

# **Speciation and historical invasions of the Asian black-spined toad (Duttaphrynus melanostictus)**

Christophe Dufresnes, Daniel Jablonski, Johanna Ambu, Vishal Kumar Prasad, Kumudani Bala Gautam, Rachunliu G Kamei, Stephen Mahony, Sylvia Hofmann, Rafaqat Masroor, Bérénice Alard, et al.

# **To cite this version:**

Christophe Dufresnes, Daniel Jablonski, Johanna Ambu, Vishal Kumar Prasad, Kumudani Bala Gautam, et al.. Speciation and historical invasions of the Asian black-spined toad (Duttaphrynus melanostictus). Nature Communications, 2025, 16 (1), pp.298.  $10.1038/s41467-024-54933-4$ . hal-04886291

# **HAL Id: hal-04886291 <https://hal.science/hal-04886291v1>**

Submitted on 14 Jan 2025

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/4.0/)

# nature communications

# Speciation and historical invasions of the Asian black-spined toad (Duttaphrynus melanostictus)

Received: 15 February 2024

Accepted: 26 November 2024

Published online: 07 January 2025

**Check for updates** 

Chri[s](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8497-8908)tophe Dufresnes  $\mathbf{D}^{1,2} \boxtimes$  $\mathbf{D}^{1,2} \boxtimes$  $\mathbf{D}^{1,2} \boxtimes$ , Daniel Jablonski  $\mathbf{D}^{3}$  $\mathbf{D}^{3}$  $\mathbf{D}^{3}$ , Johanna Ambu $\mathbf{D}^{1}$ , Vishal Kumar Prasa[d](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1818-0903)  $\mathbf{Q}^{4,5}$  $\mathbf{Q}^{4,5}$  $\mathbf{Q}^{4,5}$  $\mathbf{Q}^{4,5}$  $\mathbf{Q}^{4,5}$ , Kumudani Bala Gautam  $\mathbf{Q}^{5,6}$ , Rachunliu G. Kamei  $\mathbf{Q}^{7,8}$ , Stephen Mahon[y](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8263-6832) ®<sup>8,9</sup>, Sylvia Hofma[n](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0621-9049)n ®<sup>[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6248-546X)0</sup>, Rafaqat Masroor ®<sup>11</sup>, Bérén[i](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8505-3050)ce Alard <[s](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5633-7206)up>12</sup>, Angelica Crottini <sup>® 12,13,14</sup>, Devin Edmonds <sup>® 15,16</sup>, Annem[a](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1507-2288)rie Ohler  $\mathbf{D}^2$  $\mathbf{D}^2$ , Jianpin[g](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1051-7797) Jiang  $\mathbf{D}^{17}$ , Janak R. Khatiwada  $\mathbf{D}^{18}$ , S[a](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6295-0210)ndeep Kumar Gupta ®<sup>[5](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6295-0210)</sup>, Amaël Borzé[e](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1093-677X) ®<sup>4</sup>, Leo J. Borki[n](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2178-1147) ®<sup>19</sup>, Dmitriy V. Skorinov <sup>® [2](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9916-2098)0</sup>, Daniel A. Melniko[v](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8011-1274) ® <sup>[1](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4061-0164)9</sup>, K[o](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4061-0164)nstantin D. Milto <sup>® 19</sup>, Evgeny L. Konstantinov<sup>21</sup>, Sven Künze[l](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4992-5963)  $\mathbf{D}^{22}$ , Tomasz Suchan  $\mathbf{D}^{23}$ , Dmitriy V. Arkhipov  $\mathbf{D}^{24}$  $\mathbf{D}^{24}$  $\mathbf{D}^{24}$ , Alexei V. Trofimets  $\mathbf{D}^{24}$ , Tan Van Nguyen  $\mathbf{D}^{25,26}$ , Chat[m](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3342-1464)ong[k](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7447-6691)on Suwannapoom  $\mathbf{D}^{27}$  $\mathbf{D}^{27}$  $\mathbf{D}^{27}$ , Spartak N. Litvinchuk  $\mathbf{D}^{20,28,30}$  & Nikolay A. Poyarko[v](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7576-2283) ® 24,29,30

Animal translocations provide striking examples of the human footprint on biodiversity. Combining continental-wide genomic and DNA-barcoding analyses, we reconstructed the historical biogeography of the Asian black-spined toad (Duttaphrynus melanostictus), a toxic commensal amphibian that currently threatens two biodiversity hotspots through biological invasions (Wallacea and Madagascar). The results emphasize a complex diversification shaped by speciation and mitochondrial introgression that comprises two distinct species. One species (true *D. melanostictus*) is distributed in the Indian subcontinent and is invasive in Wallacea. The other species, whose nomenclature remains unsettled, diverged from D. melanostictus in the Miocene era (~7 Mya) and diversified across Southeast Asia, from where it was introduced to Madagascar. Remarkably, the Indonesian population of D. melanostictus was recently established from India, which suggests historical, possibly human-assisted dispersal across the Bay of Bengal, reflecting the centuries-old connection between these regions.

Artificial movements of animals are hallmarks of the human footprint on biodiversity. Retracing the evolution of commensal species thus offers a window to examine certain aspects of human history, such as the cultural relationships between civilizations (ethnozoology) $1/2$  and the effect of human activities on their distribution, especially in the context of biological invasions.

Amphibians hold fascinating prospects for ethnozoological and biological invasion research<sup>3</sup>. Translocations of anurans (frogs and toads) have been documented both in ancient and recent times,

associated with e.g., religious rituals<sup>4</sup>, food harvest<sup>5-7</sup>, pest insect control<sup>8</sup>, horticulture<sup>9</sup>, and passive stowaway in trucks or ships<sup>10,11</sup>. One way to understand these amphibian movements is to reconstruct the phylogeographic history of species, which is, however, full of pitfalls. Widespread species often consist of species complexes in which closely related lineages have abundantly hybridized throughout their diversifications $12-15$ , which can render single-gene inferences such as mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) barcoding analyses inadequate for species identification, distributions, and delimitation $16,17$ .

A full list of affiliations appears at the end of the paper.  $\boxtimes$  e-mail: [Christophe.Dufresnes@hotmail.fr](mailto:Christophe.Dufresnes@hotmail.fr)

The Asian black-spined toad Duttaphrynus melanostictus (Schneider,  $1799$ <sup>18</sup> (Anura: Bufonidae) functions as a human commensal<sup>19</sup> that lives alongside half of the world's inhabitants, from Pakistan to southeastern China and Indonesia<sup>20</sup>. Bufonids are poisonous through the production of a highly potent cardiotoxic cocktail<sup> $21-23$ </sup> which, for *D. melanostictus*, was specifically shown to interfere with the physiology of human blood cells, leading to their membrane disruption and rapid lysis $24$ . Accordingly, the species is considered a risk for food poisoning to people who include amphibians in their diet – the ingestion of their skin has been suspected to cause serious illness and even death $25,26$ . With an active poison and other therapeutical properties, toads like D. melanostictus are of interest for pharmacological research<sup> $27$ </sup> and have been part of the traditional pharmacopeia of Asian countries like India<sup>28,29</sup> and China for thousands of years<sup>23</sup>. In parts of India, large anurans including *D. melanostictus* are central to folk culture, for instance, through the ritual ceremonies of Bhekuli Biya (i.e., frog marriage)<sup>30</sup>. In parallel, the vast distribution of D. melanostictus encompasses hotspots of ethnic diversity such as Southeast Asia $31$ , where human populations have a complex genetic and cultural heritage that reflects multiple migration waves and trading routes from neighboring regions, notably India<sup>32,33</sup>. The shared history of D. melanostictus with Asian people thus makes the species a potential bearer of past human movements and connections $34$ .

Today, D. melanostictus illustrates the world's globalization. Often thriving in rural and urban areas $35$ , the species can venture into shipping containers or even planes and can inadvertently become a stowaway<sup>11,36,37</sup>. Numerous incursions outside its native range are known, e.g., from Madagascar<sup>38,39</sup>, the Maldives<sup>3</sup>, the Middle East<sup>40</sup>, Australia<sup>37</sup>, New Zealand<sup>36</sup>, southern Africa<sup>41</sup>, Wallacean islands including Maluka, New Guinea, Sulawesi, and Timor-Leste $42,43$ , and potentially the Andaman-Nicobar archipelago<sup>44</sup>. It is considered a problematic amphibian by wildlife authorities worldwide<sup>45,46</sup> due to its ability to travel and its potential environmental impact, notably through the threats posed by its toxicity to naïve autochthonous predators $47-49$ , which might soon include iconic species like the Komodo dragon<sup>50,51</sup>. The invasions in Madagascar and Wallacea, two of Earth's biodiversity hotspots, have received substantial interest by the academic community $43,52$ . Australia is also concerned about a future establishment of D. melanostictus, which has been intercepted at seaports and airports multiple times<sup>37</sup>, and measures are in place to prevent a second toxic toad invasion after the devastating introduction of the cane toad Rhinella marina (Linnaeus,  $1758$ )<sup>53</sup>.

Informed research and management of D. melanostictus can benefit from a better understanding of its complex evolutionary history. Previous studies reported multiple genetic lineages and intricate phylogeographic patterns across the enormous range of the Asian black-spined toad, putatively including several cryptic species<sup>19,39,54-57</sup>. However, these analyses essentially focused on two mtDNA barcoding genes (16S, ND3) and may be inadequate given the propensity of mitonuclear discordances in amphibians, particularly in Bufonidae<sup>58,59</sup>. Additionally, different geographic regions were studied with different genes by different researchers (e.g., ND3 in Southeast Asia, 16S in India), with little correspondence between their respective sampling, thus making the diversity and distributions of the reported lineages even more difficult to comprehend.

Here we revisited the phylogeography of *D. melanostictus* by carrying out phylogenetic and population genetic analyses of double digest Restriction Associated DNA sequencing (ddRAD-seq) genomic data, genome size data, and mitochondrial barcoding gene sequences taken from the whole range. We demonstrate the existence of two species hiding under the name *D. melanostictus* in South and Southeast Asia, whose respective distributions are blurred by pervasive mitochondrial introgression over thousands of kilometers, and establish a recent Indian origin for the Indonesian populations, suggesting historical overseas dispersal.

# Results

#### Phylogenomics and population genomics

Phylogenomic analyses of 88 specimens from five Duttaphrynus species recover two clades, one including *D. dhufarensis* (Parker, 1931)<sup>60</sup>, D. olivaceus (Blanford, 1874)<sup>61</sup> and D. stomaticus (Lütken, 1864)<sup>62</sup>, and one including D. himalayanus (Günther,  $1864$ <sup>63</sup> and D. melanostictus (Fig. 1a, Supplementary Fig. 1). Our focal species D. melanostictus comprises two distinct nuclear lineages (Fig. 1a, Supplementary Fig. 1), one occurring in western ranges (South Asia: India, Nepal, Pakistan) and Indonesia (orange in Fig. 1a), and one occurring in eastern ranges (Southeast Asia; green in Fig. 1a). Timetree analyses indicate that these lineages diverged during the Late Miocene ~7.2 Mya (95% Highest Probability Density (HPD): 5.6–8.7 Mya, Fig. 1a, Supplementary Fig. 2).

Population genomic analyses of *D. melanostictus* ( $n = 69$  specimens from 68 localities), namely Bayesian clustering with STRUCTURE and a Principal Component Analysis (PCA), recover the two nuclear lineages as distinct genetic groups, with little trace of mixed ancestry (Fig. 2a, Supplementary Figs. 3–4). Analyses of each lineage separately reveal phylogeographic structure with up to four STRUCTURE clusters in each lineage (Fig. 2a, Supplementary Fig. 3). These are also distinguished on the PCAs (Supplementary Fig. 4) and the phylogenetic trees (Supplementary Figs. 1–2) and networks (Fig. 2b). In the western lineage, the clusters correspond to populations from Indonesia/South India (yellow), most of India (orange), Pakistan (light brown), and Nepal (dark brown) (Fig. 2a). In the eastern lineage, the clusters correspond to China/ North Vietnam (red), South Vietnam/Cambodia/South Thailand/Madagascar (dark green), North Thailand/NorthVietnam (light green), and Myanmar/Malaysia (purple) (Fig. 2b). In each lineage, mixed ancestry coefficients, consistent with recent admixture, are retrieved between clusters (Fig. 2a, b). Some populations featured unexpected genomic backgrounds in respect to their geographic origins: our southernmost Indian sample shares alleles with the Indonesian samples; one sample from South Vietnam clusters with the Chinese/North Vietnamese samples (Fig. 2b); samples from peninsular and insular Malaysia are genetically related to the Burmese samples (Fig. 2b).

#### Genome size analyses

Genome size measurements  $(n = 90$  specimens from 32 localities) revealed diagnostic differences between the two D. melanostictus lineages. The genome of the eastern lineage is on average 17% larger (11.8 pg, range: 11.5–12.4 pg) than the genome of the western lineage (10.1 pg, range: 9.4–10.4 pg), and the estimates do not overlap (Fig. 1, Supplementary Data 1). As inferred from genome size (triangles in Fig. 1a), the respective distributions of the two lineages are consistent with the ddRAD-seq results. These confirm the eastern lineage across mainland Southeast Asia, and the western lineage across the Indian subcontinent (including Sri Lanka) as well as Indonesia (Fig. 1a).

#### mtDNA analyses

Phylogenetic trees built for the mtDNA genes 16S (558 bp,  $n = 382$  sequences; Supplementary Fig. 5) and  $ND3$  (469 bp,  $n = 494$  sequences; Supplementary Fig. 6), overall representing 876 Duttaphrynus specimens, recover seven deep mitochondrial lineages (thereafter mitogroups) assigned to D. melanostictus. Spatially-explicit network analyses of the *D. melanostictus* sequences (16S:  $n = 159$  from 99 localities;  $ND3: n = 483$  from 191 localities) reveal strong geographic associations (Fig. 3). Mitogroups are labelled A–G, following previous studies<sup>39</sup>

The western nuclear lineage of *D. melanostictus* corresponds to mitogroups A and B (Fig. 3). Mitogroup A is found across Indonesia, Wallacea and South Asia and is subdivided into two subgroups noted A1 (most sequences) and A2 (two Indian sequences lacking locality information, and one Nepalese sequence). All Indonesian 16S sequences are identical to a sequence from South India (Supplementary Data 1,



Fig. 1 | Phylogeny of *Duttaphrynus* and distribution of the two main lineages of the *D. melanostictus* complex. a Nuclear DNA assessment. The map combines population assignments based on ddRAD-seq (circles) and genome size (triangles). The tree represents a time-calibrated Bayesian phylogeny for 83,652 bp of concatenated ddRAD-seq markers. The barplots show genome size variation. b Mitochondrial DNA assessment. The map combines 16S and ND3 lineage assignment.

Supplementary Fig. 5). The Indonesian ND3 sequences consist of two closely related haplotypes, including one that is identical to a sequence from India (Supplementary Data 1, Supplementary Fig. 6). Mitogroup B is found mostly across North India and adjacent regions and is also subdivided in two subgroups, noted B1 (most of the range plus Malaysia) and B2 (Nepal and Northeast India) (Fig. 3, Supplementary Figs. 5–6).

The eastern nuclear lineage of D. melanostictus corresponds to the Southeast Asian mitogroups C–G, whose distributions partly associate with the structure retrieved from the ddRAD-seq data (Figs.  $2-3$ ). Specifically, the purple cluster in Myanmar corresponds to mitogroup E; the light green cluster in North Vietnam/North Thailand corresponds to





The tree represents a time-calibrated Bayesian phylogeny for up to 16,844 bp of mitochondrial sequences. On the trees, node circle size and darkness are proportional to branch support. Green: eastern lineage designated as D. cf. melanostictus; orange: western lineage designated as D. melanostictus s. s. The data used in the graphs are provided in the Source Data. Trees were visualized with FigTree 1.4.3. Maps were generated with QGIS 3.24.3.

mitogroup F; the red and dark green clusters found in the rest of the Indochinese Peninsula correspond to mitogroup G, which is also found in the introduced populations of Madagascar and the Persian Gulf (Fig. 3). Mitogroups C and D were not investigated with nuclear markers.

Built from 27 full or partial mitogenomes representative of 17 species, the mitochondrial phylogeny of *Duttaphrynus* is generally robust (Fig. 1b). The D. melanostictus mitogroups form two distinct clades: one comprising mitogroup A and samples from three Western Ghats endemic species, namely *D. brevirostris* (Rao, 1937) $^{64}$ , D. microtympanum (Boulenger, 1882) $65$ , and D. parietalis (Boulenger,  $1882)^{65}$ ; the other comprising mitogroups B-G. According to the



Fig. 2 | Nuclear genetic structure and divergence in the D. melanostictus complex. a Bayesian clustering of SNP datasets. The barplots show the ancestry estimates obtained for 851 SNPs genotyped in the whole complex (two clusters: green and orange); of 3364 SNPs genotyped in the western nuclear lineage D. melanostictus s. s. (four clusters: yellow, orange, brown and light brown); of 4782 SNPS genotyped in the eastern nuclear lineage D. cf. melanostictus (four clusters: purple, red, green and light green). Ancestries to the intraspecific clusters are reported on the map. b Phylogenetic networks of sequence datasets. The left network is built from 482,648 bp (western lineage). The right network is built from 628,293 bp (eastern lineage). Ancestries to the intraspecific clusters are

reported on the networks. Acronyms indicate countries and regions, HI: Hainan; ID: Indonesia; IN: India; KH: Cambodia; LA: Laos; MG: Madagascar; MM: Myanmar; MY: Malaysia; NP: Nepal; PK: Pakistan; TH: Thailand; TW: Taiwan; VN: Vietnam; N-, S-, E- and W-: northern, southern, eastern, western, respectively. Photographs: D. melanostictus s. s. from Pakistan (credits: D.J.) and D. cf. melanostictus from Thailand (credits: C.D.). The data used in the graphs are provided in the Source Data. The map was generated with QGIS 3.24.3. Barplots were generated with Distruct 1.1. Networks were generated with SplitsTree 4.18.3 and overlayed by ancestry coefficients with the R code provided in Supplementary Code 1.

mitochondrial timetree, these clades diverged 7.9 Mya (95% HPD: 6.2–9.5 Mya; Supplementary Fig. 7).

The mitochondrial and nuclear phylogeographies thus have one major discrepancy: mitogroup B, which is predominantly found in the western nuclear lineage, is phylogenetically more closely related to mitogroups of the eastern nuclear lineage (C–G). In other words, populations from Pakistan, Nepal, and North India carry mtDNA derived from Southeast Asia, while being most closely related to the South Indian and Indonesian populations at the nuclear level (Fig. 1a, b).

### **Discussion**

#### Speciation in Asian black-spined toads

Our study reports molecular evidence for a species complex in D. melanostictus, which is composed of at least two candidate species. The nuclear and mitochondrial timetree estimation agreed that the western and eastern lineages of D. melanostictus diverged during the Late Miocene (7.2/7.9 Mya), prior to the emergence of many other Duttaphrynus species (Fig. 1b). Deep genomic divergence is a reliable indicator of amphibian speciation when it can be related to reproductive isolation $66,67$  and in this respect, the documented species complex is older than most nascent species of Eurasian amphibians for which reproductive barriers have been demonstrated<sup>68,69</sup>. For instance, Palearctic anuran lineages (including Bufonids) that diverged more than 6 Mya invariably evolved genetic incompatibilities that prevent or significantly restrict gene flow in parapatric ranges<sup>66</sup>. These observations are corroborated in the few Asian toads studied, e.g., the oldest lineages of the *Bufo praetextatus* Boie,  $1826^{70}$  complex, which diversified ~5.7 Mya<sup>71</sup>, barely admix and accordingly underly distinct species<sup>72</sup>. From these observations, the contact zone between the western and eastern lineages of *D. melanostictus* is thus expected to feature little to no admixture, which is preliminarily suggested by our samples from the putative transition zone in Myanmar and Northeast India (Fig. 2). In contrast, the phylogeographic structure documented within each lineage is much younger ( $\leq$  3 Mya) and involves traces of admixture over large distances that are rather consistent with reproductive compatibility and conspecificity.

Naming the western (South Asia/Indonesia) and eastern (Southeast Asia) species requires examining the nomenclatural history of D. melanostictus. The oldest taxon, Bufo melanostictus Schneider, 1799<sup>18</sup> was described from modern-day India<sup>18,73</sup> and thus corresponds to the western species D. melanostictus sensu stricto (s. s.). In Southeast Asia, three available names could apply to the eastern species<sup>74</sup>. The oldest one, Bufo gymnauchen Bleeker, 1858<sup>75</sup>, was described from "Bintang" (currently Bintan Island in Indonesia), which is located ~20 km south of the Malay Peninsula where the eastern species is expected to occur. Genetic analysis of type or topotypical specimens will be necessary to confirm this hypothesis. Otherwise, the next (younger) names to consider are *Bufo longecristatus* Werner,  $1903^{76}$ , with type locality given as "Borneo Island", and Bufo tienhoensis Bourret,  $1937<sup>77</sup>$ , with type locality given as "Lang Son Province, Northeast Vietnam". For now, we leave the eastern species unnamed and provisionally refer to it as D. cf. melanostictus.

From a biogeographical perspective, speciation between the western and eastern populations of *D. melanostictus* sensu lato (s. l.) is not unexpected. The herpetofauna of South and Southeast Asia are separated by the Indo-Burman mountains that mark the biogeographic transition between the Ganges-Brahmaputra lowlands and the Indochinese Peninsula and are accordingly composed of different species<sup>78</sup>. Climate cooling and monsoon regimes, which have intensified since the Late Miocene, are frequently invoked to explain amphibian diversifications between and within these environmentally heterogenous regions<sup>79</sup>. The timing of divergence also corresponds to the final uplift of the Himalayan Mountain system, and the associated shortening, compression and clockwise rotation along the eastern Himalayan syntaxis $^{80}$ . Moreover, given their distinct ranges, speciation in *D. melanostictus* s. l. may involve ecological divergence and thus potential phenotypic differentiation, which remains to be examined. Given the enormous distribution of these species, parts of their range have not yet been studied at the molecular level, and may thus hide additional diversity, e.g., Central India, Sri Lanka, or the Laccadive archipelago.

#### Genetic discordances and taxonomic imbroglio

Previous studies reported multiple mitochondrial lineages in D. melanostictus, but the different geographic scopes and genes sequenced obscured the overall picture. In particular, candidate species lineages were emphasized between Pakistan/India and northern Indochina based on 16S<sup>54,56</sup>, as well as between Southeast Asia and Indonesia based on  $ND3<sup>19</sup>$ , some of which turned out to be the same (mitogroup A/D. melanostictus s. s. in Indonesia and South India). The incomplete sampling also led to biogeographic misinterpretations, e.g., mitogroup A was initially considered as an Indonesian endemic that evolved by insular vicariance $34$ .

Besides study design, the deep cyto-nuclear discordance in the sub-Himalayan ranges was a major source of confusion. Our nuclear data assign these populations to *D. melanostictus* s. s., which implies that their mtDNA (mitogroup B) has a foreign origin, i.e., derived from D. cf. melanostictus. Without this knowledge, it was concluded that mitogroup B corresponds to a separate candidate species for which the name D. bengalensis (Daudin,  $1802$ <sup>81</sup> was recently resurrected<sup>57</sup> and employed<sup>82</sup>. The shallow nuclear differentiation between S-Asian populations argues against the recognition of D. bengalensis which we herein formally consider to be a junior subjective synonym of D. melanostictus.

The divergence and distribution of mitogroup B remain puzzling as this mitogroup does not seem to correspond to any extant nuclear cluster. One possible origin is mitochondrial transfer from Southeast Asian's D. cf. melanostictus to the sub-Himalayan population of D. melanostictus s. s. several million years ago at times when these species remain permeable to gene flow. Alternatively, mitogroup B might be a ghost mitochondrial lineage, i.e., the remnant of an extinct lineage (e.g., an ancestral Himalayan population of D. cf. melanostictus) for which the nuclear genome has been entirely assimilated through genetic introgression (lineage fusion) $83$ .

The potential unreliability of mtDNA casts doubt on other Duttaphrynus taxa. For instance, the weak mitochondrial divergence between D. olivaceus and D. stomaticus (<1 Mya, Supplementary Fig. 7), as previously retrieved $55$ , does not reflect their nuclear divergence, which we found to be 10 times older in our ddRAD-seq timetree (6.3 Mya, Supplementary Fig. 2). In India,D. melanostictus s. s. branches within the mitochondrial diversity reported in the Western Ghats $54$ , which has been associated with the young D. brevirostris, D. microtympanum, D. parietalis (diverged 2–5 Mya, Supplementary Fig. 7). In the Himalayas, D. himalayanus may also represent a species complex, as it shows two deeply diverged mtDNA lineages that segregates in the Indian and Nepalese ranges $84$  that we estimated to have emerged 4.1 Mya (Supplementary Fig. 7). These populations/taxa thus deserve a genomic evaluation.

Duttaphrynus toads add to an alarming number of cases in which cyto-nuclear discordances, in the form of ghost lineages or mitochondrial captures, blur phylogeographic and systematic inferences, as seen also in e.g., butterflies<sup>85</sup>, reptiles<sup>86</sup> and other amphibians<sup>58</sup>. Our results thus bring direct empirical support to recent calls for caution regarding taxonomic revisions based essentially on (mt)DNA barcodes<sup>17,87,88</sup> and illustrate how such revisions can be made more reliable using genomic approaches.

#### Two invaders in one

Asian black-spined toad invasions involve two distinct species, namely D. melanostictus s. s. in Wallacea, introduced from the main Indonesian islands<sup>43</sup> and *D*. cf. *melanostictus* in Madagascar, introduced from



Fig. 3 | Mitochondrial genetic structure and divergence in the D. melanostictus complex. a Geographic distributions of mitogroups. b Phylogenetic networks of 16S and ND3. Colors distinguish the different lineages identified in the complex. Acronyms indicate introduced populations and haplotypes: Madagascar (MG),

United Arab Emirates (UAE), Indonesia (ID). The data used in the graphs are provided in the Source Data. The map was generated in QGIS 3.24.3. Networks were generated with SplitsTree 4.18.3.

South Vietnam<sup>39</sup>. Their evolutionary divergence should encourage separate risk assessments, notably when documenting life-history traits (dispersal abilities, reproductive output, diet) and ecological requirements (climatic tolerance) to predict potential spread and impact on native wildlife $11$ . Toxicity levels may also deserve a species-specific reconsideration, as bufotoxin composition shows variation between closely related bufonids<sup>58,89</sup>. So far, most invasion research on Asian black-spined toads come from the Malagasy invasion by D. cf.  $melanostictus^{49,90-95}$  and the insights might thus not all be transposable to the Wallacean invasion.

Furthermore, the phylogeography of D. cf. melanostictus revealed surprising patterns that can potentially reflect translocations within native ranges. In South Vietnam, one toad opportunistically sampled in a highly frequented mountain pass (Hon Giao Mountain in Bidoup-Nui Ba

National Park) where *Duttaphrynus* is supposedly absent, clustered with samples from North Vietnam, and could have been transported there by a vehicle. The intricate mixture of various lineages among the Malaysian populations also raises questions about their native origin $57$ . Their mitochondrial and nuclear diversity is shared with the geographically distant Indo-Burman populations, as well as with the proximate populations from Thailand. One explanation could be that the Malaysian populations represent a natural enclave of Indo-Burman lineages, if they formerly expanded southward from the Himalayas to Borneo and have since experienced introgressive replacement following hybrid zone movement<sup>96</sup>. Alternatively, the Indo-Burmese lineages could have been artificially added to the Malaysian diversity. Extensive movement of goods and people from the Bengal region (notably Calcutta [Kolkata] and Dhaka) to Malaysia during the  $19<sup>th</sup>$  century is well documented, especially when both areas belonged to the Bengal Presidency division of British India. Human transport of toads to this area since colonial times is therefore not unexpected $97$ . This case emphasizes the difficulty of ascertaining translocations within native ranges when their genetic signature confounds that of dynamic historical biogeography<sup>7</sup>.

#### Ethnozoology of South-Southeast Asian connections

The peculiar presence of *D. melanostictus* s. s. in Indonesia, despite little genetic divergence from South India, implies a recent connection that cannot be reconciled with the biogeography of the Indo-Malayan realm. Most of the terrestrial fauna of Indonesia is intimately related to nearby Southeast Asia, as these regions form a single landmass (Sundaland) that was exposed during past sea-level subsidence, enabling exchanges between Peninsular Malaysia, Borneo, Sumatra and Java, and smaller islands<sup>98,99</sup>. A natural colonization of Indonesia from South India would imply a former distribution of *D. melanostictus* s. s. around the Bay of Bengal, namely along the western Indochinese coastline and/or the Andaman-Nicobar ridge via land-bridges. However, several arguments run against this scenario. First, the continental lands that separate Indonesia from S-India are presently inhabited by several competing lineages that diversified in situ (Himalayan *D. melanostictus s. s.* and *D. cf.* melanostictus). The colonization and subsequent replacement of D. melanostictus s. s. in Southeast Asia by these lineages should have left some phylogeographic traces such as relic populations or genetic introgression<sup>96</sup>, which we did not detect. Second, the Duttaphrynus toads that inhabit the Andaman-Nicobar archipelago $100$  are believed to have arrived there by boat<sup>44</sup>, which would imply that the toads did not use this pathway between South Myanmar and Northwest Indonesia during the Quaternary, an hypothesis that could be clarified by a molecular identification of the Andaman-Nicobar population. Third, the genetic homogeneity across the Indonesian archipelago $19$ , as well as between Indonesia and India (including identical mtDNA haplotypes), indicates a more recent origin of the Indonesian populations than the last natural connections. While the Sunda shelf was never submerged prior to the last hundreds of thousands of years, sea level variations hampered movements of terrestrial organisms thereafter, at least during interglacial periods<sup>99</sup>. The disconnection between the Indian and Indonesian ranges following the retraction of glacial land bridges and the expansion of D. cf. melanostictus over mainland Southeast Asia, would have been expected to generate genetic structure between these areas, as well as between the Indonesian islands. Finally, a recent transmarine rafting event could in principle produce a phylogeographic pattern like the one we observe. Overseas dispersal has been documented in several amphibian groups, but it remains exceedingly rare over the course of their evolution, with known examples involving landmasses separated by a few hundred kilometers (e.g., Africa, Madagascar and surrounding islands)<sup>101</sup>. Toad rafting across the Bay of Bengal, which consists of 2000 km of open ocean or 3500 km of coastal waters, thus seems highly improbable.

In the light of the above, one potential explanation for the Indian origin of the Indonesian population of *D. melanostictus* s. s. is humanmediated dispersal. Asian black-spined toads are prone to being stowaways in ships cruising the Indian ocean. For instance, they have been transported to Mauritius and the Maldives during or before the 19<sup>th</sup> century $102,103$ . Given their importance in folk culture $30$ , toads may have even been deliberately brought on board by Indian sailors for good fortune. Moreover, the extensive ranges of the species in Indonesia and the fact that it was already present in the country a century  $ago^{104}$  suggest an arrival of D. melanostictus at least hundreds or thousands of years ago. This timeframe corresponds to important cultural and economic links between Indonesia and India during the last millennia.

Indian culture had an important influence upon Southeast Asia, and its spread is believed to have occurred through trade<sup>105</sup>. In particular, Indonesia seems to have had early, direct and privileged connections with South India and Sri Lanka<sup>106</sup>, at least since 2000 years before present  $(BP)^{107}$ , as illustrated by archeological evidence from the late prehistoric period (200 BC–AD 500) in Sembiran and Pacung (northern coast of Bali). Pottery and later fabrics from Sembiran correspond to those from the same era in Arikamedu (Puducherry, Southeast India) and other Indian sites $108$ , while gold and carnelian beads point to additional links with northern India<sup>109</sup>. This indicates at least two trading routes, a mainland one through western Southeast Asia and a maritime one across the Indian ocean. The latter, sometimes dubbed the maritime silk road $110,111$ , is also suggested by South Indian rouletted potteries recovered in Java<sup>112,113</sup> and by glass beads typical of Arikamedu<sup>114</sup> found in Bali (Pangkung Paruk)<sup>115</sup> and Sumatra $116$  from the first centuries AD. Additionally, analyses of the human Y chromosome inferred gene flow from Indian to Indonesian human populations between 2600 and 3100 years  $BP^{32}$ , thus confirming recurrent contacts since at least this epoch. An ancient translocation of D. melanostictus s. s. from India to Indonesia thus corresponds with evidence for this trans-Asiatic maritime route.

The vast naturalized range of D. melanostictus s. s. in Indonesia emphasizes the enormous colonization potential of the species, which might have benefited from further transportation by humans at the regional scale. In several amphibians and reptiles, phylogeographic studies suggested recent, putatively human-mediated dispersal across the Lesser Sundas Archipelago, where populations similarly lack genetic structure $117,118$ . Whether these long-term invasions have impacted past faunal communities remains to be established. The current invasions of the Wallacean biodiversity hotspot $43$ by D. melanostictus s. s. may thus be the follow up of a wider invasion that was already initiated centuries ago, and that will continue to expand without a strong international management response.

#### Methods

This study adheres to the ethical guidelines of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) and the Ethics Committee of Nanjing Forestry University and is approved under IACUC permit number 2023007. Fieldwork was authorized by the Department of Forests and Environment, Government of Meghalaya, India (FWC/G/173/Pt-II/295 dt. 08.05.2014); the Department of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of Nagaland, India (CWL/Gen/97/102-105 dt. 02.05.2013; CWL/Gen/97/659-661 dt. 04.02.2014); the Department of Environment, Forests and Climate Change, and Principal Chief Conservator of Forests (Wildlife), Government of Bihar, India (643/ 27.09.2023); the Chief Conservator of Forests (Wildlife), Government of Jharkhand, India (582,17); the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests (Wildlife), Government of Maharashtra, India (22/8/Research/CR-25/ 2225/23-24); the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests (Wildlife) and Chief Wildlife Warden, Uttarakhand State Forest Department (1014/5- 6/2024 and 2701/5-6/2023); the Principal Chief Conservator of Forest (Wildlife), Karnataka State Forest Department (KFD/WL/E2(RE)/46/ 2023/1143364); the Department of National Park and Wildlife Conservation, Nepal (DNPWC), the Department of Forestry of the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, Lao PDR (511–271/08 dt. 05.05.2009; 299/ DoF dt. 01.08.2019; 009/DoF dt. 23.06.2020; 3820/DoF dt. 10.08.2023); the University of Mandalay, Ministry of Education, Myanmar (005 dt. 07.08.2019); the Institute of Animals for Scientific Purpose Development (IAD), Bangkok, Thailand (U1–01205–2558 dt. 01.04.2022; UP–AE59–01–04–0022; UP–AE64–02–04–005; UP–AE59–01–04–712–0022); Bu Gia Map National Park, Binh Phuoc Province, Vietnam (137/HD NCKH dt. 23.06.2010); Cat Tien National Park, Dong Nai Province, Vietnam (37/HD dt. 23.06.2010); the Department of Forestry, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development of Vietnam, Vietnam (170/TCLN–BTTN dt. 07.02.2013; 831/ TCLN–BTTN dt. 05.06.2013; 400/TCLN–BTTN dt. 26.03.2014; 547/ TCLN–BTTN dt. 21.04.2016; 822/TCLN–BTTN dt. 01.06.2016; 432/TCLN–BTTN dt. 30.03.2017; 142/SNgV-VP dt. 11.04.2017; 712/ TCLN–BTTN dt. 17.05.2017; 1735/TCLN–DDPH dt. 25.10.2017; 1539/ TCLN–DDPH dt. 19.09.2018); the Forest Protection Department of the Peoples' Committee of Ba Ria–Vung Tau Province, Vietnam (769/CNPN dt. 03.12.2020; 14449/UBND–VP dt. 21.12.2020), of Bac Giang Province, Vietnam (1743/UBND–NgV dt. 29.05.2017), of Cao Bang Province, Vietnam (1659/UBND–NC dt. 02.06.2017; 513/SNN–KHTC dt. 31.03.2021), of Da Nang City, Vietnam (97/TTNDVN–STND dt. 09.01.2023; 645/UBND–SNN dt. 16.02.2023), of Dak Lak Province, Vietnam (1567/UBND–TH dt. 06.04.2011; 995/SNN–CCKL dt. 12.04.2019; 388/SNgV–LS dt. 24.04.2019), of Dak Nong Province, Vietnam (209/UBND–NGV dt. 13.01.2021), of Gia Lai Province, Vietnam (1951/UBND–NV dt. 04.05.2016; 530/UBND–NC dt. 20.03.2018; 1103/ UBND–NC dt. 31.05.2022), of Ha Giang Province, Vietnam (109/ SNgV–LS dt. 15.03.2022; 182/SNgV–LS dt. 03.04.2023; 574/SNN–KL dt. 06.04.2023), of Ha Tinh Province, Vietnam (2358/UBND–NL4 dt. 11.05.2022), of Khanh Hoa Province, Vietnam (5565/UBND–KT dt. 08.06.2023; 522/SngV–TTDN&HTQT dt. 13.06.2023), of Lam Dong Province, Vietnam (5832/UBND–LN dt. 22.10.2022; 3369/UBND–NV4 dt. 17.05.2022), of Lao Cai Province, Vietnam (1148/UBND–TNMT dt. 26.03.2019; 5110/UBND–NC dt. 27.10.2022; 2099/UBND–NC dt. 10.05.2023), of Nghe An Province, Vietnam (1700/UBND.VX dt. 22.03.2018; 2089/UBND.VX dt. 03.04.2019), of Ninh Thuan Province, Vietnam (317/UBND–VXNX dt. 03.02.2023), of Phu Tho Province, Vietnam (2394/UBND–TH3 dt. 16.06.2016), of Phu Yen Province, Vietnam (05/UBND–KT dt. 04.01.2021), of Quang Binh Province, Vietnam (776/UBND–KT dt. 10.05.2022), of Quang Nam Province, Vietnam (308/SNgV–LS dt. 01.04.2019; 320/SNgV–LS dt. 22.04.2021; 370/ SNgV–LS dt. 25.04.2022), of Thanh Hoa Province, Vietnam (3532/ UBND–THKH dt. 27.03.2019; 562/GP dt. 01.06.2022), of Thua Thien-Hue Province, Vietnam (755/SNgV–HTQT dt. 04.05.2023) and of Yen Bai Province, Vietnam (738/TTNDVN–ST dt. 23.03.2021; 3535/ UBND–NV dt. 20.10.2022); the Pakistan Museum of Natural History, Islamabad, Pakistan (PMNH/EST1[89]/05); Ministère de l'Environnement et du Développement Durable, Madagascar (021/14/MEF/SG/ DGF/DCB.SAP/SCB; N°113N–EA04/MG15). Rules for conducting scientific research using animals in Russia, as regulated by orders of the Presidium of the USSR Academy of Sciences No. 12000-496 (02.04.1980), and the USSR Ministry of Education No. 22 (13.09.1984), have been followed.

#### Sampling

A total of 197 ethanol-preserved samples of D. melanostictus and other Duttaphrynus species, including D. himalayanus, D. stomaticus, D. olivaceus, and D. dhufarensis, were gathered for genetic analyses during fieldwork trips (Supplementary Data 1). Most samples originate from specimens curated in herpetological collections, namely of the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle in Paris, France (MNHN), the Institute of Cytology of the Russian Academy of Science in St. Petersburg, Russia (INCRAS), the Zoological Museum of Lomonosov Moscow State University in Moscow, Russia (ZMMU), and the Bombay Natural History Society in Mumbai, India (BNHS). A few samples originate from live adults released after capture. For ddRAD-seq and mitochondrial sequencing, DNA was isolated from thigh muscles (vouchered specimens) or buccal swabs (live adults) using the Qiagen Blood & Tissue kit. Archival DNA (archDNA) from two geographically important specimens collected in the early  $20<sup>th</sup>$  century (MNHN-RA.1902.0132 and MNHN-RA.1902.0133) were processed in a separate batch. For genome size measurements, blood samples were obtained from live anaesthetized toads (immersion in 1% MS222) prior to their curation.

#### ddRAD-seq analyses

A genomic library was prepared for 88 Duttaphrynus individuals (including 74 D. melanostictus from 73 localities, Supplementary Data 1) by adapting a ddRAD-seq protocol $119$  that consisted of the following steps. (1) Enzyme restriction was carried in  $9 \mu$ L reaction volumes containing 6 μL of template DNA, 0.1 μL of *Msel* (10,000 U/ml), 0.1 µL of Sbfl (20,000 U/ml), 0.9 µL of Cutsmart (10 $\times$ ) and 1.9 µL of ultrapure water. Products were incubated at 37 °C for 3 h followed by 65 °C for 20' for enzyme inactivation. (2) Adaptor ligation was carried in 11.6  $\mu$ L reaction volumes containing the 9  $\mu$ L of digested products,  $1 \mu$ L of *Msel* adaptors (10  $\mu$ M),  $1 \mu$ L of individually-barcoded *SbfI* adaptors  $(0.1 \mu M)$ ,  $0.26 \mu L$  of Cutsmart  $(10\times)$ ,  $0.12 \mu L$  of ATP  $(100 \text{ mM})$ , 0.17 µL of T4 DNA Ligase (400,000 U/ml) and  $0.05$  µL of ultrapure water. Products were incubated at 16 °C for 3 h. (3) Purification of ligated produced was carried by the addition of 11.6 µL of AMPure (Agencourt), followed by incubation at room temperature for 5', bead attraction with a magnetic device for 10', two consecutive washes of 30" each in 100  $\mu$ L of 70% ethanol, and elution in 45  $\mu$ L of ultrapure water. (4) Amplification was performed by two replicate polymerase chain reactions (PCRs) with the TruSeq Illumina primers D7-D5 (index D701), each carried in  $15 \mu$ L reaction volumes containing  $4.5 \mu$ L of purified product, 1.0  $\mu$ L of each primer (5  $\mu$ L), 0.12  $\mu$ L of dNTP (25 mM), 0.15 µL Q5 hot start high-fidelity polymerase (2000 U/ml), 3 µL of Q5 buffer, 3 µL of High GC enhancer and 2.23 µL of ultrapure water. The thermocycling program consisted of an initial denaturation at 98 °C for 30", 20 cycles of 98 °C for 20", 60 °C for 30", 72 °C for 40", and a final elongation at  $72^{\circ}$ C for 2'. Replicate products were pooled, amplicons were checked individually on a 1.5 % agarose gel (120 V for 30'), and all were subsequently pooled into a single library. (5) Library concentration was achieved by purifying 800 µL of library with 800 µL of AMPure (Agencourt) as in step (3), except for the wash volumes (1 ml of 70% ethanol) and the elution volume (31 µL of ultrapure water). The obtained concentration was estimated by fluorometric quantification of 1µL using Qubit (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and the library was diluted to 100 ng/ $\mu$ L. (6) Size selection of 400–500 bp fragments was performed with a Pippin Prep (Sage Science) using 30 µL of the concentrated library, following the manufacturer's instructions. A tutorial (including a video) of this protocol is available at [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.kxygx3nzwg8j/v1) [17504/protocols.io.kxygx3nzwg8j/v1](https://doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.kxygx3nzwg8j/v1). Oligonucleotide sequences are provided in Supplementary Data 2.

The final library was sequenced on a NextSeq 550 (Illumina) with the 2×75 bp kit at the Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Biology (Plön, Germany), which yielded 794 million reads. Paired-end reads were demultiplexed with STACKS 2.59<sup>120</sup> using the *process-radtags* function, removing uncalled bases  $(-c)$ , discarding reads below the default quality phred score  $(-q)$ , rescuing barcodes and RAD tags  $(-r)$ , filtering adapter sequences (-adapter-1 and -adapter-2) with up to two mismatches allowed (-adapter-mm 2) and trimming final reads to 65 bp (-t 65). The denovo\_map.pl pipeline was applied for RAD loci construction, assembly, and cataloging (default  $-m$ ,  $-n$ , and  $-M$  values) with removal of PCR duplicates (–rm-pcr-duplicates). The final catalog contained 410,773 loci with a mean effective per-sample coverage of 18.2×. For downstream population genomics and phylogenomic analyses, the module population of STACKS was used to obtain SNP datasets (–structure) and supermatrix alignments (-phylip-var-all), as follow.

The data was preliminarily explored by a maximum-likelihood analysis with PhyML  $3.0^{121}$  based on an alignment of 130,792 bp that concatenates the RAD tags present in at least 80  $(-p 80)$  of the 88 samples (other parameters left as default). The analysis was run on a dedicated server [\(http://www.atgc-montpellier.fr/phyml/](http://www.atgc-montpellier.fr/phyml/)), using the smart selection algorithm $^{122}$  and 100 bootstrap replicates.

Samples assigned to *D. melanostictus* s. l. were examined further after excluding five samples with high proportions of missing data in the previous analysis. First, clustering analyses were performed on SNP datasets encompassing the whole range ( $n = 69$ ; 851 SNPs), the western lineage *D. melanostictus s. s.*  $(n = 17; 3364$  SNPs), and the eastern lineage D. cf. melanostictus ( $n = 52$ ; 4782 SNPs). These datasets were obtained by calling the RAD tags sequenced in all samples  $(-p = n)$ , keeping only one SNP per tag (-write-random-snp) to avoid physically linked loci, and leaving other parameters as default. Analyses were performed with STRUCTURE  $2.3.4^{123}$ , using the admixture model, uncorrelated allele frequencies, and 10 replicate runs from  $K = 1-10$ , each with 10,000 iterations after a burn-in of 100,000. Second, Principal Component Analyses (PCAs) were conducted on the three datasets with adegenet 2.1.10<sup>124</sup> in the statistical environment R 4.1.3. Third, phylogenetic networks were computed for the western *D. melanostictus* s. s. and the eastern *D.* cf. *melanostictus* lineages with SplitsTree  $4.18.3^{125}$  using default settings, based on alignments of 482,648 bp and 628,293 bp that concatenate the RAD tags present in the corresponding sample sets  $(-p = n;$  other parameters left as default).

A time-calibrated phylogeny was reconstructed for a subset of 25 unadmixed Duttaphrynus samples representative of available species and their identified phylogeographic lineages, based on an alignment of 83,652 bp that concatenates the RAD tags present in all samples  $(-p)$ 25; other parameters left as default). The analysis was performed in BEAST 2.6<sup>126</sup> with the Birth Death tree prior, a strict clock, the GTR + G + I substitution model, and time constraints to three most recent common ancestors (MRCAs). In the absence of fossil-based calibrations relevant to our species, and given the drastically different timeframes retrieved for the diversification of Duttaphrynus in previous studies (from  $-27 \text{ Mya}^{55}$  to  $-2 \text{ Mya}^{34}$ ), we implemented normally distributed MRCA priors reflecting median times and their confidence intervals taken from timetree.org $^{127}$  as of February 2023: (1) 15.7 Mya  $(\sigma = 2.6)$  for the early split of the *D. stomaticus* clade; (2) 8.5 Mya  $(\sigma = 1.6)$  for the early split of *D. dhufarensis* in the *D. stomaticus* clade: (3) 10.2 Mya ( $\sigma$  = 1.8) for the split of *D. himalayanus* from the branch leading to D. melanostictus s. l. Preliminary runs (few million iterations) were conducted to optimize operator size and scaling factors. The final analysis was run for 50 million iterations (sampling every 5000), monitored in Tracer 1.7<sup>128</sup> and DensiTree 3.0.2<sup>129</sup>. A maximum-clade credibility tree (MCCT) was built using the TreeAnnotator module of BEAST (discarding the first 10% of sampled trees as burnin).

#### Genome size analyses

Closely related amphibian species often differ in their genome size, which allows genetic identification without sequencing $130$ . For this purpose, the raw DNA content was measured by DNA flow cytometry for 90 D. melanostictus s. l. specimens from 32 localities scattered across the range, following a published methodology<sup>130</sup> as follows. (1) Blood cell samples ( ~ 1 million cells/ml) were suspended in PBS with EDTA (0.7 mM), lysed by 0.1% of Triton X-100 (Ferak), and stained with a mixture of olivomycin (20  $\mu$ g/ml), ethidium bromide (40  $\mu$ g/ml) and MgCl<sub>2</sub> (15 mM). Blood cells of Rana temporaria Linneaus, 1758<sup>53</sup>, which genome size has been determined by the same technique<sup>130</sup>, were used as a reference standard. (2) Samples were stored at 4 °C for 24 h and measured by a microscope-based (Lyumam I-1, Lomo, St. Petersburg) flow fluorimeter with a mercury arc lamp constructed at the Institute of Cytology, Russian Academy of Sciences, St. Peterburg ([https://patents.](https://patents.google.com/patent/SU1056008A1/ru) [google.com/patent/SU1056008A1/ru\)](https://patents.google.com/patent/SU1056008A1/ru). DNA-histograms were acquired with a multichannel analyzer at a rate of 100–200 cells per second. For each sample, four runs were performed to reach a total number of cells per sample above 10,000. (3) The peaks of DNA histograms were approximated to Gaussian curves by the least-square technique using BARS  $1.0^{131}$ , and their mode were transformed into absolute genome size values (picograms) based on their ratio with the modes of the peaks of the reference standard.

#### mtDNA analyses

The mtDNA lineages (mitogroups) of 876 Duttaphrynus individuals were inferred from sequences of 16S ( $n = 382$ ) and/or ND3 ( $n = 494$ ), combining the published sequences of 72 sources from GenBank $132$  with new sequences from 71 of our samples (Supplementary Data 1). To this end, we first amplified a ~570 bp fragment of 16S with the primers 16SA–L and 16SB-H<sup>133</sup> in 60 samples. Second, archival DNA from the two historical vouchers were targeted for a shorter 16S fragment ( ~290 bp) with the eDNA primer pair Vert-16S-eDNA134. Third, for nine samples (series RGK in Supplementary Data 1), a ~1500–2000 bp fragment encompassing 12StVal–16S was amplified using the primers pairs LX12SN1 and LX16S1R, and 12SAL and 16S2000H<sup>135</sup>. Finally, for eight of the RGK samples (Supplementary Data 1), we amplified a ~530 bp fragment encompassing ND3 using the primers L-COXIII and Arg-HND3III<sup>136</sup>. Oligonucleotide sequences are provided in Supplementary Data 3. PCRs were conducted in 12.5 µl reaction volumes containing 8.05 µl of water, 2.5 µl of PCR buffer, 0.25 µl of dNTPs (10 µM), 0.3 µl of each primer (10 µM), 0.1 µl of Taq polymerase and 1µl of DNA template. The thermocycling program consisted of an initial denaturation of 94 °C for 1'30", 35 cycles of 94 °C for 45", 53 °C for 45", and 72 °C for 1', followed by a final elongation of 72 °C for 1'. Amplicons were sequenced in the forward direction, except for the 12S-tVal–16S fragments, which was sequenced in both directions. Raw sequences were quality-checked with Geneious Prime 2022.0.1 (Biomatters) or MEGA  $X^{137}$ .

For each gene, new and published sequences were manually aligned and trimmed to 558 bp (16S) or 469 bp ( $ND3$ ) in Seaview  $5^{138}$ . Exploratory phylogenetic analyses were run with PhyML as above, using *Bufotes turanensis* (Hemmer, Schmidtler & Böhme, 1978)<sup>139</sup>, a closely related bufonid<sup>140</sup>, as outgroup. For *D. melanostictus* and three closely related Western Ghats species, phylogenetic networks were produced and visualized with SplitsTree (default settings), and mitogroup distributions were mapped from georeferenced sequences (16S:  $n = 159$  from 99 localities;  $ND3$ :  $n = 483$  from 191 localities). Mitogroup correspondence between genes was assessed directly (samples sequenced for both genes) or indirectly based on distributions (samples sequenced for one gene).

To reconstruct the mitochondrial phylogeny of the genus, a supermatrix of 27 full or partial (1–9 genes) mitogenomes (16,844 bp) was designed from newly available and published sequences. These covered the main mitogroups of 17 Duttaphrynus species and the outgroup Bufotes turanensis (Supplementary Data 4). Some mitogenomes were composite, i.e., they combined sequences of different genes from different individuals that carried the same mitogroup. A time-calibrated Bayesian phylogenetic analysis was performed in BEAST with the same models and priors as for the ddRAD-seq timetree.

#### Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Portfolio Reporting Summary linked to this article.

#### Data availability

Raw ddRAD sequencing reads have been archived on NCBI SRA under BioProject [PRJNA949685](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA949685) and mtDNA sequences have been deposited on GenBank; accessions are listed in Supplementary Data 1. Genome size estimates are provided in Supplementary Data 1. The genotype matrices and sequence alignments analyzed in this study have been deposited on Zenodo [\(https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14044209\)](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14044209). The data used in the graphs are provided in the Source Data. Source data are provided with this paper.

# Code availability

Bioinformatic commands and R scripts used are provided in Supplementary Code 1.

## References

- 1. Alves, R. R. N. & Souto, W. M. S. Ethnozoology in Brazil: current status and perspectives. J. Ethnobiol. Ethnomed. 7, 1–19 (2011).
- 2. Boivin, N., Crassard, R. & Petraglia, M. Human dispersal and species movement (Cambridge University Press, 2017).
- 3. Kraus, F. Alien reptiles and amphibians: a scientific compendium and analysis 4. (Springer Science & Business Media, 2009).
- 4. Hemmer, H., Kadel, B. & Kadel, K. The Balearic toad (Bufo viridis balearicus (Boettger, 1881)), human bronze age culture, and Mediterranean biogeography. Amphib. -Reptil. 2, 217–230 (1981).
- 5. Mohanty, N. P., Crottini, A., Garcia, R. A. & Measey, J. Non-native populations and global invasion potential of the Indian bullfrog Hoplobatrachus tigerinus: a synthesis for risk-analysis. Biol. Invasions 23, 69–81 (2021).
- 6. Vences, M., et al. Dicroglossidae: Hoplobatrachus, Tiger Frog. In The New Natural History of Madagascar. (ed. Goodman, S. M.) 1401–1403 (Princeton University Press, 2022).
- 7. Dufresnes, C. et al. Piecing the barcoding puzzle of Palearctic water frogs (Pelophylax) sheds light on amphibian biogeography and global invasions. Glob. Change Biol. 30, e17180 (2024).
- 8. Shine, R., Ward-Fear, G. & Brown, G. P. A famous failure: Why were cane toads an ineffective biocontrol in Australia. Conserv. Sci. Pract. 2, e296 (2020).
- 9. Dufresnes, C. & Alard, B. An odyssey out of Africa: an integrative review of past and present invasions by the Mediterranean tree frog (Hyla meridionalis). Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 131, 274–290 (2020).
- 10. White, A. W. & Shine, R. The extra-limital spread of an invasive species via 'stowaway' dispersal: toad to nowhere? Anim. Conserv. 12, 38–45 (2009).
- 11. Tingley, R., García-Díaz, P., Arantes, C. R. R. & Cassey, P. Integrating transport pressure data and species distribution models to estimate invasion risk for alien stowaways. Ecography 41, 635–646 (2018).
- 12. Firneno, T. J. Jr. et al. Finding complexity in complexes: assessing the causes of mitonuclear discordance in a problematic species complex of Mesoamerican toads. Mol. Ecol. 29, 3543–3559 (2020).
- 13. Cairns, N. A., Cicchino, A. S., Stewart, K. A., Austin, J. D. & Lougheed, S. C. Cytonuclear discordance, reticulation and cryptic diversity in one of North America's most common frogs. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 156, 107042 (2021).
- 14. Mittan-Moreau, C. S. et al. Cryptic lineages and standing genetic variation across independent cane toad introductions. Mol. Ecol. 31, 6440–6456 (2022).
- 15. Ambu, J. et al. Genomic phylogeography illuminates deep cytonuclear discordances in midwife toads (Alytes). Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 183, 107783 (2023).
- 16. Rubinoff, D. & Holland, B. S. Between two extremes: mitochondrial DNA is neither the panacea nor the nemesis of phylogenetic and taxonomic inference. Syst. Biol. 54, 952-961 (2005).
- 17. Dufresnes, C. & Jablonski, D. A genomics revolution in amphibian taxonomy. Science 377, 1272 (2022).
- 18. Schneider, J. G. Historia Amphibiorum Naturalis et Literariae (Friederici Frommanni, 1799).
- 19. Wogan, G. O. U., Stuart, B. L., Iskandar, D. T. & McGuire, J. A. Deep genetic structure and ecological divergence in a widespread human commensal toad. Biol. Lett. 12, 20150807 (2016).
- 20. IUCN SSC Amphibian Specialist Group. Duttaphrynus melanostictus. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2023, e.T54707A53714486 (2023).
- 21. Chen, K. K. & Kovarikova, A. Pharmacology and toxicology of toad venom. J. Pharm. Sci. 56, 1535-1541 (1967).
- 22. Flier, J., Edwards, M., Daly, J. & Myers, C. Widespread occurrence in frogs and toads of skin compounds interacting with the ouabain site of Na+, K+-ATPase. Science 208, 503–505 (1980).
- 23. Zhang, P. et al. Quality evaluation of traditional Chinese drug toad venom from different origins through a simultaneous determination of bufogenins and indole alkaloids by HPLC. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 53, 1582–1586 (2005).
- 24. Bebal, F. F., Bagwe, A. D., D'Souza, R. C. & Sharma, B. B. Identifying toxic effects and metabolic perturbations of Duttaphrynus melanostictus skin extracts in human erythrocytes. Toxicol. Res. 13, tfae086 (2024).
- 25. Keomany, S. et al. Toad poisoning in Laos. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 77, 850–853 (2007).
- 26. McClelland, P., Reardon, J. T., Kraus, F., Raxworthy, C. J. & Randrianantoandro, C. Asian toad eradication feasibility report for Madagascar (Te Anau, 2015).
- 27. Rodríguez, C., Rollins-Smith, L., Ibáñez, R., Durant-Archibold, A. A. & Gutiérrez, M. Toxins and pharmacologically active compounds from species of the family Bufonidae (Amphibia, Anura). J. Ethnopharmacol. 198, 235–254 (2017).
- 28. Narzary, J. & Bordoloi, S. Ethnozoological practices on frogs of Bodo Tribe from Kokrajhar District, Assam, India. A. J. Ethno. 6, 368–370 (2014).
- 29. Pradhan, S., Mishra, D. & Sahu, K. R. Herpetofauna used as traditional medicine by tribes of Gandhamardan Hills Range of Western Orissa, India. J. Res. Zool. 4, 32–35 (2014).
- 30. Chaudhary, R. D. & Singh, B. Aspects of History and Culture (Ramananda Vidhya Bhavan, 1991).
- 31. Bellwood, P. & Glover, I. Southeast Asia: from prehistory to history (Taylor & Francis, 2023).
- 32. Karafet, T. M. et al. Balinese Y-chromosome perspective on the peopling of Indonesia: genetic contributions from pre-neolithic hunter-gatherers, Austronesian farmers, and Indian traders. Hum. Biol. 7, 93–114 (2005).
- 33. Changmai, P. et al. Indian genetic heritage in Southeast Asian populations. PLoS Genet 18, e1010036 (2022).
- 34. Othman, S. N. et al. Impact of the Mid-Pleistocene Revolution and anthropogenic factors on the dispersion of Asian black-spined toads (Duttaphrynus melanostictus). Animals 10, 1157 (2020).
- 35. Manthey, U. & Grossmann, W. Amphibien und Reptilien Sudostasiens (Natur und Tier- Verlag, 1997).
- 36. Gill, B. J., Bejakovich, D. & Whitaker, A. H. Records of foreign reptiles and amphibians accidentally imported to New Zealand. N. Z. J. Zool. 28, 351–359 (2001).
- 37. Mo, M. Asian black-spined toads (Duttaphrynus melanostictus) in Australia: an invasion worth avoiding. Reptil. Amphib. 24, 155–161 (2017).
- 38. Moore, M., Solofo Niaina Fidy, J. F. & Edmonds, D. The new toad in town: distribution of the Asian toad, Duttaphrynus melanostictus, in the Toamasina area of eastern Madagascar. Trop. Conserv. Sci. 8, 440–455 (2015).
- 39. Vences, M. et al. Tracing a toad invasion: lack of mitochondrial DNA variation, haplotype origins, and potential distribution of introduced Duttaphrynus melanostictus in Madagascar. Amphib. -Reptil. 38, 197–207 (2017).
- 40. Soorae, P. S., Frankham, G. J. & Mohamed, A. A. The first record of the Asian common toad Duttaphrynus melanostictus Schneider, 1799 in Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. BioInvasions Rec. 9, 434–443 (2020).
- 41. Measey, J. et al. Invasive amphibians in southern Africa: A review of invasion pathways. Bothalia 47, a2117 (2017).
- 42. Trainor, C. R. Survey of a population of black-spined toad Bufo melanostictus in Timor-Leste: confirming identity, distribution, abundance and impacts of an invasive and toxic toad (Charles Darwin University, 2009).
- 43. Reilly, S. B. et al. Toxic toad invasion of Wallacea: A biodiversity hotspot characterized by extraordinary endemism. Glob. Change Biol. 23, 5029–5031 (2017).
- 44. Das, I. In Tropical island herpetofauna: origin, current diversity and conservation (ed. Ota, H.) 43–77 (Elsevier Science, 1999).
- 45. Csurhes, S. Asian spined toad Bufo melanostictus Invasive animal risk assessment (State of Queensland, 2016).
- 46. U. S. Fish & Wildlife Service. Southeast Asian Toad (Duttaphrynus melanostictus) Ecological risk screening summary (U. S. Fish & Wildlife Service, 2021).
- 47. Ujvari, B. et al. Isolation breeds naivety: Island living robs Australian varanid lizards of toad-toxin immunity via four-base-pair mutation. Evolution 67, 289–294 (2013).
- 48. Marshall, B. M. et al. Widespread vulnerability of Malagasy predators to the toxins of an introduced toad. Curr. Biol. 28, R654–R655 (2018).
- 49. Licata, F. et al. Toad invasion of Malagasy forests triggers severe mortality of predatory snakes. Biol. Invasions 24, 1189–1198 (2022).
- 50. Ujvari, B., Mun, H. C., Conigrave, A. D., Ciofi, C. & Madsen, T. Invasive toxic prey may imperil the survival of an iconic giant lizard, the Komodo dragon. Pac. Conserv. Biol. 20, 363-365 (2014).
- 51. Kennedi, U. F., Kusrini, M. D., Ariefiandy, A. & Mardiastuti, A. Invasive toads are close to but absent from Komodo National Park. BIO Web Conf. 19, 00017 (2020).
- 52. Kolby, J. E. Stop Madagascar's toad invasion now. Nature 509, 563 (2014).
- 53. Linnaeus, C. Systema Naturae per Regna Tria Naturae, Secundum Classes, Ordines, Genera, Species, cum Characteribus, Differentiis, Synonymis, Locis. 10th Edition (L. Salvii, 1758).
- 54. Hasan, M. et al. Genetic divergence of South and Southeast Asian frogs: a case study of several taxa based on 16S ribosomal RNA gene data with notes on the generic name Fejervarya. Turkish J. Zool. 38, 389–411 (2014).
- 55. Portik, D. M. & Papenfuss, T. J. Historical biogeography resolves the origins of endemic Arabian toad lineages (Anura: Bufonidae): Evidence for ancient vicariance and dispersal events with the Horn of Africa and South Asia. BMC Evol. Biol. 15, 152 (2015).
- 56. Akram, A. et al. An insight into molecular taxonomy of bufonids, microhylids, and dicroglossid frogs: first genetic records from Pakistan. Ecol. Evol. 11, 14175–14216 (2021).
- 57. Jablonski, D., Masroor, R. & Hofmann, S. On the edge of the Shivaliks: An insight into the origin and taxonomic position of Pakistani toads from the Duttaphrynus melanostictus complex (Amphibia, Bufonidae). Zoosyst. Evol. 98, 275–284 (2022).
- 58. Dufresnes, C. et al. Fifteen shades of green: the evolution of Bufotes toads revisited. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 141, 106615 (2019).
- 59. Rivera, D. et al. Phylogenomics, introgression, and demographic history of South American true toads (Rhinella). Mol. Ecol. 31, 978–992 (2022).
- 60. Parker, H. W. Some reptiles and amphibians from S.E. Arabia. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. Ser. 10 8, 514–522 (1931).
- 61. Blanford, W. T. Descriptions of new reptiles and amphibia from Persia and Baluchistan. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. Ser. 14, 31–35 (1874).
- 62. Lütken, C. F. Nogle ny Krybyr og Padder. Vidensk. Meddel. Naturhist. Foren. Kjøbenhavn Ser. 2 4, 292–311 (1864).
- 63. Günther, A. C. L. G. The Reptiles of British India (Ray Society by R. Hardwicke, 1864).
- 64. Rao, C. R. N. On some new forms of Batrachia from S. India. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. India B 6, 387–427 (1937).
- 65. Boulenger, G. A. Catalogue of the Batrachia Salientia s. Ecaudata in the Collection of the British Museum Second Edition (Taylor & Francis, 1882).
- 66. Dufresnes, C. et al. Mass of genes rather than master genes underlie the genomic architecture of amphibian speciation. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 118, e2103963118 (2021).
- 67. Dufresnes, C., Poyarkov, N. A. & Jablonski, D. Acknowledging more biodiversity without more species. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 120, e2302424120 (2023).
- 68. Speybroeck, J. et al. Species list of the European herpetofauna–2020 update by the Taxonomic Committee of the Societas Europaea Herpetologica. Amphib. -Reptil. 41. 139–189 (2020).
- 69. Dufresnes, C. & Litvinchuk, S. N. Diversity, distribution and molecular species delimitation in frogs and toads from the Eastern Palearctic. Zool. J. Linn. Soc. 195, 695–760 (2022).
- 70. Boie, H. Merkmale einiger japonischen Lurche. Isis von. Oken 18, 203–215 (1826).
- 71. Fukutani, K., Matsui, M., Tran, D. V. & Nishikawa, K. Genetic diversity and demography of Bufo japonicus and B. torrenticola (Amphibia: Anura: Bufonidae) influenced by the Quaternary climate. PeerJ 10, e13452 (2022).
- 72. Fukutani, K., Matsui, M. & Nishikawa, K. Population genetic structure and hybrid zone analyses for species delimitation in the Japanese toad (Bufo japonicus). PeerJ 11, e16302 (2023).
- 73. Bauer, A. M. South Asian herpetological specimens of historical note in the Zoological Museum, Berlin. Hamadryad 23, 133–149 (1998).
- 74. Dubois, A. & Ohler, A. Asian and Oriental toads of the Bufo melanostictus, Bufo scaber and Bufo stejnegeri groups (Amphibia, Anura): A list of available and valid names and redescription of some namebearing types. J. South Asian Nat. Hist. 4, 133–180 (1999).
- 75. Bleeker, P. Bestuursvergadering Gehouden den 12n November 1857, ten huize von den heer Steenstra toussaint. Nat. Tijdschr. Ned. -Indië 6, 42–59 (1858).
- 76. Werner, F. Neue Reptilien und Batrachier aus dem naturhistorischen Museum in Brussel. Nebst Bemerkungen über einige andere Arten. Zool. Anz. 26, 246–253 (1903).
- 77. Bourret, R. Notes herpétologiques sur l'Indochine française. XIV. Les batraciens de la collection du Laboratoire des Sciences Naturelles de l'Université. Descriptions de quinze espèces ou variétés nouvelles. Annexe Bull. Gén. Instr. Publ. Hanoi 1937, 5–56 (1937).
- 78. Poyarkov, N. A. et al. Recent progress in taxonomic studies, biogeographic analysis and revised checklist of amphibians in Indochina. Russ. J. Herpetol. 28, 1–110 (2021).
- 79. Dufresnes, C. & Hernandez, A. Towards completing the crocodile newts' puzzle with all-inclusive phylogeographic resources. Zool. J. Linn. Soc. 197, 620–640 (2023).
- 80. Xu, W. et al. Herpetological phylogeographic analyses support a Miocene focal point of Himalayan Uplift and biological diversification. Natl Sci. Rev. 8, nwaa263 (2021).
- 81. Daudin, F. M. Histoire Naturelle des Rainettes, des Grenouilles et des Crapauds. Quarto version (Levrault, 1802).
- 82. Crossland, M. R. & Chan, S. F. Development and metamorphosis of tadpoles of the non-native Bengal toad, Duttaphrynus bengalensis, in an urban wetland in Singapore. NiS 17, e2024042 (2024).
- 83. Garrick, R. C., Banusiewicz, J. D., Burgess, S., Hyseni, C. & Symula, R. E. Extending phylogeography to account for lineage fusion. J. Biogeogr. 46, 268–278 (2019).
- 84. Khatiwada, J. R., Wang, B., Zhao, T., Xie, F. & Jiang, J. An integrative taxonomy of amphibians of Nepal: an updated status and distribution. Asian Herpetol. Res. 12, 1–35 (2021).
- 85. Hinojosa, J. C. et al. A mirage of cryptic species: Genomics uncover striking mitonuclear discordance in the butterfly Thymelicus sylvestris. Mol. Ecol. 28, 3857–3868 (2019).
- 86. Schultze, N. et al. Mitochondrial ghost lineages blur phylogeography and taxonomy of Natrix helvetica and N. natrix in Italy and Corsica. Zool. Scr. 49, 395–411 (2020).
- 87. Ahrens, D. et al. Is it time to describe new species without diagnoses? A comment on Sharkey et al. (2021). Zootaxa 5027, 151–159 (2021).
- 
- 88. Wüster, W. et al. How not to describe a species: lessons from a tangle of anacondas (Boidae: Eunectes Wagler, 1830). Zool. J. Linn. Soc. 201, zlae099 (2024).
- 89. Gao, H. et al. Comparison of toad venoms from different Bufo species by HPLC and LC-DAD-MS/MS. J. Ethnopharmacol. 131, 368–376 (2010).
- 90. Licata, F. et al. Abundance, distribution and spread of the invasive Asian toad Duttaphrynus melanostictus in eastern Madagascar. Biol. Invasions 21, 1615–1626 (2019).
- 91. Licata, F., Andreone, F., Crottini, A., Harison, R. F. & Ficetola, G. F. Does spatial sorting occur in the invasive Asian toad in Madagascar? Insights of the invasion unveiled by morphological analyses. J. Zool. Syst. Evol. Res. 9, 2161–2169 (2021).
- 92. Licata, F. et al. Spatial ecology of the invasive Asian common toad in Madagascar and its implications for invasion dynamics. Sci. Rep. 13, 3526 (2023).
- 93. Santos, B. et al. Characterization of the microbiome of the invasive Asian toad in Madagascar across the expansion range and comparison with a native co-occurring species. PeerJ 9, e11532 (2021).
- 94. Freeman, K. L. M. et al. In The new natural history of Madagascar (ed. Goodman, S. M. et al.) 1404–1410 (Princeton University Press, 2022).
- 95. Guarino, F. M. et al. Life history traits and longevity of the invasive Asian common toad Duttaphrynus melanostictus (Schneider, 1799) in Madagascar. Animals 13, 2099 (2023).
- 96. Wielstra, B., Burke, T., Butlin, R. K. & Arntzen, J. W. A signature of dynamic biogeography: enclaves indicate past species replacement. Proc. R. Soc. B 284, 20172014 (2017).
- 97. Ng, T. H. & Yeo, D. C. J. Non-indigenous frogs in Singapore. NiS 5, 95–102 (2012).
- 98. Inger, R. F. & Voris, H. K. The biogeographical relations of the frogs and snakes of Sundaland. J. Biogeogr. 28, 863–891 (2001).
- 99. Husson, L., Boucher, F. C., Sarr, A.-C., Sepulchre, P. & Cahyarini, Y. S. Evidence of Sundaland's subsidence requires revisiting its biogeography. J. Biogeogr. 47, 843–853 (2020).
- 100. Harikrishnan, S. & Vasudevan, K. Amphibians of the Andaman & Nicobar Islands: distribution, natural history, and notes on taxonomy. Alytes 36, 238–265 (2018).
- 101. Vences, M. et al. Multiple overseas dispersal in amphibians. Proc. R. Soc. B 270, 2435–2442 (2003).
- 102. Gardiner, J. S. In The fauna and geography of the Maldive and Laccadive archipelagos (ed. Gardiner, J. S.) 1046–1057 (Cambridge University Press, 1906).
- 103. Lever, C. Naturalized reptiles and amphibians of the world (Oxford University Press, 2003).
- 104. van Kampen, P. N.The Amphibia of the Indo-Australian Archipelago (Brill, 1923).
- 105. Coedes, G. The Indianized states of Southeast Asia (University of Hawaii Press, 1975).
- 106. Bellina, B. La vaisselle dans les échanges entre le sous-continent indien et l'Asie du Sud-Est à l'époque protohistorique: Notes sur quelques marqueurs archéologiques. Bull. EFEO 86, 161–184 (1999).
- 107. Ardika, I. W. & Bellwood, P. Sembiran: the beginnings of Indian contact with Bali. Antiquity 65, 221–232 (1991).
- 108. Ardika, I. W., Bellwood, P. S., Eggleton, R. & Ellis, D. J. A single source for South Asian export-quality Rouletted Ware. Man Envir 18, 101–109 (1993).
- 109. Calo, A. et al. Sembiran and Pacung on the north coast of Bali: a strategic crossroads for early trans-Asiatic exchange. Antiquity 89, 378–396 (2015).
- 110. Guan, K. C. The maritime silk road: history of an idea. NSC Working Pap. 23, 1–30 (2016).
- 111. Billé, F., Mehendale, S. & Lankton, J. W. The maritime silk road (Amsterdam University Press, 2022).
- 112. Walker, M. J. & Santoso, S. Romano-Indian rouletted pottery in Indonesia. Asian Perspect. 20, 228–235 (1977).
- 113. Manguin, P.-Y. & Indradjaya, A. In Early interactions between South and Southeast Asia (ed. Manguin, P.-Y., Mani, A. & Wade, G.) 113–136 (ISEAS, 2011).
- 114. Francis, P. Glass beads in Asia part two. Indo-Pacific beads. Asian Perspect. 29, 1–23 (1990).
- 115. Calo, A. et al. Trans-Asiatic exchange of glass, gold and bronze: analysis of finds from the late prehistoric Pangkung Paruk site, Bali. Antiquity 94, 110–126 (2020).
- 116. Manguin, P.-Y. In Southeast Asia: from prehistory to history (eds. Glover, I. & Bellwood, P.) 282–313 (Routledge, 2004).
- 117. Brown, R. M. et al. Phylogeography and historical demography of Polypedates leucomystax in the islands of Indonesia and the Philippines: Evidence for recent human-mediated range expansion? Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 57, 598–619 (2010).
- 118. Reilly, S. B. et al. Recent colonization and expansion through the Lesser Sundas by seven amphibian and reptile species. Zool. Scr. 48, 614–626 (2019).
- 119. Brelsford, A., Dufresnes, C. & Perrin, N. High-density sex-specific linkage maps of a European tree frog (Hyla arborea) identify the sex chromosome without information on offspring sex. Heredity 116, 177–181 (2016).
- 120. Catchen, J., Hohenlohe, P. A., Bassham, S., Amores, A. & Cresko, W. A. Stacks: an analysis tool set for population genomics. Mol. Ecol. 22, 3124–3140 (2013).
- 121. Guindon, S. et al. PhyML 3.0: new algorithms, methods and utilities. Syst. Biol. 59, 307–321 (2010).
- 122. Lefort, V., Longueville, J.-E. & Gascuel, O. SMS: Smart Model Selection in PhyML. Mol. Biol. Evol. 34, 2422–2424 (2017).
- 123. Pritchard, J. K., Stephens, M. & Donnelly, P. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. Genetics 155, 945–959 (2000).
- 124. Jombart, T. adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers. Bioinformatics 24, 1403–1405 (2008).
- 125. Huson, D. H. & Bryant, D. Application of phylogenetic networks in evolutionary studies. Mol. Biol. Evol. 23, 254–267 (2006).
- 126. Bouckaert, R. et al. BEAST 2.5: An advanced software platform for Bayesian evolutionary analysis. PLoS Comput. Biol. 15, e1006650 (2019).
- 127. Kumar, S. et al. TimeTree 5: an expanded resource for species divergence times. Mol. Biol. Evol. 39, msac174 (2022).
- 128. Rambaut, A., Drummond, A. J., Xie, D., Baele, G. & Suchard, M. A. Posterior summarization in Bayesian phylogenetics using Tracer 1.7. Syst. Biol. 67, 901–904 (2018).
- 129. Bouckaert, R. & Heled, J. DensiTree 2: Seeing trees through the forest. bioRxiv 012401 (2014).
- 130. Borkin, L. J., Litvinchuk, S. N., Rosanov, J. M. & Milto, K. D. Cryptic speciation in Pelobates fuscus (Anura, Pelobatidae): Evidence from DNA flow cytometry. Amphib. -Reptil. 22, 387–396 (2002).
- 131. Rozanov, Y. M. & Vinogradov, A. E. Precise DNA cytometry: investigation of individual variability in animal genome size. Tsitologiya 40, 792–800 (1998).
- 132. Benson, D. A. et al. GenBank. Nucleic Acids Res 45, D37–D42 (2017).
- 133. Palumbi, S. R. et al. The Simple Fool's Guide to PCR Version 2.0 (University of Hawaii, 1991).
- 134. Vences, M. et al. Freshwater vertebrate metabarcoding on Illumina platforms using double-indexed primers of the mitochondrial 16S rRNA gene. Conserv. Genet. Resour. 8, 323–327 (2016).
- 135. Zhang, P., Papenfuss, T. J., Wake, M. H., Qu, L. & Wake, D. B. Phylogeny and biogeography of the family Salamandridae (Amphibia: Caudata) inferred from complete mitochondrial genomes. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 49, 586–597 (2008).
- 136. Stuart, B. L., Inger, R. F. & Voris, H. K. High level of cryptic species diversity revealed by sympatric lineages of Southeast Asian forest frogs. Biol. Lett. 2, 470–474 (2006).
- 137. Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C. & Tamura, K. MEGA X: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. Mol. Biol. Evol. 35, 1547–1549 (2018).
- 138. Gouy, M., Tannier, E., Comte, N. & Parsons, D. P. Seaview version 5: a multiplatform software for multiple sequence alignment, molecular phylogenetic analyses, and tree reconciliation. Methods Mol. Biol. 2231, 241–2610 (2021).
- 139. Hemmer, H., Schmidtler, J. F. & Böhme, W. Zur Systematik zentralasiatischer Grünkröten (Bufo viridis-Komplex) (Amphibia, Salientia, Bufonidae). Zool. Abh. 34, 349–384 (1978).
- 140. Portik, D. M., Streicher, J. W. & Wiens, J. J. Frog phylogeny: A timecalibrated, species-level tree based on hundreds of loci and 5,242 species. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 188, 107907 (2023).

# Acknowledgements

C.D. acknowledges funding from the Taxon-Omics priority program (SPP1991) of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (VE247/19–1) and the Research Found for International Scientists (RFIS) of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (3211101356). D.J. acknowledges funding from the EU NextGenerationEU through the Recovery and Resilience Plan for Slovakia (09I03-03-V04-00306). R.G.K. acknowledges funding from the Rufford Foundation Small Grant (15255–1). V.K.P. acknowledges funding from the Rufford Foundation Small Grant (43132–2) for fieldwork. S.H. acknowledges funding from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (HO3792/8–1). A.C. acknowledges funding (research contracts) from the Portuguese National Funds through Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) [\(https://doi.org/10.54499/](https://doi.org/10.54499/2020.00823.CEECIND/CP1601/CP1649/CT0002) [2020.00823.CEECIND/CP1601/CP1649/CT0002](https://doi.org/10.54499/2020.00823.CEECIND/CP1601/CP1649/CT0002) and 2023.08548.CEE-CIND). L.J.B., D.A.M., K.D.M. acknowledges funding from the State Theme of the Zoological Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences (N122031100282–2). L.J.B., D.A.M., D.V.S. and S.N.L. acknowledges the Center for Himalayan Research, St. Petersburg Association of Scientists and Scholars, for organizing fieldtrips. C.S. acknowledges funding from the Thailand Science Research and Innovation Fund and the University of Phayao, Unit of Excellence 2025 on aquatic animals biodiversity assessment (Phase I). N.A.P. acknowledges funding from the Russian Science Foundation (22–14–00037) for sample collection and data analysis. The authors acknowledges M. Vences (Technische Universität Braunschweig, Braunschweig, Germany), M. Pabijan (Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland), K. Sunagar and P. Karanth (Centre for Ecological Sciences, Indian Institute of Science, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India) for molecular lab facilities and lab support, R. Khot (BNHS) for accessioning RGK specimens, S. Gippner for sharing code, P. Christopher, D. Dave, G. Kumar, V. Kumar, A. Paul and T. Sangma for field assistance, and the deceased J.M. Rosanov for providing genome size data.

## Author contributions

C.D., D.J., J.A., S.N.L. and N.A.P. conceived the project. C.D., D.J., J.A., V.K.P., K.B.G., R.G.K., S.M., S.H., R.M., B.A., A.C., D.E., A.O., J.J., J.R.K., S.K.G., A.B., L.J.B., D.V.S., D.A.M., K.D.M., E.L.K., D.V.A., A.V.T., T.V.N., C.S., S.N.L. and N.A.P contributed fieldwork and compiled the data; C.D., J.A., V.K.P., K.B.G., R.G.K., S.K. and T.S. contributed labwork. C.D. analyzed the data and wrote the paper. All authors discussed the final results and commented on the paper.

## Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

# Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-54933-4.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-54933-4)

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Christophe Dufresnes.

Peer review information Nature Communications thanks John Measey, Alice Petzold and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work. A peer review file is available.

Reprints and permissions information is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

© The Author(s) 2025

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory for Amphibian Systematics and Evolutionary Research, College of Ecology and Environment, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China. <sup>2</sup>Institut de Systématique, Evolution, Biodiversité (ISYEB), Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, EPHE-PSL, Université des Antilles, 55 rue Buffon, CP 51, Paris, France. <sup>3</sup>Department of Zoology, Comenius University in Bratislava, Bratislava, Slovakia. <sup>4</sup>Laboratory of Animal Behaviour and Conservation, College of Life Sciences, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China. <sup>5</sup>Wildlife Institute of India, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India. <sup>6</sup>Graphic Era (Deemed to be University) Clement Town Dehradun, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India. <sup>7</sup>Amphibians and Reptiles Collections, Gantz Family Collections Center, The Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago, IL, USA. <sup>8</sup>Department of Life Sciences, The Natural History Museum, London, UK. <sup>9</sup>Life Sciences Section, Negaunee Integrative Research Center, Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago, IL, USA. <sup>10</sup>Leibniz Institute of the Analysis of Biodiversity Change, Museum Koenig, Bonn, Germany. <sup>11</sup>Zoological Sciences Division, Pakistan Museum of Natural History, Garden Avenue, Shakarparian, Islamabad, Pakistan. <sup>12</sup>CIBIO, Centro de Investigação em Biodiversidade e Recursos Genéticos, InBIO Laboratório Associado, Campus de Vairão, Universidade do Porto, Porto, Vairão, Portugal. <sup>13</sup>Department of Biology, University of Florence, Via Madonna del Piano 6, I-50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Florence, Italy. <sup>14</sup>BIOPOLIS Program in Genomics, Biodiversity and Land Planning, CIBIO, Campus de Vairão, Porto, Vairão, Portugal. <sup>15</sup>Association Mitsinjo, Andasibe, Madagascar. <sup>16</sup>Illinois Natural History Survey, Prairie Research Institute, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL, USA. <sup>17</sup>Chengdu Institute of Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chengdu, People's Republic of China. <sup>18</sup>Department of Biology, Lakehead University, Thunder Bay, ON, Canada. <sup>19</sup>Laboratory of Herpetology, Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Universitetskaya nab. 1, St. Petersburg, Russia. <sup>20</sup>Institute of Cytology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Tikhoretsky prosp. 4, St. Petersburg, Russia. <sup>21</sup>Kaluga State University named after K.E. Tsiolkovski, Kaluga, Russia. <sup>22</sup>Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Biology, Plön, Germany. <sup>23</sup>W. Szafer Institute of Botany, Polish Academy of Sciences, Lubicz, 46, Kraków, Poland. <sup>24</sup>Department of Vertebrate Zoology, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Leninskiye Gory, 10 GSP-1, Moscow, Russia. <sup>25</sup>Institute for Research and Training in Medicine, Biology and Pharmacy, Duy Tan University, Da Nang, Vietnam. <sup>26</sup>College of Medicine and Pharmacy, Duy Tan University, 120 Hoang Minh Thao, Lien Chieu, Da Nang, Vietnam. <sup>27</sup>Division of Fishery, School of Agriculture and Natural Resources, University of Phayao, Phayao, Thailand. <sup>28</sup>Department of Biology, Dagestan State University, ul. M. Gadzhiyeva 43-a, Makhachkala, Russia. <sup>29</sup>Joint Vietnam-Russia Tropical Research and Technological Center, Nghia Do, Cau Giay, Hanoi, Vietnam. <sup>30</sup>These authors contributed equally: Spartak N. Litvinchuk, Nikolay A. Poyarkov.  $\boxtimes$ e-mail: [Christophe.Dufresnes@hotmail.fr](mailto:Christophe.Dufresnes@hotmail.fr)