

The effects of breathing mode oscillations on ion energy distribution function in Hall thrusters: Time-resolved RPA measurements

Quentin Delavière–Delion, F. Gaboriau, G. Fubiani, L. Garrigues

To cite this version:

Quentin Delavière–Delion, F. Gaboriau, G. Fubiani, L. Garrigues. The effects of breathing mode oscillations on ion energy distribution function in Hall thrusters: Time-resolved RPA measurements. Physics of Plasmas, 2024, 31 (12), 10.1063/5.0235762. hal-04856038

HAL Id: hal-04856038 <https://hal.science/hal-04856038v1>

Submitted on 26 Dec 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.
PLEASE CITE NS ARTICLE AS CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI This is the author's peer reviewed,

PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0235762

Effects of BM on the IDF in Hall Thrusters: Time-Resolved RPA Measurements

1 The effects of breathing mode oscillations on ion energy distribution function in Hall thrusters: time-resolved RPA measurements

- Quentin Delavière--Delion,¹ F. Gaboriau,¹ G. Fubiani,¹ and L. Garrigues¹
- ⁴ *LAPLACE, Université Paul Sabatier, CNRS, INPT, 118 Route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse, France*
- ⁵ (*Electronic mail: quentin.delaviere@laplace.univ-tlse.fr)
- ⁶ (Dated: 21 November 2024)

 This paper presents a technique for reconstructing the temporal evolution of ion distribution functions (IDF) in a Hall thruster (HT) using ion currents measured with a retarding potential analyser (RPA). The method involves averaging discharge oscillations with temporal realignment based on the maxima of the discharge current. This technique was 10 applied to ion currents from the experimental ID-Hall 2 thruster, successfully reconstructing the time-dependent ion distribution function (TIDF) in quasi-periodic plasma oscillation regimes. The results indicate that deformations in the integrated ion distribution function can be attributed to the IDF's temporal evolution over a characteristic time equal to the breathing mode oscillation period. This finding suggests the possibility of uncoordinated displacement of ionisation and acceleration zones within the discharge. In certain oscillation regimes, the oscillations in ion transit time also appear to have a minor effect on ion acceleration.

16 I. INTRODUCTION

3

17 Developed in the Soviet Union in the 1960s by Morozov's ⁴⁹ $_{18}$ team¹, Hall thrusters (HT) are electric propulsion systems 19 used for spacecraft, including orbiting satellites and explo-⁵¹ ration probes. Ongoing advancements in Hall thruster tech-⁵² 21 nology have led to their widespread adoption as the primary⁵³ 22 propulsion system in geostationary satellites². Although they ²³ produce lower thrust than chemical thrusters, Hall thrusters ²⁴ offer a significantly higher specific impulse (ISP), which is

²⁵ proportional to the ion ejection velocity^{3,4}.

²⁶ A. Hall thruster operation

27 Despite their complex physics, Hall thrusters are simple to 28 operate: a few hundred $D\hat{C}$ volts are applied between a cath-²⁹ ode and an anode, and a radial magnetic field is established across the annular channel. This field traps electrons, increas-31 ing their residence time and enhancing gas ionisation. at the $\frac{32}{32}$ base of the channel and diffuses upstream toward the HT's $\frac{3}{67}$ ³³ exit plane, where it is ionised by collisions with high-energy electrons that have sufficient energy to cause ionisation to occur. The resulting ions are accelerated by the electric field, ³⁶ generating thrust, and are neutralised by electrons from the 37 cathode. The perpendicular electric and magnetic fields cre-38 ate a strong azimuthal electron drift current, sustained by the $_{72}$ channel's annular shape. The interaction of electromagnetic $_{73}$ 40 fields, electron current, and plasma inhomogeneities gives rise $_{74}$ 41 to various instabilities over a wide frequency range (from a 75 42 few hertz to several gigahertz) and wavelengths (from a few $_{76}$ ⁴³ centimetres down to less than a millimetre).

⁴⁴ B. Axial instabilities in Hall thruster

Low-pressure cross-field plasma sources, such as Hall 82 thrusters, exhibit various instabilities that can lead to as

47 oscillations^{5,6} or turbulence^{7,8}. Despite extensive experimental and theoretical research, the properties and nature of some instabilities remain unclear. Understanding the dynamics of these instabilities is essential for addressing issues related to electron transport across magnetic barriers. In this paper, we ⁵² consider two types of oscillations, each with distinct physical mechanisms, although they can coexist and both propagate axially.

The first type of instability in HT discharge, known as the ⁵⁶ breathing mode (BM), is characterised by long-period, highamplitude oscillations on the discharge current, with frequencies ranging from a few kHz to tens of kHz. These oscilla-59 tions have been widely observed in experiments⁹⁻¹⁶ and re- 60 semble a predator-prey model^{17,18}, with the frequency linked to gas flow and ionisation rates in the HT channel. The one- 62 dimensional hybrid model by Garrigues & Boeuf¹⁹ explains this behaviour as the result of efficient ionisation depleting neutral atoms faster than they can be replenished by the gas flow, causing the ionisation front to move towards the anode and reducing efficiency. This process leads to a cyclical pattern of virtual discharge extinction and renewed ioni $s₈$ sation. Although more advanced models exist^{20,21}, predicting the characteristics of these BM oscillations remains challenging due to interdependent mechanisms and potential interactions with other instabilities.

The second type of instability considered in this paper is ion transit time oscillations (ITTO), which occur at frequencies ranging from a few tens to a few hundreds of kHz and have been studied less extensively in experiments than BM oscillations. These frequencies correspond to the inverse of the π ion travel time through the acceleration zone. ITTO are multi-⁷⁸ messenger oscillations that influence the discharge current, ⁷⁹ plasma potential, electric field, and ion distribution functions (IDFs). They can coexist with BM oscillations, though gen-⁸¹ erally with lower amplitudes. Similar oscillations have been
⁸² observed in fluid^{22,23}, hybrid^{17,24}, and PIC-MMC models²⁵. ITTO are characterised by oscillations in the potential distri-

AIP
E Publishing

Physics of Plasmas

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.
PLEASE CITE NS ARTICLE AS CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI

PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0235762

Effects of BM on the IDF in Hall Thrusters: Time-Resolved RPA Measurements 2

¹ bution within the thruster channel, which can propagate into ⁵⁴ the plume. These potential oscillations affect ion acceleration: 55 some ions gain additional energy through resonant acceler- 56 ation with the electric field waves, while others are slowed 57 ⁵ down. This results in distorted ion velocity distribution func-58 tions, perturbed ion currents (and consequently also the discharge current $I_d = I_{e,i} + I_{e,c}$ and altered ion density profiles, ω which in turn impact the potential distribution.

⁹ C. Time-resolved IDF reconstruction techniques

10 In conventional use, the RPA measures the average ion 66 11 distribution function (IDF). To achieve this, a voltage ramp is $_{67}$ 12 applied to the RPA discriminator grid at a frequency on the 68 13 order of Hertz, which is significantly lower than the plasma 69 14 oscillation frequencies. This slow ramping averages out any 70 15 potential effects from these oscillations in the collected signal. 71

 Since the time-averaging principle of the conventional 72 RPA method does not allow the study of possible changes in 73 the IDF over characteristic instability times, it is inadequate 74 for the study of low-frequency oscillations in the HT dis- $_{20}$ charge, which occur at frequencies ranging from a few kHz to 76 MHz. Despite their importance for understanding the mech- anisms driving instabilities and their impact on thruster per-²³ formance, there are relatively few references in the literature⁷⁹ focusing on time-resolved IDF (TIDF) measurements using ²⁵ an RPA.

 26 Only a handful of studies have used an RPA to track $_{83}$ 27 ions during BM oscillations^{13,26–30}, though interest in this 28 area has resurged in recent years. Such advances have been 84 ²⁹ made possible by innovative techniques, enhanced computa-⁸⁵ ³⁰ tional capabilities, and improved plasma discharge control. ⁸⁶ ³¹ However, some challenges remain, such as discharge irreg-³² ularities, which necessitate the development of noise-resistant ⁸⁸ 33 techniques.

³⁴ Time-resolved measurements using LIF (laser-induced $\frac{3}{21}$
³⁵ fluorescence)^{31,32} have shown results comparable to those ob- $_{35}$ fluorescence)^{31,32} have shown results comparable to those ob-³⁶ tained with RPA-collected signals.

37 While all RPA-based reconstruction techniques rely on ⁹⁴ ³⁸ a similar data acquisition protocol, as described in subsec-⁹⁵ 39 tion III A, various signal processing methods are available 96 40 for reconstructing TIDFs. These include the empirical trans-
41 fer function^{27,28,33}, time realignment^{13,14}, shadow manifold
42 interpolation^{29,30,33}, and their variants, with or without dis- 43 charge forcing³⁴. Each method has its own advantages and ⁴⁴ limitations.

45 Empirical Transfer Function (ETF): Using a reference sig-102 46 nal (I_d) , the empirical transfer function reconstruction method¹⁰³ allows for the alignment of signals onto a common basis¹⁰⁴ allows for the alignment of signals onto a common basis¹⁰⁴ ⁴⁸ through normalisation and transformations in Fourier space. While this method is advantageous for its simplicity of im-105 ⁵⁰ plementation, a major drawback lies in the transfer function's 51 low resilience to noise. To improve the signal-to-noise ratio,107 ⁵² the signal frequency and sampling depth can be increased dur-

 53 ing data acquisition. However, this results in larger datasets, 109

making them more challenging to handle. For instance, in 55 the study by²⁷, the authors report that each time series requires several million, if not billions, of data points. Further noise reduction in the transfer function can be achieved by averaging the FFTs calculated over sliding time windows² when computing the complex series. Despite these enhancements, tests conducted with a perfectly known, controllable, 61 and adjustable ion source³³, revealed that the Fourier space ⁶² transfer function method fails to accurately reconstruct noisy ⁶³ sinusoidal signals. This limitation arises because the trans-⁶⁴ fer function reconstruction method remains highly sensitive ⁶⁵ to experimental noise³⁵.

Shadow Manifold Interpolation (SMI): The SMI method is an innovative nonlinear mapping technique derived from the field of nonlinear dynamic system analysis. Studies con- ω ducted in^{33,35} demonstrate the superiority of SMI reconstruction for short, noisy, and weakly aperiodic signals compared to the ETF method, which relies on FFT. Furthermore, achiev- $\frac{72}{12}$ ing similar results with SMI requires 15 to 100 times fewer data points than ETF-based approaches²⁸. This significantly reduces the database size, allowing for the exploration of a broader range of filter voltages, though SMI remains computationally demanding. While SMI is more complex to imple- π ment than transfer function methods, this alternative approach to temporal reconstruction appears promising^{29,30,33}. However, it currently shows effective results only when the discharge signal is sufficiently periodic and lacks frequent inter-81 mittent oscillations across various frequencies. Indeed, a rapid decrease in signal-to-noise ratio is observed as the frequency 33 of the oscillations increases³³.

Discharge Modulation: To address discharge irregularities, particularly variations in the central BM frequency, an external forcing can be applied to modulate the discharge voltage. This external modulation aligns the "natural" oscillations, stabilizing the discharge dynamics over time and making them ⁸⁹ temporally coherent³⁴. By reducing discharge variability, this technique enhances the signal-to-noise ratio, facilitating the study of temporal evolution of plasma parameters such as distribution functions at different oscillation phases. Since this technique is hardware-based, it can be used alongside other data processing methods. However, it assumes that the natural plasma dynamics remain largely unaffected, which may not always hold true. Moreover, implementing such a system is complex and resource-intensive. Consequently, we have opted not to use this technique in our study.

Temporal alignment: Among all the previous techniques, the time realignment method is the easiest to conceptualize. The general idea is to shift the oscillation patterns in the different data series to best align them with a reference signal¹⁴. The corresponding ion currents are then extracted for each filtering voltage, after which the TIDF is calculated.

All these methods require reproducible and periodic plasma oscillations, as any instability in the central frequencies could significantly compromise the quality of the reconstructed IDF. Here, we opted to use a time alignment technique based on a reference signal that must be periodic, rep-

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

AIP
E Publishing

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

Physics of Plasmas

AIP
E Publishing

PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0235762 **PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0235762**

₁ resentative of the discharge, and unaffected by variations in $\frac{1}{4}$ the RPA settings. In this paper, the discharge current I_d was so

chosen as this indicator, although the capacitive signal $S_{\text{capa}_{51}}$

could also serve this purpose.

The aim of this paper is to investigate the effect of axial $_{54}$ oscillations on both time-averaged and temporal ion velocity 55 distribution functions. Following an introduction to our $ex-₅₆$ perimental setup and the HT used (section II) we will briefly

discuss and present the different techniques for reconstructing

¹⁰ time-resolved ion distribution functions (TIDF) in the section

 11 I C and we will outline the principle of conventional RPA mea-

12 surements (subsection II B 2).

 13 We have chosen to use the time realignment technique $\frac{1}{59}$ 14 based on detecting the peak of the discharge current to align $_{60}$ ¹⁵ the average oscillations. Section III details our method ⁶¹ $_{16}$ for temporally resolving IDFs at the frequencies of BM $_{62}$ 17 and ITTO. We then apply this method to reconstruct time-18 dependent IDFs in stable oscillation regimes, discussing pos-19 sible ion energy variations in relation to changes in discharge $_{65}$ ²⁰ current and capacitive signals (section IV). Finally, we con-²¹ clude by highlighting the appropriate applications of this ⁶⁶ ²² method.

²³ II. EXPERIMENTAL SET-UP

²⁴ A. The ID-Hall 2 Thruster and Vacuum Testing Facility

²⁵ The results presented in this paper were obtained using the ²⁶ ID-Hall 2 experimental thruster, equipped with a MIREA-type 27 emitting cathode³⁶, with a constant thermal power dissipation ²⁸ of 240 W. This section briefly reviews the main discharge parameters. Designed at the LAPLACE laboratory, the thruster operates in single-stage mode without an RF coil, similar to ⁷⁹ 31 a standard cylindrical HT. The cathode gas flow rate is main- 80 32 tained at 0.25 mg/s of xenon, while thruster gas flow rates 81 33 were tested between 0.6 and 0.9 mg/s. The pumping system 82 ³⁴ maintains a pressure of approximately 10^{-4} mbar (10^{-2} Pa). 35 To better represent spaceflight conditions, the thruster's elec- 84 36 trical system is kept floating relative to the ground, with the 85 37 cathode common as the reference potential (indicated by the $_{86}$ blue wires in the figure 1). For more detailed information on $\frac{1}{87}$ the ID-Hall 2 thruster, please refer to 37 .

B. Diagnostics

41 1. Measurement of Time-Varying Properties

⁴² The following measurements were performed directly on ₉₁ ⁴³ a digital oscilloscope with a 1 *M*Ω input impedance:

- The discharge current I_d is measured via an *NT-5*⁹³ magneto-resistive sensor placed between the anode and
	- magneto-resistive sensor placed between the anode and
- ⁴⁶ the discharge voltage generator (green circle in figure

47 1), which outputs a voltage proportional to the dis-

⁴⁸ charge current.

- The potential difference V_{cg} between the cathode and ground is measured at the green point in figure 1.
- The voltage at the electrical poles of a capacitive an-⁵² tenna *S*capa, positioned outside the chamber against the quartz side window of the vessel, which detects all electromagnetic disturbances induced by the plasma. The probe's position was varied along the glass window, with no significant changes in signal shape observed at frequencies below a tenth of MHz.

2. IDF averaged over time

⁵⁹ A four-grid Retarding Potential Analyser (RPA) was used to measure the mean ion distribution functions in the thruster plume; further design details can be found in the referenced ⁶² PhD thesis³⁸. The RPA is mounted on an arm that positions the screening grid 41 cm from the thruster exit plane, placing the RPA collector approximately 44 cm from the thruster exit plane.

The RPA is used to filter ions based on their kinetic energy, allowing only selected ions to be detected. Using four independently polarised grids (figure 2), the RPA works as follows: Grid G1, the shielding grid, isolates the plasma from the 70 instrument's electric field and is left floating. (with $U_{G1} = V_f$). 71 Grid G2, the electron repulsion grid, is negatively polarized 72 at $U_{G2} = -35$ V, to repel electrons from the plasma, allowing only ions to pass. Grid G3, the ion discriminator/filter grid, creates a potential barrier ϕ_{RPA} that only ions with sufficient energy can cross, contributing to the collected current. Grid G4, an additional electron repulsion grid, is polarised at $U_{G4} = -40$ V to send back secondary electrons emitted by the collector as a result of ion bombardment.

The resulting collector current *I*_{RPA}, generated by ions impacting the collector C, is proportional to the ion current density J_{RPA} entering the RPA with a kinetic energy E_k greater than the minimum required to cross the potential bar- $\text{rier}(J_{\text{RPA}}(\phi_{\text{RPA}}) = J_{\text{RPA}}(E_c > q_i e \phi_{\text{RPA}}) = J_{\text{RPA}}(v_{\text{min}}), \text{ with } e$ $_{84}$ the elementary charge, q_i the charge number of the ions and v_{min} the minimum velocity required to cross the potential bar-⁸⁶ rier ϕ_{RPA} : $v_{min} = \sqrt{\frac{2q_i e \phi_{RPA}}{m_i}}$, while m_i is the mass of the ion), and can be measured using an oscilloscope. The relationship between the current collected by the RPA and the ion current ⁸⁹ density can be written as:

$$
I_{\rm RPA} = J_{\rm RPA} (v_{\rm min}) S_{\rm RPA} \tau_{\rm tr} \tag{1}
$$

Where S_{RPA} is the surface area of the RPA collection zone ⁹² and τ_{tr} is its transparency. These two parameters are unknown to us. And:

$$
J_{\rm RPA}(v_{\rm min}) = q_i e n_i \int_{v_{\rm min}}^{+\infty} v f(v) \, dv \tag{2}
$$

FIG. 2. Sketch of the retarding potential analyser and its connections. $_{17}$

¹ In the previous equation, the ion velocity distribution²⁰ ² function (IVDF) is denoted by $f(v)$, where *v* is the ion ve-²¹

 λ locity, and n_i is the ions density. By combining the equation

 (1) and (2) , we obtain :

$$
f(v) = -\frac{1}{S_{\rm RPA} \tau_{\rm tr}} \frac{m_i}{n_i q_i^2 e^2} \frac{dI_{\rm RPA}(\phi_{\rm RPA})}{d\phi_{\rm RPA}} \tag{3}
$$

And so:

$$
f(v) \propto -\frac{dI_{\rm RPA}(\phi_{\rm RPA})}{d\phi_{\rm RPA}} \tag{4}
$$

It is important to clarify that the IVDF is directly proportional to the derivative of the collected current with respect ¹⁰ to the potential applied to the RPA. In this paper, we refer to 11 an ion velocity distribution function (IVDF, or IDF hereafter), ¹² not an ion energy distribution function (IEDF). The confusion 13 often arises from the conventional use of energy units (eV) to ¹⁴ express velocities. The IEDF $g(E)$ is given by the following ¹⁵ formula, where energy is represented by *E*:

RPA

Quartz window

۹'n

Vacuum vessel

$$
g(E) = f(v) \frac{1}{m_i v}
$$
 (5)

An additional correction is required to account for the po-¹⁸ tential difference between the thruster's floating electrical sys-¹⁹ tem and the ground-referenced RPA. This is done by subtracting q_iV_{cg} from the measured ion energy, where $q_i = 1$ (considering only singly charged ions).

In practice, a voltage ramp is applied to the discrimina-²³ tor grid using a voltage generator controlled by a function ²⁴ generator, which provides a triangular reference signal with ²⁵ a selected frequency and amplitude. The voltage ramp limits ²⁶ are chosen to encompass the ion current $I_{\rm RPA}$ variation range (3) ²⁷ of the IDF for each operating condition. The frequency of of the IDF for each operating condition. The frequency of the voltage ramp is set at 0.5 Hz, which is much below the ²⁹ plasma oscillation frequencies, to average out any potential effects from these oscillations in the collected signal. The os-31 cilloscope measures both the current from the RPA collector

AIP
E Publishing

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset

PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0235762

PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0235762

1 and the voltage applied to the filter grid. To reduce noise, each $\sqrt{49}$ B. Data Verification

measurement series is averaged over 16 cycles on the oscillo-

scope. Before calculating the IDF, the measured signals are $_{50}$

4 filtered using the standard Savitzky-Golay^{39,40} algorithm to

minimise noise that could be amplified by the derivative cal- $_{52}$ culation in equation (4). The resulting IDFs are then further $_{53}$

processed using the Savitzky-Golay algorithm for final filter-

⁸ ing.

9 III. TIME-RESOLVED IDF RECONSTRUCTION

 The time-averaging principle of the previous conventional RPA method (subsection II B 1) does not permit the investiga- tion of potential changes in the IDF during the characteris- tic instability times. The target low-frequency oscillations in the HT discharge have frequencies ranging from a few kHz

¹⁵ to MHz, whereas the ion population measurements are con-
¹⁶ ducted at a much lower frequency of 0.5 Hz.

ducted at a much lower frequency of 0.5 Hz.

17 A. Data acquisition

18 To capture the IDF dynamics during multiple oscillations, one could consider increasing the voltage ramp frequency. However, generating a complete I/V trace at the Nyquist fre- quency of the oscillation is challenging due to the limited availability of variable voltage generators capable of sweep- ing 300 V at several hundred kHz, as well as capacitive effects within the instrument. A simpler alternative approach is to set ²⁵ a constant filter potential on the filter grid, φ_{RPA,*m*}, and record 26 the time series of the collected current, $I_{\text{RPA}}(t, \phi_{\text{RPA},m})$, for this ²⁷ filtering voltage. The filter voltage is then changed to $\phi_{\text{RPA},n}$,
²⁸ and the acquisition of $I_{\text{PPA}}(t, \phi_{\text{PPA}})$ is repeated until the enand the acquisition of $I_{\text{RPA}}(t, \phi_{\text{RPA},n})$ is repeated until the en- tire area of interest is scanned, as determined by examining the average IDF.

31 During data acquisition, the potential associated with the ⁶¹ 32 discharge current, the capacitive potential of an antenna out-62 33 side the vacuum vessel, the current collected by the RPA, and ⁶³ 34 the cathode potential relative to ground are simultaneously 64 35 recorded on a digital oscilloscope. However, direct current 65 36 measurement requires conversion to voltage using Ohm's law, 66 37 where the current passes through a measuring resistor with a ⁶⁷ known resistance value ($R_m = 1 \text{ M}\Omega$) and considering capac-⁶⁸ itive effects modelled by a capacitance ($C_o = 11$ pF), as pro-⁶⁹ 40 vided by the manufacturer. The cut-off frequency at -3 dB is $\frac{1}{70}$ a given by $f_{c,\text{oscilloscope}} = \frac{1}{2\pi R_m C_o} \approx 14 \text{ kHz}.$

⁴² To avoid low-pass filtering effects of the oscilloscope, a π ² 43 *Hamamatsu C12419* current-to-voltage converter, combined 73 44 with a broadband amplifier, was used to measure the ion cur- 74 45 rents. To minimise measurement noise, it is standard practice π 46 to average the signals directly on the oscilloscope, regardless 76 47 of the data processing technique applied. Thus, recordings π ⁴⁸ were obtained by averaging the signals 16 times.

Before aligning the data, it is essential to check the validity of the measurements. One way for doing this is to ⁵² compare the currents collected using the traditional, integrated RPA method with those obtained through a time-averaging approach at a set of fixed filter voltages. This process involves 55 averaging each time series $I_{RPA}(t, \varphi_{RPA})$ to calculate the time-
56 averaged current $\overline{I_{PPA}}(\varphi_{PPA}) = \langle I_{PPA}(\varphi_{PPA}, t) \rangle$, which is then ss averaged current $\overline{I_{RPA}}(\varphi_{RPA}) = \langle I_{RPA}(\varphi_{RPA}, t) \rangle_t$, which is then 57 compared with the integrated current $I_{RPA}(\varphi_{RPA})$ obtained via ⁵⁸ the conventional method.

FIG. 3. Comparison of the ions collected currents using the RPA with a voltage ramp (red solid curve) and by averaging the ions time series for each filter voltage (blue crosses). (a): Direct current comparison, the vertical bars indicates the standard deviation of the ion current oscillations over the time series. (b) Current comparison after normalisation by an affine function. ID-Hall 2 with 0.9 mg/s Xenon at $U_d = 120$ V.

The top graphs in figure 3 illustrates this comparison, revealing that while trends appear similar, the integrated current values (red solid curve), obtained with conventional use of RPA, are consistently higher than those of the time-averaged current (blue crosses). This discrepancy can be attributed to the oscilloscope's signal averaging function, which, while effective at reducing noise, also dampens oscillations that are not perfectly periodic. In order to compare the signals more easily, we have plotted in figure 3 (b) the average ion currents normalised by an affine function depending on the ex-⁶⁹ treme values of the ion current (blue crosses): *IRPA*,*normalised* = *IRPA*−min(*IRPA*) $\frac{RPA-\min\{I_RPA\}}{\max\{I_{RPA}\}-\min\{I_{RPA}\}}$. It shows that the fit is much better, reflecting similar ion behaviour in the discharge. In figure 3 (a), the standard deviation of the oscillations is given for each ion filter voltage. The values are sometimes greater than the mean current itself. This shows that the signals are noisy and that prior filtering of the data is necessary before they can be used. The Savitzky-Golay algorithm was applied for smoothing when analyzing the mean values shown in the fig-⁷⁸ ures throughout this section.

Physics of Plasmas

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

This is the author's peer reviewed,

accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset

PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0235762

PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0235762

AIP
E Publishing

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0235762 **PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0235762**

Effects of BM on the IDF in Hall Thrusters: Time-Resolved RPA Measurements 6

FIG. 4. Discharge currents I_d averaged over 16 cycles by the oscilloscope for a gas flow rate of 0.9 mg/s of xenon for $U_d = 120$ V (top) and $U_d = 270$ V (bottom). Each coloured curve corresponds to a different RPA filter voltage.

The discrepancy between the ions collected currents is largely due to the oscilloscope's signal averaging function. While averaging effectively reduces noise, it also dampens oscillations that are not perfectly periodic. As a result, the mean signal value is estimated more accurately, but the amplitude diminishes more rapidly when computed across numerous samples with varying oscillation frequencies, as shown in ³⁸ figure 4.

⁹ For relatively stable and periodic discharges, changes in ¹⁰ the breathing mode (BM) frequency reduce the total ampli-11 tude by about one-third over three periods. However, in more ⁴⁰ 12 irregular discharges, this instability leads to an amplitude re-⁴¹ duction of nearly half over the same period. Therefore, to ⁴² duction of nearly half over the same period. Therefore, to ⁴² 14 achieve reliable results, it is essential to remain close to the ⁴³ 15 initial trigger time, ideally within the green transparency re- 44 16 gion. This phenomenon is an artifact resulting from the com- 45 ¹⁷ bination of averaging, irregular oscillation frequencies, and ⁴⁶ 18 the oscilloscope's trigger threshold effects, explaining why os- 47 19 cillations centered around time zero tend to exhibit the closest ₄₈ ²⁰ resemblance.

²¹ The ion distribution functions (IDFs) associated with the \int_{51}^{∞} 22 currents $I_{RPA}(\varphi_{RPA})$ and $\overline{I_{RPA}}(\varphi_{RPA})$ (figure 3) can be calcu-²³ lated using (4), with the corresponding IDF graphs shown in ²⁴ figure 5. While the two IDFs are very similar, slight differ-⁵³ ²⁵ ences between them are observed. These discrepancies can be ⁵⁴ ²⁶ attributed to several factors:

- \bullet Sampling Differences: The sampling steps differ $\frac{1}{57}$ $\frac{28}{28}$ slightly between integrated and time-based measure- $\frac{29}{29}$ ments, which can cause a minor energy offset in the $\frac{29}{59}$ ³⁰ derivative calculations.
- ³¹ Deviation of the thruster's behaviour over time: After ⁶¹ 32 many hours of operation, the thruster exhibits slight de- 62 33 viations. This is likely the most significant factor con- 63 ³⁴ tributing to the observed differences in the two distribu-

³⁵ tions.

³⁶ Despite these minor discrepancies, they are minimal 37 enough to validate the robustness of this procedure.

FIG. 5. Comparison of IDF obtained by direct derivation of RPA current as a function of retarding potential (red curve) and from time series averaging (blue curve) for the same conditions than in figure 3.

C. Data Processing

1. Temporal aligment of the data

⁴⁰ To minimize noise in the signals, the first step involves filtering the time-series data. To achieve optimal noise reduction, the data were oversampled during acquisition, allowing for more effective filtering. Fourier space filtering is chosen for this purpose, removing all oscillations with frequencies above the set cut-off frequency of $f_c = 1$ MHz.

The following steps aim to synchronize the different time series to reconstruct the currents relative to the RPA filter voltage and a unified time frame. Since the only variable between time series is the RPA barrier potential, I_d is assumed to be fully reproducible and is thus used as the reference signal to synchronize the time series (using the capacitive signal as an alternative reference yields identical results).

The reconstruction protocol for time series begins by pinpointing a reference time in each series' discharge current, specifically by identifying the peak current within the BM oscillation closest to the measurement start. To achieve this, a local maximum search algorithm identifies prominent peaks based on relative amplitude and prominence, isolating the most significant oscillation peak. Once this peak's position, ϵ_0 $t_{\text{max}(I_d)}$, is determined, the time series is constrained to the ⁶¹ interval $[t_{\text{max}}(I_d) - T_{\text{BM}}/2, t_{\text{max}}(I_d) + T_{\text{BM}}/2]$, where T_{BM} represents the BM oscillation period. It is determined by inverting the frequency that corresponds to the peak in the spectral power density of the discharge current time series. This

AIP
E Publishing

¹ frequency is identified through the calculation of the FFT of ¹⁵ $I_d(t)$.

- This procedure is repeated across all series to achieve tem-
- poral alignment. A quick verification of alignment can be per-¹⁸
- ⁵ formed by superimposing the discharge currents, as illustrated
- in figure 6. Although alignment improves, some discrepancy remains due to the discharge's non-periodic oscillations, sug-
-
- gesting that this method may not be ideal for conditions with
- high irregularity in discharge behavior.

FIG. 6. Superimposed discharge currents for a fixed discharge voltage of 270 V. Each coloured curve corresponds to a different RPA $_{21}$ filter voltage.

FIG. 7. 2D map of ion current collected by the RPA as a function $_{37}$ of the potential applied to the discriminator grid corrected from the $\frac{1}{38}$ cathode to ground voltage for a fixed discharge voltage of 270 V.

10 For improved clarity, figure 7 presents a 2D map of the ion 41 11 current collected by the RPA as a function of both a common 42 12 reference time and the energy needed for ions to overcome 43 ¹³ the potential barrier. The reference time origin is defined as 44 14 the moment when occur the maximum peak I_d within the BM 45

FIG. 8. Same map of the ion current collected by the RPA than the figure 7, but corrected for capacitive effects.

¹⁹ In the map, prominent continuous bands are visible across various energy levels at time points -1 , 4, and 6 µs. Given the discharge voltage of $U_d = 270$ V, it is highly improbable that ²² ions reach energies beyond 450 eV through normal acceler-²³ ation processes. This pattern suggests that capacitive effects ²⁴ within the RPA and along its acquisition line, likely triggered ²⁵ by fluctuations in the plasma potential in their vicinity, are influencing the measurements. This hypothesis aligns with find- 27 ings from previous studies, such as those in²⁷. Assuming that these capacitive effects are independent of the sampled ion energy and remain periodic in time for fixed discharge conditions, the average of the currents measured above one hundred ³¹ volts above the discharge voltage is subtracted from the ion ³² currents at each probed time. This involves subtracting ion ³³ currents collected with RPA potential barrier settings between ³⁴ 370 and 500 V for a discharge voltage of 270 V, resulting in ³⁵ the new current map shown in figure 8.

³⁶ 2. Calculation of the TIDF

To obtain the IDF as a function of time, the collected ion current is differentiated along the energy axis according to equation (4). Before performing this differentiation, the currents are filtered along the energy axis to reduce strong fluctuations caused by irregularities in the discharge over time using Savitzky-Golay's algorithm. The resulting graph, $IDF(t, E_k)$, shown in figure 9, represents the evolution of the ion energy distribution during a BM period, illustrating how the distribution changes dynamically throughout the oscillation.

Physics of Plasmas

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset. PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0235762 **PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0235762**

AIP
E Publishing

AIP
E Publishing

accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset. This is the author's peer reviewed,

PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0235762 **PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0235762**

300

 400

 0.9

 0.8

 0.7

 $\frac{0.6}{0.5}$
 $\frac{1}{4}$
 $\frac{0.4}{4}$

 0.3

 -0.2

 0.1

 0.0

Assuming that the ions are no longer accelerated beyond the ²⁹ thruster exit plane, the IDFs were reconstructed at the thruster exit plane using equations (6) and (7) . For each current de- 30 fined by collection time and minimum energy, the IDF time 31 axis alignment was calculated considering the following fac- 32 ¹⁰ tors:

¹¹ • *t*_{RPA} : signal pickup time

 15

 10

5

 $\boldsymbol{0}$

 -5

 -10

 -15

 $\dot{0}$

 10_C

200

Time [µs]

- ¹² *t*_{exit plane} : ion emission time</sub>
- ¹³ Δ*t*_{flight time} : ion time of flight
- ¹⁴ *d*_{RPA-exit plane} : distance between thruster exit plane and **RPA** manifold RPA manifold

$$
t_{\text{exit plane}} = t_{\text{RPA}} - \Delta t_{\text{flight time}}
$$
 (6)

$$
\Delta t_{\text{flight time}} = d_{\text{RPA-exit plane}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{m_{\text{Xe}}}{2e(\varphi_{\text{RPA}} - V_{\text{cg}})}} \tag{7}
$$

18 This alignment implies that each energy level must be ₄₆ shifted by a time equivalent to the time it takes for the ions to $\frac{1}{4}$ reach the RPA, with more energetic ions having shorter travel reach the RPA, with more energetic ions having shorter travel $_{48}$ 21 times. The resulting transformation is shown in figure 10.

22 4. Determination of uncertainties

²³ The uncertainty in the ion energy profile arises from sev- 54 ²⁴ eral sources:

FIG. 10. *IDF*(t, E_k) 2D map for $U_d = 270$ V at the thruster exit plane level.

- The uncertainty in the voltage supplied by the generator and applied to the filter grid is 0.5 V. This could introduce a slight shift in the measured ion energies, which would manifest as a small uncertainty in the absolute energy values of the ions.
- ³⁰ Fluctuations in the cathode coupling potential relative to ground have maximum amplitudes of 3 V, but the RPA measurement step is at least 5 V in the ion current variation regions. These fluctuations cannot be cor-³⁴ rected and are masked by voltage sampling resolution.
- ³⁵ Potential drop loss in the electrostatic sheath at the RPA input. Assuming that plasma potential fluctuations are transmitted with the same amplitude and phase at the sheath output, floating potential fluctuations equal plasma potential fluctuations, with only their average values differing. This hypothesis is verified for fluctua- $_{41}$ tions below 1 MHz⁴¹.

⁴² The total uncertainty in ion energy is estimated to be about ⁴³ 5 eV. This uncertainty only affects the absolute energy values, and not the temporal position of the energy distribution in the ⁴⁵ following TIDF.

The uncertainty in the time adjustment is determined by considering the uncertainty in the distance between the RPA collector and the ion extraction zone (in this work, we have considered ± 3 cm), as well as the velocity of the ions. This uncertainty depends on the energy of the ions and is shown ⁵¹ in the graph in figure 11. It is obtained by calculating the ⁵² time of flight taking into account the distance uncertainty for ⁵³ an ion with a kinetic energy between 1 and 500 eV. In the ⁵⁴ IDF variation range between 200 and 300 V, the uncertainty is ⁵⁵ ranging between 2 and 1.5 µs, respectively.

AIP
E Publishing

accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset. This is the author's peer reviewed,

PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0235762 **PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0235762**

Effects of BM on the IDF in Hall Thrusters: Time-Resolved RPA Measurements 9

IV. RESULTS & DISCUSSION

² A. Oscillation regimes in ID-Hall 2 plasma

Several oscillation regimes were identified in a previous 40 ⁴ study³⁷, which provides a detailed analysis of the discharge current behavior across different operating conditions. These 42 regimes are characterized by distinct patterns of BM oscilla- 43 tions and ITTO, which play a significant role in shaping the 44 plasma dynamics within the thruster :

- Regime A: This regime corresponds to low-frequency ¹⁰ BM oscillations occurring within a discharge voltage 11 range of U_d ∈ [105 − 175] V. In this regime, the discharge current behavior is illustrated in the top graph in charge current behavior is illustrated in the top graph in 49 ¹³ figure 12.
- Regime B: For $U_d \in [175-335]$ V, the BM oscillations $\frac{1}{52}$ 15 become higher in frequency and amplitude. I_d is char-
acterized by larger oscillations, as shown in the bottom acterized by larger oscillations, as shown in the bottom 17 graph in figure 12.
- \bullet Regime C: For $U_d \in [335-408]$ V, the BM oscillations 19 become unstable, with a significant increase in the elec-²⁰ tronic current transmitted to the anode.

FIG. 12. A time series examples in A and B regimes, with the *I^d* shown in blue and the capacitive signal (*S*capa) in orange.

21 Throughout all the regimes, BM oscillations are observed, 55

²² albeit transiently in some cases, accompanied by fast oscilla-

 23 tions in the tens to hundreds of kHz range during the peak of 56

²⁴ the discharge current. These fast oscillations correspond to

²⁵ signal peaks detected by the external capacitive antenna (the ⁵⁸

orange curves in figure 12), which suggests a correlation be-²⁷ tween ion current variations and electric field reorganization in the plasma. This finding supports the hypothesis that these fast oscillations are ITTOs, likely related to the time it takes ³⁰ for ions to travel across the plasma.

³¹ In Regime B, in particular, the overlap of high-frequency 32 oscillations with the BM harmonic frequencies, combined with the doubling of discharge current oscillation amplitudes, points to a possible resonant interaction between these two types of oscillations. For a more detailed exploration of the oscillation regimes and their associated behaviors, the com-37 prehensive study in reference³⁷ provides further insights.

38 B. Mean ion distribution functions

³⁹ Using an RPA, IDFs were measured for different discharge voltages, revealing distinct patterns that correlate with the previously identified plasma oscillation regimes. The data, shown in figure 13, illustrates two major groups of IDF shapes: a relatively symmetric distribution at low and high voltages (regimes A and C) and an asymmetrical distribution like the sum of two shifted Gaussians in regime B. In this regime, as the discharge voltage increases, a second peak ⁴⁷ gradually develops on the high energy side, while the initial probability maximum shifts towards the lowest energies. The second peak becomes more prominent and the low energy peak gradually disappears, marking the transition to regime C. This suggests that plasma oscillations significantly influence the overall ion dynamics.

FIG. 13. IDF given for some discharge voltages with a xenon flow rate of 0.9 mg/s. The black dashed lines mark the boundary discharge voltages between the different plasma oscillation regimes: A, B and C.

⁵³ The energy positions of the main IDF peaks are approximately shifted with respect to the applied plasma discharge voltage:

- ⁵⁶ between 15 and 30 eV in the low-amplitude BM oscillation zone (regime A);
- about 60 eV (low energy peak);

AIP
E Publishing

accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.
PLEASE CITE NS ARTICLE AS CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI This is the author's peer reviewed,

PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0235762

30

Effects of BM on the IDF in Hall Thrusters: Time-Resolved RPA Measurements 10

1 • about 0 eV (high energy peak) in the high-amplitude 52 C. Temporal ion distribution function ² BM oscillation range (regime B).

Whatever the oscillation regime, we observe that a signif- 54 4 icant proportion of the ions would be super-energetic, acquir-55 5 ing more kinetic energy than the available potential energy 56 imposed by the discharge voltage.

⁷ While symmetrical Gaussian-shaped distributions are ⁸ common and relatively easy to explain, asymmetrical distributions are not. There are three hypotheses to explain the asym- 60 10 metric distributions observed in RPA measurements, which ⁶¹ ¹¹ result from both temporal and spatial integration:

- ¹² Ions are created in different regions and experience dif-13 ferent acceleration potentials. Plume measurements us- 65 $\frac{1}{14}$ ing a series of three Langmuir probes showed no varia- $\frac{1}{15}$ tions in ion current shape or flight time within the mea-¹⁶ surement domain, limiting the applicability of this hy-17 pothesis to areas inaccessible to the probes, such as the 18 end of the thruster channel or the first few centimetres 69 ¹⁹ of the plume.
- \bullet Ions are accelerated by the same potential drop, but $\frac{72}{2}$ 21 from radially distant locations, which would affect the $\frac{1}{22}$
transfer function of the RPA⁴². However, a hemispheri- $_{22}$ transfer function of the RPA⁴². However, a hemispheri-²³ cal scan using the RPA revealed no change in the shape $_{75}$ ²⁴ of the IDF over the first thirty degrees, only a variation ²⁵ in the intensity of the collected ion current. This obser- $\frac{76}{7}$ vation rejects the hypothesis. vation rejects the hypothesis.

²⁷ • Ions of different energies are produced at different 28 times, corresponding to different phases in the progres- 80 ²⁹ sion of the oscillations. This hypothesis aligns with the ⁸¹ findings of a recent 2D PIC-MCC simulation study^{25 82} $_{31}$ and an earlier study using a 2D hybrid code¹⁷, both of 32 which observed ion energy collapse during ITTO oscil- 84 33 lations. As a result, changes in the IDF over time should ⁸⁵ ³⁴ be evident in accordance with the oscillations encoun-⁸⁶ ³⁵ tered.

Similar distribution shapes to those observed in B regime have been reported in the literature for different operating points and thrusters. For instance, the ID Hall 1 thruster exhibits more distorted ion distributions functions towards high energies for discharge voltages between 120 and 180 V¹⁴. The ID Hall 1 thruster features a unique geometry and magnetic topology, with two magnetic barriers: one being the main magnetic barrier located in the channel, and the other placed facing the anode. The authors found that the shape of the av- eraged IDF was caused by a time-dependent change in the po- tential allocation within the magnetic barriers. This was deter-47 mined by monitoring the IDFs over time and using HALLIS¹⁰⁰ simulations. However, the magnetic topology of our thruster is more conventional and does not have a double magnetic 50 barrier suggesting that there is another explanation to our ob-¹⁰³ servations.

After following the data collection and processing protocols outlined in section III, the temporal evolution of the IDFs for several discharge voltages $(150, 240, 270,$ and 340 V) was reconstructed.

- ⁵⁷ For each discharge voltage, the figure 14 presents from left to right:
- ⁵⁹ A 2D map of the ion current collected by the RPA over a period corresponding to one BM oscillation, plotted as a function of time (y-axis) and the energy of the ions (x-axis), corrected for the cathode potential but uncorrected for the time of flight (i.e., in the RPA reference frame)
	- In the middle, the figure is composed of three elements:
		- $-$ A 2D map of the IDF as a function of time (yaxis), calculated at the output plane of the thruster, and the energy of the ions (x-axis).
- A 1D graph on the left, which shares the same time axis as the central figure, allowing us to ob-⁷¹ serve the evolution of the average discharge current and the average capacitive signal.
- The lower 1D graph that shares the same energy axis as the 2D map of $IDF(t, E)$ and shows the α ⁷⁵ average IDF, calculated using $\langle \text{IDF}(t, E) \rangle_t$.
- ⁷⁶ Finally, the rightmost figure shows several instantaneous IDFs at fixed times. Each colour corresponds to ⁷⁸ a specific instant, with the temporal positions indicated by dotted lines in the central figures.

In the oscillation regime A, for $U_d = 150$ V (figure 14 (a)), as previously observed in figure 13, when examining the position of the most probable energy over time, we observe the same significant withdrawal of around 40 eV from that imposed by the discharge voltage. Regardless of the time, the distributions remain relatively symmetrical, except between ⁸⁶ −66 and −22 µs. During this period, the resolution of the IDF is more approximate, and the IDFs are noisier, despite a slight decrease in the average energy of the ions of around 10 eV. Upon investigating the ion current for similar behaviour, we observe a clear decrease in the maximum energy of the ions collected between −32 and 12 μs. It should be noted that the IDFs were reconstructed at a plane 44 cm upstream of the RPA, assumed to be the thruster exit plane (the plane from which the ions have completed their acceleration and have a fixed velocity). Thus, since the most probable energy according to the IDF during this period is around 100 eV, the time of flight of the ions between the RPA and this plane should be approximately 36 ± 3 µs. Taking into account an additional uncertainty of a few microseconds due to approximations in the definition of the region of interest, we arrive at the 44 µs difference observed, which is therefore entirely due to the time of flight of the ions between the end-of-acceleration plane and the RPA collector.

It should also be noted that during this period, the ion cur-

AIP
E Publishing

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset. This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0235762 **PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0235762**

FIG. 14. From left to right: The first figure shows the 2D map of the ion current collected by the RPA over a period corresponding to a BM oscillation. The second figure presents the 2D map of the IDF as a function of time (calculated in the thruster output plane) versus ion energy (x-axis). The 1D graph on the left allows for the observation of the average discharge current and the average capacitive signal over a BM oscillation. The integrated IDF, calculated by averaging \langle *IDF* (t, E) \rangle *t*, is plotted in the 1D graph at the bottom. Finally, on the right, the last figure displays instantaneous IDFs at fixed times. (For a mass flow rate of 0.9 mg/s and discharge voltages of 150V (a), 240 V (b), 270 V (c), and 340 V (d)).

accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset. This is the author's peer reviewed,

Physics of Plasmas

AIP
E Publishing

PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0235762 **PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0235762**

and 340 V (d)). 1 rent collected is low (< 0.13 μ A), which degrades the signal- 34 to-noise ratio, explaining the multiple artefacts visible in the 35 distribution function, such as the "islets" with energies less 36 than 90 eV or greater than 120 eV (for example, the IDF at -45 37 us has two peaks, the second peak may be an artefact caused 38 by the noise generated by the discharge irregularity). As time 39 progresses, we also observe a brief decrease in the energy of 40 the ions collected at around $36 \mu s$ on the ion current map. The 41 same decrease appears around $1.4 \mu s$ on the IDF map. Inter- 42 10 estingly, this brief drop in ion energy of about 15 eV occurs 43 11 exactly when a capacitive signal pulse is recorded, attributed 44 12 to an ITTO oscillation. For the other capacitive signal pulses 45 13 with much lower amplitude, no modification of the ion energy 46 14 is detected, likely due to the discharge irregularity affecting 47

¹⁶ Figures 14 (b) and (c) display the IDFs associated with ⁵⁰ 17 discharge voltages of 240 V and 270 V, which correspond to 51 18 oscillation regime B.

15 the averaging process.

19 Let's first examine the case of $U_d = 240$ V. As previously $_{54}$ 20 observed in figure 13, the reconstructed IDF begins to show $_{55}$ 21 significant distortion, with a considerable proportion of faster 56 ²² ions, leading to an asymmetric distribution. Initially, we ob-²³ serve a variation in ion energy and the shape of the IDF on ²⁴ the same time scale as the BM oscillation. Additionally, the 57 25 variations in I_d appear to be linked to the mean ion energy. 58 26 Specifically, between −20 and −13 µs, I_d first increases while s₂ the ion energy collapses from approximately 220 eV to 180 ₆₀ the ion energy collapses from approximately 220 eV to 180 so ²⁸ eV. Then, between -13 and -5 μs, I_d stabilises at a plateau, ⁶¹ 29 and the ion energy stabilises at an average of around 180 eV, 62 ³⁰ with two distinct peaks at 170 eV and 190 eV, whose am-31 plitudes vary in opposite directions. This pattern likely does 64 32 not reflect the true evolution of the IDFs but is probably an 65 33 artefact caused by the reconstruction protocol applied to the 66

irregular discharge. During this plateau phase of I_d , a capacitive signal peak is detected, indicating a reorganisation of the ³⁶ electrical potential within the plasma. This peak is followed by a resumption of the increase in I_d , which is also accompanied by an increase in the energy of the extracted ions, rising from 190 eV to 225 eV. At the beginning of the decreasing phase of I_d , between 0 and 4 us, the ion energy appears to remain around 225[°]230 eV. After 4 µs, the situation becomes less clear, with the appearance of two peaks on the distribution functions. This is illustrated by the instantaneous IDF at 4.5 us, shown by the pink curve. Unlike the evolution of the time-averaged IDF before 4 µs, which is reproducible across multiple measurement sets, these two peaks after 4 µs are not always observed.

When U_d is increased up to 270 V, we remain in regime ⁴⁹ B, and the results are shown in figure 14 (c). In this case, the temporal evolution of the IDFs is essentially the same as for $U_d = 240$ V. The IDFs are still strongly distorted, with the maximum probability centred at high energies, and the distribution tail extends beyond the imposed discharge energy. The main difference is the increased gap between the minimum ⁵⁵ energy (185 eV at −8 µs) and the maximum energy (270 eV at -14 and 6.2 µs).

Finally, at $U_d = 340$ V, when we enter regime C, we observe quasi-symmetrical distributions, which are consistent with the previous integrated IDF observations (as shown in the C regime in figure 13). Upon examining the TIDF or the instantaneous IDF, we notice the presence of two peaks, located around 295 eV and 315 eV. Initially, one might assume these are artefacts of the measurements, caused by the irregularity of the discharge oscillations. This is plausible, as the irregularity becomes more pronounced as we enter the C oscillation regime. Moreover, above $U_d = 340$ V, the discharge behaviour

oscillation. The second figure presents the 2D map of the IDF as a function of time (calculated in the thruster output plane) versus ion energy (x-axis). The 1D graph on the left allows for the observation of the average discharge current and the average capacitive signal over a BM oscillation. The integrated IDF, calculated by averaging \langle *IDF* (t, E) \rangle *t*, is plotted in the 1D graph at the bottom. Finally, on the right, the last figure displays instantaneous IDFs at fixed times. (For a mass flow rate of 0.9 mg/s and discharge voltages of 150V (a), 240 V (b), 270 V (c),

AIP
E Publishing

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.
PLEASE CITE NS ARTICLE AS CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI

PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0235762

 1 becomes too irregular to be reliably reconstructed using this 57 temporal IDF method. On the map of the temporal evolution 58 of the collected ion current as a function of energy, we can see 59 that the time series corresponding to energies of 290 eV and ⁵ 300 eV deviate from the others. This suggests that the general behaviour of the discharge might have changed slightly during the measurement, and the derivatives calculated at these points may not be entirely reliable. We can note that when the average capacitive signal peak is detected on our antenna, between ¹⁰ −10 and 1 µs, the energy of the ions experiences a temporary 11 decrease, dropping from an average value of 300°310 eV to 12 290 eV. This observation is consistent with the simultaneous 13 observation of the capacitive pulse and the ion energy drop of $\frac{67}{9}$ 14 15 eV in regime A (figure 14 (a)).

¹⁵ In cases where the BM is clearly visible, we can com- $_{71}$ ¹⁶ pare our results with those from a recent study by Thomas et $_{72}$ 17 al.³⁰, where ion distribution functions were resolved tempo- 18 rally using a different technique, Shadow Manifold Interpola- $\frac{1}{74}$ 19 tion (SMI). The shapes of the discharge currents are similar $_{75}$ ²⁰ between the two studies, corresponding to the oscillations ob-21 served in our B regime. The observations regarding the shape $\frac{1}{77}$ 22 and temporal evolution of the IDFs appear to align, with an os- $\frac{1}{78}$ $_{23}$ cillation in the most probable ion energy at the BM frequency, $_{79}$ ²⁴ exhibiting a large amplitude exceeding 50 eV.

²⁵ The key observation is that in regime B, during a single 81 ²⁶ BM oscillation cycle, the ion energy decreases rapidly as the ⁸² 27 discharge current initially increases. When the current reaches 83 28 a plateau, the average ion energy remains relatively low and 84 ²⁹ constant. The end of this plateau coincides with a capacitive 30 signal pulse, indicating a reorganisation of the electric field 86 31 within the plasma. Following this, both the discharge current 87 32 and extracted ion energy rise again. After I_d reaches its max- $_{33}$ imum, when all gas atoms are ionised, I_d decreases rapidly. However, the ion energy remains steady, at least at the beginning of the decreasing phase, before the IDF loses reliability due to weak and/or irregular ion current. In this con- 89 37 text, the capacitive pulse represents the variation in the electric ϵ_0 38 field, likely responsible for the ion acceleration, suggesting 91 the presence of ITTOs, even though no clear traces of them 92 ⁴⁰ are visible in the IDFs. The irregularity of the ITTO oscilla-41 tions (as seen in figure 12) has likely led to their averaging 94 ⁴² out.

⁴³ Currently, we can assume that during a BM oscillation, the ⁴⁴ ionization and acceleration zones move independently, which 45 alters the total electric field experienced by an ion at a given ⁴⁶ location and time. Previous studies have demonstrated strong ⁴⁷ correlations between the ionization and acceleration processes 48 in Hall thrusters⁴³, with oscillations in the mean ion velocity and the accelerating electric field at the breathing mode fre- 102 50 quency. While the final velocity of the ions is only weakly¹⁰³ 51 dependent on the frequency of the BM oscillations, it is more¹⁰⁴ 52 influenced by the position of the ionization region and the be-105 53 haviour of the ions within it, particularly the backscattering106 54 of ions towards the anode⁴⁴. Furthermore, research has high-

lighted the significant role of neutral density and temperature. ⁵⁶ in determining the position of the ion acceleration region⁴⁵.

Finally, several studies have demonstrated the overlap between the ionization and acceleration regions, combining exs9 perimental measurements with numerical simulations^{46–48}.

A significant limitation of our diagnostic method is the irregularity of the oscillations, which necessitates signal averaging during data acquisition. This averaging distorts the observed signal shapes, such as the presence of only one rapid oscillation superimposed on the BM in the $U_d = 270$ V discharge current in figure 14, compared to the $2-3$ oscillations seen in figure 12. Similarly, the ion response is averaged, making it appear as though there is a single continuous variation in the average energy of the ions. However, simulations ⁶⁹ with visible ITTO on the BM show as many IDF energy fluc- π tuations as there are oscillations in the BM¹⁷.

To verify whether our reconstruction algorithm can resolve IDFs at frequencies in the hundreds of kHz range, it was τ ³ tested on the same time series from simulations presented in¹⁷. Each visible BM in the collected ion currents was averaged to simulate current acquisition by the RPA and oscilloscope. The results obtained with our algorithm were consistent with those derived from directly counting particles in the model, confirming that ion distributions could be resolved with the desired time resolution, even with slight discharge variability. Thus, simulations suggest that ITTO oscillations in discharge currents would manifest in ion energy distribution functions as variations in ion energy at ITTO frequencies. However, these variations were not observed in our data due to the necessary averaging of discharge oscillations to obtain the most reproducible current shape. Since ITTO oscillations are more random than BM oscillations, they are effectively lost during the averaging process.

V. CONCLUSION

In this study, a technique inspired by Langmuir probe time-resolved measurements was adapted for use with RPA ion current measurements to reconstruct ion distribution functions (IDFs) over time, on the scale of ITTO and BM oscillations. By applying this algorithm to ion currents collected in each oscillation regime, we were able to explain the different shapes of integrated classical IDFs in these regimes. However, the irregularity of the temporal discharge prevented IDF resolution on the ITTO scale. Despite this, when the discharge was sufficiently periodic, a modulation of the energy acquired by the ions at the BM oscillation frequency was observed, suggesting a possible uncoordinated displacement of the ionization and acceleration zones. The accelerating electric field seen by the ions varied depending on their creation time and location, with the overlap between these zones evolving in response to BM instability.

To experimentally resolve IDFs at ITTO frequencies, it is necessary to eliminate the averaging operation. One potential approach is to identify similar oscillation patterns in each time series, which could be associated with potential RPA filtering over a large time period. By assuming that similar ef-

AIP
E Publishing

accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset. reviewed, is the author's peer This

PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0235762 **PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0235762** Effects of BM on the IDF in Hall Thrusters: Time-Resolved RPA Measurements 14

- 1 fects produce similar consequences for ion movement at ITTO 19 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION**
- frequencies, IDFs could be reconstructed for an oscillation ty
	- pology that includes both BM and ITTOs.

NOMENCLATURE

Acronyms

- BM Breathing Mode
- FFT Fast Fourier Transform
- HT Hall Thruster
- IDF Ion Distribution Function
- ITTO Ions Transit Time Oscillation
- TIDF Temporal Ion Distribution Function
- RPA Retarding Potential Analyser

Roman & Greek Symbols

- B Magnetic field $[T]$ ³₃₁</sup>
E Electric field $[V/m]$ ³² Electric field
- *e* Elementary electric charge [C]³⁵
 $f(v)$ Ion velocity distribution function [*II*]³⁵₃₇
- $f(v)$ Ion velocity distribution function I_d $[1]_{3}^{3\pi}$
 I_d Discharge current $[A]_{3}^{3\pi}$
- Discharge current
- $I_{e,i}$ Electron current balancing the extracted ion current
- $I_{e,c}$ Electron current crossing the magnetic barrier [A]⁴¹
 I_{RPA} Ions current collected by the RPA [A]⁴²₄₃
- **Ions current collected by the RPA** $\begin{bmatrix} A \end{bmatrix}^4_{43}$ **
Mass of a xenon atom** $\begin{bmatrix} kg \end{bmatrix}^4_{44}$
- m_{Xe} Mass of a xenon atom
- *t* Time [s]
-
- *t* Time [s] U_d Discharge voltage [V] 46 [V] 46 [V] 47 Capa Capacitive signal [V] 47
- *v* Ion velocity
 V_{cg} Cathode pot
- S_{capa} Capacitive signal [V] $\frac{47}{48}$ [M] $\frac{47}{49}$ [M] $\frac{1}{49}$ Cathode potential referred to the ground [V] $_{50}$

 $\phi_{\rm RPA}$ Potential of the RPA's ion filtering barrier [V] 53

⁴ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Q.D.D. has benefited from a Ph.D. funding from the ⁵⁹ FrenchMinistry of Research. This work was supported by $\frac{60}{61}$ the CNES agency (Grant No R-S23/PF-0005-162-01). This $\frac{1}{62}$ work has been carried out within the framework of the EU-63 ROfusion Consortium, funded by the European Union via the ⁶⁴ 10 Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agree-11 ment No 101052200—EUROfusion). Views and opinions ex- $\frac{8}{67}$ 12 pressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not $\frac{1}{68}$ 13 necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the Euro- 69 ¹⁴ pean Commission. Neither the European Union nor the Euro-⁷⁰ ¹⁵ pean Commission can be held responsible for them.

AUTHOR DECLARATION

¹⁷ Conflict of Interest: The authors have no conflicts to dis-¹⁸ close.

²⁰ DATA AVAILABILITY

- ²¹ The data that support the findings of this study are avail-
- ²² able from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

²³ REFERENCES

- ¹A. I. Morozov, "The conceptual development of stationary plasma
- 25 thrusters," Plasma Physics Reports 29, 235–250 (2003).

26 ²D. Lev, R. M. Myers, K. M. Lemmer, J. Kolbeck, H. Koizumi, and
- K. Polzin, "The technological and commercial expansion of electric propul-
- sion," Acta Astronautica 159, 213-227 (2019).
- ³S. Mazouffre, "Electric propulsion for satellites and spacecraft: Established technologies and novel approaches," Plasma Sources Science and Technology 25, 033002 (2016).
- ⁴D. Krejci and P. Lozano, "Space Propulsion Technology for Small Spacecraft," Proceedings of the IEEE 106, 362-378 (2018).
- ⁵E. Y. Choueiri, "Plasma oscillations in Hall thrusters," Physics of Plasmas ³⁵ 8, 1411 (2001).
- ⁶V. Mazières, F. Gaboriau, A. Guglielmi, V. Laquerbe, R. Pascaud, and ³⁷ O. Pascal, "Broadband (kHz–GHz) characterization of instabilities in Hall thruster inside a metallic vacuum chamber," Physics of Plasmas 29, 072107
- $[A]$ 39 (2022) . ⁷S. Tsikata, A. Héron, and C. Honoré, "Hall thruster microturbulence un
	- der conditions of modified electron wall emission," Physics of Plasmas 24, $42 \qquad 053519(2017)$.
	- ⁸ Yamamoto, Kuwabara, Cho, Kosuga, and D. Pradalier, "Observation of
	- Plasma Turbulence in a Hall Thruster Using Microwave Interferometry,' ⁴⁵ Journal of Propulsion and Power (2023), 10.2514/1.B38711.
	-
	- ⁹E. Chesta, C. Lam, N. Meezan, D. Schmidt, and M. Cappelli, "A characterization of plasma fluctuations within a Hall discharge," IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science 29, 582–591 (Aug./2001).
	- ¹⁰ J. Kurzyna, S. Mazouffre, A. Lazurenko, L. Albarède, G. Bonhomme, K. Makowski, M. Dudeck, and Z. Peradzyński, "Spectral analysis of Hall-
	- ⁵¹ effect thruster plasma oscillations based on the empirical mode decomposi-⁵² tion," Physics of Plasmas 12, 123506 (2005). ¹¹ L.-Q. Wei, L. Han, D.-R. Yu, and N. Guo, "Low-frequency oscillations in
	- ⁵⁴ Hall thrusters," Chinese Physics B 24, 055201 (2015).
¹²K. Hara, S. Keller, and Y. Raitses, "Measurements and theory of driven
		- ⁵⁶ breathing oscillations in a Hall effect thruster," in *52nd AIAA/SAE/ASEE* ⁵⁷ *Joint Propulsion Conference* (American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Salt Lake City, UT, USA, 2016).
	- ¹³ A. Guglielmi, A. Martin Ortega, F. Gaboriau, and J.-P. Boeuf, "Influence of ⁶⁰ double-stage operation on breathing oscillations and rotating spokes in the ⁶¹ ID-HALL thruster," in *36th International Electric Propulsion Conference*, ⁶² Vol. IEPC-2019-632 (Vienne, Autriche, 2019).
		- ¹⁴ A. Martín Ortega, A. Guglielmi, F. Gaboriau, C. Boniface, and J. P. Boeuf, ⁶⁴ "Experimental characterization of ID-Hall, a double stage Hall thruster with an inductive ionization stage," Physics of Plasmas 27 , 023518 (2020).
		- ¹⁵ V. Giannetti, M. M. Saravia, and T. Andreussi, "Measurement of the breathing mode oscillations in Hall thruster plasmas with a fast-diving triple Example 188 Langmuir probe," Physics of Plasmas 27, 123502 (2020).
		- ¹⁶M. Baird, T. Kerber, R. McGee-Sinclair, and K. Lemmer, "Plume Divergence and Discharge Oscillations of an Accessible Low-Power Hall Effect ⁷¹ Thruster," Applied Sciences 11, 1973 (2021).
	- ¹⁷ 17 *I. Bareilles, G. J. M. Hagelaar, L. Garrigues, C. Boniface, J. P. Boeuf, and* ⁷³ N. Gascon, "Critical assessment of a two-dimensional hybrid Hall thruster ⁷⁴ model: Comparisons with experiments," Physics of Plasmas 11, 3035–3046 (2004) .
	- ¹⁸M. F. Konopliv, R. E. Wirz, and L. K. Johnson, "Ion-Neutral Population ⁷⁷ and Electron Temperature Phasing in the Hall Thruster Breathing Mode," ⁷⁸ in *38th International Electric Propulsion Conference*, Vol. IEPC-2024-487 (Toulouse, France, 2024).
- - ¹⁹ J. P. Boeuf and L. Garrigues, "Low frequency oscillations in a stationary 53
	- plasma thruster," Journal of Applied Physics **84**, 3541–3554 (1998).

	3 ²⁰T. Lafleur, P. Chabert, and A. Bourdon, "The origin of the breathing mode

	⁴ in Hall thrusters and its stabilization," Journal of Applied Physic ⁵ 053305 (2021).
	- ²¹ O. Chapurin, A. Smolyakov, G. Hagelaar, J.-P. Boeuf, and Y. Raitses, 58 ⁷ "Fluid and hybrid simulations of the ionization instabilities in Hall thruster," ⁸ Journal of Applied Physics 132, 053301 (2022).
	- ²² J. Vaudolon and S. Mazouffre, "Observation of high-frequency ion instabil-
10 ities in a cross-field plasma," Plasma Sources Science and Technology **24**,
	- 11 032003 (2015).

	¹² ²³ J. Vaudolon, *El* ²³ 12 ²³ 12 Vaudolon, *Electric Field Determination and Magnetic Topology Opti-* 64

	13 *mization in Hall Thruster*, Ph.D. thesis, ICARE - Institut de Combustion, 65 ¹³ *mization in Hall Thruster*, Ph.D. thesis, ICARE - Institut de Combustion, ¹⁴ Aérothermique, Réactivité et Environnement, Orléans (2015).
	- ²⁴ G. J. M. Hagelaar, J. Bareilles, L. Garrigues, and J. P. Boeuf, "Two- 67 dimensional model of a stationary plasma thruster," Journal of Applied 68 ¹⁷ Physics 91, 5592–5598 (2002).
	- ²⁵ F. Petronio, T. Charoy, A. Alvarez Laguna, A. Bourdon, and P. Chabert, 70 ¹⁹ "Two-dimensional effects on electrostatic instabilities in Hall thrusters. II. $_{71}$ 20 Comparison of particle-in-cell simulation results with linear theory disper- $_{72}$
	- 21 sion relations," Physics of Plasmas **30**, 012104 (2023).
	- ²⁶ T. Gibert, L. Balika, F. Diop, and A. Bouchoule, "Doubly-Charged Xe Ions $\frac{1}{74}$ 23 Evidenced by Time Resolved RPA Measurement in the Far Field Plume of $_{75}$
	- 24 a Low-Power HET," Contributions to Plasma Physics 55, 529–537 (2015). $\frac{1}{76}$ ²⁷M. Baird, T. Kerber, K. Lemmer, and W. Huang, "Hall Thruster Plume $\frac{1}{77}$ ²⁶ Measurements of Time Resolved Ion Energy," in *36th International Electric* ²⁷ *Propulsion Conference*, Vol. IEPC-2019-516 (University of Vienna, Aus-28 tria, 2019).

	29 28 M. Baird. I
	- 28 M. Baird, R. McGee-Sinclair, K. Lemmer, and W. Huang, "Time-resolved $_{81}^{22}$ ³⁰ ion energy measurements using a retarding potential analyzer," Review of ³¹ Scientific Instruments 92, 073306 (2021).
	- ²⁹ S. Thompson, Z. Robertson, C. C. Farnell, and S. C. Farnell, "Time-²⁹ ³³ Resolved Ion Measurement in the Beam Plasma of a Hall Thruster," in 38th International Electric Propulsion Conference, Vol. IEPC-2024-818 (Toulouse, France, 2024).
	- $36\frac{30}{2}$ A. Thomas, L. Alvesteffer, and K. Lemmer, "Time-Resolved Ion Energy ₈₈ ³⁷ Measurements of a 200-W Hall Effect Thruster with a High-Speed Retard-⁸⁹
	- ing Potential Analyzer," in 38th International Electric Propulsion Conference (Toulouse, France, 2024).
	- 31 A. Lucca Fabris, C. V. Young, and M. A. Cappelli, "Time-resolved laser- $\frac{31}{92}$ ⁴¹ induced fluorescence measurement of ion and neutral dynamics in a Hall ₉₃ 42 thruster during ionization oscillations," Journal of Applied Physics 118, $\frac{1}{94}$ ⁴³ 233301 (2015).
	- ³² V. H. Chaplin, R. B. Lobbia, A. Lopez Ortega, I. G. Mikellides, R. R. Hofer, ₉₆ J. E. Polk, and A. J. Friss, "Time-resolved ion velocity measurements in $\frac{3}{97}$ 46 a high-power Hall thruster using laser-induced fluorescence with transfer ₉₈
		- function averaging," Applied Physics Letters 116, 234107 (2020).
	- 33 A. Thomas and K. Lemmer, "Time-resolved ion energy measurements us- $_{100}$ ⁴⁹ ing a retarding potential analyzer for electric propulsion applications," Re-
	- 50 view of Scientific Instruments 95, 023505 (2024).
 51 34 I. Romadanov, Y. Raitses, A. Diallo, I. D. Kag
	- ³⁴ I. Romadanov, Y. Raitses, A. Diallo, I. D. Kaganovich, K. Hara, and ⁵² A. Smolyakov, "Time-resolved measurements of modulated breathing os-
- ⁵³ cillations in cylindical Hall Thruster," in *35th International Electric Propul*sion Conference, Vol. IEPC-2017-267 (Georgia Institute of Technology · ⁵⁵ Atlanta, Georgia • USA, 2017).
- ³⁵ D. Eckhardt, J. Koo, R. Martin, M. Holmes, and K. Hara, "Spatiotemporal" data fusion and manifold reconstruction in Hall thrusters," Plasma Sources Science and Technology 28, 045005 (2019).
- ³⁶ A. Loyan, F. Darnon, L. Albarede, V. Lago, P. Lasgorceix, and
⁶⁰ M. Dudeck, "Correlation Between Hollow Cathode Operating Conditions ⁶¹ and Hall Thruster (SPT100-ML) Performance Characteristics," in *38th* ⁶² *AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference & Exhibit* (American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Indianapolis, Indiana, 2002).
	- ³⁷Q. Delavière–Delion, F. Gaboriau, G. Fubiani, and L. Garrigues, "Experimental observation of low-frequency interactions at different scales and evidence of transit time oscillations in a Hall thruster: Spectral analysis," Physics of Plasmas 31, 072110 (2024).
	- ³⁸ C. Philippe Kadlec, *Caractérisations spatio-temporelles de jets ioniques* ⁶⁹ *: développement des diagnostics et application a la propulsion ionique*, ⁷⁰ Ph.D. thesis, GREMI, Orléans (1998).
	- ³⁹ H. Andrei, V. Covlea, V. V. Covlea, and E. Barna, "The smoothing and the digital processing of Langmuir probe characteristic," Romanian Reports in Physics 55, 51–56 (2003).
	- ⁴⁰ A. Caldarelli, F. Filleul, R. W. Boswell, C. Charles, N. J. Rattenbury, and J. E. Cater, "Data processing techniques for ion and electron-energy distri-
- ⁷⁶ bution functions," Physics of Plasmas **30**, 040501 (2023).
⁴¹J. F. Caneses and B. Blackwell, "RF compensation of double Langmuir probes: Modelling and experiment," Plasma Sources Science and Technology 24, 035024 (2015).
	- ⁴² L. Nicolle, P. Sarrailh, L. Garrigues, S. Hess, and M. Villemant, "Modelling of a retarding potential analyzer and comparison with Express-A in-flight measurements," Frontiers in Physics 10 (2022), 10.3389/fphy.2022.862945
	- 43 S. Mazouffre and G. Bourgeois, "Spatio-temporal characteristics of ion ve-84 International and S. Bourgeon, Spatio temporal emanatelesistics of tent to locity in a Hall thruster discharge," Plasma Sources Science and Technology ⁸⁵ 19, 065018 (2010).
	- 440. Chapurin, A. I. Smolyakov, G. Hagelaar, and Y. Raitses, "On the mechanism of ionization oscillations in Hall thrusters," Journal of Applied Physics ⁸⁸ 129, 233307 (2021).
	- ⁴⁵ S. E. Cusson, E. T. Dale, B. A. Jorns, and A. D. Gallimore, "Acceleration region dynamics in a magnetically shielded Hall thruster," Physics of Plasmas 26, 023506 (2019).
- ⁴⁶G. Doh, J. Park, D. Lee, H. Kim, and W. Choe, "Determination of the ⁹³ ionization region in Hall thruster plasmas with low perturbation," Journal ⁹⁴ of Applied Physics 130, 193301 (2021).
- ⁴⁷L. Garrigues, S. Mazouffre, and G. Bourgeois, "Computed versus mea-⁹⁶ sured ion velocity distribution functions in a Hall effect thruster," Journal ⁹⁷ of Applied Physics 111, 113301 (2012).
	- 48W. Hargus and M. Nakles, "Ion Velocity Measurements Within the Acceler-⁹⁹ ation Channel of a Low-Power Hall Thruster," in *30th International Electric* ¹⁰⁰ *Propulsion Conference*, Vol. IEPC-2007-172 (Florence, Italia, 2007).

AIP
E Publishing

This

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset

PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0235762

PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0235762