

# Convergence of multiple series and i-variation

Georges Grekos, Alain Faisant, Vladimir Balaz, Juraj Kostra

### ▶ To cite this version:

Georges Grekos, Alain Faisant, Vladimir Balaz, Juraj Kostra. Convergence of multiple series and i-variation. 2025. hal-04881166

# HAL Id: hal-04881166 https://hal.science/hal-04881166v1

Preprint submitted on 11 Jan 2025

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## CONVERGENCE OF MULTIPLE SERIES AND $\mathcal{I}-VARIATION$

#### V. Baláž, A. Faisant, G. Grekos, J. Kostra

Key words: sequences, ideals,  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence,  $\mathcal{I}$ -variation, multiple series

**AMS Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary: 13C15, 40A35; Secondary: 40B05

Abstract. In the classical theory of multiple series the notation of variation of a sequence plays an important role. According to the concept of  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence the notion of  $\mathcal{I}$ -variation of a sequence was recently introduced. In this paper we will examine applications of  $\mathcal{I}$ -variation of a sequence mainly in the theory of multiple series in particular in relation with the notion of  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence.

### **1** Definitions and notations

We recall the basic definitions and connection that will be used throughout this paper. Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of all positive integers. Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_n)$  be a sequence of real (complex) numbers,  $K \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ ,  $K = \{k_1 < k_2 < ... < k_n < ...\}$  (an infinite set) and the restriction of the sequence  $\mathbf{x}$  to the part K is  $\mathbf{x} \mid_K = \{x_{k_1} < x_{k_2} < ... < x_{k_n} < ...\} = (x_{k_n})$ . A family  $\mathcal{I}, \emptyset \neq \mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is called an ideal, provided that  $\mathcal{I}$  is additive  $(A, B \in \mathcal{I} \text{ implies}$  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I})$  and hereditary  $(A \in I, B \subset A \text{ implies } B \in \mathcal{I})$ .

The ideal is called non-trivial if  $\mathcal{I} \neq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ . If  $\mathcal{I}$  is a non-trivial ideal, then  $\mathcal{I}$  is called admissible if it contains the singletons  $(\{n\} \in \mathcal{I} \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N})$ . In other words, for an admissible ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  we have  $\mathcal{I}_{fin} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ , where  $\mathcal{I}_{fin}$  is the ideal of all finite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ . In all paper we will only consider admissible ideals. The associated filter to an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ is defined by  $\varphi(\mathcal{I}) = \{M \subset \mathbb{N} : \mathbb{N} \setminus M \in \mathcal{I}\}.$ 

The notion of  $\mathcal{I}$ -variation of a sequence **x** was recently introduced in [5].

**Definition 1.** A sequence  $\mathbf{x} = (x_n)$  is said to be of bounded  $\mathcal{I}$ -variation for an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  if there exists a set  $K = \{k_1 < k_2 < ... < k_n < ...\} \in \varphi(\mathcal{I})$  such that  $Var \mathbf{x}|_K = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_{k_n} - x_{k_{n+1}}| < +\infty$ . A sequence  $\mathbf{x}$  is said to be of bounded variation if  $Var \mathbf{x}|_{\mathbb{N}} < +\infty$ .

It is clear that bounded variation of a sequence  $\mathbf{x}$  implies bounded  $\mathcal{I}$ -variation of the sequence  $\mathbf{x}$  for all admissible ideals  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

Further properties of sequences having bounded  $\mathcal{I}$ -variation are investigated in [2], [4] and [5].

The notion of statistical convergence was introduced independently by Fast [6] and Schoenberg [12]. The notion of  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence introduced by Kostyrko, Šalát and Wilczyński [10] corresponds to the natural generalization of the notion of statistical convergence.

**Definition 2.** We say that a sequence  $\mathbf{x} = (x_n)$  of real (complex) numbers is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to a number L and we write  $\mathcal{I} - \lim x_n = L$ , if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  the set  $A(\varepsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |x_n - L| \ge \varepsilon\}$  belongs to the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ .

If  $\mathcal{I}$  is an admissible ideal then for every sequence  $\mathbf{x} = (x_n)$  we immediately have that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = L$  (classic limit) implies that  $\mathbf{x}$  also  $\mathcal{I}$ -converges to a number Lbut the opposite does not have to be true.

Let  $\mathcal{I}_d = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{N} : d(A) = 0\}$ , where d(A) is the asymptotic density of the set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  $(d(A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sharp\{a \le n:a \in A\}}{n}$ , where  $\sharp M$  denotes the cardinality of the set M). In the case when  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_d$  then  $\mathcal{I}_d$ -convergence coincides with the statistical convergence. If  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_{fin}$  then  $\mathcal{I}_{fin}$ -convergence is the usual convergence.

 $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence satisfies usual axioms of convergence i.e. the uniqueness of limit, arithmetical properties etc. The class of all  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent sequences is a linear space (see [10]). In the same paper there also was defined another type of convergence related to an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  so called  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergence.

**Definition 3.** A sequence  $\mathbf{x} = (x_n)$  of real (complex) numbers is said to be  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergent to a number L and we write  $\mathcal{I}^*$  - lim  $x_n = L$ , if there is a set  $H \in \mathcal{I}$ , such that for the set  $M = \mathbb{N} \setminus H = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_k < \dots\} \in \varphi(\mathcal{I})$  we have  $\lim_{k \to \infty} x_{m_k} = L$ , where the limit is in the usual sense.

It is easy to see that for an admissible ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  we have that  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergence implies  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence. The converse does not have to be true (see [10] where authors moreover give a characterization of ideals  $\mathcal{I}$  for which  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence and  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergence are equivalent by means of property (AP)).

**Definition 4.** An ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  (not necessarily admissible) is said to satisfy the condition (AP) if for every countable family of mutually disjoint sets  $(A_n)$  belonging to  $\mathcal{I}$  there exists countable family of sets  $(B_n)$  such that the symmetric difference  $A_j \triangle B_j$  is finite for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_j \in \mathcal{I}$ .

There exist many examples of ideals having or not having the property (AP) (see e.g. [1], [10]).

**Proposition 5** (see [10]). From  $\mathcal{I}$  - lim  $x_n = L$  the statement  $\mathcal{I}^*$  - lim  $x_n = L$  follows if and only if  $\mathcal{I}$  satisfies the property (AP).

An ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  (not necessarily admissible) is called P-ideal if for each sequence  $(A_n)$ of sets belonging to  $\mathcal{I}$  there exists a set  $A_{\infty} \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $A_n \setminus A_{\infty}$  is finite for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The notions of P-ideal and ideal having the property (AP) coincide (see [3]). We will also use the following facts.

**Proposition 6** (see [10]). Let  $\mathcal{I}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_2$  be admissible ideals such that  $\mathcal{I}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{I}_2$ . If  $\mathcal{I}_1 - \lim x_n = L$  then  $\mathcal{I}_2 - \lim x_n = L$ .

**Proposition 7** (see [5]). Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_n)$  be a sequence of real (complex) numbers having bounded  $\mathcal{I}$ -variation for an admissible ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ . Then  $\mathbf{x}$  is  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergent and so it is also  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent.

**Definition 8.** An infinite series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  for real (complex) numbers  $a_n$ , n = 1, 2, ... is said to be  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent if the sequence of the partial sums  $(s_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent, where  $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k$ . The number  $s = \mathcal{I} - \lim s_n$  is said  $\mathcal{I}$ -sum of the series.

 $\mathcal{I}$ -notions have been developed in several directions and used in various parts of mathematics, for example [1], [7], [11], [9] and [13].

## 2 Main results

In this section we are going to study the application of the concept of  $\mathcal{I}$ -variation of a sequence in the theory of multiple series ( $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \beta_n$ , where  $a_n$  and  $\beta_n$  are real (complex) numbers for n = 1, 2, ...) in particular in relation to the notion  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence. In connection with this we formulate two theorems and we are going to investigate what happens when the classical notions will be replaced by its  $\mathcal{I}$ -analogs in these theorems.

The following result is ascribed by Hardy [8] to Dedekind.

**Theorem A.** Let a series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  be convergent series and  $(\beta_n)$  be a sequence of bounded variation. Then the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \beta_n$  is convergent too.

The previous theorem can be reversed in a certain sense. In [8] Hardy attributes this result to Hadamard.

**Theorem B.** Let  $(\beta_n)$  be a sequence such that for each convergent series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  also the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \beta_n$  is convergent. Then the sequence  $(\beta_n)$  is of bounded variation.

The following theorem shows that an  $\mathcal{I}$ -analog of Theorem A is valid only in the case when  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_{fin}$ . Moreover by means of an  $\mathcal{I}$ -analog of Theorem A is the ideal  $\mathcal{I}_{fin}$  characterized.

**Theorem 9.** The following assertions are equivalent:

(i) For every sequence  $(\beta_n)$  with bounded  $\mathcal{I}$ -variation the  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence of a series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  implies the  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence of the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \beta_n$ .

(*ii*)  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_{fin}$ .

Proof.

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$  is Theorem A (see [8]).

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an admissible ideal such that  $\mathcal{I} \neq \mathcal{I}_{fin}$ . There exists an infinite set  $K = \{k_1 < k_2 < ... < k_n < ...\} \in \mathcal{I}$ . Then the set  $M = \{k_2 < k_4 < ... < k_{2n} < ...\}$  belongs also to  $\mathcal{I}$ . We define the sequence  $(s_n)$  of partial sums of a series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  that unambiguously determines terms of the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ . Put  $s_n = 1$  if  $n \in M$ , and  $s_n = 0$  otherwise. We obtain the terms of the series,  $a_1 = s_1$  and  $a_n = s_n - s_{n-1}$  if  $n \geq 2$ . It follows that the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  is  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergent to zero since  $s_n = 0$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus M \in \varphi(\mathcal{I})$ . Thus the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  is also  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to zero.

Now we define the sequence  $(\beta_n)$  as follows:  $\beta_n = 1$  if  $n \in M$ , and  $\beta_n = 0$  otherwise. The sequence  $(\beta_n)$  has bounded  $\mathcal{I}$ -variation, since  $Var \ \beta \mid_{\mathbb{N}\setminus M} = 0$ . But the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \beta_n$  is not  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent since it has non-negative terms and contains infinitely many 1's. Put  $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \beta_k$ . Since  $a_n \beta_n = 1$  if  $n \in M$ , and  $a_n \beta_n = 0$  otherwise, we have  $S_n \ge 0$  and moreover  $a_n \beta_n \ge 0$ , so the sequence  $(S_n)$  is nondecreasing. As we can see  $S_{k_{2n}} = n$ . Thus for every real (complex) number L and  $\varepsilon = 1$  the set  $\mathbb{N} \setminus A(1) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |S_n - L| < \varepsilon\}$  is finite, so that  $\mathbb{N} \setminus A(1) \in \mathcal{I}$ , thus the set A(1)cannot be in  $\mathcal{I}$ , because  $\mathcal{I}$  is an admissible ideal. The following examples show that Theorem 9 is valid only for the ideal  $\mathcal{I}_{fin}$  even if not all assumptions of Theorem 9 in statement (i) are replaced by their  $\mathcal{I}$ -variants. Example 10 shows that for every admissible ideal  $\mathcal{I} \neq \mathcal{I}_{fin}$  there exist a convergent series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  and a sequence  $(\beta_n)$  with bounded  $\mathcal{I}$ -variation such that the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \beta_n$  does not have to be  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent. Example 11 shows that for every admissible ideal  $\mathcal{I} \neq \mathcal{I}_{fin}$  there exist an  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  and a sequence  $(\beta_n)$  having bounded variation such that the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \beta_n$  does not have to be  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent.

**Example 10.** Let the set K has the same meaning as in the proof of Theorem 9 in the implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Put the terms of series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  as follows:  $a_n = \frac{1}{n^2}$  if  $n \in K$ , and  $a_n = 0$  otherwise. It is clear that the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  is convergent. Define the sequence  $(\beta_n)$ ,  $\beta_n = n^2$  if  $n \in K$ , and  $\beta_n = 0$  otherwise. Since  $\mathbb{N} \setminus K \in \varphi(\mathcal{I})$  so the sequence  $(\beta_n)$  has bounded  $\mathcal{I}$ -variation. The series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\beta_n$  is the series having non-negative terms containing infinitely many 1's, so it is not  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent.

**Example 11.** Let the set M has the same meaning as in the proof of Theorem 9 in the implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). The terms  $a_n$  of series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  are defined by means of the partial sums of this series. Put  $S_n = n^2$  if  $n \in M$ , and  $S_n = 0$  otherwise. Then  $a_1 = S_1$  and  $a_n = S_n - S_{n-1}$  if  $n \ge 2$ . It is clear that the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to zero (it even is  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergent to zero, since  $\mathbb{N} \setminus M \in \varphi(\mathcal{I})$ ). Consider the sequence  $(\beta_n)$ ,  $\beta_n = \frac{1}{n^2}$  if  $n \in M$ , and  $\beta_n = 0$  otherwise. The sequence  $(\beta_n)$  has bounded variation. Again the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \beta_n$  having non-negative terms and containing infinitely many 1's. Thus it is not  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent.

Let us focus on the  $\mathcal{I}$ -analog of Theorem B. For this we need the following Abel theorem, which we can prove in a simpler way than the one stated in the literature, in our opinion.

**Lemma 12** ([14], p.75, Theorem 2.4.13). Let  $(u_n)$  be a sequence with  $u_n \ge 0$  for all  $n \ge 2$  and  $u_1 > 0$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n = +\infty$ . Denote  $U_n = u_1 + u_2 + \ldots + u_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$  Then the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{u_n}{U_n} = +\infty$ .

*Proof.* We will use Cauchy-Bolzano criterion. For  $k \ge 1$ ,  $m \ge 1$  we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{u_{k+i}}{U_{k+i}} = \frac{u_{k+1}}{U_{k+1}} + \frac{u_{k+2}}{U_{k+2}} + \dots + \frac{u_{k+m}}{U_{k+m}} \ge \frac{u_{k+1} + u_{k+2} + \dots + u_{k+m}}{U_{k+m}} = \frac{U_{k+m} - U_k}{U_{k+m}} = 1 - \frac{U_k}{U_{k+m}}$$

For k being fix we have  $\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{U_k}{U_{k+m}} = 0$ . Then there exists  $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $m \ge m_0$  we obtain  $\frac{U_k}{U_{k+m}} \le \frac{1}{2}$  and so  $\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{u_{k+i}}{U_{k+i}} \ge \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus Cauchy-Bolzano criterion is not satisfied, so the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{u_n}{U_n}$  is divergent.  $\Box$ 

Before we state the  $\mathcal{I}$ -analogue of Theorem B, we prove Theorem B in order to gain a slight generalization. Again the proof is simpler and more precise than the one

given in the literature, of course in our opinion. In the assumption of the theorem an arbitrary convergent series is not necessary but it is sufficient to limit ourselves to series that are convergent to zero. Theorem B is equivalent to the following statement that we are going to prove.

**Theorem C.** If a sequence  $(\beta_n)$  has unbounded variation then there exist a convergent series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  such that the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \beta_n$  is divergent.

Proof. For brevity, we put  $b_n = \beta_n - \beta_{n+1}$ . Then by assumption we have  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n| = +\infty$ . Without loss of generality we can assume  $b_1 \neq 0$  thus  $B_n = |b_1| + |b_2| + \ldots + |b_n| > 0$  for  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ . Define the terms of the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  by means of its partial sums  $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k = \frac{sign \, b_n}{B_n}$  and subsequently  $a_1 = s_1$  and  $a_n = s_n - s_{n-1}$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Using Abel process we obtain for the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \beta_n$  the following equation:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \beta_k = a_1 \beta_1 + (s_2 - s_1) \beta_2 + \dots + (s_n - s_{n-1}) \beta_n =$$

$$s_1(\beta_1 - \beta_2) + s_2(\beta_2 - \beta_3) + s_{n-1}(\beta_{n-1} - \beta_n) + s_n \beta_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} s_k b_k + s_n \beta_n .$$
(2.1)

We have  $s_k b_k = \frac{|b_k|}{B_k} \ge 0$ . Using the Lemma 12 where we put  $u_n = |b_n|$  and  $U_n = B_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$  and we obtain that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n b_n = +\infty$ . We show that the sequence  $(s_n \beta_n)$  is bounded. Indeed  $\beta_n = \beta_1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k$ , so

$$|s_n\beta_n| = \frac{|\beta_n|}{B_n} \le \frac{|\beta_1|}{B_n} + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |b_k|}{\sum_{k=1}^n |b_k|} \le \frac{|\beta_1|}{B_n} + 1.$$

Since  $\lim_{n\to\infty} B_n = +\infty$ , it follows that the sequence  $(s_n\beta_n)$  is bounded. By (2.1) we gain  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k\beta_k = +\infty$ . Moreover  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k = \lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\pm 1}{B_n} = 0$ .

By this way the proof of Theorem C is finished and its analysis shows that we have proved a stronger claim as Theorem B.

**Theorem 13.** Let  $(\beta_n)$  be a sequence such that for each convergent series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  with zero sum also the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \beta_n$  is convergent then the sequence  $(\beta_n)$  is of bounded variation.

Now we can move on the  $\mathcal{I}$ -analogue of Theorem 13 resp. Theorem C.

**Theorem 14.** Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an admissible ideal and  $(\beta_n)$  be a sequence such that for each  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  having zero sum also the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \beta_n$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent. Then the sequence  $(\beta_n)$  is of bounded  $\mathcal{I}$ -variation.

*Proof.* By contradiction, suppose that the sequence  $(\beta_n)$  is of infinite  $\mathcal{I}$ -variation. Then for every set  $K \in \varphi(\mathcal{I})$  we have  $Var \beta|_K = +\infty$ . Especially for the set K we can take N. Therefore  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\beta_n - \beta_{k+1}| = +\infty$ . Using the Theorem C, we find a sequence  $(a_n)$  satisfying the following properties.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k = 0 \tag{2.2}$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \beta_k = +\infty$$
(2.3)

The first property (2.2) implies that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to zero. By (2.3) we have that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \beta_n$  is not  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent since the series has non-negative terms and contains infinitely many 1's.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the anonymous reporter for having corrected errors in the first submitted version.

The author Vladimír Baláž wishes to thank The Slovak Research and Development Agency (research project VEGA No. 2/0119/23) for financial support.

# References

- V. Baláž, T. Visniay, *I-convergence of Arithmetical Functions*, chapter 8, pages 125-145, Number Theory and Its Applications. IntechOpen, London, 2020.
- [2] V. Baláž, A. Faisant, G. Grekos, On Real Algebras Associated with Ideal Convergence, Real Analysis Exchange 47 (2022), 281 - 296.
- [3] M. Balcerzak, K. Dems, A. Komisarski, Statistical convergence and ideal convergence for sequences of functions, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 328 (2007), 715–729.
- [4] A. Bartoszewicz, S. Głąb, A. Wachowicz, *Remarks on ideal boundedness, convergence and variation of sequences*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **375** (2011), 431–435.
- [5] A. Faisant, G. Grekos, V. Toma, On the statistical variation of sequences, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 306 (2005), 432–439.
- [6] H. Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, Colloquium Mathematicum, 2 (1951), 241-244.
- [7] H. Furstenberg, *Recurrence in Ergodic Theory and Combinatorial Number Theory*, Princeton University Press, Princeton (1981).
- [8] G.H. Hardy, On convergence of certain multiple series, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 19 (1917), 86–95.

- [9] R. Jin, Applications of nonstandard analysis in additive number theory, Bulletin of Symbolic Logic 6 (2000) 331-341.
- [10] P. Kostyrko, T. Salát, W. Wilczyński, *I-convergence*, Real Analysis Exchange 26 (2000/2001), 669–686.
- [11] M. Paštéka, Density and Related Topics, VEDA Bratislava, AC Praha, 2017.
- [12] I.J. Schoenberg, The Integrability of Certain Functions and Related Summability Methods, Amer. Math. Monthly, 66, 1959, 361-375.
- [13] O. Strauch, S. Porubský, Distribution of Sequences : A Sampler, Band 1 Peter Lang, Frankfurt am Main, 2005.
- [14] T. Šalát: Infinite Series (Slovak) Academia, Praha, 1974

Vladimír Baláž Mathematical Institute Slovak Academy of Sciences Štefánikova 49 814 73 Bratislava, Slovakia E-mail: vladimir.balaz@mat.savba.sk ORCID: 0000-0003-0574-1708

Alain Faisant UJM-Saint-Étienne University Lyon CNRS, ICJ UMR 5208 Saint-Étienne, 42023 France E-mail: faisant@univ-st-etienne.fr

Georges Grekos Institut Camille Jordan University Jean Monnet 20 rue du Dr. Rémy Annino Saint-Étienne, 42000 France E-mail: grekos@univ-st-etienne.fr

Juraj Kostra Faculty of Chemical Technology Institute of Applied Physics and Mathematics University of Pardubice Studenská 95 Pardubice, 53210 Czech Republic E-mail: juraj.kostra@upce.cz