

Tau protein aggregation: Key features to improve drug discovery screening

Johanna Giovannini, Willy Smeralda, Marie Jouanne, Jana Sopkova-de Oliveira Santos, Marco Catto, Anne Sophie Voisin-Chiret

To cite this version:

Johanna Giovannini, Willy Smeralda, Marie Jouanne, Jana Sopkova-de Oliveira Santos, Marco Catto, et al.. Tau protein aggregation: Key features to improve drug discovery screening. Drug Discovery Today, 2022, 27 $(5),$ pp.1284-1297. $\,$ 10.1016/j.drudis.2022.01.009 $. \,$ hal-04847953 $\,$

HAL Id: hal-04847953 <https://hal.science/hal-04847953v1>

Submitted on 19 Dec 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

Tau protein aggregation: key features to improve drug discovery screening

Johanna Giovannini1,2,3 , Willy Smeralda1,3 , Marie Jouanne¹ , Jana Sopkova-de Oliveira Santos¹ , Marco Catto² **, and Anne Sophie Voisin-Chiret¹**

¹Normandie University, UNICAEN, CERMN, 14000 Caen, France

²Dipartimento di Farmacia – Scienze del Farmaco, Università degli Studi di Bari Aldo Moro, 70125 Bari, Italia

 3 These authors contributed equally

Corresponding author: A.S. Voisin-Chiret (anne-sophie.voisin@unicaen.fr)

Keywords: tau protein, aggregation, therapeutic, drug design

Teaser: From early drug design to clinical trials, what design and therapeutic advances have recently emerged for tau protein aggregation?

Author biographies

Johanna Giovannini

Johanna Giovannini obtained her PharmD in 2019 at the University of Caen Normandy and is now a third-year PhD student in molecular modeling and medicinal chemistry at the Centre d'Etudes et de Recherche sur le Médicament de Normandie (CERMN) in Caen in cotutorship with the Faculty of Pharmacy at the University of Bari. Her research focuses on the conception of protein–protein interaction disruptors and the understanding of early protein aggregation processes in Alzheimer's disease.

Willy Smeralda

Willy Smeralda received his PhD from the University of Caen Normandy in 2019. After a postdoctoral period at CERMN), he is now a postdoctoral fellow at the Laboratoire de Biophysique Moléculaire aux Interfaces (LBMI), a member of the Teaching and Research Center (TERRA) and the BioEcoAgro Joint Research. His research focuses on ligand–membrane interactions, including peptides, proteins, lipopeptides, and molecules, in neurodegenerative diseases and coronavirus.

Marie Jouanne

Marie Jouanne received her PhD from the University of Caen Normandy in 2015 and is now a postdoctoral fellow of CERMN. Her research interests include the development of protein–protein interaction disruptors and pleiotropic agents with medicinal chemistry, *in vitro* screening, and druggability assessment in oncology and neurodegenerative diseases.

Anne Sophie Voisin-Chiret

Anne Sophie Voisin-Chiret has been a professor of medicinal chemistry at CERMN since 2015. Her research focuses on medicinal chemistry in the area of protein–protein interactions to design oligo(het)aromatic compounds that mimic proteins. Since 2012, she has been leading research studying protein–protein interfaces to design drug-like modulators of protein–protein interactions, especially in neurodegenerative diseases.

Tauopathies are neurodegenerative disorders associated with the accumulation of abnormal tubulin associated unit (tau) protein in the brain. Tau pathologies include a broad spectrum of diseases, with Alzheimer's disease (AD) being the most common tauopathy. The pathophysiological mechanisms of AD are still only partially understood. As a consequence, attempts to establish therapeutic approaches have led to numerous clinical trial failures and, to date, no curative treatment is available for AD despite the considerable number of research programs. Therefore, over the past decade, the aggregation of the tau protein in AD has become a therapeutic target of interest. In this review, we gather *in silico***,** *in vitro***, and** *in vivo* **methodologies that are relevant to assess compounds targeting tau aggregation, from early drug design to clinical trials.**

Introduction

Tauopathies are disorders associated with the accumulation of abnormal tau protein in the brain.¹ Tau pathologies include a broad spectrum of diseases, such as AD, Pick's disease (PD), progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP), and frontotemporal dementia with Parkinsonism (FTDP-17), which are well classified and documented in recent reviews.²⁻⁴ Since its discovery in 1975⁵ and its identification in AD neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs),⁶ the tau protein has become a major area of interest for therapeutic research related to neurodegenerative diseases.⁷

This major interest for tau protein is mainly explained by AD pathogenesis studies. Tau aggregated species and aggregated amyloid β (Aβ) peptides constitute the two main protein lesions of AD brains. Pathogenesis studies have shown that, over time, tau-aggregated toxic species have a better correlation with the progression of cognitive

impairments compared with the accumulation of Aβ peptides into amyloid plaques.⁸ Moreover, tau can be a pathogen agent without the presence of Aβ toxic species. For instance, in other neurodegenerative diseases, such as PD or FTDP-17, neurodegeneration is caused by tau dysfunction.⁹ Finally, tau appears to be essential for neurotoxicity because of its complex relation with Aβ peptide. Indeed, Aβ fibril exposure caused neuronal death of wild-type mouse neurons but not of the tau-knockout mouse neurons.^{8–12} Tau can also be related to pathological processes, such as the inflammatory response or synaptic dysfunction. Last but not least, tau is believed to be responsible for disease propagation because of its prion-like properties.¹³–¹⁷

In this review, we summarize recent data on the development of compounds targeting tau aggregation. Other approaches, such as immunotherapies, have been reviewed by Cummings *et al.*, who presented the AD drug development pipeline in terms of new agents, targets, biomarkers, and trial design strategies.¹⁸ The mechanisms and pathophysiological functions of tau are complex and remain under debate, but offer a range of therapeutic targets. After brief reminders of tau physiopathology, we present these data and discuss the key drug discovery challenges to developing effective therapeutic agents targeting tau aggregation.

Generalities of tau protein physiopathology

Tau proteins, also called microtubule-associated protein tau (MAPT) are a group of six protein isoforms involved in the promotion and stabilization of microtubules (MTs). The gene encoding this protein is located on the long arm of chromosome 17 (17q21) and contains 16 exons that spans 100 kbp. In the central nervous system, the alternative splicing of exons 2, 3, and 10 results in six isoforms ranging from 352 to 441 amino acids in length, which are differentially expressed during brain development.¹⁹ These isoforms are differentiated by the presence of three or four tubulin-binding sequences (repeated domains named R1–R4) in the C terminus of tau, and by the presence or absence of one or two inserts in the N terminus (Figure 1a). All these isoforms are not systematically involved in aggregates according to the tauopathy. A classification was proposed by Lebouvier *et al*. showing that these isoforms can be markers of the biochemical heterogeneity of tauopathies.²⁰ Tau protein is divided in functional domains separated by a proline-rich region (PRR): the MT-binding domain in the C terminus, which contains highly conserved sequences (R1, R2, R3, and R4), and the projection domain located in the N terminus, which extends to interact with other components of the cytoskeleton, such as actin or spectrin, and also surrounding plasma membranes.20,21

The MT-binding domain is characterized by the presence of two hexapeptides, $PHF6*$ ($275\text{VQIINK}280$) and PHF6 $(306VQIVK^{311})$ in the R2 and R3 domains, respectively (Figure 1a).²¹ These two hexapeptides are the main focus of studies on tau aggregation because their removal leads to the disappearance of the aggregative properties of tau7,22 and several mutations in these regions are known to affect the functional and aggregative properties of this protein. 23,24

The main function of tau is the regulation of tubulin polymerization, although it has also been studied in terms of transcriptional regulation, DNA/RNA protective function, and signaling functions, especially at the postsynaptic level. These various functions can be associated with tau localization in the brain. Indeed, although most tau proteins are found in axons, a small amount can be found in dendrites, at subsynaptic sites, or in the nucleus.^{7,25,26}

Tau is a phosphoprotein the longest form of which contains ~85 potential phosphorylation sites (serine, threonine, and tyrosine). Phosphorylation of tau regulates its binding to MTs and it is itself regulated by kinases and phosphatases, such as glycogen synthase kinase 3β (GSK3β) and protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A), an essential dynamic for MT stability and neuronal transport.²⁷ In tauopathies, the balance between the action of kinases and phosphatases is disturbed, which leads to tau hyperphosphorylation, its detachment from MTs, and its aggregation (Figure 1b).¹–3,26 Indeed, many strategies linked to tau properties have been developed and are already well detailed in recent reviews: therapies targeting directly tau aggregation inhibition^{16,28–31}; kinase or phosphatase activation inhibition^{31–34}; MT stabilization and protein degradation^{31,35}; pathological post-translational modifications (hyperphosphorylation, ubiquitination, acetylation, *N*-glycosylation, and truncated protein)^{31,36}; and finally tau-directed immunotherapies, the main approach in AD and tauopathies clinical trials.31,37–⁴⁰

Developing therapeutics towards tau aggregation

NFTs were the first tissue lesions clearly identified in AD,⁴¹ followed by senile plaques (SPs) formed by amyloid cascades. NFTs and SPs remain the two essential criteria for AD postmortem diagnosis. Both NFTs and SPs are associated with protein aggregation disorders.⁴²

Regardless of whether the amyloid cascade and tau aggregation occur independently or successively, tau aggregation remains self-propagating and results in stable and highly neurotoxic aggregates (Figure 1b) and is also strongly correlated with cognitive decline. Therefore, pharmacological interventions aiming at the aggregation cascade itself appear as the most appropriate way to deal with NFTs, one of the most relevant AD lesions. The druggability of tau protein aggregation was first explored during the late 1990s with the repurposing of the antimalarial drug methylene blue in AD and FTD,⁴³ one derivative of which is involved in Phase III clinical trials.⁴⁴

The complexity of tau protein aggregation as a therapeutic target lays in its structural features. Physiologically, tau proteins bind to MTs in monomeric intrinsically disordered protein (IDP) structure. ⁴⁵ In AD, tau proteins separate from MTs and form different cross-β-sheet aggregate structures, leading to filamentous NFTs (Figure 1b). A classic way to explain the transition from monomeric to aggregated tau is the nucleation–elongation mechanism. The nucleation step corresponds to the formation of early small aggregated species and the elongation step corresponds to the addition of monomers leading to polymers, notably paired helical filaments (PHF). ⁴⁶–⁴⁸ The

process initiating the PHF formation remains unclear. A classic hypothesis is the prion-like processing of tau protein, in which one tau protein undergoes a conformational change on its repeat domain, followed by another tau protein, until some crucial rate of misfolded tau protein is reached. Finally, once a certain amount of misfolded tau protein is reached, they form stable oligomers.⁴⁹ Those oligomers would, by themselves, induce tau protein misfolding in nonaltered neurons. Once misfolded aggregated tau proteins begin to misfold other tau proteins in other neurons, this process would occur exponentially, leading to an 'aggregation cascade'. 50,51 One advantage of this misfolding process is that physiological and pathological tau proteins are conformationally clearly defined. This offers a therapeutic opportunity: potential tau aggregation inhibitors (TAIs) would be selective toward the pathological form of tau protein and would not bind its physiological form. Thus, the main issue with tau aggregation is its time-related behavior. As previously explained, aiming at very early tau protein aggregation or at well-defined tau protein aggregates is aiming at two completely different targets. To date, little structural information is available for the physiological conformation of tau protein and for various reduced-size tau aggregates. For early tau protein aggregates (coming from the first step of dimerization), only *in silico* models with tau protein hexapeptides are available. Besides tau protein, targeting IDP is a challenge mainly because of the presence of various conformational assemblies.⁴⁵

Early drug conception and preclinical development

Given tau time-related structuration, it is necessary to define precisely what is aimed for in TAI development to use the right assessment methodology. When it comes to aiming at early tau aggregation (first dimerization steps), few investigation methods are available. By contrast, targeting preformed tau aggregates offers a range of various assessment techniques. Here, we review the literature relating to TAI development, from early drug design to preclinical and clinical drug development from 2010 to 2020 (Figure 2).

In silico *methodologies*

Computational-aided design for TAI conception, as for any active ingredient conception, widely relies on 3D structural data [X-ray crystallography, solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), and cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM)] to predict aggregate stability-driving forces and potential hot spots for aggregation disruption.⁵²

In silico techniques can help predict both early aggregation processes (starting with a monomeric state) and complete aggregated structures (i.e., nucleated and fibril states). This discipline is suited for small molecule and peptide design. Its weakness lies in the size of the considered system, which makes *in silico* methodology almost useless for antibody or vaccine design.

However, the use of *in silico* methodologies is still useful for tau protein aggregation study. Indeed, for tau protein monomers, conformation studies are impossible at high resolution because of their IDP properties. For aggregated forms of tau, several structures of PFH6 and PHF6*, and extended PHF6 and PHF6* are available from the Protein Data Bank (PDB: 2ON9 for PHF6; 6HRE or 5O3O for extended PHF6 or PHF6*).

Recently, cryo-EM has provided advances in determining tau aggregate structures in different tauopathies (reviewed in ⁵³). In AD, Fitzpatrick *et al.* provided cryo-EM-resolved structures of different filaments sampled directly from the brains of patients with AD.⁵⁴ These showed that different isoforms of tau protein are incorporated into the filaments and that they differ compared with filaments extracted from other tauopathies.

Elucidating how the tau protein evolves from a disordered, soluble, and monomeric state to an aggregated state is one of the major challenges of AD research and could help the design of efficient curative drugs. Liu *et al*. reported an innovative way of elucidating the nucleation initiation.⁵⁵ From 16 PHF6 peptides randomly disposed in aqueous saline environment, they observed through a 1-ms molecular dynamics simulation how disordered PHF6 peptides tend to arrange together by forming parallel dimers. They provided hot spots to target for the rational design of therapeutics targeting the early aggregation process of tau protein. At the same time, Chen *et al*. used molecular dynamics simulation with a 16-residue tau-derived peptide to highlight how a mutation, P301L, influences the creation of a collapsed structure comprising PHF6.⁵⁶ Such insights provided by these types of *in silico* model is of major use for further simulations involving new potential early TAIs.

In terms of preformed aggregated structure modeling, the literature offers a range of investigations with direct applications to TAI design. *In silico* techniques provide two modalities of interest to this end: they can be used directly as a rational design support to perform structure-based drug design and to establish structure–activity relationship (SAR) studies.

In terms of peptide design, Sievers *et al.* and Pandey *et al.* used the PHF6 sequence and structure to directly design synthetic peptides able to covalently interact and prevent PHF6 aggregation, performing structure-based drug design.57,58 The PHF6 sequence and structure have also been used to study the interaction between previously designed compounds and PHF6.59,60

For small-molecule design, various research groups have used PHF6 preformed aggregates to highlight how already known small molecules are able to disrupt tau protein aggregation. $61-66$

As previously mentioned, the use of *in silico* techniques depends on the system size compatible with computer modeling. This explains why, for aggregation process modeling as well as for TAI conception, only the use of reduced models of tau protein, particularly PHF6, has been possible thus far. However, the PDBk⁶⁷ also provides models of tau proteins larger than PHF6, predominantly provided by cryo-EM techniques,53,54,68 which suggests

that it will be possible to carry out 3D simulations of potential new TAIs with more representative models of tau protein in the near future.

In vitro *methodologies*

Many investigational techniques are available for the *in vitro* assessment of potential TAIs. These can be divided into two types depending on whether they provide kinetic information or punctual structural information about tau protein aggregation.

Kinetic studies for TAI development are some of the most used proof-of-concept tools. The tau protein model for kinetic aggregation studies based on thioflavin T or S (ThT or ThS) fluorescence is the most common *in vitro* test for TAI development in the literature. It offers a fast assessment of the formation (or not) of tau protein aggregates through ThT/ThS fluorescence intensity, with ThS binding to amyloid fibrils but not monomers compared with ThT. This type of aggregation study can be used for most types of compound, including small molecules,61,62,64–66,69– 81 peptides^{57–60,82–85} or plant extracts. 86 Precaution must be taken regarding such assays. If it is a quiet suitable routine assay to set up, false positive results can arise because of potential compound or aggregation inducers, such as heparin interactions with ThT/ThS. Moreover, ThS can be used to identify tau protein insoluble aggregates in a similar way to staining methods, such as Sarkosyl extraction or Gallyas silver staining, which are widely used to study extracts from hippocampal slices, cortical sections, or animal models of AD.^{87–89} Besides fluorescence studies, isothermal titration calorimetry methodologies⁶⁶ and binding studies^{81,90} can also support TAI development for aggregation and interaction kinetic studies (Figure 2).

When developing new TAIs, structural studies highlight the formation of tau protein aggregated species. Various methodologies offer information on structural modification of tau protein models.

High-resolution methods include: (i) microscopy,^{57,59,61,62,64,66,69,71,73–75,80–83,85,86,91–94} whereby electron microscopes provide high-resolution images of punctual protein structures. For tau protein, this technique provides structure information for aggregated states (notably fibrils); (ii) NMR spectroscopy58,60,65,69,81,83,95 and solid-state NMR spectroscopy⁹⁶. Globally, NMR spectroscopy is a reference method in structural biology for the elucidation of structures that do not need any crystal acquisition; (iii) electrophoresis^{59,69,71,80,97} is a convenient and well-known technique for size and weight-based protein structure separation; and (iv) X-ray crystallography.⁹⁸ This method, combined with NMR spectroscopy, is a key method for structure elucidation. However, X-ray crystallography and NMR spectroscopy offer only a few structures of tau protein at high resolution.

Low-resolution methods include: (i) circular dichroism, $62,64,66,69,71,73-75,78,81,82,85,86,91$ a technique that uses the optical activity of protein to determine whether there is any secondary structure (helix and β -sheet), but without providing any location of the secondary structure; (ii) surface plasmon resonance (SPR),^{66,74,90,98} which uses a surface-adsorbed substrate and measures the bonding of the substrate to other entities. This can be helpful for assessing the ability of adsorbed-aggregated peptides to bond ligands and can be monitored over time; (iii) dynamic light scattering^{57,69,84} uses light intensity to assess protein (and its potential aggregate) size over time; and (iv) attenuated total reflectance Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR), 57,69 which allows secondary structure elucidation after infra-red light absorption.

Similar to kinetic studies, structural studies of small molecules,61,62,64–66,69,71,73–75,78,80,81,92,95,97 peptides,57–60,82– $85,93,94$ antibodies, $90,91,98$ or plant extracts 86 can provide evidence of their potential anti-aggregation effects (Figure 2) on either truncated or full-length tau protein.

In vitro methodologies are diverse and can provide varied information regarding the *in vivo* behavior of tau protein in the presence of potential TAIs. Compared with *in silico* methodologies, *in vitro* methodologies allow researchers to use full-length tau protein. Consequently, *in vitro* methodologies are a support to visualize potential TAI effects on more representative models of tau protein compared with *in silico* methodologies. However, truncated forms of tau protein are also widely used in *in vitro* characterization of tau protein aggregation.

In vitro methodologies represent an essential, if not a necessary, step toward TAI development to orient researchers to more advanced, more complex, and, therefore, more expensive models of tau protein aggregation: *in cellulo* and *in vivo* methodologies.

In cellulo *methodologies*

In cellulo techniques providing details on TAI effect are varied but are largely overlooked by immunological studies. Thus, we classify *in cellulo* methodologies by whether they constitute an 'immunological assay' or a 'nonimmunological assay'.

Immunological assays used in TAI development include: fluorescence-activated cell sorting,⁶⁹ immunoprecipitation assays, 99,100 immunoenzymatic assays (e.g., ELISA),59,84,91,98–¹⁰¹ immunohistochemistry assays, 59,75,80,90,91,97 immune-staining assays, 86,93,94,100,101 immunoblotting assays, 75,80,91,97 or immunoglobulin titration.⁹¹ Globally, these techniques highlight the formation (or not) of tau protein aggregates in the presence of potential TAIs. This kind of technique is suitable for most compounds, including small molecules, 69,71,74,75,78,80,81,97 peptides,57,59,85 plant extracts, ⁸⁶ vaccines,⁹¹ and antibodies (Figure 2).90,98,99,101

Studies using non-immunological assays for TAI development often refer to non-specific assays highlighting a global health cell condition, such as cytotoxicity assays,^{57,71,74,78,97,100} neuronal cultures,^{59,85,90,93,94,97–99} or imaging assays. 59,74,84,85,90,91,93,94,97,99,100 Such techniques cannot be a proof-of-concept alone for TAI development and need to be taken into consideration alongside other TAI assessments (Figure 2). A popular cellular model of aggregation developed by Holmes *et al.* helps to predict tauopathy (more precisely, seeding activity) through flow cytometry.¹⁰²

In cellulo methodologies require animal (and sometimes human) derived tissue, which is why such studies are often done jointly with *in vivo* methodologies. This methodology, as for *in vitro* methodologies, provides information on tau protein behavior in the presence of potential TAI. However, *in cellulo* methodologies also provide initials insights into the potential ability of the TAI to cross cellular barriers and take into account the complete cellular environment in terms of tau protein and TAI systems. This issue of environment-dependent behavior is vital to understand before a TAI can proceed to full *in vivo* testing.

In vivo methodologies

We classify *in vivo* methodologies on whether they reflect behavioral assessment in terms of either animal or physiology assessment.

Given that tau protein aggregation is widely neurotoxic and is strongly correlated with issues with memory, behavioral testing on animals for TAI development often relies on cognitive impairment tests, such as spontaneous alternation,¹⁰⁰ novel object recognition,¹⁰⁰ Morris water maze,^{78,85,86} or the tail-hanging test.⁷⁵ Furthermore, because tau protein aggregation also affects motor coordination, behavioral testing for TAI development also includes motor ability assessment tests, such as limb clasping⁹¹ and the Rotarod test.75,91 Behavioral and motor coordination testing is suitable for small-molecule,^{75,78,80,97} peptide,^{59,85} plant extract,⁸⁶ vaccine⁹¹ and antibody^{90,98,99,101} assessments (Figure 2).

Physiology studies for TAI development include diverse techniques, such as transgenic overexpression59,75,80,85,90,98,100,101 or wild-type tau protein studies.86,91,97,101 Globally, those techniques embrace direct and indirect drug effect assessment on animals and provide information about the response of tau protein aggregation as well as non-tau proteins to TAI. Therefore, these studies are suitable for small molecules,75,80,97 antibodies, $90,98,99,101$ peptides, 59 or plant extracts (Figure 2). 86

In vivo techniques are important in terms of proof of concept for the development of any active ingredient. However, when referring to TAI development, this kind of assessment cannot be separated from other assessment methodologies. It is also necessary to take into account the choice of the model, which is usually a transgenic mouse model,¹⁰³–¹⁰⁶ which has limitations that require practical considerations, as described by Jankowsky *et al.*¹⁰⁷

Clinical development

Compared with the preclinical landscape of TAI development, occupied by small molecules and peptides, the clinical development landscape is largely taken up by antibodies (e.g., JNJ-63733657,¹⁰⁸ BIIB076,¹⁰⁹ Lu AF87908,⁹⁰ $PNT001³¹$ gosuranemab,⁹⁸ zagotenemab and semorinemab,¹¹⁰ and ABBV-8E12¹⁰¹) and vaccines (e.g., ACI-35⁹¹ and AADvac1¹¹¹). NPT088 is the only representative of fusion proteins currently involved in a clinical trial for tau protein aggregation.¹⁰⁰ To date, only two small molecules have reached clinical trials for direct tau protein aggregation inhibition: LMTM or a Methylene Blue derivative (TauRX Therapeutics) currently in Phase III trials (Figure 2).⁹⁷

Design of new active ingredient: drug design strategies

How to design new molecules targeting tau protein aggregation with drug design tools

Based on our analysis of data concerning TAIs, we propose both a concise scheme of the drug discovery process for such compounds (Figure 3a), and a decision tree that can serve as a simplified guide for TAI development (Figure 3b).

Many different assessment techniques are available for revealing a tau aggregation-related effect. Some assessments are necessary to move a TAI through the drug discovery process. When starting with a group of potential TAI compounds, high-throughput methodologies are required to highlight activity toward tau protein aggregation. To this end, *in silico* tools provide only reduced-length tau protein models of anti-aggregation activity. In terms of *in vitro* tools, kinetic fluorescence studies using ThS/ThT are a fast and an easy-to-use technique to provide a first proof of action, as long as the potential TAI does not interact in any way with ThS/ThT. If a potential TAI interacts with ThS/ThT, then a structural study (microscopy, spectroscopy, etc.) must show evidence of tau aggregation activity before the potential TAI can be moved on to preclinical studies. Once evidence is provided of tau aggregation activity, the TAI can undergo more complex tests (*in cellulo* and *in vivo* assessments) to provide more detailed information on its mechanism of action and pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic parameters. Then, if all the parameters are encouraging (activity and nontoxicity), then the potential TAI can be moved to clinical trials (Figure 3).

Small-molecule development

We gathered structural information on small-molecule development targeting tau protein aggregation (Figure 4). Three main scaffolds emerge as scaffold-leading small-molecule designs: curcumin-based; naphthoquinone-based; and methylene blue-based derivatives.

Curcumin (Figure 4) is a compound bearing a double ketone alkenic system containing two phenolic moieties. With its two ketones on an alkene chain, curcumin exists in a keto-enol equilibrium. Pharmacomodulation of curcumin over the past decade led to a variety of analogs. All curcumin derivatives in Figure 4 went through chemical simplification with the conservation of one phenol fragment carrying a carbon chain, itself carrying a hydroxyl (usnic acid **1**⁷⁸ and resveratrol **5**⁸⁰), a carbonyl (nitrocatechol derivative **2**⁶², xanthohumol **3**, ⁸¹ oleocanthal **4**⁹⁵, Okuda's curcumin derivative **6**⁷⁶, rosmarinic acid **8**⁶¹, morin **9**⁸⁰, acridone analog **1**0, ⁷⁴ and shogaol-huprine hybrid **11**⁷⁷), or a carbonyl bioisostere imine (PE859 **7**⁷⁵). This common trait is shown in pink in Figure 4. Curcumin derivatives then went through various medicinal chemistry modulations. The whole structure of compound **1** is condensed on a dibenzofuran basis, which holds the chemical scaffold into one unique conformation. Compounds **2**, **3**, **4**, **5**, **6**, **7**, **8**, **9**, and **11** have undergone expansion of the chemical structure. Compound **2** is expanded with nitrogen entities, making it more water soluble than curcumin because of the ionizable nitrogen. Compound **4** is the product of esterification of the phenolic ethanol fragment and elenolic dialdehyde acid. Compound **8** corresponds to the dihydroxyphenylacetic acid curcumin fragment esterified by salvianic acid. Both compounds **4** and **8** are πconjugated systems but in a smaller size compared with curcumin, and their ester moiety provides them with a retarded-metabolism action. Compounds **3**, **5**, **7**, and **9** are expanded by carbon chain cyclization into either a phenol (**3**, **5**), indole (**6**), pyrazole (**7**), or benzopyranone (**9**). This modulation provides the chemical structure with a more rigid conformation and makes it more resilient to metabolism.

Polyphenolic compounds have attracted much interest through their diverse properties (particularly their antioxidant, anticancer and anti-inflammatory actions).¹¹² Given that polyphenolic compounds are able to trigger oxidative stress,¹¹³ they are now considered as potential modulators of degenerative disorders.¹¹⁴ They also appear to have a strong role as antiamyloidogenics. Polyphenolic compounds can take part in π-π stacking and H-bonding interactions with residues constituting β-sheet aggregated structures. Therefore, curcumin and its derivatives naturally constitute one of the predominant scaffolds among small molecules targeting tau protein aggregation.¹¹⁵ However, curcumin scaffold are pan-assay interference compounds (PAINS) scaffolds, 116,117 indicating that curcumin can bind covalently to proteins, chelate metals, show oxidizing-reducing activity, inhibit aggregation process, disrupt membranes, and interfere in fluorescence assays simulataneously.¹¹⁸ Thus, the activity of curcumin derivatives toward tau aggregation could also be linked to their false-positive testing behavior rather than to an authentic aggregation inhibition capacity. Several different biological evaluation processes must be performed to attribute a given activity to a real desired effect (from *in vitro* to *in vivo* testing).

The second class arising from small molecules with activity against tau aggregation are 1,4-naphthoquinonederived compounds. Naphthoquinone is an aromatic bicyclic compound derived from naphthalene. All naphthoquinone derivatives detailed in Figure 4 show structural growth but with a conserved naphthoquinone basis (morin **9**, ⁸⁰ acridone analog **10**, ⁷⁴ naphthoquinone hybrid **12**, ⁶⁴ purpurin **13**, ⁶⁶ and rhein-huprine hybrid **14**⁷⁹). This common trait is represented in orange in Figure 4. Compound **12** is a naphthoquinone entity hybridized with tryptophan through a simple carbon–nitrogen bond. Given that tryptophan is a precursor of several neurotransmitters and is able to cross the blood–brain barrier (BBB), this hybridization might result in this molecule having various capabilities in terms of physicochemical and biological properties, such as enhanced bioavailability and druggability. Compound **13** is a tricyclic molecule bearing a naphthoquinone entity with a phenol condensed on it, providing both naphthoquinone and polyphenolic capabilities on a single scaffold. Compound **14** is hybridized to an acridine Methylene Blue-based moiety through a peptide linker, which is easily removed through metabolism and which provides two entities with anti-aggregation activity. This property could be related to the multitarget-directed ligand strategy.¹¹⁹

Similar to polyphenolic compounds, this class has several properties that are well documented in human health. The first is blood coagulation, because quinone compounds are in the center of fat-soluble vitamin K structures and have a role in antiparasitic therapy¹²⁰ and osteoporosis.¹²¹ Several derivatives (benzoquinones, naphthoquinones, and anthraquinones) have proven action against amyloid fibril formation.122,123 However, similar to the curcumin scaffold, both the naphthoquinone scaffold and quinone motif are listed as PAINS scaffolds.¹¹⁷ Even though naphthoquinone derivatives appear able to interact with tau aggregates with π-π stacking and H-bond interactions,¹²⁴ their PAINS scaffolds could lead to aberrant identification of leads and, thus, crossed biological tests are required before any hit-to-lead considerations.

The third class of small molecules are perhaps the most classic with activity toward tau protein aggregation: Methylene Blue derivatives. Methylene Blue is one of only two small-molecule scaffolds to reach clinical trials in terms of direct tau aggregation inhibition. Methylene Blue is a dimethylanimophenothiazine. Its derivatives in Figure 4 were subjected to either chain expansion or hybridization. Compounds **11**, **16** (naphthyridine-chlorotacrine hybrid¹²⁵) and **14** (rhein-huprine hybrid⁷⁹) are Methylene Blue-expanded structures of acridine with a chlorotacrine moiety (compound **16**) or a naphthoquinone-based fragment rhein (compound **14**). Compound **15** (palmatine chloride⁷³) is a condensed tetracyclic structure bearing a shifted nitrogen compared with an authentic acridone scaffold.

Similar to the two small-molecule classes previously detailed, this class has other effects on human health in addition to its antiaggregation capabilities, mainly as a neuropsychiatric disorder therapy¹²⁶ and malaria therapy.¹²⁷ However, the Methylene Blue scaffold is not a PAINS compound, which might explain why it has been entered into clinical trials.

Acridone derivatives (compound **10**) are hybrids of the three chemical scaffolds previously listed: it bears a phenol conjugated to an alkene chain, such as curcumin; it carries a simplified naphthoquinone skeleton; and it comprises a tricyclic acridine scaffold, such as Methylene Blue.

Other small molecules with activity against tau aggregation represent multiple and diverse scaffolds: (i) compound **17** (phenylthiazolyl-hydrazide inhibitor⁶³), compound **20** (NO-donor thiacarbocyanine⁹²), and compound **21** (thiophene dye⁷²) are thiophene-based (**21**) or thiazoline-based scaffolds (**17** and **20**); (ii) compound **18** (depside tenuiorin⁶⁵) is a polyester structure; (iii) compound **19** (linolenic acid⁷¹) is an omega-3 fatty acid; (iv) Compound **22** (dantrolene⁷⁰) is an already-marketed structure repurposed for its involvement in multiple mechanisms against protein aggregation; and (v) compound **23** (PcTS⁶⁹) is a porphyrin, a class well known for inhibiting protein aggregation.¹²⁸

Globally, these compounds all bear either an aromatic cycle, or a pi-conjugated system. This common feature could be key for tau aggregation inhibition.

PROTACs as a new tau aggregation inhibition therapies

Proteolysis targeting chimeras (PROTACs) are bi-functional small molecules able to target one protein and one ubiquitin ligase enzyme simultaneously. By targeting these two partners at the same time, a PROTAC allows their interaction, resulting in the targeting protein to be both ubiquitinated and degraded. The PROTAC proof-of-concept was first demonstrated in 2001 by Crews, Deshaies and coworkers, with potential applications in cancer therapeutics via angiogenesis inhibition.¹²⁹ For neurodegenerative diseases, and more precisely for tau protein, PROTAC research is more recent. In 2018, Lu *et al.* designed a peptide PROTAC able to target tau protein and keap1, an ubiquitin-proteasome system target.¹³⁰ PROTACs targeting tau protein is a promising new approach for neurodegenerative therapy: low quantities of active ingredient are necessary, which is an advantage for any therapeutic molecule. However, it might encounter some pitfalls: the larger the molecule is, the more poorsolubility and permeability issues it might experience. In addition, as mentioned earlier, the tau protein shows different behaviors depending on whether it is disordered or aggregated. Thus, PROTAC molecules targeting the tau protein should be well balanced so that only its pathological form is targeted.

Key points for tau physiopathological studies

Most clinical trials that have followed the development of anti-aggregating compounds have not yielded any convincing therapeutic benefit, especially in the case of Aβ aggregation.¹³¹ Few reports have focused on the causes of these failures, with most proposing that the initial assumption, the amyloid cascade, was probably wrong. However, it is important to take advantage of this acquired experience to increase the chances of developing effective drug candidates. In particular, it is possible to make improvements from not only a technical aspect, but also in terms of understanding concepts linked to the issues surrounding such compound development. For example, the choice of appropriate methods according to the development stage of the compound and the results obtained lay the foundations of a rational approach to the design of the drug.

Cryo-EM results have shown that a molecular signature exists in the different tau protein filaments, and that they differ according to the disease in which they are involved. ¹³² In addition, tau protein monomers do not appear to have the same capacity to enroll in aggregation in terms of the environment in which they occur. ¹³³ These two concepts highlight the need to increase our understanding to develop successful approaches to treat tau protein aggregation. However, *in vitro* studies with amyloids require specific technical precautions, which often appear lacking.¹³⁴ Unwanted interference of amyloid with the experimental environment, complex isolation of the toxic species, or incomplete knowledge of amyloid physiology widely delay research advances in TAI development (Table 1). Moreover, although there are some physicochemical differences between Aβ and tau (e.g., the *in vitro* ability of Aβ to self-aggregate versus the need to add a co-factor, such as heparin or RNA for tau), the different points specified for Aß can be adapted to tau with regard to its specificity.¹³⁵ Thus, we propose leads and key points that, in our opinion, are part of the answer to the technical issues detailed in Table 1. These include complete homogenization during sample preparation rather than laboratory-related methodologies, the use of mutants instead of wild-type peptides, or multimodal analyses for interaction studies rather than monomodal ones (Table 1).

However, even by taking maximum precautions from a technical point of view, it is also necessary to bear in mind that data interpretation can be biased by many parameters (heterogeneity of samples, different populations in solution, artefacts, etc.) (Table 1). In addition, the impact of physiological parameters, such as the redox effect, should systematically be taken into account when analyzing the results, in particular because of the potential role of cysteines in tau protein aggregation.¹³⁶–¹³⁸

In terms of conceptual issues, the most important outcome is the fact that clinical trials are probably done when the disease is too advanced. This issue highlights the importance of improving the early detection of AD. This involves the precise characterization of known biomarkers, the establishment of standards to be able to collect and corroborate more data, and the addition of new biomarkers to the AD biomarker toolbox, as described by Blennow *et al*. 139,140

Moreover, it appears necessary to include the plurality of AD, whether for diagnostics development or for therapeutic approaches. As an example, early-onset AD (EOAD) has been described as having more effects on executive, visuospatial, and motor functions and displaying less memory loss compared with late-onset AD (LOAD).¹⁴¹ Given that AD is a multifactorial disease (aging, genetic alterations, biochemical and environmental factors), it appears important to not only develop a precise and early diagnostic test, but also to be able to classify different subtypes of AD according to the factors involved and to apply adapted therapeutic approaches, as recently described by Golde *et al*. ¹⁴² (Figure 5).

The last important concept to keep in mind is the amyloid aggregation itself. Hypotheses are constantly evolving regarding the species responsible for toxicity (fibers versus oligomeric species).¹³¹ Amyloid aggregation is also a complex process involving different pathways, such as the dependence ('on' pathway) or not ('off' pathway) of the formation of intermediates within the fibrillation process.¹⁴³ Thus, pharmacochemists should not look only for

inhibitors, but also modulators, of aggregation, with the objective of promoting pathways that do not lead to toxic species formation.

Concluding remarks

TAI development is a key research approach for developing effective AD and tauopathy treatments. If small compounds and peptides widely account for the early TAI development spectrum, it is clear that they fail to move into preclinical and clinical studies. So far, drug discovery for tauopathies treatment remains challenging at every development step. Early development step methodologies suffer: (i) the lack of full-length suitable 3D models for *in silico* efficient predictions; (ii) the probable interference of tested compounds with the tau protein environment rather than with the tau protein itself in *in vitro* and *in cellulo* assessments; and (iii) the multifactorial aspects of AD and tauopathies that make the effects of tested compounds difficult to attribute to a single tau aggregation stopping effect in *in vivo* assessments.

Finally, because of tau protein localization, compounds under development have to be designed that are able to cross the BBB. This is a major challenge and might be a main reason for the failure of promising drug molecules. Other early-development issues might also be plausible explanations for clinical trial failure. We have also listed several solving-problem approaches that we hope could explain the lack of *in vivo* efficacy and to upgrade compounds that might have failed before but could be modified structurally and/or in terms of their administration to become potential TAIs. In addition, we have presented conceptual issues to highlight key points of drug candidate development for therapeutic purposes in the context of AD and other tauopathies.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed to writing the manuscript and have given their approval to the final version.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge RIN FSP; this project is co-financed by the European Union, Europe is committed in Normandy with the European Regional Development Eund (framework of the ERDF-ESF operational program 2014-2020), by Normandy County Council, and by Normandie Université.

References

- 1 Spillantini MG, Goedert M. Tau pathology and neurodegeneration. *Lancet Neurology* 2013, 12 (6), 609–622.
- 2 Arendt T, Stieler JT, Holzer M. Tau and tauopathies. *Brain Research Bulletin* 2016, 126, 238–292.
- 3 Kovacs GG. Tauopathies. *Handbook of Clinical Neurology* 2018; 145: 355–368.
- 4 Oakley SS, Maina MB, Marshall KE, Al-Hilaly YK, Harrington CR, Wischik CM *et al.* Tau filament self-assembly and structure: tau as a therapeutic target. *Front. Neurol.* 2020, 11, 590754.
- 5 Weingarten MD, Lockwood AH, Hwo SY, Kirschner MW. A protein factor essential for microtubule assembly. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 1975, 72 (5), 1858–1862.
- 6 Brion J-P, Passareiro H, Nunez J, Flament-Durand J. Immunological detection of tau protein in neurofibrillary tangles of Alzheimer's disease. *Arch. Biol.* 1985, 95, 229–235.
- 7 Mandelkow E-M, Mandelkow E. Biochemistry and cell biology of tau protein in neurofibrillary degeneration. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine* 2012, 2 (7), a006247.
- 8 Bloom GS. Amyloid-β and tau: the trigger and bullet in Alzheimer disease pathogenesis. *JAMA Neurol* 2014, 71 (4), 505.
- 9 Nisbet RM, Polanco J-C, Ittner LM, Götz J. Tau aggregation and its interplay with amyloid-β. *Acta Neuropathol* 2015, 129 (2), 207–220.
- 10 Naseri NN, Wang H, Guo J, Sharma M, Luo W. The complexity of tau in Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroscience Letters* 2019, 705, 183–194.
- 11 Roberson ED, Scearce-Levie K, Palop JJ, Yan F, Cheng IH, Wu T, *et al.* Reducing endogenous tau ameliorates amyloid -induced deficits in an Alzheimer's disease mouse model. *Science* 2007, 316 (5825), 750–754.
- 12 Tatarnikova OG, Orlov MA, Bobkova NV. Beta-amyloid and tau-protein: structure, interaction, and prion-like properties. *Biochemistry Moscow* 2015, 80 (13), 1800–1819.
- 13 Goedert M, Spillantini MG. Propagation of tau aggregates. *Mol Brain* 2017, 10 (1), 18.
- 14 Laurent C, Buée L, Blum D. Tau and neuroinflammation: what impact for Alzheimer's disease and tauopathies? *Biomedical Journal* 2018, 41 (1), 21–33.
- 15 Li K, Wei Q, Liu F-F, Hu F, Xie A, Zhu L-Q, *et al.* Synaptic dysfunction in Alzheimer's disease: Aβ, tau, and epigenetic alterations. *Mol Neurobiol* 2018, 55 (4), 3021–3032.
- 16 Nizynski B, Dzwolak W, Nieznanski K. Amyloidogenesis of tau protein: tau aggregation. *Protein Science* 2017, 26 (11), 2126–2150.
- 17 Šerý O, Povová J, Míšek I, Pešák L. Janout V. Molecular mechanisms of neuropathological changes in Alzheimer's disease: a review. *fn* 2013, 1, 1–9.
- 18 Cummings J, Lee G, Zhong K, Fonseca J, Taghva K. Alzheimer's disease drug development pipeline: 2021. *Alzheimer's Dementia: Translational Research Clinical Interventions* 2021, 7 (1): XXX.
- 19 Goedert M, Wischik CM, Crowther RA, Walker JE, Klug A. Cloning and sequencing of the CDNA encoding a core protein of the paired helical filament of Alzheimer disease: identification as the microtubule-associated protein tau. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 1988, 85 (11), 4051–4055.
- 20 Lebouvier T, Damier P, Derkinderen P. Les tauopathies tauopathies. *La Lettre du Neurologue* 2006, *X* (1), 14–18.
- 21 Ganguly P, Do TD, Larini L, LaPointe NE, Sercel AJ, Shade MF, *et al.* Tau assembly: the dominant role of PHF6 (VQIVYK) in microtubule binding region repeat R3. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2015, 119 (13), 4582–4593.
- 22 Li W, Lee VM-Y. Characterization of two VQIXXK motifs for tau fibrillization *in vitro*. *Biochemistry* 2006, 45, 15692–15701.
- 23 Goedert M, Jakes R. Mutations causing neurodegenerative tauopathies. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Basis of Disease* 2005, 1739 (2–3), 240–250.
- 24 von Bergen M, Friedhoff P, Biernat J, Heberle J, Mandelkow E-M, Mandelkow E. Assembly of Tau protein into Alzheimer paired helical filaments depends on a local sequence motif (306VQIVYK311) forming beta structure. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 2000, 97 (10), 5129–5134.
- 25 Tapia-Rojas C, Cabezas-Opazo F, Deaton CA, Vergara EH, Johnson GVW, Quintanilla RA. It's all about tau. *Progress in Neurobiology* 2019, 175, 54–76.
- 26 Wang Y, Mandelkow E. Tau in physiology and pathology. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2016, 17 (1), 22–35.
- 27 Hanger DP, Anderton BH, Noble W. Tau phosphorylation: the therapeutic challenge for neurodegenerative disease. *Trends in Molecular Medicine* 2009, 15 (3), 112–119.
- 28 Brunden KR, Ballatore C, Crowe A, Smith AB, Lee VM-Y, Trojanowski JQ. Tau-directed drug discovery for Alzheimer's disease and related tauopathies: a focus on tau assembly inhibitors. *Experimental Neurology* 2010, 223 (2), 304–310.
- 29 Bulic B, Pickhardt M, Mandelkow E-M, Mandelkow E. Tau protein and tau aggregation inhibitors. *Neuropharmacology* 2010, 59 (4–5), 276– 289.
- 30 Jouanne M, Rault S, Voisin-Chiret A-S. Tau protein aggregation in Alzheimer's disease: an attractive target for the development of novel therapeutic agents. *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 2017, 139, 153–167.
- 31 Soeda Y, Takashima A. New insights into drug discovery targeting tau protein. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* 2020, 13, 590896.
- 32 Boutajangout AM, Sigurdsson EK, Krishnamurthy P. Tau as a therapeutic target for Alzheimers disease. *CAR* 2011, 8 (6), 666–677.
- 33 Lee VM-Y, Brunden KR, Hutton M, Trojanowski JQ. Developing therapeutic approaches to tau, selected kinases, and related neuronal protein targets. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine* 2011, 1 (1), a006437.
- 34 Yadikar H, Torres I, Aiello G, Kurup M, Yang Z, Lin F, Kobeissy F, Yost R, Wang KK. Screening of tau protein kinase inhibitors in a tauopathy-relevant cell-based model of tau hyperphosphorylation and oligomerization. *PLoS ONE* 2020, 15 (7), e0224952.
- 35 Xin S-H, Tan L, Cao X, Yu J-T, Tan L. Clearance of amyloid beta and tau in Alzheimer's disease: from mechanisms to therapy. *Neurotox Res* 2018, 34 (3), 733–748.
- 36 Congdon EE, Sigurdsson EM. Tau-targeting therapies for Alzheimer disease. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2018, 14 (7), 399–415.
- 37 Lasagna-Reeves CA, Castillo-Carranza DL, Jackson GR, Kayed R. Tau oligomers as potential targets for immunotherapy for Alzheimers disease and tauopathies. *CAR* 2011, 8 (6), 659–665.
- 38 Jadhav S, Avila J, Schöll M, Kovacs GG, Kövari E, Skrabana R, *et al.* A walk through tau therapeutic strategies. *Acta Neuropathol Commun* 2019, 7 (1), 22.
- 39 Madav Y, Wairkar S, Prabhakar B. Recent therapeutic strategies targeting beta amyloid and tauopathies in Alzheimer's disease. *Brain Research Bulletin* 2019, 146, 171–184.
- 40 Sandusky-Beltran LA, Sigurdsson EM. Tau immunotherapies: lessons learned, current status and future considerations. *Neuropharmacology* 2020, 175, 108104.
- 41 Maurer K, Volk S, Gerbaldo H. Auguste D and Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet* 1997, 349, 4.
- 42 Iadanza MG, Jackson MP, Hewitt EW, Ranson NA, Radford SE. A new era for understanding amyloid structures and disease. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2018, 19 (12), 755–773.
- 43 Wischik CM, Edwards PC, Lai RY, Roth M, Harrington CR. Selective inhibition of Alzheimer disease-like tau aggregation by phenothiazines. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 1996, 93 (20), 11213–11218.
- 44 Wischik CM. TauRx Global Phase 3 trial in Alzheimer's disease with tau aggregation inhibitor LMTX. *J Gerontol Geriat Res* 2014, 3(4), 47.
- 45 Kiss R, Csizmadia G, Solti K, Keresztes A, Zhu M, Pickhardt M. *et al.* Structural basis of small molecule targetability of monomeric tau protein. *ACS Chem. Neurosci.* 2018, 9 (12), 2997–3006.
- 46 Congdon E,E, Kim S, Bonchak J, Songrug T, Matzavinos A, Kuret J. Nucleation-dependent tau filament formation. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 2008, 283 (20), 13806–13816.
- 47 Friedhoff P, von Bergen M, Mandelkow E-M, Davies P, Mandelkow E. A nucleated assembly mechanism of Alzheimer paired helical filaments. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 1998, 95 (26), 15712–15717.
- 48 Luna-Viramontes NI, Campa-Córdoba BB, Ontiveros-Torres MÁ, Harrington CR, Villanueva-Fierro I, Guadarrama-Ortíz P, *et al.* PHF-core tau as the potential initiating event for tau pathology in Alzheimer's disease. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 2020, 14, 247.
- 49 Wischik CM, Storey JMD, Wischich DJ, Harrington CR. Inhibition of tau aggregation as a basis for treatment and prevention of Alzheimer's disease. In: Wolfe MS, ed. *Developing Therapeutics for Alzheimer's Disease. Progress and Challenges*. Amsterdam; Eslevier: 2016; 385–436.
- 50 Guo T, Noble W, Hanger DP. Roles of tau protein in health and disease. *Acta Neuropathol* 2017, 133 (5), 665–704.
- 51 Wischik CM, Schelter BO, Wischik DJ, Storey JMD, Harrington CR. Modeling prion-like processing of tau protein in Alzheimer's disease for pharmaceutical development. *JAD* 2018, 62 (3), 1287–1303.
- 52 Ilie I, M, Caflisch A. Simulation Studies of Amyloidogenic Polypeptides and Their Aggregates. *Chem. Rev.* 2019, 119 (12), 6956–6993.
- 53 Lippens G Gigant, B. Elucidating Tau Function and Dysfunction in the Era of Cryo-EM. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 2019, 294 (24), 9316–9325.
- 54 Fitzpatrick AWP, Falcon B, He S, Murzin AG, Murshudov G, Garringer HJ, *et al.* Cryo-EM structures of tau filaments from Alzheimer's disease. *Nature* 2017, 547 (7662), 185–190.
- 55 Liu H, Zhong H, Liu X, Zhou S, Tan S, Liu H, *et al.* Disclosing the mechanism of spontaneous aggregation and template-induced misfolding of the key hexapeptide (PHF6) of tau protein based on molecular dynamics simulation. *ACS Chem. Neurosci.* 2019, 10 (12), 4810–4823.
- 56 Chen D, Drombosky KW, Hou Z, Sari L, Kashmer OM, Ryder BD, *et al.* Tau local structure shields an amyloid-forming motif and controls aggregation propensity. *Nat Commun* 2019, 10 (1), 2493.
- 57 Pandey G, Morla S, Kumar S, Ramakrishnan V. Modulation of tau protein aggregation using 'Trojan' sequences. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - General Subjects* 2020, 1864 (7), 129569.
- 58 Sievers SA, Karanicolas J, Chang HW, Zhao A, Jiang L, Zirafi O, *et al.* Structure-based design of non-natural amino-acid inhibitors of amyloid fibril formation. *Nature* 2011, 475 (7354), 96–100.
- 59 Zhang X, Zhang X, Zhong M, Zhao P, Guo C, L, Y, *et al.* Selection of a D-enantiomeric peptide specifically binding to PHF6 for inhibiting tau aggregation in transgenic mice. *ACS Chem. Neurosci.* 2020, 11 (24), 4240–4253.
- 60 Zheng J, Liu C, Sawaya MR, Vadla B, Khan S, Woods RJ, *et al.* Macrocyclic β-sheet peptides that inhibit the aggregation of a tau-proteinderived hexapeptide. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011, 133 (9), 3144–3157.
- 61 Cornejo A, Aguilar Sandoval F, Caballero L, Machuca L, Muñoz P, Caballero J, *et al.* Rosmarinic Acid prevents fibrillization and diminishes vibrational modes associated to β sheet in tau protein linked to Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Enzyme Inhibition and Medicinal Chemistry* 2017, 32 (1), 945–953.
- 62 Mohamed T, Hoang T, Jelokhani-Niaraki M, Rao PPN. Tau-derived-hexapeptide ³⁰⁶ VQIVYK ³¹¹ aggregation inhibitors: nitrocatechol moiety as a pharmacophore in drug design. *ACS Chem. Neurosci.* 2013, 4 (12), 1559–1570.
- 63 Moreno-Castillo E, Álvarez-Ginarte YM, Valdés-Tresanco ME, Montero-Cabrera LA, Moreno E, Valiente PA. Understanding the disrupting mechanism of the tau aggregation motif ³⁰⁶ VQIVYK ³¹¹' by phenylthiazolyl-hydrazides inhibitors. *J Mol Recognit* 2020, e2848.
- 64 Paul A, Viswanathan GK, Mahapatra S, Balboni G, Pacifico S, Gazit E, *et al.* Antagonistic activity of naphthoquinone-based hybrids toward amyloids associated with Alzheimer's disease and type-2 diabetes. *ACS Chem. Neurosci.* 2019, 10 (8), 3510–3520.
- 65 Salgado F, Caballero J, Vargas R, Cornejo A, Areche C. Continental and Antarctic lichens: isolation, identification and molecular modeling of the depside tenuiorin from the Antarctic Lichen *Umbilicaria Antarctica* as tau protein inhibitor. *Natural Product Research* 2018, 34 (5), 646–650.
- 66 Viswanathan GK, Shwartz D, Losev Y, Arad E, Shemesh C, Pichinuk E, *et al.* Purpurin modulates tau-derived VQIVYK fibrillization and ameliorates Alzheimer's disease-like symptoms in animal model. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 2019, 77, 2795–2813.
- 67 Berman HM, Westbrook J, Feng Z, Gilliland G, Bhat TN, Weissig H, *et al.* The Protein Data Bank. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2000, 28 (1), 235–242.
- 68 Zhang W, Falcon B, Murzin AG, Fan J, Crowther RA, Goedert M *et al.* Heparin-induced tau filaments are polymorphic and differ from those in Alzheimer's and Pick's diseases. *eLife* 2019, 8, e43584.
- 69 Akoury E, Gajda M, Pickhardt M, Biernat J, Soraya P, Griesinger C, *et al.* Inhibition of tau filament formation by conformational modulation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, 135 (7), 2853–2862.
- 70 Bolognino I, Giangregorio N, Pisani L, de Candia M, Purgatorio R, Tonazzi A, *et al.* A prospective repurposing of dantrolene as a multitarget agent for Alzheimer's disease. *Molecules* 2019, 24 (23), 4298.
- 71 Desale SE, Dubey T, Chinnathambi S. α-linolenic acid inhibits tau aggregation and modulates tau conformation. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules* 2020, 166, 687–693.
- 72 Fuse S, Matsumura K, Fujita Y, Sugimoto H, Takahashi T. Development of dual targeting inhibitors against aggregations of amyloid-β and tau protein. *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 2014, 85, 228–234.
- 73 Haj E, Losev Y, Guru KrishnaKumar V, Pichinuk E, Engel H, Raveh A, *et al.* Integrating *in vitro* and in silico approaches to evaluate the 'dual functionality' of palmatine chloride in inhibiting and disassembling tau-derived VQIVYK peptide fibrils. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - General Subjects* 2018, 1862 (7), 1565–1575.
- 74 Lv P, Xia C-L, Wang N, Liu Z-Q, Huang Z-S, Huang S-L. Synthesis and evaluation of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1-acridone analogues as potential dual inhibitors for amyloid-beta and tau aggregation. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry* 2018, 26 (16), 4693–4705.
- 75 Okuda M, Hijikuro I, Fujita Y, Wu X, Nakayama S, Sakata Y, *et al.* PE859, a novel tau aggregation inhibitor, reduces aggregated tau and prevents onset and progression of neural dysfunction *in vivo*. *PLoS ONE* 2015, 10 (2), e0117511.
- 76 Okuda M, Hijikuro I, Fujita Y, Teruya T, Kawakami H, Takahashi T, *et al.* Design and synthesis of curcumin derivatives as tau and amyloid β dual aggregation inhibitors. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters* 2016, 26 (20), 5024–5028.
- 77 Pérez-Areales FJ, Di Pietro O, Espargaró A, Vallverdú-Queralt A, Galdeano C, Ragusa IM, *et al.* Shogaol–huprine hybrids: dual antioxidant and anticholinesterase agents with β-amyloid and tau anti-aggregating properties. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry* 2014, 22 (19), 5298– 5307.
- 78 Shi C-J, Peng W, Zhao J-H, Yang H-L, Qu L-L, Wang C, *et al.* Usnic acid derivatives as tau-aggregation and neuroinflammation inhibitors. *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 2020, 187, 111961.
- 79 Viayna E, Sola I, Bartolini M, De Simone A, Tapia-Rojas C, Serrano F,G, *et al.* Synthesis and multitarget biological profiling of a novel family of rhein derivatives as disease-modifying anti-Alzheimer agents. *J. Med. Chem.* 2014, 57 (6), 2549–2567.
- 80 Yu KC, Kwan P, Cheung SKK, Ho A, Baum L. Effects of resveratrol and morin on insoluble tau in tau transgenic mice. *Translational Neuroscience* 2018, 9 (1), 54–60.
- 81 Zhang M, Wu Q, Yao X, Zhao J, Zhong W, Liu Q, *et al.* Xanthohumol inhibits tau protein aggregation and protects cells against tau aggregates. *Food Funct.* 2019, 10 (12), 7865–7874.
- 82 Frenkel-Pinter M, Richman M, Belostozky A, Abu-Mokh A, Gazit E, Rahimipour S, *et al.* Selective inhibition of aggregation and toxicity of a tau-derived peptide using its glycosylated analogues. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2016, 22 (17), 5945–5952.
- 83 Wang CK, Northfield SE, Huang Y-H, Ramos MC, Craik DJ. Inhibition of tau aggregation using a naturally-occurring cyclic peptide scaffold. *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 2016, 109, 342–349.
- 84 Dammers C, Yolcu D, Kukuk L, Willbold D, Pickhardt M, Mandelkow E, et al. Selection and characterization of tau binding p-enantiomeric peptides with potential for therapy of Alzheimer disease. *PLoS ONE* 2016, 11 (12), e0167432.
- 85 Belostozky A, Richman M, Lisniansky E, Tovchygrechko A, Chill JH, Rahimipour S. Inhibition of tau-derived hexapeptide aggregation and toxicity by a self-assembled cyclic D,L-α-peptide conformational inhibitor. *Chem. Commun.* 2018, 54 (47), 5980–5983.
- 86 Snow AD, Castillo GM, Nguyen BP, Choi PY, Cummings JA, Cam J, *et al.* The Amazon Rain Forest plant *Uncaria tomentosa* (cat's claw) and its specific proanthocyanidin constituents are potent inhibitors and reducers of both brain plaques and tangles. *Sci Rep* 2019, 9 (1), 561.
- 87 Sun A, Nguyen XV, Bing G. Comparative analysis of an improved thioflavin-S stain, Gallyas silver stain, and immunohistochemistry for neurofibrillary tangle demonstration on the same sections. *J Histochem Cytochem.* 2002, 50 (4), 463–472.
- 88 Uchihara T, Shibuya K, Nakamura A, Yagishita S. Silver stains distinguish tau-positive structures in corticobasal degeneration/progressive supranuclear palsy and in Alzheimer's disease: comparison between Gallyas and Campbell-Switzer methods. *Acta Neuropathol* 2005, 109 (3), 299–305.
- 89 Ren Y, Sahara N. Characteristics of tau oligomers. *Front. Neurol.* 2013, 4.
- 90 Rosenqvist N, Asuni AA, Andersson CR, Christensen S, Daechsel JA, Egebjerg J, *et al.* Highly specific and selective anti‐pS396‐tau Antibody C10.2 targets seeding‐competent tau. *Alzheimer's & Dementia: Translational Research & Clinical Interventions* 2018, 4 (1), 521– 534.
- 91 Theunis C, Crespo-Biel N, Gafner V, Pihlgren M, López-Deber MP, Reis P, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of a liposome-based vaccine against protein tau, assessed in Tau.P301L mice that model tauopathy. *PLoS ONE* 2013, 8 (8), e72301.
- 92 Chegaev K, Federico A, Marini E, Rolando B, Fruttero R, Morbin M, *et al.* NO-donor thiacarbocyanines as multifunctional agents for Alzheimer's disease. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry* 2015, 23 (15), 4688–4698.
- 93 Seidler PM, Boyer DR, Rodriguez JA, Sawaya MR, Cascio D, Murray K, *et al.* Structure-based inhibitors of tau aggregation. *Nature Chem* 2018, 10 (2), 170–176.
- 94 Seidler PM, Boyer DR, Murray KA, Yang TP, Bentzel M, Sawaya MR, *et al.* Structure-based inhibitors halt prion-like seeding by Alzheimer's disease-and tauopathy-derived brain tissue samples. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2019, 294 (44), 16451–16464.
- 95 Monti MC, Margarucci L, Tosco A, Riccio R, Casapullo A. New insights on the interaction mechanism between tau protein and oleocanthal, an extra-virgin olive-oil bioactive component. *Food Funct.* 2011, 2 (7), 423.
- 96 Savastano A, Jaipuria G, Andreas L, Mandelkow E, Zweckstetter M. Solid-state NMR investigation of the involvement of the P2 region in tau amyloid fibrils. *Sci Rep* 2020, 10 (1), 21210.
- 97 Riedel G, Klein J, Niewiadomska G, Kondak C, Schwab K, Lauer D, *et al.* Mechanisms of anticholinesterase interference with tau aggregation inhibitor activity in a tau-transgenic mouse model. *CAR* 2020, 17 (3), 285–296.
- 98 Sopko R, Golonzhka O, Arndt J, Quan C, Czerkowicz J, Cameron A, *et al.* Characterization of Tau binding by gosuranemab. *Neurobiology of Disease* 2020, 146, 105120.
- 99 Yanamandra K, Jiang H, Mahan TE, Maloney SE, Wozniak F, Diamond MI, et al. Anti-tau antibody reduces insoluble tau and decreases brain atrophy. *Ann Clin Transl Neurol* 2015, 2 (3), 278–288.
- 100 Levenson JM, Schroeter S, Carroll JC, Cullen V, Asp E, Proschitsky M, *et al.* NPT088 reduces both amyloid-β and tau pathologies in transgenic mice. *Alzheimer's & Dementia: Translational Research & Clinical Interventions* 2016, 2 (3), 141–155.
- 101 West T, Hu Y, Verghese PB, Bateman RJ, Braunstein JB, Fogelman I, *et al.* Preclinical and clinical development of ABBV-8E12, a humanized anti-tau antibody, for treatment of Alzheimer's disease and other tauopathies. J Prev Alz Dis 2017, 4 (4), 236-241.
- 102 Holmes BB, Furman JL, Mahan TE, Yamasaki TR, Mirbaha H, Eades WC, *et al.* Proteopathic tau seeding predicts tauopathy *in vivo*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 2014, 111 (41), E4376–E4385.
- 103 Götz J, Chen F, Barmettler R, Nitsch RM. Tau filament formation in transgenic mice expressing P301L tau. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 2001, 276 (1), 529–534.
- 104 Morgan D, Munireddy S, Alamed J, DeLeon J, Diamond DM, Bickford P, *et al.* Apparent behavioral benefits of tau overexpression in P301L tau transgenic mice. *JAD* 2008, 15 (4), 605–614.
- 105 Yamada K, Cirrito JR, Stewart FR, Jiang H, Finn MB, Holmes BB, *et al. In vivo* microdialysis reveals age-dependent decrease of brain interstitial fluid tau levels in P301S human tau transgenic mice. *Journal of Neuroscience* 2011, 31 (37), 13110–13117.
- 106 Ahmed Z, Cooper J, Murray TK, Garn K, McNaughton E, Clarke H, *et al.* A novel *in vivo* model of tau propagation with rapid and progressive neurofibrillary tangle pathology: the pattern of spread is determined by connectivity, not proximity. *Acta Neuropathol* 2014, 127 (5), 667–683.
- 107 Jankowsky JL, Zheng H. Practical considerations for choosing a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Mol Neurodegeneration* 2017, 12 (1), 89.
- 108 Galpern WR, Mercken M, Van Kolen K, Timmers M, Haeverans K, Janssens L, *et al.* P1-052: A single ascending dose study to evaluate the safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics of the anti-phospho-tau antibody JNJ-63733657 in healthy subjects. *Alzheimer's & Dementia* 2019, 15, P252–P253.
- 109 Czerkowicz J, Chen W, Wang Q, Shen C, Wager C, Stone I, *et al.* P4-039: pan-tau antibody BIIB076 exhibits promising safety and biomarker profile in cynomolgus monkey toxicity study. *Alzheimer's & Dementia* 2017, 13 (7S_Part_26), P1271.
- 110 Vaz M, Silvestre S. Alzheimer's disease: recent treatment strategies. *European Journal of Pharmacology* 2020, 887, 173554.
- 111 Novak P, Zilka N, Zilkova M, Kovacech B, Skrabana R, Ondrus M, *et al.* AADvac1, an active immunotherapy for Alzheimer's disease and non Alzheimer tauopathies: an overview of preclinical and clinical development. *J Prev Alz Dis* 2018, 6, 63-69.
- 112 Cardona F, Andrés-Lacueva C, Tulipani S, Tinahones FJ, Queipo-Ortuño MI. Benefits of polyphenols on gut microbiota and implications in human health. *The Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry* 2013, 24 (8), 1415–1422.
- 113 Renaud J, Martinoli M-G. Considerations for the use of polyphenols as therapies in neurodegenerative diseases. *IJMS* 2019, 20 (8), 1883.
- 114 Figueira I, Menezes R, Macedo D, Costa I, dos Santos CN. Polyphenols beyond barriers: a glimpse into the brain. *CN* 2017, 15 (4), 562–594. 115 Rane JS, Bhaumik P, Panda D. Curcumin inhibits tau aggregation and disintegrates preformed tau filaments *in vitro*. *JAD* 2017, 60 (3), 999–1014.
- 116 Baell J, Walters MA. Chemistry: chemical con artists foil drug discovery. *Nature* 2014, 513 (7519), 481–483.
- 117 Baell JB. Feeling Nature's PAINS: natural products, natural product drugs, and pan assay interference compounds (PAINS). *J. Nat. Prod.* 2016, 79 (3), 616–628.
- 118 Nelson KM, Dahlin JL, Bisson J, Graham J, Pauli GF, Walters MA. The essential medicinal chemistry of curcumin: miniperspective. *J. Med. Chem.* 2017, 60 (5), 1620–1637.
- 119 Alcaro S. Multi-target-directed ligands (MTDL) as challenging research tools in drug discovery: from design to pharmacological evaluation. *Frontiers in Chemistry* 2019, 7, 2.
- 120 Jentzsch J, Koko WS, Al Nasr IS, Khan TA, Schobert R, Ersfeld, K. *et al.* New antiparasitic bis‐naphthoquinone derivatives. *Chem Biodivers.* 2020, 17 (2): e1900597.
- 121 Palermo A, Tuccinardi D, D'Onofrio L, Watanabe M, Maggi D, Maurizi AR, *et al.* Vitamin K and osteoporosis: myth or reality? *Metabolism* 2017, 70, 57–71.
- 122 Pickhardt M, Gazova Z, von Bergen M, Khlistunova I, Wang Y, Hascher A, *et al.* Anthraquinones inhibit tau aggregation and dissolve Alzheimer's paired helical filaments *in vitro* and in cells. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 2005, 280 (5), 3628–3635.
- 123 Gong H, He Z, Peng A, Zhang X, Cheng B, Sun Y, *et al.* Effects of several quinones on insulin aggregation. *Sci Rep* 2015, 4 (1), 5648.
- 124 Convertino M, Pellarin R, Catto M, Carotti A, Caflisch A. 9,10-Anthraquinone hinders β-aggregation: how does a small molecule interfere with Aβ-peptide amyloid fibrillation? *Protein Science* 2009, NA-NA.
- 125 Di Pietro O, Pérez-Areales FJ, Juárez-Jiménez J, Espargaró A, Clos MV, Pérez B, *et al.* Tetrahydrobenzonaphthyridine-6-chlorotacrine hybrids as a new family of anti-Alzheimer agents targeting β-amyloid, tau, and cholinesterase pathologies. *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 2014, 84, 107–117.
- 126 Fenn AM, Skendelas JP, Moussa DN, Muccigrosso MM, Popovich PG, Lifshitz J, *et al.* Methylene blue attenuates traumatic brain injuryassociated neuroinflammation and acute depressive-like behavior in mice. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 2015, 32 (2), 127–138.
- 127 Müller O, Lu G, Jahn A, Mockenhaupt F-P. How worthwhile is methylene blue as a treatment of malaria? *Expert Review of Anti-infective Therapy* 2019, 17 (7), 471–473.
- 128 Taniguchi S, Suzuki N, Masuda M, Hisanaga S, Iwatsubo T, Goedert M, *et al.* Inhibition of heparin-induced tau filament formation by phenothiazines, polyphenols, and porphyrins. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 2005, 280 (9), 7614–7623.
- 129 Sakamoto KM, Kim KB, Kumagai A, Mercurio F, Crews CM, Deshaies RJ. Protacs: chimeric molecules that target proteins to the Skp1- Cullin-F Box complex for ubiquitination and degradation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2001, 98 (15), 8554–8559.
- 130 Lu M, Liu T, Jiao Q, Ji J, Tao M, Liu Y, *et al.* Discovery of a Keap1-dependent peptide PROTAC to knockdown tau by ubiquitinationproteasome degradation pathway. *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 2018, 146, 251-259.
- 131 Morris GP, Clark IA, Visse, B. Inconsistencies and controversies surrounding the amyloid hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease. 2014, 8 (2), 135.
- 132 Shi Y, Zhang W, Yang Y, Murzin AG, Falcon B, Kotecha A, *et al.* Structure-based classification of tauopathies. *Nature* 2021, 598*,* 359–363.
- 134 Doig AJ, del Castillo-Frias MP, Berthoumieu O, Tarus B, Nasica-Labouze J, Sterpone F, *et al.* Why is research on amyloid-β failing to give new drugs for Alzheimer's disease? *ACS Chem. Neurosci.* 2017, 8 (7), 1435–1437.
- 135 Fichou Y, Oberholtzer ZR, Ngo H, Cheng C-Y, Keller TJ, Eschmann NA, *et al.* Tau-cofactor complexes as building blocks of tau fibrils. *Front. Neurosci.* 2019, 13, 1339.
- 136 Soeda Y, Yoshikawa M, Almeida OFX, Sumiok, A, Maeda S, Osada H, *et al.* Toxic tau oligomer formation blocked by capping of cysteine residues with 1,2-dihydroxybenzene groups. *Nat Commun* 2015, 6 (1), 10216.
- 137 Karikari TK, Thomas R, Moffat KG. The C291R tau variant forms different types of protofibrils. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* 2020, 13, 39.
- 138 Prifti E, Tsakiri EN, Vourkou E, Stamatakis G, Samiotaki M, Papanikolopoulou K. The two cysteines of tau protein are functionally distinct and contribute differentially to its pathogenicity *in vivo*. *J. Neurosci.* 2021, 41 (4), 797–810.
- 139 Blennow K, Zetterberg H. Biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease: current status and prospects for the future. *J Intern Med* 2018, 284 (6), 643– 663.
- 140 Weller J, Budson A. Current understanding of Alzheimer's disease diagnosis and treatment. *F1000Res* 2018, 7, 1161.
- 141 Tellechea P, Pujol N, Esteve-Belloch P, Echeveste B, García-Eulate MR, Arbizu J, *et al.* Early- and late-onset Alzheimer disease: are they the same entity? *Neurología* 2018, 33 (4), 244–253.
- 142 Golde TE, DeKosky ST, Galasko D. Alzheimer's disease: the right drug, the right time. *Science* 2018, 362 (6420), 1250–1251.
- 143 Henry S, Vignaud H, Bobo C, Decossas M, Lambert O, Harte E, *et al.* Interaction of Aβ 1–⁴² amyloids with lipids promotes 'off-pathway' oligomerization and membrane damage. *Biomacromolecules* 2015, 16 (3), 944–950.

Figure 1. Title. **(a)** Tau protein structure. **(b)** Tau protein aggregation general mechanism.

Figure 2. Overview of tau aggregation inhibitors (TAIs) detailed in the literature between 2010 and 2020. **(a–c)** Methodologies used to characterize a potential TAI. (d) TAIs described in the literature^{57–66,69–86,92–95,99,125}, classified by their type and by how they were characterized if known [refer to the symbol color related to colors used in (a–c)]. When there is no information on the characterization method, the symbol was kept in black.

Figure 3. Title. **(a)** Drug discovery process steps. **(b)** Decision tree for TAI development. Abbreviations: ThS, thioflavin S; ThT, thioflavin T.

Figure 4. Summary of small molecules with a direct inhibitory action of tau protein aggregation published between 2010 and 2020.^{57,59–62,67–79,87,90,112}

Figure 5. 'A framework for selecting the right time for the right drug'. Adapted from ¹⁴².

Table 1. Technical and conceptual issues associated with an amyloid therapeutic approach^a

aAbbreviations: AFM, atomic force microscopy; DLS, dynamic light scattering; ITC, isothermal titration calorimetry.

Early drug conception and preclinical development
 Early drug conception and preclinical development
 Clinical development
 Clinical development
 Clinical development

■ Fusion protein ▲ Vaccine → Small molecule → Peptide → Plant extract

and **Registration Dossier**

Probability of therapy success

