

Factors behind poor cognitive outcome following a thalamic stroke

Julie P C Vidal, Lola Danet, Germain Arribarat, Jérémie Pariente, Patrice Péran, Jean-François Albucher, Emmanuel J. Barbeau

To cite this version:

Julie P C Vidal, Lola Danet, Germain Arribarat, Jérémie Pariente, Patrice Péran, et al.. Factors behind poor cognitive outcome following a thalamic stroke. Journal of Neurology, 2025, 272 (1), pp.98. 10.1007/s00415-024-12777-4. hal-04870906

HAL Id: hal-04870906 <https://hal.science/hal-04870906v1>

Submitted on 7 Jan 2025

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

ORIGINAL COMMUNICATION

Factors behind poor cognitive outcome following a thalamic stroke

JulieP. C. Vidal^{1,2} D· Lola Danet^{2,3} · Germain Arribarat² · Jérémie Pariente^{2,3} · Patrice Péran² · **Jean‑François Albucher2,3 · Emmanuel J. Barbeau1**

Received: 21 September 2024 / Revised: 17 October 2024 / Accepted: 17 October 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

Abstract

Background Thalamic strokes produce neurological, cognitive, and behavioral symptoms depending on the thalamic nuclei involved. While traditionally associated with severe cognitive defcits, recent studies suggest more modest impairments. This study aims to identify the factors that infuence the severity of cognitive impairment following thalamic stroke.

Methods We recruited 40 patients (mean age 51.1) with chronic isolated thalamic stroke and 45 healthy subjects (mean age 48.5) who underwent neuroimaging and neuropsychological assessment. Cluster and principal component analyses were used to discriminate patients from healthy subjects based on cognitive tasks. Disconnectome maps and cortical thickness were analyzed to understand the distant impact of thalamic strokes.

Results Two cognitive profles emerged from the cluster analysis. Cluster 1 included mostly healthy subjects (*n*=43) and patients with no or minor deficits $(n=20)$. Cluster 2 included patients $(n=19)$ and two healthy subjects with severe deficits in verbal memory, executive functions, and attention. Cluster 1 encompassed all patients with right thalamic stroke, while Cluster 2 included all patients with bilateral stroke or mammillothalamic tract interruption. Patients with left-sided stroke were equally divided between clusters. Signifcant diferences between clusters included age, education, interthalamic adhesion disruption, lesion volume, and location. Patients with left-sided stroke in Cluster 2 had more lateral thalamic lesions and greater disruption of the anterior thalamic projection.

Conclusions Contrary to common expectations, our fndings suggest that many patients with thalamic stroke have relatively good cognitive outcomes. In contrast, we identifed the factors behind poor outcomes that will help clinicians.

Keywords Thalamus · Stroke · Cognition · MRI · Outcomes

Introduction

Given its high vascularity [1], the thalamus is vulnerable to stroke, resulting in diverse neurological, cognitive, and behavioral manifestations depending on the vascular territory and thalamic nuclei involved [2]. Historically, understanding of thalamic lesions has been based on case studies, linking them to severe cognitive deficits, such as amnesia [3], aphasia [4], spatial hemineglect [5], homonymous lateral hemianopia [6] and auditory agnosia [7], among others.

However, recent publications demonstrated the opposite pattern. Indeed, Liebermann et al. [8] studied a group of 68 patients with an isolated thalamic stroke and mainly demonstrated the absence of cognitive diferences with the group of healthy subjects. In another study, the same authors investigated 19 patients, 58% of whom did not exhibit major executive deficits [9]. Similarly, during visual anterograde memory tests, either moderate or a lack of memory deficits were observed in 75% of 17 patients with chronic left, right, or bilateral thalamic lesions [10]. These fndings were corroborated by other studies [11, 12]. Finally, a longitudinal study was carried out on 37 patients with an isolated thalamic stroke from the acute to the chronic phase and revealed that patients recovered, at the group scale, no matter which cognitive domain and vascular territory were afected [13].

 \boxtimes Julie P. C. Vidal julie.vidal@univ-tlse3.fr

¹ Brain and Cognition Research Center, (CerCo-UMR 5549), CNRS, University of Toulouse, Toulouse, France

² Toulouse Neuroimaging Center (Tonic-UMR 1214), Inserm, University of Toulouse, Toulouse, France

³ Neurology Department, CHU Toulouse Purpan, Toulouse, France

The discrepancy between earlier and recent research may be due to several factors, including the advent of stroke units allowing the inclusion of patients with subtler deficits in research programs. In addition, the development of highfeld MRI neuroimaging helps to determine the exact extent of lesions that may have been underappreciated in earlier studies. Positive bias in earlier cases may have led to reporting more compelling cases rather than patients with modest deficits. Finally, the thalamus consists of gray matter and white bundles, such as the mammillothalamic tract (MTT involved in the Papez's circuit, [2]) and the interthalamic adhesion (IA, [14]). Lack of investigation into the disruption of these bundles in thalamic lesions may hinder the accurate interpretation of cognitive deficits.

These recent group studies question our understanding of the thalamus's role in cognition and suggest subgroups of stroke patients, some recovering well and others retaining significant cognitive deficits. Our study aims to determine what factors infuence good or poor cognitive outcomes following an isolated thalamic stroke.

Materials and methods

Participants

This cohort study included 45 healthy subjects (ages 20–69, mean 48.5, 20 males) and 40 patients (ages 23–75, mean 51.1, 25 males) with ischemic thalamic lesions (left=28, $right=6$, bilateral = 6). Patients were recruited prospectively across two studies from the stroke units of Toulouse hospitals between 2012–2013 and 2019–2021. The frst study, approved by the Institutional Review Board "Comité de Protection des Personnes Sud-Ouest et Outre-Mer no. 2–11-0," included 20 healthy subjects and 20 patients under the age of 80 who had experienced a thalamic stroke. Three marginal extra-thalamic lesions were accepted in this study [15]. The "Comité de Protection des Personnes Ilede-France IV" authorized the second study. It included 20 healthy subjects and 20 patients under the age of 70 with at least one stroke lesion in the dorsomedian nucleus and no extra-thalamic damage. All Fazekas and Schmidt scores were \leq 2. Recruitment criteria for both studies included detecting a frst symptomatic thalamic infarct regardless of prior complaints or neurobehavioral reports and no known neurovascular, inflammatory, or neurodegenerative diseases. The recruitment-related biases are discussed in the Discussion section. All subjects underwent clinical, neuropsychological, and neuroimaging assessments on the same day. For patients, these assessments were conducted at least 3 months post-stroke (median: 501 days, min: 91, max: 2674 days). Informed consent was obtained from all participants. Symptoms at onset were categorized as cognitive,

motor/vertebrobasilar, or a combination of both. Motor/vertebrobasilar symptoms included vertigo, visual disturbances, ataxia, dysarthria, sensory defcits, dysphagia, weakness, and other issues related to movement and muscle control. Since certain symptoms, such as ataxia and weakness, overlap between motor and vertebrobasilar categories, they were grouped together into a single category for simplicity. Noninclusion criteria were known neurovascular, infammatory, or neurodegenerative diseases.

MRI acquisition

3D T1-MPRAGE sequences were acquired on a 3 T scanner (Philips Achieva). For the first study, the following parameters were: 1*1*1 mm voxel size, flip angle = 8° , FOV = $240*240$, slice number = 170; and for the second study: $0.9*0.9*1$ mm voxel size, flip angle = 8° , FOV = 256×256 , slice number = 189.

Neuropsychological assessment

The following tests were used: the Free and Cued Selective Reminding test [16] (verbal anterograde memory); the DMS48 task [17] (visual anterograde recognition memory); the Stroop test [18] (inhibition); literal and semantic fuencies [18] (executive functions); D2 [19] (attention); digitsymbol test [20] (working memory); ExaDé confrontation naming test [21] (language), visuospatial and auditoryverbal digit span [20] (working memory) and three mood and afective scales: the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory [22], Starkstein Apathy Scale [23] and Beck Depression Inventory Scale [24].

Neuropsychological analyses

Group comparisons were carried out using a χ^2 test for nominal data. Neuropsychological test scores were standardized to z-scores using normative scales. The psycho-afective scales were analyzed using raw data due to a lack of adequate normative scales. To minimize multiple comparisons, one subtest by neuropsychological test was selected, and the mean z-score by cognitive function was computed: working memory (auditory-verbal and visuospatial digit span), verbal memory (FCSRT Delayed Free Recall), executive function (digit-symbol, Stroop interference minus denomination (I−D) response time), language (literal and semantic fuencies, confrontation naming test) and attention (D2 GZ-F, number of processed items minus errors). A mean score of these cognitive functions was calculated to refect general cognitive performance, referred to as "Total."

Cluster analysis

To identify subgroups of patients with similar performances independently of infarct laterality, a cluster analysis was performed using the k-means method and Euclidean distance in both Rstudio and Python [25]. Our data set Hopkins clustering tendency was 0.68 (0: uniformly distributed; 0.5: random; 1: highly clustered data). One patient lacking a value in the attention function was excluded. To identify factors and variables that could better explain the clustering, a logistic regression using the stepwise method on JASP was computed.

Principal component analysis (PCA)

To further explore how patients and healthy subjects could be diferentiated by their cognitive performances, a PCA using the selected cognitive functions in Rstudio was performed. Results are represented by a plot of each individual projected into the space of the two principal components (PC), explaining the maximal amount of variance in the dataset. Individuals are colored by clusters. The percentage of explained variance by PC and the percentages of the contribution of each variable to those PC are represented in Supplementary Fig. 1.

rmANOVA and boxplots

To compare healthy subjects and patients with left, right, and bilateral lesions, a repeated measure ANOVA was conducted using the z-scores by cognitive functions. In the event of a grouping efect, we employed a post hoc t-test. Finally, we used a Kruskal–Wallis test followed by Bonferroni-corrected Dunn's tests to quantify the most discriminative test and study the "Total" cognitive function. Signifcant results were represented as boxplots using z-scores by groups in order to analyze individual performances.

Neuroimaging analysis

Lesion volumetry and location

Lesions were manually segmented on the native T1w images by two independent investigators using MRIcron, and the resulting overlapping mask was used (mean dice $=0.84$).

Lesions were localized using HIPS-THOMAS (Histogram-based Polynomial Synthesis-Thalamus Optimized Multi-Atlas Segmentation; [26, 27]). Nuclei were gathered into nuclear groups using Morel's atlas repartition (Anterior: AV, AM, AD, LD; Medial: Hb, MD, MV, Pv, CeM, CL, CM, Pf, sPf; Posterior: LGN, Li, LP, SG, MGN, Po, PuA, PuI, puL, PuM; Lateral: VA, VL, VM, VPI, VPL, VPM) [28, 29]. The mean percentage of lesions afecting each nuclear group by cluster was computed. If a lesion reached the MTT with a volume greater than 5 mm^3 , the MTT was considered to be disrupted using Morel's segmentation to avoid any lesion bias on the tract reconstruction and, thus, disruption identifcation. The presence, absence of an IA or thalamic lesion extending into it was determined by two independent raters following a previously established protocol [14].

Normalization

Native T1w images and segmented lesions were registered to the MNI152 template using an affine and diffeomorphic deformation for bilateral lesions and enantiomorphic transformation for unilateral lesions after skull stripping using the BCBtoolkit [30]. Normalized overlapping lesions of all patients and by clusters are represented on the MNI152 template using MRIcroGL.

Disconnectome

To map the impact of thalamic strokes on white matter tracts, we used the Disconnectome maps software of the BCBtoolkit [31]. The software output is, for each patient, a map associated with voxel-wise probabilities of disconnection within the standard MNI152 space. The probability that each tract might be disconnected was given by the Tractotron software from the same toolkit. Tracts with a disconnection probability above 50% were considered to be signifcant [31] and used as a threshold for the disconnectome maps. An average disconnectome map of all patients by clusters by laterality of infarct was projected onto an MNI152 template to identify commonly afected tracts.

Cortical thickness

The T1w images were processed using FreeSurfer ([http://](http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu) surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu) to obtain surface parcellation based on the Desikan-Killiany atlas for each subject. The mean cortical thickness of the whole brain and specifc cortical areas found to be connected to the most disrupted tracts identifed by the previous analyses were compared between groups using a Mann–Whitney *U* test.

Results

Sociodemographic

Groups, comprising 45 healthy subjects and 40 patients with ischemic thalamic stroke were comparable in terms of gender (χ^2 =2.78, *p*=0.10), age (*t*-test, *p*=0.41), and years of education (*t*-test, $p = 0.12$) (Table 1). The mean age at stroke was 51 with a minimum of 23 and a maximum of 75

B

D

Fig. 1 A Distribution of patient ages at the time of infarct. **B** Percentages of thalamic stroke etiologies, including congenital atrial septum anomalies like atrial septal defect ostium secondum, Patent Foramen Ovale (PFO), and/or atrial septal aneurysm (data missing for fve

patients). **C** Percentages of patients by categories of initial stroke symptoms. **D** Symptom prevalence comparison based on infarct laterality: B (Bilateral), L (Left), R (Right)

(Fig. 1A). The origin of the thalamic stroke was in almost half of the cases (46%) a congenital anomaly of the atrial septum (Fig. 1B). Initial symptoms at onset were equally divided between motor/vertebrobasilar (28%), cognitive (28%) and both (45%) (Multinomial test: χ^2 = 2.45; *p* = 0.3) (Fig. 1C). However, right thalamic strokes did not lead to cognitive symptoms at the acute phase, contrary to left or bilateral strokes (Fig. 1D) (χ^2 =11.5; *p*=0.02).

Neuropsychological analyses

To identify subgroups of patients and compare them with healthy control subjects, a cluster analysis including all patients and control subjects was conducted using the k-means method on verbal memory, attention, working memory, executive functions, and language functions. A two-cluster solution was optimal for portioning the data, obtaining 13/30 indices from the NbClust R package (the second-best option was three clusters, giving 6/30 indices). Cluster 1 included 96% of healthy subjects and 51% of patients $(n=20)$, while Cluster 2 consisted of the remaining patients ($n = 19$) and two healthy subjects ($\chi^2 = 22$; p < 0.001, comparing the repartition of healthy subjects and patients across clusters).

Patients in Cluster 1 exhibited no or minor cognitive deficits (z-scores around 0) and were indistinguishable

Fig. 2 A Comparison of neuropsychological test z-scores between patients and healthy subjects across both clusters. Solid dots show the median, bars indicate 95% confdence intervals, and each dot represents an individual's performance. Clusters difer signifcantly across all cognitive functions (Mann–Whitney, $p < 0.001$). **B** PCA plot:

Larger dots represent cluster means with 95% confdence intervals. Subjects are color-coded by cluster (from panel **A**), and patient dots have an "x" (only in panel B for clarity). Individuals are projected on the x or y axes, showing how each component distinguishes the clusters

from healthy subjects, as they were clustered together (Fig. 2A).

Several factors difered between the clusters (Table 2). Cluster 2 patients were older (55.6 vs 47.6 years old, Mann–Whitney, $p = 0.025$), had fewer years of education (Mann–Whitney, $p = 0.002$), and larger volume of lesions (Mann–Whitney, $p < 0.001$; mean \pm SD (mm³): Cluster $1 = 229 \pm 214$; Cluster $2 = 553 \pm 289$). Infarct laterality was also signifcantly diferent between clusters $(\chi^2=12; p=0.002)$: Cluster 1 included all patients with

right lesions, while Cluster 2 contained all with bilateral lesions. Likewise, subjects were unequally distributed based on the presence of, absence of, or damage to the IA (χ^2 = 8.4; *p* = 0.015). In Cluster 1, 76% of subjects had an IA, and among them, patients with damage extending into it represented 10% of the dataset, while in Cluster 2, 67% had an IA, and patients with a damaged IA represented 43% of the sample. Patients with left lesions were evenly distributed between clusters. Importantly, Cluster 2 contained 100% of the patients with an interrupted MTT

Table 2 Comparison of subjects from both clusters, with lesion volume calculated in MNI space. Two healthy subjects could not be evaluated for the IA due to kissing thalami

	Cluster 1	Cluster 2
N total subjects /healthy subjects/patients	$63 - 43 - 20$	$21 - 2 - 19$
Gender (F)	34	6
Mean age \pm sd	$47.6 + 13.9$	$55.6 + 14.1$
Mean years of study \pm sd	$13.7 + 3.2$	10.8 ± 3.4
Laterality Left-Right-Bilateral	$14-6-0$	$13-0-6$
Interthalamic adhesion (Yes/No/Damaged)	$43 - 13 - 5$	$8 - 7 - 6$
Mamillothalamic tract lesion	0	9
Mean lesion volume $(mm)^3 \pm sd$	$229 + 214$	$553 + 289$
Days since infarct \pm sd	$583 + 630$	$650 + 612$

(Table 2). In addition, the time between the infarct, neuroimaging, and neuropsychological assessment did not difer between clusters (*T*-test, $p = 0.74$), and infarct etiologies were also similar (χ^2 = 8.3; *p* = 0.22).

A PCA was performed to explore how cognitive functions diferentiate patients from healthy subjects. The frst PC (PC1) explained 56.4% of the variance, while the second (PC2) explained 17.6%. In PC1, signifcant contributions came from attention (27%), executive functions (27%), and verbal memory (20%), while PC2 was infuenced by language (42%) and working memory (34%) (Supp. Figure 1). The projection of individual performances and cluster attribution into a two-dimensional space (Fig. 2B) showed that PC1 nearly perfectly distinguished patients from Cluster 1 and Cluster 2, indicating that associated variables were more discriminative and impaired in patients from Cluster 2. This confrmed the absence or minor defcits in patients from Cluster 1 (marked as "x" on red dots, Fig. 2B). Only two patients were slightly closer to subjects from Cluster 2 on PC1, but were also close to the two healthy subjects in that group (absence of "x" on blue dots, Fig. 2B).

98 Page 6 of 11 Journal of Neurology (2025) 272:98

A logistic regression analysis was performed to identify factors predicting Cluster membership (Table 2). The most predictive factor for Cluster 2 was MTT interruption $(\chi^2 = 16, p < 0.001, \text{ odds ratio} = 4.3 \times 10^{-9})$. This model achieved an accuracy of 0.95 and an area under the curve of 0.98. The second-best model also included infarct laterality (χ^2 =5, *p* < 0.001). When cognitive functions were incorporated, the model considering only verbal memory showed the highest predictive power (χ^2 = 36, *p* < 0.001), with perfect accuracy and specificity for predicting cluster membership.

Given that infarct laterality appears to be a key factor in clustering, a repeated measures ANOVA (rmANOVA) was conducted on the fve cognitive functions with infarct laterality as the grouping factor. This analysis revealed a main effect of infarct laterality ($p < 0.001$, $np^2 = 0.47$). Post hoc comparisons showed that patients with bilateral or left strokes were signifcantly more impaired than both healthy subjects $(p < 0.001)$ and those with right thalamic strokes $(p<0.001)$. Results for each cognitive function are detailed in Fig. 3, with individual boxplots presented separately in Supplementary Fig. 2.

No diferences on the psychoafective scales (Beck, Starkstein, Spielberg; Mann–Whitney, *p*>0.3) were demonstrated between healthy subjects and patients based on infarct laterality (Mann–Whitney, *p*>0.3). However, Starkstein apathy scores were signifcantly higher in Cluster 2 than in Cluster 1 (Mann–Whitney, $p = 0.003$), a finding that remained signifcant when comparing only patients from both clusters $(p=0.01; \text{ mean } \pm \text{ SD} \text{ for Cluster 1: } 7.8 \pm 4.5; \text{ Cluster 2:}$ 11.4 ± 4.2 .

Neuroimaging analyses

A puzzling issue arose with patients with a left thalamic infarct, as they were evenly distributed between Cluster 1 $(n=14)$ and Cluster 2 $(n=13)$. This raises the question of

why the same infarct laterality could result in minimal cognitive impact for some patients but signifcant efects for others? To investigate, neuroimaging analyses were performed. Overlapping lesions, categorized by clusters and infarct laterality, are displayed on the MNI152 template (Fig. 4A). Most lesions were in the median and lateral left thalamic territories (Fig. 4B). In Cluster 1 patients with left infarcts, 75% afected the median thalamus, while 25% impacted the lateral part. Conversely, Cluster 2 patients with left infarcts showed the opposite pattern (17% vs 83%).

To assess both local and distant efects of lesions, a disconnectome map was generated for each patient [31]. Thresholded at 50% probability, this map isolated voxels representing tracts with a high likelihood of disruption. Average disconnectomes for each cluster, based on infarct laterality, are shown in Fig. 4C. The most disconnected tract was the left anterior thalamic radiations, afecting 17/19 patients from Cluster 2 and 13/20 from Cluster 1. Patients with left infarct in Cluster 2 exhibited more pronounced left anterior thalamic disconnection (right inset in Fig. 4C). When overlapped to the Broadmann atlas, these disrupted radiations projected to areas 11 and 47, corresponding to the orbitofrontal cortex and the inferior frontal gyrus.

Given the high likelihood of lesions bilaterally interrupting anterior thalamic projections to the orbitofrontal and ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (vlPFC), their mean cortical thickness was assessed in both hemispheres, along with the anterior cingulate gyrus and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dlPFC) and the whole brain. Indeed, these regions are demonstrated to be connected to the anterior and mediodorsal nuclei of the thalamus via the anterior thalamic radiations [32, 33]. This analysis included control subjects due to the small patient group size. Cluster 2 subjects showed signifcantly reduced mean cortical thickness in the whole brain and in each cortical area of interest $(p < 0.005)$ (Table 3).

Discussion

This study aimed to explore the neuropsychological outcomes of ischemic thalamic strokes, particularly focusing on factors infuencing poor cognitive recovery. Our fndings suggest that cognitive impairments following thalamic strokes might not be as uniform or as severe as previously thought. Our cluster analysis identifed one subgroup of patients (Cluster 1) with no or minimal cognitive impairment, consistent with previous studies $[8-13]$. This subgroup included all patients with right thalamic lesions and about half of those with left thalamic infarcts. This fnding is notable as it suggests efective compensatory or neuroplastic mechanisms that may prevent significant functional deficits after isolated thalamic lesions.

The other subgroup of patients (Cluster 2) exhibited signifcant cognitive impairments across several domains, particularly in verbal memory, attention, and executive functions, and a higher apathy score. This subgroup mainly consisted of patients with left thalamic damage (49% of patients with a left infarct) and all patients with bilateral lesions. This aligns with previous studies indicating that left or bilateral thalamic strokes result in more severe cognitive defcits compared to right-sided lesions [13, 34]. A novel aspect of our study is the observation that, following a left thalamic infarct, approximately half of the patients recover well, while the other half show signifcant cognitive defcits.

A major aim of the current study was to analyze the factors that could explain poor outcomes following a thalamus stroke. We identifed that, in addition to the laterality efect, subjects from Cluster 2 were older and had a lower level of education. Cluster 2 patients also had larger lesion volumes, and all identifed MTT disruptions, which consistently resulted in more severe cognitive impairments. Additionally, the absence of an IA or lesions extending into it was linked to poorer outcomes.

The intricate relationship between lesion location within the thalamus and resultant cognitive deficits underpins the complexity of thalamic contributions to cognitive functions. Our neuroimaging analysis revealed that lesions predominantly afecting the left thalamus, especially those involving the lateral regions, were associated with more severe cognitive impairments, especially in verbal memory, attention, and executive functions (Cluster 2). Similar fndings have been reported for the anterior left thalamic region, linked to mnesic deficits $[2, 12, 34]$ or severe impairments in language and executive functions following stroke [13]. Hwang et al. [34] identified a comparable region, suggesting a distinction between connector hubs and provincial hubs within the thalamus. Indeed, connector hubs, with their extensive connectivity to multiple cortical networks, may play a critical role in cognitive outcomes. Additionally, the MTT, a crucial tract involved in memory, is located in the same region associated with severe cognitive impairments [2, 12]. Altogether, the relatively small size of this critical area associated with a low likelihood of being afected may explain why many stroke patients reported in recent studies remain relatively cognitively preserved. In contrast, lesions in this left lateral area, particularly those involving the MTT, are more likely to result in pronounced cognitive deficits.

The anterior thalamic radiations connect the anterior and mediodorsal nuclei of the thalamus with the dlPFC, vlPFC, OFC, and anterior cingulate gyrus [32]. The dlPFC is associated with working memory, vlPFC with executive functions, OFC with emotional control and goal-directed behavior while the anterior cingulate gyrus is part of the Papez circuitry for mnesic functions [33]. The cortical thickness of subjects from Cluster 2 was decreased in the

Fig. 4 A Overlapping normalized lesions on an MNI152 slice showing the most affected voxels of Cluster 1 patients with left $(n=14)$ or right $(n=6)$ lesions, and Cluster 2 patients with left $(n=13)$ or bilateral lesions $(n=6)$. Cluster 1's left infarcts primarily affected the median thalamus, while Cluster 2's were mainly lateral. **B** Nuclei distribution (top) and percentage of lesioned nuclear groups for left-lesioned patients from both Clusters, segmented with HIPS-THOMAS (bottom). **C** Mean disconnectome maps by Cluster and infarct laterality, along with Brodmann atlas projections in the MNI152 space (right inset). Left anterior thalamic radiations were the most commonly disconnected tract, with Cluster 2's left infarcts showing stronger disconnection. When mapped onto the Brodmann atlas, these disrupted radiations projected to areas 11 and 47, linked to the orbitofrontal cortex and inferior frontal gyrus. *A* anterior, *S* superior, *L* left. Color bars show the number of patients with overlapping lesioned voxels

left OFC, dlPFC, vlPFC and anterior cingulate gyrus; this may be related to the more frequently interrupted anterior thalamic radiations. This implies that thalamic strokes may have far-reaching implications beyond the immediate site of the lesion in some patients in accordance with the hub hypothesis.

Our study has some limitations. It is worth reminding that the thalamic stroke patients in our dataset are relatively young (average age of 51 years) compared to typical stroke populations. In addition, a signifcant percentage of strokes were attributed to congenital anomalies of the atrial septum, such as patent foramen ovale (PFO), which increase the risk of cardioembolism. However, a meta-analysis has indicated that PFO is present in 10 to 44% of stroke cases, particularly among individuals aged 55 and younger [35]. This fnding aligns with the relatively young age of our cohort. Lesioned nuclei supplied by more susceptible arteries (i.e., paramedian) were overrepresented in our cohort, which is also due to inclusion criteria from our second study on dorsomedian nuclei lesions. Future research should include a broader range of afected arterial territories. Nevertheless, our study is notable for its wide age range (18–80 years) and large cohort of patients with chronic isolated thalamic infarcts, with neuropsychological assessments surpassing those of many previous studies (e.g., [12]: 22 patients; [10]: 17 patients; [9]: 19 patients; [8]: 68 patients, [36]: 20 patients). While our fndings contribute signifcantly to the literature, broader generalizability may be better achieved in future research.

To conclude, our fndings particularly challenge the uniformity and severity of cognitive impairments traditionally associated with thalamic lesions by demonstrating that most patients had no or minor cognitive deficits. Our analysis also revealed the heterogeneity in cognitive outcomes depending on the lesion's laterality and the specifc thalamic territories impacted. Notably, lesions within the left thalamus and those afecting the mamillothalamic tract signifcantly correlate with more profound cognitive deficits, particularly in verbal Table 3 Mean cortical thickness for the whole brain and by cortical parcellations between Clusters 1 $(n=63)$ and 2 $(n=21)$ using a Mann–Whitney *U* test

Signifcant *p*-values are underlined

memory, attention, and executive functions. In addition, our connectome analysis points to efects far beyond the thalamus proper of thalamic strokes. These results suggest that we should adopt a more holistic understanding of the thalamus when considering its integral role in the broader neural network governing cognitive functions.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-024-12777-4>.

Acknowledgements This research received no funding.

Authors contributions J.P.V., L.D and E.J.B designed the study. J.P.V. performed the computations and analysis under the supervision of L.D. and E.J.B and wrote the manuscript with their support. G.A trained J.P.V to image processing and provided technical assistance. J.P, J.F.A, P.P, L.D, E.J.B participated to the recruitment, acquisition of neuropsychological and neuroimaging data and reviewed the manuscript.

Funding Open access funding provided by Université Toulouse III - Paul Sabatier. This research received no funding.

Data availability Datasets are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors have no conficts of interest relevant to this manuscript to disclose.

Ethicalapproval Subjects from two studies were included in order to increase the sample size. The frst study was approved by the Institutional Review Board "Comité de Protection des Personnes Sud-Ouest et Outre-Mer no. 2-11-0". The second study was authorized by the "Comité de Protection des Personnes Ile-de-France IV". All subjects signed informed consent before any experimental procedure.

Disclosures The authors have no conficts of interest relevant to this manuscript to disclose.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- 1. Schmahmann JD (2003) Syndromes vasculaires du thalamus. Stroke 34(9):2264–2278
- 2. Danet L, Barbeau EJ, Eustache P, Planton M, Raposo N, Sibon I, Albucher JF, Bonneville F, Peran P, Pariente J (2015) Thalamic amnesia after infarct. Neurology 85(24):2107–2115
- 3. Lawson R (1878) On the symptomatology of alcoholic brain disorders. Brain 1(2):182–194
- 4. Hillemand P (1925) (1925) Contribution à l'étude des syndromes thalamiques. Thèse, Paris
- 5. Watson RT, Heilman KM (1979) Thalamic neglect Neurol 29:690–694
- 6. Henshen SE (1897) Ueber Localisation innerhalb des ausseren Kniehockers. Neurlogyl Zbl 16:923–924
- 7. Clark W, Russel WR (1938) Cortical deafness without aphasia. Brain 61(4):375–383
- 8. Liebermann D, Ostendorf F, Kopp UA, Kraft A, Bohner G, Nabavi DG, Ploner CJ (2013a) Subjective cognitive-affective status following thalamic stroke. J Neurol 260:386–396
- 9. Liebermann D, Ploner C, Kraft A, Kopp A, Ostendorf F (2013) A dysexecutive syndrome of the medial thalamus. Cortex 49(1):40–49
- 10. Pergola G, Güntürkün O, Koch B, Schwarz M, Daum I, Suchan B (2012) Recall deficits in stroke patients with thalamic lesions covary with damage to the parvocellular mediodorsal nucleus of the thalamus. Neuropsychologia 50(10):2477–2491
- 11. Tu S, Miller L, Piguet O, Hornberger M (2014) Accelerated forgetting of contextual details due to focal medio-dorsal thalamic lesion. Front Behav Neuro 8:320
- 12. Van der Werf YD, Scheltens P, Lindeboom J, Witter MP, Uylings HBM, Jolles J (2003) Deficits of memory, executive functioning and attention following infarction in the thalamus: a study of 22 cases with localised lesions. Neuropsychologica 41(10):1330–1344
- 13. Scharf AC, Gronewold J, Todica O, Moenninghoff C, Doeppner TR, de Haan B, Hermann DM (2022) Evolution of neuropsychological deficits in first-ever isolated ischemic thalamic stroke and their association with stroke topography: a case-control study. Stroke 53(6):1904–1914
- 14. Vidal JP, Rachita K, Servais A, Péran P, Pariente J, Bonneville F, Albucher J-F, Danet L, Barbeau EJ (2024) Exploring the impact of

interthalamic adhesion on human cognition: insights from healthy subjects and thalamic stroke patients. J Neurol. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.02.08.579448) [1101/2024.02.08.579448](https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.02.08.579448)

- 15. Danet L, Pariente J, Eustache P, Raposo N, Sibon I, Albucher JF, Barbeau EJ (2017) Medial thalamic stroke and its impact on familiarity and recollection. Elife 6:28141
- 16. Van der Linden M, Adam S, Agniel A, Baisset-Mouly C, Bardet F, Coyette F (2004) L'évaluation des troubles de la mémoire épisodique: fondements théoriques et méthodologiques. M. VAN DER LINDEN, L'évaluation des troubles de la mémoire, Marseille, Solal, 11–20
- 17. Barbeau E, Didic M, Tramoni E, Felician O, Joubert S, Sontheimer A, Poncet M (2004) Evaluation of visual recognition memory in MCI patients. Neurology 62(8):1317–1322
- 18. Godefroy O, Grefex (2008) Fonctions exécutives et pathologies neurologiques et psychiatriques
- 19. Brickenkamp R, Zillmer E (1998) The d2 Test of Attention. Hogrefe & Huber Publishers, Seattle, Washington
- 20. Wechsler D (2001) Echelle clinique de mémoire de Wechsler (MEM-III), 3rd edn. Centre de Psychologie Appliquée, Paris
- 21. Bachy-Langedock N (1989) Batterie d'examen des troubles de la dénomination (ExaDé). Editions du Centre de Psychologie Appliquée, Brussels
- 22. Spielberger CD, Gorsuch RL, Lushene R, Vagg PR, Jacobs, GA (1983) Manual for the State-trait anxiety inventory (form Y selfevaluation questionnaire) consulting psychologists press: Palo Alto, CA
- 23. Starkstein SE, Leentjens AF (2008) The nosological position of apathy in clinical practice. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 79(10):1088–1092
- 24. Beck AT, Steer RA (1993) Manual for the Beck Anxiety Inventory. Psychological Corp, San Antonio, TX
- 25. Charrad M, Ghazzali N, Boiteau V, Niknafs A (2014) NbClust: an R package for determining the relevant number of clusters in a data set. J Stat Softw 61:1–36
- 26. Su JH, Thomas FT, Kasoff WS, Tourdias T, Choi EY, Rutt BK, Saranathan M (2019) Thalamus optimized multi Atlas segmentation (THOMAS): fast, fully automated segmentation of thalamic nuclei from structural MRI. Neuroimage 194:272–282
- 27. Vidal JP, Danet L, Péran P, Pariente J, Bach Cuadra M, Zahr NM, Saranathan M (2024) Robust thalamic nuclei segmentation from T1-weighted MRI using polynomial intensity transformation. Brain Struct Funct 229(5):1087–1101
- 28. Morel A (2007) Stereotactic atlas of the human thalamus and basal ganglia. CRC Press
- 29. Krauth A, Blanc R, Poveda A, Jeanmonod D, Morel A, Székely G (2010) A mean three-dimensional atlas of the human thalamus: generation from multiple histological data. Neuroimage 49(3):2053–2062
- 30. Foulon C, Cerliani L, Kinkingnehun S, Levy R, Rosso C, Urbanski M, Thiebaut de Schotten M (2018) Advanced lesion symptom mapping analyses and implementation as BCBtoolkit. Gigascience 7(3):04
- 31. Forkel SJ, de Schotten MT, Kawadler JM, Dell'Acqua F, Danek A, Catani M (2014) The anatomy of fronto-occipital connections from early blunt dissections to contemporary tractography. Cortex 56:73–84
- 32. Parent A (1996) Carpenter's neuroanatomy. Baltimore, pp 633–705
- 33. Jang SH, Yeo SS (2014) Thalamocortical connections between the mediodorsal nucleus of the thalamus and prefrontal cortex in the human brain: a difusion tensor tractographic study. Yonsei Med J 55(3):709
- 34. Hwang K, Shine JM, Bruss J, Tranel D, Boes A (2021) Neuropsychological evidence of multi-domain network hubs in the human thalamus. Elife 10:e69480
- 35. Overell JR, Bone I, Lees KR (2000) Interatrial septal abnormalities and stroke: a meta-analysis of case-control studies. Neurology 55(8):1172–1179
- 36. Carlesimo GA, Costa A, Serra L, Bozzali M, Fadda L, Caltagirone C (2011) Prospective memory in thalamic amnesia. Neuropsychologia 49(8):2199–2208