

Enhancing environmental models with a new downscaling method for global radiation in complex terrain

Arsène Druel, Julien Ruffault, Hendrik Davi, André Chanzy, Olivier Marloie, Miquel de Cáceres, Florent Mouillot, Christophe François, Kamel Soudani, Nicolas Martin-StPaul

▶ To cite this version:

Arsène Druel, Julien Ruffault, Hendrik Davi, André Chanzy, Olivier Marloie, et al.. Enhancing environmental models with a new downscaling method for global radiation in complex terrain. 2024. hal-04906832v1

HAL Id: hal-04906832 https://hal.science/hal-04906832v1

Preprint submitted on 23 Oct 2024 (v1), last revised 22 Jan 2025 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



1



2 Enhancing environmental models with a new downscaling method

Title:

3 for global radiation in complex terrain

4 Authors / co authors:

5 Druel, Arsène¹, Ruffault, Julien¹, Davi, Hendrik¹, Chanzy, André², Marloie, Olivier¹, De
6 Cáceres, Miquel³, Mouillot, Florent⁴, François, Christophe⁵, Soudani, Kamel⁵, and Martin7 StPaul, Nicolas K.¹.

¹ URFM, INRAE, 84000 Avignon, France; ² UMR 1114 EMMAH, INRAE, Avignon University,
 84000 Avignon, France; ³ CREAF, Centre de Recerca Ecològica i Aplicacions Forestals,
 Bellaterra, Catalonia, Spain; ⁴ UMR 5175 CEFE, Montpellier University, CNRS, EPHE, IRD,
 Montpellier, France; ⁵ UMR 8079 ESE, UPS, CNRS, AgroParisTech, Orsay, France

12 Correspondence email: arsene.druel@inrae.fr

13





14 Abstract:

15 Global radiation is a key climate input in forest process-based models (PBM) as it 16 determines photosynthesis, transpiration and the canopy energy balance. While radiation is 17 highly variable at fine spatial resolution in complex terrain due to shadowing effects, data required for PBM currently available over large extents are generally at spatial resolution 18 19 coarser than ~9 km. Downscaling radiation from large-scale to high resolution available from 20 digital elevation models is therefore of potential importance to refine global radiation estimates and improve PBM estimations. In this study, we introduce a new downscaling 21 22 model that aims to refine sub-daily global radiation data obtained from climate reanalysis or projection at large scales to the resolution of a given digital elevation model. First, 23 downscaling involves splitting radiation into direct and diffuse fraction. Then, the influence of 24 surrounding mountains' shade on direct radiation and the "bowl" (deep valley) effect on 25 diffuse radiation is considered. The model was evaluated by comparing simulated and 26 observed radiation at the Mont Ventoux mountain study site (southeast of France) using the 27 recent ERA5-Land hourly data available at 9 km resolution as input and downscaled at 28 different spatial resolution (from 1 km to 30 m resolution) using a digital elevation model. The 29 downscaling algorithm improved the reliability of radiation at the study site in particular at 30 scales below 150 m. Finally, by using two different process based models (Castanea, a 31 process-based model simulating tree growth, and SurEau, a plant-hydraulic model 32 simulating hydraulic failure risk), we showed that accounting for fine resolution radiation can 33 have a great impact on predictions of forest functions and climatic risks. 34

35 short summary:

1

36 Accurate radiation data are essential for understanding ecosystem growth. Traditional large-37 scale data lack the precision needed for complex terrains, e.g. mountainous regions. This 38 study introduces a new model to enhance radiation data resolution using elevation maps, 39 which accounts for sub-daily direct and diffuse radiation effects caused by terrain features. 40 Tested on Mont Ventoux, this method significantly improves radiation estimates, benefiting 41 forest growth and climate risk models.





42 1. INTRODUCTION

43 Studies assessing the impacts of climate change on forest ecosystem functions increasingly rely on high resolution spatial and temporal climate data. For example, process-based 44 45 models that aim to evaluate the effect of climate on forest functions and services require daily or sub-daily temporal resolution meteorology as input (e.g., Davi et al., 2006; De 46 Cáceres et al., 2023; Granier et al., 2007; Ruffault et al., 2013, 2022, 2023) to simulate key 47 48 ecophysiological processes (transpiration, photosynthesis or water potential). Yet, even relatively fine-grained (i.e., 1 km) historical or projected climate products (Hijmans et al., 49 50 2005; Brun et al., 2022) do not correspond to the "topographic scale" and cannot reproduce 51 fine-scale patterns observed in heterogeneous landscapes. Moreover, employing spatially-52 coarse climatic projections can lead to biassed and irrelevant inferences of local ecological patterns (Bedia et al., 2013) or to substantial errors in impact studies (e.g., Patsiou et al., 53 2014; Randin et al., 2009). Improving methodologies to provide climatic data at high spatio-54 temporal resolution variation is therefore crucial to better understand and forecast the spatial 55 heterogeneity in forest structure and functions. 56

Among climate variables, radiation is a key driver of plant functioning and productivity 57 globally (Churkina and Running, 1998) though two main mechanisms. On one hand, global 58 radiation determines the photosynthetically active radiation (PAR), *i.e.*, the available energy 59 for photosynthesis and thus plant productivity. Numerous studies have shown the 60 61 relationship between the amount of solar radiation and the distribution of plant species or 62 communities worldwide (Dirnbock et al., 2003; Franklin, 1998; Meentemeyer et al., 2001; Tappeiner et al., 1998; Zimmermann and Kienast, 1999). On the other hand, the radiation 63 reaching a vegetation surface is an important component of the canopy energy balance, 64 driving surface temperature and vapour pressure deficit (Monteith, 1981). Radiation is thus a 65 key driver of evapotranspiration which enters in most potential evapotranspiration 66 formulations (Fisher et al., 2011) and water balance models (Granier 1999; Ruffault et al. 67 2013; De Cáceres et al., 2015). Through its effect on leaf-temperature and vapour pressure 68 deficit, radiation also influences the water-status of the leaves which in turn will drive many 69 plant functions including growth, stomatal aperture and desiccation (Martin-StPaul et al., 70 2023). 71

72 In regions with a complex orography, climatic variations can occur over distances ranging 73 from a few metres to a few kilometres. This phenomenon, referred to as topoclimate (Bramer 74 et al., 2018), can play a crucial role in shaping flora and fauna habitat as well as a multitude 75 of ecosystem processes related to climatic variability (Austin, 2002; Piedallu & Gégout,





76 2008; Randin et al., 2009). Accounting for topographic effects on spatial radiation patterns 77 has been well studied with the purpose, for instance, of improving niche models in 78 mountainous areas (Piedallu & Gégout, 2008; Randin et al., 2009). So far, such radiation 79 data are measured or computed from local meteorological stations, or from coarse-scale 80 global meteorological products such as reanalyses (e.g. De Cáceres et al., 2018).

81 Direct radiation is a primary driver of topoclimate variations, as it can undergo changes at a 82 very local scale due to several processes. At the scale of a massif, the surrounding 83 topography can cast shadows on a given point because the sun rays can be physically 84 interrupted. In other words, the presence of nearby high peaks will impact the rays directly 85 coming from the sun. At the scale of a point in space, the slope and aspect, will in addition 86 modify the direct radiation intensity received. In the northern hemisphere, a south face will receive more radiation than a north face, and this will be modulated by the angle between 87 the sun rays and the slope at the point. Similarly, the surrounding topography will affect 88 diffuse luminosity (e.g., on cloudy days) anisotropically (at 360°), leading to lower luminosity 89 in valley bottoms (i.e., the "bowl effect"). 90

Historically, the primary method for accounting for the effects of topography on radiation has 91 been to rely on slope or aspect. Indeed, these parameters are relatively simple to measure 92 (e.g., through GIS) and the global radiation flux at the surface can be easily derived from 93 94 those (Austin et al., 1990; Carroll et al., 1999; Clark et al., 1999; Pierce et al., 2005). However, this downscaling approach overlooks a significant portion of the processes 95 involved in radiation attenuation due to sky obstruction by surrounding topography. Regional 96 97 climate models (RCMs), on the other hand, calculate radiation by accounting for atmospheric processes in relation to land-surface processes (energy balance etc...). Nevertheless, they 98 typically operate on fixed grids, usually at scales of several kilometres (Bailey et al., 2023), 99 which is not precise enough for operational use at point level. More recently, another method 100 employed is statistical downscaling, which is empirical and based on regressions (Davy & 101 102 Kusch, 2021; Fealy & Sweeney, 2008) or machine learning techniques (Hernanz et al., 103 2023). However, this requires a lot of field data in different contexts to elaborate an empirical 104 model.

Piedallu & Gégout (2008) proposed one method using the slope and the aspect of the point to compute the sun intensity and taking into account the surrounding topography to compute radiation accounting for direct shadowing. They produced a fine scale map (50 * 50 m) over France which is dedicated to statistical niche modelling or mortality risk assessment (Piedallu & Gégout, 2008). However, in the case of process-based vegetation models this





has several limitations. Firstly, their approach relies on interpolated meteorological station data to compute the radiation correction at a monthly time step and is thus limited in terms of temporal and spatial accuracy, leading to significant biases in vegetation growth or the smoothing of climatic extremes. Secondly, they do not separate diffuse and direct radiation using clouds but only use an empirical correction of the total radiation using cloud cover. Finally, the "bowl effect" on diffuse radiation is not taken into account. This method based on measurements is thus limited for projection purposes and requires a large network of equipped stations, resulting in uncertainty. Moreover, it has been applied only to France and has not been generalised to other regions or periods.

119 In this study we present a process-based method to downscale coarse resolution (0.1° at 120 best in general) global radiation data (such as global reanalysis or climate projections) made on flat surfaces down to the level of 1 km to 30 m resolution Digital Elevation Model (DEM) 121 122 by accounting for slope, aspect and the shadowing effect on direct radiation and for the bowl effect on diffuse radiation. The method can be applied at any resolution, depending on the 123 choice of the DEM. Moreover, it relies on any type of radiation data, making it applicable to 124 125 any region in the world and to historical periods as well as future projections. The possibility 126 to use reanalyses-derived radiation furthermore ensures physical consistency between the 127 different climate variables used in process-based models. The algorithm was tested on the Mont Ventoux and compared with PAR measurements recorded during 2 years at 7 sites on 128 this complex topographic area. Finally we evaluated how this new radiation product can 129 130 impact ecological patterns by simulating the gross primary productivity (GPP) and the risk of 131 hydraulic failure for Fagus sylvatica using two process-based models.

132 2. <u>METHODS</u>

133 2.1. Radiation downscaling model

The proposed radiation downscaling model aims to refine sub-daily global radiation data 134 obtained from reanalysis at large scales to the resolution of a given DEM. This process-135 based method can be adapted depending on the input dataset and accounts for the 136 137 shadowing effect on direct radiation and the bowl effect on diffuse radiation. In order to ensure its versatility and applicability, we reduced the need for external data that can be 138 challenging to obtain at the local scale, such as cloudiness (Dubayah and Loechel, 1997; 139 Piedallu and Gégout, 2007). The only required input is a DEM whose resolution must match 140 141 the desired final spatial resolution of the radiation data.

142 Our methodology involves four distinct steps, outlined as follows (see Fig. 1 for





- 143 visualisation):
- 144 i. Splitting direct and diffuse radiation from a large-scale global radiation dataset (optional if
- 145 the data already contain direct and diffuse radiation).
- 146 ii. Downscaling direct radiation by considering local topography and shadowing effects.
- 147 iii. Downscaling diffuse radiation by estimating the proportion of diffuse radiation that
- 148 reaches the target point relative to the surrounding topography.
- 149 iv. Summing the downscaled direct and diffuse radiation components.
- 150 These steps are described in detail in the subsequent sections.







Figure 1: Simplified workflow of radiation downscaling, showing the four different tseps of the procedure. The bold boxes at the top left show the data required as inputs (DEM, coordinates, period and large scale radiation), the green boxes show the functions of the external R package used (insol), the truncated boxes show the loops and the rounded boxes show the various stages.





156 2.1.1. Splitting direct and diffuse radiation

157 In cases where only global radiation is available from the input dataset, as in products like ERA5-Land (Muñoz-Sabater et al., 2021), a first step involves extracting hourly direct and 158 159 diffuse radiation (Fig. 1.i). Various methods exist for this purpose (Oliphant & Stoy, 2018). In this study, we adopted the approach proposed by Spitters et al. (1986). This choice was 160 161 driven by the relative simplicity of this approach and the fact that it was originally developed 162 for European landscapes. Additionally, we explored other methods, such as the one proposed by Roderick (1999) and the one proposed by Bird and Hulstrom (1981). Results 163 164 obtained using the Roderick (1999) method align consistently with those presented herein 165 (results not displayed). Unlike the method by Spitters et al. (1986), the method by Bird and 166 Hulstrom (1981) does not rely on global radiation values but instead aims to derive the 167 values of direct and diffuse radiation from theoretical radiation, temperature, humidity, 168 among other factors. However, the outcomes generated by this model significantly deviated from those obtained using the Spitters et al. (1986) method and exhibited inconsistency with 169 available measurements (not shown). 170

171 The method of Spitters et al. (1986) that was used in this study is an empirical computation 172 technique based on the ratio between theoretical extraterrestrial irradiance (R_0) and the 173 observed value of global radiation (R_g). Specifically, it operates on the assumption that as 174 the ratio of R_g to R_0 decreases, the proportion of diffuse radiation (R_{diff}) relative to direct 175 radiation (R_{diff}) increases - an effect attributed to cloud cover.

176 To compute R_0 (in J.m⁻².s⁻¹), a common physically-based approach involves using the 177 radiation incident on a plane parallel to the Earth's surface and the sine of solar elevation

178 (which is dependent on latitude and solar time), as follows:

a.
$$R_0 = R_{sc} [1 + 0.033 \times \cos(doy \times 360/365)] \times \sin(\beta$$

179 b. $\sin(\beta) = \sin(\lambda) \times \sin(\delta) + \cos(\lambda) \times \cos(\delta) \times \cos(15 \times (t_p - 12))$ (1)

c.
$$\delta = \frac{\pi \times 23.45}{180} \times \sin\left(2 \times \pi \times \frac{doy + 284}{365}\right)$$

180 With R_{sc} representing the solar constant (1 370 J.m⁻².s⁻¹, I.E.A., 1978), *doy* the day of the 181 year, $sin(\beta)$ the sine of the solar elevation angle, λ the latitude of the site (in radian), δ the 182 solar declination angle (in degrees) approximated using the Fletcher method as described in 183 Eq. (1.c) and t_h the hour (in solar time).

184 It's important to note that in this study, global radiation is not treated as a singular value but 185 rather as an accumulation over a short period of time (e.g., between h_t and h_{t+1} , using an 186 hourly time step with ERA5-Land). Thus, $sin(\beta)$ needs to be integrated:





$$187 \int_{h_{t}}^{h_{t+1}} \sin(\beta) = \sin(\lambda) \times \sin(\delta) + i i$$

$$\cos(\lambda) \times \cos(\delta) \times \frac{15 \times \pi}{180} \times \left[\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{180} \times 15 \times (h_{t+1} - 12)\right) - \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{180} \times 15 \times (h_{t} - 12)\right) \right]$$

$$(2)$$

188 Then, we used the relationship between the fraction of diffuse radiation (R_{diff}) compared to 189 global radiation data (R_g) and the fraction of global radiation data (R_g) compared to 190 theoretical radiation (R_g), as recommended by de Jon (1980) (described in Spitters et al., 191 1986):

192
$$\frac{R_{diff}}{R_g} = 1$$
 for $\frac{R_g}{R_0} \le 0.22$
193 $\frac{R_{diff}}{R_g} = 1 - 6.4 \times \left(\frac{R_g}{R_{0\square}} - 0.22\right)^2$ for $0.22 < \frac{R_g}{R_0} \le 0.35$ (3)
194 $\frac{R_{diff}}{R_g} = 1.47 - 1.66 \times \frac{R_g}{R_{0\square}}$ for $0.35 < \frac{R_g}{R_0} \le K$
195 $\frac{R_{diff}}{R_g} = L$ for $K < \frac{R_g}{R_0}$
196 With $L = 0.847 - 1.61 \times \sin(\beta) + 1.04 \times \sin^2(\beta)$ and $K = \frac{1.47 - L}{1.66}$.

197 Following Spitters et al. (1986), the final step involves subtracting the circumsolar 198 component (R_{circum}) of diffuse radiation from the direct flux.

199
$$R_{circum} = \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \beta\right) \times \cos^3(\beta)$$
 (4)

200 To determine the corresponding fraction of diffuse radiation under intermediate sky 201 conditions, we adopt the interpolation method introduced by Klucher (1978):

$$202 \quad \frac{R_{diff}}{R_g} = \frac{R_{diff}}{R_g} \div \left[1 + \left(1 - \left(\frac{R_{diff}}{R_g} \right)^2 \right) \times R_{circum} \right]$$
(5)

Finally, considering that global radiation (R_g) comprises both diffuse (R_{diff}) and direct (R_{dif}) radiation components, the value of R_{dir} can be directly inferred from the other two components.

206 2.1.2. Downscaling direct radiation

To downscale direct radiation (Fig. 1.ii.), two distinct processes were considered. Firstly, the path of sun rays was examined to determine if any obstruction in the topography may block them. Secondly, if unobstructed, the slope and aspect of the pixel are used to compute the radiation intensity relative to a horizontal surface.





211 For both processes, the initial step involved computing the sun vector in three dimensions. 212 This was achieved using the R package "insol" (version 1.2.2, Corripio, 2020) and 213 specifically the "sunvector" function, which defines the vector based on longitude, latitude, 214 and time (day, hour, minute). To assess whether radiation is obstructed by a summit, the close topography derived from a DEM is computed using the "doshade" function within the 215 "insol" package. To determine sun intensity, the "hillshading" function from the same 216 217 package is utilised, requiring both the sun vector and the topography (previously normalised 218 into unit vectors using the "cgrad" function).

219 Considering that the input radiation is accumulated over a specific period (e.g., 1 hour in 220 ERA5-Land), and to account for spatial variations in radiation intensity (primarily due to the 221 angle of the sun rays) and shadow projections, several time steps are employed for 222 downscaling the direct radiation. In this study, the default value of three time steps per hour 223 (n = 3) was adopted. Additionally, to aggregate the values while considering temporal 224 variations in radiation intensity, each value is weighted by the theoretical extraterrestrial irradiance (R_0 in Eq. (1)). This yields a corrected direct radiation (R_{dir_cor}): 225

226
$$R_{dir_{cor}} = R_{dir} \times \frac{\sum_{t_1}^{t_0} \left(R_0 \times S \times \frac{I_{slope}}{I_{vert}} \right)}{\Box}$$

$$R_{dir_{exr}} = R_{dir} \times \frac{\sum_{t_1} \left(R_0 \times S \times \frac{I_{slope}}{I_{vert}} \right)}{\Box}$$
(6)

227 Where S represents the shadow parameter (with a value of 0 indicating shadow and 1 228 indicating no shadow), and Islope and Ivert denote the illumination intensity over the slope and a 229 vertical surface, respectively, to derive the relative intensity of sunlight over the slope.

230

2.1.3. **Downscaling diffuse radiation**

Diffuse radiation is independent of the sun's inclination. It emanates uniformly from all 231 232 directions within the skydome, limited in this study to the top half-sphere. Therefore, its downscaling (Fig. 1.iii) relies on the 360° horizontal surrounding topography, particularly the 233 234 proportion of diffuse radiation from all directions that can reach the point under study.

Various methods exist to compute this fraction, including employing numerous random rays 235 236 or determining, for regular 3D distributed vectors, the level of shadow. In this study, a 237 specific method was devised. It involves computing, for each azimuth angle (with fixed steps 238 of 2°), the minimum unshaded radiation using the "doshade" R function described previously 239 and a DEM.

240 Subsequently, these values are utilised to calculate the shaded area of the top half-sphere 241 and thus the proportion of diffuse radiation reaching the focal point. Finally, this proportion is 242 applied to the diffuse radiation computed in Sect. 2.1.1 to derive the corrected diffuse 243 radiation (R_{diff cor}).





The corrected diffuse and direct radiation can then be directly employed or recombined into corrected global radiation (R_{g_corr}), e.g., to serve as input to a model of forest function or dynamics.

247 2.1.4. Digital elevation model data

In various steps of the radiation downscaling, the utilisation of a DEM is imperative (Sect.
249 2.1.2 and 2.1.3). In this study, we evaluated radiation downscaling using different DEMs
250 characterised by varying resolutions.

The first dataset is the DEM provided by the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM, 252 2013), offering a resolution of 1 arc-second (approximately 30 m). In order to clarify the impact of using different resolutions, the resolution of the SRTM product was downgraded to obtain products with resolutions of 60, 90, 125, 185, 250 and 500 metres using the 255 aggregate function (R, terra 1.7.23 library).

An additional series of DEMs was employed: the Global Multi-resolution Terrain Elevation Data 2010 (GMTED2010, Danielson and Gesch, 2011), which encompasses spatial resolutions of 30, 15, and 7.5 arc-seconds, corresponding approximately to resolutions of 1 km, 500 m, and 250 m, respectively. These datasets were compiled from diverse sources. However, for the metropolitan France region, the primary source of the dataset was the 1 arc-second SRTM DEM.

The interest of these DEMs lies in their applicability beyond the geographic scope covered in this study. Their availability at a global terrestrial scale renders them suitable for use in various locations worldwide (with the exception of SRTM, which is limited to latitudes between 60° north and 56° south).

266 2.2. <u>Study area</u>

The study area is Mont Ventoux, a mountain located in southeastern France, with its highest 267 point reaching an elevation of 1912 metres (44.174° N - 5.27794° E) (Fig. 2). While Mont 268 269 Ventoux is predominantly oriented in an east-west direction, it exhibits notable variations in 270 slopes and orientations. The southern flank is characterised by gradual inclines, whereas 271 steeper slopes are evident on its northern side. Mont Ventoux presents a predominantly 272 wooded landscape, featuring a mixed beech-fir forest on its northern side, and a mixed European beech-black pine forest on its southern side, particularly above an elevation of 800 273 274 metres (Jean et al., 2023). Below this elevation, the dominant species are more typical of the 275 Mediterranean biome and include coppices of Quercus pubescens, Quercus ilex, Pinus 276 halepensis as well as as natural regeneration of Cedrus atlantica from old plantation trials of 277 the early 20th century.







278 Figure 2: Map of the study area (Mont Ventoux). Mont Ventoux is located in 279 southeastern France (see in the inset). Observation points (one symbol with 280 associated number) and the ERA5-Land tile (in dottle line) used in this study are 281 indicated.

282 2.3. Radiation measurements

283 On June, 27 2016, we installed seven mini-weather stations at different strategic elevations and locations on the north face of Mont Ventoux (Table 1), each equipped with loggers 284 285 (YBdesign) and sensors for photosynthetically active radiation (PAR, 400-700 nm), 286 temperature and relative humidity. The sensors were installed on a vertical pole and 287 positioned horizontally (levelled with a spirit level). The PAR sensors (CBE80, brand Solems) and the thermo-hydrometers (EE07-PFT, brand E+E) were calibrated using a 288 289 reference weather station at the INRAe campus of Avignon before the beginning of the 290 experiment. The mini-weather stations were positioned in clearings with forest edges 291 extending beyond 30 m from the station. The data were recorded at one hour timestep. The 292 photosynthetic flux density delivered by the sensors were converted into W.m⁻² of global 293 radiation using an empirical relationship calibrated on the ICOS Font-Blanche experimental 294 site (Moreno et al., 2021).





N°	Site	Latitude (°)	Longitude (°)	Elevation (m)	Slope (°)	Aspect(°)
1	Les Tournières	44.129646	5.320524	1159	5.5	250.1
2	Col de la fache	44.157819	5.331975	1575	6.2	201.2
3	Mont Serein	44.182886	5.257725	1413	4.0	234.1
4	dvx5	44.176758	5.238861	1320	20.8	347.2
5	Tc2	44.184014	5.239161	1116	33.1	351.0
6	dvx2	44.185142	5.243383	1074	28.0	355.1
7	142	44.190856	5.244869	1050	23.0	188.4

Table 1. List and main characteristics of the observation sites in Mont Ventoux where
 radiation measurements were performed. Slope and aspect was computed from a 30
 m resolution SRTM digital elevation model.

The observed radiation is compared with the radiation from ERA5-Land before and after downscaling using DEMs at different resolutions. In order to facilitate the comparison between the ERA5-Land reanalysis dataset and observations, which may contain some gaps due to power failure, we aggregated radiation data over various periods (annually or seasonally). This approach involves excluding time steps with missing data, separately for each site. Moreover, to compare with these observations, the correction of the light intensity due to the angle of the direct light rays in relation to the slope and orientation (Sect. 2.1.2, the 'hillshading' function) was deactivated (in Sect. 3.1), as the measurements were carried out on a device placed horizontally.

307 2.4. Modelling the effect of radiation downscaling on plant functions

To quantify the influence of downscaled radiation on specific applications, we assessed the impact of radiation downscaling on beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) forest functioning using processbased vegetation modelling on the mountainous area of the Mont Ventoux massif (where radiation measurements were located).

312 We employed two complementary forest vegetation models to quantify how radiation 313 downscaling affects the spatial patterns of both Gross Primary Productivity (GPP) and 314 drought-induced hydraulic failure. These models are, respectively, the forest growth model 315 CASTANEA (Dufrêne et al., 2005) and the plant hydraulic model SurEau (Cochard et al., 316 2021; Ruffault et al., 2022).

317 CASTANEA is a comprehensive forest soil-vegetation-atmosphere model coupled with a 318 growth module. It simulates carbon (photosynthesis and respiration) and water fluxes





321 (transpiration, soil water content, soil water potential) at a half-hourly to daily time step for an 322 average tree in a homogeneous forest stand. A carbon allocation module assigns a 323 proportion of the daily Net Primary Productivity (NPP) toward various plant compartments 324 (stem, roots, fine roots, flowers, acorn, leaves, and storage) using empirical coefficients. 325 Carbon and water fluxes, including gross and net ecosystem photosynthesis, respiration, transpiration, latent heat fluxes, soil water content, and plant water potential, have been 326 327 validated on different species and sites, including beech on Mont Ventoux (Davi et al., 2005; 328 Cailleret et al., 2011; Delpierre et al., 2012). In this study, canopy Gross Primary Productivity (GPP) was used to demonstrate the effects of radiation downscaling on potential 329 330 productivity.

331 SurEau is a plant-hydraulic model that simulates water fluxes and water potential through 332 various compartments of the soil-plant hydraulic continuum (Cochard et al 2021). At each 333 time step (typically 30 minutes), the model computes leaf stomatal and cuticular transpiration as the product between leaf-to-air vapour pressure deficit (VPD) and stomatal and cuticular 334 conductance. Then, stomatal and cuticular fluxes are used to compute water potential in the 335 336 different plant compartments, while accounting for the symplasmic capacitance and the 337 hydraulic conductance losses due to xylem embolism. Stomatal closure is regulated in a 338 feedback manner based on leaf water potential through empirical relationships (Klein, 2014; Martin-StPaul et al., 2017). Soil water potential and hydraulic conductance are also 339 computed from soil water content. The model is parameterized with various measurable 340 341 plant traits previously collected for the target species (Ruffault et al., 2022). In this study, 342 drought-induced embolism (or the percentage loss of hydraulic conductance) in the vascular 343 system was used as a proxy for hydraulic risk during a given summer.

We conducted spatial simulations for one pixel at 0.1° resolution (~ 11 km * 8 km at these coordinates), covering a large part of the Mont Ventoux northern face where the measurements were conducted. The simulations covered the years 2016 and 2017, encompassing the same geographical area as outlined in Sect. 2.3, spanning a segment of Mont Ventoux ranging from 5.25° W to 5.35° W and from 44.15° N to 44.25° N.

Climate data were directly sourced from the ERA5-Land hourly dataset (Muñoz-Sabater et al., 2021), including temperature, precipitation, wind speed, relative humidity, and global radiation. The latter was downscaled using the method presented in Sect. 2.1, employing one of the DEMs discussed in Sect. 2.1.4.

353 To maintain consistency and avoid introducing uncertainty from disparate datasets, all other 354 non-climatic inputs were set constant across the study area, as described hereafter. The





species selected, *Fagus sylvatica* (European beech), is one of the most common species present on Mont Ventoux (Lander et al., 2021) and its traits are already available for the two models (Cailleret & Davi, 2011; Cailleret et al., 2013 ; Davi & Cailleret, 2017; Ruffault et al., 2022), with a Leaf Area Index set at 3.5. The soil characteristic corresponds to the median value extracted from the whole studied area from the SoilGrids database (Poggio et al., 2021).

361 3. <u>RESULTS</u>

362 3.1. Comparison between simulated and observed global radiation

363 The comparison of ERA5-Land global radiation, both uncorrected and corrected, with 364 observed global radiation across the 7 studied sites shows the benefit of our downscaling 365 method in accurately estimating local global radiation (Fig. 3).

Specifically, the correlation between observed and simulated yearly mean global radiation 366 increases from $r^2 = 0.59$ to $r^2 = 0.93$, while the RMSE decreases from 33.5 to 8.6 Wh.m², for 367 368 the raw ERA5-Land radiation and ERA5-Land radiation corrected with a 30 m resolution 369 DEM, respectively (Figs 3a and 3b). However, this increase in the performance of estimating 370 global radiation does not progress consistently as the resolution of our downscaling approach increases. We observe a slight and heterogeneous improvement in the corrected 371 372 radiation from 1 km to 250 m resolution compared to the raw ERA5-Land resolution (around 373 9 km). It is not until the resolution reaches around 200 metres that a significant and 374 continuous improvement is observed (decrease in RMSE, increase in r²) until 30 m 375 resolution (Fig. 3 c).

376 Our results further show that the absolute performance of radiation models (in terms of r²) and their relative differences remain consistent across the different studied seasons (Fig. 3a 377 and 3b), despite some particularities. During winter, ERA5-Land raw data shows weak 378 relationship with observations (r² at 0.37 and RMSE at 38 Wh.m²), which substantially 379 380 improves with correction ($r^2 = 0.90$, RMSE = 11 Wh.m²). Similarly, but more pronounced, in 381 autumn correlations and RMSE are considerably enhanced (respectively r² from 0.21 to 0.91 and RMSE from 45 to 9 Wh.m²). In summer, the correlation is almost zero with the ERA5-382 Land data, whereas it exceeds 0.5 with the corrected radiations. In contrast, the correlation 383 is stable in spring but high (at 0.85), while RMSE is improved with correction (32 to 23 384 385 Wh.m²). Further analysis also reveals that, contrary to Fig. 3 (a), the equations of the 386 seasonal curves for corrected ERA5-Land radiation closely align with the 1/1 line, in accordance with an important decrease in RMSE (Fig. 3 b). It is noteworthy that most of the 387





- 388 improvement comes from points located on northern slopes (points 4, 5 and 6, Fig. 3).
- 389 Accordingly, the daily bias from those points is reduced compared to uncorrected data, while
- 390 points located on flat surfaces or southern slopes show similar limited bias (not shown).







(c) Performances of ERA5-Land correction with different DEM versus observations



Barplot of R and RMSE for each DEM resolution

Figure 3: Comparison of the observed radiation with the ERA5-Land product and with corrected radiation from ERA5-Land using different DEMs. (a) and (b) represent the annual and seasonal correlation r^2 and RMSE (Wh.m²) for each point. (c) shows the annual r^2 and RMSE (in black in (a) and (b)), for the original ERA5-Land data and each of the corrections obtained with the different DEMs





396 Figure 4 depicts the global radiation values for two distinct sites during two different periods. 397 Site 1 (refer to Table 1) represents a slightly south-facing location with little shade from 398 topographical features, particularly evident in winter. Site 5, on the other hand, is situated on 399 a north-facing slope (slightly west-facing) affecting sunlight exposure, especially during 400 winter months. Two two-day periods were selected for analysis: one in summer (19 and 20 401 August 2016) to observe the impact during peak sun exposure and a rainy day (20 August), 402 and another in winter (13 and 14 January 2017) to assess the effect of the downscaling on 403 low-inclination radiation in a mountainous region. Three types of radiation values are 404 presented: observed values (Sect. 2.3), original ERA5-Land values (9 km resolution, tile 405 indicated on Fig. 2), and values following the application of the radiation downscaling with 406 the SRTM DEM (~30 m resolution) (as described in Sect. 2.1, but without "hillshading" 407 function to be comparable with measurement).

408 At site 1 (Fig. 4.a-b), where surrounding topographical features have minimal impact on radiation, the values from ERA5-Land are close to the observations and there is no 409 410 significant change after radiation downscaling. These trends hold for both clear and cloudy 411 days, and for both winter and summer periods. At site 5, disparities between original and corrected ERA5-Land values are more significant due to topographical influences. In 412 summer (Fig. 4.c), discrepancies exist between original and corrected ERA5-Land values. 413 Corrected values more closely represent measured values but still struggle to replicate sub-414 415 daily variations. Particularly, a dip in the curve around 10am appears to be present on both 416 days, possibly indicating a shadow, but not represented in the corrected radiation. In winter 417 (Fig. 4.d), downscaling markedly impacts radiation values, with corrected values nearly four 418 times lower than ERA5-Land values, closely aligning with observed values.

419 Note that if the effect of the slope and orientation were activated, the effect of the light 420 intensity would be to potentially increase the corrected radiation on the south faces, mainly 421 on clear days and in winter (e.g. +10 % for point 1), and on the contrary to considerably 422 reduce the corrected radiation on cloud-free day (e.g. by two for 19 August at point 5).







Figure 4: Radiation of original ERA5-Land data in orange, after downscaling with the SRTM DEM (30 m resolution) in dotted dark green and the observations in grey, for site 1 (a and b) and site 5 (c and d) and for two different dates: one in summer (19-20 August 2016 in a and c) and one in winter (13-14 January 2017 in b and d)

427 428

3.2. Application on Mont Ventoux massif

3.2.1. <u>Heterogeneity of global radiation</u>

429 Applying our approach across a heterogeneous geographical area illustrates the spatial and 430 temporal variability in global radiation introduced by downscaling (Fig. 5).

Radiation downscaling exerts a clear impact in the mountainous region under study, halving original ERA5-Land global radiation. An evident differentiation emerges between southfacing slopes, which receive more radiation, and north-facing slopes, which exhibit minimal radiation levels in winter (approaching zero). Mean radiation values decrease with increasing





resolution of the three DEM used, indicating an average decrease of 10.7 % on 13 January and 5.9 % on 19 August 2016 when transitioning from the GMTED DEM at approximately 500 metres to the SRTM at approximately 30 metres resolution. Conversely, standard deviation increases with resolution, rising by 13.5 % and 30.0 %, respectively. However, during winter, the standard deviation mirrors the magnitude of the mean due to low radiation values, whereas in summer, it accounts for 20 to 25 % of the mean.

These differences in standard deviation due to topography imply significant differences between the different DEMs, as well as with the original ERA5-Land values. For instance, the maximum radiation value recorded on January 13th totals 7.3 MJ.m⁻² in the reanalysis, whereas it reaches 9.3 MJ.m⁻² with downscaling conducted using the 250 m DEM. Similarly, on January 13th (Fig. 5.b), the spatial pattern representing a denser "line" denoting stronger radiation values around 5.3° E and 44.19° N is relatively narrow with the 30 m DEM 447 (approximately 200 meters wide), whereas it doubles in width with the 500 m DEM.







Figure 5: Global radiation from ERA5-Land and resulting from downscaling obtained from different resolution DEMs. (a) ERA5-Land tile (left) and DEM resolution (500, 250, and 30 metres, from left to right). Global radiation for two distinct dates, (b) in winter (13 January 2017) and (c) in summer (19 August 2016). Regional mean values and standard deviations are indicated on the bottom of each map.

453 3.2.2. Modelling the influence of radiation downscaling on vegetation 454 functioning

455 Modifying radiation across the entire area according to each DEM has a tangible impact as 456 presented on models output as shown in Fig. 6. In general, the simulations remain 457 consistent across the studied area, despite potential variations introduced by the different 458 topographies used during downscaling. With downscaling, there is a discernible reduction in 459 Gross Primary Productivity (GPP) ranging between 5 % and 8 %, as well as in the risk of





460 hydraulic failure, which decreases between 14 % and 23 %. Moreover, the standard
461 deviation introduced between the values is quite significant, varying between 8 % and 13 %
462 for the two outputs studied.

463 Upon comparing the patterns obtained with the corresponding DEMs, it becomes evident 464 that south-facing slopes tend to exhibit higher annual productivity (Fig. 6.b) but are 465 susceptible to greater hydraulic stress (as indicated by darker colours in Fig. 6.c). 466 Conversely, north-facing slopes generally manifest lower GPP as simulated by the Castanea 467 model, yet exhibit a reduced risk of hydraulic failure.

To evaluate the potential impact of these discrepancies on mortality risk, we computed the risk of hydraulic failure from the embolism simulations. The relationship between mortality and embolism level water stress is often conceptualised as a threshold effect (Choat et al., 2018), although this notion is occasionally questioned (Hammond et al., 2021). Setting the threshold at which mortality occurs to 50 % of risk of hydraulic failure, we obtain mortality percentages in term of surface of 100 %, and 97 %, 98 % and 89 % for the original ERA5-Land tile, and the data downscaled to 500 m, 250 m and 30 m, respectively. Given that the useful reserve used in this study comes from a single value taken from the median over the area of the SoilGrids database (Poggio et al., 2021), and that this value is open to question, these results must be compared relatively to each other.





(a) Digital elevation models and resolution (m)



478 Figure 6: Gross primary productivity and risk of hydraulic failure simulated with, 479 respectively, Castanea and SurEau, from ERA5-Land and resulting from global 480 radiation downscaling obtained from different resolution DEMs. (a) ERA5-Land tile 481 (left) and DEM resolution (500, 250, and 30 metres, from left to right). (b) Gross 482 primary productivity simulated with Castanea. (c) Risk of hydraulic failure simulated 483 with SurEau. Regional mean values and standard deviations are indicated on the 484 bottom of each map.

485 4. DISCUSSION

486 4.1. Performance of the downscaling method

487 The results of our study indicate that the radiation downscaling method developed in this 488 study effectively captures the overall trend of radiation distribution across mountainous 489 regions. The daily patterns are effectively represented, however sub-daily variations may not





490 fully account for microclimatic variations, especially considering the spatial heterogeneity491 within a grid pixel at the resolution of climate data. This is the case for example with cloud492 cover, which can be highly variable in mountainous regions.

However, our findings suggest that, overall, radiation downscaling significantly reduces radiation levels on the north-facing side, particularly during winter, as a result of the obstruction of direct radiation by surrounding mountains. Conversely, this radiation decrease on north-facing slopes is compensated by an increase on south-facing slopes. Consequently our method improves methods already available to downscale radiation (Piedallu & Gégout, 2008).

499 The results of our radiation downscaling method reveal a significant improvement of the 500 representation of radiation from 9 km reanalysis, for all seasons, but especially on north-501 facing slopes and more pronounced in winter. The impact of radiation downscaling is 502 therefore primarily observed in regions with significant shadow casting, and it becomes more pronounced with the sun's zenithal angle. This emphasises the necessity to correct the 503 504 radiation to accurately depict the dynamics of radiation in mountainous regions. 505 Nevertheless, the method has its limitations, as it is linked to the quality of DEM and does not take into account climatic heterogeneity, which can explain cloud cover on a smaller 506 scale than the reanalysis data. Thus in Figure 4.c, the dip at around 10am may indicate the 507 presence of micro-climatic conditions, such as fog, an effect that was not considered in our 508 509 downscaling method.

Additionally, our analysis revealed intriguing results concerning the impact of different DEM resolutions. While no clear improvement was observed with a resolution greater than 250 m, a clear gradual improvement appears for resolutions finer than 250 m (up to 30 m). This suggests that higher resolutions are crucial for effectively capturing the nuances of radiation dynamics. We hypothesise that insufficient improvement in resolution during the downscaling introduces some variance which is not adequately compensated by improvements in radiation representation at the site level.

517 4.2. Implications of downscaling for modelling studies

518 The application of downscaling with the SurEau and CASTANEA models provides an 519 overview of the impact that downscaling can have on different parameters, such as GPP or 520 tree mortality risk due to hydraulic failure. Mont Ventoux was used as a benchmark site for 521 testing applications. The impact of downscaling on these parameters is most pronounced in





522 areas with significant topographic features, such as mountainous regions or canyons, with 523 lower radiation levels on north-facing slopes due to shading and higher radiation levels on 524 south-facing slopes due to sun intensity.

525 These findings have to be taken with caution as only radiation was downscaled making the 526 other forcing variables (temperature, VPD, rainfall) decorrelated which partly limits the 527 interpretation. However, with these limits in my mind and assuming impact models can be 528 used to assess climate products in this context (Stephanon et al., 2015), it appears that 529 radiation downscaling has profound implications on impact simulation (Fig. 6). In particular 530 when considering processes that are based on threshold, such as the mortality risk 531 associated with hydraulic failure, in our example, the mortality rate can go from 100 % to 89 532 %. Thus, assessing the spatial heterogeneity of radiation, through its interaction with topography, seems crucial for accurately assessing ecological responses and potential 533 threshold effects in complex terrain. Future studies could benefit from these methods to 534 improve the prediction of species distribution or ecosystem functions at local level. 535

536 5. CONCLUSION

537 In this study, we developed a process-based method to downscale global radiation data 538 made on flat surfaces, such as coarse spatial resolution global reanalysis data. The method 539 builds upon existing research and goes further than traditional process-based radiation 540 downscaling methods, by accounting for the shadowing effect on direct radiation and for the 541 bowl effect on diffuse radiation (Piedallu & Gégout, 2008). The recent ERA5-Land hourly 542 data available at 9 km resolution was used to compare on the Mont Ventoux the impact of 543 radiation downscaling computed from different digital elevation models.

The radiation downscaling method effectively captures the overall trend of radiation 544 distribution across mountainous regions. Downscaled radiation is improved compared to 545 546 original ERA5-Land data, especially during winter months, due to the higher zenithal angle. However, the improvement is significant only after a certain spatial resolution (~ 150 m) and 547 gradually increases thereafter. The implications of downscaling for modelling studies was 548 further investigated using two different process-based models representing gross primary 549 productivity and risk of hydraulic failure. The impact of downscaling on those is most 550 551 pronounced in areas with significant topographic features, such as mountainous regions or 552 canyons. Assessing the spatial heterogeneity of radiation, through its interaction with 553 topography, is crucial for accurately addressing ecological responses and potential threshold 554 effects in complex terrain.





The method can be applied at any resolution, depending on the choice of the DEM. Moreover, it relies on any type of radiation data, making it applicable to any region in the world and to historical periods as well as future projections. Finally, the method could involve other types of climatic data from the same input dataset, such as temperature or precipitation, thereby ensuring physical consistency between the variables. In the future such methods could be included in more generic climate downscaling tools (e.g. Meteoland, De Cáceres et al., 2018) to facilitate the application of process based models at fine resolution.

563 Code availability

564 The scripts corresponding to the method developed in this article is available on GitLab at 565 https://forgemia.inra.fr/urfm/modeldata_toolkit (commit afc05ed2) with the prefix 566 "RadDownscaling".

567 The SurEau model code presented in section 2.4 and whose results are presented in section 568 3.2 is available on GitLab at https://forgemia.inra.fr/urfm/sureau (commit ca19abfb), while the 569 CASTANEA version is available on the capsis platform (https://capsis.cirad.fr/, lasted access 570 the 12/06/2024) and can be downloaded from the "download" menu.

571 Data availability

572 Data from Mont Ventoux (2016-2017) at the seven sites are provided by URFM-INRAE 573 Avignon. The full dataset and site information can be accessed from 574 https://doi.org/10.57745/B22AUG.

575 DEM data are freely accessible and can be downloaded from https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/ 576 (last accessed 12/06/2024): the Global Multi-resolution Terrain Elevation Data 2010 577 (GMTED2010) (https://doi.org/10.5066/F7J38R2N) and the Shuttle Radar Topography 578 Mission (SRTM) 1 Arc-Second Global (https://doi.org/10.5066/F7PR7TFT).

579 Climate ERA5-Land data (https://doi.org/10.24381/cds.e2161bac), including global radiation, 580 provided Copernicus and directly downloaded are by can be from 581 https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/dataset/reanalysis-era5-land?tab=form (last 582 accessed 12/06/2024).

583 Author contribution

584 Druel, A., Ruffault, J., Davi, H. and Martin-StPaul, N.K. designed the research and 585 performed the research. Druel, A. developed the scripts and the figures. Marloie, O. and 586 Martin-StPaul, N.K. collected the data on Mont Ventoux. Druel, A., Ruffault, J., Davi, H., De 587 Cáceres, M., Mouillot, F., François, C. and Martin-StPaul, N.K. interpreted the results. Druel,





- 588 A. led the writing of the manuscript with inputs from Ruffault, J., Chanzy, A., Marloie, O., De
- 589 Cáceres, M., Mouillot, F., François, C., Soudani, K., and Martin-StPaul, N.K.

590 Competing interests

591 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

592 Acknowledgements

- 593 This project received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and
- 594 innovation program under Grant Agreement No. 862221 (FORGENIUS). The authors would
- 595 also like to thank William Brunetto (URFM, INRAE, Avignon, France) for his help with data
- 596 acquisition on Mont Ventoux and Deborah Verfaillie for her help with proofreading.





597 References

Austin, M.P., Nicholls, A.O. and Margules, C.R.: Measurement of the realised qualitative niche: Environmental niches of five Eucalyptus species. *Ecological Monographs*, 60(2): 161-177, https://doi.org/10.2307/1943043, 1990.

Bailey, M. D., Nychka, D., Sengupta, M., Habte, A., Xie, Y., and Bandyopadhyay, S.:
Regridding uncertainty for statistical downscaling of solar radiation. *Adv. Stat. Clim. Meteorol. Oceanogr.*, 9, 103–120, https://doi.org/10.5194/ascmo-9-103-2023, 2023

Bedia, J., Herrera, S. and Gutiérrez, J.M.: Dangers of Using Global Bioclimatic Datasets for Ecological Niche Modeling. Limitations for Future Climate Projections. *Global and Planetary Change*, 107, 1-12, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2013.04.005, 2013.

Bird, R. E., and Hulstrom, R. L.: A simplified clear sky model for direct and diffuse
insolation on horizontal surfaces. *Solar Energy Research Institute*, TR-642-761, 1981.

Bramer, I., Anderson, B.J., Bennie, J., Bladon, A.J., De Frenne, P., Hemming, D., Hill,
R.A., Kearney, M.R., Körner, C., Korstjens, A.H., Lenoir, J., Maclean, I.M.D., Marsh, C.D.,
Morecroft, M.D., Ohlemüller, R., Slater, H.D., Suggitt, A.J., Zellweger, F. and Gillingham,
P.K.: Advances in monitoring and modelling climate at ecologically relevant scales. *Advances in Ecological Research*, 58, 101–161.https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aecr.2017.12.005,
2018.

Brun, P., Zimmermann, N. E., Hari, C., Pellissier, L. and Karger, D. N.: CHELSABIOCLIM+ A novel set of global climate-related predictors at kilometre-resolution. *EnviDat.*,
https://www.doi.org/10.16904/envidat.332, 2022.

Cailleret, M. and Davi, H.: Effects of climate on diameter growth of co-occurring *Fagus sylvatica* and *Abies alba* along an altitudinal gradient. *Trees*, 25:265–276.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-010-0503-0, 2011.

621 Cailleret M., Nourtier M., Amm A., Durand-Gillmann M. and Davi H.: Drought-induced 622 decline and mortality of silver fir differ among three sites in Southern France. *Annals of* 623 *Forest Science*, 71, 643–657, 2013.

Carroll, C., Zielinski, W.J. and Noss, R.F.: Using presence-absence data to build and test
spatial habitat models for the Fisher in the Klamath region, U.S.A. *Conservation Biology*,
13(6): 1344-1359, https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1739.1999.98364.x, 1999.

627 Clark, D.B., Palmer, M.W. and Clark, D.A.: Edaphic factors and the landscape-scale
628 distributions of tropical rain forest trees. *Ecology*, 80(8): 2662-2675,
629 https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(1999)080[2662:EFATLS]2.0.CO;2, 1999.

Choat, B., Brodribb, T. J., Brodersen, C. R., Duursma, R. A., López, R. and Medlyn, B. E.:
Triggers of tree mortality under drought. *Nature*, 558(7711), 531–539.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0240-x, 2018.

633 Churkina, G., and Running, S. W.: Contrasting Climatic Controls on the Estimated





634 Productivity of Global Terrestrial Biomes. *Ecosystems*, 1(2), 206–215.
635 https://doi.org/10.1007/s100219900016, 1998.

Cochard, H., Pimont, F., Ruffault, J. & Martin-StPaul, N.: SurEau: a mechanistic model of
plant water relations under extreme drought. *Ann. For. Sci.*, 78,
https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-021-01067-y, 2021.

Danielson, J.J., and Gesch, D.B.: Global multi-resolution terrain elevation data 2010
(GMTED2010): U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2011–1073, 26 p.,
https://doi.org/10.5066/F7J38R2N (Downloaded on https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/ the 1510-2021), 2011.

Davi, H., Dufrêne, E., Granier, A., Le Dantec, V., Barbaroux, C., François, C. and Bréda,
N. Modelling carbon and water cycles in a beech forest: Part II.: Validation of the main
processes from organ to stand scale. *Ecological Modelling*, 185, 387–405.
doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.01.003, 2005.

Davi, H., Dufrêne, E., Francois, C., Le Maire, G., Loustau, D., Bosc, A., Rambal, S.,
Granier A. and Moors E.: Sensitivity of water and carbon fluxes to climate changes from
1960 to 2100 in European forest ecosystems. *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, 141, 35–56,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2006.09.003, 2006.

Davi, H. and Cailleret, M.: Assessing drought-driven mortality trees with physiological
process-based models. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 232, 279–290,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2016.08.019, 2017.

Davy, R. and Kusch, E.: Reconciling high resolution climate datasets using KrigR. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 16, 124040, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac39bf, 2021.

De Cáceres, M., Martínez-Vilalta, J., Coll, L., Llorens, P., Casals, P., Poyatos, R., Pausas, J.G. and Brotons, L.: Coupling a water balance model with forest inventory data to predict drought stress: the role of forest structural changes vs. climate changes. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 213: 77-90, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2015.06.012, 2015.

De Cáceres, M., Martin-StPaul, N., Turco, M., Cabon, A. and Granda, V.: Estimating daily
meteorological data and downscaling climate models over landscapes. *Environmental Modelling and Software*, 108: 186-196, doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2018.08.003, 2018.

De Cáceres M, Molowny-Horas R, Cabon A, Martínez-Vilalta J, Mencuccini M, GarcíaValdés, R., Nadal-Sala, D., Sabaté, S., Martin-StPaul, N., Morin, X., D'Adamo, F., Batllori, E.
and Améztegui, A.: MEDFATE 2.9.3: A trait-enabled model to simulate Mediterranean forest
function and dynamics at regional scales. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 16, 3165–3201,
https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-16-3165-2023, 2023.

De Jong, J.B.R.M.: Een karakterisering van de zonnestraling (A characterization of solar
radiation) in Nederland. Doctoral report, Eindhoven University of Technology, Netherlands,
97 + 67 pp., 1980.

28





Delpierre, N., Soudani, K., Franc, ois, C., Le Maire, G., Bernhofer, C., Kutsch, W.,
Misson, L., Rambal, S., Vesala, T., and Dufrêne, E.: Quantifying the influence of climate and
biological drivers on the interannual variability of carbon exchanges in European forests
through process-based modelling. *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, 154–155, 99–112,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.10.010, 2012.

Dirnbock, T., Dullinger, S., Gottfried, M., Ginzler, C. and Grabherr, G.: Mapping alpine vegetation based on image analysis, topographic variables and Canonical Correspondance Analysis. *Applied Vegetation Science*, 6: 85-96, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-109X.2003.tb00567.x, 2003.

680Dubayah, R. and Loechel, S.: Modeling topographic solar radiation using GOES data. J.681Appl.Meteor.,36,141–154,https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-6820450(1997)036<0141:MTSRUG>2.0.CO;2, 1997.

Dufrêne, E., Davi, H., François, C., Maire, G. I., Dantec, V. L., and Granier, A.: Modelling
carbon and water cycles in a beech forest: Part I: Model description and uncertainty analysis
on modelled NEE. *Ecol. Model.*, 185, 407–436,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.01.004, 2005.

Fealy, R. and Sweeney, J.: Statistical downscaling of temperature, radiation and potential
evapotranspiration to produce a multiple GCM ensemble mean for a selection of sites in
Ireland. *Irish Geography*, 41:1, 1-27, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/00750770801909235,
2008.

Fisher, J. B., Whittaker, R. J., and Malhi, Y.: ET come home: Potential evapotranspiration
in geographical ecology: ET come home. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 20(1), 1–18.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2010.00578.x, 2011.

Franklin, J.: Predicting the distribution of shrub species in southern California from climate
and terrain-derived variables. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 9(5): 733-748,
https://doi.org/10.2307/3237291, 1998.

697 Corripio, J.G.: insol: Solar Radiation. R package version 1.2.2,
698 https://www.meteoexploration.com/R/insol/ (last access 27/05/2024), 2020.

Granier, A., Breda, N., Biron, P. and Villette, S.: A lumped water balance model to
evaluate duration and intensity of drought constraints in forest stands. *Ecol. Model.*,
116:269–283, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3800(98)00205-1, 1999.

Granier, A., Reichstein, M., Bréda, N., Janssens, I. A., Falge, E., Ciais, P., Grünwald, T.,
Aubinet, M., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C., Buchmann, N., Facini, O., Grassi, G., Heinesch, B.,

704 Ilvesniemi, H., Keronen, P., Knohl, A., Köstner, B., Lagergren, F., Lindroth, A., Longdoz, B.,

705 Loustau, D., Mateus, J., Montagnani, L., Nys, C., Moors, E.J., Papale, D., Peiffer, M.,

706 Pilegaard, K., Pita, G., Pumpanen, J., Rambal, S., Rebmann, C., Rodrigues, A., Seufert, G.,

707 Tenhunen, J., Vesala, T. and Wang, Q.: Evidence for soil water control on carbon and water





dynamics in European forests during the extremely dry year: 2003. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 143(1-2), 123-145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2006.12.004, 2007.

710 Hammond, W. M., Yu, K., Wilson, L. A., Will, R. E., Anderegg, W. R. L. and Adams, H. D.:

711 Dead or dying? Quantifying the point of no return from hydraulic failure in drought-induced
712 tree mortality. *New Phytologist*, 223(4), 1834–1843, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15922,
713 2019.

Hernanz, A., Correa, C., Domínguez, M., Rodríguez-Guisado, E. and Rodríguez-Camino,
E.: Comparison of machine learning statistical downscaling and regional climate models for
temperature, precipitation, wind speed, humidity and radiation over Europe under present
conditions. *International Journal of Climatology*, 43, 13, 6065-6082,
https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.8190, 2023.

Hijmans, R.J., Cameron, S.E., Parra, J.L., Jones, P.G. and Jarvis, A.: Very highresolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal of Climatology*, 25(15):1965-1978, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1276, 2005.

I.E.A. (International Energy Agency): An Introduction to Meteorological Measurements
and Data. Handling for Solar Energy Applications, Handbook Int. Energy Agency, Dept.
Energy U.S.A., Washington D.C, 1978.

Jean, F., Davi, H., Oddou-Muratorio, S., Fady, B., Scotti, I., Scotti-Saintagne, C., Ruffault,
J., Journe, V., Clastre, P., Marloie, O., Brunetto, W., Correard, M., Gilg, O., Pringarve, M.,
Rei, F., Thevenet, J., Turion, N. and Pichot, C.: A 14-year series of leaf phenological data
collected for European beech (*Fagus sylvatica L.*) and silver fir (*Abies alba Mill.*) from their
geographic range margins in south-eastern France. *Annals of Forest Science*, (2023)80:35,
https://doi.org/10.1186/s13595-023-01193-9, 2023.

Klein, T.: The variability of stomatal sensitivity to leaf water potential across tree species
indicates a continuum between isohydric and anisohydric behaviours. *Funct. Ecol.*, 28,
1313–1320, https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12289, 2014.

Klucher, T.M.: Evaluation of models to predict insolation on tilted surfaces. Division of
 solar energy, N.A.S.A. TM-78842, https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-092X(79)90110-5, 1978.

Lander, T.A., Klein, E.K., Roig, A. and Oddou-Muratorio, S.: Weak founder effects but
significant spatial genetic imprint of recent contraction and expansion of European beech
populations. *Heredity (Edinb)*, 126(3):491-504, doi: 10.1038/s41437-020-00387-5, 2021.

Martin-StPaul, N., Delzon, S. and Cochard, H.: Plant resistance to drought depends on
timely stomatal closure. *Ecology Letters*, 20(11), 1437–1447.
https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.1285, 2017.

Martin-StPaul, N., Ruffault, J., Guillemot, J., Barbero, R., Cochard, H., Cailleret, M.,
Cáceres, M. D., Dupuy, J.-L., Pimont, F., Torres-Ruiz, J. M., and Limousin, J.-M.: How much
does VPD drive tree water stress and forest disturbances? *Authorea,* Preprints.





745 https://doi.org/10.22541/au.168147010.01270793/v1, 2023.

746 Meentemeyer, R.K., Moody, A. and Franklin, J.: Landscape-scale patterns of shrub-747 species abundance in California chaparral: The role of topographically mediated resource

748 gradients. Plant Ecology, 156: 19-41, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1011944805738, 2001.

Monteith, J. L.: Evaporation and surface temperature. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 107(451), 1–27. https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49710745102, 1981.

Moreno, M., Simioni, G., Cailleret, M., Ruffault, J., Badel, E., Carrière, S., Davi, H., Gavinet, J., Huc, R., Limousin, J.-M., Marloie, O., Martin, L., Rodríguez-Calcerrada, J., Vennetier, M. and Martin-StPaul, N.: Consistently lower sap velocity and growth over nine years of rainfall exclusion in a Mediterranean mixed pine-oak forest. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 308–309, 108472. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2021.108472, 2021.

Muñoz-Sabater, J., Dutra, E., Agustí-Panareda, A., Albergel, C., Arduini, G., Balsamo, G.,
Boussetta, S., Choulga, M., Harrigan, S., Hersbach, H., Martens, B., Miralles, D. G., Piles,
M., Rodríguez-Fernández, N. J., Zsoter, E., Buontempo, C., and Thépaut, J.-N.: ERA5-Land:
a state-of-the-art global reanalysis dataset for land applications. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 13,
4349–4383, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-4349-2021, 2021.

Oliphant, A. J., & Stoy, P. C.: An evaluation of semiempirical models for partitioning
photosynthetically active radiation into diffuse and direct beam components. Journal of
GeophysicalResearch: *Biogeosciences*, 123, 889–901,
https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JG004370, 2018.

Patsiou, T.S., Conti, E., Zimmermann, N.E., Theodoridis, S. and Randin, C.F.: Topoclimatic microrefugia explain the persistence of a rare endemic plant in the Alps during the
last 21 millennia. *Global Change Biology*, 20(7):2286–2300,
https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12515, 2014.

Piedallu, C. and Gégout, J.-C.: Multiscale computation of solar radiation for predictive
vegetation modelling. *Ann. For. Sci.*, 64, 899-909, DOI: 10.1051/forest:2007072, 2007.

Piedallu, C. and Gégout, J-C.: Efficient assessment of topographic solar radiation to
improve plant distribution models. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 148 (11), pp.16961706., https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2008.06.001, 2008.

Pierce, K.B., Lookingbill, T. and Urban, D.: A simple method for estimating potential
relative radiation (PRR) for landscape-scale vegetation analysis. *Landscape Ecology*, 20(2):
137-147, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-004-1296-6, 2005.

Poggio, L., De Sousa, L. M., Batjes, N. H., Heuvelink, G. B. M., Kempen, B., Ribeiro, E., and Rossiter, D.: SoilGrids 2.0: Producing soil information for the globe with quantified spatial uncertainty. *Soil*, 7(1), 217–240. doi: 10.5194/soil-7-217-2021, 2021.

Randin, C. F., Engler, R., Normand, S., Zappa, M., Zimmermann, N. E., Pearman, P. B.,Vittoz, P., Thuiller, W. and Guisan, A.: Climate change and plant distribution: local models





782 predict high-elevation persistence. *Global Change Biology*, 15(6), 1557-1569.
783 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01766.x, 2009.

Roderick, M. L.: Estimating the diffuse component from daily and monthly measurements
of global radiation. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 95, 169-185,
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1923(99)00028-3, 1999.

Ruffault, J., Martin-StPaul, N.K., Rambal, S. et Mouillot, F.: Differential regional
responses in drought length, intensity and timing to recent climate changes in a
Mediterranean forested ecosystem. *Climatic Change*, 117, 103–117,
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-012-0559-5, 2013.

Ruffault, J., Pimont, F., Cochard, H., Dupuy, J.-L., and Martin-StPaul, N.: SurEau-Ecos
v2.0: a trait-based plant hydraulics model for simulations of plant water status and droughtinduced mortality at the ecosystem level, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 15, 5593–5626,
https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-15-5593-2022, 2022.

795 Ruffault, J., Limousin, J-.M., Pimont, F., Dupuy, J-.L., De Cáceres, M., Cochard, H., 796 Mouillot, F., Blackman, C.J., Torres-Ruiz, J.M., Parsons, R.A., Moreno, M., Delzon, S., Jansen, S., Olioso, A., Choat, B. and Martin-StPaul, N.: Plant hydraulic modelling of leaf and 797 798 canopy fuel moisture content reveals increasing vulnerability of a Mediterranean forest to 799 wildfires under extreme drought. New Phytologist, 237. 4. 1256-1269. 800 https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.18614, 2023.

Spitters, C.J.T., Toussaint, H.A.J.M., and Goudriaan, J.: Separating the diffuse and direct component of global radiation and its implications for modeling canopy photosynthesis Part I. Components of incoming radiation. *Agr. and Forest Met.*, 38(1-3), 217-229, https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1923(86)90060-2, 1986.

805 Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM): 1 Arc-Second Global (2013). 806 https://doi.org/10.5066/F7PR7TFT (Downloaded on https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/ the 15-807 10-2021), 2013.

Stéfanon, M., Martin-StPaul, N. K., Leadley, P., Bastin, S., Dell'Aquila, A., Drobinski, P.,
and Gallardo, C.: Testing climate models using an impact model: What are the advantages? *Climatic Change*, 131(4), 649–661, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-015-1412-4, 2015.

Tappeiner, U., Tasser, E. and Tappeiner, G.: Modelling vegetation patterns using natural and anthropogenic influence factors: preliminary experience with a GIS based model applied to an Alpine area. *Ecological Modelling*, 113(1-3): 225-237, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3800(98)00145-8, 1998.

Zimmermann, N.E. and Kienast, F.: Predictive mapping of alpine grasslands in
Switzerland: Species versus community approach. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 10(4):
469-482, https://doi.org/10.2307/3237182, 1999.

32