

# **Composition of the fecal, vaginal and colostrum microbiotas of dams at parturition and their relationship with neonatal outcomes in dogs**

Quentin Garrigues, Emmanuelle Apper, Fanny Mercier, Ana Rodiles, Nicoletta Rovere, Sylvie Chastant, Hanna Mila

## **To cite this version:**

Quentin Garrigues, Emmanuelle Apper, Fanny Mercier, Ana Rodiles, Nicoletta Rovere, et al.. Composition of the fecal, vaginal and colostrum microbiotas of dams at parturition and their relationship with neonatal outcomes in dogs.  $2024$ . hal-04877402

## **HAL Id: hal-04877402 <https://hal.science/hal-04877402v1>**

Preprint submitted on 9 Jan 2025

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



 Keywords: dog; puppy; microbiota; parturition; colostrum; gestation; neonatal health; mortality

#### **Abstract:**

 **Background:** Microbial seeding in early life is critical for the host's short- and long-term health, and the mother is the first source of bacteria for the newborn. The objective of this study was to characterize the maternal fecal, vaginal, and colostral microbiotas in the canine species one day after parturition and to evaluate the relationship between the microbial profiles of 36 dams and the neonatal outcomes of 284 newborns.

 **Results:** The first part of the study revealed the presence of 2 fecal, 3 vaginal, and 2 colostral microbial clusters on the basis of the core microbiota of the dams. Among these three maternal microbiotas, only the vaginal microbiome was found to be associated with neonatal outcomes. Compared with those in the other clusters, females in Cluster 1, with the lowest stillbirth and neonatal mortality ratios, presented a greater abundance of *Moraxellaceae* in their vaginal microbiota; Cluster 2, with a greater abundance of *Pasteurellaceae,* mostly from the *Haemophilus* genus; and Cluster 3 (with the highest stillbirth and neonatal mortality ratios), a greater abundance of *Enterobacteriaceae,* mostly *E. coli*. Moreover, Cluster 3 dams presented significantly lower species richness according to the Shannon index than did dams from the other clusters.

 **Conclusions:** This study underscores the strong association between maternal microbiota, particularly the vaginal microbiota, and newborn health. The results of this study call for further research to gain a deeper understanding of the optimal vaginal microbiota composition in canine species and the ways to modulate it to improve neonatal outcomes.

#### **1. Background**

 Currently, the dynamic interaction between microbial communities (collectively known as the microbiota) and their host organisms offers valuable insights into host health. The most extensively studied microbiota is the gut (and more specifically the fecal), as its role in shaping its host's health has gained increasing evidence<sup>1,2</sup>. However, many more microbial communities play crucial roles in host health, although they remain less explored. This includes the vaginal and colostrum microbiotas, which have many essential functions within the host (the dam), such 50 as immune regulation, metabolic equilibrium and protection against pathogens $3-5$ . Moreover, all of these microbiotas have emerged as central players in reproductive health and 52 performance<sup>5,6</sup>. In particular, the maternal vaginal and colostrum microbiotas have been shown in humans to be crucial for enhancing the newborn's immune system by promoting the colonization of the intestine by beneficial bacteria, such as *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium*, as they induce specific immune stimulation of the intestinal environment through the 56 production of short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) and interactions with Treg cells<sup>5,7,8</sup>.

 Given the complex topic of the maternal microbiota and its importance during parturition, maternal factors may have crucial interrelationships with the microbial composition. These factors encompass a spectrum of maternal attributes, such as physiological status, consumption 60 of medications, or diet, as observed in humans . For example, pregnant women exposed to 61 antibiotics presented lower abundances of *Actinobacteria* and greater alpha diversity <sup>10</sup>, whereas overweight and obese women presented lower maternal alpha diversity and taxonomic 63 differences in the maternal gut microbiota . Understanding how these maternal factors interact with microbial diversity has the potential to improve the understanding of the relationship between the host's physiological state and the microbial communities that inhabit it.

 Although the importance of the maternal microbiota during parturition for neonates' health 67 trajectory has been demonstrated in human medicine<sup>7,12,13</sup>, it is currently poorly investigated in canine species. Neonatal puppies are inherently vulnerable, with approximately 13% of puppies 69 dying during their first weeks of life<sup>14</sup>. The transition from the protected intrauterine environment to the external world places them at a critical juncture where among other factors, their ability to establish a resilient microbial ecosystem is paramount. In the case of perturbed microbial seeding, as shown with puppies born from C-sections, less diverse microbiota and 73 delayed growth were observed<sup>15,16</sup>. Other neonatal events can also impact the proper setting of the microbial communities of newborn puppies. For example, birth weight is associated with microbiota alterations, with low-birth-weight (LBW) puppies exhibiting an increased abundance of opportunistic bacteria in their fecal microbiota during the first three weeks of life 77 compared with normal-weight puppies . For these reasons, understanding the vertical transmission of bacteria from mothers to offspring seems crucial for improving puppies' health.

 This study aims to evaluate whether maternal fecal, vaginal and colostral microbial profiles of bitches one day after whelping are associated with neonatal outcomes in terms of LBW, early growth rate, stillbirth and neonatal mortality (defined as deaths occurring during the first three weeks of life) ratios. Second, the degree to which maternal factors, such as body condition score (BCS), gestational weight changes, fecal scores, parity, and litter size, are correlated with variations in maternal microbial composition related to neonatal outcomes was assessed (**Figure 1**). Improving the understanding of the interactions among maternal physiological factors, the microbiota and reproductive performance would provide crucial insights into the ability of the modulation of the maternal microbiota to increase the survival and well-being of newborn puppies.



 **Fig. 1.** Summary of all maternal factors and neonatal outcomes studied and their potential interactions with the three maternal microbiota.

## **2. Methods**

2.1. Animal enrollment and housing

95 Thirty-six bitches from medium-sized ( $> 15$  and  $\leq 25$  kg) and large-sized ( $> 25$  kg) breeds were recruited from one breeding kennel in France. Dams were followed from ovulation (G0) up to 24 hours postpartum (P1), with a pregnancy diagnosis performed on their  $28<sup>th</sup>$  day of gestation (G28). They were fed two manufactured dry pet food diets. From G0 until G28, the bitches received Diet 1, then Diet 2 from G28 to the end of the experiment. The nutritional values of both diets are available in Supplementary **Table S1**. During the experiment, all dogs had *ad libitum* access to water. All the dams were vaccinated and dewormed before mating, following the veterinary protocol in place at the breeding facility. They were housed in individual outdoor

103 pens until around the  $56<sup>th</sup>$  day of gestation (G56), when they were moved to individual pens in the maternity building to prepare for whelping. The pens were equipped with a floor heating system, heating lamps, and wood shavings as bedding material. All puppies were born by natural delivery (i.e., no cesarean section) and remained in the same pens as their mothers during the study period.

#### 2.2. Sampling and measures

 Both dam weights (digital scale Soehnle model 7859.70.002, Soehnle GmbH, Backnang, 110 Germany, maximum capacity of 200 kg, precision  $\pm$  0.1 kg) and body condition scores (BCSs, 111 9-point scale, with 1 being the thinnest and 9 the fattest <sup>18</sup>) were recorded on G0, G28, G56 and P1. Gestational relative gain weight (GWG) was calculated as the percentage of weight gained or lost by the dams between G0 and P1 according to the following formula: ((weight P1 – weight G0) / weight G0 \* 100%).

 Every day, individual food intake was recorded by measuring the amount of food provided in the morning and normalizing it on the basis of the remaining food in the bowl the following day.

 Dams' fecal scores (FS) were recorded on three consecutive days, from one to three days postpartum, to assess the gut health of dams following parturition via a 5-point scale fecal 120 scoring system (with 1 being the driest stool and 5 being the most liquid<sup>19</sup>). The mean of the three scores was then calculated and attributed to the P1 time point.

 For each dam, reproductive performance was evaluated through the number of puppies born alive, the number of stillborn puppies, the number of puppies dying during the neonatal period (from birth to 21 days post parturition), and the number of low-birth-weight puppies (LBWs). Stillborn, dead and LWB puppies were recorded as a ratio to the number of puppies alive at birth per litter. To determine LBW, puppies were weighed during the first 12 hours after birth

 (D0) via a digital scale (EB3 Series, Ohaus, Parsippany, NJ, USA; maximum capacity, 5 kg; 128 precision,  $\pm$  0.1 g). To address interbreed variability, quartiles of birth weight were calculated for each breed on the basis of the last 4010 birth weights registered in the breeding facility  $20,21$  (Supplementary **Table S2**). The thresholds obtained were used to categorize each puppy into one of the four birth weight quartile groups. The first quartile included the 25% lightest puppies, whereas the 25% heaviest puppies were in the fourth quartile, with all puppies under the first quartile threshold considered LBW. Puppies were weighed again at approximately 48 h after birth (D2), and their growth rate (GR) was calculated as follows: ((weight D2 – weight D0)/weight D0) \* 100%.

 All samples for maternal microbiota analyses were collected at P1 (108 total samples). Sterile swabs (175 mm, Viscose knob, COPAN, Brescia, Italy) were used to collect fecal and vaginal microbiota samples. Moreover, vaginal swabs were introduced via a sterile plastic speculum to avoid contamination with the skin and vestibule bacterial flora. For colostrum collection, intramuscular administration of 1 or 2 UI of oxytocin was performed before collection. The 141 fourth pair of mammary glands (with the  $1<sup>st</sup>$  pair being the most cranial and the  $5<sup>th</sup>$  pair being the most caudal) was cleaned with chlorhexidine soap on a sterile compress, after which 0.1 mL of colostrum was collected from each gland into a sterile Eppendorf tube (0.2 mL in total). 144 The swabs and colostrum were stored at -20°C immediately after collection for a maximum of 145 1 month and then at -80°C for the rest of the time until further processing.

# 2.3. DNA Extraction and 16S rRNA Gene Amplification and Sequencing

 Metagenomic DNA was extracted from swabs via a Quick-DNA Fecal/Soil Microbe Miniprep Kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. For colostrum DNA extraction, the same kit and instructions were used with minor adaptations on

151 the basis of the methodology of Derakhshani *et*  $al^{22}$ . The extracted DNA was quantified via a fluorometric method with a Quant-iT™ PicoGreen® dsDNA assay kit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) via a QuantStudio™3 Real Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). The V3-V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified via PCR 155 via the universal primers  $341F$  (CCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG)<sup>23</sup> and 806R 156 (GGACTACNVGGGTWTCTAAT)<sup>24</sup>. The PCR amplicons were purified with a HighPrep PCR system (Magbio Genomics, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) and used for library construction with an Illumina NEXTflex PCR-Free DNA Sequencing Kit (Bioo Scientific Corp., Austin, TX, USA). Amplicon libraries were sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq 2500 platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) at the GeT-PlaGe INRAE Platform (Toulouse, France) for paired-end fragment sizes of 250 bp. All the reagents used were of molecular grade.

2.4. Analysis of Sequencing Data

 Raw fastq files were imported, demultiplexed, quality filtered and dereplicated through high-164 resolution sample inference with DADA2<sup>25</sup> in OIIME 2 (version 2020.2)<sup>26</sup>. This allowed the identification of amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) under default parameters, excluding primer length. ASV de novo alignment and phylogenetic analysis were performed with MAFFT 167 and FastTree2, respectively <sup>27,28</sup>. Rarefaction curves were checked for full community sampling depth. Taxonomy was assigned to the resulting 16S rRNA gene marker genes against Greengenes (gg-13-8-99-nb-classifier)/SILVA (v138) via the sklearn classifier method 170 according to Bokulich *et al.* <sup>29</sup>. The raw data were rarefied by removing the ASVs present in fewer than 5 samples with an abundance lower than 0.005% of the total abundance.

2.5. Data analysis

 All the statistical analyses were conducted in R version 4.1.0 (R Core Team, 2021), with resulting p values less than 0.05 considered statistically significant, and box plots and histogram  figures were drawn with RStudio (version 2022.02.3 Build 492). Other plots were created via 176 MicrobiomeAnalyst<sup>30</sup>. All clinical data are expressed as the means and SDs  $(\pm)$ .

 Before compositional, multivariate and principal component analysis (PCA), the ASV abundances were centered log-ratio (CLR) transformed following the replacement of zero with 179 the geometric Bayesian model (GBM) of the zCompositions package<sup>31</sup>.

#### 2.5.1. **Overall microbiota analysis**

 *Beta diversity*. Multidimensional scaling (MDS) plots generated via the phyloseq package were generated to visualize the beta diversities among the three microbiota. To highlight potential differences in the fecal, vaginal and colostrum microbiota structures, permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) with 9999 permutations was performed on the basis of Bray–Curtis distances with the Adonis function available in the "vegan" package v2.6-2 of R 186 software.

187 *Alpha diversity*. The alpha diversity was calculated with observed ASVs and the Shannon <sup>33</sup> 188 index via the Phyloseq package v1.38.0<sup>34</sup>, and ANOVA coupled with Tukey's test was used to evaluate differences among the three microbiotas and between clusters.

#### 2.5.2. **Core microbiota and clustering**

 *Definition of the core microbiota*. To describe and illustrate the main genera and families comprising the different maternal microbiotas, the core microbiotas of the dams were defined as all the ASVs at the genus level present in at least 32 dams (89% of samples) for fecal and colostrum microbiotas and 27 dams (75%) in vaginal dams, with a minimum of 1% mean 195 relative abundance<sup>35</sup>.

 *Clustering of the dams*. The clustering of the dams was performed via Dirichlet Multinomial 197 Mixtures (DMM) clustering via the DirichletMultinomial 1.44.0 package in  $R^{36}$ . The optimal

 number of clusters was determined via the Laplace approximation by analyzing model complexity. The contributions of the main ASVs to each microbiota cluster were then determined via linear discriminant analysis effect size (LEfSe).

#### 2.5.3. **Relationships between microbiota profiles and neonatal health**

 The associations between the microbial clusters identified in the dams' feces (Cluster 1/Cluster 2), vagina (Cluster 1/Cluster 2/Cluster 3), and colostrum (Cluster 1/Cluster 2) and the neonatal outcomes in their litter (the ratio of LBW and GR between 0 and 2 days of life, the ratio of stillbirth, and the ratio of neonatal mortality) were evaluated via generalized linear models (using the glm function of the R *stats* package). The four following models were constructed to address these questions:

## *Neonatal outcome ~ Fecal microbial cluster + Vaginal microbial cluster + Colostral microbial cluster*

 Chi-square (for the interlitter LBW, stillbirth, and neonatal mortality ratio) and deviance (for 211 the interlitter GR 0–2 days) tests were used to assess the goodness-of-fit of the final models. The overdispersion of the models was also assessed by comparing the residual deviance to the degrees of freedom.

#### 2.5.4. **Relationships between microbiota profiles and maternal factors**

 In the final part of the study, only the microbiota associated with neonatal outcomes were investigated. Eight factors were chosen for their biological relevance to study the association between maternal factors and microbial profiles: breed, age, parity, food intake, GWG, BCS, FS at P1, and litter size (Figure 1). On the basis of correlation matrices (using Kendall's tau), 219 parity and age were significantly correlated (rho $>0.4$ ; p $< 0.001$ ); thus, out of the two, only parity was included in further analyses. Similar results were observed for food intake and GWG;  therefore, only GWG was used for further analysis. A multivariate logistic regression model was subsequently built using the *glm* function to evaluate the associations between maternal parameters (breed as a nominal variable and parity, GWG, BCS, FS, and litter size as continuous variables) and the clusters (cluster name) of microbiota correlated with neonatal health. Different models, including the six mentioned fixed effects, were tested, and the final model was built on the basis of the lowest Akaike criterion as follows:

#### *Microbial clusters ~ Parity + Litter\_size + Breed*

 The overdispersion of the model was assessed by comparing the residual deviance to the degrees of freedom.

**3. Results**

## 3.1. Description of the population

 The 36 dams studied belong to 9 different medium and large breeds: Australian Shepherd (n=8), Golden Retriever (n=8), German Shepherd (n=1), Labrador Retriever (n=6), White Swiss Shepherd (n=3), Pyrenean Mountain Dog (n=2), Bernese Mountain Dog (n=6), Boxer (n=1) and Dalmatian (n=1). Five bitches were primiparous, 8 gave birth once before the study, and 236 23 had whelped at least 2 times (mean =  $2.7 \pm 1.8$ ). The mean age of the dams was  $3.3 \pm 1.2$ 237 years. At G0, the dams weighed between 18.5 and 39.5 kg (mean = 29.7 kg  $\pm$  5.1) and had 238 BCSs ranging from 3 to 8 (mean  $= 5.4 \pm 1.3$ ) (**Table 1**). At P1, the weights of the dams and 239 their BCSs decreased (mean = 27.7 kg  $\pm$  5.1 and mean = 4.1  $\pm$  1.1, p<0.001, respectively). The mean GWG was -6.5±7.0%, ranging from -22 to 6%. The mean FS of the dams at parturition 241 was  $2.6 \pm 0.6$ . A total of 284 puppies were born in our study, with litter sizes ranging from 4 to 242 11 puppies (mean =  $7.9 \pm 1.9$ ). A total of 30 puppies were stillborn (10.6%), with a mean of 0.8 243  $\pm$  1.1 puppies per litter. Among the 254 puppies born alive, 60 were LBW (23.6%), and 25 244 (9.8%) died during the first three weeks. Half of the dams (17 out of 36 dams) delivered no 245 LBW puppies, whereas the other half (19 dams) had between 1 and 8 LBW per litter (mean 246 ratio =  $0.2 \pm 0.3$ ).



247 **Table 1.** Characteristics of the dams (n=36) and their litters included in the study.

248 *G0 – ovulation day; P1 – postpartum day 1; BCS – body condition score; LBW – low birth weight; GR – growth*  249 *rate*

250 3.2. Comparison of the fecal, vaginal and colostrum microbiotas

251 one day after parturition

256 on location on the basis of the PERMANOVA results obtained via Bray–Curtis distances ( $p <$ 

<sup>252</sup> A total of 3,087,414 sequences were used for the analysis. After the cleaning, filtration, and 253 affiliations of the sequencing reads, 235 ASVs were identified, including 11 phyla, 22 classes, 254 40 orders, 79 families, and 128 genera.

<sup>255</sup> *Beta diversity*. The microbial community composition significantly differed depending

 0.001) and pairwise analysis (p-adjusted = 0.003 for each pair) (**Figure 2**). The fecal microbiota had greater dissimilarity than the vaginal and colostrum microbiota, which shared more bacterial communities, as seen with the overlapping ellipses. The size of the ellipses also allowed us to highlight greater interindividual variation in the composition of the vaginal 261 microbiota than the other two, where ellipses were smaller. The Bray–Curtis distances were also added to a heatmap to specify the previous results (**Figure 3**). As anticipated with the MDS plot, approximately half of the vaginal and colostrum ASVs were almost absent from the fecal microbiota, explaining the interdissimilarity.



 **Fig. 2.** Beta diversity of the 36 dams' three types of microbiota (fecal in red; vaginal in green and colostral in blue) one day after parturition. The MDS plot shows the dissimilarities between dams' fecal, vaginal and colostrum microbiotas one day after parturition, which were calculated

 via Bray–Curtis distances. Each point represents an individual dam sample for one specific microbiota, positioned on the plot on the basis of the similarity of its microbiota communities with other samples. Ellipses are based on 95% confidence intervals and standard errors. The similarity of bacterial communities is evaluated on the basis of taxon similarity and abundance. 273  $(p < 0.001)$ 



 **Fig. 3.** Heatmap of dam microbiota one day after parturition at the family level between the three studied sites (fecal, vaginal and colostral). The color scale is based on the relative abundance of the amplicon sequence variant (ASV).

 *Composition*. At the three sampling sites, *Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, Fusobacteria, Bacteroidetes* and *Actinobacteria* were the five most abundant microbial phyla, except the vaginal phylum, where *Tenericutes*, exclusively composed of *Mycoplasma*, was more abundant than *Actinobacteria* and was significantly more abundant than in feces and colostrum (**Figure 4A and Table 2**). The relative abundance of *Firmicutes* remained similar among the sampling sites, although the family composition of this phylum varied from one site to another. The fecal microbiota had the most heterogeneous *Firmicutes* composition, with *Clostridiaceae*, *Lachnospiraceae* and *Acidaminococcaceae* being the most abundant families of this phylum. The vaginal and colostrum microbiotas, on the other hand, had only one abundant *Firmicutes* family: *Streptococcaceae* and *Staphylococcaceae,* respectively (**Figure 4B**). More *Bacteroidetes* (dominated by *Bacteroidaceae* and *Prevotellaceae*) and *Actinobacteria* (dominated by *Corynebacteriaceae*) were present in the fecal microbiota than in the vaginal and colostrum microbiotas (**Table 2**). In contrast, *Proteobacteria* was the dominant phylum in both the vaginal and colostrum microbiotas, with *Enterobacteriaceae* and *Moraxellaceae* having the highest relative abundances in the vaginal and colostrum microbiotas, respectively.

295 **Table 2**. Mean relative abundance of each phylum and prominent family in the three 296 microbiotas of the dams one day after parturition  $(n=36)$ .

<b>Relative abundance (%)</b> $(\text{mean}\pm S\textbf{D})$				Relative abundance $(\% )$ $(\text{mean}\pm S\textbf{D})$			
<b>Phylum</b>	Fecal	Vaginal	<b>Colostrum</b>	<b>Family</b>	Fecal	<b>Vaginal</b>	<b>Colostrum</b>
Actinobacteria	$15.9 \pm 14.5$	$2.2 + 4.8$	$2.2 \pm 2.0$	Corynebacteriaceae	$14.2 \pm 14.2$	$1.9 + 4.3$	$1.1 \pm 1.3$
<i>Bacteroidetes</i>	$25.4 + 8.7$	$10.3 \pm 16.0$	$8.0 \pm 8.0$	<i>Bacteroidaceae</i>	$12.0 \pm 4.5$	$1.1 + 5.2$	$1.6 \pm 1.9$
				Prevotellaceae	$9.0 \pm 5.4$	$1.2 + 4.1$	$1.0 \pm 1.4$
<i>Firmicutes</i>	$32.9 + 9.9$	$26.2 \pm 25.4$	$35.3 \pm 19.5$	Staphylococcaceae	$0.8 \pm 1.6$	$4.4 + 8.5$	$10.0 \pm 12.1$
				<i>Streptococcaceae</i>	$2.4 \pm 5.3$	$13.1 \pm 21.9$	$3.2 + 4.8$
				Clostridiaceae	$6.4 \pm 4.3$	$3.4 \pm 15.7$	$4.1 + 4.7$
				Lachnospiraceae	$5.1 \pm 2.7$	$0.4 \pm 1.0$	$2.0 \pm 2.6$
				Acidaminococcaceae	$4.2 \pm 2.3$	$0.1 \pm 0.5$	$1.2 \pm 1.7$
Fusobacteria	$13.5 + 4.8$	$7.0 \pm 14.2$	$4.3 + 4.6$	<i>Fusobacteriaceae</i>	$13.5 + 4.8$	$7.0 \pm 14.0$	$4.3 + 4.6$







 **Fig. 4.** Mean relative abundance of the bacterial taxa of the three studied microbiotas in 36 bitches one day after parturition at the (A) phylum and (B) family levels. The 15 most abundant families at each site are highlighted. All the remaining taxa are summed in the "Other" category. Significant differences between phyla are identified with Tukey's HSD letters.

 *Alpha diversity*. The microbiotas from the vagina, colostrum and feces presented significantly different numbers of observed ASVs and Shannon diversity indices (p < 0.001). The colostrum microbiota had a significantly greater number of observed ASVs (mean = 84.9  $\pm$  17.0) compared to the fecal one (mean = 54.3  $\pm$  7.4) which itself was significantly greater 306 than the vaginal one (mean  $= 37.5 \pm 23.6$ ) (**Figure 5A**). According to the Shannon index, the 307 fecal and colostrum microbiota did not significantly differ (mean =  $2.9 \pm 0.2$  and mean =  $2.7 \pm 1.7$  0.6), implying that the evenness of the taxa in the fecal microbiota is greater (**Figure 5B**). The alpha diversity of the microbiota from both locations was significantly greater than that of the 310 vaginal microbiota (mean =  $1.7 \pm 0.9$ ).



 **Fig. 5.** Alpha diversity of 36 samples of maternal fecal, vaginal and colostrum microbiotas one day after parturition using (A) observed species (bacterial richness) and (B) the Shannon index

 (bacterial richness and evenness). Significant differences are identified with Tukey Honest Significant Difference (HSD) letters. Boxes with different letters are significantly different (p  $317 \quad < 0.05$ ).

3.3. Characteristics of the core microbiota clusters

 The core fecal, vaginal and colostrum microbiotas were composed of 15, 6, and 14 ASVs, respectively, at the genus level. The composition of the core microbiota is presented in the supplementary data (**Table S3**). Using DMM community clustering, two clusters were identified in the fecal and colostral microbiota, and three were identified in the vaginal 323 microbiota, which is consistent with the previous Bray–Curtis results, highlighting greater intradissimilarity in the vaginal microbiota than in the other two microbiotas (**Figure 6**).



## **B. Vaginal microbial clusters**

## **C. Colostral microbial clusters**

 **Fig. 6.** Beta diversity plots showing the differences in the fecal (A), vaginal (B) and colostral (C) microbiota structures between the clusters identified in the 36 dams one day after parturition via Dirichlet Multinomial Mixtures (DMM) clustering analysis. The MDS plot shows the dissimilarities between clusters, which were calculated via Bray–Curtis distances. Each point represents an individual dam sample for one specific microbiota, positioned on the plot on the basis of the similarity of its microbiota communities with other samples. Ellipses are based on 95% 330 confidence intervals and standard errors. The similarity of bacterial communities is evaluated on the basis of taxon similarity and abundance. ( $p <$ 0.001).

A. Fecal microbial clusters

 *Composition*. In feces, the clusters were separated on the basis of the relatively high relative abundances of *Clostridiaceae* and *Selenomonadaceae* in Cluster 1 (means of 9% and 3% vs 3% and 1%, respectively) and the relatively high relative abundances of *Corynebacteriaceae*  (namely, *Corynebacterium* and *Porphyromonadaceae),* mostly *Bacteroides*, in Cluster 2 (means of 26% and 9% vs 7% and 0.5%, respectively) (**Figure 7A, Figure 8A**).

 In the vagina, Cluster 1 was separated by a relatively high relative abundance of *Moraxellaceae* (28% vs 10% and 1%), mostly *Psychrobacter* and *Acinetobacter* (**Figure 7E and Figure 8B**), and a relatively low relative abundance of *Fusobacteriaceae* (0.5% vs 9% and 13%). Cluster 2 was separated by a relatively high relative abundance of *Pasteurellaceae* (23% vs 10% and 0.9%), mostly *Haemophilus*. Cluster 3 was separated by a relatively high relative abundance of *Enterobacteriaceae* (41% vs 5% and 8%), mostly *Escherichia*, and a relatively low abundance of *Flavobacteriaceae* (0.1% vs 3% and 6%). The abundance of the *Mycoplasma* genus did not differ among the three clusters.

 Finally, in colostrum, Cluster 1 was separated from Cluster 2 on the basis of the higher relative abundance of *Moraxellaceae* (51% vs 27%)*, mostly Psychrobacter,* and *Flavobacteriaceae* (8% vs 1%), and lower relative abundances of *Enterobacteriaceae* (2% vs 9%), *Clostridiaceae* (1%

vs 7%) and *Lachnospiraceae* (0.5% vs 3%) (**Figure 7F and Figure 8C**).

 All mean relative abundances of the core families of each cluster are compiled in Supplementary **Table S3**.



 **Fig. 7.** Microbiota profiles in determined clusters on different body sites of dams one day after parturition. Relative abundance of the core families with > 1% relative abundance for each cluster of the fecal (A), vaginal (B) and colostrum (C) microbiotas and heatmaps of the core genera of ASVs for the fecal (D), vaginal (E) and colostrum (F) microbiotas.







 **Fig. 8.** The main taxon ASVs of the fecal (A), vaginal (B), and colostrum (C) microbiota significantly distinguishing the observed clusters according to linear discriminant analysis effect size (LEfSe) on samples obtained from 36 dams one day after parturition.

 *Alpha diversity*. There was a significant difference in the Shannon index between the two clusters of fecal microbiota, with Cluster 1 having a significantly greater index (**Figure 9**). For the colostrum microbiota, there was a significant difference between clusters in the observed ASV only, with Cluster 1 having a lower number of observed ASVs. For the vaginal microbiota, Cluster 1 had a significantly greater number of observed ASVs than did Clusters 2 and 3, whereas Cluster 3 had a significantly lower Shannon index. All the data are compiled in Supplementary **Table S4**.



 **Fig. 9.** Bacterial richness of all three microbiota in 36 bitches one day after parturition according to the defined clusters. Significant differences are identified with Tukey's HSD letters. Boxes 374 with different letters are significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ) according to (A) the number of observed ASVs and (B) the Shannon index.

## 378 3.4. Core microbiota clusters and reproductive performance

 On the basis of the linear models assessing the LBW ratio, GR 0–2 days, stillbirth ratio, and neonatal mortality ratio, with fecal, vaginal, and colostral microbiotas as the studied factors, only the vaginal microbiota was consistently associated with reproductive outcomes, except for the LBW ratio (**Table 3**).

383

384 **Table 3.** Associations between fecal, vaginal and colostrum microbial clusters one day after 385 parturition and neonatal outcomes: p values of the four linear models. Significant p values (< 386 0.05) and tendencies  $( $0.1$ )$  are highlighted in bold.

<b>Maternal microbiota</b>	Ratio of low- birth-weights	<b>Growth rate</b> $0-2$ days	<b>Ratio of</b> stillbirth	<b>Ratio of</b> mortality $0-21$ days
Fecal microbiota	0.364	0.670	0.709	0.929
Vaginal microbiota	0.638	0.059	0.005	0.018
Colostrum microbiota	0.294	0.189	0.598	0.622

387

 Litter GR over the first 2 days of life tended to be 2 times greater in puppies from Cluster 1 bitches than in those from Clusters 2 and 3 (**Table 4, Figure 10A**). In contrast, the interlitter ratio of stillbirth and neonatal mortality was approximately twice as high in Cluster 2 litters and over 4 times greater in Cluster 3 litters than in Cluster 1 litters (Table 4; **Figure 10B and 10C**). No significant difference was observed in neonatal outcomes among dams with different microbial fecal or colostral clusters.

394

396 **Table 4.** Characteristics of the neonatal outcomes of 36 dams according to their fecal, vaginal 397 or colostral microbiota clusters identified via Dirichlet Multinomial Mixtures (DMM). 398 Significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) and tendencies ( $< 0.1$ ) are highlighted in bold.

	Fecal			Vaginal		Colostrum	
<b>Cluster</b>	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3	Cluster 1	Cluster 2
Number of dams	23	13	14	12	10	17	19
<b>Ratio of LBW</b> $(\text{mean}\pm S\mathbf{D}$ ; %)	$24.5 \pm 30.1$	$17.8 \pm 27.6$	$26.4+29.8$	$19.4 \pm 29.7$	$19.2 + 29.3$	$27.3 \pm 32.9$	$17.4 \pm 24.9$
GR 0-2 days $(\text{mean}\pm S\mathbf{D}; \%)$	$7.8 + 8.7$	$8.8 + 7.4$	$11.3 \pm 7.3$	$6.4 \pm 7.3$	$5.8 + 9.5$	$6.9 + 6.3$	$9.2 + 9.6$
Ratio of stillbirth $(\text{mean}\pm\text{SD}; \%)$	$9.8 \pm 10.9$	$10.5 \pm 15.3$	$4.3 \pm 6.0$	$9.9 + 11.4$	$18.3 + 16.2$	$10.0 \pm 11.0$	$10.1 \pm 13.9$
Ratio of neonatal mortality (mean $\pm SD$ ; $\frac{6}{2}$ - - -	$9.3 \pm 13.6$	$8.9 + 15.2$	$4.3 \pm 9.2$	$7.3 \pm 14.6$	$18.2 \pm 15.7$	$9.1 \pm 14.2$	$9.2 \pm 14.2$

399 *LBW – low birth weight; GR – growth rate*

400



402 **Fig. 10.** Neonatal outcomes ((A) growth rate 0–2 days; (B) stillbirth ratio; (C) neonatal 403 mortality ratio) of 36 litters according to the vaginal microbial profile of their dam. Significant 404 differences are identified with Tukey's HSD letters. Boxes with different letters are 405 significantly different  $(p < 0.05)$ .

406

 3.5. Factors associated with variation in the core microbiota clusters

 Among the three studied microbiota, only the maternal vaginal microbial profile was significantly associated with the reproductive performance of the dam (stillbirth and neonatal mortality), and a trend was observed for the GR at 0–2 days. Thus, the vaginal microbial profile was further explored. The results of the final multivariate logistic regression model on the vaginal microbial profile revealed a significant association with parity (0.049) but not with breed or litter size (p>0.05 for all the parameters). Bitches from Cluster 2 and Cluster 3 had a mean parity of 3 and 4, respectively, whereas the mean parity in Cluster 1 was 1 (**Figure 11**). More specifically, all primiparous dams belonged to this cluster. However, no significant 418 differences between nulliparous  $(n=5)$  and multiparous  $(n=31)$  dams were found via LEfSe analysis.



 **Fig. 11.** Parity of the 36 followed bitches according to their vaginal microbial profile. Significant differences are identified with Tukey's HSD letters. Boxes with different letters are 423 significantly different  $(p < 0.05)$ .

#### **4. Discussion**

## 4.1. Limitations of the study

 To the best of our knowledge, this study is one of the few in the literature and the first in canine species to provide results concerning the composition of the maternal microbiota of the vagina, feces and colostrum concomitantly at parturition and how they are associated with maternal factors and early-life outcomes. While these results are promising for understanding how maternal factors influence the microbiota at parturition, several elements need to be considered. A total of 36 bitches and their 284 puppies were included in our study. Although this is an important number of animals, the number of animals per group after clustering has decreased to a more limited population, lowering our statistical power in models, specifically those associated with maternal factors. On the basis of previous studies, factors such as type of parturition, diet and breed have a strong influence on microbial communities and could 436 vershadow the factors investigated in the present study<sup>16</sup>; indeed, the included dams originated from one kennel with the same nutrition, kennel conditions and management.

## 4.2. Importance of the maternal microbiota for newborns

 Early colonization of the gut and development of the intestinal microbiota are crucial steps for 440 newborns, which can shape many vital functions in the short and long term <sup>37,38</sup>. More specifically, the expression of some genes in newborns is influenced by maternal microbial status, even before birth, affecting the later development of the immune system, 443 neurophysiology, or energy metabolism<sup>39</sup>. It is now widely known that maternal factors and the microbiota play crucial roles in the establishment of bacterial communities in newborns in the 445 constant presence of their mothers during parturition<sup>13,40,41</sup>. Among the maternal microbiota, the fecal microbiota is by far the most studied, although many recent studies have shown that

 the vaginal and colostrum microbiota play crucial roles in shaping the microbiota of newborns  $5,42$ . Each of these microbiotas intervenes at different times in the development of the newborn's microbiota, with different effects. In humans, the vaginal environment is the first in contact with the newborn, even before exposure to the external environment; hence, it is the one shaping 451 initial bacterial colonization<sup>43</sup>. It is then expanded by the colostrum microbiota, which is rapidly ingested by the newborn, shaping its gut microbiota<sup>44</sup>. Finally, animals at birth might come into contact with their mother's feces, which induces another vertical transfer of bacteria from the mother to the offspring. Thus, to obtain a full picture of the association between the maternal microbiota and offspring health, several microbiota have been studied rather than one.

## 4.3. Composition of the fecal microbiota

 First, some important differences between the fecal, vaginal, and colostral microbiotas were demonstrated in our study. Compared with those of the other two microbiota, the fecal microbiota at parturition had the most uniform composition among the dams, with no clear dominance of any specific taxa. The fecal microbiota has been extensively studied in recent decades in dogs, and the composition presented in our study did not differ much from previously 462 published data  $45-48$ . In some taxa, such as *Lactobacillus*, the relative abundance in the fecal microbiota was very low (0.9% of total abundance) compared with that in nonpregnant animals. Indeed, in previous results concerning the evolution of the fecal microbiota during pregnancy, 465 we reported that the abundance of lactobacilli decreased to almost zero at parturition<sup>49</sup>. One hypothesis for this disappearance was that lactobacilli translocate from the gut to the mammary 467 glands; this phenomenon is known as the "entero-mammary pathway"<sup>50</sup>. Moreover, the increase in estrogen levels during pregnancy has been linked to a decrease in vaginal pH, fostering the 469 growth of lactobacilli and reducing pathogen colonization<sup>51</sup>. However, these hypotheses, as well as the role of *Lactobacillus* in newborn dogs, remain to be investigated. More generally,

 other studies are needed to understand the role of the maternal fecal microbiota in newborn seeding.

 Although the same diet was provided to all the dams in this study, two bacterial profiles were distinguished in the fecal microbiota *postpartum*. The first profile (Cluster 1) was characterized by a relatively high abundance of *Bacteroides* and *Clostridium* and mostly *Clostridium hiranonis.* This species affects secondary bile acid production and inhibits the growth of pathogenic bacteria such as *C. perfringens*52,53 . On the other hand, *Corynebacterium*, which is overrepresented in Cluster 2, is associated with a lower risk of food-responsive diarrhea or IBD 479 in dogs<sup>54</sup>. Nevertheless, no relationship between the fecal microbiome and neonatal outcomes was observed in this study.

## 4.4. Composition of the colostrum microbiota

 Similar to that of the fecal microbiota, very limited interindividual diversity was observed between the core colostrum microbiota of dams. The source of the colostrum microbiota is still unclear, and many studies suggest that some of the bacterial communities are derived from contamination from the skin, the external environment and the oral microbiota of the offspring<sup>55</sup> . Nevertheless, *Proteobacteria* was the dominant phylum in the colostrum 487 microbiota, as observed in women's milk *postpartum*<sup>56</sup>. This phylum was represented mainly by the *Moraxellaceae* family, which had the highest relative abundance among all the bacterial families. Interestingly, in a previous study on puppies, the *Moraxellaceae* family had the highest 490 mean relative abundance in puppy feces at birth<sup>17</sup>. This finding reinforces the relationship between the maternal colostral microbiota and the initial development of the intestinal microbiota of the newborn. Indeed, nearly 70% of stool bacteria originate from maternal milk 493 in newborn human babies younger than 6 days of age<sup>56</sup>. However, this hypothesis needs further investigation in dogs.

 Two clusters were identified in the colostral microbiota of the dog, with *Enterobacteriaceae* and *Clostridiaceae* dominating in one cluster and *Moraxellaceae* and *Lachnospiraceae* in the second cluster. To date, it remains impossible to determine which colostral microbial profile might be more beneficial for newborn health, as no relationship was observed between these two parameters in the present study. Further investigations are needed to address the presence 500 of these taxa in canine milk, as well as their potential function in the newborn gut .

# 4.5. Composition of the vaginal microbiota and its associations with neonatal outcomes

#### *4.5.1. General composition*

 Similar to colostrum, *Proteobacteria* was the dominant phylum in the vaginal microbiota, with *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Moraxellaceae, Streptococcacae*, and *Pasteurellaceae* being the key bacterial taxa observed *postpartum* in our population. These results are consistent with previous studies in which these taxa were also found to be the most abundant in the vaginal samples of 508 canine females<sup>58–60</sup>. The vaginal microbiota showed considerable interindividual diversity, with some dams having a microbiota composed almost entirely of one main bacterial family, whereas others had a more diverse vaginal microbiota.

 Three distinct profiles emerged from the clustering of the vaginal microbiota in our study. The first cluster (Cluster 1) consisted of dams dominated by *Moraxellaceae*, the second cluster (Cluster 2) had dams with a relatively high relative abundance of *Pasteurellaceae*, and the third cluster (Cluster 3) had a relatively high relative abundance of *Enterobacteriaceae.* Both this high interindividual variation between dams and the composition of the vaginal microbiota are quite different from what can be seen in humans. Indeed, the core vaginal microbiota of humans around birth is dominated by *Lactobacillus*, which often accounts for more than half of the 518 relative abundance, followed by *Enterococcus* and *Staphylococcus*<sup>61</sup>. The higher abundance of *Lactobacillus* in the human vaginal microbiota may be due to a lower pH, typically below 4.5, 520 whereas the pH of the canine vagina ranges from 5.0 to 8.1<sup>59</sup>. Lactic acid bacteria can survive in low-pH environments, allowing them to dominate areas where other bacteria cannot survive, 522 such as the human vagina<sup>62</sup>. The high pH in dogs' vaginas leads to greater competition and hence a lower abundance of *Lactobacillus* at the expanse of *Proteobacteria*, which grow at higher pH.

#### *4.5.2. Association with mortality in puppies*

 One of the most important findings of this study is the association between the vaginal microbiota in dams and the neonatal outcomes of their puppies. The vaginal microbiota in women, cows and sows has been shown to influence the risk of prematurity, morbidity and 529 mortality in newborns<sup>63–65,</sup> but this study is one of the first to describe such results in canine species via sequence-based bacterial analysis. Indeed, one of the vaginal microbial profiles (Cluster 3) was significantly associated with a higher risk of stillbirth and neonatal mortality in puppies, and a trend toward a lower growth rate over the first two days of life was observed.

 In parallel with the increased stillbirth ratio, Cluster 3 dams also presented lower vaginal bacterial richness than the other clusters did, suggesting that both are significantly correlated. This is a surprising result, as elevated bacterial diversity was observed in human vaginal 536 samples with an increased risk of premature birth<sup>66</sup>.

 *E. coli* was found to be the most abundant *Enterobacteriaceae* in Cluster 3 dams. This 538 bacterium is considered opportunistic $67-69$  and is the most commonly isolated bacterium from the vagina of healthy female dogs. However, in the case of neonatal mortality, an abundant pure culture of *E. coli* from a vaginal sample from a dam is interpreted as a likely cause of neonatal 541 death <sup>70</sup>. Indeed, *E. coli* is also most often isolated from internal organs of puppies that die

542 during the neonatal period  $41,71-73$ . Moreover, over 80% of cases of neonatal septicaemia in dogs occur within the first two days of life, strongly suggesting contamination during late gestation 544 or birth via the uterus or vagina of the dam<sup>74</sup>. In humans, ascending bacterial infection with  $E$ . *coli* leading to chorio-amnionitis before or after membrane rupture is usually the most common 546 infectious cause of stillbirth<sup>75</sup>. Our results seem to confirm the potential pathological role of this bacteria, as we have shown that, compared with dams with a vaginal microbiota rich in bacteria from the *Moraxellaceae* family, those with a vaginal microbiota dominated by *E. coli*  present a significantly greater risk of stillbirth and neonatal mortality.

 Among the most abundant bacteria of Cluster 2 bitches, we identified bacteria from the *Pasteurellaceae* family, more precisely, *Canicola haemoglobinophilus*. Interestingly, these 552 bacteria were found to be associated with urogenital tract infections in dogs<sup>76,</sup> but they were 553 also isolated from dead newborn  $\log s^{77}$ . Thus, one could hypothesize that the vaginal microbiota of bitches from Cluster 2 could be associated with neonatal mortality. However, our data do not allow us to confirm this hypothesis.

 In future studies, data on a large number of animals with maternal vaginal bacteria and bacteria isolated from stillborn puppies or puppies dying during the neonatal period are needed to identify the existence of any specific pathogens responsible for neonatal losses in the dog.

## 4.6. Vaginal microbiota and parity

 Parity was the only maternal factor correlated with the vaginal microbial profile in female dogs. Bitches from Cluster 3 presented lower bacterial richness and evenness associated with higher parity. As parity in this study increased proportionally with the age of the female, the alpha diversity of the canine vagina may have decreased with age, similar to what can be observed in 564 the feces<sup>78</sup>. While similar results have been reported in the vaginal microbiota of baboons<sup>79</sup>, opposite results have been reported in human and canine populations, where vaginal bacterial

566 diversity increases with age<sup>80,81</sup>. As Cluster 3 dams presented a greater risk of mortality in their puppies together with higher parity, one could hypothesize that the vaginal microbiota undergoes some significant changes with age and/or parity of the host, leading to potential vaginal dysbiosis.

#### **5. Conclusions**

 The present results demonstrated the association of puppy health with the maternal microbiota, particularly the vaginal microbiota. Low vaginal microbiota richness was associated with an increased risk of stillbirth. A specific vaginal microbial profile was identified in this study and found to be associated with an increased risk of stillbirth and neonatal mortality in newborns. A promising avenue for future research in canine species lies in understanding how hormonal changes affect the physicochemical parameters of environments such as the gut, vaginal mucosa, and colostrum. Hormones such as estrogen, progesterone, and cortisol alter pH levels, mucosal secretions, and immune functions, which in turn create dynamic niches for microbial communities. The practical implications of this research are vast. By understanding the hormonal modulation of these microbial ecosystems and their interactions (called together holomicrobiome), interventions could be developed to optimize the maternal microbiota during pregnancy, potentially reducing the risk of complications such as stillbirth and infections. Moreover, this knowledge could inform the development of probiotic, prebiotic, or dietary strategies to support a healthy holomicrobiome, with cascading benefits for both maternal and neonatal health.

# 586 **Supplementary materials**

587 **Supplementary Table S1:** Analysis of the nutritional values of the feeds used in the study.



588

- 590 **Supplementary Table S2:** Thresholds of birth weight used to create the four quartiles for each breed and the number of birth weights used to
- 591 calculate the threshold:



592

594 **Supplementary Table S3:** Mean relative abundances of the core families of each dam cluster for each microbiota one day after parturition.



596 **Supplementary Table S4:** Mean number (±SD) of observed ASVs and the Shannon index of each dam for each cluster of each microbiota site.



## **6. Abbreviations**

- **ASV:** Amplicon sequence variant
- **DMM**: Dirichlet Multinomial Mixtures
- **FS:** Fecal Score
- **GIT:** Gastrointestinal tract
- **GWG**: Gestational Weight Gain
- **LBW:** Low birth weight
- **GR**: Growth ratio

## **7. Declarations**

## 7.1. Ethics approval

The animal study was reviewed and approved by the local ethical committee (Comité d'Éthique

en Expérimentation Animale, Science et Santé Animale n°115; reference number: SSA\_2020-

004, Toulouse, France). All applicable guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed.

Written informed consent was obtained from the kennel owner for his participation in this study.

7.2. Data availability

 All the data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article [and its supplementary information files].

- 7.3. Conflict of interest
- E. A. and A. R. were employed by the company Lallemand SAS.

 All the authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as potential conflicts of interest.

#### 7.4. Funding

 The study was co-founded by Occitania Region (La region Occitanie Pyrénées – Méditerranée, France) and Lallemand SAS. The employees of Lallemand SAS have participated in the study design, sample analyses and manuscript writing.

7.5. Author Contributions

 S.C., H.M., E.A. and Q.G. designed the study and protocols. Q.G. and N.R. collected the samples. Q.G. performed the DNA extraction with the supervision of E.A. A.R. and Q.G. performed the DNA-based and statistical analyses. Q.G., S.C., H.M. and E.A. participated in the investigation and interpretation of the results. Q.G. and H.M. drafted the manuscript, designed the figures and wrote the final manuscript after reviewing. H.M., S.C. and E.A. reviewed and contributed to the writing of the final manuscript.

7.6. Acknowledgments

 We would like to thank Pauline David, Elodie Mansalier, Fanny Mercier and Laetitia Montacq for their invaluable assistance in the collection of samples and analysis of data. We would also like to thank the technical staff of the Lallemand laboratory, particularly Caroline Achard and Elodie Colin, for their help in the extraction and sequencing of the microbial DNA and Amélie Mugnier for manuscript reviewing. Finally, we deeply thank the owner of the kennel for allowing us access to his facilities and dogs during the study.

## **8. References**

- 1. Lynch, S. V. & Pedersen, O. The Human Intestinal Microbiome in Health and Disease. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **375**, 2369–2379 (2016).
- 2. Blake, A. B. & Suchodolski, J. S. Importance of gut microbiota for the health and disease of dogs and cats. *Anim. Front.* **6**, 37–42 (2016).
- 3. Yoo, J. Y., Groer, M., Dutra, S. V. O., Sarkar, A. & McSkimming, D. I. Gut Microbiota and Immune System Interactions. *Microorganisms* **8**, 1587 (2020).
- 4. Chee, W. J. Y., Chew, S. Y. & Than, L. T. L. Vaginal microbiota and the potential of Lactobacillus derivatives in maintaining vaginal health. *Microb. Cell Factories* **19**, 203 (2020).
- 5. Toscano, M. *et al.* Impact of delivery mode on the colostrum microbiota composition. *BMC Microbiol.* **17**, 205 (2017).
- 6. France, M., Alizadeh, M., Brown, S., Ma, B. & Ravel, J. Towards a deeper understanding of the vaginal microbiota. *Nat. Microbiol.* **7**, 367–378 (2022).
- 7. Reyman, M. *et al.* Impact of delivery mode-associated gut microbiota dynamics on health in the first year of life. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 4997 (2019).
- 8. Saturio, S. *et al.* Role of Bifidobacteria on Infant Health. *Microorganisms* **9**, 2415 (2021).
- 9. Sinha, T., Brushett, S., Prins, J. & Zhernakova, A. The maternal gut microbiome during pregnancy and its role in maternal and infant health. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **74**, 102309 (2023).
- 10. Su, Y. *et al.* Effect of exposure to antibiotics on the gut microbiome and biochemical indexes of pregnant women. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res. Care* **9**, e002321 (2021).
- 11. Stanislawski, M. A. *et al.* Pre-pregnancy weight, gestational weight gain, and the gut microbiota of mothers and their infants. *Microbiome* **5**, 113 (2017).
- 12. Sanidad, K. Z. *et al.* Maternal gut microbiome–induced IgG regulates neonatal gut microbiome and immunity. *Sci. Immunol.* **7**, eabh3816 (2022).
- 13. Funkhouser, L. J. & Bordenstein, S. R. Mom Knows Best: The Universality of Maternal Microbial Transmission. *PLOS Biol.* **11**, e1001631 (2013).
- 14. Chastant-Maillard, S. *et al.* Reproductive performance and pre-weaning mortality: Preliminary analysis of 27,221 purebred female dogs and 204,537 puppies in France. *Reprod. Domest. Anim.* **52**, 158–162 (2017).
- 15. Garrigues, Q., Apper, E., Chastant, S. & Mila, H. Gut microbiota development in the growing dog: A dynamic process influenced by maternal, environmental and host factors. *Front. Vet. Sci.* **9**, (2022).
- 16. Zakošek Pipan, M., Kajdič, L., Kalin, A., Plavec, T. & Zdovc, I. Do newborn puppies have their own microbiota at birth? Influence of type of birth on newborn puppy microbiota. *Theriogenology* **152**, 18–28 (2020).
- 17. Garrigues, Q. *et al.* Composition and evolution of the gut microbiota of growing puppies is impacted by their birth weight. Preprint at https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2604924/v1 (2023).
- 18. Laflamme, D. Development and validation of a body condition score system for dogs. *Canine Pract.* **22**, 10–15 (1997).
- 19. Royal Canin. Royal Canin Faecal Score Guide. *[Online]* https://vetportal.royalcanin.co.uk/wp-
- content/uploads/2020/05/FINAL\_Faecal\_Score\_Guide\_digital\_version.pdf.
- 20. Mila, H., Grellet, A., Feugier, A. & Chastant-Maillard, S. Differential impact of birth weight and early growth on neonatal mortality in puppies. *J. Anim. Sci.* **93**, 4436–4442 (2015).
- 21. Mugnier, A. *et al.* Birth weight as a risk factor for neonatal mortality: Breed-specific approach to identify at-risk puppies. *Prev. Vet. Med.* **171**, 104746 (2019).
- 22. Derakhshani, H., Plaizier, J. C., De Buck, J., Barkema, H. W. & Khafipour, E. Association of bovine major histocompatibility complex (BoLA) gene polymorphism with colostrum and milk microbiota of dairy cows during the first week of lactation. *Microbiome* **6**, 203 (2018).
- 23. Muyzer, G., de Waal, E. C. & Uitterlinden, A. G. Profiling of complex microbial populations by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis analysis of polymerase chain reaction-amplified genes coding for 16S rRNA. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **59**, 695–700 (1993).
- 24. Caporaso, J. G. *et al.* Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per sample. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **108 Suppl 1**, 4516–4522 (2011).
- 25. Callahan, B. J. *et al.* DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat. Methods* **13**, 581–583 (2016).
- 26. Bolyen, E. *et al.* Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **37**, 852–857 (2019).
- 27. Katoh, K., Misawa, K., Kuma, K. & Miyata, T. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **30**, 3059–3066 (2002).
- 28. Price, M. N., Dehal, P. S. & Arkin, A. P. FastTree 2--approximately maximum-likelihood trees for large alignments. *PLOS ONE* **5**, e9490 (2010).
- 29. Bokulich, N. A. *et al.* q2-longitudinal: Longitudinal and Paired-Sample Analyses of Microbiome Data. *mSystems* **3**, e00219-18 (2018).
- 30. Dhariwal, A. *et al.* MicrobiomeAnalyst: a web-based tool for comprehensive statistical, visual and meta-analysis of microbiome data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **45**, W180–W188 (2017).
- 31. Palarea-Albaladejo, J. & Martín-Fernández, J. A. zCompositions R package for multivariate imputation of left-censored data under a compositional approach. *Chemom. Intell. Lab. Syst.* **143**, 85–96 (2015).
- 32. Oksanen, J. *et al.* vegan: Community Ecology Package. (2022).
- 33. Shannon, C. E. & Weaver, W. A mathematical theory of communication. *Univ. Ill. Press Urbana* **27**, 379–423 (1949).
- 34. McMurdie, P. J. & Holmes, S. phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. *PLOS ONE* **8**, e61217 (2013).
- 35. Astudillo-García, C. *et al.* Evaluating the core microbiota in complex communities: A systematic investigation. *Environ. Microbiol.* **19**, 1450–1462 (2017).
- 36. Holmes, I., Harris, K. & Quince, C. Dirichlet Multinomial Mixtures: Generative Models for Microbial Metagenomics. *PLOS ONE* **7**, e30126 (2012).
- 37. Nowland, T. L., Kirkwood, R. N. & Pluske, J. R. Review: Can early-life establishment of the piglet intestinal microbiota influence production outcomes? *Animal* 100368 (2021) doi:10.1016/j.animal.2021.100368.
- 38. Adlerberth, I. & Wold, A. Establishment of the gut microbiota in Western infants. *Acta Paediatr.* **98**, 229–238 (2009).
- 39. Husso, A. *et al.* Impacts of maternal microbiota and microbial metabolites on fetal intestine, brain, and placenta. *BMC Biol.* **21**, 207 (2023).
- 40. Jost, T., Lacroix, C., Braegger, C. P., Rochat, F. & Chassard, C. Vertical mother–neonate transfer of maternal gut bacteria via breastfeeding. *Environ. Microbiol.* **16**, 2891–2904 (2014).
- 41. Bertero, A. *et al.* Meconium microbiota in naturally delivered canine puppies. *BMC Vet. Res.* **20**, 363 (2024).
- 42. Mortensen, M. S. *et al.* Modeling transfer of vaginal microbiota from mother to infant in 736 early life. *eLife* **10**, e57051 (2021).<br>737 43. Dominguez-Bello. M. G. *et al.* Del
- 43. Dominguez-Bello, M. G. *et al.* Delivery mode shapes the acquisition and structure of the initial microbiota across multiple body habitats in newborns. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **107**, 11971–11975 (2010).
- 44. Ge, Y. *et al.* The Maternal Milk Microbiome in Mammals of Different Types and Its Potential Role in the Neonatal Gut Microbiota Composition. *Anim. Open Access J. MDPI* **11**, 3349 (2021).
- 45. Guard, B. C. *et al.* Characterization of microbial dysbiosis and metabolomic changes in dogs with acute diarrhea. *PLOS ONE* **10**, e0127259 (2015).
- 46. Handl, S., Dowd, S. E., Garcia-Mazcorro, J. F., Steiner, J. M. & Suchodolski, J. S. Massive parallel 16S rRNA gene pyrosequencing reveals highly diverse fecal bacterial and fungal communities in healthy dogs and cats. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **76**, 301–310 (2011).
- 47. Kubinyi, E., Bel Rhali, S., Sándor, S., Szabó, A. & Felföldi, T. Gut Microbiome Composition is Associated with Age and Memory Performance in Pet Dogs. *Animals* **10**, 1488 (2020).
- 48. You, I. & Kim, M. J. Comparison of Gut Microbiota of 96 Healthy Dogs by Individual Traits: Breed, Age, and Body Condition Score. *Anim. Open Access J. MDPI* **11**, 2432 (2021).
- 49. Mercier, F. Étude expérimentale sur les modifications du microbiote intestinal chez la chienne en gestation et en lactation. (Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, 2022).
- 50. Rodríguez, J. M. The Origin of Human Milk Bacteria: Is There a Bacterial Entero- Mammary Pathway during Late Pregnancy and Lactation?1234. *Adv. Nutr.* **5**, 779–784 (2014).
- 51. Farage, M. A., Miller, K. W. & Sobel, J. D. Dynamics of the Vaginal Ecosystem— Hormonal Influences. *Infect. Dis. Res. Treat.* **3**, IDRT.S3903 (2010).
- 52. Blake, A. B. *et al.* Developmental stages in microbiota, bile acids, and clostridial species in healthy puppies. *J. Vet. Intern. Med.* **34**, 2345–2356 (2020).
- 53. Guard, B. C. *et al.* Longitudinal assessment of microbial dysbiosis, fecal unconjugated bile acid concentrations, and disease activity in dogs with steroid-responsive chronic inflammatory enteropathy. *J. Vet. Intern. Med.* **33**, 1295–1305 (2019).
- 54. Pilla, R. & Suchodolski, J. S. The Role of the Canine Gut Microbiome and Metabolome in Health and Gastrointestinal Disease. *Front. Vet. Sci.* **6**, (2020).
- 55. Moossavi, S. & Azad, M. B. Origins of human milk microbiota: new evidence and arising questions. *Gut Microbes* **12**, 1667722.
- 56. Corona-Cervantes, K. *et al.* Human milk microbiota associated with early colonization of the neonatal gut in Mexican newborns. *PeerJ* **8**, e9205 (2020).
- 57. Selma-Royo, M. *et al.* Human milk microbiota: what did we learn in the last 20 years? *Microbiome Res. Rep.* **1**, 19 (2022).
- 58. Baba, E., Hata, H., Fukata, T. & Arakawa, A. Vaginal and uterine microflora of adult dogs. *Am. J. Vet. Res.* **44**, 606–609 (1983).
- 59. Golińska, E. *et al.* The vaginal microflora changes in various stages of the estrous cycle of healthy female dogs and the ones with genital tract infections. *BMC Vet. Res.* **17**, 8 (2021).
- 60. Rota, A. *et al.* Effect of sterilization on the canine vaginal microbiota: a pilot study. *BMC Vet. Res.* **16**, 455 (2020).
- 61. Rasmussen, M. A. *et al.* Ecological succession in the vaginal microbiota during pregnancy and birth. *ISME J.* **14**, 2325–2335 (2020).
- 62. Miller, E. A., Beasley, D. E., Dunn, R. R. & Archie, E. A. Lactobacilli Dominance and Vaginal pH: Why Is the Human Vaginal Microbiome Unique? *Front. Microbiol.* **7**, 1936 (2016).
- 63. Bicalho, M. L. S. *et al.* Dynamics of the microbiota found in the vaginas of dairy cows 786 during the transition period: Associations with uterine diseases and reproductive outcome.<br>787 J. Dairy Sci. 100, 3043–3058 (2017). *J. Dairy Sci.* **100**, 3043–3058 (2017).
- 64. Sanglard, L. P. *et al.* Vaginal microbiota diverges in sows with low and high reproductive performance after porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome vaccination. *Sci. Rep.* **10**, 3046 (2020).
- 65. Bayar, E., Bennett, P. R., Chan, D., Sykes, L. & MacIntyre, D. A. The pregnancy microbiome and preterm birth. *Semin. Immunopathol.* **42**, 487–499 (2020).
- 66. Baud, A. *et al.* Microbial diversity in the vaginal microbiota and its link to pregnancy outcomes. *Sci. Rep.* **13**, 9061 (2023).
- 67. Santos, T. M. A., Gilbert, R. O. & Bicalho, R. C. Metagenomic analysis of the uterine bacterial microbiota in healthy and metritic postpartum dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* **94**, 291– 302 (2011).
- 68. Sato, Y. Vulvar Abscess Caused by *Bacteroides* sp. Infection in a Female Dog. *Jpn. J. Vet. Dermatol.* **15**, 187–190 (2009).
- 69. Lanari, M., Sogno Valin, P., Natale, F., Capretti, M. G. & Serra, L. Human milk, a concrete risk for infection? *J. Matern. Fetal Neonatal Med.* **25**, 67–69 (2012).
- 70. Agnew, D. Pathology of Perinatal Disorders. *Vet. Clin. North Am. Small Anim. Pract.* **53**, 1147–1159 (2023).
- 71. Meloni, T. *et al.* A survey on bacterial involvement in neonatal mortality in dogs. *Vet. Ital.* **50**, 293–299 (2014).
- 72. Mila, H., Guerard, C. & Raymond-Letron, I. Guidelines for postmortem examination of newborn dogs. *Anim. Health Res. Rev.* **22**, 109–119 (2021).
- 73. Münnich, A. & Küchenmeister, U. Causes, diagnosis and therapy of common diseases in neonatal puppies in the first days of life: cornerstones of practical approach. *Reprod. Domest. Anim.* **49**, 64–74 (2014).
- 74. Nobre Pacifico Pereira, K. H. *et al.* Neonatal sepsis in dogs: Incidence, clinical aspects and mortality. *Theriogenology* **177**, 103–115 (2022).
- 75. Cools, P. The role of *Escherichia coli* in reproductive health: state of the art. *Res. Microbiol.* **168**, 892–901 (2017).
- 76. Karthikeyan, R. *et al.* Microbiological and molecular detection of Canicola (Haemophilus) haemoglobinophilus from the urine of a dog. *Indian J Anim Health* **62**, 192–198 (2023).
- 77. Maclachlan, G. & Hopkins, G. Early death in pups due to Haemophilus haemoglobinophilus (canis) infection. *Vet. Rec.* **103**, 409–410 (1978).
- 78. Mizukami, K. *et al.* Age-related analysis of the gut microbiome in a purebred dog colony. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **366**, fnz095 (2019).
- 79. Uchihashi, M. *et al.* Influence of age, reproductive cycling status, and menstruation on the vaginal microbiome in baboons (Papio anubis). *Am. J. Primatol.* **77**, 563–578 (2015).
- 80. Romero, R. *et al.* The Vaginal Microbiota of Pregnant Women Varies with Gestational Age, Maternal Age, and Parity. *Microbiol. Spectr.* **11**, e03429-22 (2023).
- 81. Hu, J. *et al.* Dynamics of vaginal microbiome in female beagles at different ages. *Res. Vet. Sci.* **149**, 128–135 (2022).
-