

# Effort minimization: A permanent, dynamic, and surmountable influence on physical activity

Silvio Maltagliati, Layan Fessler, Qian Yu, Zhihao Zhang, Yanxia Chen,

Olivier Dupuy, Ryan Falck, Neville Owen, Liye Zou, Boris Cheval

### ▶ To cite this version:

Silvio Maltagliati, Layan Fessler, Qian Yu, Zhihao Zhang, Yanxia Chen, et al.. Effort minimization: A permanent, dynamic, and surmountable influence on physical activity. Journal of Sport and Health Science, 2024, 14, pp.100971. 10.1016/j.jshs.2024.100971. hal-04880552

### HAL Id: hal-04880552 https://hal.science/hal-04880552v1

Submitted on 10 Feb 2025

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License









Journal of Sport and Health Science

Opinion

## Effort minimization: A permanent, dynamic, and surmountable influence on physical activity

Silvio Maltagliati<sup>a,†</sup>, Layan Fessler<sup>b,†</sup>, Qian Yu<sup>c</sup>, Zhihao Zhang<sup>c</sup>, Yanxia Chen<sup>d</sup>, Olivier Dupuy<sup>e,f</sup>, Ryan S. Falck<sup>g</sup>, Neville Owen<sup>h,i</sup>, Liye Zou<sup>c,\*</sup>, Boris Cheval<sup>j,k,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Human and Evolutionary Biology Section, Department of Biological Sciences, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA

<sup>b</sup> SENS Laboratory, Department of Sport Science, University Grenoble Alpes, Saint-Martin-d'Heres 38400, France

<sup>c</sup> Body-Brain-Mind Laboratory, School of Psychology, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen 518060, China

<sup>d</sup> Department of Physical Education, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200030, China

<sup>e</sup> Laboratory MOVE (UR 20296), Faculty of Sport Sciences, University of Poitiers, Poitiers 86000, France

<sup>f</sup> School of Kinesiology and Physical Activity Science (EKSAP). Faculty of Medicine. University of Montreal, Montreal, VIC 6128, Canada

<sup>g</sup> School of Biomedical Engineering, Faculty of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6T 1Z4 Canada

<sup>h</sup> Centre for Urban Transitions, Swinburne University of Technology, Melbourne, Victoria, VIC 3122, Australia

<sup>1</sup>Physical Activity Laboratory, Baker Heart & Diabetes Institute, Melbourne, Victoria, VIC 6492, Australia

<sup>j</sup> Department of Sport Sciences and Physical Education, École Normale Supérieure of Rennes, Bruz 35170, France

<sup>k</sup> Department of Sport Sciences, VIPS<sup>2</sup> (Valeurs, Innovations, Politiques, Socialisations et Sports) Laboratory, University of Rennes, Rennes 35044, France

Received 15 May 2024; revised 26 July 2024; accepted 1 August 2024

Available online 2 September 2024

2095-2546/© 2025 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Shanghai University of Sport. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Today, most people know that physical activity (PA) is beneficial for their health<sup>1,2</sup> and aspire to engage in regular PA.<sup>3,4</sup> However, despite their awareness of the importance of PA, it is evident that the transition from intention to action is challenging-a situation that has important public health implications. According to the World Health Organization,<sup>5</sup> 1 person dies every 6 s worldwide from causes related to physical inactivity, which underscores the urgency of addressing this situation. Many factors have been identified that influence the translation of PA intentions into behaviors.<sup>6</sup> However, there is still considerable room for improvement in our understanding of this intention-behavior gap. In this endeavor, the Theory of Effort Minimization in PA (TEMPA') has recently emerged as a heuristic theoretical framework capable of shedding light on the mechanisms underlying individuals' (in)ability to translate their intentions into actions.<sup>8</sup>

To expand TEMPA, in this paper, we first aimed to clarify 2 fundamental phenomena: (a) the permanent evolutionary drive to conserve energy, which is juxtaposed with (b) seemingly contradictory examples showing that some individuals have a natural urge to move more, especially children. We then highlighted how the strength of these general tendencies could evolve across the lifespan and as a function of biological sex. Finally, we elucidate the key roles of executive function and affective associations in overcoming the drive to minimize physical effort and promoting engagement in PA, and how these roles may vary across the lifespan and sex.

## 1. The permanent attraction to effort minimization and an evolutionary pressure toward energy conservation

One of the first questions that arises when the postulates of TEMPA are presented is the apparent contradiction that some individuals, especially children, appear to have a drive to move and expend energy. This drive is consistent with the recent Wants and Aversions for Neuromuscular Tasks (WANT) model, which posits a fundamental human need for PA.<sup>9</sup> While WANT and TEMPA share several commonalities, the primary divergence lies in their theoretical underpinnings: TEMPA, rooted in an evolutionary perspective, posits an innate tendency to avoid unnecessary physical effort, whereas WANT suggests that the attraction toward PA and sedentary behavior is largely dependent on situational factors. Although these 2 approaches may seem to be at odds with each other, we will explain why some individuals engage in high levels of PA despite a natural drive to avoid unnecessary physical effort.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jshs.2024.100971

Peer review under responsibility of Shanghai University of Sport.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors. *E-mail addresses:* Liyezou123@gmail.com (L. Zou), boris.cheval@ensrennes.fr (B. Cheval).

<sup>†</sup> These two authors contributed equally to this article.

Cite this article: Maltagliati S, et al. Effort minimization: A permanent, dynamic, and surmountable influence on physical activity, J Sport Health Sci 2025;14:100971

TEMPA contends that effort minimization is a permanent feature that influences multiple stages of the regulation of movement-based behaviors. This claim is supported by behavioral observations in which spontaneous choices reveal a tendency to minimize the amount of effort exerted. For example, when individuals need to move from one place to another, more than 90% of them will choose the escalator over the stairs.<sup>10</sup> Similarly, individuals tend to take shortcuts, such as crossing corners on lawns, in order to slightly reduce the distance (and time) traveled whenever possible.<sup>11</sup> Furthermore, decisions are influenced by the perceived cost of action, with individuals spontaneously preferring behavioral alternatives that minimize the effort required to perform the action, even without being aware of the mechanisms at work.<sup>12</sup> The observation that even when individuals choose the more costly option, such as taking the stairs instead of the escalator, the mechanism of effort minimization is still at work-with biomechanically efficient movementfurther supports this tendency.<sup>13,14</sup> For example, humans constantly optimize the energetic cost of walking by adjusting various parameters such as walking speed, arm swing, and step length, width, and frequency.<sup>13</sup> In addition, the energetic cost of movement influences motor adaptation during the learning process, as demonstrated in young children who adapt their motor skills according to the energetic cost of movement.<sup>14</sup>

## 2. The dynamic attraction toward effort minimization across the lifespan and biological sex

In this section, we first provide a brief explanation of how dispositional and situational factors can moderate the impact of effort minimization mechanisms on the regulation of PA. Subsequently, we delve into how age and biological sex may also serve as significant moderators.

#### 2.1. Situational and dispositional factors

In the seminal article introducing TEMPA, Cheval and Boisgontier<sup>7</sup> suggest a permanent (i.e., at every moment of the lifespan) evolutionary pressure toward the principle of energy conservation. However, this does not imply that the influence of this pressure on behavioral regulation is stable across ageing (see below) nor across individuals and situations. In fact, TEMPA incorporates the influence of dispositional and situational factors on the principle of effort minimization. Specifically, regarding dispositional factors, TEMPA postulates the existence of interindividual differences in this tendency.<sup>15</sup> For example, individual differences in approaching (vs. avoiding) physical effort act as a moderator of the intention-behavior gap; the association between intention and PA was stronger for individuals with a stronger tendency to approach (vs. avoid) physical effort.<sup>16</sup> Regarding situational factors, TEMPA argues that physiological states such as fatigue or timing of the most recent PA (e.g., performed in the last hours or days) are particularly important. For example, when individuals are fatigued, they may perceive a behavior as requiring more effort than when they are not fatigued. These situational factors account for the dynamic nature of effort minimization. Nevertheless, as explained in the section above, the tendency to minimize effort is theoretically never null, as exemplified by the biomechanically efficient nature of a behavior or the process of motor adaptation during learning. Because they have been addressed in the original article,<sup>7</sup> this article will not further discuss the role of these factors in influencing the strength of the influence of effort minimization on behavior. Instead, we will focus on an aspect of TEMPA that has been little discussed until now: the influence of lifespan and sex on effort-minimization mechanisms. However, the attentive reader will understand that dispositional factors and situations can also modulate the mechanisms that will be discussed below.

#### 2.2. Lifespan and sex

From an evolutionary and life-history perspective, the importance of effort minimization on movement-based behaviors is expected to change across the lifespan. Childhood provides a unique opportunity for PA free of energetic tradeoffs, without direct investment in reproductive effort or pressing demands to produce food for oneself or one's family.<sup>17</sup> Consequently, at this early life stage, most of a child's energy can be devoted to physical (e.g., muscle and bone development, strengthening of the immune system), cognitive (e.g., skill acquisition and brain maturation), and social growth (e.g., refinement of social skills). The benefits of PA during this stage of life are important because movement is fundamental in helping children construct their perceptions of their environment and is, therefore, inherently intertwined with their development. Futhermore, engaging in playful activities with others fosters strategic thinking and contributes to the development of social skills that are essential for living together in a society.<sup>18,19</sup> These multiple benefits associated with PA may contribute to children's natural inclination to engage in PA during play,<sup>20</sup> which should not be misinterpreted as a natural tendency to move just for the sake of moving, with no other expected reinforcing outcomes. Indeed, as mentioned earlier, the principle of effort minimization operates in children's movement, whereby a child's movement becomes more efficient with practice and learning (e.g., ambulatory patterns become more efficient as children learn walking skills<sup>14</sup>). Conversely, during puberty, the pressure to conserve energy gradually increases as more energy is required for sexual maturation and accelerated body growth.<sup>21,22</sup> Consistent with this, studies have shown a decline in PA levels during this period, particularly in females who enter puberty earlier than males<sup>23-25</sup> or among females who mature earlier than their peers.<sup>26,27</sup> In addition, these findings are consistent with other studies indicating that once pubertal maturation of adolescents is considered, sex differences in the decline of PA become less pronounced.<sup>28,29</sup>

In adulthood, energy is no longer primarily allocated to body growth but shifts to support reproduction, especially in females.<sup>30,31</sup> Therefore, the physiological stress experienced during pregnancy or after childbirth may contribute to the decline in PA among females during these periods.<sup>32,33</sup> This decline is less pronounced in males, whose reproductive physiology imposes lower and more stable energetic costs.<sup>34,35</sup> Finally, in older adulthood, the pressure to conserve energy may decrease due to differences in reproductive and survival strategies,<sup>36,37</sup> thereby allowing more energy to be spent in PA at this stage (albeit within age-related functional limitations). For example, evidence from Hadza hunter-gatherers suggests that post-reproductive females engage in approximately 20% more foraging activities than younger mothers, spending additional time on tasks such as food preparation, digging, walking, and carrying.<sup>36</sup> Consequently, hunter-gatherer grandparents may contribute excess energy to support their children and grandchildren.<sup>37</sup> Thus, at this stage, this relative increase in PA appears to be driven by a mechanism that ensures sufficient energy availability at the group level to ensure reproductive success.

Crucially, empirical evidence on the prevalence of physical inactivity across the lifespan is consistent with the postulates of TEMPA. Specifically, data suggest that levels of PA decline significantly from childhood to adolescence, with sex differences emerging as girls report lower levels of PA than boys during this period. While levels of physical inactivity are less pronounced in young adulthood compared to adolescence, they increase significantly after age 60.<sup>24,38,39</sup> It is important to acknowledge that, in this section, we focused on the biological aspect of sex differences in energetic pressure. However, an attentive reader must understand that these changes are also strongly intertwined with the socio-cultural aspect of gender-with girls and women receiving less support to engage in PA throughout their lifespan.<sup>40</sup> Thus, the focus in this opinion on the intraindividual forces that motivate people's behaviors should not overshadow the importance of the role of environmental factors in regulating PA behavior, as suggested by socioecological models.<sup>41,42</sup>

In sum, although the pressure to conserve energy is not as relevant in today's industrialized societies, the principle of energy minimization is thought to persist and influence behaviors. As a result, there may be a mismatch between our evolutionary legacy, which was adaptive during periods of potential food scarcity, and the current environment, in which this heritage may now prove detrimental in contemporary societies. Understanding how to engage in PA despite this drive to minimize effort is therefore necessary if we hope to address the current inactivity pandemic.

## 3. Executive function and affective associations toward PA across the lifespan and sex

In a recent editorial,<sup>8</sup> we argue that 2 key pathways can be mobilized to promote engagement in PA in the contemporary environment, where opportunities to minimize energy expenditure are ubiquitous: (a) the motivation to use available executive functions to overcome impulses to minimize effort, and (b) the development of positive affective associations toward PA. Here, however, we delve deeper into these mechanisms by suggesting that the role of these 2 pathways may vary depending on age and sex. Indeed, it can be hypothesized that the pressure to conserve energy, the maturity of executive function, and the perceived effort associated with a given behavior would vary across the lifespan and between sexes.

In the case of children, the cognitive functions are not vet fully developed, but they are also faced with relatively low energy pressure. In this context, it is plausible to assume that executive function does not play a determining role in explaining their engagement in PA, while affective associations could, on the contrary, be of greater importance. At this stage, no sex differences are expected in relation to this evolutionary influence. It is assumed that the observed differences in PA levels are mostly a consequence of the different treatment of boys and girls at the socio-cultural level.<sup>40</sup> However, in adolescence, while executive function remains immature, there is also a high pressure to conserve energy due to sexual maturation and accelerated body growth. This still-developing executive function, combined with a high pressure to conserve energy, can largely explain the lack of engagement at this stage-80% of adolescents do not meet the recommendations.<sup>43</sup> According to TEMPA, at this specific period, we need to ensure that adolescents develop positive affective associations toward PA to build a drive to engage in such effortful activities (i.e., the cost of effort must be balanced by immediate and tangible rewards).44

In adulthood, the pressure to conserve energy depends on sex, with females experiencing a stronger and more labile pressure than males due to a sexually patterned energetic demand for reproduction.<sup>32,33</sup> At this stage, however, executive function is mature and can be used to overcome this impulse toward behaviors that minimize energetic cost. Here, it can be expected that the use of executive function could be quite effective, at least in the short term, but that this executive function would need to be complemented by strong positive affective associations associated with PA, perhaps especially among females. These sex differences in the pressure to conserve energy are consistent with the observation that adult females typically engage in less moderate-vigorous PA than their male counterparts.<sup>40,45,46</sup> Finally, in older age, although the strong selective pressure is expected to diminish, there is also a decline in executive function combined with an increased cost of effort associated with a given behavior due to a decline in physical fitness and functional physical limitations. Thus, relying solely on executive function in this situation could be a precarious strategy because of the high cost of effort and the decline in cognitive function. Accordingly, maintaining executive function is necessary in older adults, but positive affective associations may play a key role in sustaining engagement in PA.

The mediating role of perceived cost of effort on the link between the evolution of the pressure to conserve energy and the evolution of PA across the lifespan and according to sex, as well as the role of executive function and affective mechanisms in overcoming this cost of effort, is summarized in Fig. 1. In short, the regulation of PA among children and adolescents may mostly rely on affective mechanisms, with adolescence being a problematic period due to high energetic pressure combined with immature executive function. Adults may rely on executive function (although not optimally for



Fig. 1. Effort minimization across the lifespan and biological sex. (A) the permanent and dynamic influence of effort minimization; (B) the surmountable influence of effort minimization. According to TEMPA, when affective associations with physical activity are positive, there is a reduced need to rely on executive function to moderate the effect of perceived effort cost on physical activity levels. TEMPA = Theory of Effort Minimization in Physical Activity.

long-term maintenance of PA) but may also need to associate PA with positive affective experiences. Finally, older adults need to rely on strong affective associations, especially as their executive function and physical fitness decline across ageing. Although recent studies have confirmed the role of cognitive function in predicting PA participation,<sup>47–49</sup> to the best of our knowledge, no research has yet provided direct empirical evidence on how age moderates the strength of the relationship between cognitive function and PA. Future research is also needed to longitudinally examine how affective associations evolve across ageing and between sexes.

#### 4. Conclusion

Although the mechanism of effort minimization varies across the human lifespan and between females and males, it remains a powerful factor that not only reduces the likelihood of engaging in PA but also ensures a minimal level of energy expenditure once engaged in PA. Crucially, despite this natural drive to avoid unnecessary physical effort, individuals can engage in high levels of PA. This is exemplified by children, who may appear to have a natural "drive to move", which is actually an urge to acquire the basic and essential elements necessary for their development, as well as to accumulate positive affective experiences. At other stages, cognitive and affective mechanisms may jointly explain why people are (un)able to overcome the impulse to minimize effort and to engage in PA. Thus, high levels of engagement in PA do not challenge the general principle of effort minimization. In summary, effort minimization is a permanent (i.e., the principle of optimization is still at work even during movement), dynamic (i.e., the strength of this process may differ across ageing and depending on situational and dispositional factors), and surmountable (i.e., using executive function and developing positive affective associations) tendency that influences multiple dimensions of the regulation of PA.

#### Authors' contributions

SM, LF, and BC conceived the study and wrote the original draft; QY, ZZ, YC, OD, RSF, NO, and LZ contributed to the

improvement of the manuscript and approved its final version. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript, and agree with the order of presentation of the authors.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Acknowledgements

LZ is supported by The Shenzhen Educational Research Funding (zdzb2014), The Shenzhen Science and Technology Innovation Commission (202307313000096), The Social Science Foundation from the China's Ministry of Education (23YJA880093), The Post-Doctoral Fellowship (2022M711174), and The National Center for Mental Health (Z014). BC is supported by the Chaires de recherche Rennes Métropole (23C 0909). SM is supported by the National Institutes of Health (R01AG72445).

#### References

- Hou M, Herold F, Zhang Z, et al. Human dopaminergic system in the exercise-cognition link. *Trends Mol Med* 2024. doi:10.1016/j.molmed. 2024.04.011.
- Zou L, Herold F, Ludyga S, et al. Look into my eyes: What can eye-based measures tell us about the relationship between physical activity and cognitive performance? *J Sport Health Sci* 2023;12:568–91.
- Luciani J. Why 80 percent of New Year's resolutions fail. Available at: https://health.usnews.com/health-news/blogs/eat-run/articles/2015-12-29/ why-80-percent-of-new-years-resolutions-fail. [accessed 22.12.2023].
- Fagan MJ, Vanderloo LM, Banerjee A, et al. Re-assessing the social climate of physical (in)activity in Canada. *BMC Public Health* 2023;23:2548. doi:10.1186/s12889-023-17436-3.
- World Health Organizatioin. WHO guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. Available at: https://www.who.int/publications/i/ item/9789240015128. [accessed 25.11.2020].
- Rhodes RE. Translating physical activity intentions into behavior: Reflective, regulatory, and reflexive processes. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev* 2024;52: 13–22.
- Cheval B, Boisgontier MP. The theory of effort minimization in physical activity. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev* 2021;49:168–78.
- 8. Cheval B, Zou L, Maltagliati S, et al. The intention-behaviour gap in physical activity: Unravelling the critical role of the automatic

tendency towards effort minimisation. Br J Sports Med 2024;58:889-91.

- Stults-Kolehmainen MA, Blacutt M, Bartholomew JB, et al. Motivation states for physical activity and sedentary behavior: Desire, urge, wanting, and craving. *Front Psychol* 2020;11: 568390. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2020. 568390.
- 10. Bellettiere J, Nguyen B, Liles S, et al. Prompts to increase physical activity at points-of-choice between stairs and escalators: What about escalator climbers? *Transl Behav Med* 2019;9:656–62.
- Speakman JR. An evolutionary perspective on sedentary behavior. *Bioessays* 2020;42: 1900156. doi:10.1002/bies.201900156.
- 12. Hagura N, Haggard P, Diedrichsen J. Perceptual decisions are biased by the cost to act. *Elife* 2017;6:e18422. doi:10.7554/eLife.18422.
- Abram SJ, Selinger JC, Donelan JM. Energy optimization is a major objective in the real-time control of step width in human walking. J Biomech 2019;91:85–91.
- 14. Ivanenko YP, Dominici N, Lacquaniti F. Development of independent walking in toddlers. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev* 2007;35:67–73.
- Cheval B, Maltagliati S, Courvoisier DS, Marcora S, Boisgontier MP. Development and validation of the physical effort scale (PES). *Psychol Sport Exerc* 2024;72: 102607. doi:10.1016/j. psychsport.2024.102607.
- Maltagliati S, Raichlen DA, Rhodes RE, Cheval B. Closing the intentionbehavior gap in physical activity: The moderating effect of individual differences in the valuation of physical effort. *SportArxiv* 2024. doi:10.51224/SRXIV.375.
- 17. Caldwell AE. *Human Physical Fitness and Activity: An Evolutionary and Life History Perspective*. Zug, Switzerland: Springer Cham; 2016.
- Gray P. Play as a foundation for hunter-gatherer social existence. Am J Play 2009;1:476–522.
- **19.** Ludyga S, Ishihara T, Kamijo K. The nervous system as a pathway for exercise to improve social cognition. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev* 2022;**50**:203–12.
- **20.** Pellegrini AD, Smith PK. Physical activity play: The nature and function of a neglected aspect of play. *Child Dev* 1998;**69**:577–98.
- Baxter-Jones AD, Eisenmann JC, Sherar LB. Controlling for maturation in pediatric exercise science. *Pediatr Exerc Sci* 2005;17:18–30.
- Walker R, Hill K, Burger O, Hurtado AM. Life in the slow lane revisited: Ontogenetic separation between chimpanzees and humans. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 2006;**129**:577–83.
- Lopes VP, Vasques CMS, Maia JAR, Ferreira JCV. Habitual physical activity levels in childhood and adolescence assessed with accelerometry. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness* 2007;47:217–22.
- Nader PR, Bradley RH, Houts RM, McRitchie SL, O'Brien M. Moderateto-vigorous physical activity from ages 9 to 15 years. *JAMA* 2008; 300:295–305.
- Troiano RP, Berrigan D, Dodd KW, Masse LC, Tilert T, McDowell M. Physical activity in the United States measured by accelerometer. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2008;40:181–8.
- Baker BL, Birch LL, Trost SG, Davison KK. Advanced pubertal status at age 11 and lower physical activity in adolescent girls. *J Pediatr* 2007; 151:488–93.
- 27. Davison KK, Werder JL, Trost SG, Baker BL, Birch LL. Why are early maturing girls less active? Links between pubertal development, psychological well-being, and physical activity among girls at ages 11 and 13. *Soc Sci Med* 2007;64:2391–404.
- Cumming SP, Standage M, Gillison F, Malina RM. Sex differences in exercise behavior during adolescence: Is biological maturation a confounding factor? *J Adolesc Health* 2008;42:480–5.

- 29. Sherar LB, Esliger DW, Baxter-Jones ADG, Tremblay MS. Age and gender differences in youth physical activity: Does physical maturity matter? *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2007;39:830–5.
- Ellison PT. Energetics and reproductive effort. Am J Hum Biol 2003; 15:342–51.
- Ellison PT. Energetics, reproductive ecology, and human evolution. PaleoAnthropology 2008. doi:10.1002/ajhb.10106.
- **32.** Brown WJ, Trost SG. Life transitions and changing physical activity patterns in young women. *Am J Prev Med* 2003;**25**:140–3.
- Fell DB, Joseph K, Armson BA, Dodds L. The impact of pregnancy on physical activity level. *Matern Child Health J* 2009;13:597–603.
- Bribiescas RG. Reproductive ecology and life history of the human male. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 2001;116(Suppl. 33):S148–76.
- 35. Lassek WD, Gaulin SJ. Costs and benefits of fat-free muscle mass in men: Relationship to mating success, dietary requirements, and native immunity. *Evol Hum Behav* 2009;30:322–8.
- Hawkes K, O'Connell JF, Blurton Jones NG. Hadza women's time allocation, offspring provisioning, and the evolution of long postmenopausal life spans. *Curr Anthropol* 1997;38:551–77.
- Lieberman DE, Kistner TM, Richard D, Lee IM, Baggish AL. The active grandparent hypothesis: Physical activity and the evolution of extended human healthspans and lifespans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2021;118: e2107621118. doi:10.1073/pnas.2107621118.
- Finne E, Bucksch J, Lampert T, Kolip P. Age, puberty, body dissatisfaction, and physical activity decline in adolescents. Results of the German Health Interview and Examination Survey (KiGGS). *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2011;8:119. doi:10.1186/1479-5868-8-119.
- Troiano RP, Berrigan D, Dodd KW, Masse LC, Tilert T, McDowell M. Physical activity in the United States measured by accelerometer. *Med Sci* Sports Exerc 2008;40:181–8.
- 40. Chalabaev A, Sieber S, Sander D, et al. Early-life socioeconomic circumstances and physical activity in older age: Women pay the price. *Psychol Sci* 2022;33:212–23.
- Rhodes RE, McEwan D, Rebar AL. Theories of physical activity behaviour change: A history and synthesis of approaches. *Psychol Sport Exerc* 2019;42:100–9.
- 42. Sallis J, Owen N. Ecological models of health behavior. In: Glanz K, Rimer BK, Viswanath K, editors. *Health Behavior Theory Research and Practice*. 2015. p.43–64.
- World Health Organization. Global Status Report on Physical Activity. 2022. Available at: https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/363607/ 9789240059153-eng.pdf?sequence=1. [accessed 19.10.2022].
- 44. Maltagliati S, Sarrazin P, Fessler L, Lebreton M, Cheval B. Why people should run after positive affective experiences instead of health benefits. J Sport Health Sci 2024;13:445–50.
- Cheval B, Sieber S, Guessous I, et al. Effect of early-and adult-life socioeconomic circumstances on physical inactivity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2018;50:476–85.
- 46. Amagasa S, Inoue S, Shibata A, et al. Differences in accelerometermeasured physical activity and sedentary behavior between middle-aged men and women in Japan: A compositional data analysis. J Phys Act Health 2022;19:500–8.
- 47. Cheval B, Orsholits D, Sieber S, Courvoisier DC, Cullati S, Boisgontier MP. Relationship between decline in cognitive resources and physical activity. *Health Psychol* 2020;**39**:519–28.
- Audiffren M, André N. The exercise-cognition relationship: A virtuous circle. J Sport Health Sci 2019;8:339–47.
- 49. Cheval B, Saoudi I, Maltagliati S, et al. Initial status and change in cognitive function mediate the association between academic education and physical activity in adults over 50 years of age. *Psychol Aging* 2023;38:494–507.