

### Why do microbes make minerals?

Julie Cosmidis, Karim Benzerara

### ▶ To cite this version:

Julie Cosmidis, Karim Benzerara. Why do microbes make minerals?. Comptes Rendus. Géoscience, 2022, 354, pp.1 - 39. 10.5802/crgeos.107 . hal-04855395

### HAL Id: hal-04855395 https://hal.science/hal-04855395v1

Submitted on 24 Dec 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Comptes Rendus Géoscience — Sciences de la Planète 2022, 354, nº 1, p. 1-39 https://doi.org/10.5802/crgeos.107



Review Article — Petrology, Geochemistry

### Why do microbes make minerals?

### Julie Cosmidis<sup>®</sup> \*, *a* and Karim Benzerara<sup>®</sup> *b*

<sup>a</sup> Department of Earth Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

<sup>b</sup> Institut de Minéralogie, de Physique des Matériaux et de Cosmochimie, Sorbonne Université, UMR CNRS 7590, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France *E-mails:* julie.cosmidis@earth.ox.ac.uk (J. Cosmidis), karim.benzerara@upmc.fr (K. Benzerara)

**Abstract.** Prokaryotes have been shaping the surface of the Earth and impacting geochemical cycles for the past four billion years. Biomineralization, the capacity to form minerals, is a key process by which microbes interact with their environment. While we keep improving our understanding of the mechanisms of this process ("how?"), questions around its functions and adaptive roles ("why?") have been less intensively investigated. Here, we discuss biomineral functions for several examples of prokaryotic biomineralization systems, and propose a roadmap for the study of microbial biomineralization through the lens of adaptation. We also discuss emerging questions around the potential roles of biomineralization in microbial cooperation and as important components of biofilm architectures. We call for a shift of focus from mechanistic to adaptive aspects of biomineralization, in order to gain a deeper comprehension of how microbial communities function in nature, and improve our understanding of life co-evolution with its mineral environment.

**Keywords.** Biomineralization, Microbial evolution, Cooperation, Biofilms, Sulfur, Iron, Carbonates. **Note.** Submission by invitation of the editorial board.

Manuscript received 8th October 2021, revised and accepted 30th November 2021.

#### 1. Introduction

Prokaryotes form an invisible yet major part of Earth's biosphere. Although easy to overlook due to their small sizes, bacteria and archaea contribute to a significant fraction of modern biodiversity in terms of species abundance, total biomass, and capacity to thrive in habitats inhospitable to more conspicuous forms of life [Bar-On et al., 2018]. Moreover, due to their impressively diverse metabolic capabilities, prokaryotes participate in transformations and fluxes of most elements present at the surface of the Earth, and hence are important drivers of geochemical cycles [Falkowski et al., 2008]. Since the origin of life about 4 billion years ago, microorganisms have been influencing their geochemical environment, and have in turn been influenced by it. Throughout this long co-evolutionary history, some prokaryotes have acquired the capability to form minerals, a process called biomineralization [Frankel and Bazylinski, 2003]. Prokaryotic biomineralization mirrors Eukaryotes' capacity to form mineral skeletons, shells, or teeth, and has been evidenced as early as the 19th century, with, for instance, the discoveries of Fe-oxide biomineralization by *Gallionella* [Ehrenberg, 1836] or Ca-carbonate biomineralization by *Achromatium oxaliferum* [Schewiakoff, 1893].

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

Under given conditions, certain prokaryotes biomineralize more intensively than others, while many do not biomineralize at all, and thus we can define this capability as a biological trait\*, i.e. a phenotypic\* characteristic that can be measured. In this review, we focus on an often-overlooked aspect of this trait: its potential biological functions. Much progress has been made in recent years on the molecular-level mechanisms of microbial mineral formation, due to combined advances in genomic approaches [e.g., Koeksoy et al., 2021, Uebe and Schüler, 2016, Zhang et al., 2020] and microscopy techniques [e.g., Blondeau et al., 2018b, Comolli et al., 2011, Li et al., 2017]. However, the question of the biological functions of biomineralization is often relegated to the discussion section of articles on the topic-when not completely ignored. As theorized by the Nobel Prize laureate Nikolaas Tinbergen, ultimate causes ("why?") need to be addressed along proximate ones ("how?") if a comprehensive understanding of any biological phenomenon is to be achieved [Tinbergen, 1963]. There is now a need to shed light on the ultimate causes of prokaryotic biomineralization, including its potential functional and adaptive\* aspects. After presenting the classical framework used to conceptualize prokaryotic biominerals and their potential functions, we describe recent results and hypotheses about the biological functions of prokaryotic biomineralization by focussing on a few selected examples (elemental sulfur, extracellular Fe(III) minerals, and intracellular carbonates). We then propose experimental approaches that may allow future progress on these "ultimate" questions, before focussing on two emerging roles for microbial biomineralization: cooperative interactions and biofilm\* architectures. The examples and concepts developed in this review finally allow us to propose a new framework to classify biomineralization processes based on their adaptive value.

Note that we will use the terms "microbes" and "microbial" interchangeably with "prokaryotes" and "prokaryotic" (respectively) in this review, leaving aside biomineralization by microbial Eukaryotes. Terms specific to microbiology or evolutionary biology rarely used in the Earth science literature are defined in Table 1 and are indicated by an asterisk on their first occurrence. Also note that the term 'biological function' can have diverse meanings depending on authors, serving different explanatory schemes [Donovan, 2019]. A function may relate to how a trait contributes to the functioning of a biological system, without discriminating adaptations\* from "fortuitous effects". This is called a "causal role" function\* and can be determined for example by observing how a microbial system responds to the removal or alteration of the trait [Klassen, 2018]. In a more teleological way, a function may be seen as the effect of a trait which has been responsible for its selection upon evolution (i.e. referring to its causal history). This is called a "selected effect" function\*. Last, the "fitness contribution" function\* of a trait may be seen as the effect it presently contributes to the fitness\* (or reproductive success) of the organism, regardless of its history. The last two views imply that the trait is adaptive. In this review, we will discuss these different views when referring to potential functions of biominerals, while carefully avoiding the Panglossian paradigm as defined by Gould and Lewontin [1979], in which all traits taken separately are considered as adaptive.

### 2. Prokaryotic biomineralization processes and their functions: the classical framework

In this section, we present the conceptual framework originally laid out by Lowenstam [1981] and later developed by other authors to conceptualize biomineralization, and describe how they intersect with the question of the biological functions of prokaryotic biominerals (Figure 1).

### 2.1. Controlled biomineralization

Biologically controlled mineralization was introduced by Mann [1983], generalizing the "organic matrix-mediated" notion coined by Lowenstam [1981]. As its name implies, in controlled biomineralization, the organism exerts strict genetic control to direct the nucleation, growth, and final location of the formed biominerals. Controlled mineralization can be intracellular\* or extracellular\* and/or start intracellularly with a final extracellular location of the biominerals. Many eukaryotic biominerals are formed by controlled mineralization and serve clearly identified biological functions (in both "causal role" and "selected effect" views), such as structure 
 Table 1. Definitions of some technical terms specific to microbiology and evolutionary biology

Term	Definition	
Adaptation	Any change in the structure or functioning of successive generations of a population that makes it better suited to its environment.	
Adaptive	Generally, providing, contributing to, or arising as a result to adaptation. More specifically, refers to a heritable trait that serves a specific function and improves an organism's fitness or survival.	
Aerotaxis	The movement of a cell or microorganism driven by a dioxygen gradient.	
Antagonistic pleiotropy	Phenomenon in which versions of genes that are detrimental under certain conditions (e.g., late in life) improve fitness under other conditions (e.g., earlier in life).	
Autotroph(ic)*	Refers to an organism that can build its own macromolecules from inor- ganic molecules, such as carbon dioxide (carbon autotrophy) or ammonia (nitrogen autotrophy).	
Biofilm	A complex aggregation of bacteria and other microorganisms, that adheres to a substrate and is encased within a matrix of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS).	
Capsule	A thick and relatively rigid gelatinous layer completely surrounding the cell wall of certain bacteria.	
Causal role function	Contribution of a trait to the functioning of a biological system.	
Chemotroph(ic)*	Refers to an organism whose energy is the result of endogenous, light- independent chemical reactions.	
Clone, clonal	Refers to a group of genetically identical cells or organisms all descended from a single common ancestral cell or organism.	
Convergent evolution	The development of apparently similar structures in unrelated organisms, usually because the organisms live in the same kind of environment.	
Cytoplasm(ic)	Refers to the content of the cell, located within the plasma membrane.	
EPS	Extracellular polymeric substances secreted by microorganisms. Some- times used as a synonym for exopolysaccharides, a specific range of sug- ars produced either as insoluble capsules or a soluble slimes in the extra- cellular medium.	
Exaptation	A character that provides a selective advantage under current conditions but had a different function at its origin.	
Extracellular(ly)	Located or occurring outside the cell.	
Extracytoplasmic	Located or occurring outside the cytoplasm.	
Fitness	A measure of the contribution of an individual to the genetic composition of subsequent generations through its offspring.	
Fitness contribution function	The contribution of a trait to the fitness of the organism.	
Heterotroph(ic)*	Refers to an organism whose energy is derived from the intake and diges- tion of organic substances	
Homeostasis, homeostatic	Refers to the regulation by an organism of the chemical composition of its internal environment.	

(continued on next page)

Table I. (conti	nued)
-----------------	-------

Term	Definition
Homologous	Referring to structures or processes in different organisms that show a funda- mental similarity because of their having descended from a common ancestor.
Interspecific	Occurring between members of different species.
Intracellular(ly)	Located or occurring within cells.
Intraspecific	Occurring between members of the same species.
Isogenic	Genetically identical.
Knockout	A technique for inactivating a particular gene or genes within an organism or cell.
Lithotroph(ic)*	Refers to an organism that uses an inorganic substance as a substrate in its energy metabolism.
Magnetotaxis	The movement of a cell or microorganism in response to a magnetic field.
Maladaptive	Refers to a trait that does not lead to the highest relative fitness of the traits in the allowed set
Microaerophilic	Describes an aerobic environment with a lower partial pressure of dioxygen than that under normal atmospheric conditions. Alternatively, describes an organism whose maximal rate of growth occurs in such an environment.
Mixotroph(ic)	Refers to an organism that can alternatively or simultaneously adopt both autotrophic and heterotrophic growth modes.
Mucilage, mucilaginous	Refers to abundant, complex polysaccharides formed by organisms. Mucilages are typically slimy when wet.
Organelle	A minute structure within a cell that has a particular function.
Periplasm(ic)	Refers to the volume enclosed between the plasma membrane and the outer membrane in Gram-negative bacteria.
Phenotype	The observable characteristics of an organism. These are determined by its genes and by the interaction of the genes with the environment.
Phototroph(ic)*	Refers to an organism that uses light as the source of energy for metabolism and growth.
Pleiotropy, pleiotropic	Describes a situation in which a version of a gene has more than one effect in an organism.
Recombinant DNA	A composite DNA molecule created <i>in vitro</i> by joining a foreign DNA with a vector molecule.
Selected effect function	The effect of a trait which has been responsible for its selection upon evolution.
Symbiosis	A long-term intimate relationship between individuals of different species. The term is generally used to describe relationships in which both species benefit.
Syntrophy	A relationship where the metabolic product of a partner is used by the other partner as a metabolic substrate (and vice versa). A narrower definition is an obligately mutualistic metabolism.
Trait	A phenotypic characteristic of an organism that can be quantified. In particular, a quantitative trait shows continuous variation and can be measured quantita- tively.
Transformation	A permanent heritable change in a cell that occurs as a result of its acquiring foreign DNA.

(continued on next page)

#### Table 1. (continued)

Term	Definition
Transposon	A small, mobile DNA sequence that can be replicated and inserted at other sites in the
	genome.
Wild-type	The phenotype that is characteristic of most of the members of a species occurring
	naturally and contrasting with the phenotype of a mutant.

The definitions are adapted from [Cammack et al., 2006, Donovan, 2019, Hine, 2019, King et al., 2014, Morris et al., 2013]. Asterisks indicate terms that may be combined, e.g., "chemolithoautotrophic".



**Figure 1.** The classical framework of microbial biomineralization. In biologically controlled mineralization (illustrated here by intracellular magnetite biomineralization), the bacteria exert a strict control on mineral nucleation, growth, and final location of the biominerals. The involvement of molecular machineries to direct mineral formation is associated with an important physiological cost, compensated by supposed fitness benefits for the organism. On the other hand, in biologically induced and biologically influenced mineralization (illustrated here by extracellular calcium carbonate precipitation in a biofilm), mineral precipitation respectively occurs as a result of local chemical changes caused by metabolic activity of the cells (here, a pH increase), or following passive nucleation on cellular or extracellular structures (here, favored by adsorption of  $Ca^{2+}$  ions on negatively charged EPS). Mineral properties are typically poorly controlled, and biomineral formation is thought to not necessarily provide fitness benefits (it may in some cases be detrimental to the cells). See Section 2 for more details.

(e.g. apatite in bones), protection (e.g., aragonite or silica in shells), or food acquisition (e.g., apatite in teeth). The chemical, morphological and crystallographic properties of the biominerals are under tight genetic control, and they most often form within organic vesicles or matrices where mineralization is precisely directed [Veis, 2003]. Such strict genetic control on biomineral formation and properties also exists in prokaryotic systems, but it is thought to be rare. The best studied and most frequently cited example of biologically controlled (or directed) prokaryotic biomineralization is the formation of intracellular magnetic iron minerals by magnetotactic bacteria [Faivre and Schüler, 2008] (Figure 1). These biominerals, either magnetite (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) or greigite (Fe<sub>3</sub>S<sub>4</sub>), are located within a specialized bacterial organelle<sup>\*</sup>, the magnetosome. The molecular-level machineries involved in iron acquisition and transport, iron redox chemistry, and mineral formation and posi-

tioning have now been described [Komeili, 2007, Lower and Bazylinski, 2013, Uebe and Schüler, 2016]. Magnetic iron biominerals possess several defining properties that sets them apart from abiotic "equivalent" mineral phases, which makes them of particular interest for paleobiology and astrobiology [Benzerara et al., 2019, Li et al., 2020a]: they usually have few crystal defects; their trace element content and isotopic composition are different from that of magnetites forming extracellularly in the same environment; they have restricted size and shape ranges so as to form stable single-magnetic domains, and they are aligned into a chain within the microbial cell, stabilizing and maximizing their magnetic dipole moment [Amor et al., 2020, 2016, 2015, Martel et al., 2012]. As a result, magnetotactic bacteria are equipped with the equivalent of an efficient single magnet, allowing them to align with and swim along Earth's magnetic field lines. Combined with aerotaxis\*, magnetotaxis\* is thought to increase the bacteria's efficiency to find and stay within their preferred oxygen conditions in natural environments [Frankel et al., 2007, Stephens, 2006], corresponding to a "causal role" and a "fitness contribution" function. Originally, magnetosomes may have had another biological function (a "selected effect" function), such as harvesting reactive oxygen species [Lefèvre and Bazylinski, 2013, Lin et al., 2020]. Interestingly, it has been shown that the navigation function of magnetite biominerals sometimes contribute to the onset of symbioses\* between magnetic bacteria and unicellular eukaryotes, adding another potential function [Monteil et al., 2019]. An important idea associated with biologically controlled mineralization is that strict genetic control inevitably involves metabolic costs (to produce the enzymes and organic matrices directing biomineral formation). Such costs need to be compensated with beneficial effects for the organisms, and thus point toward the existence of important biological functions. In magnetotactic bacteria, this idea is further supported by the observation of frequent spontaneous losses of magnetotaxis under non-selective cultivation conditions due to deletions in the genomic "magnetosome island" [Ullrich et al., 2005].

#### 2.2. Induced and influenced biomineralization

Two other mechanisms of prokaryotic biomineralization, which by contrast do not necessarily imply biological functions (in a "selected effect" view) or benefits to the cells, are often described [Benzerara et al., 2011, Dupraz et al., 2009, Lowenstam, 1981]. As opposed to controlled biomineralization, which in bacteria is typically intracellular, these two modes of biomineralization often occur extracellularly (even at distance from the cells), or at least extracytoplasmically\* within the cell wall. In a first process termed "biologically induced" or "indirect" biomineralization, minerals precipitate as a result of local chemical changes (e.g. redox transformations or shifts in pH) caused by the metabolic activity of the cells. In this case, the microorganisms play an active role in mineral precipitation by causing or increasing solution supersaturation, but there is no organic control on crystal nucleation or growth. Biologically induced mineralization thus requires metabolically active cells or enzymes. In the second mechanism, called "biologically influenced" or "passive" biomineralization, microbial cell walls or extracellular organic structures such as extracellular polymeric substances (EPS\*) act as nucleation surfaces catalyzing mineral precipitation in supersaturated solutions. By allowing heterogeneous nucleation, these surfaces decrease the free energy barrier for mineral precipitation, which may become kinetically favored [e.g., Giuffre et al., 2013]. Biologically influenced biomineralization does not require cells to be alive or metabolically active. We note that some authors do not refer to this process as biomineralization but use the term organomineralization instead [Dupraz et al., 2009]. These two processes-induced and influenced biomineralization-can occur simultaneously. For example, in microbial mats, calcium carbonate mineral precipitation may occur as a result of (often photosynthetic) metabolism, which increases alkalinity and hence solution saturation with respect to calcium carbonate phases, while the EPS matrix acts as a template for mineral nucleation [Dupraz et al., 2009, Iniesto et al., 2021] (Figure 1). It is often assumed that biologically induced or influenced biominerals do not necessarily serve a function (other than "waste"), and their precipitation may even be described as purely accidental or "unintended" [Frankel and Bazylinski, 2003], when not detrimental to the microorganisms (for instance if cells become completely entombed by the growing minerals). While this does not mean that there is no genetic basis to this kind of biomineralization (for instance, genes are

physiological conditions [e.g., at a specific growth rate; Maharjan et al., 2013] and detrimental under other conditions. Alternatively, the capability to biomineralize may be one trait under the control of a pleiotropic gene, i.e. a gene controlling several traits at the same time. One of the other traits might be beneficial to the overall fitness of the microorganism and therefore adaptive, while the biomineralization trait might be indirectly selected [Lande and Arnold, 1983]. We will further discuss this point below.

Phoenix and Konhauser [2008] proposed a list of potential benefits of prokaryotic biomineralization. While some proposed benefits were supported by data (such as screening from detrimental solar radiation, nutrient storage, or toxin immobilization), others were more speculative (such as physical protection from grazing or desiccation, and participation in biofilm strength and structural integrity). In a recent review, Mansor and Xu [2020] cited additional possible functions of prokaryotic biominerals, such as extracellular electron transfer, detoxification of harmful metabolic products, or the conservation of thermodynamic potentials for metabolic reactions. In the next sections, we will present several examples of microbial biomineralization systems with demonstrated or supposed biological functions, with no attempt to be exhaustive.

Significant advances have been recently achieved regarding "proximate" questions ("how?") on microbial mineral formation, as we are learning about the genetic and enzymatic systems involved as well as fine details of crystal nucleation and growth mechanisms at the microbe–mineral interface. Here, we are deliberately setting these details aside to focus on studies where "ultimate" questions ("why?") have been addressed, or at least raised.

### 4. Elemental sulfur biominerals: energy storage materials

Several biominerals are proposed to serve a storage function, either of nutrients or energy (i.e. electron donors or acceptors for energy metabolism). Here we will address elemental sulfur ( $S^0$ ) as an example of biomineral for which an energy storage function is well established, and explain what observations are supporting this proposed function (in a "causal role" and a "fitness contribution" view).

### needed to encode the production of EPS), it is meant that the effects of these biomineralization processes do not increase the fitness of the microorganisms and are therefore not adaptive, at least under the considered environmental conditions. We will see later that this view may be questioned based on recent results on the potential benefits of extracellular biomineralization in biofilms (Section 8.2).

# 3. Does prokaryotic biomineralization need to have a function?

The apparently inadvertent and detrimental nature of biologically induced or influenced mineralization has led several authors to focus on the question of how microorganisms can survive mineral precipitation and subsequent entombment (as reviewed for instance in Benzerara et al. [2011] and Konhauser et al. [2008]). However, the idea that non-controlled prokaryotic biomineralization is an accidental process is somewhat unsatisfying. Indeed, life has been co-evolving with the mineral world for nearly 4 billion years [Hazen et al., 2008, Williams and Rickaby, 2012], and there is now experimental evidence that microbial communities are uniquely adapted to their mineral environment [e.g., Jones and Bennett, 2014]. Several habitats of the early Earth, such as hydrothermal vents and stromatolite-supporting shallow waters, were particularly prone to mineral precipitation, and the geological record contains numerous and clear evidence of rapid encrustation of bacterial cells by minerals, a phenomenon which is at the origin of their fossilization and preservation in rocks [Li et al., 2013, Javaux and Lepot, 2018]. Entombment within a mineral matrix is likely to greatly limit the transport of essential nutrients and energy sources to the bacteria [e.g., Miot et al., 2015], while also limiting the transport of waste away from the cells and preventing their motility. If overall detrimental, one can wonder why mineral encrustation has persisted through billions of years of microbial evolution. In cases where mineral precipitation is triggered by the bacteria themselves, we may ask ourselves whether biomineralization could provide benefits that outweigh its potential detrimental effects to the cells. We may thus be led to take into account concepts such as antagonistic pleiotropy\*, in which the same trait (for instance here, the capability to biomineralize) is beneficial under certain environmental and/or

#### 4.1. Elemental sulfur storage and utilization

Elemental sulfur, or zero-valent sulfur (S<sup>0</sup>), is an intermediate of the biogeochemical sulfur cycle that is found as a mineral in many natural environments such as marine sediments, water columns of lakes or oceans, cold or hot springs, hydrothermal environments, salt marshes and caves [Findlay et al., 2014, Hamilton et al., 2015, Jørgensen et al., 2019, Lau et al., 2017, Zerkle et al., 2010]. S<sup>0</sup> is formed by abiological or biological oxidation of more reduced sulfur species, although in low-temperature environments biological S-oxidation rates are typically more than three orders of magnitude faster than abiotic ones [Luther et al., 2011]. A wide diversity of microorganisms can oxidize sulfide, polysulfides, or thiosulfate and precipitate S<sup>0</sup>, through phototrophic\* (purple sulfur bacteria, green sulfur bacteria, cyanobacteria) and chemotrophic\* (colorless sulfur bacteria) pathways [Dahl and Prange, 2006, Kleinjan et al., 2003]. In turn, microbially formed S<sup>0</sup> can be used as a source of energy for diverse S-oxidizers, S-reducers, and microorganisms that perform S<sup>0</sup> disproportionation [Dahl, 2020] (Figure 2A). Some, such as the thermoacidophile Acidianus, can grow from all three reactions [Amenabar and Boyd, 2018].

The enzymatic machinery involved in microbial S-oxidation has been described for many known Soxidizers [Dahl et al., 2008, Friedrich et al., 2001, Ghosh and Dam, 2009, Gregersen et al., 2011, Koch and Dahl, 2018] and will not be detailed here. Elemental sulfur formed through S-oxidation can be found either intracellularly or extracellularly [Dahl and Prange, 2006, Kleinjan et al., 2003, Maki, 2013] (Figure 2B,C). In both cases, S<sup>0</sup>may serve an energy storage function. Indeed, S<sup>0</sup> produced under favorable environmental conditions can then be used as an electron donor or an electron acceptor if conditions change. For instance, the S-oxidizer Thiobacil*lus denitrificans* accumulates intracellular S<sup>0</sup> from the oxidation of thiosulfate, but the transiently stored S<sup>0</sup> can later be further oxidized to sulfate for energy production when thiosulfate is depleted in the medium [Schedel and Trüper, 1980]. Beggiatoa oxidizes sulfide to intracellular S<sup>0</sup> under high-sulfide conditions, while under low-sulfide conditions this stored S<sup>0</sup> is oxidized to sulfate [Berg et al., 2014]. Purple sulfur bacteria such as Achromatium vinosum use intracellular S<sup>0</sup> as a source of reducing power for anoxygenic photosynthesis in the absence of sulfide, releasing sulfate, while in the dark S<sup>0</sup> is used as an electron acceptor, releasing sulfide [Mas and Gemerden, 1995]. S<sup>0</sup> reduction to sulfide was also observed in the anaerobic growth of *Beggiatoa* in the presence of an organic carbon source (acetate) [Nelson and Castenholz, 1981]. Bacteria that precipitate S<sup>0</sup> extracellularly may also utilize their own  $\overline{S}^0$  biominerals for energy metabolism and growth in the absence of other reduced sulfur sources. For example, the purple sulfur bacterium Ectothiorhodospira halochloris precipitates extracellular S<sup>0</sup> from sulfide oxidation. During growth in the absence of sulfide, they reduce S<sup>0</sup> back to sulfide [Then and Trüper, 1984]. More recently, the green sulfur bacterium Chlorobaculum tepidum was shown to form extracellular S<sup>0</sup> globules during sulfide oxidation, and then uses this biogenic S<sup>0</sup> as an electron donor for photosynthesis when sulfide is exhausted [Hanson et al., 2016, Marnocha et al., 2016] (Figure 1C,E).

It is not clear what determines whether S<sup>0</sup> is produced intra- or extracellularly. From a functional perspective, the "choice" of external energy storage is problematic, since these stores are open to piracy by other cells within the same population. As further explained below, some S<sup>0</sup>-forming bacteria may have developed strategies to prevent such piracy. One possible advantage of the extracellular storage strategy is that an unlimited amount of S<sup>0</sup> can be stored by an individual microorganism, since accumulation is not limited by the dimensions of the cell. Indeed, sulfur content is the main determinant of volume variation in cells of Allochromatium vinosum [Mas and Gemerden, 1995]. Moreover, Beggiatoa filaments grown with a high-sulfide flux can accumulate so much intracellular S<sup>0</sup> that they eventually burst [Berg et al., 2014], showing that cell dimensions are clearly limiting S<sup>0</sup> storage capacities in microorganisms biomineralizing S<sup>0</sup> intracellularly. Intracellular S<sup>0</sup> accumulation furthermore increases cell density which may negatively impact the buoyancy of microorganisms with planktonic lifestyles [Mas and van Gemerden, 1987].

# 4.2. The properties of biogenic S<sup>0</sup>: adaptation to the energy storage function?

It has been known for several decades that both intraand extracellular biomineralized S<sup>0</sup> possess proper-



**Figure 2.** Elemental sulfur biominerals as energy storage materials. (A) Simplified biogeochemical sulfur cycle, adapted from Zopfi et al. [2004]. Elemental sulfur (S<sup>0</sup>) occupies a central ecological role in the S cycle. It is produced by oxidation of more reduced S species, and can be consumed by S reduction, oxidation, or disproportionation. (B) Intracellular S<sup>0</sup> storage in *Thiothrix sp.* (Image: C. Nims, University of Michigan). (C) Extracellular S<sup>0</sup> formation by *Chlorobaculum tepidum* [Marnocha et al., 2016]. Image reproduced with permission from the Microbiology Society. (D) Extracellular S<sup>0</sup> stores stabilized by EPS in a *Sulfurovum*-rich biofilm [Cron et al., 2021] (Image: B. Cron, Northwest Indian College). (E) Multiple *C. tepidum* cells degrading a S<sup>0</sup> globule, illustrating "mutualistic" S<sup>0</sup> utilization [Hanson et al., 2016]. Image reproduced with permission from John Wiley and Sons. (F) *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans* retaining S<sup>0</sup> colloids within its organic capsule, illustrating "selfish" S<sup>0</sup> utilization [Rojas et al., 1995]. Inset: after cell division, the S<sup>0</sup> stores are shared with the daughter cell. Images reproduced with permission from Springer Nature.

ties that significantly differ from those of inorganically precipitated S<sup>0</sup> minerals [Steudel, 1989]. Microbial S<sup>0</sup> can be stored under different forms depending on the biological species, which suggests some level of genetic control on S<sup>0</sup> chemical and mineralogical properties. For instance, some chemotrophic Soxidizers such as Thiomargarita, Thioploca, Beggiatoa and Thiothrix store sulfur as intracellular globules of cyclo-octasulfur (S<sub>8</sub>) [Nims et al., 2019, Pasteris et al., 2001, Prange et al., 2002], possibly in combination with polysulfides  $(S_n^{2-})$  [Berg et al., 2014], while purple (phototrophic) S-oxidizers and some chemotrophic S-oxidizers from the Firmicutes phylum store S<sup>0</sup> intracellularly as sulfur chains, possibly terminated by organic end groups (i.e., organic polysulfanes) [Lee et al., 2007, Prange et al., 2002]. The crystal structure of S<sup>0</sup> in intracellular globules has

not been systematically determined, but it is thought to be either liquid-like [Hageae et al., 1970], solid amorphous [Nims et al., 2019], or microcrystalline [Pasteris et al., 2001]. Extracellularly biomineralized S<sup>0</sup> may also exist in different forms and structures. For instance, S<sup>0</sup> globules produced by Acidithiobacillus ferroxidans are composed mostly of polythionates [Prange et al., 2002, Steudel et al., 1987], while extracellular S<sup>0</sup> produced by the phototrophic green sulfur bacterium Chlorobium vibrioforme is composed mostly of sulfur chains terminated by organic residues [Prange et al., 2002]. Another green sulfur bacterium, C. tepidum, produces extracellular globules composed of amorphous and nanocrystalline S<sub>8</sub>, and they were found to be less stiff and more elastic than commercial S<sup>0</sup> [Marnocha et al., 2019]. Sulfuricurvum kujiense, a chemoautotrophic\*

S-oxidizing bacterium, produces extracellular S<sup>0</sup> under a metastable crystalline form, the monoclinic allotropes  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -S<sub>8</sub> [Cron et al., 2019]. Extracellular metastable monoclinic S<sub>8</sub> allotropes were also found in the environment in *Sulfurovum*-dominated biofilms [Cron et al., 2021] (Figure 2D).

The stable form for S<sup>0</sup> under ambient temperature and pressure conditions is crystalline orthorhombic  $\alpha$ -S<sub>8</sub> [Steudel and Eckert, 2003], and it is likely that unstable S<sup>0</sup> forms need to be stabilized by close interaction with organic structures. Internal S<sup>0</sup> is typically periplasmic\*, nested in an invagination of the cytoplasmic membrane [e.g., Larkin and Strohl, 1983, Prange et al., 2004]. Protein membranes were identified around the intracellular S<sup>0</sup> globules in diverse S-oxidizers including Beggiatoa, Thiothrix, Thiovulum and different purple sulfur bacteria [Maki, 2013]. Three proteins forming an envelope around the S<sup>0</sup> globules were identified (SgpA, SgpB, SgpC) in the purple sulfur bacterium Allochromatium vinosum, and their critical role in intracellular S<sup>0</sup> formation and storage was demonstrated [Brune, 1995, Prange et al., 2004]. Similarly, organic envelopes were observed at the surface of extracellular S<sup>0</sup> globules formed by *Thiobacillus* sp. W5 [Kleinjan et al., 2005], C. tepidum [Hanson et al., 2016, Marnocha et al., 2019], and S. kujiense [Cron et al., 2019], as well as in natural biofilms [Cron et al., 2021]. These organic envelopes contain polysaccharides and proteins [Cron et al., 2019, Marnocha et al., 2019], which are not homologous to the Sgp proteins forming the envelope around intracellular S<sup>0</sup> globules in A. vinosum [Hanson et al., 2016]. The presence of this organic coating allows extracellular S<sup>0</sup> to exist in a metastable form [Cosmidis et al., 2019, Cron et al., 2019, 2021] and slows down its crystallization to stable  $\alpha$ -S<sub>8</sub> [Marnocha et al., 2019, Steudel, 2003]. Organically coated S<sup>0</sup> globules may be formed through a self-assembly process, in which soluble organic molecules react with extracellular sulfide or polysulfides to form sulfurized polymers assembling into vesicles around S<sup>0</sup> minerals [Cosmidis et al., 2019, Cron et al., 2019]. Alternatively, the mechanism of secretion of organically coated S<sup>0</sup> globules could involve the production of outer membrane vesicles in some species [Li et al., 2020b].

There is now ample evidence that  $S^0$  storage under a metastable form (e.g., polymeric sulfur, or amorphous or monoclinic  $S_8$ ) favors its mobiliza-

tion during consumption, showing an adaptation of the S<sup>0</sup> biomineral properties to their biological function. For instance, when grown on S<sup>0</sup> provided extracellularly, A. vinosum cells show a preference for polymeric sulfur over commercial crystalline  $S_8$ , which they are unable to uptake [Franz et al., 2007]. Preference for polymeric sulfur utilization over S<sub>8</sub> was also evidenced in natural mats of chemotrophic S-oxidizers [Engel et al., 2007]. Particle size, surface area, and S<sup>0</sup> composition and structure affects S<sup>0</sup> oxidation rate by Thiobacillus albertis [Laishley et al., 1986]. Incubation experiments of natural freshwater communities with different sulfur sources showed a preference for the utilization of a reactive form of colloidal S<sup>0</sup>—possibly polythionates—over S<sub>8</sub> [Findlay and Kamyshny, 2017]. The structure of the enzymes involved in sulfur utilization may provide a clue to the relationship between S<sup>0</sup> form or structure and its utilization. For instance, sulfur oxygenase reductase (SOR) enzymes present in some archaea possess an elongated active site that accommodates linear polysulfides but not S<sub>8</sub> [Li et al., 2008, Urich et al., 2006]. The presence of an organic envelope around biomineralized S<sup>0</sup> may also be important for S<sup>0</sup> utilization, by making S<sup>0</sup> hydrophilic and thus allowing interaction with the cell surface. Indeed, it was recently shown that C. tepidum can grow on its own biogenic  $S^0$  globules but not on commercial  $S^0$ , crystalline  $S^0$ , or inorganically precipitated colloidal S<sup>0</sup>, which is mineralogically very similar to biogenic S<sup>0</sup> but does not have an organic coating [Marnocha et al., 2019, 2016].

## 4.3. Selfish versus mutualist utilization of S<sup>0</sup> stores

As pointed out earlier, extracellular location of  $S^0$  resources exposes to the risk of piracy by "free riders" benefiting from their utilization without participating in their production. Different strategies have been developed to privatize  $S^0$  stores by physically excluding nonproducers. For instance, the green sulfur bacterium *Chlorobium limicola*, which forms extracellular  $S^0$  globules adjacent to the cell wall in the presence of sulfide, grows tubular surface appendages called spinae and surrounds itself with a mucilaginous\* capsule\* when subjected to sulfide starvation periods (i.e. under conditions

where S<sup>0</sup> may be used as an electron donor) [Pibernat and Abella, 1996]. The spinae and capsule may favor the retention of the S<sup>0</sup> globules near the cells and their attachment to the outer membrane, effectively excluding utilization by other bacteria [Mas and Gemerden, 1995, van Gemerden, 1986]. Similarly, transient extracellular colloidal S<sup>0</sup> particles produced by Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans are retained within a thick organic capsule which may play a similar role in the privatization of S<sup>0</sup> resources [Rojas et al., 1995] (Figure 2F). In other cases, even if no such physical barriers exist, S<sup>0</sup> consumption by rival cells may be limited by the fact that S<sup>0</sup> utilization requires intimate physical contact with the S<sup>0</sup> globules, as for instance with A. vinosum [Franz et al., 2007], Acidithiobacillus thiooxidans [Knickerbocker et al., 2000], or different strains of Acidithiobacillus and Acidiphilium spp. [Rohwerder and Sand, 2003]. In biofilms where cell motility is limited, utilization of S<sup>0</sup> would thus be limited to the producer and its immediate neighbors, which typically belong to the same clonal\* cluster [Nadell et al., 2016].

Interestingly, for some species, a mutualistic utilization of S<sup>0</sup> could be demonstrated. In the case of *C. tepidum*, both S<sup>0</sup> formation and consumption are cooperative processes (Figure 2E). Indeed, multiple cells contribute to the formation of a single globule, and during the utilization phase, cells attached to the S<sup>0</sup> globules and unattached cells have comparable growth rates, suggesting that attached cells could be solubilizing S<sup>0</sup> as diffusing polysulfides, "feeding" the free cells [Marnocha et al., 2016]. A similar cooperative solubilization mechanism could exist for *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*. Indeed, while adsorption of some cells to the surface of the minerals seems necessary for growth of S<sup>0</sup>, free cells also participate in sulfur oxidation and growth [Ceskova et al., 2002].

Ultimately,  $S^0$  can be traded in mutualistic relationships between members of different bacterial species. For instance, the  $S^0$ -producing green sulfur bacterium *Chlorobium virbrioforme* can be grown in a syntrophic\* co-culture with the  $S^0$ -reducing *Desulfuromonas acetoxidans* [Warthmann et al., 1992]. In these cultures,  $S^0$  produced as a result of sulfide oxidation by *Chlorobium* is used as an electron acceptor by *Desulfuromonas* for acetate oxidation, leading to the regeneration of sulfide. Syntrophic interactions may be facilitated by the fact that  $S^0$  utilization by reduction and disproportionation does not always seem to require physical contact with the minerals [Amenabar and Boyd, 2018, Blumentals et al., 1990, Boyd and Druschel, 2013]. Potential roles of biomineralization in intra-\* and interspecific\* cooperation will be further developed later in this review.

### 5. Extracellular iron minerals: a biomineral type with multiple functions

Iron biominerals can be formed extracellularly by bacteria, as the end product of various iron-cycling metabolisms [Kappler et al., 2021]. Iron mostly exists in the environments under two redox states: Fe(II) (or ferrous iron) and Fe(III) (ferric iron). Ferric iron is relatively insoluble under circumneutral pH conditions, so iron oxidation may often result in the precipitation of Fe(III)-bearing phases such as Fe(III)-(oxyhydr)oxides and Fe(III)-phosphates, or mixed valence Fe(II)-Fe(III) phases such as magnetite or green rusts. Bacteria can oxidize ferrous to ferric iron via different metabolic pathways, namely: microaerophilic\* Fe(II) oxidation (whereby O2 is used as the electron acceptor and Fe(II) the electron donor for lithoautotrophic\* growth), phototrophic Fe(II) oxidation (whereby light and electrons from Fe(II) are used to fix inorganic carbon into organics), and nitrate-dependent Fe(II) oxidation (whereby Fe(II) oxidation is coupled with nitrate reduction, either through a chemolithoautotrophic\* pathway leading to energy generation and inorganic carbon fixation, or, more often, through abiotic Fe(II) oxidation by reactive nitrogen species produced as a result of heterotrophic\* denitrification; in the latter case, Fe(II) oxidation is not an energy-generating process) [Bryce et al., 2018, Emerson et al., 2010, Kappler et al., 2021].

# 5.1. Extracellular biomineralized structures of microaerophilic iron oxidizers: adaptation to life at a redox interface?

Parallel to the diversity of metabolic pathways leading to Fe(II) oxidation, there is a variety of proposed functions of the Fe(III) biominerals formed as products of these microbial oxidation processes. The question of the biological functions of Fe biomineralization has often been raised in the case of microaerophilic iron oxidizers (Figure 3). Some of them



**Figure 3.** Biomineralized iron architectures: adaptation to life at a redox interface. (A) SEM image of a *Mariprofundus ferrooxydans* PV-1 cell and biomineralized twisted stalk [Chan et al., 2016b]. (B) Differential interference contrast image of *M. ferrooxydans* growing in the presence of an oxygen gradient in a microslide experiment. The corresponding radial plot shows directional orientation of the stalks in the oxygen gradient [Krepski et al., 2013]. (C) SEM of the biomineralized sheath of *Leptothrix ochracea* [Chan et al., 2016b]. (D) Confocal image of a mat of sheath-forming cells. The cells are shown in green, while the Fe-(oxyhydr)oxides of the sheaths are shown in red. Living cells occupy the edge of the mat. Cell filaments and sheaths are mostly parallel to the growth direction of the mat (white arrow) [Chan et al., 2016a]. (E) SEM image of dreadlock-like iron structures produced by the pelagic Zetaproteobacterium strain CP-8. The biomineralized structures are easily shed, which may help cells maintain suspension in the water column. The likely location of the missing cell is denoted as a yellow oval. (F) Gradient tube cultures inoculated by Zetaproteobacteria strains CP-5 and CP-8, displaying distinct orange growth bands (white arrows). The cells occupy a narrow zone of the tubes, corresponding to optimal oxygen conditions [Chiu et al., 2017]. Images (A), (B), and (C) are reproduced with permission from John Wiley and Sons. Images (D), (E), and (F) are under Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY).

lionella ferruginea or the marine zetaproteobac-

terium Mariprofundus ferrooxydans; Chan et al.,

2011], or structures resembling "dreadlocks" [e.g.,

the *Mariprofundus* strains CP-5 and CP-8; Chiu et al., 2017] (Figure 3A,C,E). These extracellular structures

are mostly composed of acidic polysaccharides and

saturated aliphatic chains (probably lipids) [Chan

et al., 2011, 2009, Laufer et al., 2017], and serve as

templates for the nucleation of poorly crystalline

Fe(III)-(oxyhydr)oxides. The organic structures di-

rect Fe(III) precipitation away from the cells, preventing their encrustation by iron minerals [Chan

et al., 2011], a function that is further aided by specific mineral-repelling properties of the cell surface

such as low charge and hydrophilicity [Saini and

Chan, 2013]. In addition, sheaths and stalks appear

to serve as attachment and support structures that

help the microbes occupy niches where  $O_2$  and Fe(II) gradients overlap [Chan et al., 2016a], an important requirement for these microaerophilic iron ox-

idizers [Emerson et al., 2010, Maisch et al., 2019]

(Figure 3D). Indeed, when *Mariprofundus ferrooxydans* is grown in opposing O<sub>2</sub> and Fe(II) concen-

tration gradients, their stalks, forming rigid hold-

fasts anchoring them to surfaces, grow direction-

ally toward higher oxygen concentrations, allowing

the bacteria (living at the end of stalks) to orient

themselves within the redox gradient and colonize a

narrow band with well-defined O2 and Fe(II) condi-

tions [Krepski et al., 2013] (Figure 3B). On the other

hand, the marine Zetaproteobacteria Mariprofun-

dus strains CP-5 and CP-8 are pelagic, occupying the

oxic-anoxic transition zone of a stratified estuary

[Chiu et al., 2017], and thus do not appear to need

anchoring or support structures. However, their iron

"dreadlocks" can easily be shed (Figure 3E), which

may help the cells maintain suspension and adjust

buoyancy in the water column. The correlation be-

tween the morphology of biomineralized iron struc-

the hypothesis that biomineralized structures of mi-

croaerophilic iron oxidizers are used by the cells to

colonize environmental niches with adequate O<sub>2</sub>

direct Fe(III) precipitation onto organic extracellular structures, which may consist in tubular sheaths around the cells [e.g., the betaproteobacterium *Leptothrix ochracea*; Chan et al., 2009], twisted stalks [e.g., the freshwater betaproteobacterium *Gal*-

of functions with extracellular sulfur filaments produced by *Candidatus* Arcobacter sulfidicus, a microaerophilic sulfur oxidizer affiliated to Epsilonproteobacteria. These microorganisms thrive in deepsea hydrothermal vents [Taylor and Wirsen, 1997] and form mat-like biomineralized architectures at interfaces between oxygen and sulfide gradients, permitting retainment within their specialized niche [Sievert et al., 2007].

Another potential function of mineralized extracellular structures of microaerophilic iron oxidizers was proposed by Hallbeck and Pedersen [1995]. Using competition experiments between stalk-forming *Galionella ferruginea* and a spontaneous non-stalk forming mutant, they showed that the capacity of stalk formers to direct iron oxidation onto the stalks and away from the cells conferred them competitive advantage in iron-rich environments, by protecting them from toxic oxygen species (e.g., hydroxyl radicals) produced by the chemical reduction of oxygen by ferrous iron.

# 5.2. Other potential functions of extracellular iron biominerals

Potential functions of Fe(III) biominerals in other types of iron-oxidizing bacteria have more rarely been discussed. It has been proposed that biogenic ferrihydrite minerals forming at the surface of anoxygenic phototrophic Fe(II) oxidizers *Rhodopseudomonas palustris* and *Rhodobacter ferrooxidans* may act like "sunscreen", protecting them from harmful UV radiation and DNA damage [Gauger et al., 2015]. Note that shielding from UV-induced DNA damage by minerals has also been suggested for silicifying cyanobacteria [Phoenix et al., 2006, 2001], although it remains to be formally proven that this protection is not coincidental but rather results from an adaptation to high-UV environments.

Although somewhat speculative, a possible benefit of Fe(III) biomineralization in general may be the establishment of an energy-generating proton motive force in the vicinity of the cells [Mansor and Xu, 2020]. Indeed, as shown by Equations 1 and 2, Fe(II) oxidation and Fe(III)-oxyhydroxide precipitation release protons. Fe(III) biomineralization, if occurring close to the cells, may thus result in the formation of a proton gradient across the cell wall, which may be harnessed for ATP production.

$$Fe^{2+} + 2H_2O \rightarrow Fe(III)(OH)_2^+ + 2H^+ + e^-$$
 (1)

$$Fe(OH)_2^+ \rightarrow FeOOH + H^+$$
 (2)

While it has been proposed by Chan et al. [2004] for microaerophilic iron oxidizers, this mechanism may apply to any iron-oxidizing bacteria locating Fe(III) precipitation near the cell wall. However, it remains to be experimentally demonstrated that bacteria indeed harvest this proton motive force for energy generation.

Finally, an interesting, and probably underexplored function of Fe(III) biomineralization may be the establishment of syntrophic relationships between Fe-oxidizers and Fe-reducers. In a broad sense of the term, syntrophy can be used as a synonym for mutual co-feeding, i.e. a relationship where the metabolic product of a partner is used by the other partner as a metabolic substrate (and vice versa) [Morris et al., 2013]. Several studies have shown a coupling between Fe-oxidation and Fe-reduction in the environment, i.e. situations in which biogenic Fe(III) minerals produced by Fe-oxidizing bacteria are used as substrates for Fe-reducers [Blöthe and Roden, 2009, Emerson et al., 2010]. Interestingly, experiments have shown that biogenic Fe(III) minerals are more easily colonized and reduced than abiogenic ones [e.g., Glodowska et al., 2021], suggesting that Fe-reducers may rely on Fe-oxidizers to supply bioavailable Fe(III) substrates in some environments. Such cooperative metabolic relationships may be particularly relevant to environments where Fe-reduction and Fe-oxidation processes spatially overlap [e.g., Laufer et al., 2016]. In some cases, Fe redox cycling may even occur within mineral particles containing both Fe(II) and Fe(III) [e.g., magnetite; Byrne et al., 2015], effectively acting as mineral "biogeobatteries" sustaining Fe-cycling bacterial communities [Peiffer et al., 2021]. It would be interesting to test whether metabolic relationships based on the formation and consumption of biogenic Fe(III) minerals fall within a stricter definition of syntrophy, i.e. an "obligately mutualistic metabolism" in which otherwise endergonic reactions become exergonic through the removal of reaction products by the other partner [Morris et al., 2013]. Biogenic magnetite has been shown to facilitate the (stricto *sensu*) syntrophic relationship between Fe-reducing *Geobacter* and methanogenic *Methanosarcina*, by promoting extracellular electron transfer between the two partners [Tang et al., 2016]. Other examples for the role of (potentially biogenic) Fe minerals in the mediation of electron transfers supporting metabolic networks are provided in the recent review by Mansor and Xu [2020].

### 5.3. Adaptation to mineralizing environments: avoiding iron encrustation

It is possible that in some cases, iron biominerals are just accumulated as metabolic waste products of ferrous iron oxidation and do not serve any other biological function. However, an absence of function (other than "waste") does not necessarily imply a complete lack of control on mineral formation, since some iron oxidizers may have evolved strategies to locate mineral precipitation away from the cells, avoiding formation of Fe(III) minerals intracellularly or on the cell surface, which would be damaging to the bacteria. This control on mineral location is achieved through different strategies, evidenced in several phototrophic iron oxidizers [e.g., Schädler et al., 2009]. For instance, some bacteria produce extracellular polymeric fibers nucleating Fe(III) minerals [e.g., Rhodobacter sp.; Miot et al., 2009a], while others lower the pH around the cells, which decreases the rate of Fe(III) absorption to the cell surface and increases its solubility [e.g., Thiodictyon sp.; Hegler et al., 2010], or secrete Fe(III)-complexing EPS [e.g., Rhodovulum iodosum; Swanner et al., 2015, Wu et al., 2014]. On the one hand, encrustation of the cells by precipitation of Fe(III) minerals at the cell surface and/or within the periplasm\* was frequently observed in the case of iron oxidizers performing heterotrophic or mixotrophic\* nitrate reduction [e.g., Acidovorax sp. strain BoFeN1; Miot et al., 2009b, Schädler et al., 2009]. On the other hand, in chemolithoautotrophic nitrate-reducing Feoxidizers [e.g., the enrichment culture KS; Blöthe and Roden, 2009], no Fe(III) encrustation is observed, possibly evidencing the existence of strategies to avoid cell entombment [Nordhoff et al., 2017]. There thus appears to be a divide between chemolithoautotrophic nitrate oxidizers, for which Fe(II) oxidation is an enzymatically catalyzed, energy-generating process, and which may have evolved adaptations ergy, and for which such adaptations may not exist. However, Nordhoff et al. [2017] note that even heterotrophic nitrate oxidizers do not become encrusted under environmentally relevant, relatively low Fe(II) concentrations, or when these organisms are present in co-culture with autotrophic nitrate reducers, suggesting that Fe(III) entombment may not be a problem for nitrate-reducing bacteria in nature regardless of the mechanism.

to avoid the damaging effects of Fe(III) encrustation,

and heterotrophic or mixotrophic nitrate oxidizers,

for which Fe(II) oxidation does not generate en-

### 6. Intracellular carbonates: a controlled biomineralization process with unidentified functions

Bacterial calcium carbonate precipitation is often considered a non-controlled, extracellular process. However, an increasing diversity of bacteria has been shown to biomineralize amorphous calcium carbonates (ACC) intracellularly [Segovia-Campos et al., 2021] (Figure 4). The giant uncultured sulfuroxidizing Achromatium, affiliated to the Gammaproteobacteria, was the first bacterium identified among them [Schewiakoff, 1893]. More recently, biomineralization of intracellular ACC was also discovered in several species of Cyanobacteria (Figure 4A-C) [Benzerara et al., 2014, Couradeau et al., 2012] as well as several undescribed members of the Alphaproteobacteria [Monteil et al., 2021, Liu et al., 2021]. Interestingly, the latter are magnetotactic bacteria, controlling the intracellular mineralization of magnetite crystals (Figure 4D). Some of these intracellularly calcifying bacteria had been studied before but their biomineralization capability had been overlooked, suggesting that intracellular ACC biomineralization may be much more widespread than presently acknowledged [Li et al., 2016a]. The capability to biomineralize intracellular carbonates is found in certain taxa only, and is sometimes shared by all the members of a clade, showing that it is a heritable trait [Benzerara et al., 2014].

Cells may contain significant volumes or masses of intracellular ACC (Figure 4F,G), accounting for up to  $\sim 2/3$  of the total cell volume in Alphaproteobacteria and leading to a 12% cell density increase in the cyanobacterium *Gloeomargarita lithophora*. It has been argued that whatever the function of these biominerals is, intracellular ACC formation must impact cell physiology and/or ecology [Couradeau et al., 2012, Monteil et al., 2021].

Bacterial intracellular biomineralization of ACC can occur in undersaturated extracellular solutions, where carbonate precipitation would not occur otherwise [Cam et al., 2018, Head et al., 2000]. Biomineralization thus involves some energy expense (hence, it is an active process), possibly in relation with the transport of alkaline earth elements and/or C, increasing the saturation of the intracellular solution in contact with the nascent precipitates. It has been pointed out that intracellular ACC formation was not consistent with the chemical composition of a bacterial cytoplasm which is typically inferred as undersaturated with respect to calcium carbonate phases [Cam et al., 2015]. However, this apparent paradox is solved by the existence of dedicated intracellular compartments within the bacteria, where chemical conditions are appropriate for mineral precipitation without being detrimental to the functioning of the rest of the cells. The nature of the envelope delimiting these compartments differ in nature between phyla: an envelope composed of a protein shell or a lipid monolayer in Cyanobacteria [Blondeau et al., 2018b] vs. a lipid bilayer in Proteobacteria [Gray, 2006, Monteil et al., 2021] (Figure 4E). This suggests that at least part of the process of intracellular ACC biomineralization may have evolved convergently\* in different bacterial groups.

Apart from these facts, the molecular mechanisms of intracellular ACC formation remain currently unknown. Several of these bacteria contain RuBisCO or a homologous\* gene and can fix inorganic carbon. Consistently, fixation of CO<sub>2</sub> favors carbonate precipitation. However, this may not be a requirement since some Achromatium populations do not contain any of these genes, while being able to biomineralize ACC [Gray, 2006]. Moreover, calcium transporters were targeted in the genomes of intracellular-ACC-forming bacteria as possible important actors but no specificity was particularly evidenced compared with bacteria not biomineralizing ACC [Wever et al., 2019]. The mechanism of the stabilization of ACC as a reactive amorphous solid remains to be understood. It may be due to confinement within intracellular compartments [Cavanaugh et al., 2019, Zeng et al., 2018]. The genes involved in the formation of the compartments remain to be



**Figure 4.** Diversity and function of intracellular ACC biomineralization. (A) SEM image in the secondary electron (SE) mode of the cyanobacterium *Neosynechococcus sphagnicola* forming numerous ACC inclusions (brightest spots) together with polyphosphate granules (bright grey). (B) Overlay of Ca (red)

Figure 4. (cont.) and P (green) chemical maps obtained by energy dispersive X-ray spectrometry over the SEM image shown in (A). (C) SEM image of the cyanobacterium Synechococcus sp. PCC 6717 forming intracellular ACC mostly located at the poles of the cells. (D) SEM image in the SE mode of a magnetotactic alphaproteobacterium forming intracellular ACC. Two large ACC granules are observed as well as a small one close to the middle of the cell. Bright spots appearing in the bottom part of the cell correspond to a magnetite chain. (E) Cryo-transmission electron microscopy image of a cryo-ultramicrotomy section in a magnetotactic cell forming intracellular ACC. The ACC granule appears in dark and is surrounded by a thin feature which corresponds to a lipid bilayer. (F) Light microscopy image of an Achromatium oxaliferum cell. The refringent granules correspond to ACC. (G) SEM image of an Achromatium cell showing the numerous ACC granules paving the whole volume of the cell. (H) Diversity of the functions postulated for intracellular ACC in bacteria. One function (Fct 1) relates to buffering of intracellular pH upon carbon fixation by e.g., oxygenic photosynthesis and/or the use of inorganic C stored in ACC for C fixation. A second function (Fct 2) relates to intracellular pH buffering upon S-oxidation (e.g., in Achromatium and/or magnetotactic alphaproteobacteria). Oxidation of sulfides to elemental sulfur is associated with ACC formation. Oxidation of elemental sulfur to sulfates or reduction of elemental sulfur to sulfides are associated with ACC dissolution. Last, a third function (Fct 3) is related to cell buoyancy modification, allowed by the relatively high density of ACC compared to the rest of the cells. (Images: KB).

identified in all these bacteria.

Intracellular carbonate phases formed by bacteria display several features that differ from those of abiotically precipitated carbonates. First, they are invariably amorphous and therefore unstable due to their high solubility relative to crystalline forms such as calcite or aragonite [Addadi et al., 2003]. Diverging conclusions were reached over time about the identity of the formed CaCO<sub>3</sub> phase in Achromatium but the early identifications as an amorphous calcium carbonate phase were recently confirmed by Raman spectroscopy analyzes [Benzerara et al., 2021]. ACC is widespread among eukaryotic biominerals and it has been suggested that their high reactivity facilitates the homeostatic\* function that was attributed to them [Addadi et al., 2003, Gower, 2008]. Second, in some cases, intracellular carbonates formed by bacteria contain relatively high proportions of heavy alkaline earth elements such as Sr or Ba, indicating the existence of a fractionation mechanism favoring the uptake of these elements over Ca in the biominerals [Blondeau et al., 2018a, Cam et al., 2016, Mehta et al., 2019]. Part of the enrichment of ACC in trace elements might be related to some particle-size effects. However, overall, the different characteristics observed for intracellular ACC, in addition to an intracellular localization and the presence of an envelope around the carbonate inclusions, point toward a biologically controlled process in the classical definition of the term (see Section 2.1).

Several functions have been speculated for intracellular bacterial carbonates (Figure 4H). We note that these different potential functions are not exclusive and intracellular ACC biominerals may have varying functions in different microorganisms: (i) they may serve as a storage reservoir for inorganic C for C-fixating organisms. Some authors have also proposed that ACC may serve as an electron acceptor [Gray, 2006]. As mentioned, some of these autotrophic organisms need large amounts of inorganic C for their metabolism. The large reactivity of ACC inclusions conferred by their non-crystalline structure, allowing a rapid remobilization, could be consistent with such a function. (ii) They may buffer intracellular pH variations, which are expected to be important in these bacteria due to their metabolisms. Achromatium is a S-oxidizing bacterium and can oxidize sulfide to S<sup>0</sup> or S<sup>0</sup> to sulfate. The first oxidation process consumes protons, while the second one produces protons. On the other hand, the formation of ACC produces protons, whereas its dissolution consumes protons. Consistently with a pH buffer role, ACC inclusions precipitate when cells oxidize sulfide to S<sup>0</sup> and dissolve when cells are exposed to  $O_2$  and  $S^0$  is oxidized to sulfate [Yang et al., 2019]. Similarly, photosynthesizing bacteria tend to consume protons when fixing CO<sub>2</sub> by RuBisCO and formation of ACC may contribute to pH buffering in

addition to other molecular pH-buffering systems such as proton pumps [Görgen et al., 2021]. (iii) Last, it has been suggested that ACC inclusions, owing to their density (>2 g·cm<sup>-3</sup>), serve as ballasts for cells, and thus represent an adaptation to a benthic lifestyle [Couradeau et al., 2012, Gray and Head, 2014, Monteil et al., 2021]. However, this has been questioned for some microorganisms based on ecological considerations showing an inverse relationship between the quantity of ACC and the depth within the sediments [Head et al., 2000].

Overall, although the genetic basis of intracellular calcium carbonate biomineralization is not known, it is likely that this is a controlled process which impacts cell fitness and has been selected by evolution. Yet, this example illustrates the difficulty to infer the genuine function of biominerals. The next section will describe some approaches and strategies that may be employed to make progress on this fundamental question.

### 7. A roadmap for functional studies of microbial biominerals

A deeper understanding of the functions of microbial biomineralization in a teleological view requires to shift our focus from descriptive and mechanistic ("How?") to evolutionary and adaptive ("Why?") questions. Importantly, it is crucial to discriminate biominerals which are adaptive traits, i.e. phenotypes\* with genetically encoded (heritable) variation, which evolved through natural selection for a specific function, from non-adaptive ones, which are only the "unintended and uncontrolled consequence of metabolic activities" [Frankel and Bazylinski, 2003]. Non-adaptive minerals may precipitate "accidentally" because of geochemical changes in the local environment, as a result of metabolism, or following heterogeneous nucleation on microbial surfaces or EPS, and do not serve any function that is beneficial to the cell. As we will explain below, in order to demonstrate that the formation of a biomineral is an adaptive trait, the first necessary (but not sufficient) step is to demonstrate that variation of this trait is genetically encoded (and therefore heritable). Secondly, it must be established that the biomineralization phenotype is beneficial to the organism, which means that it is associated with increased fitness (or reproductive success). Finally, hypotheses on the adaptive functions of the biominerals may be formulated and tested in the laboratory. We give further details on the different steps of this roadmap below (Figure 5).

### 7.1. Discovering functional genes in microbial biomineralization systems

In recent decades, DNA sequencing techniques have allowed important progress in our understanding of the genetic mechanisms of microbial biomineralization. We have learned about specific genes involved in the formation of different biominerals [e.g., Keffer et al., 2021], discovered how they are distributed in the environment [e.g., Macalady et al., 2013], and how they may interact in complex networks in ecosystems [e.g., Hamilton et al., 2015]. However, there has been a clear bias toward the study of metabolic genes, involved for instance in energygenerating oxidation-reduction processes, which are often the primary drivers of microbial mineral formation. A functional understanding of biomineralization now requires turning our attention to genes that are involved in controlling biomineral properties such as morphology, structure, texture, chemical composition, or localization. Indeed, in the same way that the structures of proteins determine their functions, properties of adaptive biominerals are intimately linked with their biological functions (see for instance Section 2.1 for our description of the properties of intracellular biominerals in magnetotactic bacteria and their role in magnetotaxis). On the other hand, it is unlikely that cellular resources are spent to control mineral properties in the case of non-adaptive biominerals, i.e. minerals that are only formed as metabolic by-products or as a result of "accidental" precipitation.

Biomineralization processes typically involve a great number of genes acting together to control mineral nucleation and growth. These genetic pathways remain to be discovered for most microbial biomineralization systems. Microbiology approaches based on high-throughput sequencing techniques now allow to improve our understanding of the genetic basis of phenotypic variations, i.e. to determine how mutations (i.e. changes to encoding DNA sequences) can alter gene functions and phenotypes [Kobras et al., 2021]. These methods may now be applied to discover new genes involved in



**Figure 5.** A roadmap for functional studies of microbial biomineralization. The figure illustrates some of the experimental approaches described in the respective sub-sections of Section 7 (please refer to the main text for more details). Some drawings are adapted from Kobras et al. [2021] and McDonald [2019].

controlling the "mineral phenotype". Genome-wide association studies (Figure 5) have been used to identify genes associated with traits of interest in natural microbial populations [Mallawaarachchi et al., 2021]. In these methods, genomes from hundreds of isolates from different environments are sequenced and compared to identify genomic elements that are statistically associated with a given phenotype [Chen and Shapiro, 2015, Read and Massey, 2014]. This comparative genomic approach can be used to understand the genetic basis of the production of some biominerals with specific properties. For instance, comparisons of whole genomes of stalkforming and stalk-less microaerophilic iron oxidizers allowed for the identification of genes clusters involved in the formation of these organic-mineral structures in Betaproteobacteria and Zetaproteobacteria [Kato et al., 2015, Koeksoy et al., 2021]. As noted by Kobras et al. [2021], in the case of complex traits (such as biomineralization), genome-wide association studies may return thousands of genetic elements associated with the phenotype of interest, and it may be difficult to identify the role of individual genes and understand their interactions. Another important limitation of this approach is the need to assemble large genome databases comprehending both biomineralizing, poorly biomineralizing or non-biomineralizing bacteria, which often relies on culture-dependent approaches to test mineralization capabilities. Increasing use of single-cell genomic sequencing of environmental samples may facilitate this endeavor [e.g., Mansor et al., 2015]. Application of genome-wide association studies also requires that the genes of interest are relatively well conserved. Last but not least, this strategy relies on the assumption that the biomineralization capability is encoded by a same set of genes shared by the different microorganisms and does not result from convergent evolution, i.e. phylogenetically unrelated molecular systems.

It may be necessary to study the importance and functions of specific genes involved in biomineralization under more carefully controlled conditions. Other approaches may be employed to observe how small genetic differences in otherwise genetically identical (i.e., isogenic\*) strains influence microbial phenotypes. Random chemical or physical (e.g., UV) mutagenesis can be used to generate mutant libraries of a specific microbial strain [e.g., Achal et al., 2009]. These libraries can then be screened to identify mutants with altered mineral phenotypes, and their whole genomes sequenced to locate the mutations and identify affected genes [e.g., Faivre and Baumgartner, 2015, Rahn-Lee et al., 2015] (Figure 5). In the last decade, new methods based on transposon\* insertion mutagenesis have been developed [Cain et al., 2020, van Opijnen and Camilli, 2013]. These methods require the construction of libraries of mutants for which most non-essential genes contain transposon insertions. After a screening process aimed at selecting mutants displaying the phenotype of interest, sequencing of the transposon-genome junctions allows for the determination of the insertion location of each transposon. Regions of the genome where transposon insertions are statistically underrepresented in selected mutants are likely to contain genes that are essential for the phenotype of interest. Whole-genome mutant libraries can also now be obtained using technologies based on CRISPR-Cas systems [e.g., Vo et al., 2021]. In the future, the more widespread use of these new technologies is likely to bring important progress in our understanding of the genotype-phenotype relationship in biomineralization systems.

We see that the methods described above rely both on high-throughput sequencing methods, allowing for the obtention and processing of large DNA sequence datasets, and high-throughput screening techniques, allowing for the selection of microbial strains displaying phenotypes of interest among environmental populations or mutant libraries. While falling costs have massively democratized sequencing approaches, screening may remain a major bottleneck for the implementation of next-generation microbiology methods to biomineralization studies. Indeed, analytical tools for the identification and characterization of biominerals classically include crystallography approaches such as X-ray diffraction, or microscopy techniques such as electron microscopy or X-ray (micro)spectroscopy [Cosmidis and Benzerara, 2014, Miot et al., 2014], which require time-intensive sample preparation and cannot be used to process hundreds of samples at a time. There is thus a need for the development of highthroughput characterization methods for the characterization of biominerals, that could be implemented for instance directly in vivo, with minimal sample preparation, on microplates where different mutants

or environmental strains have been separated. These methods would not only need to determine whether or not biominerals are present in each well of the microplate, but also to characterize the functional properties of these biominerals, such as morphology or crystal structure. Raman spectromicroscopy may be a very powerful tool in this context, since it allows for in vivo identification of biominerals and characterization of their structures at a micron of sub-micron scale [e.g., Nims et al., 2019]. Coupling spectroscopic analyzes with confocal microscopy imaging, data on mineral morphology (e.g., size distribution, aspect ratios) could be acquired simultaneously with mineralogical and crystallographic information in a single step. For intracellular biominerals, it may be possible to screen cells using flow sorting techniques based on the light-scattering or fluorescent properties of the biominerals [e.g., Bawazer et al., 2012]. Screening techniques based on more specific properties such as mineral magnetism may also be implemented [e.g., Liu et al., 2016].

# 7.2. Validating the functions of genes involved in biomineralization

Once genes involved in biomineralization have been identified, their specific role in controlling mineral formation and properties must be confirmed and refined. "Knockout"\* studies consist in deleting or inactivating a gene of interest and investigating the effect of the gene loss by comparing the resulting mutant phenotypes with that of a "wild-type"\* strain. This approach was for instance used to demonstrate that filaments formed by the actin-like protein mamK are crucial to align and position intracellular iron biominerals in magnetotactic bacteria. Indeed, while these biominerals are organized into a chain in the wild-type strain, they were randomly ordered in a mamK deletion mutant [Komeili et al., 2006, Scheffel et al., 2006]. However, many bacterial strains are currently not amenable to genetic manipulation [e.g., Chenebault et al., 2020, Corts et al., 2019]. Another possible approach consists in transforming\* the model bacterium Escherichia coli, in order to test whether the expression of a gene (e.g. coding for an enzyme of interest) induces biomineralization [e.g., Bachmeier et al., 2002], considering that

the rest of the necessary cellular machinery is available in this bacterium (Figure 5). The genetically engineered E. coli strains can then be used to study how altering different factors such as the activity of the recombinant enzyme [Heveran et al., 2019] or its cellular sublocation [Cosmidis et al., 2015] affects biomineralization. In some cases, it may be relevant to determine the intra- or extracellular localizations of the proteins of interest, and determine whether they coincide with biomineral localization. Separation of different cell fractions (e.g., cytoplasm, cell wall, membranes) followed by western blotting can be used to localize proteins at the sub-cellular level [e.g., Schüler, 2004]. Protein localization can also be achieved using fluorescence microscopy after fusion with a fluorescent reporter, an approach that was for instance used to localize proteins involved in silica biomineralization in diatoms [Scheffel et al., 2011].

Fine details of the genotype–mineral phenotype relationship may be revealed through mutation and screening in synthetic biomineralization systems. Different methods such as error-prone PCR or DNA shuffling (i.e., random recombination\* with a similar gene, or "molecular sex") can be used to induce random mutations in the sequence of the gene of interest and obtain a mutant library. These mutant sequences may be expressed in genetically engineered microbial cells [Liu et al., 2016] or biomimetic vesicles [Bawazer et al., 2012] and screened for specific biomineral properties. Mutant sequences resulting in biominerals with altered properties can then be sequenced to localize the mutations and determine the relative importance of specific gene regions or amino acids in the biomineralization process (Figure 5).

### 7.3. How to determine whether a biomineral is beneficial?

The discovery of genes controlling the formation and properties of a biomineral is a useful step toward demonstrating that the biomineralization process is a functional, adaptive trait. However, it is not sufficient, since even the formation of non-adaptive minerals is encoded in pleiotropic genes. For instance, genetically encoded changes in EPS composition or abundance can alter the morphology or structure of (non-adaptive) minerals forming under the influence of these substances [Tourney and Ngwenya, 2009, Yin et al., 2020]. In fact, a trait can only be considered to be adaptive if both its variation is genetically encoded (heritable trait), and if it helps an organism to maximize its fitness. In microbial experiments, fitness is often measured as a growth rate [Kussell, 2013]. It is particularly useful to perform competition experiments, in which a bacterial strain expressing the trait of interest (here, certain property or a biomineral) is grown in co-culture (in a 50:50 mixture) with an isogenic strain for which this trait was suppressed or has a different value (e.g., for which the biomineral property of interest is altered). Measuring the relative growth rates of these two strains gives a quantitative measure of the benefit of the trait (the mineral property) with respect to the fitness of the bacteria (Figure 5). Competition experiments require both strains to be labeled, using for instance, fluorescent protein expression constructs, so that they can both be counted on plates or using flow cytometry. Obviously, determining the fitness benefits of biomineralization is complicated in the case where mineral formation is correlated with important metabolic functions (under the control of pleiotropic gene systems). For this reason, we stress on the fact that the trait we are proposing to test in these competition experiments is not mineral formation itself, but a specific property of the biomineral (for instance, a certain morphology of structure). It may also be useful to measure the relationship between fitness and certain quantitative values of the correlated traits among variable populations in order to disentangle their respective selection effects [Lande and Arnold, 1983].

### 7.4. Testing the functions of microbial biominerals

Once it has been established that the formation of a biomineral with specific properties is an adaptation, we may formulate and test hypotheses regarding its biological function. This final step of our roadmap is difficult to describe in general terms, since the details are likely to vary significantly from one biomineral function to another. However, as a general rule, hypotheses on biomineral functions should preferably be formulated from field observations [e.g., Chan et al., 2016a], and tested under controlled conditions in the laboratory [e.g., Krepski et al., 2013]. Ideally, experiments should be conducted using adequate controls, such as isogenic strains that do not produce the biominerals [e.g., Gauger et al., 2015], or that produce biominerals with different properties or at different rates.

Microbial evolution experiments may be particularly useful to demonstrate biomineral functions, although, to our best knowledge, these approaches have yet to be used in this context. Microbial evolution experiments are designed to study how microbial populations adapt to different environmental pressures. These experiments consist in propagating microbial populations over a large number of generations (typically thousands or tens of thousands) under controlled conditions, allowing for numerous "rounds of evolution", using for instance serial dilutions in batch cultures or continuous cultures in chemostats [Kussell, 2013, Lenski et al., 1991, McDonald, 2019] (Figure 5). The evolved strains can be sampled at different times throughout the experiments (which may be continued over many months or years) and compared with the founder strains, to identify new phenotypes and associated mutations through DNA sequencing. Microbial evolution approaches are thus also powerful tools for the discovery of new gene functions. Once a hypothesis on biomineralization function has been formulated, i.e. once it has been proposed that a biomineral with specific properties is an adaptation to a particular niche or lifestyle, then it should be possible to make predictions on how biomineralization should evolve when environmental conditions are altered. For instance, if the extracellular accumulation of bioavailable S<sup>0</sup> is an adaptation to life under fluctuating redox conditions (Section 4.1), then it can be predicted that S<sup>0</sup> biomineralization may be lost, or that S<sup>0</sup> properties are altered in a way that reduces bioavailability, in a cell lineage evolving with a constant supply of reduced sulfur [through the accumulation of loss-of-function mutations; Hottes et al., 2013, Mc-Donald, 2019].

#### 8. New roles for biominerals

Here we describe new conceptual frameworks for future functional studies of biomineralization. We consider prokaryotic biominerals as potential "public goods", and interrogate their role in building biofilm Julie Cosmidis and Karim Benzerara

architectures. While more speculative, this section describes how future research may be conducted within these frameworks to shine light on potential roles of biomineralization in microbial social interactions in natural biofilm habitats.

## 8.1. Are extracellular biominerals "public goods"?

When they are extracellular, biominerals are in principle available to microorganisms other than their producers, and their potential benefits are thus shared with neighboring cells. Cooperative behaviors in which a good produced by one individual is available to neighbors may be problematic when the production of this good comes with a physiological cost. Indeed, "cheating" nonproducers may emerge and rapidly outcompete producers, as they do not pay the fitness cost associated with production. Thus, it has to be explained how such individually costly cooperative behavior can be selected and sustained in a population, a puzzle often termed the "public good problem" in evolutionary biology [Smith and Schuster, 2019]. Different types of extracellular substances produced by bacteria have been described as public goods, including siderophores [Kramer et al., 2020], digestive enzymes [Drescher et al., 2014], and EPS structuring biofilms [Nadell and Bassler, 2011]. It may be interesting to figure out whether in certain systems, extracellular biomineralization may be considered as a public good (i.e., an exploitable and costly cooperative behavior), and, if so, how this trait can be maintained in microbial populations (i.e., how exploitation can be averted).

We do not expect all extracellular biominerals to be public goods. However, this concept may be useful for instance in the case of extracellular S<sup>0</sup> biominerals, the formation of which seems to require the (costly) production of S<sup>0</sup>-stabilizing organics [e.g., Cron et al., 2019], and which may be used as energy resources by neighboring cells (Section 4). To fully demonstrate this, it would be necessary to show that biomineral production (or the control of certain biomineral properties, such as a metastable structure increasing bioavailability) carries a fitness cost, which can be achieved through competition experiments with isogenic nonproducers. Then, it would be interesting to determine how bacterial populations overcome the public good problem, i.e. how exploitation of the public goods is averted.

In order to remain evolutionarily stable against exploitation, the benefits of a cooperative behavior must be directed toward other cooperating individuals (as compared to toward "cheaters"). Bacteria may adopt different strategies to solve public good problems, for instance by privatizing the goods through uptake strategies that exclude competitive strains [e.g., Niehus et al., 2017], or through negative frequency-dependent selection mechanisms making cheating beneficial only when rare but not when common [Ross-Gillespie et al., 2007]. An important mechanism to avert exploitation of public goods consists in increasing the spatial structure of the population by producing biofilm-building EPS, which limits both the motility of cells and the diffusion of their goods. As a result, the distance over which production by one individual benefits its neighbors is reduced, and thus the benefits of the public goods are preferentially provided to nearby clonemates, who are more likely to be cooperative producers than cheaters. This mechanism has been described for bacterial public goods such as extracellular enzymes [Drescher et al., 2014] and siderophores [Julou et al., 2013], and is likely to be relevant in the case of extracellular biominerals which are poorly diffusible, and thus for which spatial segregation between producers and nonproducers is likely to have major effects on availability.

The study of biominerals as public goods, and more generally all "social" aspects of microbial biomineralization, are topics that remain largely unexplored. Given the importance of population spatial structure for the evolution of cooperation and competition in natural communities, it is unfortunate that microbial biomineralization experiments are in the immense majority of cases performed in liquid cultures, where bacterial populations are uniformly mixed, and thus where structure is nonexistent. Future studies on the adaptive functions of microbial biominerals may thus benefit from working with colonies growing on agar plates or on mineral surfaces. Aside from any consideration of social behaviors, biofilms are a major form of bacterial habitat [Hall-Stoodley et al., 2004, Nadell et al., 2016], where many biomineralization processes actually occur in nature [e.g., Cron et al., 2021]. In the next section, we will discuss the idea that some functions of biominerals may be specific to microbial populations living in biofilms.

#### 8.2. Biominerals and biofilm architecture

As explained in the previous section, it is important that questions around the roles of biominerals in cooperation and competition behaviors are investigated using experiments with microbial biofilm communities rather than liquid cultures, given the importance of surface adhesion and population structure in such interactions [Kees et al., 2021, Kim et al., 2014, Nadell et al., 2016, Schluter et al., 2015]. Moreover, as speculated by Phoenix and Konhauser [2008], some possible benefits of biominerals may mirror those afforded by EPS in biofilms, such as screening from detrimental radiation, physical protection from grazing or desiccation, and participation in biofilm strength and structural integrity. However, an advantage of biominerals over EPS would be that they can be produced at a smaller energetic cost [Phoenix and Konhauser, 2008].

Extracellular precipitation of calcium carbonates in microbial mats has been extensively studied by geobiologists, in part due to its role in the formation of microbialites and stromatolites [e.g., Couradeau et al., 2013, Ferrer et al., 2022], which are important archives of microbial life on early Earth [Bosak et al., 2013]. The primary driver for calcification in biofilms is the local increase in calcium carbonate supersaturation, which may result from specific microbial metabolisms, for instance, photosynthesis, ureolysis, or sulfate reduction [e.g., Saghaï et al., 2016, White et al., 2016]. This active, biologically induced CaCO<sub>3</sub> mineralization process occurs concurrently with passive binding of Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions on the EPS matrix of the biofilm, which may favor CaCO<sub>3</sub> heterogeneous nucleation, and influence mineral properties such as morphology, size, or structure [Dupraz et al., 2009, Görgen et al., 2021, Lyu et al., 2020]. Interestingly, it is now recognized that microbially induced CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation may not be limited to heavily calcifying, mat-building environmental communities such as those forming stromatolites, but can also occur in biofilms of bacteria previously studied as pathogens in a medical context [e.g., Pseudomonas aeruginosa or Proteus mirabilis; Li et al., 2016a, 2015]. A variety of both gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria can induce calcium carbonate precipitation due

to their ureolytic activity [the enzymatically catalyzed hydrolysis of urea, which locally increases pH and favors CaCO<sub>3</sub> supersaturation; Castro-Alonso et al., 2019, Görgen et al., 2021]. A growing body of literature now suggests that CaCO<sub>3</sub> minerals may be a previously overlooked component of the extracellular matrix of microbial biofilms containing ureolytic bacteria [reviewed in Keren-Paz and Kolodkin-Gal, 2020] (Figure 6). Different lines of evidence suggest that this mechanism confers important fitness benefits to the bacteria, as shown for instance by competition experiments using dual-species biofilms [Li et al., 2016b] (Figure 6A), or studies using defective mutants [Oppenheimer-Shaanan et al., 2016]. The extracellular CaCO3 minerals are indeed thought to form a framework structuring and strengthening the biofilm, and protecting the bacteria from antibiotics and other harmful environmental substances [Dade-Robertson et al., 2017, Keren-Paz et al., 2018] (Figure 6B). CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation may also be used as a detoxification mechanism preventing the accumulation of CO<sub>2</sub> in non-photosynthetic biofilms [Oppenheimer-Shaanan et al., 2016]. It thus appears that it may now be time to reconsider extracellular calcification not as an "unintended" consequence of microbial metabolisms and passive Ca<sup>2+</sup> adsorption onto EPS, but as an adaptive process and important feature of the extracellular matrix of biofilms, playing a crucial role in bacterial fitness and interspecies competition [Keren-Paz and Kolodkin-Gal, 2020].

#### 9. Conclusions

We have reviewed functional aspects of several prokaryotic biomineralization systems (see a summary in Table 2), and described approaches that may be used to discover or test new functions of biominerals. Many "ultimate" questions around microbial biomineralization remain to be understood. Fundamentally, it is still not clear in many systems whether biomineralization increases an organism's fitness, and whether producing biominerals with specific properties contributes to adaptation to certain environmental conditions. Moreover, we note that the term "function" should be taken with caution as it encompasses diverse meanings. As we have seen here, these questions can be addressed through experimentation, but the use of these experimental approaches often requires prior understanding of the



**Figure 6.** Roles of extracellular CaCO<sub>3</sub> biomineralization in biofilms. (A) Competition experiment in a dual-species biofilm between non-biomineralizing *P. aeruginosa* (fluorescently stained in green) and biomineralizing *P. mirabilis* (fluorescently stained in red), expressing the urease. The confocal fluorescence micrographs show the distribution of CaCO<sub>3</sub> minerals (blue) as well as of both types of cells

**Figure 6.** (cont.) during the experiments in the presence of a non-buffered medium, where  $CaCO_3$  biomineralization can occur (left), or in a buffered medium where  $CaCO_3$  precipitation is inhibited (right). When it can occur, biomineralization is responsible for the fitness advantage of *P. mirabilis* in the biofilm [Li et al., 2016a]. Figure reproduced with permission from Oxford University Press. (B) *B. subtilis* colonies and cross-sections of colonies after application of a fluorescein dye. The colonies were grown either with (+Ca) or without (–Ca) calcium (left and middle panels). The colonies on the right panel were grown in the presence of acetohydroxamic acid (AHA), a known inhibitor of the urease enzyme. Precipitation of  $CaCO_3$  minerals, mediated by urease activity, is crucial to form the complex structure of the biofilm and creates a diffusion barrier sheltering the inner cell mass of the colony. Scale bars: 0.5 mm [Keren-Paz et al., 2018]. Figure under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

genetic pathways controlling biomineral formation and properties. It should be noted that genes controlling biomineralization may not always be found, especially in microbial species that are not amenable to culture or genetic manipulation. Moreover, the idea of specific genes for biomineralization should also be viewed as too restrictive or misleading, especially in cases when these genes are pleiotropic. Despite these difficulties, a deeper knowledge of these genetic controls is crucial to allow us to reconstruct the phylogenetic and evolutionary history of microbial biomineralization, which, in conjunction with a better understanding of the geological record, will assist efforts to decipher the history of life co-evolution with its mineral environment.

Among emerging questions around the functions of microbial biomineralization, it will be particularly interesting to address the roles of biominerals in "social" interactions between prokaryotes, at both intraand interspecific levels, in particular in biofilm habitats. Indeed, cooperative formation and utilization of biominerals may be important to sustain microbial communities in the environment. From a practical point of view, new knowledge on adaptive aspects of microbial biomineralization may be used in the future to develop and improve microbial bioremediation strategies [Gadd, 2010], identify therapeutic targets to fight against pathogens [e.g., Keren-Paz et al., 2018], or design new biomaterials through directed evolution approaches [e.g., Liu et al., 2016].

As we shift our focus from "proximate" to "ultimate" questions, we may consider revisiting the classical framework used to categorize biomineralization processes (Section 2). An issue with the "controlled"/"induced"/"influenced" categories is that they combine mechanistic and adaptive aspects of biomineralization. Indeed, while "controlled" biomineralization is sometimes used as a synonym for adaptive biomineralization (i.e., a trait with variation under genetic control, which serves a specific function that increases fitness), "induced" and "influenced" biomineralization processes refer to the mechanisms leading to mineral precipitation (respectively: increased saturation due to metabolic activity, or mineral nucleation on organic surfaces). The variation of the latter two processes may or may not be under genetic control (and therefore, be heritable traits), and they may or may not be adaptive and increase fitness, leading to blurry boundaries between the three classical categories. For instance, until recently, extracellular CaCO3 precipitation as a result of the activity of the urease enzyme may have been viewed as a typical example of "induced" biomineralization. However, ureolytic CaCO<sub>3</sub> biomineralization is clearly a heritable trait under genetic control [consistently, an E. coli strain transformed with the urease genes of an ureolytic Bacillus pasteurii strain acquires strong biomineralization capabilities; Bachmeier et al., 2002]. Moreover, with the discovery of important fitness benefits of extracellular CaCO<sub>3</sub> biominerals due to their roles in interspecies competition, biofilm structure, and limitation of antibiotics diffusion [Keren-Paz and Kolodkin-Gal, 2020, Li et al., 2016a, Oppenheimer-Shaanan et al., 2016] (Figure 6), ureolytic calcium carbonate biomineralization can be described as an adaptation to certain biofilm habitats. Often, the use of "controlled" biomineralization conveys the idea that the properties of the biominerals are tightly regulated by genes, so that the biominerals differ (e.g., in morphology, structure, or composition) from equivalent minerals precipitated through

### Table 2. Summary of biomineral functions described in this review

Function	Biomineral example	Reference
Protection:		
From UV radiation	Silica	Phoenix et al. [2001, 2006]
	Fe(III)-(oxyhydr)oxides	Gauger et al. [2015]
From grazing	? (hypothetical)	Phoenix and Konhauser [2008]
From dehydration	? (hypothetical)	Phoenix and Konhauser [2008]
From encrustation	Fe(III)-(oxyhydr)oxides	Chan et al. [2011], Miot et al. [2009a]
From antibiotics	Extracellular carbonates	Dade-Robertson et al. [2017], Keren-Paz et al. [2018]
Detoxification:		
Of reactive oxygen species	Magnetite, greigite	Lefèvre and Bazylinski [2013], Lin et al. [2020]
	Fe(III)-(oxyhydr)oxides	Hallbeck and Pedersen [1995]
Of organic toxins	Clays	Habte and Barrion [1984], Phoenix and Konhauser [2008]
Of toxic metals	Metal nanoparticles	Hulkoti and Taranath [2014]
Of CO <sub>2</sub>	Extracellular carbonates	Oppenheimer-Shaanan et al. [2016]
Storage:		
Of nutrients	Iron phosphates	Konhauser et al. [1994]
	Clays	Phoenix and Konhauser [2008], Stotzky and Rem [1966]
Of energy (electron donors or acceptors)	Elemental sulfur	Dahl [2020]; numerous references in the present review (Section 4)
Of inorganic carbon	Intracellular carbonates	This review (Section 6)
Structure:		
Biofilm strength and integrity	? (hypothetical)	Phoenix and Konhauser [2008]
Attachment and support	Fe(III)-(oxyhydr)oxides	Chan et al. [2016a]
Magnetotaxis	magnetite, greigite	Frankel et al. [2007], Stephens [2006]
Positioning in redox gradients	Fe(III)-(oxyhydr)oxides	Chan et al. [2016a], Krepski et al. [2013]
	Elemental sulfur	Sievert et al. [2007]
Buoyancy adjustment	Fe(III)-(oxyhydr)oxides	Chiu et al. [2017]
	Intracellular carbonates	Couradeau et al. [2012], Gray and Head [2014], Monteil et al. [2021]
Interspecific interactions:		
Symbiosis	magnetite	Monteil et al. [2019]
Syntrophy	Elemental sulfur	Warthmann et al. [1992]
	Magnetite	Tang et al. [2016]
	Fe(III)-(oxyhydr)oxides	Blöthe and Roden [2009], Emerson et al. [2010]
Public goods	? (hypothetical)	This review (Section 8.1)
Competition in biofilms	Extracellular carbonates	Li et al. [2016a]

(continued on next page)

Function	Biomineral example	Reference
Intraspecific interactions:		
Cooperative use of resources	Elemental sulfur	Ceskova et al. [2002], Marnocha et al. [2016];
Extracellular electron transfer	Conductive or semi-conductive iron nanoparticles	Mansor and Xu [2020], Tang et al. [2016]
Establishment of an energy- generating proton motive force	Fe(III)-(oxyhydr)oxides	Chan et al. [2004], Mansor and Xu [2020]
pH homeostasis	Intracellular carbonates	This review (Section 6)

abiotic processes under similar conditions [Frankel and Bazylinski, 2003]. However, it may be argued that this is also likely to be the case of any mineral precipitating in the presence of organic substances [e.g., Cosmidis et al., 2019]. Unusual mineral properties thus do not say anything about whether or not biomineralization is adaptive. Furthermore, when tight control on biomineral properties indeed exists, it operates through microbially induced changes in mineral saturation and/or under the templating effect of organic matrices, further blurring the lines between "controlled", "induced", and "influenced" classical biomineralization categories.

We propose that microbial biomineralization processes should be described either according to their adaptive value (e.g., adaptive or maladaptive\*, based on whether or not they increase the organism's fitness), or according to their mechanism (induced and/or influenced biomineralization, both of which may occur concurrently, and in both adaptive and maladaptive processes). Actually, in cases where biomineralization results from the activity of a pleiotropic gene (such as the gene coding for the urease, which is involved in both C and N metabolism and CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation), biomineralization may be described as an exaptation\*, i.e. a feature that now increases fitness but was not built by natural selection for its current goal [Gould and Vrba, 1982]. Further complicating this categorization problem, the adaptive value of some biominerals may depend on the environment (i.e., biomineralization may increase or decrease fitness based on external conditions).

Overall, we hope that this review, rather than providing definitive answers to the fundamental question "why do microbes make minerals?", participates in renewing curiosity for this interesting issue, and provides some foundation for future studies focussing on this topic.

### **Conflicts of interest**

Authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

#### Acknowledgments

Karim Benzerara thanks the French National Research Agency (HARLEY: ANR-19-CE44-0017) for financial support. Both authors thank Emmanuelle Porcher for helpful comments and suggestions on the manuscript.

#### References

- Achal, V., Mukherjee, A., Basu, P. C., and Reddy, M. S. (2009). Strain improvement of Sporosarcina pasteurii for enhanced urease and calcite production. *J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 36, 981–988.
- Addadi, L., Raz, S., and Weiner, S. (2003). Taking advantage of disorder: amorphous calcium carbonate and its roles in biomineralization. *Adv. Mater.*, 15, 959–970.
- Amenabar, M. J. and Boyd, E. S. (2018). Mechanisms of mineral substrate acquisition in a thermoacidophile. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 84, article no. e00334-18.
- Amor, M., Busigny, V., Durand-Dubief, M., Tharaud, M., Ona-Nguema, G., Gélabert, A., Alphandéry, E., Menguy, N., Benedetti, M. F., Chebbi, I., and Guyot, F. (2015). Chemical signature of magnetotactic bacteria. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 112, 1699–1703.

- Amor, M., Busigny, V., Louvat, P., Gelabert, A., Cartigny, P., Durand-Dubief, M., Ona-Nguema, G., Alphandery, E., Chebbi, I., and Guyot, F. (2016). Massdependent and -independent signature of Fe isotopes in magnetotactic bacteria. *Science*, 352, 705– 708.
- Amor, M., Mathon, F. P., Monteil, C. L., Busigny, V., and Lefevre, C. T. (2020). Iron-biomineralizing organelle in magnetotactic bacteria: function, synthesis and preservation in ancient rock samples. *Environ. Microbiol.*, 22, 3611–3632.
- Bachmeier, K. L., Williams, A. E., Warmington, J. R., and Bang, S. S. (2002). Urease activity in microbiologically-induced calcite precipitation. *J. Biotechnol.*, 93, 171–181.
- Bar-On, Y. M., Phillips, R., and Milo, R. (2018). The biomass distribution on earth. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 115, 6506–6511.
- Bawazer, L. A., Izumi, M., Kolodin, D., Neilson, J. R., Schwenzer, B., and Morse, D. E. (2012). Evolutionary selection of enzymatically synthesized semiconductors from biomimetic mineralization vesicles. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 109, E1705–E1714.
- Benzerara, K., Bernard, S., and Miot, J. (2019). Mineralogical identification of traces of life. In Cavalazzi, B. and Westall, F., editors, *Biosignatures for Astrobiology, Advances in Astrobiology and Biogeophysics*, pages 123–144. Springer International Publishing, Cham, Switzerland.
- Benzerara, K., Bolzoni, R., Monteil, C., Beyssac, O., Forni, O., Alonso, B., Asta, M. P., and Lefevre, C. (2021). The gammaproteobacterium Achromatium forms intracellular amorphous calcium carbonate and not (crystalline) calcite. *Geobiology*, 19, 199– 213.
- Benzerara, K., Miot, J., Morin, G., Ona-Nguema, G., Skouri-Panet, F., and Férard, C. (2011). Significance, mechanisms and environmental implications of microbial biomineralization. *C. R. Geosci.*, 343, 160–167.
- Benzerara, K., Skouri-Panet, F., Li, J., Ferard, C., Gugger, M., Laurent, T., Couradeau, E., Ragon, M., Cosmidis, J., Menguy, N., Margaret-Oliver, I., Tavera, R., Lopez-Garcia, P., and Moreira, D. (2014). Intracellular Ca-carbonate biomineralization is widespread in cyanobacteria. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 111, 10933–10938.
- Berg, J. S., Schwedt, A., Kreutzmann, A.-C., Kuypers, M. M. M., and Milucka, J. (2014). Polysulfides as

intermediates in the oxidation of sulfide to sulfate by beggiatoa *spp. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 80, 629–636.

- Blondeau, M., Benzerara, K., Ferard, C., Guigner, J.-M., Poinsot, M., Coutaud, M., Tharaud, M., Cordier, L., and Skouri-Panet, F. (2018a). Impact of the cyanobacterium Gloeomargarita lithophora on the geochemical cycles of Sr and Ba. *Chem. Geol.*, 483, 88–97.
- Blondeau, M., Sachse, M., Boulogne, C., Gillet, C., Guigner, J.-M., Skouri-Panet, F., Poinsot, M., Ferard, C., Miot, J., and Benzerara, K. (2018b). Amorphous calcium carbonate granules form within an intracellular compartment in calcifying cyanobacteria. *Front. Microbiol.*, 9, article no. 1768.
- Blöthe, M. and Roden, E. E. (2009). Composition and activity of an autotrophic Fe(II)-oxidizing, nitratereducing enrichment culture. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 75, 6937–6940.
- Blumentals, I. I., Itoh, M., Olson, G. J., and Kelly, R. M. (1990). Role of polysulfides in reduction of elemental sulfur by the hyperthermophilic archaebacterium pyrococcus furiosus. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 56, 1255–1262.
- Bosak, T., Knoll, A. H., and Petroff, A. P. (2013). The meaning of stromatolites. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.*, 41, 21–44.
- Boyd, E. S. and Druschel, G. K. (2013). Involvement of intermediate sulfur species in biological reduction of elemental sulfur under acidic, hydrothermal conditions. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 79, 2061–2068.
- Brune, D. C. (1995). Isolation and characterization of sulfur globule proteins from Chromatium vinosum and Thiocapsa roseopersicina. *Arch. Microbiol.*, 163, 391–399.
- Bryce, C., Blackwell, N., Schmidt, C., Otte, J., Huang, Y.-M., Kleindienst, S., Tomaszewski, E., Schad, M., Warter, V., Peng, C., Byrne, J. M., and Kappler, A. (2018). Microbial anaerobic Fe(II) oxidation – ecology, mechanisms and environmental implications. *Environ. Microbiol.*, 20, 3462–3483.
- Byrne, J. M., Klueglein, N., Pearce, C., Rosso, K. M., Appel, E., and Kappler, A. (2015). Redox cycling of Fe(II) and Fe(III) in magnetite by Fe-metabolizing bacteria. *Science*, 347, 1473–1476.
- Cain, A. K., Barquist, L., Goodman, A. L., Paulsen, I. T., Parkhill, J., and van Opijnen, T. (2020). A decade of advances in transposon-insertion sequencing.

Nat. Rev. Genet., 21, 526-540.

- Cam, N., Benzerara, K., Georgelin, T., Jaber, M., Lambert, J.-F., Poinsot, M., Skouri-Panet, F., and Cordier, L. (2016). Selective uptake of alkaline earth metals by cyanobacteria forming intracellular carbonates. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 50, 11654–11662.
- Cam, N., Benzerara, K., Georgelin, T., Jaber, M., Lambert, J.-F., Poinsot, M., Skouri-Panet, F., Moreira, D., López-García, P., Raimbault, E., Cordier, L., and Jézéquel, D. (2018). Cyanobacterial formation of intracellular Ca-carbonates in undersaturated solutions. *Geobiology*, 16, 49–61.
- Cam, N., Georgelin, T., Jaber, M., Lambert, J.-F., and Benzerara, K. (2015). In vitro synthesis of amorphous Mg-, Ca-, Sr- and Ba-carbonates: What do we learn about intracellular calcification by cyanobacteria? *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 161, 36–49.
- Cammack, R., Atwood, T., Campbell, P., Parish, H., Smith, A., Vella, F., and Stirling, J., editors (2006). *Oxford Dictionary of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- Castro-Alonso, M. J., Montañez Hernandez, L. E., Sanchez-Muñoz, M. A., Macias Franco, M. R., Narayanasamy, R., and Balagurusamy, N. (2019). Microbially Induced Calcium Carbonate Precipitation (MICP) and its potential in bioconcrete: microbiological and molecular concepts. *Front. Mater.*, 6, article no. 126.
- Cavanaugh, J., Whittaker, M. L., and Joester, D. (2019). Crystallization kinetics of amorphous calcium carbonate in confinement. *Chem. Sci.*, 10, 5039–5043.
- Ceskova, P., Mandl, M., Helanova, S., and Kasparovska, J. (2002). Kinetic studies on elemental sulfur oxidation by Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans: sulfur limitation and activity of free and adsorbed bacteria. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.*, 78, 24–30.
- Chan, C. S., Emerson, D., and Luther, G. W. (2016b). The role of microaerophilic Fe-oxidizing microorganisms in producing banded iron formations. *Geobiology*, 14, 509–528.
- Chan, C. S., Fakra, S. C., Edwards, D. C., Emerson, D., and Banfield, J. F. (2009). Iron oxyhydroxide mineralization on microbial extracellular polysaccharides. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 73, 3807–3818.
- Chan, C. S., Fakra, S. C., Emerson, D., Fleming, E. J., and Edwards, K. J. (2011). Lithotrophic ironoxidizing bacteria produce organic stalks to control mineral growth: implications for biosignature

formation. ISME J., 5, 717–727.

- Chan, C. S., McAllister, S. M., Leavitt, A. H., Glazer, B. T., Krepski, S. T., and Emerson, D. (2016a). The architecture of iron microbial mats reflects the adaptation of chemolithotrophic iron oxidation in freshwater and marine environments. *Front. Microbiol.*, 7, article no. 796.
- Chan, C. S., Stasio, G. D., Welch, S. A., Girasole, M., Frazer, B. H., Nesterova, M. V., Fakra, S., and Banfield, J. F. (2004). Microbial polysaccharides template assembly of nanocrystal fibers. *Science*, 303, 1656–1658.
- Chen, P. E. and Shapiro, B. J. (2015). The advent of genome-wide association studies for bacteria. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol. Environ. Microbiol. Extrem.*, 25, 17–24.
- Chenebault, C., Diaz-Santos, E., Kammerscheit, X., Görgen, S., Ilioaia, C., Streckaite, S., Gall, A., Robert, B., Marcon, E., Buisson, D.-A., Benzerara, K., Sassi, J.-F., Cassier-Chauvat, C., and Chauvat, F. (2020). A genetic toolbox for the new model cyanobacterium cyanothece PCC 7425: a case study for the photosynthetic production of limonene. *Front. Microbiol.*, 11, article no. 2335.
- Chiu, B. K., Kato, S., McAllister, S. M., Field, E. K., and Chan, C. S. (2017). Novel pelagic iron-oxidizing zetaproteobacteria from the chesapeake bay oxic– anoxic transition zone. *Front. Microbiol.*, 8, article no. 1280.
- Comolli, L. R., Luef, B., and Chan, C. S. (2011). Highresolution 2D and 3D cryo-TEM reveals structural adaptations of two stalk-forming bacteria to an Feoxidizing lifestyle. *Environ. Microbiol.*, 13, 2915– 2929.
- Corts, A. D., Thomason, L. C., Gill, R. T., and Gralnick, J. A. (2019). A new recombineering system for precise genome-editing in Shewanella oneidensis strain MR-1 using single-stranded oligonucleotides. *Sci. Rep.*, 9, article no. 39.
- Cosmidis, J. and Benzerara, K. (2014). Soft Xray scanning transmission spectromicroscopy. In *Biomineralization Sourcebook: Characterization of Biominerals and Biomimetic Materials*, pages 115– 133. E. DiMasi and L. B. Gower, London, UK.
- Cosmidis, J., Benzerara, K., Guyot, F., Skouri-Panet, F., Duprat, E., Férard, C., Guigner, J.-M., Babonneau, F., and Coelho, C. (2015). Calciumphosphate biomineralization induced by alkaline phosphatase activity in escherichia coli: localiza-

tion, kinetics, and potential signatures in the fossil record. *Front. Earth Sci.*, 3, article no. 84.

- Cosmidis, J., Nims, C. W., Diercks, D., and Templeton, A. S. (2019). Formation and stabilization of elemental sulfur through organomineralization. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 247, 59–82.
- Couradeau, E., Benzerara, K., Gérard, E., Estève, I., Moreira, D., Tavera, R., and López-García, P. (2013). Cyanobacterial calcification in modern microbialites at the submicrometer scale. *Biogeosciences*, 10, 5255–5266.
- Couradeau, E., Benzerara, K., Gerard, E., Moreira, D., Bernard, S., Brown, G. E., and Lopez-Garcia, P. (2012). An early-branching microbialite cyanobacterium forms intracellular carbonates. *Science*, 336, 459–462.
- Cron, B., Henri, P., Chan, C. S., Macalady, J. L., and Cosmidis, J. (2019). Elemental sulfur formation by Sulfuricurvum kujiense is mediated by extracellular organic compounds. *Front. Microbiol.*, 10, article no. 2710.
- Cron, B., Macalady, J. L., and Cosmidis, J. (2021). Organic stabilization of extracellular elemental sulfur in a Sulfurovum-rich biofilm: a new role for EPS? *Front. Microbiol.*, 12, article no. 720101.
- Dade-Robertson, M., Keren-Paz, A., Zhang, M., and Kolodkin-Gal, I. (2017). Architects of nature: growing buildings with bacterial biofilms. *Microb. Biotechnol.*, 10, 1157–1163.
- Dahl, C. (2020). A biochemical view on the biological sulfur cycle. In *Environmental Technologies to Treat Sulfur Pollution: Principles and Engineering*, pages 55–96. IWA Publishing, London, UK.
- Dahl, C., Friedrich, C., and Kletzin, A. (2008). Sulfur oxidation in prokaryotes. In *Encyclopedia of Life Sciences*. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, UK.
- Dahl, C. and Prange, A. (2006). Bacterial sulfur globules: occurrence, structure and metabolism. In Shively, J. M., editor, *Inclusions in Prokaryotes*, pages 21–51. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany.
- Donovan, C. (2019). Biological function. In Shackelford, T. K. and Weekes-Shackelford, V. A., editors, *Encyclopedia of Evolutionary Psychological Science*, pages 1–4. Springer International Publishing, Cham, Switzerland.
- Drescher, K., Nadell, C. D., Stone, H. A., Wingreen, N. S., and Bassler, B. L. (2014). Solutions to the public goods dilemma in bacterial biofilms. *Curr. Biol.*, 24, 50–55.

- Dupraz, C., Reid, R. P., Braissant, O., Decho, A. W., Norman, R. S., and Visscher, P. T. (2009). Processes of carbonate precipitation in modern microbial mats. *Earth Sci. Rev.*, 96, 141–162.
- Ehrenberg, C. G. (1836). Vorläufige Mitteilungen über das wirkliche Vorkommen fossiler Infusorien und ihre groBe Verbreitung. *Poggend.'s Ann. Phys. Chem.*, 38, 213–227.
- Emerson, D., Fleming, E. J., and McBeth, J. M. (2010). Iron-oxidizing bacteria: an environmental and genomic perspective. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.*, 64, 561– 583.
- Engel, A. S., Lichtenberg, H., Prange, A., and Hormes, J. (2007). Speciation of sulfur from filamentous microbial mats from sulfidic cave springs using X-ray absorption near-edge spectroscopy. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*, 269, 54–62.
- Faivre, D. and Baumgartner, J. (2015). The combination of random mutagenesis and sequencing highlight the role of unexpected genes in an intractable organism. *PLoS Genet.*, 11, article no. e1004895.
- Faivre, D. and Schüler, D. (2008). Magnetotactic bacteria and magnetosomes. *Chem. Rev.*, 108, 4875– 4898.
- Falkowski, P. G., Fenchel, T., and Delong, E. F. (2008). The microbial engines that drive earth's biogeochemical cycles. *Science*, 320, 1034–1039.
- Ferrer, F. M., Rosen, M. R., Feyhl-Buska, J., Russell, V. V., Sønderholm, F., Loyd, S., Shapiro, R., Stamps, B. W., Petryshyn, V., Demirel-Floyd, C., Bailey, J. V., Johnson, H. A., Spear, J. R., and Corsetti, F. A. (2022). Potential role for microbial ureolysis in the rapid formation of carbonate tufa mounds. *Geobiology*, 20, 79–97.
- Findlay, A. J., Gartman, A., MacDonald, D. J., Hanson, T. E., Shaw, T. J., and Luther, G. W. (2014). Distribution and size fractionation of elemental sulfur in aqueous environments: The Chesapeake Bay and Mid-Atlantic Ridge. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 142, 334–348.
- Findlay, A. J. and Kamyshny, A. (2017). Turnover rates of intermediate sulfur species (Sx2-, S0, S2O32-, S4O62-, SO32-) in anoxic freshwater and sediments. *Front. Microbiol.*, 8, article no. 2551.
- Frankel, R. B. and Bazylinski, D. A. (2003). Biologically induced mineralization by bacteria. *Rev. Mineral. Geochem.*, 54, 95–114.
- Frankel, R. B., Williams, T. J., and Bazylinski, D. A. (2007). *Magnetoreception and Magnetosomes in*

*Bacteria, Microbiology Monographs.* Springer, Berlin, Germany.

- Franz, B., Lichtenberg, H., Hormes, J., Modrow, H., Dahl, C., and Prange, A. (2007). Utilization of solid "elemental" sulfur by the phototrophic purple sulfur bacterium Allochromatium vinosum: a sulfur K-edge X-ray absorption spectroscopy study. *Microbiology*, 153, 1268–1274.
- Friedrich, C. G., Rother, D., Bardischewsky, F., Quentmeier, A., and Fischer, J. (2001). Oxidation of reduced inorganic sulfur compounds by bacteria: emergence of a common mechanism? *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 67, 2873–2882.
- Gadd, G. M. (2010). Metals, minerals and microbes: geomicrobiology and bioremediation. *Microbiology*, 156, 609–643.
- Gauger, T., Konhauser, K., and Kappler, A. (2015). Protection of phototrophic iron(II)-oxidizing bacteria from UV irradiation by biogenic iron(III) minerals: Implications for early Archean banded iron formation. *Geology*, 43, 1067–1070.
- Ghosh, W. and Dam, B. (2009). Biochemistry and molecular biology of lithotrophic sulfur oxidation by taxonomically and ecologically diverse bacteria and archaea. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.*, 33, 999–1043.
- Giuffre, A. J., Hamm, L. M., Han, N., De Yoreo, J. J., and Dove, P. M. (2013). Polysaccharide chemistry regulates kinetics of calcite nucleation through competition of interfacial energies. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 110, 9261–9266.
- Glodowska, M., Schneider, M., Eiche, E., Kontny, A., Neumann, T., Straub, D., Kleindienst, S., and Kappler, A. (2021). Microbial transformation of biogenic and abiogenic Fe minerals followed by insitu incubations in an As-contaminated vs. noncontaminated aquifer. *Environ. Pollut.*, 281, article no. 117012.
- Görgen, S., Benzerara, K., Skouri-Panet, F., Gugger, M., Chauvat, F., and Cassier-Chauvat, C. (2021). The diversity of molecular mechanisms of carbonate biomineralization by bacteria. *Discov. Mater.*, 1, article no. 2.
- Gould, S. J. and Lewontin, R. C. (1979). The spandrels of San Marco and the Panglossian paradigm: a critique of the adaptationist programme. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci.*, 205, 581–598.
- Gould, S. J. and Vrba, E. S. (1982). Exaptation-a missing term in the science of form. *Paleobiology*, 8, 4– 15.

- Gower, L. B. (2008). Biomimetic model systems for investigating the amorphous precursor pathway and its role in biomineralization. *Chem. Rev.*, 108, 4551–4627.
- Gray, N. and Head, I. (2014). The family achromatiaceae. In Rosenberg, E., DeLong, E. F., Lory, S., Stackebrandt, E., and Thompson, F., editors, *The Prokaryotes: Gammaproteobacteria*, pages 1– 14. Springer, Berlin, Germany.
- Gray, N. D. (2006). The unique role of intracellular calcification in the genus achromatium. In Shively, J. M., editor, *Inclusions in Prokaryotes, Microbiology Monographs*, pages 299–309. Springer, Berlin, Germany.
- Gregersen, L. H., Bryant, D. A., and Frigaard, N.-U. (2011). Mechanisms and evolution of oxidative sulfur metabolism in green sulfur bacteria. *Front. Microbiol.*, 2, article no. 116.
- Habte, M. and Barrion, M. (1984). Interaction of rhizobium sp. with toxin-producing fungus in culture medium and in a tropical soil. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 47, 1080–1083.
- Hageae, G. J., Eanes, E. D., and Gherna, R. L. (1970). Xray diffraction studies of the sulfur globules accumulated by chromatium species. *J. Bacteriol.*, 101, 464–469.
- Hall-Stoodley, L., Costerton, J. W., and Stoodley, P. (2004). Bacterial biofilms: from the natural environment to infectious diseases. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 2, 95–108.
- Hallbeck, L. and Pedersen, K. (1995). Benefits associated with the stalk of Gallionella ferruginea, evaluated by comparison of a stalk-forming and a nonstalk-forming strain and biofilm studies in situ. *Microb. Ecol.*, 30, 257–268.
- Hamilton, T. L., Jones, D. S., Schaperdoth, I., and Macalady, J. L. (2015). Metagenomic insights into S(0) precipitation in a terrestrial subsurface lithoautotrophic ecosystem. *Front. Microbiol.*, 5, article no. 756.
- Hanson, T. E., Bonsu, E., Tuerk, A., Marnocha, C. L., Powell, D. H., and Chan, C. S. (2016). Chlorobaculum tepidum growth on biogenic S(0) as the sole photosynthetic electron donor. *Environ. Microbiol.*, 18, 2856–2867.
- Hazen, R. M., Papineau, D., Bleeker, W., Downs, R. T., Ferry, J. M., McCoy, T. J., Sverjensky, D. A., and Yang, H. (2008). Mineral evolution. *Am. Mineral.*, 93, 1693–1720.

- Head, I. M., Gray, N. D., Howarth, R., Pickup, R. W., Clarke, K. J., and Jones, J. G. (2000). Achromatium oxaliferum Understanding the Unmistakable. In Schink, B., editor, *Advances in Microbial Ecology, Advances in Microbial Ecology*, pages 1–40. Springer US, Boston, MA, USA.
- Hegler, F., Schmidt, C., Schwarz, H., and Kappler, A. (2010). Does a low-pH microenvironment around phototrophic Fe(II)-oxidizing bacteria prevent cell encrustation by Fe(III) minerals? *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*, 74, 592–600.
- Heveran, C. M., Liang, L., Nagarajan, A., Hubler, M. H., Gill, R., Cameron, J. C., Cook, S. M., and Srubar, W. V. (2019). Engineered ureolytic microorganisms can tailor the morphology and nanomechanical properties of microbial-precipitated calcium carbonate. *Sci. Rep.*, 9, article no. 14721.
- Hine, R., editor (2019). *A Dictionary of Biology*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- Hottes, A. K., Freddolino, P. L., Khare, A., Donnell, Z. N., Liu, J. C., and Tavazoie, S. (2013). Bacterial adaptation through loss of function. *PLOS Genet.*, 9, article no. e1003617.
- Hulkoti, N. I. and Taranath, T. C. (2014). Biosynthesis of nanoparticles using microbes—a review. *Colloids Surf. B*, 121, 474–483.
- Iniesto, M., Moreira, D., Reboul, G., Deschamps, P., Benzerara, K., Bertolino, P., Saghaï, A., Tavera, R., and López-García, P. (2021). Core microbial communities of lacustrine microbialites sampled along an alkalinity gradient. *Environ. Microbiol.*, 23, 51– 68.
- Javaux, E. J. and Lepot, K. (2018). The Paleoproterozoic fossil record: Implications for the evolution of the biosphere during Earth's middle-age. *Earth Sci. Rev.*, 176, 68–86.
- Jones, A. A. and Bennett, P. C. (2014). Mineral microniches control the diversity of subsurface microbial populations. *Geomicrobiol. J.*, 31, 246–261.
- Jørgensen, B. B., Findlay, A. J., and Pellerin, A. (2019). The biogeochemical sulfur cycle of marine sediments. *Front. Microbiol.*, 10, article no. 849.
- Julou, T., Mora, T., Guillon, L., Croquette, V., Schalk, I. J., Bensimon, D., and Desprat, N. (2013). Cell– cell contacts confine public goods diffusion inside Pseudomonas aeruginosa clonal microcolonies. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 110, 12577–12582.
- Kappler, A., Bryce, C., Mansor, M., Lueder, U., Byrne, J. M., and Swanner, E. D. (2021). An evolving view

on biogeochemical cycling of iron. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 19, 360–374.

- Kato, S., Ohkuma, M., Powell, D. H., Krepski, S. T., Oshima, K., Hattori, M., Shapiro, N., Woyke, T., and Chan, C. S. (2015). Comparative genomic insights into ecophysiology of neutrophilic, microaerophilic iron oxidizing bacteria. *Front. Microbiol.*, 6, article no. 1265.
- Kees, E. D., Levar, C. E., Miller, S. P., Bond, D. R., Gralnick, J. A., and Dean, A. M. (2021). Survival of the first rather than the fittest in a Shewanella electrode biofilm. *Commun. Biol.*, 4, 1–9.
- Keffer, J. L., McAllister, S. M., Garber, A. I., Hallahan, B. J., Sutherland, M. C., Rozovsky, S., and Chan, C. S. (2021). Iron oxidation by a fused cytochrome-porin common to diverse iron-oxidizing bacteria. *mBio*, 0, article no. e01074-21.
- Keren-Paz, A., Brumfeld, V., Oppenheimer-Shaanan, Y., and Kolodkin-Gal, I. (2018). Micro-CT Xray imaging exposes structured diffusion barriers within biofilms. NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes, 4, 1–4.
- Keren-Paz, A. and Kolodkin-Gal, I. (2020). A brick in the wall: discovering a novel mineral component of the biofilm extracellular matrix. *New Biotechnol.*, 56, 9–15.
- Kim, W., Racimo, F., Schluter, J., Levy, S. B., and Foster, K. R. (2014). Importance of positioning for microbial evolution. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 111, E1639–E1647.
- King, R. C., Mulligan, P. K., and Stansfield, W. D. (2014). *A Dictionary of Genetics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- Klassen, J. L. (2018). Defining microbiome function. *Nat. Microbiol.*, 3, 864–869.
- Kleinjan, W. E., de Keizer, A., and Janssen, A. J. H. (2005). Equilibrium of the reaction between dissolved sodium sulfide and biologically produced sulfur. *Colloids Surf. B*, 43, 228–237.
- Kleinjan, W. E., Keizer, A., and Janssen, A. J. H. (2003).
  Biologically produced sulfur. In Steudel, R., editor, *Elemental Sulfur and Sulfur-Rich Compounds I*, pages 167–188. Springer, Berlin, Germany.
- Knickerbocker, C., Nordstrom, D. K., and Southam, G. (2000). The role of "blebbing" in overcoming the hydrophobic barrier during biooxidation of elemental sulfur by Thiobacillus thiooxidans. *Chem. Geol.*, 169, 425–433.
- Kobras, C. M., Fenton, A. K., and Sheppard, S. K. (2021). Next-generation microbiology: from com-

parative genomics to gene function. *Genome Biol.*, 22, article no. 123.

- Koch, T. and Dahl, C. (2018). A novel bacterial sulfur oxidation pathway provides a new link between the cycles of organic and inorganic sulfur compounds. *ISME J.*, 12, 2479–2491.
- Koeksoy, E., Bezuidt, O. M., Bayer, T., Chan, C. S., and Emerson, D. (2021). Zetaproteobacteria pangenome reveals candidate gene cluster for twisted stalk biosynthesis and export. *Front. Microbiol.*, 12.
- Komeili, A. (2007). Molecular mechanisms of magnetosome formation. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.*, 76, 351– 366.
- Komeili, A., Li, Z., Newman, D. K., and Jensen, G. J. (2006). Magnetosomes are cell membrane invaginations organized by the actin-like protein MamK. *Science*, 311, 242–245.
- Konhauser, K. O., Fyfe, W. S., Schultze-Lam, S., Ferris, F. G., and Beveridge, T. J. (1994). Iron phosphate precipitation by epilithic microbial biofilms in Arctic Canada. *Can. J. Earth Sci.*, 31, 1320–1324.
- Konhauser, K. O., Lalonde, S. V., and Phoenix, V. R. (2008). Bacterial biomineralization: where to from here? *Geobiology*, 6, 298–302.
- Kramer, J., Özkaya, O., and Kümmerli, R. (2020). Bacterial siderophores in community and host interactions. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 18, 152–163.
- Krepski, S. T., Emerson, D., Hredzak-Showalter, P. L., Luther, G. W., and Chan, C. S. (2013). Morphology of biogenic iron oxides records microbial physiology and environmental conditions: toward interpreting iron microfossils. *Geobiology*, 11, 457–471.
- Kussell, E. (2013). Evolution in Microbes. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.*, 42, 493–514.
- Laishley, E. J., Bryant, R. D., Kobryn, B. W., and Hyne, J. B. (1986). Microcrystalline structure and surface area of elemental sulphur as factors influencing its oxidation by Thiobacillus albertis. *Can. J. Microbiol.*, 32, 237–242.
- Lande, R. and Arnold, S. J. (1983). The measurement of selection on correlated characters. *Evolution*, 37, 1210–1226.
- Larkin, J. M. and Strohl, W. R. (1983). Beggiatoa, Thiothrix, and Thioploca. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.*, 37, 341–367.
- Lau, G. E., Cosmidis, J., Grasby, S. E., Trivedi, C. B., Spear, J. R., and Templeton, A. S. (2017). Lowtemperature formation and stabilization of rare allotropes of cyclooctasulfur ( $\beta$ -S8 and  $\gamma$ -S8 in the

presence of organic carbon at a sulfur-rich glacial site in the Canadian High Arctic. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 200, 218–231.

- Laufer, K., Nordhoff, M., Halama, M., Martinez, R. E., Obst, M., Nowak, M., Stryhanyuk, H., Richnow, H. H., and Kappler, A. (2017). Microaerophilic Fe(II)-oxidizing Zetaproteobacteria isolated from low-Fe marine coastal sediments: physiology and composition of their twisted stalks. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 83, article no. e03118-16.
- Laufer, K., Nordhoff, M., Røy, H., Schmidt, C., Behrens, S., Jørgensen, B. B., and Kappler, A. (2016). Coexistence of Microaerophilic, nitrate-reducing, and phototrophic Fe(II) oxidizers and Fe(III) reducers in coastal marine sediment. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 82, 1433–1447.
- Lee, Y.-J., Prange, A., Lichtenberg, H., Rohde, M., Dashti, M., and Wiegel, J. (2007). In situ analysis of sulfur species in sulfur globules produced from thiosulfate by Thermoanaerobacter sulfurigignens and Thermoanaerobacterium thermosulfurigenes. *J. Bacteriol.*, 189, 7525–7529.
- Lefèvre, C. T. and Bazylinski, D. A. (2013). Ecology, diversity, and evolution of magnetotactic bacteria. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.*, 77, 497–526.
- Lenski, R. E., Rose, M. R., Simpson, S. C., and Tadler, S. C. (1991). Long-term experimental evolution in Escherichia coli. I. Adaptation and divergence during 2,000 generations. *Am. Nat.*, 138, 1315– 1341.
- Li, J., Benzerara, K., Bernard, S., and Beyssac, O. (2013). The link between biomineralization and fossilization of bacteria: insights from field and experimental studies. *Chem. Geol.*, 359, 49–69.
- Li, J., Liu, Y., Liu, S., Roberts, A. P., Pan, H., Xiao, T., and Pan, Y. (2020a). Classification of a complexly mixed magnetic mineral assemblage in pacific ocean surface sediment by electron microscopy and supervised magnetic unmixing. *Front. Earth Sci.*, 8, article no. 609058.
- Li, J., Margaret Oliver, I., Cam, N., Boudier, T., Blondeau, M., Leroy, E., Cosmidis, J., Skouri-Panet, F., Guigner, J.-M., Férard, C., Poinsot, M., Moreira, D., Lopez-Garcia, P., Cassier-Chauvat, C., Chauvat, F., and Benzerara, K. (2016a). Biomineralization patterns of intracellular carbonatogenesis in cyanobacteria: molecular hypotheses. *Minerals*, 6, article no. 10.
- Li, J., Zhang, H., Menguy, N., Benzerara, K., Wang, F.,

Lin, X., Chen, Z., and Pan, Y. (2017). Single-cell resolution of uncultured magnetotactic bacteria via fluorescence-coupled electron microscopy. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 83, article no. e00409-17.

- Li, M., Chen, Z., Zhang, P., Pan, X., Jiang, C., An, X., Liu, S., and Chang, W. (2008). Crystal structure studies on sulfur oxygenase reductase from Acidianus tengchongensis. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, 369, 919–923.
- Li, W., Zhang, M., Kang, D., Chen, W., Yu, T., Xu, D., Zeng, Z., Li, Y., and Zheng, P. (2020b). Mechanisms of sulfur selection and sulfur secretion in a biological sulfide removal (BISURE) system. *Environ. Int.*, 137, article no. 105549.
- Li, X., Chopp, D. L., Russin, W. A., Brannon, P. T., Parsek, M. R., and Packman, A. I. (2015). Spatial patterns of carbonate biomineralization in biofilms. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 81, 7403– 7410.
- Li, X., Lu, N., Brady, H. R., and Packman, A. I. (2016b). Biomineralization strongly modulates the formation of Proteus mirabilis and Pseudomonas aeruginosa dual-species biofilms. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*, 92, article no. fiw189.
- Lin, W., Kirschvink, J. L., Paterson, G. A., Bazylinski, D. A., and Pan, Y. (2020). On the origin of microbial magnetoreception. *Nat. Sci. Rev.*, 7, 472–479.
- Liu, P., Liu, Y., Ren, X., Zhang, Z., Zhao, X., Roberts, A. P., Pan, Y., and Li, J. (2021). A novel magnetotactic alphaproteobacterium producing intracellular magnetite and calcium-bearing minerals. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 87, article no. e01556-21.
- Liu, X., Lopez, P. A., Giessen, T. W., Giles, M., Way, J. C., and Silver, P. A. (2016). Engineering geneticallyencoded mineralization and magnetism via directed evolution. *Sci. Rep.*, 6, article no. 38019.
- Lowenstam, H. (1981). Minerals formed by organisms. *Science*, 211, 1126–1131.
- Lower, B. H. and Bazylinski, D. A. (2013). The bacterial magnetosome: a unique prokaryotic organelle. *J. Mol. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 23, 63–80.
- Luther, G. W., Findlay, A. J., MacDonald, D. J., Owings, S. M., Hanson, T. E., Beinart, R. A., and Girguis, P. R. (2011). Thermodynamics and kinetics of sulfide oxidation by oxygen: a look at inorganically controlled reactions and biologically mediated processes in the environment. *Front. Microbiol.*, 2, article no. 62.
- Lyu, J., Qin, W., Zhang, C., and Li, F. (2020). Nanopar-

ticle accumulation in microbial induced carbonate precipitation: the crucial role of extracellular polymeric substance. *Geomicrobiol. J.*, 37, 837–847.

- Macalady, J. L., Hamilton, T. L., Grettenberger, C. L., Jones, D. S., Tsao, L. E., and Burgos, W. D. (2013). Energy, ecology and the distribution of microbial life. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B: Biol. Sci.*, 368, article no. 20120383.
- Maharjan, R., McKenzie, C., Yeung, A., and Ferenci, T. (2013). The basis of antagonistic pleiotropy in hfq mutations that have opposite effects on fitness at slow and fast growth rates. *Heredity (Edinb)*, 110, 10–18.
- Maisch, M., Lueder, U., Laufer, K., Scholze, C., Kappler, A., and Schmidt, C. (2019). Contribution of Microaerophilic Iron(II)-Oxidizers to Iron(III) mineral formation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 53, 8197–8204.
- Maki, J. S. (2013). Bacterial intracellular sulfur globules: structure and function. *J. Mol. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 23, 270–280.
- Mallawaarachchi, S., Tonkin-Hill, G., Croucher, N. J., Turner, P., Speed, D., Corander, J., and Balding, D. (2021). Genome-wide association, prediction and heritability in bacteria. https://arxiv.org/abs/2021. 10.04.462983. Preprint.
- Mann, S. (1983). Mineralization in biological systems. In Connett, P. H., Folłmann, H., Lammers, M., Mann, S., Odom, J. D., and Wetterhahn, K. E., editors, *Inorganic Elements in Biochemistry, Structure and Bonding*, pages 125–174. Springer, Berlin, Germany.
- Mansor, M., Hamilton, T. L., Fantle, M. S., and Macalady, J. (2015). Metabolic diversity and ecological niches of Achromatium populations revealed with single-cell genomic sequencing. *Front. Microbiol.*, 6, article no. 822.
- Mansor, M. and Xu, J. (2020). Benefits at the nanoscale: a review of nanoparticle-enabled processes favouring microbial growth and functionality. *Environ. Microbiol.*, 22, 3633–3649.
- Marnocha, C. L., Levy, A. T., Powell, D. H., Hanson, T. E., and Chan, C. S. (2016). Mechanisms of extracellular S0 globule production and degradation in Chlorobaculum tepidum via dynamic cell–globule interactions. *Microbiology*, 162, 1125–1134.
- Marnocha, C. L., Sabanayagam, C. R., Modla, S., Powell, D. H., Henri, P. A., Steele, A. S., Hanson, T. E., Webb, S. M., and Chan, C. S. (2019). Insights into

the mineralogy and surface chemistry of extracellular biogenic S0 globules produced by chlorobaculum tepidum. *Front. Microbiol.*, 10, article no. 271.

- Martel, J., Young, D., Peng, H.-H., Wu, C.-Y., and Young, J. D. (2012). Biomimetic properties of minerals and the search for life in the Martian Meteorite ALH84001. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.*, 40, 167–193.
- Mas, J. and Gemerden, H. (1995). Storage products in purple and green sulfur bacteria. In *Anoxygenic Photosynthetic Bacteria*, pages 973–990. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- Mas, J. and van Gemerden, H. (1987). Influence of sulfur accumulation and composition of sulfur globule on cell volume and buoyant density of Chromatium vinosum. *Arch. Microbiol.*, 146, 362– 369.
- McAllister, S. M., Moore, R. M., Gartman, A., Luther, G. W., III, Emerson, D., and Chan, C. S. (2019). The Fe(II)-oxidizing Zetaproteobacteria: historical, ecological and genomic perspectives. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*, 95, article no. fiz015.
- McDonald, M. J. (2019). Microbial experimental evolution – a proving ground for evolutionary theory and a tool for discovery. *EMBO Rep.*, 20, article no. e46992.
- Mehta, N., Benzerara, K., Kocar, B. D., and Chapon, V. (2019). Sequestration of Radionuclides Radium-226 and Strontium-90 by Cyanobacteria forming intracellular calcium carbonates. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 53, 12639–12647.
- Miot, J., Benzerara, K., and Kappler, A. (2014). Investigating microbe-mineral interactions: recent advances in X-Ray and electron microscopy and redox-sensitive methods. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.*, 42, 271–289.
- Miot, J., Benzerara, K., Morin, G., Kappler, A., Bernard, S., Obst, M., Férard, C., Skouri-Panet, F., Guigner, J.-M., Posth, N., Galvez, M., Brown, G. E., and Guyot, F. (2009a). Iron biomineralization by anaerobic neutrophilic iron-oxidizing bacteria. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 73, 696–711.
- Miot, J., Benzerara, K., Obst, M., Kappler, A., Hegler, F., Schadler, S., Bouchez, C., Guyot, F., and Morin, G. (2009b). Extracellular iron biomineralization by photoautotrophic iron-oxidizing bacteria. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 75, 5586–5591.
- Miot, J., Remusat, L., Duprat, E., Gonzalez, A., Pont, S., and Poinsot, M. (2015). Fe biomineralization

mirrors individual metabolic activity in a nitratedependent Fe(II)-oxidizer. *Front. Microbiol.*, 6, article no. 879.

- Monteil, C. L., Benzerara, K., Menguy, N., Bidaud, C. C., Michot-Achdjian, E., Bolzoni, R., Mathon, F. P., Coutaud, M., Alonso, B., Garau, C., Jézéquel, D., Viollier, E., Ginet, N., Floriani, M., Swaraj, S., Sachse, M., Busigny, V., Duprat, E., Guyot, F., and Lefevre, C. T. (2021). Intracellular amorphous Ca-carbonate and magnetite biomineralization by a magnetotactic bacterium affiliated to the Alphaproteobacteria. *ISME J.*, 15, 1–18.
- Monteil, C. L., Vallenet, D., Menguy, N., Benzerara, K., Barbe, V., Fouteau, S., Cruaud, C., Floriani, M., Viollier, E., Adryanczyk, G., Leonhardt, N., Faivre, D., Pignol, D., López-García, P., Weld, R. J., and Lefevre, C. T. (2019). Ectosymbiotic bacteria at the origin of magnetoreception in a marine protist. *Nat. Microbiol.*, 4, 1088–1095.
- Morris, B. E. L., Henneberger, R., Huber, H., and Moissl-Eichinger, C. (2013). Microbial syntrophy: interaction for the common good. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.*, 37, 384–406.
- Nadell, C. D. and Bassler, B. L. (2011). A fitness tradeoff between local competition and dispersal in Vibrio cholerae biofilms. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 108, 14181–14185.
- Nadell, C. D., Drescher, K., and Foster, K. R. (2016). Spatial structure, cooperation and competition in biofilms. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 14, 589–600.
- Nelson, D. C. and Castenholz, R. W. (1981). Use of reduced sulfur compounds by Beggiatoa sp. *J. Bacteriol.*, 147, 140–154.
- Niehus, R., Picot, A., Oliveira, N. M., Mitri, S., and Foster, K. R. (2017). The evolution of siderophore production as a competitive trait: the competitive evolution of siderophores. *Evolution*, 71, 1443– 1455.
- Nims, C., Cron, B., Wetherington, M., Macalady, J., and Cosmidis, J. (2019). Low frequency Raman Spectroscopy for micron-scale and in vivo characterization of elemental sulfur in microbial samples. *Sci. Rep.*, 9, article no. 7971.
- Nordhoff, M., Tominski, C., Halama, M., Byrne, J. M., Obst, M., Kleindienst, S., Behrens, S., and Kappler, A. (2017). Insights into nitrate-reducing Fe(II) oxidation mechanisms through analysis of cellmineral associations, cell encrustation, and mineralogy in the chemolithoautotrophic enrichment

culture KS. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 83, article no. e00752-17.

- Oppenheimer-Shaanan, Y., Sibony-Nevo, O., Bloom-Ackermann, Z., Suissa, R., Steinberg, N., Kartvelishvily, E., Brumfeld, V., and Kolodkin-Gal, I. (2016). Spatio-temporal assembly of functional mineral scaffolds within microbial biofilms. *NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes*, 2, 1–10.
- Pasteris, J. D., Freeman, J. J., Goffredi, S. K., and Buck, K. R. (2001). Raman spectroscopic and laser scanning confocal microscopic analysis of sulfur in living sulfur-precipitating marine bacteria. *Chem. Geol.*, 180, 3–18.
- Peiffer, S., Kappler, A., Haderlein, S. B., Schmidt, C., Byrne, J. M., Kleindienst, S., Vogt, C., Richnow, H. H., Obst, M., Angenent, L. T., Bryce, C., McCammon, C., and Planer-Friedrich, B. (2021). A biogeochemical-hydrological framework for the role of redox-active compounds in aquatic systems. *Nat. Geosci.*, 14, 264–272.
- Phoenix, V. R., Bennett, P. C., Engel, A. S., Tyler, S. W., and Ferris, F. G. (2006). Chilean high-altitude hotspring sinters: a model system for UV screening mechanisms by early Precambrian cyanobacteria. *Geobiology*, 4, 15–28.
- Phoenix, V. R. and Konhauser, K. O. (2008). Benefits of bacterial biomineralization. *Geobiology*, 6, 303–308.
- Phoenix, V. R., Konhauser, K. O., Adams, D. G., and Bottrell, S. H. (2001). Role of biomineralization as an ultraviolet shield: implications for Archean life. *Geology*, 29, 823–826.
- Pibernat, I. V. and Abella, C. A. (1996). Sulfide pulsing as the controlling factor of spinae production in Chlorobium limicola strain UdG 6038. *Arch. Microbiol.*, 165, 272–278.
- Prange, A., Chauvistré, R., Modrow, H., Hormes, J., Trüper, H. G., and Dahl, C. (2002). Quantitative speciation of sulfur in bacterial sulfur globules: Xray absorption spectroscopy reveals at least three different species of sulfur. *Microbiology (Reading, English)*, 148, 267–276.
- Prange, A., Engelhardt, H., Trüper, H. G., and Dahl, C. (2004). The role of the sulfur globule proteins of Allochromatium vinosum: mutagenesis of the sulfur globule protein genes and expression studies by real-time RT-PCR. *Arch. Microbiol.*, 182, 165– 174.
- Rahn-Lee, L., Byrne, M. E., Zhang, M., Sage, D. L.,

Glenn, D. R., Milbourne, T., Walsworth, R. L., Vali, H., and Komeili, A. (2015). A genetic strategy for probing the functional diversity of magnetosome formation. *PLOS Genet.*, 11, article no. e1004811.

- Read, T. D. and Massey, R. C. (2014). Characterizing the genetic basis of bacterial phenotypes using genome-wide association studies: a new direction for bacteriology. *Genome Med.*, 6, article no. 109.
- Rohwerder, T. and Sand, W. (2003). The sulfane sulfur of persulfides is the actual substrate of the sulfur-oxidizing enzymes from Acidithiobacillus and Acidiphilium spp. *Microbiology*, 149, 1699– 1710.
- Rojas, J., Giersig, M., and Tributsch, H. (1995). Sulfur colloids as temporary energy reservoirs for Thiobacillus ferrooxidans during pyrite oxidation. *Arch. Microbiol.*, 163, 352–356.
- Ross-Gillespie, A., Gardner, A., West, S. A., and Griffin, A. S. (2007). Frequency dependence and cooperation: theory and a test with bacteria. *Am. Nat.*, 170, 331–342.
- Saghaï, A., Zivanovic, Y., Moreira, D., Benzerara, K., Bertolino, P., Ragon, M., Tavera, R., López-Archilla, A. I., and López-García, P. (2016). Comparative metagenomics unveils functions and genome features of microbialite-associated communities along a depth gradient. *Environ. Microbiol.*, 18, 4990–5004.
- Saini, G. and Chan, C. S. (2013). Near-neutral surface charge and hydrophilicity prevent mineral encrustation of Fe-oxidizing micro-organisms. *Geobiology*, 11, 191–200.
- Schädler, S., Burkhardt, C., Hegler, F., Straub, K. L., Miot, J., Benzerara, K., and Kappler, A. (2009). Formation of cell-iron-mineral aggregates by phototrophic and nitrate-reducing anaerobic Fe(II)oxidizing bacteria. *Geomicrobiol. J.*, 26, 93–103.
- Schedel, M. and Trüper, H. G. (1980). Anaerobic oxidation of thiosulfate and elemental sulfur in Thiobacillus denitrificans. *Arch. Microbiol.*, 124, 205–210.
- Scheffel, A., Gruska, M., Faivre, D., Linaroudis, A., Plitzko, J. M., and Schüler, D. (2006). An acidic protein aligns magnetosomes along a filamentous structure in magnetotactic bacteria. *Nature*, 440, 110–114.
- Scheffel, A., Poulsen, N., Shian, S., and Kröger, N. (2011). Nanopatterned protein microrings from a

diatom that direct silica morphogenesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 108, 3175–3180.

- Schewiakoff, W. (1893). Über einen neuen bakteriennähnlichen Organismus des Süßwassers (Habilitationsschrift). University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany.
- Schluter, J., Nadell, C. D., Bassler, B. L., and Foster, K. R. (2015). Adhesion as a weapon in microbial competition. *ISME J.*, 9, 139–149.
- Schüler, D. (2004). Molecular analysis of a subcellular compartment: the magnetosome membrane in Magnetospirillum gryphiswaldense. *Arch. Microbiol.*, 181, 1–7.
- Segovia-Campos, I., Martignier, A., Filella, M., Jaquet, J.-M., and Ariztegui, D. (2021). Micropearls and other intracellular inclusions of amorphous calcium carbonate: an unsuspected biomineralization capacity shared by diverse microorganisms. *Environ. Microbiol.*
- Sievert, S. M., Wieringa, E. B. A., Wirsen, C. O., and Taylor, C. D. (2007). Growth and mechanism of filamentous-sulfur formation by Candidatus Arcobacter sulfidicus in opposing oxygen-sulfide gradients. *Environ. Microbiol.*, 9, 271–276.
- Smith, P. and Schuster, M. (2019). Public goods and cheating in microbes. *Curr. Biol.*, 29, R442–R447.
- Stephens, C. (2006). Bacterial cell biology: managing magnetosomes. *Curr. Biol.*, 16, R363–R365.
- Steudel, R. (1989). On the Nature of the "Elemental Sulfur" (S°) Produced by Sulfur-oxidizing Bacteria – a Model fror S° Globules. In *Biology of Autotrophic Bacteria*, pages 289–303. Springer, Berlin, Germany.
- Steudel, R. (2003). Aqueous sulfur sols. In Steudel, R., editor, *Elemental Sulfur and Sulfur-Rich Compounds I*, pages 153–166. Springer, Berlin, Germany.
- Steudel, R. and Eckert, B. (2003). Solid sulfur allotropes. In Steudel, R., editor, *Elemental Sulfur and Sulfur-Rich Compounds I*, pages 1–80. Springer, Berlin, Germany.
- Steudel, R., Holdt, G., Göbel, T., and Hazeu, W. (1987). Chromatographic separation of higher polythionates SnO (n = 3...22) and their detection in cultures of thiobacillus ferroxidans; molecular composition of bacterial sulfur secretions. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 26, 151–153.
- Stotzky, G. and Rem, L. T. (1966). Influence of clay minerals on microorganisms. I. Montmorillonite

and kaolinite on bacteria. *Can. J. Microbiol.*, 12, 547–563.

- Swanner, E. D., Wu, W., Schoenberg, R., Byrne, J., Michel, F. M., Pan, Y., and Kappler, A. (2015). Fractionation of Fe isotopes during Fe(II) oxidation by a marine photoferrotroph is controlled by the formation of organic Fe-complexes and colloidal Fe fractions. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 165, 44–61.
- Tang, J., Zhuang, L., Ma, J., Tang, Z., Yu, Z., and Zhou, S. (2016). Secondary mineralization of ferrihydrite affects microbial methanogenesis in geobactermethanosarcina cocultures. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 82, 5869–5877.
- Taylor, C. D. and Wirsen, C. O. (1997). Microbiology and ecology of filamentous sulfur formation. *Science*, 277, 1483–1485.
- Then, J. and Trüper, H. G. (1984). Utilization of sulfide and elemental sulfur by Ectothiorhodospira halochloris. *Arch. Microbiol.*, 139, 295–298.
- Tinbergen, N. (1963). On aims and methods of ethology. Z. Tierpsychol., 20, 410–433.
- Tourney, J. and Ngwenya, B. T. (2009). Bacterial extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) mediate CaCO<sub>3</sub> morphology and polymorphism. *Chem. Geol.*, 262, 138–146.
- Uebe, R. and Schüler, D. (2016). Magnetosome biogenesis in magnetotactic bacteria. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 14, 621–637.
- Ullrich, S., Kube, M., Schübbe, S., Reinhardt, R., and Schüler, D. (2005). A hypervariable 130kilobase genomic region of Magnetospirillum gryphiswaldense comprises a magnetosome island which undergoes frequent rearrangements during stationary growth. *J. Bacteriol.*, 187, 7176–7184.
- Urich, T., Gomes, C. M., Kletzin, A., and Frazão, C. (2006). X-ray structure of a selfcompartmentalizing sulfur cycle metalloenzyme. *Science*, 311, 996–1000.
- van Gemerden, H. (1986). Production of elemental sulfur by green and purple sulfur bacteria. *Arch. Microbiol.*, 146, 52–56.
- van Opijnen, T. and Camilli, A. (2013). Transposon insertion sequencing: a new tool for systems-level analysis of microorganisms. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 11, 435–442.
- Veis, A. (2003). Mineralization in organic matrix frameworks. *Rev. Mineral. Geochem.*, 54, 249–289.
- Vo, P. L. H., Ronda, C., Klompe, S. E., Chen, E. E., Acree, C., Wang, H. H., and Sternberg, S. H. (2021).

CRISPR RNA-guided integrases for high-efficiency, multiplexed bacterial genome engineering. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 39, 480–489.

- Warthmann, R., Cypionka, H., and Pfennig, N. (1992). Photoproduction of  $H_2$  from acetate by syntrophic cocultures of green sulfur bacteria and sulfur-reducing bacteria. *Arch. Microbiol.*, 157, 343–348.
- Wever, A. D., Benzerara, K., Coutaud, M., Caumes, G., Poinsot, M., Skouri-Panet, F., Laurent, T., Duprat, E., and Gugger, M. (2019). Evidence of high Ca uptake by cyanobacteria forming intracellular CaCO<sub>3</sub> and impact on their growth. *Geobiology*, 17, 676– 690.
- White, R. A., Chan, A. M., Gavelis, G. S., Leander, B. S., Brady, A. L., Slater, G. F., Lim, D. S. S., and Suttle, C. A. (2016). Metagenomic analysis suggests modern freshwater microbialites harbor a distinct core microbial community. *Front. Microbiol.*, 6, article no. 1531.
- Williams, R. J. P. and Rickaby, R. (2012). Evolution's Destiny: Co-evolving Chemistry of the Environment and Life. Royal Society of Chemistry, London, UK.
- Wu, W., Swanner, E. D., Hao, L., Zeitvogel, F., Obst, M., Pan, Y., and Kappler, A. (2014). Characterization of the physiology and cell–mineral interactions of the marine anoxygenic phototrophic Fe(II) oxidizer Rhodovulum iodosum – implications for Precambrian Fe(II) oxidation. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*, 88, 503–515.
- Yang, T., Teske, A., Ambrose, W., Salman-Carvalho,

V., Bagnell, R., and Nielsen, L. P. (2019). Intracellular calcite and sulfur dynamics of Achromatium cells observed in a lab-based enrichment and aerobic incubation experiment. *Antonie Leeuwenhoek*, 112, 263–274.

- Yin, X., Weitzel, F., Jiménez-López, C., Griesshaber, E., Fernández-Díaz, L., Rodríguez-Navarro, A., Ziegler, A., and Schmahl, W. W. (2020). Directing effect of bacterial extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) on calcite organization and EPS–carbonate composite aggregate formation. *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 20, 1467–1484.
- Zeng, Y., Cao, J., Wang, Z., Guo, J., Zhou, Q., and Lu, J. (2018). Insights into the confined crystallization in microfluidics of amorphous calcium carbonate. *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 18, 6538–6546.
- Zerkle, A. L., Kamyshny, A., Kump, L. R., Farquhar, J., Oduro, H., and Arthur, M. A. (2010). Sulfur cycling in a stratified euxinic lake with moderately high sulfate: constraints from quadruple S isotopes. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 74, 4953–4970.
- Zhang, J., Liu, R., Xi, S., Cai, R., Zhang, X., and Sun, C. (2020). A novel bacterial thiosulfate oxidation pathway provides a new clue about the formation of zero-valent sulfur in deep sea. *ISME J.*, 14, 2261– 2274.
- Zopfi, J., Ferdelman, T. G., and Fossing, H. (2004). Distribution and fate of sulfur intermediates sulfite, tetrathionate, thiosulfate, and elemental sulfur—in marine sediments. In *Special Paper 379: Sulfur Biogeochemistry - Past and Present*, pages 97–116. Geological Society of America, Boulder, CO, USA.