Islam 2012.

#### **Secondary Literature**

Aufrecht 1859 = Theodor Aufrecht. *Ujjvaladatta's Commentary on the Uṇādisūtras:* Edited from a Manuscript in the Library of the East India House. Bonn.

- Bhattacharya 1996 = Gouriswar Bhattacharya. "A Preliminary Report on the Inscribed Metal Vase from the National Museum of Bangladesh." Ed. Debala Mitra. *Explorations in Art and Archaeology of South Asia: Essays Dedicated to N.G. Majumdar*. Calcutta: 237–247.
- Bhattacharyya 2004 = Amitabha Bhattacharyya. "The Maṭhas of Eastern India in the Early Medieval Period." Amitabha Bhattacharyya. *Selected Essays*, Kolkata: 13–22.
- Chowdhury 1967 = Abdul Momin Chowdhury. *Dynastic History of Bengal* (c. 750–1200 A. D.). Dacca.
- Furui 2013 = Ryosuke Furui. "*Brāhmaṇa*s in Early Medieval Bengal: Construction of their Identity, Networks and Authority." *Indian Historical Review* 40 (2): 223–248.
- Furui 2016 = Ryosuke Furui. "Ājīvikas, Maṇibhadra and Early History of Eastern Bengal: A New Copperplate Inscription of Vainyagupta and its Implications." *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society* 26 (4): 657–681.
- Furui 2017 = Ryosuke Furui. "Brāhmaṇas in Early Medieval Bengal: Data of Inscriptional References." Ed. Nobuhiro Ota. Zen-kindai Minami-Ajia Shakai Ni Okeru Matomari To Tsunagari (Clustering and Connections in Pre-Modern South Asian Society). Tokyo: 181–215.
- Furui 2020 = Ryosuke Furui. *Land and Society in Early South Asia: Eastern India 400–1250 AD*. London and New York.
- Ghosh 2010 = Ranjusri Ghosh. "Image of a Śaiva Teacher and an Inscription on Pedestal: New Evidence for Bangarh Śaivism." *Pratna Samiksha: A Journal of Archaeology, New Series* 1: 135–139.
- Goswami 1996 = Niranjan Goswami. "A Note on an Inscribed Portrait-Statue of Mūrtiśiva from West Bengal." Ed. Debala Mitra. *Explorations in Art and Archaeology of South Asia: Essays Dedicated to N.G. Majumdar*. Calcutta: 267–275.
- Griffiths 2018 = Arlo Griffiths. "Four More Gupta-period Copperplate Grants from Bengal." *Pratna Samiksha: A Journal of Archaeology, New Series* 9: 15–57.
- Gupta 1967 = Kamalakanta Gupta. *Copper-Plates of Sylhet*, vol. 1: 7th–11th Century A. D. Sylhet.
- Islam 2012 = Shariful Islam. "Uḍiśvara Copper Plate of Śrīdhāraṇarāta." *Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bangladesh, Humanities* 57 (1): 61–72.
- Islam 2018 = Shariful Islam. "Emerging Political Entities in South-East Bengal (Vanga-Samataṭa-Harikela)." Ed. Abdul Momin Chowdhury and Ranabir Chakravarti. History of Bangladesh: Early Bengal in Regional Perspectives (up to c. 1200 CE), vol. 1: Archaeology, Political History, Polity. Dhaka: 551–690.
- Kane 1974 = P.V. Kane. *History of Dharmaśāstra: Ancient and Medieval Religious and Civil Law*, vol. 2. Poona.
- Nandi 1973 = Ramendra Nath Nandi, *Religious Institutions and Cults in the Deccan*. Delhi.

Sanderson 2009 = Alexis Sanderson. "The Śaiva Age—The Rise and Dominance of Śaivism During the Early Medieval Period." Ed. Shingo Einoo. *Genesis and Development of Tantrism*. Tokyo: 41–349.

- Schmiedchen 2006 = Annette Schmiedchen. "The Ceremony of *Tulāpuruṣa*: The Purāṇic Concept and the Epigraphical Evidence." Ed. Adalbert J. Gail, Gerd J.R. Mevissen and Richard Salomon. *Script and Image: Papers on Art and Epigraphy*. Delhi: 145–184.
- Sears 2014 = Tamara I. Sears. Worldly Gurus and Spiritual Kings: Architecture and Asceticism in Medieval India. New Haven and London.
- Sircar 1967–68 = D.C. Sircar. "Paschimbhag Plate of Srichandra, Year 5." *Epigraphia Indica* 37 (7): 289–304.
- Sircar 1971 = D.C. Sircar. "Siyan Stone Slab Inscription of Nayapala." *Epigraphia Indica* 39 (2): 39–56.
- Sircar 1973 = D.C. Sircar. *Epigraphic Discoveries in East Pakistan*. Calcutta.
- Sircar 1973–74 = D.C. Sircar. "Bāṇgaḍh Stone Inscription of the Time of Nayapāla." *Journal of Ancient Indian History* 7: 135–158.
- Sircar 1983 = D.C. Sircar. Select Inscriptions Bearing on Indian History and Civilization, vol. 2: From the Sixth to the Eighteenth Century A. D. Delhi.