

Discovery, structure, mechanisms, and evolution of protein-only RNase P enzymes

Walter Rossmanith, Philippe Giegé, Roland K Hartmann

▶ To cite this version:

Walter Rossmanith, Philippe Giegé, Roland K Hartmann. Discovery, structure, mechanisms, and evolution of protein-only RNase P enzymes. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 2024, 300 (3), pp.105731. 10.1016/j.jbc.2024.105731 . hal-04878808

HAL Id: hal-04878808 https://hal.science/hal-04878808v1

Submitted on 10 Jan2025

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

BC REVIEWS



Discovery, structure, mechanisms, and evolution of protein-only RNase P enzymes

Received for publication, August 1, 2023, and in revised form, January 22, 2024 Published, Papers in Press, February 8, 2024, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2024.105731

Walter Rossmanith^{1,*}, Philippe Giegé^{2,*}, and Roland K. Hartmann^{3,*}

From the ¹Center for Anatomy & Cell Biology, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria; ²Institute for Plant Molecular Biology, IBMP-CNRS, University of Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France; ³Institute of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Philipps-University Marburg, Marburg, Germany

Reviewed by members of the JBC Editorial Board. Edited by Karin Musier-Forsyth

The endoribonuclease RNase P is responsible for tRNA 5' maturation in all domains of life. A unique feature of RNase P is the variety of enzyme architectures, ranging from dual- to multi-subunit ribonucleoprotein forms with catalytic RNA subunits to protein-only enzymes, the latter occurring as singleor multi-subunit forms or homo-oligomeric assemblies. The protein-only enzymes evolved twice: a eukaryal protein-only RNase P termed PRORP and a bacterial/archaeal variant termed homolog of Aquifex RNase P (HARP); the latter replaced the RNA-based enzyme in a small group of thermophilic bacteria but otherwise coexists with the ribonucleoprotein enzyme in a few other bacteria as well as in those archaea that also encode a HARP. Here we summarize the history of the discovery of protein-only RNase P enzymes and review the state of knowledge on structure and function of bacterial HARPs and eukaryal PRORPs, including human mitochondrial RNase P as a paradigm of multi-subunit PRORPs. We also describe the phylogenetic distribution and evolution of PRORPs, as well as possible reasons for the spread of PRORPs in the eukaryal tree and for the recruitment of two additional protein subunits to metazoan mitochondrial PRORP. We outline potential applications of PRORPs in plant biotechnology and address diseases associated with mutations in human mitochondrial RNase P genes. Finally, we consider possible causes underlying the displacement of the ancient RNA enzyme by a protein-only enzyme in a small group of bacteria.

tRNAs are transcribed as precursors with additional sequences at their 5' and 3' ends in all forms of life (1, 2), with a single exception (3). RNase P is the enzyme that removes tRNA 5' extensions by endonucleolytic phosphodiester hydrolysis; 5'-end processing is a prerequisite for the further maturation of tRNAs and their utilization in cellular protein synthesis. The RNase P enzyme family is characterized by a bewildering variety of enzyme forms and represents a unique example of convergent molecular evolution (4). First discovered in bacteria, RNase P enzymes built on a structurally conserved, catalytic RNA were later found in all domains of life, and for almost 3 decades, an RNA component was considered to be a universal signature of the enzyme family (4-6). In Bacteria, the RNA only requires a small ancillary protein cofactor for enzymatic function, but in Archaea, an unrelated set of five proteins is associated with the RNA, which is expanded in eukaryal nuclear RNase P enzymes to up to 10 proteins. In early eukaryal evolution, a duplication moreover gave rise to RNase MRP, a paralog of nuclear RNase P, which is composed of a structurally related RNA associated with largely the same protein subunits. Although evidence for an "RNAfree", "protein-only" ("proteinaceous") form of RNase P was first reported in 1988 (7), it took 20 years until the first representative of this kind of RNase P was identified (8). Today, we know of two essentially different forms of proteinonly RNase P that apparently evolved independently: one, exclusively found in Eukarya but widespread within this clade, is known by the acronym PRORP (proteinaceous/protein-only RNase P); the other one, called HARP (homolog of Aquifex RNase P), is exclusively found in a few bacteria and some archaea. Here, we review the discovery history and current state of knowledge of these protein-only forms of RNase P.

The discovery of protein-only RNase P: A personal account

By the early 1980s, the pioneering work of Sidney Altman *et al.* had established bacterial RNase P as an RNA enzyme (9-12), and structurally related RNA molecules were soon discovered to be associated with RNase P from yeast mitochondria (13, 14), different archaea (15, 16), and human as well as yeast nuclei (17-20). Together, these findings led to the view that RNase P universally includes a catalytic RNA component, similar to its 'big sibling', the ribosome. In the context of this zeitgeist, the first two studies that came to conclude that the investigated form of RNase P could not have the expected RNA subunit were not driven by doubts about the existence of it. In fact, the authors initially made every effort to identify the expected universal RNA molecule in their respective model system.

In 1988, the rigorous biochemical characterization of spinach chloroplast RNase P by Peter Gegenheimer's group led them to the heretical conclusion that this enzyme "does not contain a substantial functionally required RNA component"

J. Biol. Chem. (2024) 300(3) 105731 1

^{*} For correspondence: Walter Rossmanith, walter.rossmanith@meduniwien. ac.at; Philippe Giegé, giege@unistra.fr; Roland K. Hartmann, roland. hartmann@staff.uni-marburg.de.

(7). The development of their original experimental approach, its historical and scientific context, and the general perception in the field have all been reviewed 10 years ago by Gegenheimer himself (21) and we would like to refer the reader to this review for further details. Although the work on spinach chloroplast RNase P came extremely close to the identification of the responsible protein and the overall evidence for a simple protein enzyme was compelling, not only in hindsight, the mere possibility was mostly met with strong skepticism by the field culminating in the discussion whether a protein-based RNase P, if existent at all, should then be called an RNase P (22, 23).

The story of human mitochondrial (protein-only) RNase P (mtRNase P) differs in having been overshadowed by a controversy of whether the mitochondrial enzyme is identical to the RNA-based nuclear one or not. The tRNA punctuation model of RNA processing in human mitochondria, as established in 1981, predicted two tRNA-processing endonucleases as central players in the release of all the RNA species from the polycistronic mitochondrial primary transcripts: an RNase P and a precursor tRNA (pre-tRNA) 3' endonuclease, now called RNase Z (24-27). The group of Giuseppe Attardi, leading this research, subsequently teamed up with Sidney Altman's group to identify the responsible RNase P enzyme, and in 1985, they reported the characterization of an RNase P activity from HeLa cell mitochondria (28); a similar study on tRNA processing activities from rat liver mitochondria followed soon thereafter (29). Of note, instead of mitochondrial pre-tRNAs, both studies used Escherichia coli pre-tRNA^{Tyr}_{su3+} as RNase P substrate, a pre-tRNA originally characterized by Altman himself in his Cambridge years (30-32) and used by his lab also in their studies of human nuclear RNase P (17, 18, 33). In the following years, the Attardi lab further characterized the assumedly mitochondrial RNase P and identified the RNA subunit of the nuclear enzyme, H1 RNA, to be associated with its activity; although apparently complete by the early 1990s (34, 35), the work was published only in 2001 (36). The concurrent discovery that RNase MRP, a presumptive primer processing endonuclease in mitochondrial DNA replication, contained a nucleus-encoded RNA (37), appeared to further support the idea of nuclear RNase P being imported into mitochondria (34); RNase MRP was later found to be a (predominantly) nuclear ribonucleoprotein (RNP) related to nuclear RNase P in structure and composition (38-43). Yet in 1992, the lab of Witold Filipowicz found that the trace amounts of MRP RNA associated with human mitochondria were actually too minute to be consistent with its presumed mitochondrial function but rather represented a contamination derived from the large nuclear pool of the RNP (44). The resulting controversy (45) was ongoing for many years, but while a nuclear role of RNase MRP in pre-ribosomal RNA processing was increasingly substantiated (46, 47), studies on mitochondrial DNA replication never really supported its ascribed role in this process; meanwhile, RNase H1 has been identified as the enzyme responsible for primer formation (48).

In 1992, in the light of the controversial results on MRP RNA localization (44), one of the authors of this review

(Walter Rossmanith), working on RNP assembly of nuclear RNase MRP at this time, was asked by his PhD supervisor (Robert Karwan) to scrutinize these findings. The experiments essentially confirmed that MRP RNA and also the additionally analyzed H1 RNA (the RNA subunit of human nuclear RNase P) were depleted to traces with increasing mitochondrial purity, while the levels of mtDNA-encoded RNA remained constant. It was decided at this point to also prepare mitochondrial extracts and compare them to nuclear enzyme preparations with regard to RNase MRP and RNase P activity. A mouse mtDNA replication origin O_H transcript and E. coli pre-tRNA^{Tyr}_{su3+} were the standard substrates used (17, 18, 28, 37), but due to a concurrent collaboration with Elisabetta Sbisà and Apollonia Tullo, transcripts of the rat mtDNA replication origins (O_H, O_L) were included as well. However, in contrast to the nuclear enzyme preparations, mitochondrial extracts produced either no or only weak off-site cleavages when acting on the aforementioned standard substrates. Only on the rat OL transcript, the mitochondrial extracts produced a strong and intriguing cleavage pattern: as this transcript included the nearby tRNA^{Tyr} and tRNA^{Cys} sequences, the observed product sizes suggested cleavages at the 5' and 3' ends of the two tRNAs. In vitro cleavage of an authentic animal mitochondrial pre-tRNA had not been reported at this time, and so the significance of the result was immediately obvious. The cleavage activities of the mitochondrial extract were swiftly reproduced on various human mitochondrial pre-tRNAs and sparked a characterization of the mtRNase P activity, still based on the assumption that it would be related to nuclear RNase P or at least contain an RNA subunit. However, the distinct substrate specificity and failure to be recognized by nuclear RNase Pspecific antibodies rapidly made clear that this mtRNase P was not related to the nuclear enzyme. In the following months, inspired by the approach of the Gegenheimer laboratory (7, 49), the human mtRNase P activity was rigorously probed for the involvement of an RNA moiety. By early 1994, the experiments were largely complete and it became clear that mtRNase P did not require an RNA subunit. However, these findings were not generally welcomed by the field. For a year, the resulting manuscript was reviewed and rejected by a handful of journals one after the other, until it was finally published in this journal (50), yet only after omission of data indicating that it does not contain an RNA component and of statements on the localization of H1 and MRP RNA. The results demonstrating that mtRNase P is not an RNA-based enzyme were eventually published 3 years later (51).

Attardi's 2001 publication (36) of H1 RNA being the subunit of mtRNase P prompted a rebuttal by W.R. (52) and reattracted his attention to the issue. In late 2004, Johann Holzmann, a talented PhD student, started working on the purification of human mtRNase P using a substrate specific for the mitochondrial enzyme and not cleaved by nuclear RNase P. Employing a combination of partial purification of the enzyme activity and shot-gun proteomics of active fractions, a first candidate protein/gene was identified in late 2006, which was the means to identify the two remaining subunits. A reconstitution of the activity solely from recombinantly expressed proteins was achieved in late 2007 and the results published in the following year (8).

Up to three homologs of the nuclease subunit of human mtRNase P (originally called MRPP3) were right away identifiable in various eukaryal genomes (8). Those included plant and trypanosomatid homologs with targeting sequences for chloroplasts and mitochondria, consistent with prior reports on the apparently proteinaceous nature of these enzymes (7, 53, 54). Subsequent studies then showed that unlike their human homolog, the plant and trypanosomatid proteins are active as single polypeptides, and some of them even localize to the nucleus and act as an RNase P there (55-57). So human mtRNase P turned out to be an exception rather than the prototypic case of the new form of RNase P and the localization of the latter not restricted to organelles either. Consequently, the more generally applicable name PRORP was coined for the new gene family (55, 58), which finally turned out to be much more widespread than anyone had expected (59).

Distribution and evolution of eukaryal protein-only **RNase P**

PRORP genes are exclusively encoded in nuclear genomes, but the proteins can apparently be localized to the nucleus, mitochondria, chloroplasts, or other plastidial and plastidderived organelles (59). They are present in almost all major branches of the eukaryal domain (Fig. 1); amoebozoa, fungi, and basal primary photosynthetic groups such as Glaucophyta and Rhodophyceae are the only major phyla that apparently do not have a PRORP. In land plants, stramenopiles, and trypanosomes, PRORPs appear to have replaced the RNP form of RNase P in all tRNA-processing compartments. In some instances, this change is achieved by a single PRORP being routed to all relevant compartments (nucleus, mitochondria, chloroplasts), like in the case of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii (60), or multiple PRORP paralogs, like in Arabidopsis thaliana, where one isoform localizes to both mitochondria and chloroplasts, and two are nuclear (55, 56). The latter case shows that more than one isoenzyme of PRORP can occasionally coexist within a compartment. In many Eukarya, a PRORP is nevertheless only found in either the nucleus or the organelle(s), with an RNP enzyme in the other (59). However, so far no evidence has been found for the coexistence of PRORP and RNP RNase P within the same compartment, *i.e.*, the occurrence of the two different forms of RNase P appears to be mutually exclusive in present-day Eukarya. The phylogenetic distribution of the special, multi-subunit form of protein-only RNase P found in human mitochondria (i.e., a PRORP



Figure 1. Distribution of protein-only RNase P (PRORP) and RNA-based RNase P in Eukarya. The occurrence of PRORP and RNA-based RNase P are indicated by P (magenta) and R (black) in the major eukaryal phylogenetic groups arranged according to their assumed relationship. Subscripts: n, nuclear; o, organellar; n/o, nuclear and organellar occurrence. The question mark (?) indicates that limited genomic data prevented definite conclusions about the occurrence of this enzyme type. PRORP, proteinaceous/protein-only RNase P.

JBC REVIEWS: Protein-only RNase P

dependent on two more protein subunits) has not been investigated in detail so far. However, a mitochondrial homolog of the TRM10 methyltransferase and the modification it introduces have only been found in metazoans (61, 62), suggesting that the additional subunits were recruited within this lineage (see also below). Whether the PRORPs in all other eukaryal branches act as single-polypeptide enzymes or whether a PRORP has recruited one or more essential subunits in the other uninvestigated clades cannot be decided based on primary structure information, given that human PRORP does not display a characteristic sequence difference indicating its multi-subunit nature.

The broad distribution and a phylogenetic analysis of sequences from all major eukaryal groups suggest that PRORP evolved very early, in an organism at the root of the eukaryal tree, by fusion of an NYN domain (NYN, Nedd4-BP1/YacP nuclease) with a small cluster of tandem pentatricopeptide repeat (PPR) motifs, connected via a split zinc-binding domain (ZBD) (4, 59). This event seemingly took place only once in evolution, although the phylogenetic analysis also points to horizontal gene transfer events. Specifically, some groups within the SAR clade (Stramenopiles, Alveolates, and Rhizarians) apparently acquired PRORP through secondary or tertiary endosymbiosis (59). The early origin and the distribution pattern of PRORPs and RNA-based RNase P forms in the eukaryal tree also indicate that the two principally different enzyme forms have coexisted in at least those early Eukarya that gave rise to all extant species (Fig. 1). All the more surprising is the observation that this functional redundancy has apparently completely vanished, and PRORP and the RNP enzyme now appear mutually exclusive in tRNA-synthesizing cellular compartments (Fig. 1). It is unclear why one or the other form of RNase P was favored in evolution in a given organism. A possible advantage of PRORP appears to be the obviously greater evolutionary plasticity in terms of subcellular localization or horizontal gene transfer compared to a multisubunit RNP (4). While nuclear PRORPs have been adopted to function in mitochondria and plastids, there is currently no evidence that either the archaeal-type nuclear or the endosymbiont-derived bacterial-type organellar RNP RNase P have been coopted in another subcellular compartment or phylogenetic group (59).

Eukaryal single-polypeptide protein-only RNase P

Enzyme structure and function

Single-protein RNase P enzymes were initially identified through their similarity with the catalytic subunit of human mitochondrial RNase P (8). These enzymes are active without the requirement of any other factor both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. They were first characterized in *A. thaliana* where three PRORP paralogs occur: *At*PRORP1 localizing to mitochondria and chloroplasts and *At*PRORP2 and *At*PRORP3 both to the nucleus (55). They perform essential functions as inactivation of *At*PRORP1 or the simultaneous inactivation of *At*PRORP2 and 3 result in lethality. Functional studies demonstrated that RNP RNase P enzymes have been entirely replaced by PRORP

proteins for RNase P activity in plants (56). Beyond their involvement in pre-tRNA 5' maturation, plant PRORPs were shown to be involved in the maturation of mitochondrial mRNA ends at the level of conserved tRNA-like structure (TLS) (55), as well as in the maturation of a tRNA-snoRNA precursor in the nucleus in vivo (56). Likewise, in the moss Physcomitrium patens, three PRORP paralogs occur in nucleus, mitochondria, and chloroplasts (63). The nuclear PpPRORP was shown to be non-essential; however, the data presented do not rule out the additional nuclear localization of one of the organellar PpPRORPs. Similar to plants, RNase P activity is entirely attributable to two PRORP proteins localizing to the nucleus and mitochondria, respectively, in the protist Trypanosoma brucei (57). The ability of protein-only RNase P to functionally replace RNP RNase P was illustrated by the experimental substitution of bacterial and yeast RNP RNase P by different PRORPs in vivo (55, 57, 64, 65). In contrast to Arabidopsis, Physcomitrium and Trypanosoma encoding multiple PRORP proteins, a single PRORP gene is found in the unicellular green alga C. reinhardtii, where the RNase P RNP form is absent too. Different isoforms encoded by this single PRORP gene localize to all the compartments where gene expression takes place, i.e., mitochondria, chloroplasts and the nucleus, thereby representing the most compact and versatile RNase P machinery described for Eukarya (60).

While occurring in a wide diversity of distantly related eukaryal lineages, PRORPs are remarkably similar. For instance, they share an average of 30% sequence similarity between Arabidopsis and Trypanosoma (59). The different PRORPs all comprise a conserved domain organization, with a tripartite architecture containing two main domains, an Nterminal PPR domain and a C-terminal NYN domain, connected by a ZBD (59, 66, 67). This molecular architecture was first revealed by crystal structures of AtPRORP1 and AtPRORP2 (66, 68, 69). The structures display a Λ -shaped arrangement of the three domains, where the PPR and the nuclease domains correspond to the two arms of the Λ and the ZBD forms the tip of the Λ that connects the two main domains, providing flexibility to the overall structure, as described below (70). The nuclease domain adopts a PINlike NYN fold (PIN, PilT N-terminus; PilT, type IV pili twitching motility protein) that shows structural similarities with the nuclease domains of FLAP and DNA polymerase I nucleases (66, 67, 71, 72). In the NYN domain, the active site contains four highly conserved aspartate residues (59, 66, 68). Likewise, the ZBD is characterized by three conserved cysteines and one histidine involved in the coordination of a Zn²⁺ ion as well as by a conserved four-stranded antiparallel β sheet fold (66).

The PPR domain contains a variable number of PPR motifs (59), although all PRORPs that were structurally characterized contain five PPR motifs and one incomplete motif. PPR proteins were initially identified as a family of eukarya-specific RNA-binding proteins composed of a succession of 35 aalong motifs of degenerate sequence, each adopting a conserved helix-turn-helix structure, which together form a superhelix (73). The identification of the canonical PPR

proteins' mode of action revealed how RNA is bound on the concave surface of the superhelix, with each PPR motif interacting with a specific nucleotide (74). Based on these findings, the PPR domain of PRORPs was proposed to be involved in pre-tRNA binding (8, 55, 66). This assumption was confirmed by a number of biochemical and biophysical approaches (69, 75-77) as well as by structure determination of the AtPRORP1 PPR domain in complex with tRNA (78). These studies showed that the PPR domain forms specific contacts with the RNA substrate but does not follow the mode of action of canonical PPR proteins (76-80). Moreover, small-angle X-ray scattering studies of AtPRORP2 in complex with pre-tRNA and in silico analyses suggested that PRORPs undergo conformational changes to accommodate the substrate (69). The angle of the Λ shape of PRORP in the PRORPopre-tRNA complex opens as compared to PRORP alone. This conformational change appears to be required to accommodate the pre-tRNA substrate. In summary, structural studies of single-polypeptide PRORP enzymes revealed the conserved molecular architecture of these proteins and provided the first insights into their dynamics and RNAbinding process.

Substrate recognition and catalytic mechanism

The combination of biochemical, biophysical, and structural studies has revealed that the PPR domain of single-protein PRORP enzymes specifically interacts with the 'elbow' of pre-tRNAs (69, 75, 78). More precisely, this interaction involves a positively charged-binding pocket that contacts the tRNA 'elbow' via its phosphate backbone and by forming basespecific interactions. Molecular details of the interaction have been provided by the structure of the PPR domain of AtPRORP1 in complex with a pre-tRNA, revealing an interaction network involving D17 and the G18-Ψ55/G19-C56 base pairs of the pre-tRNA, and residues K109 (PPR1), Y133 (PPR2), Y140 (PPR2), and R210/184/212 (PPR3) of AtPRORP1, respectively (Fig. 2; see also (78)). These findings are in accordance with a previous footprinting analysis that showed how some residues such as G18 or C56 make contacts with AtPRORP1 and are essential for pre-tRNA cleavage (75). Likewise, biochemical data obtained with AtPRORP2 and AtPRORP3 also indicated that base-specific interactions occur between the PPR domain and the tRNA 'elbow' (77, 81). The structural data are in accordance with biochemical data showing the importance of specific residues in PPR motifs 2 and especially 3 for substrate binding affinity and cleavage efficiency (69, 77). Altogether, data on pre-tRNA binding obtained with different single-polypeptide PRORP proteins suggest that all these proteins share a conserved substrate-binding mode. It is remarkable to note that both PRORP proteins and RNP RNase P bind the tRNA 'elbow' and thus recognize their substrates in a conceptually similar manner. Indeed, structural studies of RNP RNase P showed that this enzyme type recognizes the conserved base pair G19-C56 as well (here via base-stacking interactions), which connects the T arm and the D arm and thereby senses the authentic architecture of the

tRNA 'elbow' (82–84). Overall, PRORP substrate-binding studies suggest that the PPR domain has evolved to recognize and specifically bind structural features of tRNAs that are also recognized by RNP RNase P.

The most conserved feature of PRORP proteins, that actually defines the PRORP subtype of NYN nucleases, is its catalytic pocket (59, 67). Among the four highly conserved aspartates, three residues (D399, D475, and D493 in AtPRORP1) coordinate catalytic metal ions in the vicinity of the cleavage site (66). A mechanistic model for the catalysis by protein-only RNase P has been deduced from biochemical and structural studies. Two Mg²⁺ ions that are coordinated by the conserved aspartates in turn coordinate the pro-Sp oxygen and the 3'-oxyanion leaving group of the scissile phosphodiester in the RNA substrate (66, 85-87). While RNase P RNA was proposed to use one Mg²⁺ ion for coordinating the OH⁻ nucleophile and a water molecule from the hydration shell of a second Mg²⁺ ion to donate a proton to the 3'-oxyanion leaving group, it appears that PRORP utilizes a more conventional general acid-base mechanism that applies to classical protein metallonucleases (21, 66, 88). In conclusion, although PRORPs and RNP RNase P differ in many aspects, a clear analogy can be made between the PPR domain of PRORP and the specificity domain of the RNase P ribozyme, as well as between the NYN domain of PRORP and the catalytic domain of the RNP (21).

Interaction partners, potential biotechnological applications

While single-polypeptide PRORP enzymes are active on their own by definition, some studies have begun to explore supramolecular interactions involving PRORP proteins. One possibility is that PRORPs may form putative tRNA maturation complexes with other tRNA processing or modification enzymes, such as RNase Z or the CCA-adding enzyme, to coordinate the biogenesis of tRNAs. Analyses in this direction were initiated for AtPRORP1 in Arabidopsis mitochondria and chloroplasts (89). Immunoprecipitations using AtPRORP1 as a bait identified proteins involved in organellar gene expression processes. In particular, a direct interaction was described between PRORP1 and mitochondrial nuclease 2 (MNU2). This interaction is mediated by a conserved PPPY motif localized between the ZBD and the NYN domain of PRORP and a tryptophan-containing (WW) protein-protein interaction domain of MNU2. Interestingly, MNU2 is a putative NYN domain nuclease that was found to be involved in the 5' maturation of mitochondrial mRNAs (90). Although the molecular function of this PRORP1-MNU2 interaction is unknown, it is possible that PRORP1 and MNU2 cooperate in mRNA maturation, e.g., for the cleavage of TLS in mRNAs or during 5' maturation of pre-tRNAs. MNU2 could for instance trim the long leader sequences found in organelle pre-tRNAs to facilitate RNase P cleavage by PRORP1 (89). Likewise, the interaction network of nuclear AtPRORP2/3 has begun to be explored. An immunoaffinity strategy showed that PRORP2 occurs in a complex with the tRNA methyltransferases TRM1A and TRM1B in vivo. This interaction appears to be mediated by pre-tRNAs. TRM1A/B enzymes are responsible for the m²₂G



Figure 2. Single-polypeptide PRORP structure and interaction with RNA substrates. *A*, model for the interaction between PRORP and a pre-tRNA^{Cys} substrate based on the crystal structure of *At*PRORP2, biochemical studies, and SAXS data of the *At*PRORP2•tRNA complex (69, 75). *B*, model for the interaction between PRORP and the tRNA-like structure of the Turnip Yellows Mosaic Virus (TYMV TLS) (93). RNase P cleavage sites, either located at the tRNA 5' end or within the viral TLS pseudoknot structure, are indicated by *red arrows*. The PPR domain is shown in *blue*, the catalytic NYN domain in *reddish brown*, and the connecting ZBD in *beige*. *C*, details of the interaction between the PPR domain of PRORP and the tRNA 'elbow' were revealed by the crystal structure of the *At*PRORP1 PPR domain in complex with yeast tRNA^{Phe} (78). Specific residues of the PPR domain make direct contacts with conserved residues in the tRNA 'elbow'. These protein and RNA residues are represented in *gold* and *green*, respectively. pre-tRNA, precursor tRNA; PPR, penta-tricopeptide repeat; PRORP, proteinaceous/protein-only RNase P; SAXS, small-angle X-ray scattering; TLS, tRNA-like structure; ZBD, zinc-binding domain.

modification at position 26 in 70% of *Arabidopsis* cytosolic tRNAs *in vivo*. A double knockout of TRM1A/B resulted in a complete rearrangement of the pool of cytosolic tRNAs. Interestingly, tRNAs receiving the m²₂G modification in wild type (WT) cells were strongly downregulated in the *TRM1A/B* KO mutant and simultaneously showed impaired processing by RNase P. This finding suggests that TRM1A/B cooperate with nuclear RNase P in plants during the early steps of cytosolic tRNA biogenesis, although no component of the RNA polymerase III machinery including the La protein were identified in immunoprecipitations (91, 92). Thus, tRNA transcription and maturation do not appear to be coupled processes in plant nuclei.

An increasing number of studies has revealed the functions and mode of action of PRORPs. This fundamental knowledge begins to be used for biotechnological applications. In particular, a novel strategy aiming to generate plants resistant to viruses has been proposed (93). This approach is based on the observation that most plant viruses are RNA viruses, many of them holding a functional TLS. The removal of these structures should impede virus replication and lead to resistance against the virus. PRORP is able to cleave viral RNAs at the level of TLS in vitro (Fig. 2B). However, this antiviral process does not spontaneously occur in vivo because viral replication takes place in the cytosol, whereas RNase P enzymes only occur in mitochondria, chloroplasts, and the nucleus. Hence, a cytosolic variant of PRORP was generated. Its expression generates some degree of antiviral resistance in vivo. The evolution of resistance-breaking variants in viral populations will be slow or unlikely because of the structural constraints to maintain functional TLS in viral genomes. This approach might thus have the potential to yield durable resistance against viruses, which is of agricultural interest given the major

impact of crop yield losses caused by virus infections worldwide (93).

Eukaryal multisubunit protein-only RNase P

The subunits of human mtRNase P

The first identified PRORP was that of human mtRNase P (8). The obvious nuclease subunit of the three-component enzyme was originally called MRPP3 (mitochondrial RNase P protein 3), as it was the third and last protein identified in the search for the remaining subunit(s) required to reconstitute the activity. It was later renamed to PRORP to reflect its evolutionary relationship with single-polypeptide PRORPs (58, 94). The other two subunits of human mtRNase P are TRMT10C (tRNA methyltransferase 10C; originally MRPP1) and SDR5C1 (short chain dehydrogenase/reductase family 5C, member 1; originally MRPP2) (8, 94). In vitro reconstitution of robust mtRNase P activity requires all three proteins (8, 94, 95), and mitochondrial pre-tRNAs accumulate upon depletion or knockout of any of the three (8, 96–98). Diseases associated with variants of each of the three genes (99-101) (see also below), as well as mitochondrial dysfunction and lethality upon depletion or knockout in the Drosophila system further confirm the essential role of all three proteins for mtRNase P function *in vivo* (102, 103). Intriguingly, the two extra subunits have additional cellular functions, beyond their role as an RNase P subunit, and so metazoan mtRNase P can be viewed more as a multi-enzyme assembly than a "simple" multisubunit enzyme.

The role of SDR5C1 for mitochondrial RNase P is probably the least obvious. SDR5C1 is a member of the short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase (SDR) superfamily, a large group of NAD (phosphate)-dependent oxidoreductases (104). Its gene was termed HSD17B10 to reflect the relationship to 17-βhydroxysteroid dehydrogenases (105), but it functions as the mitochondrial short-chain L-3-hydroxy-2-methylacyl-CoA dehydrogenase that catalyzes the penultimate step in the β oxidation of branched- and short-chain fatty acids and isoleucine (106, 107). It was also reported to be active on a wide range of alcohols and hydroxysteroids in vitro (108–113); however, the physiological relevance of these findings is as unclear as that of its amyloid- β -binding properties (114, 115). SDR5C1 can be found under a plethora of names; yet because of its many unrelated roles, we advocate the use of the systematic name SDR5C1 (116), which does not refer to any specific of its diverse (presumptive) activities, associations, or functions.

The 27-kDa SDR5C1 polypeptide forms a homo-tetramer (117, 118) that interacts with one or two TRMT10C molecules that symmetrically attach to opposing surfaces (8, 94, 119–121) (see also Fig. 3). This stable (sub)complex is not only a subunit of mtRNase P but also represents the methyl-transferase responsible for N^1 -methylation of purines at position 9 (R9 \rightarrow m¹R9) of mitochondrial tRNAs (94). SDR5C1 itself has no detectable RNA-binding properties (94, 95), but according to the cryo-EM structure of the mtRNase P holoen-zyme with bound pre-tRNA, it may form some interactions with the tip of the anticodon loop (121). The role of SDR5C1



Figure 3. The structure of human mtRNase P in complex with a pre-tRNA substrate. *A*, structure of human mtRNase P in complex with human mitochondrial pre-tRNA^{Tyr} as in vitro reconstituted from recombinant components and determined by cryo-EM (121) (PDB: 7ONU). The subunits of the holoenzyme and the domains of PRORP are individually colored. *B*, cartoon structure of a (hypothetical) 'divalent' mtRNase P complex (*i.e.*, a SDR5C1 tetramer with two TRMT10C molecules symmetrically attached to opposing surfaces [2:4 stoichiometry of TRMT10C:SDR5C1, as opposed to the 1:4 stoichiometry in panel *A*], each being able to concurrently bind a pre-tRNA and PRORP molecule [primarily based on biochemical evidence]), with cleavage sites indicated by *black arrows*. The color scheme is similar to that in panel *A*. pre-tRNA, precursor tRNA; PRORP, proteinaceous/protein-only RNase P.



within the methyltransferase or mtRNase P complex nevertheless is elusive and appears to be merely that of a scaffold (94, 119, 121); neither its dehydrogenase activity nor an intact NAD+/NADH-cofactor-binding site are required for the methyltransferase activity of TRMT10C or the endonucleolytic activity of PRORP.

TRMT10C is one of the three human homologs of the TRM10 group within the SpoU-TrmD (SPOUT) superfamily, a class of SAM-dependent methyltransferases defined by a deep trefoil-knotted catalytic domain (122–124). Unlike other SPOUT methyltransferases, which form dimers, TRM10 enzymes are generally monomeric (124), and TRMT10C is the so far sole exception in requiring an essential interaction partner (94). TRM10 enzymes are responsible for the G9 \rightarrow m¹G9 and/ or A9 \rightarrow m¹A9 methylation of tRNAs in Archaea and Eukarya (124). In Archaea and the eukaryal cytosol, only some of the tRNAs carrying a G9 undergo m¹G9 modification and m¹A9 methylation is even more rare. However, all 19 of the 22 human mitochondrial tRNAs that have a purine at position 9 receive the m¹A9 or m¹G9 modification (62, 125–127).

The m¹R9 modification has neither been found in mitochondrial tRNAs outside of the metazoan lineage nor in chloroplasts or bacteria (62). Consistently, the mitochondrial TRM10 isoform (TRMT10C) apparently originated within or at the root of the metazoan lineage, and other Eukarya apparently encode only the TRM10 isoform that modifies cytosolic tRNAs (61, 128). Also, the recruitment of SDR5C1 as a cofactor and the assumed subsequent recruitment of the TRMT10C-SDR5C1 complex as a mtRNase P subunit appear to be an early event, as the subunit composition of mtRNase P is confirmed in species as distant as humans and flies (102). For considerations on a constructive neutral acquisition of SDR5C1, we refer to our recent review (4), but we emphasize here that the obvious broadening of TRMT10C's substrate range as a methyltransferase appears to be a consequence of its recruitment as an mtRNase P subunit (95). Thereby, it has to bind to all pre-tRNA substrates that require 5' maturation, regardless of whether they need to be or can be methylated at all. Thereby, TRMT10C-SDR5C1 not only methylates all R9-containing mitochondrial tRNAs but also binds to and stimulates the cleavage of mitochondrial pre-tRNA^{Met} and pre-tRNA^{Ser(UCN)}, the first having a C9 and the latter a noncanonical structure without the typical 2nt connector comprising position 9 (95).

Despite its dependence on TRMT10C-SDR5C1, human PRORP does not appear to substantially differ from singlesubunit PRORPs. The principal domain structure is the same and also the crystal structures of human PRORP, despite being based on N-terminally truncated fragments (129, 130), showed a Λ -shaped structure similar to AtPRORP1 and AtPRORP2 (66, 68, 69). However, both crystal structures displayed a substantially disordered NYN domain and lacked the catalytic metal ions (129, 130). The authors interpreted the structures as physiologically inactive conformations that would be rearranged and become cleavage-competent only upon interaction with TRMT10C-SDR5C1, although both crystalized PRORP fragments were catalytically inactive even in the presence of TRMT10C-SDR5C1. In contrast, it was recently reported that the active site of fulllength PRORP is accessible to metal ions independent of its interaction partners, and PRORP is in fact also catalytically active on its own on certain pre-tRNAs, without TRMT10C-SDR5C1, though with relatively low catalytic efficiency (95) (see also below). Thus, human PRORP appears to be indeed a *bona fide* PRORP family member, not a defective pseudo-PRORP.

Structure and mechanism of the holoenzyme; interplay of the subunits

Why does human PRORP require TRMT10C-SDR5C1, if not for the latter's methylating activity (94), whereas other PRORPs efficiently act on their own? A structure of the mtRNase P holoenzyme in complex with a pre-tRNA substrate was expected to solve the issue. In fact, the recent cryo-EM structure of human mtRNase P was a breakthrough by representing not only the first (complete) protein-only RNase P in complex with pre-tRNA but also the first and so far, only structure of a TRM10-type methyltransferase in complex with a cognate tRNA substrate (121). The structure shows TRMT10C enclosing the long leg of the L-shaped tRNA structure by shape and charge complementarity, involving multiple interactions with all four domains of the tRNA, including specific interactions with the anticodon loop (Fig. 3A) (121, 131). This TRMT10C-tRNA complex sits on top of a homo-tetrameric SDR5C1 base. PRORP binds at the other end, on top of this complex, with the scissile phosphodiester bond of the pre-tRNA positioned in the active site of the NYN domain and the PPR domain interacting also via charge complementarity with the backbone of the T loop at the 'elbow' of the L-shaped tRNA (Fig. 3A) and not via recognition of specific D and T loop bases or base pairs like AtPRORP1. However, as suggested for single-polypeptide PRORPs, human PRORP appears to adopt a more open Λ shaped domain arrangement when bound to pre-tRNA and TRMT10C relative to its free state (121, 131). Loops of its PPR domain interact with two helices of TRMT10C's N-terminal domain and a loop of the NYN domain with a helix of the methyltransferase domain of TRMT10C (Fig. 3A) (121). The published cryo-EM structure shows a 'monovalent' form of the holoenzyme complex (i.e., able to bind and cleave one pretRNA molecule; Fig. 3A). Yet, biochemical evidence indicates a 2:4 stoichiometry of TRMT10C:SDR5C1 in vivo (8, 51) and in complexes reconstituted from recombinant proteins (94, 119, 120) rather than the 1:4 stoichiometry observed by cryo-EM (121). Together with cryo-EM densities from the opposite side of the SDR5C1 tetramer (121), these observations suggest that at least TRMT10C-SDR5C1 is basically able to form a mirror symmetric 'divalent' holoenzyme complex (Fig. 3B).

Although containing only a single Mg^{2+} ion, PRORP's active-site arrangement in the mtRNase P cryo-EM structure is largely consistent with employing the same two metal ionbased catalytic mechanism described before for singlepolypeptide PRORPs (121, 131). However, PRORP's D478 side chain is tilted away from its metal-coordinating position in the cryo-EM structure when compared to the corresponding D474 side chain in the *At*PRORP1 crystal structure, which in contrast to the cryo-EM structure contains both catalytic metal ions (66, 121). This observation could indicate that the rearranged aspartates in the above-mentioned crystal structures of human PRORP fragments (129, 130) are actually the consequence of the absence of metal ions in the crystals rather than the reason for the absence of the latter. In the end, the cryo-EM structure of human mtRNase P revealed many details of the substrate-binding mechanism and an active site arrangement largely consistent with the proposed catalytic mechanism of PRORPs. Yet, the structure did not clarify how TRMT10C-SDR5C1 enables catalysis by human PRORP or why it is at all required for this purpose.

Recent kinetic studies now suggest a possible mechanistic scenario for the activation of human PRORP by TRMT10C-SDR5C1 (95) (summarized in Fig. 4). As aforementioned, PRORP alone was found to not only bind pre-tRNAs with nanomolar affinity, similar to TRMT10C-SDR5C1, but to also cleave some of them with reduced efficiency. Evolutionarily, this vestigial RNase P activity of human PRORP is not too surprising, considering its obvious evolutionary descent from single-polypeptide PRORPs (59). More specifically, the findings suggest that the ancient binding mode, involving the tRNA 'elbow' and PRORP's PPR domain, has basically been

retained by human PRORP even outside of the holoenzyme complex. Also its metallonuclease domain is in principle correctly folded and functional, although pre-tRNA binding no longer firmly coaxes into efficient and specific catalysis, *i.e.*, some substrates are not cleaved at all, some only with lowered efficiency (and reduced precision) (95). The central role of TRMT10C-SDR5C1 is thus to increase PRORP's cleavage rate on substrates the latter is basically able to cleave on its own, and to enable similarly efficient cleavage of mitochondrial pretRNAs that are not substrates for the nuclease subunit alone (95). TRMT10C-SDR5C1 apparently does so by promoting conformational changes in the nuclease domain that direct the active site to the scissile bond, changes that are slow or shortlived in the absence of TRMT10C-SDR5C1, resulting in the overall low cleavage efficiency by PRORP alone. In this manner, TRMT10C-SDR5C1 generates ≥10-fold rate enhancements, although different pre-tRNAs appear to pass through those conformational steps with varying efficiencies as reflected in the broad range of overall reaction velocities observed with different mitochondrial pre-tRNAs (95).

To put this seemingly complicated kinetic scenario in an evolutionary context, it is instructive to examine the



Figure 4. Kinetic model of human mtRNase P; contribution of the non-nuclease subcomplex TRMT10C-SDR5C1 to tRNA 5'-end maturation by the metallonuclease subunit PRORP. Cartoon based on a recent kinetic analysis and the available cryo-EM structure of the holoenzyme-tRNA complex (95, 121). Both, PRORP and TRMT10C bind pre-tRNA with nM affinity. The missing other component (TRMT10C-SDR5C1 or PRORP) may subsequently bind to these intermediate complexes to constitute the mtRNase P holoenzyme-pre-tRNA complex; the overall *K*_D of these interactions has not been determined but can be assumed to not differ substantially from the isolated pre-tRNA interactions in the subcomplexes. TRMT10C-SDR5C1 apparently mediates conformational changes in PRORP's NYN domain that align the active site on the scissile phosphodiester bond to increase the rate and accuracy of cleavage. The 5' leader and the tRNA subdomains critically involved in the interaction with PRORP are color-coded as follows: *green*, 5' leader; *red*, aminoacyl acceptor (aa) stem; *dark blue*, tRNA 'elbow' formed by the (D and) T loop. PRORP's domains are shown in differential color intensity, from light tones illustrating the least optimal orientation/conformation to darker shades indicating optimized domain conformation and orientation for productive substrate docking and efficient catalysis. In the case of the NYN domain, efficient catalysis appears to be only fully achieved upon the interaction with TRMT10C. pre-tRNA, precursor tRNA; PRORP, proteinaceous/protein-only RNase P.

'environment' of metazoan mtRNase P. Animal mitochondrial genomes usually encode all tRNAs required for the organellar translation machinery, often in the form of the minimal set of 22. These tRNAs deviate from canonical tRNAs to different extents, some have smaller D or/and T domains, or even lack one or both of these domains, form weaker stems, and usually lack tertiary interactions that stabilize the tRNA L-shape (132-135). In vitro, some human mitochondrial tRNAs are processed either inefficiently and/or aberrantly, or not at all, by RNP enzymes and single-subunit PRORPs including the PRORP subunit of human mtRNase P itself ((50, 95, 136) and W.R., unpublished observations). Thus, supplementation of human PRORP with an extra tRNA-binding protein complex has evidently been an important co-evolutionary event to maintain tRNA biogenesis while permitting the erosion of canonical structural features in metazoan mitochondrial tRNAs.

Diseases associated with mtRNase P dysfunction

Rare diseases have been found to be associated with variants in each of the three genes encoding mtRNase P subunits (99–101). None of these pathogenic variants causes a complete loss of function, which in fact appears to be incompatible with life, as also indicated by the finding that the three genes are among the minimal set of the \sim 2000 required for cell growth in tissue culture (137, 138). All the patients described show symptoms characteristic of mitochondrial disease, but their clinical presentations nevertheless vary with the subunit gene that is affected. The majority of patients carry mutations in HSD17B10, the gene encoding SDR5C1, for which also the highest number of variants is known. First classified as a metabolic disease, an inborn error of isoleucine catabolism and called MHBD deficiency (2-methyl-3-hydroxybutyryl-CoA dehydrogenase) (107, 139), mitochondrial dysfunction was later recognized to primarily underlie the observed clinical presentations and to better explain allelic variability even before the additional function in tRNA maturation had been realized (140). The primary disease manifestations are progressive neurodegeneration and cardiomyopathy, and the disease is now called HSD10 disease (101); clinical presentations vary from most fatal neonatal forms to mild late-onset forms.

Pathogenic variants in *TRMT10C* and *PRORP* were more recently identified in individuals with different clinical presentations by whole-exome sequencing. The two neonates with *TRMT10C* variants were suspected of mitochondrial disease, manifesting with lactic acidosis, deafness, hypotonia, feeding difficulties, and fatal disease progression (100). *PRORP* variants were found in four unrelated families with variable phenotypes, comprising sensorineural hearing loss, primary ovarian insufficiency, developmental delay, and brain white matter changes (99); symptoms were generally less severe than in *TRMT10C* patients.

A comprehensive comparison of the molecular consequences of the different variants is beyond the scope of this review. Moreover, due to the low number of affected individuals, with a given variant typically identified in a single individual only, the scarce data of their impact on mitochondrial tRNA processing or on mitochondrial/cellular and tissue/ organ dysfunction are currently not sufficient to explain the differences in clinical presentation. Still, in all cases where this was investigated, 5' processing of mitochondrial tRNAs was impaired, but the underlying mechanisms appear to range from a lack of expression or protein instability, problems in subunit interaction, to apparently direct impacts on the catalytic activity, or a combination of several thereof (97, 99, 100, 119, 141, 142). A mouse model with a heart and skeletal muscle-specific knockout of Prorp, characterized by a very short life span (98), is yet of limited value as a disease model, as a complete loss of function has not been observed in humans. This caveat also holds true for the more distant fly models (103), although here recent attempts to model human disease via tissue-specific knockdown rather than knockout appear more promising (143).

Bacterial and archaeal protein-only RNase P

The discovery of PRORP in Eukarya had been a paradigm shift, demonstrating that RNase P can be a protein-only enzyme. Yet, in the 2000s, also hints for the occurrence of RNA-free RNase P in Bacteria became available (144–146). Interestingly, this type of bacterial and archaeal protein-only RNase P, finally substantiated in 2017, turned out to belong to the same superfamily of PIN domain–like nucleases as PRORP proteins (147).

Background of bacterial protein-only RNase P (HARP) discovery

After the discovery of the RNA subunit of E. coli and Bacillus subtilis RNase P (~400 nt, gene rnpB) as the enzyme's catalytic component (12) and its small protein subunit (~13 kDa, gene rnpA) as an essential cofactor in vivo (11), rnpB and rnpA homologs were increasingly identified in other bacteria. Initially, new rnpB genes were isolated by Southern hybridization techniques using heterologous E. coli and B. subtilis rnpB genes as probes (148, 149) or subsequently via recognizing conserved *rnpB* sequence stretches in an alignment of only seven Gram⁻ and Gram⁺ RNase P RNA sequences (149) as the basis for designing shorter hybridization probes (150). Thereafter, with the exponential growth of sequenced microbial genomes, bacterial rnpB and rnpA genes were identified bioinformatically, propelled by improving consensus structure models (rnpB (151-153)) and recognition of conserved sequence signatures or conserved gene synteny (rnpA (154–156)). Taking into account that the rnpA and rnpB genes belong to the small fraction (\sim 7%) of gene products that are indispensable for bacterial viability (157) and were by then indeed identified in all inspected bacterial genomes, it came as a surprise when the genome sequence of the hyperthermophilic bacterium Aquifex aeolicus did not uncover rnpA and rnpB genes in its small (1.55 Mbp) and densely coding genome (144, 158). In the majority of bacterial genomes, the *rnpA* gene is either cotranscribed with or in close proximity to the rpmH gene encoding ribosomal protein L34 (156). Even the

Hydrogenothermaceae family members that are close relatives of Aquifex encode a bicistronic rpmH-rnpA transcription unit in their genomes (146). The gene order in E. coli and many other Gram⁻ bacteria is rpmH-rnpA-yidD-yidC, whereas this genome region is reduced to rpmH-yidD-yidC in the two closely related hyperthermophiles A. aeolicus and A. pyrophilus (146, 156). In a bioinformatic analysis using improved sequence- and structure-based search algorithms for rnpB genes, A. aeolicus also remained within the minor group of bacterial genomes for which no rnpB gene candidate could be identified (145) Yet, in contrast to the parasitic archaeon Nanoarchaeum equitans that compensates the lack of RNase P activity by transcribing 5'-leaderless tRNAs (3), the need for a tRNA 5'-end maturation activity was obvious in Aquifex: its tRNA genes are part of tRNA tandem clusters and ribosomal operons, and tRNAs with canonical mature 5' ends could be detected in total RNA extracts from A. aeolicus, although RNase P activity was not detectable in A. aeolicus cell extracts in this early study (159). Yet, in 2008, two studies reported the detection of RNase P activity in the cell extracts of A. aeolicus (146, 160). Finally, the nature of RNase P in A. aeolicus was unraveled by biochemical purification and mass spectrometric identification of proteins copurifying with RNase P activity (147). Homologs of the protein, immediately identified in some other bacterial and many archaeal genomes, were named HARPs for homologs of Aquifex RNase P (147).

HARP structure and function

RNase P activity is associated with a single polypeptide of \sim 23 kDa in A. aeolicus and other members of the family Aquificaceae within the phylum Aquificae; Aquificaceae are Gram⁻, thermophilic or hyperthermophilic, motile and nonsporulating bacteria, which are part of the microbiome of terrestrial and marine hot springs worldwide (161). The ~23 kDa protein-only RNase P enzymes (HARPs) are members of the superfamily of PIN domain-like metallonucleases, within which they have been classed with the PIN_5 cluster (VapC-like structural group [VapC, virulence-associated proteins C] (72)). Each HARP monomer is a metallonuclease domain with an inserted, small helical domain (Fig. 5A), termed spike-helix (162) or protruding helix (163). HARPs form homo-oligomers, the largest being dodecamers. HARP dodecamer 'rings' consist of two layers of six monomers each, where monomers sitting on top of each other (colored in olive and blue) form dimers (Fig. 5, B-D). This architecture, illustrated for the cryo-EM structure of a HARP dodecamer of the bacterium Halorhodospira halophila (Fig. 5B, bottom) (162), resembles a distorted double donut or two split lock washers slightly displaced on top of each other (Fig. 5B, upper right cartoon). As the 'helical pitch' of the dodecamer is rather small, the first and sixth dimer collide and thus prevent any expansion of the dodecameric assembly (Fig. 5B, upper left cartoon). The spike helices of two HARP monomers assemble into a four-helix bundle and such dimers represent the basic functional module of HARP enzymes (Fig. 5C). The dodecamer, which is also the major oligomeric form in the case of the

HARPs studied so far (162-164), can thus also be described as an assembly of six dimers. Structural models (162, 163), supported by an X-ray structure of a HARP dimer in complex with a pre-tRNA substrate (164), suggest that one dimer contacts the tRNA 'elbow' region and an adjacent HARP dimer docks the scissile phosphodiester bond at the 5'-end of the acceptor stem to one of its metallonuclease active sites (Fig. 5D). This model predicts that tetramers consisting of two dimers are the minimal catalytically competent entity of HARPs. Neighboring dimers interact side-by-side through mainly polar contacts with 900 Å² of surface burial (162-164).

The dodecamer structures of *H. halophila* (Fig. 5E) and A. aeolicus (Fig. 5F) also revealed a gap and a larger angle between the first and sixth dimer, such that these two dimers are predicted to be incapable of forming a productive (pre-) tRNA-binding site. As a consequence, the dodecamer could at most bind ten pre-tRNAs simultaneously (162, 163). Considering that the two tRNAs potentially binding to each tetramer would come quite close to each other in the model by Teramoto et al. (163), it remains to be seen how many pre-tRNAs the dodecamer is able to accommodate simultaneously. The conformation of a HARP dimer in complex with a pre-tRNA (164) differed from that of HARPs in the absence of (pre-) tRNA (162-164). This observation and the lower electron density of H. halophila HARP in the spike helix domains (Fig. 5, B and E) suggests that the spike helix domain of HARPs has increased flexibility and may undergo conformational changes upon substrate binding. At present, it is also unclear if HARP tetra-, hexa-, octa-, or decamers, which are present in HARP preparations as well (147, 165), display activities comparable to those of the corresponding dodecamers.

Binding of pre-tRNA to HARPs appears to be dominated by electrostatic contacts, involving a series of Arg and fewer Lys residues (Fig. 5*C*, lower part) (162–164)). This pattern is not unusual for proteins interacting with the tRNA 'elbow,' while RNA-based enzymes (bacterial RNase P, T-box riboswitches) rely primarily on RNA base stacking interactions (ref. (163) and Fig. S12 therein). Positively charged side chains are clustered in the spike-helix domain of HARPs and it is unclear at present whether some of these key Arg/Lys residues of HARPs are involved in tRNA T loop binding at one dimer as well as in acceptor stem/5'-leader binding at the partner dimer. So far, molecular details on how HARPs recognize the acceptor stem and 5' leader are unavailable.

Phylogenetic occurrence of HARPs

HARPs sporadically occur in five other of the 36 bacterial phyla beyond Aquificae. Homologs were identified in some Proteobacteria, Thermodesulfobacteria, Nitrospirae, Verrucomicrobia, Planctomycetes, and a few unclassified bacteria (147, 166). Most of these bacteria also encode *rnpA* and *rnpB* genes and we recently reported that both types of RNase P, RNAbased and HARP, are potentially able to contribute to the essential tRNA 5'-end maturation activity in the thermophilic bacterium *Thermodesulfatator indicus* (165). A more widespread, yet patchy occurrence of *harp* genes was observed in

Figure 5. HARP architecture and pre-tRNA binding model. A, domain architecture of the HARP monomer (numbering for HARP from Halorhodospira halophila (162)). Each monomer consists of a split PIN-like domain and an internal helical domain, termed spike helix (SH (162)) or protruding helix domain (PrH (163)). B, schematic presentation of the dodecameric H. halophila HARP architecture consisting of six dimers, where the six monomers (1–6) at the top and those at the bottom (1* to 6*) resemble two split lock washers on top of each other (cartoon on the right). The cartoon on the left and the cryo-EM structure below illustrate the interface between dimers 1 and 6 (monomers shown in olive and blue). In contrast to interfaces between internal dimers (1/2, 2/3, 3/4, 4/5, and 5/6), dimer 6 is vertically shifted by \sim 20 Å relative to dimer 1, which prevents tRNA binding at the interface of dimers 1 and 6; the corresponding dimer 1/6 interfaces in the cryo-EM structure and the double split lock washers cartoon above are correlated via the transparent light green and apricot-colored rectangles. The steric clash between dimers 1 and 6 also explains why oligomerization is restricted to dodecamers. C, structure of a HARP dimer (A. aeolicus RNase P (163)). The spike helices a5 and a6 of two HARP monomers assemble into a four-helix bundle and such dimers represent the basic functional module of HARP enzymes. The SH domain of the *olive* monomer is shown enlarged at the *bottom*, illustrating its decoration with positively charged amino acid side chains (numbering for *A. aeolicus* RNase P). *D*, model of an *A. aeolicus* tetramer with a yeast pre-tRNA^{Phe} molecule (2-nt 5'-leader) bound (163) (PDB file kindly provided by Takamasa Teramoto). The phosphate at nt-2 is marked by the magenta sphere and labeled P-2. The picture accentuates the close proximity of the T loop to the SH domains of the upper dimer. The pre-tRNA would be processed by the metallonuclease domain of the olive monomer of the bottom dimer. E, top view on the cryo-EM structure of the H. halophila HARP dodecamer; angles between individual dimers are indicated. The SH domain structure was not fully resolved due to low electron density (see also structure in panel (B)), suggesting conformational flexibility. F, top view on the cryo-EM structure of the A. aeolicus RNase P dodecamer with fully resolved SH domains (163). Monomers of each dimer are colored in blue and olive in the molecular structures presented in panels (B-F). HARP, homolog of Aquifex RNase P; pre-tRNA, precursor tRNA.

Archaea. Many homologs were identified among Euryarchaeota. All HARP-encoding archaeal genomes additionally code for the RNA and protein components of the canonical archaeal RNAse P (147, 166). To study the role of HARPs in archaeal tRNA 5'-end maturation, *harp* gene knockouts were constructed in the two Euryarchaeota *Haloferax volcanii* and *Methanosarcina mazei*. These mutant strains showed WT-like growth behavior under standard conditions as well as temperature and salt stress (*H. volcanii*) or nitrogen deficiency (*M. mazei*) (167). In contrast, deletion of the RNase P RNA gene in the polyploid *H. volcanii* failed and depletion of RNase P RNA to \sim 20% of the WT level caused tRNA processing and severe growth defects (168). Taken together, these observations argue against a housekeeping RNase P function of HARPs in Archaea but rather point to specialized, yet unknown functions in archaeal (t)RNA metabolism (167).

RNase P processing activity was investigated for several archaeal HARPs and HARPs from bacteria co-expressing an

RNA-based RNase P (147, 165, 167), either by analyzing recombinant HARPs for pre-tRNA processing activity in vitro or by HARP growth rescue of a conditionally lethal E. coli strain with repressible expression of its endogenous RNase P. HARP activities varied, usually being lower than that of Aquifex RNase P and some of the tested bacterial HARPs failed to show activity in one or both of the two assays (165). Yet, this failure may not necessarily indicate a fundamental loss of catalytic capacity but may be attributable to defective folding of the recombinant HARP in vitro or in the heterologous E. coli host. Remarkably, all three tested archaeal HARPs (147, 167) and three of the analyzed bacterial HARPs (165), as well as another bacterial HARP analyzed elsewhere (164), displayed at least some RNase P activity either in in vitro pre-tRNA processing assays or by genetic complementation of the E. coli RNase P depletion strain. These observations suggest that HARPs are able to recognize pre-tRNAs and to catalyze the RNase Pspecific hydrolysis reaction, even if the RNA-based RNase P variants play the housekeeping role in the respective organisms.

The function of HARPs in Archaea is unclear. About onefifth of bacterial and archaeal proteins in the PIN domainlike superfamily represent components of toxin-antitoxin systems (67, 166, 167). The VapC-like group, to which HARPs have been assigned (72), includes bacterial and archaeal toxins that cleave mRNAs, rRNAs, or tRNAs to inhibit translation (reviewed in (67, 167, 169)). As such an RNA-degradative function is unlikely for HARPs, other possibilities have to be considered, for example, a role as back-up RNase P activity under conditions that negatively affect biogenesis or activity of the RNA-based housekeeping RNase P or a (pre-)tRNA storage function that may permit cells to quickly resume protein synthesis after a translational shutdown. Another homo-oligomeric tRNA-binding protein is the dodecameric L-seryl-tRNA^{Sec} selenium transferase (SelA). SelA is a pyridoxal phosphate-dependent enzyme that converts a UGA-decoding tRNA^{Sec} (SelC) charged with serine and selenophosphate to selenocysteinyl (sec)-tRNA in bacteria (170). This enzyme forms a ring-shaped structure (170) and can bind up to ten tRNA^{Sec} molecules; recognition and catalysis of each bound tRNA requires the involvement of four SelA subunits (170). This enzyme form found in many bacteria is a further example beyond HARPs to illustrate the principle how protein monomers can generate complex functionalities through assembly into large homo-oligomeric architectures. SelA homologs of bacteria that have lost the Sec-decoding trait (e.g., Helicobacter pylori) encode SelA homologs lacking the Nterminal tRNA^{Sec}-binding domain, pointing to another function of SelA in those bacteria (171).

Why replace RNP RNase P in Aquificaceae?

As outlined above, HARPs are wide-spread among archaea and basically capable of catalyzing the RNase P reaction, but the RNP enzyme carries out the housekeeping RNase P function in Archaea. In this context, it seems a plausible evolutionary scenario that the ancestor of the *Aquificaceae*

acquired a *harp* gene *via* horizontal gene transfer from an archaeon and enhanced the enzyme's efficiency by a limited number of mutations. The tRNA 5'-maturation efficiency of HARPs in thermophilic Aquificaceae may have sufficed in view of slower generation times but could well be insufficient for tRNA maturation in fast-growing intestinal bacteria such as E. coli. The loss of the rnpA and rnpB genes in the ancestor of the Aquificaceae may have simply been a chance event without severe evolutionary constraints to replace the RNP enzyme. Yet, if driven by potential evolutionary constraints, then the strongly condensed Aquificaceae genomes (1.6. Mbp for A. aeolicus) might be invoked. However, as recently argued (4), the genome space saved upon replacing the rnpA/rnpB genes with a *harp* gene is quite negligible, and deleting one of the two 16S-23S-5S rRNA operons of A. aeolicus would have saved considerably more space. Another line of thought concerns the high growth temperature of Aquificaceae (up to 95 °C for A. aeolicus), at which folding of RNase P RNA, coordination of catalytic metal ions, and RNP assembly might pose challenges. However, other hyperthermophilic bacteria such as Thermotoga maritima, growing at temperatures of up to 90 °C (172, 173) and maintaining motility at up to 105 °C (174), express a canonical bacterial RNP enzyme. Moreover, apart from ribosomes, A. aeolicus expresses other noncoding RNAs, including the signal recognition particle RNA, tmRNA, and 6S RNA, which evidently adopt their characteristic folds and form functional RNPs with their respective protein interaction partners at high temperatures. A possible constraint might have had its origin in the coordinate expression of RNase P RNA and RnpA protein as well as holoenzyme assembly. These processes might be more complicated and possibly more prone to failure at very high temperature than homooligomerization of HARPs.

Protein-only RNase P: A paradigm of convergent evolution

One of the most remarkable aspects about the RNase P enzyme family is the use of different macromolecular classes as principal catalyst, RNA in the ancient RNP enzymes, and protein in the two more recently evolved protein-only forms. Notably, all forms of RNase P nevertheless employ two-metal ion mechanisms for phosphodiester hydrolysis, the proteinonly RNase P enzymes utilizing carboxyl groups of aspartate side chains, the RNA-based enzymes phosphate and uracil oxygens as ligands in the coordination sphere of the two catalytic Mg²⁺ ions (66, 82-84, 121, 162, 175); and despite their independent origin, the different protein-only enzyme types employ the same principal nuclease fold for this purpose. Similar strategies are also employed to solve the problem of specifically recognizing an entire family of cellular macromolecules (tRNAs) and directing cleavage to the correct site. All forms of RNase P apparently "sense" the conserved distance between the structurally conserved tRNA elbow and the acceptor stem 5'-end (77, 82-84, 121, 175-177), even though employing different physicochemical strategies. While basestacking interactions dominate the interaction of the

catalytic RNA with the tRNA elbow (82-84, 175), electrostatic interactions with positively charged amino acid side chains prevail in the binding of the protein enzymes (78, 121, 162–164), although a tyrosine stacking contact is involved in tRNA elbow recognition by PRORPs too (78, 121). In the end, it remains one of the key questions in the field, why tRNA 5'end processing represents such a unique playground for convergent evolution, where novel answers to a simple problem continued to evolve despite the presence of a proven solution.

Author contributions—W. R., P. G., and R. K. H. conceptualization; W. R., P. G., and R. K. H. writing–original draft; W. R., P. G., and R. K. H. writing–review and editing; W. R., P. G., and R. K. H. visualization.

Funding and additional information—The research of W. R. is currently supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), grant F 8013. P. G. acknowledges funding by the French National Research Agency (ANR), grants DAMIA, ANR-20-CE11-0021 and PRO-PHAN, ANR-22-CE12-0008-01, and by the LabEx consortium "MitoCross". R. K. H. acknowledges funding by the German Research Foundation (DFG) grant HA 1672/19-1.

Conflict of interest—The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article.

Abbreviations—The abbreviations used are: HARP, homolog of Aquifex RNase P; MNU2, mitochondrial nuclease 2; mtRNase, mitochondrial RNase P; PPR, pentatricopeptide repeat; pre-tRNA, precursor tRNA; PRORP, proteinaceous/protein-only RNase P; RNP, ribonucleoprotein; SDR, short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase; SH, spike-helix; TLS, tRNA-like structure; VapC, virulenceassociated protein C; WT, wild type; ZBD, zinc-binding domain.

References

- Hartmann, R. K., Gößringer, M., Späth, B., Fischer, S., and Marchfelder, A. (2009) The making of tRNAs and more - RNase P and tRNase Z. *Prog. Nucleic Acid Res. Mol. Biol.* 85, 319–368
- Phizicky, E. M., and Hopper, A. K. (2010) tRNA biology charges to the front. *Genes Dev.* 24, 1832–1860
- Randau, L., Schröder, I., and Söll, D. (2008) Life without RNase P. Nature 453, 120–123
- Schencking, I., Rossmanith, W., and Hartmann, R. K. (2020) Diversity and evolution of RNase P. In: Pontarotti, P., ed. *Evolutionary Biology—A Transdisciplinary Approach*, Springer, Cham, Switzerland: 255–299
- Kirsebom, L., McLain, W. H., and Liu, F. (2024) Discovery of RNase P: surprises galore and making sense of the unexpected. J. Biol. Chem. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2024.105731
- Zhou, B., Wan, F., Lei, K. X., Lan, P., Wu, J., and Lei, M. (2024) Coevolution of RNA and protein subunits in RNase P and RNase MRP, two RNA processing enzymes. *J. Biol. Chem.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc. 2024.105729
- Wang, M. J., Davis, N. W., and Gegenheimer, P. (1988) Novel mechanisms for maturation of chloroplast transfer RNA precursors. *EMBO J.* 7, 1567–1574
- Holzmann, J., Frank, P., Löffler, E., Bennett, K. L., Gerner, C., and Rossmanith, W. (2008) RNase P without RNA: identification and functional reconstitution of the human mitochondrial tRNA processing enzyme. *Cell* 135, 462–474
- 9. Robertson, H. D., Altman, S., and Smith, J. D. (1972) Purification and properties of a specific *Escherichia coli* ribonuclease which cleaves a

tyrosine transfer ribonucleic acid precursor. J. Biol. Chem. 247, 5243–5251

- Stark, B. C., Kole, R., Bowman, E. J., and Altman, S. (1978) Ribonuclease P: an enzyme with an essential RNA component. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U. S. A. 75, 3717–3721
- Kole, R., and Altman, S. (1981) Properties of purified ribonuclease P from *Escherichia coli*. *Biochemistry* 20, 1902–1906
- Guerrier-Takada, C., Gardiner, K., Marsh, T., Pace, N., and Altman, S. (1983) The RNA moiety of ribonuclease P is the catalytic subunit of the enzyme. *Cell* 35, 849–857
- Miller, D. L., and Martin, N. C. (1983) Characterization of the yeast mitochondrial locus necessary for tRNA biosynthesis: DNA sequence analysis and identification of a new transcript. *Cell* 34, 911–917
- Hollingsworth, M. J., and Martin, N. C. (1986) RNase P activity in the mitochondria of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* depends on both mitochondrion and nucleus-encoded components. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 6, 1058–1064
- Lawrence, N., Wesolowski, D., Gold, H., Bartkiewicz, M., Guerrier-Takada, G., McClain, W. H., *et al.* (1987) Characteristics of ribonuclease P from various organisms. *Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol.* 52, 233–238
- Darr, S. C., Pace, B., and Pace, N. R. (1990) Characterization of ribonuclease P from the archaebacterium *Sulfolobus solfataricus. J. Biol. Chem.* 265, 12927–12932
- Gold, H. A., Craft, J., Hardin, J. A., Bartkiewicz, M., and Altman, S. (1988) Antibodies in human serum that precipitate ribonuclease P. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 85, 5483–5487
- Bartkiewicz, M., Gold, H., and Altman, S. (1989) Identification and characterization of an RNA molecule that copurifies with RNase P activity from HeLa cells. *Genes Dev.* 3, 488–499
- Lee, J.-Y., and Engelke, D. R. (1989) Partial characterization of an RNA component that copurifies with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* RNase P. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 9, 2536–2543
- Lee, J.-Y., Rohlman, C. E., Molony, L. A., and Engelke, D. R. (1991) Characterization of *RPR1*, an essential gene encoding the RNA component of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* nuclear RNase P. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 11, 721–730
- Pinker, F., Bonnard, G., Gobert, A., Gutmann, B., Hammani, K., Sauter, C., *et al.* (2013) PPR proteins shed a new light on RNase P biology. *RNA Biol.* 10, 1457–1468
- 22. Altman, S., Gopalan, V., and Vioque, A. (2000) Varieties of RNase P: a nomenclature problem? *RNA* **6**, 1689–1694
- Gegenheimer, P. (2000) Enzyme nomenclature: functional or structural? RNA 6, 1695–1697
- 24. Ojala, D., Merkel, C., Gelfand, R., and Attardi, G. (1980) The tRNA genes punctuate the reading of genetic information in human mitochondrial DNA. *Cell* 22, 393–403
- Ojala, D., Montoya, J., and Attardi, G. (1981) tRNA punctuation model of RNA processing in human mitochondria. *Nature* 290, 470–474
- Montoya, J., Ojala, D., and Attardi, G. (1981) Distinctive features of the 5'-terminal sequences of the human mitochondrial mRNAs. *Nature* 290, 465–470
- Anderson, S., Bankier, A. T., Barrell, B. G., de Bruijn, M. H., Coulson, A. R., Drouin, J., *et al.* (1981) Sequence and organization of the human mitochondrial genome. *Nature* 290, 457–465
- Doersen, C. J., Guerrier-Takada, C., Altman, S., and Attardi, G. (1985) Characterization of an RNase P activity from HeLa cell mitochondria. *J. Biol. Chem.* 260, 5942–5949
- Manam, S., and van Tuyle, G. C. (1987) Separation and characterization of 5'- and 3'-tRNA processing nucleases from rat liver mitochondria. *J. Biol. Chem.* 262, 10272–10279
- Goodman, H. M., Abelson, J., Landy, A., Brenner, S., and Smith, J. D. (1968) Amber suppression: a nucleotide change in the anticodon of a tyrosine transfer RNA. *Nature* 217, 1019–1024
- Altman, S. (1971) Isolation of tyrosine tRNA precursor molecules. *Nat. New Biol.* 229, 19–21
- Altman, S., and Smith, J. D. (1971) Tyrosine tRNA precursor molecule polynucleotide sequence. *Nat. New Biol.* 233, 35–39

- Koski, R. A., Bothwell, A. L. M., and Altman, S. (1976) Identification of a ribonuclease P-like activity from human KB cells. *Cell* 9, 101–116
- Attardi, G., and Schatz, G. (1988) Biogenesis of mitochondria. Annu. Rev. Cell Biol. 4, 289–333
- 35. Attardi, G. (1993) The human mitochondrial genetic system. In: DiMauro, S., Wallace, D. C., eds. *Mitochondrial DNA in Human Pathology*, Raven Press, New York, NY: 9–25
- 36. Puranam, R. S., and Attardi, G. (2001) The RNase P associated with HeLa cell mitochondria contains an essential RNA component identical in sequence to that of the nuclear RNase P. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 21, 548–561
- Chang, D. D., and Clayton, D. A. (1987) A mammalian mitochondrial RNA processing activity contains nucleus-encoded RNA. *Science* 235, 1178–1184
- 38. Yuan, Y., Singh, R., and Reddy, R. (1989) Rat nucleolar 7-2 RNA is homologous to mouse mitochondrial RNase mitochondrial RNAprocessing RNA. J. Biol. Chem. 264, 14835–14839
- **39.** Gold, H. A., Topper, J. N., Clayton, D. A., and Craft, J. (1989) The RNA processing enzyme RNase MRP is identical to the Th RNP and related to RNase P. *Science* **245**, 1377–1380
- Forster, A. C., and Altman, S. (1990) Similar cage-shaped structures for the RNA components of all ribonuclease P and ribonuclease MRP enzymes. *Cell* 62, 407–409
- Karwan, R., Bennett, J. L., and Clayton, D. A. (1991) Nuclear RNase MRP processes RNA at multiple discrete sites: interaction with an upstream G box is required for subsequent downstream cleavages. *Genes Dev.* 5, 1264–1276
- 42. Walker, S. C., Marvin, M. C., and Engelke, D. R. (2010). In: Ribonuclease, P., Liu, F., Altman, S., eds. *Eukaryote RNase P and RNase MRP*, Springer, New York, NY: 173–202
- Mattijssen, S., Welting, T. J. M., and Pruijn, G. J. M. (2010) RNase MRP and disease. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. RNA 1, 102–116
- 44. Kiss, T., and Filipowicz, W. (1992) Evidence against a mitochondrial location of the 7-2/MRP RNA in mammalian cells. *Cell* 70, 11–16
- Topper, J. N., Bennett, J. L., and Clayton, D. A. (1992) A role for RNAase MRP in mitochondrial RNA processing. *Cell* 70, 16–20
- 46. Henras, A. K., Plisson-Chastang, C., O'Donohue, M. F., Chakraborty, A., and Gleizes, P.-E. (2015) An overview of pre-ribosomal RNA processing in eukaryotes. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. RNA* 6, 225–242
- 47. Goldfarb, K. C., and Cech, T. R. (2017) Targeted CRISPR disruption reveals a role for RNase MRP RNA in human preribosomal RNA processing. *Genes Dev.* 31, 59–71
- Falkenberg, M., and Gustafsson, C. M. (2020) Mammalian mitochondrial DNA replication and mechanisms of deletion formation. *Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 55, 509–524
- 49. Wang, M. J., and Gegenheimer, P. (1990) Substrate masking: binding of RNA by EGTA-inactivated micrococcal nuclease results in artifactual inhibition of RNA processing reactions. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 18, 6625–6631
- Rossmanith, W., Tullo, A., Potuschak, T., Karwan, R., and Sbisà, E. (1995) Human mitochondrial tRNA processing. J. Biol. Chem. 270, 12885–12891
- Rossmanith, W., and Karwan, R. M. (1998) Characterization of human mitochondrial RNase P: novel aspects in tRNA processing. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 247, 234–241
- Rossmanith, W., and Potuschak, T. (2001) Difference between mitochondrial RNase P and nuclear RNase P. Mol. Cell Biol. 21, 8236–8237
- Thomas, B. C., Gao, L., Stomp, D., Li, X., and Gegenheimer, P. A. (1995) Spinach chloroplast RNase P: a putative protein enzyme. *Nucleic Acids Symp. Ser.* 33, 95–98
- Salavati, R., Panigrahi, A. K., and Stuart, K. D. (2001) Mitochondrial ribonuclease P activity of *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* 115, 109–117
- 55. Gobert, A., Gutmann, B., Taschner, A., Gößringer, M., Holzmann, J., Hartmann, R. K., et al. (2010) A single Arabidopsis organellar protein has RNase P activity. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 17, 740–744
- 56. Gutmann, B., Gobert, A., and Giegé, P. (2012) PRORP proteins support RNase P activity in both organelles and the nucleus in *Arabidopsis*. *Genes Dev.* 26, 1022–1027

- 57. Taschner, A., Weber, C., Buzet, A., Hartmann, R. K., Hartig, A., and Rossmanith, W. (2012) Nuclear RNase P of *Trypanosoma brucei*: a single protein in place of the multi-component RNA-protein complex. *Cell Rep.* 2, 19–25
- Rossmanith, W. (2012) Of P and Z: mitochondrial tRNA processing enzymes. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1819, 1017–1026
- Lechner, M., Rossmanith, W., Hartmann, R. K., Thölken, C., Gutmann, B., Giegé, P., *et al.* (2015) Distribution of ribonucleoprotein and proteinonly RNase P in Eukarya. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 32, 3186–3193
- 60. Bonnard, G., Gobert, A., Arrivé, M., Pinker, F., Salinas-Giegé, T., and Giegé, P. (2016) Transfer RNA maturation in *Chlamydomonas* mitochondria, chloroplast and the nucleus by a single RNase P protein. *Plant J.* 87, 270–280
- Begik, O., Lucas, M. C., Liu, H., Ramirez, J. M., Mattick, J. S., and Novoa, E. M. (2020) Integrative analyses of the RNA modification machinery reveal tissue- and cancer-specific signatures. *Genome Biol.* 21, 97
- 62. Jühling, F., Mörl, M., Hartmann, R. K., Sprinzl, M., Stadler, P. F., and Pütz, J. (2009) tRNAdb 2009: compilation of tRNA sequences and tRNA genes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 37, D159–162
- 63. Sugita, C., Komura, Y., Tanaka, K., Kometani, K., Satoh, H., and Sugita, M. (2014) Molecular characterization of three PRORP proteins in the moss Physcomitrella patens: nuclear PRORP protein is not essential for moss viability. *PLoS One* 9, e108962
- 64. Weber, C., Hartig, A., Hartmann, R. K., and Rossmanith, W. (2014) Playing RNase P evolution: swapping the RNA catalyst for a protein reveals functional uniformity of highly divergent enzyme forms. *PLoS Genet.* 10, e1004506
- 65. Gößringer, M., Lechner, M., Brillante, N., Weber, C., Rossmanith, W., and Hartmann, R. K. (2017) Protein-only RNase P function in *Escherichia coli*: viability, processing defects and differences between PRORP isoenzymes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, 7441–7454
- 66. Howard, M. J., Lim, W. H., Fierke, C. A., and Koutmos, M. (2012) Mitochondrial ribonuclease P structure provides insight into the evolution of catalytic strategies for precursor-tRNA 5' processing. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109, 16149–16154
- Gobert, A., Bruggeman, M., and Giegé, P. (2019) Involvement of PINlike domain nucleases in tRNA processing and translation regulation. *IUBMB Life* 71, 1117–1125
- 68. Karasik, A., Shanmuganathan, A., Howard, M. J., Fierke, C. A., and Koutmos, M. (2016) Nuclear protein-only ribonuclease P2 structure and biochemical characterization provide insight into the conserved properties of tRNA 5' end processing enzymes. J. Mol. Biol. 428, 26–40
- 69. Pinker, F., Schelcher, C., Fernandez-Millan, P., Gobert, A., Birck, C., Thureau, A., et al. (2017) Biophysical analysis of *Arabidopsis* proteinonly RNase P alone and in complex with tRNA provides a refined model of tRNA binding. J. Biol. Chem. 292, 13904–13913
- **70.** Schelcher, C., Sauter, C., and Giegé, P. (2016) Mechanistic and structural studies of protein-only RNase P compared to ribonucleoproteins reveal the two faces of the same enzymatic activity. *Biomolecules* **6**, 30
- Anantharaman, V., and Aravind, L. (2006) The NYN domains: novel predicted RNAses with a PIN domain-like fold. *RNA Biol.* 3, 18–27
- Matelska, D., Steczkiewicz, K., and Ginalski, K. (2017) Comprehensive classification of the PIN domain-like superfamily. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, 6995–7020
- Hammani, K., and Giegé, P. (2014) RNA metabolism in plant mitochondria. *Trends Plant Sci.* 19, 380–389
- Barkan, A., Rojas, M., Fujii, S., Yap, A., Chong, Y. S., Bond, C. S., *et al.* (2012) A combinatorial amino acid code for RNA recognition by pentatricopeptide repeat proteins. *PLoS Genet.* 8, e1002910
- 75. Gobert, A., Pinker, F., Fuchsbauer, O., Gutmann, B., Boutin, R., Roblin, P., *et al.* (2013) Structural insights into protein-only RNase P complexed with tRNA. *Nat. Commun.* 4, 1353
- 76. Imai, T., Nakamura, T., Maeda, T., Nakayama, K., Gao, X., Nakashima, T., *et al.* (2014) Pentatricopeptide repeat motifs in the processing enzyme PRORP1 in *Arabidopsis thaliana* play a crucial role in recognition of nucleotide bases at TΨC loop in precursor tRNAs. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **450**, 1541–1546

- Brillante, N., Gößringer, M., Lindenhofer, D., Toth, U., Rossmanith, W., and Hartmann, R. K. (2016) Substrate recognition and cleavage-site selection by a single-subunit protein-only RNase P. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, 2323–2336
- 78. Teramoto, T., Kaitany, K. J., Kakuta, Y., Kimura, M., Fierke, C. A., and Hall, T. M. T. (2020) Pentatricopeptide repeats of protein-only RNase P use a distinct mode to recognize conserved bases and structural elements of pre-tRNA. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 48, 11815–11826
- **79.** Yagi, Y., Hayashi, S., Kobayashi, K., Hirayama, T., and Nakamura, T. (2013) Elucidation of the RNA recognition code for pentatricopeptide repeat proteins involved in organelle RNA editing in plants. *PLoS One* **8**, e57286
- Yagi, Y., Tachikawa, M., Noguchi, H., Satoh, S., Obokata, J., and Nakamura, T. (2013) Pentatricopeptide repeat proteins involved in plant organellar RNA editing. *RNA Biol.* 10, 1419–1425
- Klemm, B. P., Karasik, A., Kaitany, K. J., Shanmuganathan, A., Henley, M. J., Thelen, A. Z., *et al.* (2017) Molecular recognition of pre-tRNA by *Arabidopsis* protein-only Ribonuclease P. *RNA* 23, 1860–1873
- Reiter, N. J., Osterman, A., Torres-Larios, A., Swinger, K. K., Pan, T., and Mondragon, A. (2010) Structure of a bacterial ribonuclease P holoenzyme in complex with tRNA. *Nature* 468, 784–789
- Lan, P., Tan, M., Zhang, Y., Niu, S., Chen, J., Shi, S., *et al.* (2018) Structural insight into precursor tRNA processing by yeast ribonuclease P. *Science* 362, eaat6678
- 84. Wu, J., Niu, S., Tan, M., Huang, C., Li, M., Song, Y., et al. (2018) Cryo-EM structure of the human ribonuclease P holoenzyme. Cell 175, 1393–1404.e11
- Pavlova, L. V., Gößringer, M., Weber, C., Buzet, A., Rossmanith, W., and Hartmann, R. K. (2012) tRNA processing by protein-only versus RNAbased RNase P: kinetic analysis reveals mechanistic differences. *Chembiochem* 13, 2270–2276
- 86. Howard, M. J., Klemm, B. P., and Fierke, C. A. (2015) Mechanistic studies reveal similar catalytic strategies for phosphodiester bond hydrolysis by protein-only and RNA-dependent ribonuclease P. J. Biol. Chem. 290, 13454–13464
- Walczyk, D., Gößringer, M., Rossmanith, W., Zatsepin, T. S., Oretskaya, T. S., and Hartmann, R. K. (2016) Analysis of the cleavage mechanism by protein-only RNase P using precursor tRNA substrates with modifications at the cleavage site. *J. Mol. Biol.* 428, 4917–4928
- Cuzic-Feltens, S., Weber, M. H., and Hartmann, R. K. (2009) Investigation of catalysis by bacterial RNase P via LNA and other modifications at the scissile phosphodiester. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 37, 7638–7653
- 89. Bouchoucha, A., Waltz, F., Bonnard, G., Arrivé, M., Hammann, P., Kuhn, L., *et al.* (2019) Determination of protein-only RNase P interactome in Arabidopsis mitochondria and chloroplasts identifies a complex between PRORP1 and another NYN domain nuclease. *Plant J.* 100, 549–561
- Stoll, B., and Binder, S. (2016) Two NYN domain containing putative nucleases are involved in transcript maturation in Arabidopsis mitochondria. *Plant J.* 85, 278–288
- **91.** Bruggeman, M. (2020) Functional Interactions of Nuclear RNase P in Arabidopsis thaliana. Ph.D. thesis. University of Strasbourg
- 92. Arrivé, M., Bruggeman, M., Skaltsogiannis, V., Coudray, L., Quan, Y. F., Schelcher, C., et al. (2023) A tRNA modifying enzyme facilitates RNase P activity in *Arabidopsis* nuclei. *Nat. Plants* 9, 2031–2041
- Gobert, A., Quan, Y., Arrive, M., Waltz, F., Da Silva, N., Jomat, L., *et al.* (2021) Towards plant resistance to viruses using protein-only RNase P. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 1007
- 94. Vilardo, E., Nachbagauer, C., Buzet, A., Taschner, A., Holzmann, J., and Rossmanith, W. (2012) A subcomplex of human mitochondrial RNase P is a bifunctional methyltransferase – extensive moonlighting in mitochondrial tRNA biogenesis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40, 11583–11593
- 95. Vilardo, E., Toth, U., Hazisllari, E., Hartmann, R. K., and Rossmanith, W. (2023) Cleavage kinetics of human mitochondrial RNase P and contribution of its non-nuclease subunits. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 51, 10536–10550
- 96. Lopez Sanchez, M. I. G., Mercer, T. R., Davies, S. M. K., Shearwood, A.-M. J., Nygård, K. K. A., Richman, T. R., *et al.* (2011) RNA processing in human mitochondria. *Cell Cycle* 10, 2904–2916

- 97. Deutschmann, A. J., Amberger, A., Zavadil, C., Steinbeisser, H., Mayr, J. A., Feichtinger, R. G., *et al.* (2014) Mutation or knock-down of 17β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 10 cause loss of MRPP1 and impaired processing of mitochondrial heavy strand transcripts. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 23, 3618–3628
- Rackham, O., Busch, J. D., Matic, S., Siira, S. J., Kuznetsova, I., Atanassov, I., *et al.* (2016) Hierarchical RNA processing is required for mitochondrial ribosome assembly. *Cell Rep.* 16, 1874–1890
- 99. Hochberg, I., Demain, L. A. M., Richer, J., Thompson, K., Urquhart, J. E., Rea, A., et al. (2021) Bi-allelic variants in the mitochondrial RNase P subunit PRORP cause mitochondrial tRNA processing defects and pleiotropic multisystem presentations. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 108, 2195–2204
- 100. Metodiev, M. D., Thompson, K., Alston, C. L., Morris, A. A. M., He, L., Assouline, Z., *et al.* (2016) Recessive mutations in *TRMT10C* cause defects in mitochondrial RNA processing and multiple respiratory chain deficiencies. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **98**, 993–1000
- 101. Zschocke, J. (2012) HSD10 disease: clinical consequences of mutations in the HSD17B10 gene. J. Inherit. Metab. Dis. 35, 81–89
- 102. Sen, A., Karasik, A., Shanmuganathan, A., Mirkovic, E., Koutmos, M., and Cox, R. T. (2016) Loss of the mitochondrial protein-only ribonuclease P complex causes aberrant tRNA processing and lethality in Drosophila. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, 6409–6422
- 103. Saoji, M., Sen, A., and Cox, R. T. (2021) Loss of individual mitochondrial ribonuclease P complex proteins differentially affects mitochondrial tRNA processing *in vivo. Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22, 6066
- 104. Kallberg, Y., Oppermann, U., Jörnvall, H., and Persson, B. (2002) Shortchain dehydrogenases/reductases (SDRs). *Eur. J. Biochem.* 269, 4409–4417
- 105. Adamski, J., and Jakob, F. J. (2001) A guide to 17β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenases. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 171, 1–4
- 106. Luo, M. J., Mao, L.-F., and Schulz, H. (1995) Short-chain 3-hydroxy-2methylacyl-CoA dehydrogenase from rat liver: purification and characterization of a novel enzyme of isoleucine metabolism. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 321, 214–220
- 107. Ofman, R., Ruiter, J. P. N., Feenstra, M., Duran, M., Poll-The, B. T., Zschocke, J., et al. (2003) 2-Methyl-3-hydroxybutyryl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency is caused by mutations in the HADH2 gene. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 72, 1300–1307
- 108. He, X.-Y., Merz, G., Mehta, P., Schulz, H., and Yang, S.-Y. (1999) Human brain short chain L-3-hydroxyacyl coenzyme A dehydrogenase is a single-domain multifunctional enzyme. Characterization of a novel 17β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 274, 15014–15019
- 109. He, X.-Y., Merz, G., Yang, Y.-Z., Pullakart, R., Mehta, P., Schulz, H., et al. (2000) Function of human brain short chain L-3-hydroxyacyl coenzyme A dehydrogenase in androgen metabolism. *Biochim. Bio*phys. Acta 1484, 267–277
- 110. He, X.-Y., Yang, Y.-Z., Schulz, H., and Yang, S.-Y. (2000) Intrinsic alcohol dehydrogenase and hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase activities of human mitochondrial short-chain L-3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase. *Biochem. J.* 345, 139–143
- 111. He, X.-Y., Wegiel, J., and Yang, S.-Y. (2005) Intracellular oxidation of allopregnanolone by human brain type 10 17beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase. *Brain Res.* 1040, 29–35
- He, X.-Y., Wegiel, J., Yang, Y.-Z., Pullarkat, R., Schulz, H., and Yang, S.-Y. (2005) Type 10 17beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase catalyzing the oxidation of steroid modulators of γ-aminobutyric acid type A receptors. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 229, 111–117
- **113.** Shafqat, N., Marschall, H.-U., Filling, C., Nordling, E., Wu, X.-Q., Björk, L., *et al.* (2003) Expanded substrate screenings of human and *Drosophila* type 10 17β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenases (HSDs) reveal multiple specificities in bile acid and steroid hormone metabolism: characterization of multifunctional $3\alpha/7\alpha/7\beta/17\beta/20\beta/21$ -HSD. *Biochem. J.* **376**, 49–60
- 114. Yan, S. D., Fu, J., Soto, C., Chen, X., Zhu, H., Al-Mohanna, F., *et al.* (1997) An intracellular protein that binds amyloid- β peptide and mediates neurotoxicity in Alzheimer's disease. *Nature* **389**, 689–695

- 115. Lustbader, J. W., Cirilli, M., Lin, C., Xu, H. W., Takuma, K., Wang, N., et al. (2004) ABAD directly links $A\beta$ to mitochondrial toxicity in Alzheimer's disease. *Science* **304**, 448–452
- 116. Persson, B., Kallberg, Y., Bray, J. E., Bruford, E., Dellaporta, S. L., Favia, A. D., et al. (2009) The SDR (short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase and related enzymes) nomenclature initiative. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* **178**, 94–98
- 117. Powell, A. J., Read, J. A., Banfield, M. J., Gunn-Moore, F., Yan, S. D., Lustbader, J., *et al.* (2000) Recognition of structurally diverse substrates by type II 3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase (HADH II)/amyloid-β binding alcohol dehydrogenase (ABAD). *J. Mol. Biol.* **303**, 311–327
- 118. Kissinger, C. R., Rejto, P. A., Pelletier, L. A., Thomson, J. A., Showalter, R. E., Abreo, M. A., *et al.* (2004) Crystal structure of human ABAD/ HSD10 with a bound inhibitor: implications for design of Alzheimer's disease therapeutics. *J. Mol. Biol.* 342, 943–952
- 119. Vilardo, E., and Rossmanith, W. (2015) Molecular insights into HSD10 disease: impact of SDR5C1 mutations on the human mitochondrial RNase P complex. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, 5112–5119
- 120. Oerum, S., Roovers, M., Rambo, R. P., Kopec, J., Bailey, H. J., Fitzpatrick, F., et al. (2018) Structural insight into the human mitochondrial tRNA purine N1-methyltransferase and ribonuclease P complexes. J. Biol. Chem. 293, 12862–12876
- 121. Bhatta, A., Dienemann, C., Cramer, P., and Hillen, H. S. (2021) Structural basis of RNA processing by human mitochondrial RNase P. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 28, 713–723
- 122. Anantharaman, V., Koonin, E. V., and Aravind, L. (2002) SPOUT: a class of methyltransferases that includes spoU and trmD RNA methylase superfamilies, and novel superfamilies of predicted prokaryotic RNA methylases. J. Mol. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 4, 71–75
- 123. Tkaczuk, K. L., Dunin-Horkawicz, S., Purta, E., and Bujnicki, J. M. (2007) Structural and evolutionary bioinformatics of the SPOUT superfamily of methyltransferases. *BMC Bioinformatics* 8, 73
- 124. Krishnamohan, A., and Jackman, J. E. (2019) A family divided: distinct structural and mechanistic features of the SpoU-TrmD (SPOUT) methyltransferase superfamily. *Biochemistry* 58, 336–345
- 125. Clark, W. C., Evans, M. E., Dominissini, D., Zheng, G., and Pan, T. (2016) tRNA base methylation identification and quantification via highthroughput sequencing. *RNA* 22, 1771–1784
- 126. Vilardo, E., Amman, F., Toth, U., Kotter, A., Helm, M., and Rossmanith, W. (2020) Functional characterization of the human tRNA methyltransferases TRMT10A and TRMT10B. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 48, 6157–6169
- 127. Suzuki, T., Yashiro, Y., Kikuchi, I., Ishigami, Y., Saito, H., Matsuzawa, I., et al. (2020) Complete chemical structures of human mitochondrial tRNAs. Nat. Commun. 11, 4269
- 128. Jackman, J. E., Montange, R. K., Malik, H. S., and Phizicky, E. M. (2003) Identification of the yeast gene encoding the tRNA m¹G methyltransferase responsible for modification at position 9. *RNA* 9, 574–585
- 129. Li, F., Liu, X., Zhou, W., Yang, X., and Shen, Y. (2015) Auto-inhibitory mechanism of the human mitochondrial RNase P protein complex. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 9878
- Reinhard, L., Sridhara, S., and Hällberg, B. M. (2015) Structure of the nuclease subunit of human mitochondrial RNase P. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, 5664–5672
- 131. Bhatta, A., and Hillen, H. S. (2022) Structural and mechanistic basis of RNA processing by protein-only ribonuclease P enzymes. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 47, 965–977
- 132. Giegé, R., Jühling, F., Pütz, J., Stadler, P., Sauter, C., and Florentz, C. (2012) Structure of transfer RNAs: similarity and variability. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. RNA 3, 37–61
- 133. Suzuki, T., Nagao, A., and Suzuki, T. (2011) Human mitochondrial tRNAs: biogenesis, function, structural aspects, and diseases. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 45, 299–329
- 134. Watanabe, Y.-I., Suematsu, T., and Ohtsuki, T. (2014) Losing the stemloop structure from metazoan mitochondrial tRNAs and co-evolution of interacting factors. *Front. Genet.* 5, 109
- 135. Wende, S., Platzer, E. G., Jühling, F., Pütz, J., Florentz, C., Stadler, P. F., et al. (2014) Biological evidence for the world's smallest tRNAs. *Biochimie* 100, 151–158

- **136.** Karasik, A., Fierke, C. A., and Koutmos, M. (2019) Interplay between substrate recognition, 5' end tRNA processing and methylation activity of human mitochondrial RNase P. *RNA* **25**, 1646–1660
- 137. Blomen, V. A., Májek, P., Jae, L. T., Bigenzahn, J. W., Nieuwenhuis, J., Staring, J., et al. (2015) Gene essentiality and synthetic lethality in haploid human cells. *Science* 350, 1092–1096
- 138. Wang, T., Birsoy, K., Hughes, N. W., Krupczak, K. M., Post, Y., Wei, J. J., et al. (2015) Identification and characterization of essential genes in the human genome. *Science* 350, 1096–1101
- 139. Zschocke, J., Ruiter, J. P. N., Brand, J., Lindner, M., Hoffmann, G. F., Wanders, R. J. A., et al. (2000) Progressive infantile neurodegeneration caused by 2-methyl-3-hydroxybutyryl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency: a novel inborn error of branched-chain fatty acid and isoleucine metabolism. *Pediatr. Res.* 48, 852–855
- 140. Rauschenberger, K., Schöler, K., Sass, J. O., Sauer, S., Djuric, Z., Rumig, C., et al. (2010) A non-enzymatic function of 17β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 10 is required for mitochondrial integrity and cell survival. EMBO Mol. Med. 2, 51–62
- 141. Chatfield, K. C., Coughlin, C. R., 2nd, Friederich, M. W., Gallagher, R. C., Hesselberth, J. R., Lovell, M. A., *et al.* (2015) Mitochondrial energy failure in HSD10 disease is due to defective mtDNA transcript processing. *Mitochondrion* 21, 1–10
- 142. Falk, M. J., Gai, X., Shigematsu, M., Vilardo, E., Takase, R., McCormick, E., et al. (2016) A novel HSD17B10 mutation impairing the activities of the mitochondrial RNase P complex causes X-linked intractable epilepsy and neurodevelopmental regression. RNA Biol. 13, 477–485
- 143. Saoji, M., Petersen, C. E., Sen, A., Tripoli, B. A., Smyth, J. T., and Cox, R. T. (2022) Reduction of *Drosophila* mitochondrial RNase P in skeletal and heart muscle causes muscle degeneration, cardiomyopathy, and heart arrhythmia. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 10, 788516
- 144. Swanson, R. V. (2001) Genome of Aquifex aeolicus. Methods Enzymol. 330, 158–169
- 145. Li, Y., and Altman, S. (2004) In search of RNase P RNA from microbial genomes. *RNA* 10, 1533–1540
- 146. Marszalkowski, M., Willkomm, D. K., and Hartmann, R. K. (2008) 5'end maturation of tRNA in *Aquifex aeolicus. Biol. Chem.* 389, 395–403
- 147. Nickel, A. I., Wäber, N. B., Gößringer, M., Lechner, M., Linne, U., Toth, U., et al. (2017) Minimal and RNA-free RNase P in Aquifex aeolicus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 114, 11121–11126
- 148. Baer, M., and Altman, S. (1985) A catalytic RNA and its gene from Salmonella typhimurium. Science 228, 999–1002
- 149. James, B. D., Olsen, G. J., Liu, J. S., and Pace, N. R. (1988) The secondary structure of ribonuclease P RNA, the catalytic element of a ribonucleoprotein enzyme. *Cell* 52, 19–26
- **150.** Hartmann, R. K., and Erdmann, V. A. (1991) Analysis of the gene encoding the RNA subunit of ribonuclease P from *T. thermophilus* HB8. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **19**, 5957–5964
- 151. Haas, E. S., Banta, A. B., Harris, J. K., Pace, N. R., and Brown, J. W. (1996) Structure and evolution of ribonuclease P RNA in Gram-positive bacteria. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 24, 4775–4782
- **152.** Chen, J. L., and Pace, N. R. (1997) Identification of the universally conserved core of ribonuclease P RNA. *RNA* **3**, 557–560
- 153. Haas, E. S., and Brown, J. W. (1998) Evolutionary variation in bacterial RNase P RNAs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 26, 4093–4099
- 154. Gopalan, V., Baxevanis, A. D., Landsman, D., and Altman, S. (1997) Analysis of the functional role of conserved residues in the protein subunit of ribonuclease P from *Escherichia coli. J. Mol. Biol.* 267, 818–829
- 155. Jovanovic, M., Sanchez, R., Altman, S., and Gopalan, V. (2002) Elucidation of structure–function relationships in the protein subunit of bacterial RNase P using a genetic complementation approach. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 30, 5065–5073
- **156.** Hartmann, E., and Hartmann, R. K. (2003) The enigma of ribonuclease P evolution. *Trends Genet.* **19**, 561–569
- 157. Kobayashi, K., Ehrlich, S. D., Albertini, A., Amati, G., Andersen, K. K., Arnaud, M., et al. (2003) Essential Bacillus subtilis genes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 100, 4678–4683

- 158. Deckert, G., Warren, P. V., Gaasterland, T., Young, W. G., Lenox, A. L., Graham, D. E., et al. (1998) The complete genome of the hyperthermophilic bacterium Aquifex aeolicus. Nature 392, 353–358
- 159. Willkomm, D. K., Feltens, R., and Hartmann, R. K. (2002) tRNA maturation in *Aquifex aeolicus. Biochimie* 84, 713–722
- 160. Lombo, T. B., and Kaberdin, V. R. (2008) RNA processing in Aquifex aeolicus involves RNase E/G and an RNase P-like activity. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 366, 457–463
- 161. Wäber, N. B., and Hartmann, R. K. (2019) Aquificae. In: Schmidt, T., ed. Encyclopedia of Microbiology, 4th Ed., Elsevier, London, UK: 226–233
- 162. Feyh, R., Waeber, N. B., Prinz, S., Giammarinaro, P. I., Bange, G., Hochberg, G., et al. (2021) Structure and mechanistic features of the prokaryotic minimal RNase P. eLife 10, e70160
- 163. Teramoto, T., Koyasu, T., Adachi, N., Kawasaki, M., Moriya, T., Numata, T., et al. (2021) Minimal protein-only RNase P structure reveals insights into tRNA precursor recognition and catalysis. J. Biol. Chem. 297, 101028
- 164. Li, Y., Su, S., Gao, Y., Lu, G., Liu, H., Chen, X., et al. (2022) Crystal structures and insights into precursor tRNA 5'-end processing by prokaryotic minimal protein-only RNase P. Nat. Commun. 13, 2290
- 165. Gößringer, M., Wäber, N. B., Wiegard, J. C., and Hartmann, R. K. (2023) Characterization of RNA-based and protein-only RNases P from bacteria encoding both enzyme types. *RNA* 29, 376–391
- 166. Daniels, C. J., Lai, L. B., Chen, T.-H., and Gopalan, V. (2019) Both kinds of RNase P in all domains of life: surprises galore. RNA 25, 286–291
- 167. Schwarz, T. S., Wäber, N. B., Feyh, R., Weidenbach, K., Schmitz, R. A., Marchfelder, A., *et al.* (2019) Homologs of *Aquifex aeolicus* protein-only RNase P are not the major RNase P activities in the archaea *Haloferax volcanii* and *Methanosarcina mazei*. *IUBMB Life* 71, 1109–1116

- 168. Stachler, A. E., and Marchfelder, A. (2016) Gene repression in Haloarchaea using the CRISPR (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats)-Cas I-B system. J. Biol. Chem. 291, 15226–15242
- 169. Senissar, M., Manav, M. C., and Brodersen, D. E. (2017) Structural conservation of the PIN domain active site across all domains of life. *Protein Sci.* 26, 1474–1492
- 170. Itoh, Y., Bröcker, M. J., Sekine, S., Hammond, G., Suetsugu, S., Söll, D., et al. (2013) Decameric SelA·tRNA(Sec) ring structure reveals mechanism of bacterial selenocysteine formation. *Science* 340, 75–78
- 171. Cravedi, P., Mori, G., Fischer, F., and Percudani, R. (2015) Evolution of the selenoproteome in *Helicobacter pylori* and epsilonproteobacteria. *Genome Biol. Evol.* 7, 2692–2704
- 172. Huber, R., Langworthy, T. A., König, H., Thomm, M., Woese, C. R., Sleytr, U. B., *et al.* (1986) *Thermotoga maritima* sp. nov. represents a new genus of unique extremely thermophilic eubacteria growing up to 90°C. *Arch. Microbiol.* **144**, 324–333
- 173. Wang, Z., Tong, W., Wang, Q., Bai, X., Chen, Z., Zhao, J., et al. (2012) The temperature dependent proteomic analysis of *Thermotoga maritima. PLoS One* 7, e46463
- 174. Gluch, M. F., Typke, D., and Baumeister, W. (1995) Motility and thermotactic responses of *Thermotoga maritima*. J. Bacteriol. 177, 5473–5479
- 175. Wan, F., Wang, Q., Tan, J., Tan, M., Chen, J., Shi, S., et al. (2019) Cryoelectron microscopy structure of an archaeal ribonuclease P holoenzyme. Nat. Commun. 10, 2617
- 176. Kirsebom, L. A., and Trobro, S. (2009) RNase P RNA-mediated cleavage. *IUBMB Life* 61, 189–200
- 177. Yuan, Y., and Altman, S. (1995) Substrate recognition by human RNase P: identification of small, model substrates for the enzyme. *EMBO J.* 14, 159–168

<u>Update</u>

Journal of Biological Chemistry

Volume 300, Issue 6, June 2024, Page

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2024.107389

VOLUME 300 (2024) ARTICLE NUMBER 105731 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2024.105731

Correction: Discovery, structure, mechanisms, and evolution of protein-only RNase P enzymes

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2024.107389

Walter Rossmanith, Philippe Giegé, and Roland K. Hartmann

In the References section, reference 5 currently reads: Kirsebom, L., McLain, W. H., and Liu, F. (2024) Discovery of RNase P: surprises galore and making sense of the unexpected. J. Biol. Chem. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2024.105731

It should read:

Kirsebom, L.A., Liu, F., and McClain, W.H. (2024) The discovery of a catalytic RNA within RNase P and its legacy. J. Biol. Chem. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2024.107318