



HAL
open science

Editorial: Haptic training simulation, volume III

Carlos Rossa, Xiaojun Chen, Troy Mcdaniel, Arnaud Lelevé

► **To cite this version:**

Carlos Rossa, Xiaojun Chen, Troy Mcdaniel, Arnaud Lelevé. Editorial: Haptic training simulation, volume III. 2025, <10.3389/frobt.2025.1550392>. <hal-04913710>

HAL Id: hal-04913710

<https://hal.science/hal-04913710v1>

Submitted on 27 Jan 2025

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 - Attribution - International License



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY:

Mahdi Tavakoli,
University of Alberta, Canada

*CORRESPONDENCE

Arnaud Leleve,
✉ arnaud.leleve@insa-lyon.fr

RECEIVED 23 December 2024

ACCEPTED 06 January 2025

PUBLISHED 27 January 2025

CITATION

Rossa C, Chen X, McDaniel T and Leleve A
(2025) Editorial: Haptic training simulation,
volume III.*Front. Robot. AI* 12:1550392.

doi: 10.3389/frobt.2025.1550392

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Rossa, Chen, McDaniel and Leleve.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Haptic training simulation, volume III

Carlos Rossa¹, Xiaojun Chen², Troy McDaniel³ and
Arnaud Leleve^{4*}

¹Department of Systems and Computer Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Design, Carleton University, Ottawa, ON, Canada, ²Institute of Biomedical Manufacturing and Life Quality Engineering, School of Mechanical Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China, ³School of Manufacturing Systems and Networks, Arizona State University, Mesa, United States, ⁴University Lyon, INSA Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Ecole Centrale de Lyon, CNRS, Ampère, UMR5005, Villeurbanne, France

KEYWORDS

haptics, hands-on training, tactile, simulation, kinesthetic

Editorial on the Research Topic Haptic training simulation, volume III

This third edition of the Haptic Training Simulation Research Topic presents papers highlighting future research in haptic-enabled technologies for surgical training. These works demonstrate the importance of such technologies fostering motor and sensory skills while reducing cognitive load, keeping costs low, and streamlining design. See [Lelevé et al. \(2020\)](#) and [Chen et al. 2022](#) editorials on the Research Topic Haptic Training Simulation.

Low Cost and Fast Design. Research on haptic training simulation often proposes advanced simulators for specific medical gestures without effective off-the-shelf solutions. This leads to complex, costly solutions, limiting affordability for large audiences. They therefore target experienced trainees needing haptic training for rare situations or surgeons rehearsing complex operations. However, real-world evidence shows a need for simple, affordable solutions for classrooms at all educational levels. These require fast design and low technical requirements to reduce costs and ease large-scale deployment. This edition presents papers exploring this approach. [González-Mena](#) and [Neri et al.](#) illustrate this need, using a common simulation framework combining a design process and software development kit for haptic training simulation. This framework, VIS-HAPT, stems from previous research and helps design “visuo-haptic” experiments using a computer and generic haptic device. Both papers showcase applications for virtual physics experiments in undergraduate and engineering classrooms, providing statistical studies on acceptance and utility. [Neri et al.](#) further test training effectiveness, showing enhanced learning through simulation. They also highlight important design criteria like simplicity, readability, and interactivity. These simulators aim to provide engaging tools for learning Physics more dynamically than traditional lectures.

Dual Users. Another way to make use of haptic devices for enhancing hands-on training is illustrated in [Zhang et al.](#) In this study, the haptic devices are not used to interact with the objects in a virtual world as in [González-Mena](#) and [Neri et al.](#), but to reproduce the expert gestures on the hands of the trainees. More precisely, the surgical tools handled by the expert are connected to individual haptic devices, each one recording in real time

its connected tool trajectory. These trajectories are sent to the trainees' devices, which, in turn, guide the tools of the trainees. Thus, trainees can follow in their hands the expert tool trajectories, instead of only watching them and reproducing them on their own as usual. This experimental study suggests that haptic feedback superimposed on the trainee's motions can facilitate the performance of novice operators experiencing moments of difficulty, which was something that was already observed in other works (see the discussion of [Zhang et al.](#) for references). Even if the small sample size and use of a simple task limit the generalizability of their findings, this study illustrates that haptic training can be realized without any 3D virtual world, which requires accurate modeling for realistic haptic rendering of a complex task.

Tactile Feedback. In [Ratschat et al.](#), the authors designed a shape exploration experiment to evaluate the effectiveness of multimodal tactile and kinesthetic feedback on shape perception. Sixteen participants were involved to reproduce different two-dimensional shapes with diverse characteristics in free space after exploring the shapes with two haptic feedback conditions: 1) kinesthetic feedback only and 2) kinesthetic plus tactile feedback. The kinesthetic feedback mechanism was implemented through an adapted single-degree-of-freedom SenseGlove Nova mechanism with an integrated electromagnetic brake. And tactile feedback was provided with a cable-driven platform mounted on the fingertip. To measure the participants' ability to perceive and reproduce the rendered shapes, the authors recorded the time participants spent exploring and reproducing the shapes and the error between the rendered and reproduced shapes after exploration and assessed the workload and motivation with questionnaires. Experimental results show that in a virtual shape exploration task without visual feedback, providing tactile and kinesthetic feedback is associated with more accurate and careful shape reproduction compared to exploring shapes with only kinesthetic feedback. Besides, the addition of tactile feedback does not seem to reduce the time spent during exploration, nor does it have an effect on motivation or workload. Thus, combining haptic and kinesthetic feedback could create more realistic virtual environments that may lead to better training results and easier transfer to real-world tasks, having implications across a variety of applications and training scenarios.

Vibrotactile. Similarly, in [Boutin et al.](#), the authors combined haptic (vibrotactile) feedback through the use of a haptic glove with a VR simulator for mixed-reality surgical training. They specifically focused on the potential for enhanced sensory feedback within VR. The authors chose to investigate External Ventricular Drain Placement (EVD), a common neurosurgery procedure, as a starting point. Experimental results demonstrated the simulator's accuracy, even though one major limitation was a lack of kinesthetic feedback. Like [Ratschat et al.](#), this work shows the potential to create more realistic mixed-reality environments that could extend beyond surgical applications.

Kinesthetic. Force feedback plays a vital role in developing surgical skills, yet many virtual reality simulators lack this feature, creating a significant disparity between physical trainers and their digital counterparts, potentially limiting their effectiveness. In [Abinaya and Manivannan](#), the authors take a different approach and use haptic feedback as an assessment metric for surgical

training, focussing on laparoscopic surgery using a virtual reality simulator. By incorporating haptic feedback, they replicate the forces between the tool and the tissue, which directly correlate to tissue trauma. A virtual laparoscopic force model is incorporated into the simulator and used to determine the just noticeable differences of the laparoscopic grasping force. The results suggest that a simple linear model is sufficient for gripper force feedback, and a non-linear model does not affect the force perception. Expert laparoscopic surgeons agree that haptic feedback improves learning performance, and the force model improves the accuracy of object interaction during the gripping task.

Innovative approaches to enhance surgical training must foster motor and sensory skills while reducing cognitive burden, lowering costs, and being conducive to faster design processes. This Research Topic, the third on the Research Topic of Haptic Training Simulation, underscores the potential of haptic-enabled virtual reality tools in shaping the future of surgical education and improving patient outcomes.

Author contributions

CR: Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing. XC: Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing. TM: Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing. AL: Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of *Frontiers*, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Lelevé, A., Mcdaniel, T., and Rossa, C. (2020). Haptic Training Simulation. *Front. virtual real.* 1. doi:10.3389/frvir.2020.00003

Chen, X., Lelevé, A., Mcdaniel, T., and Rossa, C. (2022). Haptic training simulation, volume II. *Front. Robot. AI* 1. doi:10.3389/frobt.2022.965113