

# Resource Allocation for IRS-Assisted Symbiotic Vehicular RSMA and Backscatter Communication

Kofi Ofori-Amanfo, Derek Kwaku Pobi Asiedu, Samir Saoudi, Ji-Hoon Yun

### ▶ To cite this version:

Kofi Ofori-Amanfo, Derek Kwaku Pobi Asiedu, Samir Saoudi, Ji-Hoon Yun. Resource Allocation for IRS-Assisted Symbiotic Vehicular RSMA and Backscatter Communication. 2024 15th International Conference on Information and Communication Technology Convergence (ICTC), Oct 2024, Jeju Island, South Korea. pp.1169-1170, 10.1109/ICTC62082.2024.10827565. hal-04910102

## HAL Id: hal-04910102 https://hal.science/hal-04910102v1

Submitted on 24 Jan 2025

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Resource Allocation for IRS-Assisted Symbiotic Vehicular RSMA and Backscatter Communication

Kofi A. Ofori-Amanfo\*, Derek K. P. Asiedu<sup>†</sup>, Samir Saoudi<sup>†</sup>, and Ji-Hoon Yun\*

\*Department of Electrical and Information Engineering, Seoul National University of Science and Technology,

Seoul 01811, South Korea. Emails: kofiaoforiamanfo@seoultech.ac.kr, jhyun@seoultech.ac.kr

<sup>†</sup>IMT-Atlantique, Lab-STICC, 29238 Brest, France. Emails: kwakupobi@ieee.org, samir.saoudi@imt-atlantique.fr

*Abstract*—We develop a symbiotic operation framework of primary downlink vehicular communication using rate-splitting multiple access (RSMA) and secondary backscatter communication of an intelligent reflecting surface (IRS). The framework employs alternating optimization for resource allocation, considering channel estimation errors caused by vehicular mobility.

*Index Terms*—rate splitting multiple access (RSMA), backscatter communication, intelligent reflecting surface (IRS).

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Vehicular communication is expected to become prevalent in the near future, offering reliable data services to moving vehicles and their passengers. Intelligent reflecting surfaces (IRS) and rate-splitting multiple access (RSMA), both considered promising technologies for 6G communication, may also enhance vehicular communication by increasing spectral efficiency under unfavorable propagation conditions.

In this paper, we develop a vehicular communication framework that enables the symbiotic operation of IRS-aided primary downlink communication for multiple vehicles using RSMA and secondary backscatter communication of the IRS. This approach extends beyond the limitations of existing research, which primarily focuses on nonorthogonal and timedivision multiple access schemes with primary communication only [1], [2]. To achieve effective symbiotic radio functioning, our framework employs an alternating optimization approach for resource allocation, aiming at sum-rate maximization while accounting for channel estimation errors caused by vehicular mobility.

#### II. SYSTEM AND CHANNEL MODEL

We consider the system architecture illustrated in Fig. 1, where the primary network (PN) consists of an  $N_S$ -antenna base station (primary transmitter (PT)) labeled S, communicating with V single-antenna vehicles (primary receivers (PRs),  $v = 1, \ldots, V$ ), supported by an IRS device (secondary transmitter (ST)) denoted by I, with M reflective elements. The secondary network (SN) consists of the IRS device, which




Fig. 1: IRS-assisted symbiotic vehicular radio system model.

uses backscatter communication to transmit its data to a singleantenna secondary backscatter reader (SR) labeled R. The PN utilizes RSMA to transmit data from the PT to PRs, while the IRS device backscatters the PT's signals to both assist in PT's transmission and transmit its data. The received signals at node  $z \in \{v, R\}$  (either PR<sub>v</sub> or SR) is given by

$$\hat{y} = \underbrace{\sum_{v=1}^{V} \mathbf{h}_{S,z}^{H} \mathbf{p}_{S,v} x_{P,v} + \mathbf{h}_{S,z}^{H} \mathbf{p}_{S,C} x_{C} + n_{z}}_{\text{Direct-link (private+common) signal + noise}} + \underbrace{\mathbf{H}_{S,I}^{H} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{V} \mathbf{p}_{S,v} x_{P,v} + \mathbf{p}_{S,C} x_{C}\right) x_{I} \Theta_{I} \mathbf{h}_{I,z},}_{\text{IRS backscattered signals (IRS data+private+common)}}$$
(1)

where  $\mathbf{h}_{S,z} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_S \times 1}$  is the PT-to-*z* channel,  $\mathbf{p}_{S,C} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_S \times 1}$ and  $\mathbf{p}_{S,v} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_S \times 1}$  are the beamforming vectors between PT and  $PR_v$  for the common and private channels, respectively.  $\mathbf{H}_{S,I} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_S \times M}, \mathbf{h}_{I,v} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1}$ , and  $\mathbf{h}_{I,R}$  are the PT-to-IRS channel, the IRS-to-PRv channel, and the IRS-to-SR channel, respectively.  $\Theta_I = \operatorname{diag}(\theta_{I,1}, \cdots, \theta_{I,M}) \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M}$  is the IRS's reflection coefficient matrix with  $\theta_{I,m} = e^{j\phi_{I,m}}$  and  $\phi_{I,m} \in [0, 2\pi)$ .  $x_{P,v}$  and  $x_C$  are the private message of  $PR_v$ and the common message of the PRs, respectively, while  $x_I$ is the IRS data. According to [3], the fading channel under mobility is modeled as  $h[t] = \sqrt{\epsilon^2 h[t-1]} + \sqrt{1-\epsilon^2} e[t]$ , where the current time t channel  $\triangleq h[t] \sim C\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ , and the channel for the previous time  $(t-1) \triangleq h[t-1] \sim C\mathcal{N}(0, 1-\sigma_e^2)$ .  $e[t] \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, \sigma_e^2)$  is the channel estimation error, and  $\epsilon$  denotes the time correlation coefficient, given by  $\epsilon = J_0(2\pi f_d T)$ . The maximum Doppler frequency  $f_d$  is defined as  $f_d = \nu f_c/C$ , where  $f_c$  is the carrier frequency,  $\nu$  is the vehicle speed, and C is the speed of light. T is the channel instantiation interval.

This work was supported in part by the Institute of Information Communications Technology Planning & Evaluation (IITP) Grant funded by the Korean Government (MSIT) under Grant 2022-0-00214 and in part by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education under Grant NRF-2019R1A6A1A03032119 and 2022R1F1A1076387.

The signal-to-interference-plus-noise ratio (SINR) for the common and private data of the  $PR_v$  is defined in (2), and the IRS backscattered received SINR at R is deduced in (3):

$$\hat{\gamma}_{S,v}^{C} = \frac{\hat{y}_{S,v}^{C(des)}}{\hat{y}_{S,v}^{C(intf)} + \sigma_{v}^{2}} \qquad \hat{\gamma}_{S,v}^{P} = \frac{\hat{y}_{S,v}^{P(des)}}{\hat{y}_{S,v}^{P(intf)} + \sigma_{v}^{2}}, \qquad (2)$$

$$\hat{\gamma}_{I,R} = \frac{\sum_{v=1}^{V} |\mathbf{H}_{S,I}^{H} \mathbf{p}_{S,v} \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{I} \mathbf{h}_{I,R}|^{2} + |\mathbf{H}_{S,I}^{H} \mathbf{p}_{S,C} \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{I} \mathbf{h}_{I,R}|^{2}}{B_{S,R} + D_{I,v} + \sigma_{R}^{2}},$$
(3)

$$\begin{split} \hat{y}_{S,v}^{C(des)} &= |\mathbf{h}_{S,v}^{H} \mathbf{p}_{S,C}|^{2} + |\mathbf{H}_{S,I}^{H} \mathbf{p}_{S,C} \Theta_{I} \mathbf{h}_{I,v}|^{2}, \\ \hat{y}_{S,v}^{C(intf)} &= \sum_{j=1}^{V} (|\mathbf{h}_{S,v}^{H} \mathbf{p}_{S,j}|^{2} + 2|\mathbf{H}_{S,I}^{H} \mathbf{p}_{S,j} \Theta_{I} \mathbf{h}_{I,v}|^{2}), \\ \hat{y}_{S,v}^{P(des)} &= |\mathbf{h}_{S,v}^{H} \mathbf{p}_{S,v}|^{2} + |\mathbf{H}_{S,I}^{H} \mathbf{p}_{S,v} \Theta_{I} \mathbf{h}_{I,v}|^{2}, \\ \hat{y}_{S,v}^{P(intf)} &= \sum_{j \neq v}^{V} |\mathbf{h}_{S,v}^{H} \mathbf{p}_{S,j}|^{2} + \sum_{j \neq v}^{V} |\mathbf{H}_{S,I}^{H} \mathbf{p}_{S,j} \Theta_{I} \mathbf{h}_{I,v}|^{2}, \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{V} |\mathbf{H}_{S,I}^{H} \mathbf{p}_{S,j} \Theta_{I} \mathbf{h}_{I,v}|^{2}, \\ B_{S,R} &= |\mathbf{H}_{S,R}^{H} \mathbf{p}_{S,C}|^{2} + \sum_{v=1}^{V} |\mathbf{H}_{S,R}^{H} \mathbf{p}_{S,v}|^{2}, \\ D_{I,v} &= (\sum_{v=1}^{V} |\mathbf{H}_{S,I}^{H} \mathbf{p}_{S,v} \Theta_{I} \mathbf{h}_{I,R}|^{2} + |\mathbf{H}_{S,I}^{H} \mathbf{p}_{S,C} \Theta_{I} \mathbf{h}_{I,R}|^{2}). \end{split}$$

#### **III. RESOURCE ALLOCATION SOLUTION**

The target sum-rate maximization problem, optimizing  $\{\mathbf{p}_{S,v}\}_{v=1}^{V}, \mathbf{p}_{S,C}, \text{ and } \Theta_{I} \text{ is formulated as}$ 

$$\underset{\{\mathbf{p}_{S,v}\}_{v=1}^{V}, \mathbf{p}_{S,C}, \mathbf{\Theta}_{I}}{\text{maximize}} \sum_{v=1}^{V} R_{v} + R_{I,R}$$
(4a)

s.t. 
$$|\theta_{I,m}| = 1, \forall m,$$
 (4b)

$$\sum_{j \neq v}^{r} C_{S,j} \le \log_2(1 + \hat{\gamma}_{S,v}^C), \forall v \qquad (4c)$$

$$\sum_{v=1}^{V} \|\mathbf{p}_{S,v}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{p}_{S,C}\|^2 \le P_T, \qquad (4d)$$

where  $C_{s,j}$  is the common channel rate of  $PR_j$ ,  $R_v = \log_2(1 + \hat{\gamma}_{S,v}^P) + C_{S,v}$ ,  $R_{I,R} = \log_2(1 + \hat{\gamma}_{I,R})$ , and  $P_T$  is the maximum transmit power of the PT. The following two optimization processes are alternated until both converge.

*Transmit Beamformer Optimization*: Exploiting the weighted minimum mean-square error (WMMSE) approach [4] to find the optimal beamformers, Problem (4) can be transformed into

$$\underset{\{\mathbf{p}_{S,v}\}_{v=1}^{V}, \mathbf{p}_{S,C}}{\text{minimize}} \quad \sum_{v=1}^{V} (\xi_{C,v} + \xi_{P,v}), \ \forall v \in V, \text{ s.t. (4c)} \quad (5)$$

with  $\min_{\omega_{C,v},m_{C,v}} \xi_{C,v} = 1 - (\log_2(1/\varepsilon_{C,v}^{MMSE})), \min_{\omega_{P,v},m_{P,v}} \xi_{P,v} = 1 - (\log_2(1/\varepsilon_{P,v}^{MMSE}))$ , where  $\omega_{C,v}^*, \omega_{P,v}^*, m_{C,v}^*$  and  $m_{P,v}^*$  denote the optimal weights and optimal receive filters for the common and private data, respectively.  $\varepsilon_{C,v}^{MMSE}$  and  $\varepsilon_{P,v}^{MMSE}$  denote the minimum mean square error function of the common and private message, respectively. Problem (5) is convex and can be solved efficiently using existing solvers.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>We used the Matlab CVX toolbox to obtain the numerical results.




Fig. 2: Total, PN, and SN sum-rates for varying vehicle speeds

*IRS Reflection Coefficient Optimization*: We employ the Riemannian conjugate gradient algorithm [5] to iteratively update each  $\theta_{I,m}$ , where the *n*th update is given as

$$\theta_{I,m}[n+1] = \frac{(\theta_{I,m}[n] + \tau\kappa)}{|(\theta_{I,m}[n] + \tau\kappa)|}.$$
(6)

Here,  $\tau$  denotes the step size, and  $\kappa$  is the search direction, which is obtained as the tangent vector conjugate to the Riemannian gradient of the objective function (4a).

#### **IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS**

For evaluation, we extend the urban grid scenario outlined by 3GPP [6]. The carrier frequency is set to 2.4 GHz. We configure the transmit power  $P_T$  to 25 dBm and T to 1 ms.

Fig. 2 shows the sum-rate results of the proposed framework for varying vehicular speeds. Due to the symbiotic operation of the PN and SN, the SN rate is also achieved, albeit much lower than the PN rate. We observe that RSMA outperforms both NOMA and SDMA. While all methods exhibit decreasing PN rates with increasing vehicle speeds due to rising channel estimation errors, the SN rates remain negligibly affected. Additionally, we observe that increasing the number of IRS elements from 20 to 40 results in an increase in both the PN and SN rates across all schemes. The increase in SN rates is more significant compared to the increase in PN rates with the increase in IRS elements.

#### REFERENCES

- Y. Chen, Y. Wang, J. Zhang, and Z. Li, "Resource allocation for intelligent reflecting surface aided vehicular communications," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 69, no. 10, pp. 12321–12326, Jul. 2020.
- [2] W. U. Khan, M. A. Jamshed, E. Lagunas, S. Chatzinotas, X. Li, and B. Ottersten, "Energy efficiency optimization for backscatter enhanced NOMA cooperative V2X communications under imperfect CSI," *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, vol. 24, no. 11, pp. 12961–12972, Nov. 2023.
- [3] O. Dizdar, Y. Mao, and B. Clerckx, "Rate-splitting multiple access to mitigate the curse of mobility in (massive) MIMO networks," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 69, no. 10, pp. 6765–6780, Jul. 2021.
- [4] S. S. Christensen, R. Agarwal, E. De Carvalho, and J. M. Cioffi, "Weighted sum-rate maximization using weighted MMSE for MIMO-BC beamforming design," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 7, no. 12, pp. 4792–4799, Dec. 2008.
- [5] H. Guo, Y.-C. Liang, J. Chen, and E. G. Larsson, "Weighted sumrate maximization for reconfigurable intelligent surface aided wireless networks," *IEEE transactions on wireless communications*, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 3064–3076, Feb. 2020.
- [6] 3GPP, "Study on evaluation methodology of new vehicle-to-everything (V2X) use cases for LTE and NR," *Tech. Rep.* 37.885 V15.3.0, Jun. 2019.