

Definition of Virological Endpoints Improving the Design of HIV Cure Strategies Using Analytical Antiretroviral Treatment Interruption

Marie Alexandre, Mélanie Prague, Edouard Lhomme, Jean-Daniel Lelièvre,

Linda Wittkop, Laura Richert, Yves Lévy, Rodolphe Thiébaut

To cite this version:

Marie Alexandre, Mélanie Prague, Edouard Lhomme, Jean-Daniel Lelièvre, Linda Wittkop, et al.. Definition of Virological Endpoints Improving the Design of HIV Cure Strategies Using Analytical Antiretroviral Treatment Interruption. Clinical Infectious Diseases, 2024, 79 (6), pp.1447-1457. $10.1093/\text{cid}/\text{ciae}235$. hal-04843977

HAL Id: hal-04843977 <https://hal.science/hal-04843977v1>

Submitted on 17 Dec 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Definition of Virological Endpoints Improving the Design of Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) Cure Strategies Using Analytical Antiretroviral Treatment Interruption

Marie Alexandre[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2403-0960) ^{1,2,a,®} Mélanie Prague, ^{1,2,a,®} Edouard Lhomme, ^{1,2,3} Jean-Daniel Lelièvre, ^{2,4,5,®} Linda Wittkop, ^{1,2,3,®} Laura Richert[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5235-3962)^{1,2,3,®} Yves Lévy,^{2,4,5,®} and Rodolphe Thiébaut^{1,2,3,®}

¹Department of Public Health, University of Bordeaux, Inserm U1219 Bordeaux Population Health Research Center, Inria Statistics in Systems Biology and Translational Medicine (SISTM), Bordeaux, France; ²Vaccine Research Institute, Créteil, France; ³Department of Medical information, CHU Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France; ⁴Inserm U955, Créteil, France; and ⁵AP-HP, Hôpital Henri-Mondor Albert-Chenevier, Service d'Immunologie Clinique et Maladies Infectieuses, Créteil, France

Background. Analytical treatment interruption (ATI) is the gold standard in HIV research for assessing the capability of new therapeutic strategies to control viremia without antiretroviral treatment (ART). The viral setpoint is commonly used as endpoint to evaluate their efficacy. However, in line with recommendations from a consensus meeting, to minimize the risk of increased viremia without ART, trials often implement short ATI phases and stringent virological ART restart criteria. This approach can limit the accurate observation of the setpoint.

Methods. We analyzed viral dynamics in 235 people with HIV from 3 trials, examining virological criteria during ATI phases. Time-related (eg time to rebound, peak, and setpoint) and viral load magnitude–related criteria (peak, setpoint, and time-averaged AUC [nAUC]) were described. Spearman correlations were analyzed to identify (1) surrogate endpoints for setpoint and (2) optimal virological ART restart criteria mitigating the risks of ART interruption and the evaluation of viral control.

Results. Comparison of virological criteria between trials showed strong dependencies on ATI design. Similar correlations were found across trials, with nAUC the most strongly correlated with the setpoint, with correlations >0.70. A threshold >100 000 copies/mL for 2 consecutive measures is requested as a virological ART restart criterion.

Conclusions. Our results are in line with recommendations and emphasize the benefits of an ATI phase >12 weeks, with regular monitoring, and a virological ART restart criterion of 10 000 copies/mL to limit the risk for patients while capturing enough information to keep nAUC as an optimal proxy to the setpoint.

Keywords. analytical treatment interruption; clinical trials; antiretroviral treatment; HIV cure; virological endpoint.

Antiretroviral treatment (ART) interruption is still considered in the evaluation of strategies such as immunotherapies or therapeutic vaccines [1] aimed to control human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) replication without ART [2–7]. While accepted in a context of clinical trials involving people with HIV (PWH) [8, 9], treatment interruption should be carefully monitored and several recommendations have been proposed to limit risks, in terms of mortality, disease progression, HIV transmission, and emergence of drug resistance [10, 11]. These recommendations led to the development of analytical treatment interruptions (ATIs) [10]. Therefore, it is of the utmost

Clinical Infectious Diseases®

<https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciae235>

importance to optimize the design of ATI trials to maximize information while minimizing risk exposure [12]. As recently published in a consensus paper [10] and largely discussed [12–16], there is an agreement that the design, choice of study endpoints, and ART restart criteria highly depend on the study objectives. Specifically, ATIs can be used either to demonstrate the properties of strategies to (1) cure HIV by evaluating their efficacy in delaying HIV rebound $[17, 18]$ or (2) control viral replication without ART by quantifying their ability to reduce viral setpoint or to generate post-treatment controllers [19]. Consequently, 2 types of criteria are usually considered as virological endpoints, each associated with an objective: the time to viral rebound (TTR) and the setpoint (ie, the relatively stable level of HIV RNA) after rebound [10, 20], respectively. As recently studied [12], when the outcome of interest is the TTR, the viral load (VL) threshold for ART restart can be set low to limit risks (eg 1000 copies/mL). However, it could impair the assessment of viral replication control as some elites and post-treatment controllers might not be identified [5, 19, 21]. On the other hand, studies using setpoint as an endpoint require longer ATI periods with higher thresholds for ART restart, leading to an increased risk of HIV transmission and

Received 26 January 2024; editorial decision 13 April 2024; published online 31 May 2024 ^aM. A. and M. P. contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence: R. Thiébaut, Univ. Bordeaux, Department of Public Health, Inserm BPH, Inria SISTM, 146 Rue Leo Saignat, 33076 Bordeaux, France [\(rodolphe.thiebaut@u-bordeaux.fr](mailto:rodolphe.thiebaut@u-bordeaux.fr)).

[©] The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For commercial re-use, please contact reprints@oup.com for reprints and translation rights for reprints. All other permissions can be obtained through our RightsLink service via the Permissions link on the article page on our site—for further information please contact journals.permissions@oup.com.

side effects. Thus, while TTR could be easily determined in ATI studies, assessing the viral replication setpoint, a proxy of viral rebound control, remains challenging. Therefore, establishing optimal ATI phase designs for setpoint studies that mitigate the risks associated with treatment interruption and viral control assessment is essential.

In the present study, we analyzed several virological parameters of viral rebound kinetics during ATI in different clinical trials of immunotherapeutics in chronic PWH. This study had a double objective. The first was to identify potential surrogate endpoints predictive of viral setpoints. The second was to validate the recommendations of a consensus meeting [10] on setpoint studies, about the duration of ATI, sampling frequency, and VL threshold for ART restart criteria.

METHODS

Clinical Trial Data

This study included individual data from participants involved in 3 different trials on PWH, including 2 therapeutic vaccine trials —(1) the VRI02 ANRS 149 LIGHT trial [22] (NCT01492985, and labeled LIGHT) and (2) the ANRS/VRI DALIA trial [23– 25] (NCT00796770, and labeled DALIA)—and 1 trial testing an interleukin 2 (IL-2)–immunotherapeutic strategy, the ANRS 118 ILIADE trial [26] (NCT00071890, and labeled ILIADE). All trials comprised an ATI phase to evaluate the virological efficacy of these strategies in maintaining low viral replication after ART interruption. As described in Figure 1, participants were involved in a 12-week ATI phase in LIGHT, a 24-week ATI phase in DALIA, and a 48-week ATI phase in ILIADE, with VL measurements performed every 2 weeks, 1 to 4 weeks, and 8 weeks, respectively. A full description of these 3 trials, including study design, VL-based ART restart criteria, study endpoints, and ethic statements can be found in the [Supplementary Materials](http://academic.oup.com/cid/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/cid/ciae235#supplementary-data) *Methods: Clinical trial data*. See [Supplementary Figure 2](http://academic.oup.com/cid/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/cid/ciae235#supplementary-data) for flowcharts of participants in this study.

Definition of Virological Criteria

The virological data collected in the aforementioned studies were analyzed considering 8 criteria summarizing viral rebound dynamics during ATI. These criteria were selected from those most frequently used as virological endpoints in ATI studies [5, 10, 19, 22, 27] or extensively investigated in similar statistical studies [12, 13, 16, 17, 28]. Specifically, we included 3 criteria describing the VL magnitude: (1) peak VL, (2) setpoint, and (3) time-averaged area under the curve (nAUC) (ie, AUC divided by the duration of ATI phase). A normalized version of the AUC was used to adjust for ATI duration and to be comparable between participants. We also defined temporal criteria associated with time-related outcomes: (1) TTR, (2) slope of viral rebound, (3) time to peak VL, (4) time to setpoint, (5) time to different VL thresholds (ie, 200, 1000, 10 000, and 100 000 copies/mL). See Table 1 and Figure 2*A* for a full definition of these criteria.

Identification of the Optimal Virological Criterion to Be Used as a Primary Endpoint

We sought to identify the optimal criterion summarizing viral dynamics that could be used as a proxy for the setpoint, meaning highly associated with the setpoint, and whose observation is less influenced by the duration of the ATI phase.

In each trial, placebo and intervention groups were pooled together to increase statistical power (see [Supplementary](http://academic.oup.com/cid/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/cid/ciae235#supplementary-data) [Materials](http://academic.oup.com/cid/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/cid/ciae235#supplementary-data) *Methods: Analysis of virological data at group level* for more explanation and analysis), and all analyses were performed at the trial level (ie, LIGHT/ILIADE/DALIA). First, a descriptive/comparative analysis of virological criteria was conducted to identify how they are affected by ATI designs. Second, Spearman correlations between criteria were evaluated and compared between trials to (1) determine how the ATI designs affect correlation patterns and (2) identify the criterion most strongly associated with the setpoint. Correlations between virological criteria and immunological features presented in [Supplementary Table 1](http://academic.oup.com/cid/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/cid/ciae235#supplementary-data) were also evaluated. See [Supplementary Materials](http://academic.oup.com/cid/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/cid/ciae235#supplementary-data) *Methods: Statistical analysis* for more details about statistical analysis.

Assessment of the Choice of Virological Restart ART Criteria on the Evaluation of the Virological Endpoint

To limit the risk for participants during the ATI phase, ART restart criteria are provided in protocols. These criteria describe conditions under which it is vital for a participant to restart ART before the end of the ATI phase. From ART restart, censoring of follow-up is considered due to strategy discontinuation and virological measurements are treated as missing for the evaluation of virological criteria. Although ART restart criteria can have a strong impact on virological endpoints, no real consensus has been reached about their definition [14]. In this work, we focused on virological ART restart criteria defined as the VL threshold above which participants have to resume ART —named, VL upper-threshold thereafter—and which induce the study of right-censored viral dynamics (Figure 2*B*).

We investigated the impact of VL upper-thresholds on the association between the setpoint and nAUC in the 3 trials. Three commonly considered VL upper-thresholds were investigated: 10 000, 50 000, and 100 000 copies/mL [10, 13, 19]. To this end, Spearman correlations were studied between the setpoint estimated on complete data and the nAUC calculated on right-censored viral dynamics, labeled right-censored nAUC (Figure 2*B*). Moreover, we investigated the need for a confirmation measure before any ART resumption. Therefore, correlations between the setpoint and the nAUC were evaluated considering censoring of follow-up once the VL upper-threshold has been exceeded either for (1) a single or (2) 2 consecutive viral measures.

Figure 1. Study designs during the ATI phase of HIV therapeutic vaccine trials analyzed. *A*, LIGHT trial. At week 36 post-inclusion (labeled Week 0 here), the 87 participants (31 placebo and 56 vaccinated) interrupted ART for 12 weeks, with regular monitoring of immunological and virological measurements performed every 2 weeks. *B*, DALIA trial. At week 24 post-inclusion (labeled W0 post-ATI here), the 19 participants interrupted ART for a minimum of 24 weeks, with regular monitoring of immunological and virological measurements performed every 1 to 4 weeks. *C*, ILIADE trial. At week 24 post-inclusion (labeled W0 post-ATI here), the 129 participants (57 control and 72 treated) interrupted ART for 48 weeks, with monitoring of immunological and virological measurements every 8 weeks. (*A–C*) In the 3 trials, participants could prematurely restart ART during the protocol-defined ATI phase if they met the following criteria: (1) wish of participants or of their doctors, (2) CD4+ T-cell count dropped below 350 cells/µL at 2 consecutive measurements 2 weeks apart, and (3) occurrence of an opportunistic infection or a serious non-AIDS defining event. In DALIA, a drop of CD4+ T-cell count below 25% of total lymphocytes for 2 consecutive measurements was also considered. Analysis performed in this paper focused exclusively on viral load data collected during the ATI phase (ie, free-of-ART phase). In ILIADE and DALIA, only data collected from ART interruption to the time of primary endpoint (ie, at week 48 and week 24 post-ATI, respectively) were considered. Abbreviations: ART, antiretroviral treatment; ATI, analytical treatment interruption; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; IL2, interleukin 2; pts, participants.

Table 1. Definitions of Virological Criteria Analyzed in the Study

Abbreviations: ART, antiretroviral treatment; ATI, analytical treatment interruption; VL, viral load.

Figure 2. Graphical representation of virological criteria analyzed in the study. Black and white dots represent detectable and undetectable VL measurements collected during the ATI phase, respectively, and gray dots represent those collected after ART restart. The horizontal gray dotted line represents the limit of detection. *A*, Virological criteria describing viral rebound dynamics. *B*, Virological ART restart criteria inducing strategy discontinuation and censoring of follow-up. The orange dot shows the first VL measure exceeding the VL upper-threshold represented by the horizontal red dashed line (ie, time at which viral dynamic is censored when no confirmation measure is considered before ART restart). The red dot shows the VL measure at which the viral dynamic is censored when a confirmation measure is required before any ART resumption. Gray crosses display viral load measures unobserved due to censoring of follow-up after strategy discontinuation. Abbreviations: ART, antiretroviral treatment; ATI, analytical treatment interruption; AUC, area under the curve; TTPeak, time to VL peak; TTR, time to rebound; TTsetpoint, time to setpoint; VL, viral load.

RESULTS

Population

A total of 235 participants were included in the study: 87 in LIGHT, 129 in ILIADE, and 19 in DALIA (Figure 1 and [Supplementary Materials](http://academic.oup.com/cid/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/cid/ciae235#supplementary-data) *Results: Population*). Baseline characteristics of participants presented in [Supplementary Table 1](http://academic.oup.com/cid/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/cid/ciae235#supplementary-data) pointed out the homogeneity of profiles in the 3 trials.

Assessment of Virological Criteria

Viral Rebound Dynamics. Viral rebound dynamics observed in the 3 trials are shown in Figure 3. The median length of follow-up before ART restart ranged from 12 to 48 weeks, with a median number of VL measurements during the ATI phase of 6 to 11 (Table 2). The percentages of participants prematurely

reinitiating their ART before the end of the ATI phase were equal to 21%, 26%, and 16% in LIGHT, ILIADE, and DALIA, respectively. Furthermore, except for a lower earliest VL dynamics in DALIA than in LIGHT, similar mean viral dynamics were observed in the 3 trials (see [Supplementary Figure 3](http://academic.oup.com/cid/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/cid/ciae235#supplementary-data) and [Supplementary Materials](http://academic.oup.com/cid/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/cid/ciae235#supplementary-data) *Results: Description of viral rebound dynamics and virological criteria*). The virological criteria describing viral rebound dynamics in the different trials are summarized in Table 3 and Figure 4.

Viral Load Magnitude–Dependent Criteria. No statistical differences in setpoints were observed between trials with mean values ranging from 4.23 in DALIA to $4.42 \log_{10}$ copies/mL in LIGHT $(P = .39)$ (Figure 4A). This result was consistent with

Figure 3. Viral dynamics observed in the 3 trials in the ATI phase. A, Viral dynamics observed during the 12-week ATI phase in the LIGHT trial, with monitoring every 2 weeks. *B*, Viral dynamics observed during the 36-week ATI period in the DALIA trial, with monitoring every 1 to 4 weeks. *C*, Viral dynamics observed during the 48-week ATI period in the ILIADE trial, with monitoring every 8 weeks. (*A–C*) Thick and thin lines represent the median and individual dynamics, respectively, and error bars represent interquartile ranges. Solid and dashed lines correspond to dynamics in therapeutic and control/placebo groups, respectively. Gray areas highlight limits of detection (ie, 40 copies/mL for LIGHT, and 50 copies/mL for ILIADE and DALIA). Red dotted vertical lines represent the end of ATI phase (week 12 in LIGHT and week 36 in DALIA). Abbreviations: ART, antiretroviral treatment; ATI, analytical treatment interruption; IL2, interleukin 2.

Table 2. Description of Analytical Treatment Interruption in the 3 HIV Clinical Trials

Abbreviations: ART, antiretroviral treatment; ATI, analytical treatment interruption; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; IL-2, interleukin 2; max, maximum; min, minimum; VL, viral load. ^aEvaluation of the number and the percentage of patients who restart their ART before the end of the ATI phase, ie, before predefined ATI duration in LIGHT (12th week) or before time of the primary endpoint in ILIADE (48th week) and DALIA (24th week).

Table 3. Distribution of the Different Virological Criteria Estimated in the 3 HIV Clinical Trials

"N" indicates the total number of participants in the trial, "n" indicates the number of participants with observed endpoints in each trial.

Abbreviations: ATI, analytical treatment interruption; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; IQR, interquartile range; nAUC, time-averaged area under the curve; VL, viral load. ^aP values of the multiple-group comparisons (Kruskal-Wallis test).

^dlog₁₀ copies/mL/week.

the absence of significant differences of VL dynamics beyond week 4. However, a lower percentage of participants reached the setpoint in LIGHT (54%) compared with DALIA (95%) and ILIADE (86%) because of its shorter 12-week ATI phase, making its observation more difficult.

Both the peak VL and the nAUC were significantly different between LIGHT and ILIADE, whereas no differences were observed between DALIA and LIGHT, or DALIA and ILIADE. As shown in Figure 4*B* and 4*C*, the mean peak VL was significantly higher in LIGHT than in ILIADE (+6%, *P* < .001), while the nAUC was significantly lower(−8%, *P* < .01). Although not a direct time-dependent criterion, the study design can explain the lower peak in ILIADE: the lower the sampling frequency

(a measure every 8 weeks in ILIADE instead of 2 weeks in LIGHT), the higher the probability to miss the peak (see [Supplementary Figure 4](http://academic.oup.com/cid/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/cid/ciae235#supplementary-data) for an illustration).

Time-Dependent Criteria. The time-dependent criteria showed strong dependencies on the study designs—that is, the ATI duration and sampling frequency. As shown in Table 3 and Figure 4*D* and 4*F*, with VL measurements collected every 8 weeks, significantly different results were found in ILIADE than in LIGHT and DALIA. Specifically, we found a higher mean TTR and time to setpoint (TTR: 8.64 vs 3.04 and 4.47 weeks, respectively; $P < .001$; time to setpoint: 15.9 vs 6.43 and 10.9 weeks, respectively; *P* < .001). In contrast, a

^blog₁₀ copies/mL.

c Weeks.

Figure 4. Distribution of different virological criteria within each trial. Blue thick brackets below boxplots and black thin brackets above boxplots show significant *P* values of the multiple-group comparisons (Kruskal–Wallis test) and pairwise comparisons (Mann–Whitney *U* test), respectively. Significant *P* values after multiplicity adjustment: * $P \le 0.05$, ** $P \le 0.01$, *** $P \le 0.001$, **** $P \le 0.0001$. A, Distribution of the setpoint, in log₁₀ copies/mL. B, Distribution of the peak VL, in log₁₀ copies/mL. C, Distribution of the nAUC, in log₁₀ copies/mL. *D*, Distribution of the time to rebound, in weeks. *E*, Distribution of the slope of the viral rebound, in log₁₀ copies/mL/wk. *F*, Distribution of the time to setpoint, in weeks. *G*, Distribution of the time to peak VL, in weeks. *H*, Time to 200 copies/mL of VL, in weeks. *I*, Time to 1000 copies/mL of VL, in weeks. *J*, Time to 10 000 copies/mL of VL, in weeks. *K*, Time to 100 000 copies/mL of VL, in weeks. Abbreviations: cp, copies; nAUC, time-averaged area under the curve; VL, viral load.

significantly lower slope of rebound was observed in ILIADE than in LIGHT and DALIA (−69% and −62%, respectively; *P* < .0001), which mostly results from the higher TTR. Finally, similar temporal endpoints were observed in LIGHT and DALIA.

Analysis of Correlations Between Virological Criteria

To identify virological criteria highly associated with the setpoint, we performed a correlation analysis. Figure 5 displays Spearman

correlations between the 5 criteria most frequently used (see [Supplementary Figure 5](http://academic.oup.com/cid/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/cid/ciae235#supplementary-data) for results of the 11 criteria, and [Supplementary Figure 6](http://academic.oup.com/cid/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/cid/ciae235#supplementary-data) for scatterplots). Similar patterns of correlations were obtained in the 3 trials. First, there was an absence of correlations between the TTR and the setpoint, the 2 virological endpoints recommended to assess vaccine efficacy in ATI trials [10]. Second, we identified strong significant positive correlations between the setpoint and both the peak VL and the nAUC $(P < .001)$, with stronger correlations for the nAUC

Figure 5. Heatmap of pairwise Spearman correlations between the 5 main virological criteria in the 3 clinical studies. A, LIGHT clinical trial (n = 87). *B*, DALIA clinical trial ($n = 19$). *C*, ILIADE clinical trial ($n = 129$). ($A-C$) Correlations higher than 0.5 are colored in orange-red palette and correlations lower than −0.5 in blue palette. *P* values adjusted for multiplicity testing are indicated in brackets: **P* ≤ .05, ***P* ≤ .01, ****P* ≤ .001, *****P* ≤ .0001. Abbreviations: nAUC, time-averaged area under the curve; TTR, time to rebound.

(0.70/0.61 in LIGHT, 0.90 for both in ILIADE, and 0.75/0.73 in DALIA). The correlations between the TTR and other endpoints were less consistent across trials. For instance, a negative correlation between the TTR and the nAUC was only found in LIGHT (−0.57; *P* < .0001). Correlation analysis performed on pre-ATI immunological features revealed the absence of association between the setpoint and the immunological measurements.

Virological Restart Criteria Impact the Association Between the Setpoint and the nAUC

We assessed the impact of VL-based ART restart criteria (Figure 2*B*) on the correlation between the setpoint and the nAUC. Figure 6 illustrates the Spearman correlations between the setpoint and the right-censored nAUC for different VL upper-thresholds, with or without a confirmation measure before ART restart. In most cases, the higher the VL upperthreshold, the more significant and stronger the correlation coefficient. Moreover, results in LIGHT and DALIA highlighted the benefit of a confirmation measure to retrieve significant correlations. While no correlations were identified in the absence of a confirmation measure, positive significant correlations were identified when censoring occurred after 2 consecutive measures above 100 000 copies/mL. The use of a low threshold to censor data can lead to nonconclusive results (nonsignificant correlations), or even to wrong conclusions about vaccine efficacy. Indeed, an inversion of the sign of correlations was observed without a confirmation measure in DALIA and LIGHT around 10 000 copies/mL. A VL upper-threshold of 100 000 copies/mL for 2 consecutive measures allowed to retrieve more than 50% of the magnitude of the correlation between the setpoint and nAUC (LIGHT: 50%; ILIADE: 94%; DALIA: 83%).

DISCUSSION

Key Recommendations for Future Studies Assessing Efficacy of HIV Strategies to Control Viral Replication Without ART

The present study validated, on real data, recommendations made by the consensus meeting [10]:

- 1. Time-averaged AUC during the ATI phase, as a proxy to the setpoint, can be used as the primary endpoint
- 2. The optimal design of the ATI phase minimizing the risk for participants and maximizing the evaluation of treatment efficacy includes:
	- (a) A duration of 12 to 24 weeks
	- (b) Weekly VL monitoring for 12 weeks, and every 2–4 weeks thereafter
- 3. ART should not be restarted before a confirmed VL of more than 100 000 copies/mL if the safety of the patient is guaranteed

This analysis highlights the necessity to accurately design the ATI phase and choose the optimal virological endpoint and VL-based ART restart criteria in line with the aim of the study. Moreover, the nAUC was identified as the virological criterion most strongly correlated with the setpoint. As expected, we

Figure 6. Spearman correlations between the setpoint and nAUC calculated on right-censored viral dynamics according to VL upper-thresholds. *A*, Heatmap of Spearman correlations in each trial (*y*-axis) between the setpoint and nAUC, whether with or without censoring of follow-up, and with or without consideration of a confirmation measure before premature ART restart. Three commonly used VL upper-thresholds are considered: 10 000 copies/mL, 50 000 copies/mL, and 100 000 copies/mL, Significant positive and negative correlations are highlighted in red and blue, respectively. (*B–D*) Analysis of Spearman correlations between the setpoint estimated on complete virological data, and the nAUC estimated on right-censored viral dynamics. The *x*-axis represents the VL upper-thresholds above which censoring of follow-up was considered. The y-axis represents the value of the correlation coefficient between the setpoint and the right-censored nAUC. Solid and dashed lines represent the results with or without a confirmation measure in the calculation of the right-censored nAUC, respectively. Yellow symbols (circles and triangles for with or without confirmation, respectively) highlight significant correlations (adjusted *P* ≤ .05). The horizontal gray dotted line represents the transition between positive and negative correlations. The horizontal red solid line represents the value of the correlation coefficient between the setpoint and the nAUC estimated on complete data. (*B*) LIGHT trial. (*C*) ILIADE trial. (*D*) DALIA trial. Abbreviations: ART, antiretroviral treatment; nAUC, time-averaged area under the curve; VL, viral load.

found that especially the time-dependent virological criteria varied depending on the design of the ATI phase. In agreement with previous ATI studies [30, 31], participants reached a stable viral setpoint during the ATI phase after 8–12 weeks off-ART, confirming the use of an ATI phase of more than 12 weeks. While HIV research is moving towards short ATI phases with a lower risk of uncontrolled viremia [20], studies looking for posttreatment controllers [19, 21] showed the benefits of more permissive protocols to identify this population that experienced viral replication before controlling. Similar to this study, we showed that using an overly conservative threshold for a virological ART restart criterion can increase the risk of missing important effects of immune intervention on viral control. On the contrary, the use of a high value reinforces the nAUC as a valid proxy to the setpoint, and we showed that the nAUC remains a valuable endpoint even in the case of censored follow-up [32]. Although no virological ART restart criteria were considered in the 3 trials, 23% of participants prematurely restart ART. Since the observation of the setpoint is strongly dependent on

the study design, the choice of the virological ART restart criterion has a direct effect on the statistical power to assess the treatment efficacy. The sample size should therefore be carefully evaluated. In contrast, unlike the setpoint, the nAUC can be observed regardless of the design of the ATI phase, making the sample size less dependent on the stringency protocols. Nevertheless, the nAUC is highly dependent on (1) the duration of the ATI phase, as the shorter the ATI, the less it is representative of the setpoint, and the longer the ATI, the less it depends on the early phase of viral rebound, and (2) the sampling frequency, as the accuracy of the calculation increases with it. Our results of correlations between virological criteria were consistent with those reported in other trials [13]. The absence of correlation between time to rebound and setpoint has already been reported in other studies [15], and emphasizes the need to choose the most accurate primary endpoint for the aim of the study. Biologically, this could be explained by the fact that the initiation of viral replication and the subsequent control are driven by different biological mechanisms. A recall T-cell

response stimulated by the start of viral replication is potentially responsible for post-replication control [29, 33]. Hence, the pre-ART VL is more correlated to viral setpoint [15] rather than TTR. In the present work, it is also interesting to point out that the viral slope is weakly correlated with the viral setpoint, suggesting that the secondary immune response is playing a bigger role in controlling viral replication after the peak than what is observed during the primary infection.

This study is based on 3 clinical trials and thus the generalizability of our results may be limited. However, the information brought by real observed data helps to go beyond simulations [12]. When the intervention and its expected impact are based on mechanisms other than active immune control of viral replication, such as passive immunization through neutralizing antibodies [34], other endpoints and designs should be considered.

Beyond statistical and clinical considerations, participants' perceptions should be taken into account and recent studies have shown the good acceptability of ATI trials [8, 9, 35] as long as severe side effects are avoided [36]. According to these studies, some respondents would not accept persistent viremia (35% in Lau et al [37]). This would potentially restrict the inclusion rate in the trials. Also, the preferred frequency of biological measurements and clinical monitoring during ATI was monthly [37]. Biotechnological improvements that make measurements at home feasible could help cope with these participants' preference [38].

Supplementary Data

[Supplementary materials](http://academic.oup.com/cid/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/cid/ciae235#supplementary-data) are available at *Clinical Infectious Diseases* online. Consisting of data provided by the authors to benefit the reader, the posted materials are not copyedited and are the sole responsibility of the authors, so questions or comments should be addressed to the corresponding author.

Notes

Acknowledgments. The authors thank the Clinical Trial Unit (CTU), UMS 54 MART (Methods and Applied Research for Trials), University of Bordeaux, French National Institute of Health and Medical Research (Inserm) for the data management of the VRI02 ANRS 149 LIGHT trial, the ARNS/VRI DALIA trial, and the ARNS 118 ILIADE trial.

Author contributions. Y. L., M. P., and R. T. conceptualized the study. M. A. accessed and verified data and conducted the formal analysis. M. P. and R. T. supervised the analysis. M. A. created the first draft of the figures, and M. A. and R. T. wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to data interpretation. All authors critically revised and edited the manuscript and approved the final version for submission.

Data availability. The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, R. T., upon reasonable request.

Financial support. This work was supported by the Investissements d'Avenir Program managed by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) under reference ANR-10-LABX-77-01 (VRI [Vaccine Research Institute]) and by the European Union's Horizon 2020 Framework program under grant number 681032 (European HIV Alliance [EHVA]).

Potential conflicts of interest. The authors: No reported conflicts of interest. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

References

- 1. Deeks SG, Archin N, Cannon P, et al. Research priorities for an HIV cure: International AIDS Society Global Scientific Strategy 2021. Nat Med **2021**; 27: 2085–98.
- 2. Gunst JD, Højen JF, Pahus MH, et al. Impact of a TLR9 agonist and broadly neutralizing antibodies on HIV-1 persistence: the randomized phase 2a TITAN trial. Nat Med **2023**; 29:2547–58.
- 3. Julg B, Stephenson KE, Wagh K, et al. Safety and antiviral activity of triple combination broadly neutralizing monoclonal antibody therapy against HIV-1: a phase 1 clinical trial. Nat Med **2022**; 28:1288–96.
- 4. Lee MJ, Collins S, Babalis D, et al. The RIO trial: rationale, design, and the role of community involvement in a randomised placebo-controlled trial of antiretroviral therapy plus dual long-acting HIV-specific broadly neutralising antibodies (bNAbs) in participants diagnosed with recent HIV infection—study protocol for a two-stage randomised phase II trial. Trials **2022**; 23:263.
- 5. Sneller MC, Justement JS, Gittens KR, et al. A randomized controlled safety/efficacy trial of therapeutic vaccination in HIV-infected individuals who initiated antiretroviral therapy early in infection. Sci Transl Med **2017**;9:eaan8848.
- 6. Trkola A, Moore PL. Vaccinating people living with HIV: a fast track to preventive and therapeutic HIV vaccines. Lancet Infect Dis **2024**; 24:e252–5.
- 7. Wen Y, Bar KJ, Li JZ. Lessons learned from HIV antiretroviral treatment interruption trials. Curr Opin HIV AIDS **2018**; 13:416–21.
- 8. Dubé K, Agarwal H, Stockman JK, et al. "I would absolutely need to know that my partner is still going to be protected": perceptions of HIV cure-related research among diverse HIV serodifferent couples in the United States. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses **2023**; 39:400–13.
- 9. Neergaard R, Jones NL, Roebuck C, et al. "I know that I was a part of making a difference": participant motivations for joining a cure-directed HIV trial with an analytical treatment interruption. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses **2023**; 39: 414–21.
- 10. Julg B, Dee L, Ananworanich J, et al. Recommendations for analytical antiretroviral treatment interruptions in HIV research trials—report of a consensus meeting. Lancet HIV **2019**; 6:e259–68.
- 11. Leal L, Fehér C, Richart V, Torres B, García F. Antiretroviral therapy interruption (ATI) in HIV-1 infected patients participating in therapeutic vaccine trials: surrogate markers of virological response. Vaccines (Basel) **2020**; 8:442.
- 12. Lau JSY, Cromer D, Pinkevych M, et al. Balancing statistical power and risk in HIV cure clinical trial design. J Infect Dis **2022**; 226:236–45.
- 13. Fehér C, Leal L, Plana M, et al. Virological outcome measures during analytical treatment interruptions in chronic HIV-1-infected patients. Open Forum Infect Dis **2019**; 6:ofz485.
- 14. Graziani GM, Angel JB. Evaluating the efficacy of therapeutic HIV vaccines through analytical treatment interruptions. J Int AIDS Soc **2015**; 18:20497.
- 15. Treasure GC, Aga E, Bosch RJ, et al. Relationship among viral load outcomes in HIV treatment interruption trials. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr **2016**; 72: 310–3.
- 16. Zheng L, Tierney C, Bosch RJ. Analytical treatment interruption in HIV trials: statistical and study design considerations. Curr HIV/AIDS Rep **2021**; 18:475–82.
- 17. Bar KJ, Sneller MC, Harrison LJ, et al. Effect of HIV antibody VRC01 on viral rebound after treatment interruption. N Engl J Med **2016**; 375:2037–50.
- 18. Scheid JF, Horwitz JA, Bar-On Y, et al. HIV-1 antibody 3BNC117 suppresses viral rebound in humans during treatment interruption. Nature **2016**; 535: 556–60.
- 19. Namazi G, Fajnzylber JM, Aga E, et al. The Control of HIV after Antiretroviral Medication Pause (CHAMP) study: posttreatment controllers identified from 14 clinical studies. J Infect Dis **2018**; 218:1954–63.
- 20. Lau JSY, Smith MZ, Lewin SR, McMahon JH. Clinical trials of antiretroviral treatment interruption in HIV-infected individuals. AIDS **2019**; 33:773.
- 21. Sáez-Cirión A, Bacchus C, Hocqueloux L, et al. Post-treatment HIV-1 controllers with a long-term virological remission after the interruption of early initiated antiretroviral therapy ANRS VISCONTI study. PLOS Pathogens **2013**; 9: e1003211.
- 22. Lévy Y, Lacabaratz C, Lhomme E, et al. A randomized placebo-controlled efficacy study of a prime boost therapeutic vaccination strategy in HIV-1-infected individuals: vRI02 ANRS 149 LIGHT phase II trial. J Virol **2021**; 95:e02165-20.
- 23. Cobb A, Roberts LK, Palucka AK, et al. Development of a HIV-1 lipopeptide antigen pulsed therapeutic dendritic cell vaccine. J Immunol Methods **2011**; 365: 27–37.
- 24. Lévy Y, Thiébaut R, Montes M, et al. Dendritic cell-based therapeutic vaccine elicits polyfunctional HIV-specific T-cell immunity associated with control of viral load. Eur J Immunol **2014**; 44:2802–10.
- 25. Thiébaut R, Hejblum BP, Hocini H, et al. Gene expression signatures associated with immune and virological responses to therapeutic vaccination with dendritic cells in HIV-infected individuals. Front Immunol **2019**; 10:874.
- 26. Lévy Y, Thiébaut R, Gougeon ML, et al. Effect of intermittent interleukin-2 therapy on CD4+ T cell counts following antiretroviral cessation in patients with HIV. AIDS **2012**; 26:711–20.
- 27. Mothe B, Climent N, Plana M, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of a modified vaccinia Ankara-based HIV-1 vaccine (MVA-B) in HIV-1-infected patients alone or in combination with a drug to reactivate latent HIV-1. J Antimicrob Chemother **2015**; 70:1833–42.
- 28. Spritzler J, DeGruttola VG, Pei L. Two-sample tests of area-under-the-curve in the presence of missing data. Int J Biostat **2008**; 4:Article 1.
- 29. Oxenius A, Price DA, Günthard HF, et al. Stimulation of HIV-specific cellular immunity by structured treatment interruption fails to enhance viral control in chronic HIV infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **2002**; 99:13747–52.
- 30. Jacobson J, Bucy PR, Spitzler J, et al. Evidence that intermittent structured treatment interruption, but not immunization with ALVAC-HIV vCP1452, promotes host control of HIV replication: the results of AIDS Clinical Trials Group 5068. J Infect Dis **2006**;194:623–32.
- 31. Schooley RT, Spitzler J, Wang H, et al. AIDS Clinical Trials Group 5197: a placebo controlled trial of immunization of HIV-1–infected persons with a replicationdeficient adenovirus type 5 vaccine expressing the HIV-1 core protein. J Infect Dis **2010**:202:705–16.
- 32. Alexandre M, Prague M, Thiébaut R. Between-group comparison of area under the curve in clinical trials with censored follow-up: application to HIV therapeutic vaccines. Stat Methods Med Res **2021**; 30:2130–47.
- 33. Garcia-Fogeda I, Besbassi H, Larivière Y, Ogunjimi B, Abrams S, Hens N. Within-host modeling to measure dynamics of antibody responses after natural infection or vaccination: a systematic review. Vaccine **2023**; 41:3701–9.
- 34. Sneller MC, Clarridge KE, Seamon C, et al. An open-label phase 1 clinical trial of the anti-α4β7 monoclonal antibody vedolizumab in HIV-infected individuals. Sci Transl Med **2019**; 11:eaax3447.
- 35. Peay HL, Rennie S, Cadigan RJ, et al. Attitudes about analytic treatment interruption (ATI). in HIV remission trials with different antiretroviral therapy (ART) resumption criteria. AIDS Behav **2022**; 26:1504–16.
- 36. Protiere C, Arnold M, Fiorentino M, et al. Differences in HIV cure clinical trial preferences of French people living with HIV and physicians in the ANRS-APSEC study: a discrete choice experiment. J Int AIDS Soc **2020**; 23: e25443.
- 37. Lau JSY, Smith MZ, Allan B, et al. Perspectives on analytical treatment interruptions in people living with HIV and their health care providers in the landscape of HIV cure-focused studies. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses **2020**; 36: $260 - 7.$
- 38. Dubé K, Agarwal H, Carter WB, et al. Participant experiences using novel homebased blood collection device for viral load testing in HIV cure trials with analytical treatment interruptions. HIV Res Clin Pract **2022**; 23:76–90.

EVIDENCE SUPPORTS THE HIGH BARRIER TO RESISTANCE OF DOVATO UP TO 5 YEARS¹⁻³

IS IT TIME TO RECONSIDER THE VALUE OF THE 2ND NRTI? [LEARN MORE](https://viivexchange.com/en-eu/medicines/dovato/hbr/?token=2b9ed402bf654b82a563a3a68caa261c) \odot

DOVATO is indicated for the treatment of Human Immunodeficiency Virus type 1 (HIV-1) infection in adults and adolescents above 12 years of age weighing at least 40 kg, with no
known or suspected resistance to the integrase inhibitor class, or lamivudine.¹³

Adverse events should be reported. Reporting forms and information can be found at **https://yellowcard.mhra.gov.uk/** or search for MHRA Yellowcard in the Google Play or Apple App store. Adverse events should also be reported to GSK on 0800 221441

REFERENCES

- **1.** Maggiolo F et al. BMC Infect Dis 2022; 22(1): 782.
- **2.** Taramasso L et al. AIDS Patient Care STDS 2021; 35(9): 342–353.
- **3.** Ciccullo A et al. JAIDS 2021; 88(3): 234–237.
- **4.** ViiV Healthcare. Data on File. REF-223795. 2024. **5.** Cahn P et al. AIDS 2022; 36(1): 39–48.
-
- **6.** Rolle C et al. Open Forum Infect Dis 2023; 10(3): ofad101.
- **7.** Cordova E et al. Poster presented at 12th IAS Conference on HIV Science. 23–26 July 2023. Brisbane, Australia. TUPEB02. **8.** De Wit S et al. Slides presented at HIV Glasgow. 23–26 October 2022. Virtual and Glasgow,
- UK. M041.
- **9.** Llibre J et al. Clin Infect Dis 2023; 76(4): 720–729.
- **10.** ViiV Healthcare. Data on File. REF-220949. 2024.
- **11.** Rolle C et al. Poster presented IDWeek. 11–15 October 2023. Virtual and Boston, USA. 1603.
- **12.** Slim J et al. Abstract presented IDWeek. 11–15 October 2023. Virtual and Boston, USA. 1593.
- **13.** DOVATO. Summary of Product Characteristics. June 2023.

PRESCRIBING INFORMATION

[Dovato Prescribing Information](https://viivexchange.com/content/dam/cf-viiv/viivexchange/en_GB/pdf/dovato-pi.pdf)

[Legal Notices](https://viivhealthcare.com/terms-of-use/)

[Privacy Policy](https://viivhealthcare.com/privacy-notice/)

[Contact Us](https://viivhealthcare.com/contact-us/)

ViiV Healthcare, 980 Great West Road, Brentford, Middlesex, London, UK. ViiV trademarks are owned by or licensed to the

ViiV Healthcare group of companies. Non-ViiV trademarks are owned by or licensed to their respective owners or licensors. ©2024 ViiV Healthcare group of companies or its licensor. All rights reserved. **Intended for healthcare professionals only.**

ARRREVIATIONS

3TC, lamivudine; **CD4,** cluster of differentiation 4; **DTG,** dolutegravir; **FDA,** United States Food and Drug Administration; **FTC,** emtricitabine; **HIV,** human immunodeficiency virus; **ITT-E,** intention-to-treat exposed; **NRTI,** nucleoside/nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitor; **RCT,** randomised controlled trial; **RNA,** ribonucleic acid; **TAF,** tenofovir alafenamide fumarate; **TDF,** tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; **XTC,** emtricitabine.

FOOTNOTES

*Data extracted from a systematic literature review of DTG+3TC real-world evidence. Overlap between cohorts cannot be fully excluded.

**The reported rate reflects the sum-total of resistance cases calculated from GEMINI I and II (n=1/716, through 144 weeks), STAT (n=0/131, through 52 weeks), and D2ARLING (n=0/106, through 24 weeks). $5 -$

†GEMINI I and II are two identical 148-week, phase III, randomised, double-blind, multicentre, parallel-group, non-inferiority, controlled clinical trials testing the efficacy of DTG/3TC in treatment-naïve patients. Participants with screening HIV-1 RNA ≤500,000 copies/mL were randomised 1:1 to once-daily DTG/3TC (n=716, pooled) or DTG + TDF/FTC (n=717, pooled). The primary endpoint of each GEMINI study was the proportion of participants with plasma HIV-1 RNA <50 copies/mL at Week 48 (ITT-E population, snapshot algorithm).¹³

‡STAT is a phase IIIb, open-label, 48-week, single-arm pilot study evaluating the feasibility, efficacy, and safety of DTG/3TC in 131 newly diagnosed HIV-1 infected adults as a first line regimen. The primary endpoint was the proportion of participants with plasma HIV-1 RNA <50 copies/mL at Week 24.6

§D2ARLING is a randomised, open-label, phase IV study designed to assess the efficacy and safety of DTG/3TC in treatment-naïve people with HIV with no available baseline HIV-1 resistance testing. Participants were randomised in a 1:1 ratio to receive DTG/3TC (n=106) or DTG + TDF/XTC (n=108). The primary endpoint was the proportion of participants with plasma HIV-1 RNA <50 copies/mL at Week 48.7 Results at week 24 of the study.

||The reported rate reflects the sum-total of resistance cases calculated from TANGO (n=0/369, through 196 weeks) and SALSA (n=0/246, through 48 weeks).8,9

¶TANGO is a randomised, open-label, trial testing the efficacy of DOVATO in virologically suppressed patients. Participants were randomised in a 1:1 ratio to receive DOVATO (n=369) or continue with TAF-containing regimens (n=372) for up to 200 weeks. At Week 148, 298 of those on TAF-based regimens switched to DOVATO. The primary efficacy endpoint was the proportion of subjects with plasma HIV-1 RNA ≥50 copies/mL (virologic non-response) as per the FDA Snapshot category at Week 48 (adjusted for randomisation stratification factor).^{8,1} #SALSA is a phase III, randomised, open-label, non-inferiority clinical trial evaluating the efficacy and safety of switching to DTG/3TC compared with continuing current antiretroviral regimens in virologically suppressed adults with HIV. Eligible participants were randomised 1:1 to switch to once-daily DTG/3TC (n=246) or continue current antiretroviral regimens (n=247). The primary endpoint was the proportion of subjects with plasma HIV-1 RNA ≥50 copies/mL at Week 48 (ITT-E population, snapshot algorithm).⁹