

The Newly Discovered Nova Super-Remnant Surrounding Recurrent Nova T Coronae Borealis: Will it Light Up During the Coming Eruption?

Michael M Shara, Kenneth M Lanzetta, Alexandra Masegian, James T Garland, Stefan Gromoll, Joanna Mikolajewska, Mikita Misiura, David Valls-Gabaud, Frederick M Walter, John K Webb

▶ To cite this version:

Michael M Shara, Kenneth M Lanzetta, Alexandra Masegian, James T Garland, Stefan Gromoll, et al.. The Newly Discovered Nova Super-Remnant Surrounding Recurrent Nova T Coronae Borealis: Will it Light Up During the Coming Eruption?. Astrophys.J.Lett., 2024, 977 (2), pp.L48. 10.3847/2041-8213/ad991e . hal-04843881

HAL Id: hal-04843881 https://hal.science/hal-04843881v1

Submitted on 2 Jan 2025

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



The Newly Discovered Nova Super-remnant Surrounding Recurrent Nova T Coronae Borealis: Will it Light Up during the Coming Eruption?

Michael M. Shara¹, Kenneth M. Lanzetta², Alexandra Masegian³, James T. Garland^{1,4}, Stefan Gromoll⁵,

Joanna Mikolajewska⁶, Mikita Misiura⁷, David Valls-Gabaud⁸, Frederick M. Walter², and John K. Webb⁹

¹ Department of Astrophysics, American Museum of Natural History, New York, NY 10024, USA; mshara@amnh.org

² Department of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794-3800, USA

³ Department of Astronomy, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

⁴ David Dunlap Department of Astronomy, University of Toronto, 50 George Street, Toronto, ON M5S 3H4, Canada

⁵ Amazon Web Services, 410 Terry Ave. N, Seattle, WA 98109, USA

⁶N. Copernicus Astronomical Center, Polish Academy of Sciences, Bartycka 18, 00-716 Warsaw, Poland

⁷ Bain & Company, 131 Dartmouth Street, Boston MA 01116, USA

⁸ Observatoire de Paris, LERMA, CNRS UMR 8112, 61 Avenue de l'Observatoire, 75014 Paris, France

⁹ Institute of Astronomy, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0HA, UK

Received 2024 November 14; revised 2024 November 23; accepted 2024 November 24; published 2024 December 18

Abstract

A century or less separates the thermonuclear-powered eruptions of recurrent novae (RNe) in the hydrogen-rich envelopes of massive white dwarfs. The colliding ejecta of successive RN events are predicted to always generate very large (tens of parsecs) super-remnants; only two examples are currently known. T CrB offers an excellent opportunity to test this prediction. As it will almost certainly undergo its next, once in ~80 yr RN event between 2024 and 2026, we carried out very deep narrowband and continuum imaging to search for the predicted, piled-up ejecta of the past millennia. While nothing is detected in continuum or narrowband [O III] images, a ~30 pc diameter, faint nebulosity surrounding T CrB is clearly present in deep H α , [N II], and [S II] narrowband Condor Array Telescope imagery. We predict that these newly detected nebulosities, as well as the recent ejecta that have not yet reached the super-remnant, are far too optically thin to capture all but a tiny fraction of the photons emitted by RN flashes. We thus predict that fluorescent light echoes will *not* be detectable following the imminent nova flash of T CrB. Dust may be released by the T CrB red giant wind in preeruption outbursts, but we have no reliable estimates of its quantity or geometrical distribution. While we cannot predict the morphology or intensity of dust-induced continuum light echoes following the coming flash, we encourage multiepoch Hubble Space Telescope optical imaging as well as James Webb Space Telescope infrared imaging of T CrB during the year after it erupts.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Novae (1127); Recurrent novae (1366)

1. Introduction

1.1. Novae

Nova eruptions occur when an envelope of accreted, hydrogenrich matter on the surface of a white dwarf (WD) star undergoes a thermonuclear runaway (S. Starffield et al. 1972; D. Prialnik et al. 1979; O. Yaron et al. 2005). The binary companion that donates the hydrogen can be a red dwarf, subgiant, or giant star (B. Warner 1995). Near peak brightness, novae shine with luminosities of $10^4-10_6 L_{\odot}$ for days to years, before extinguishing themselves by ejecting the accreted envelope (D. Prialnik et al. 1978). All novae recur thousands of times (H. C. Ford 1978), with intereruption periods typically ranging from millennia to Myr over their multi-Gyr lifetimes (Y. Hillman et al. 2020).

1.2. Recurrent Novae

The shortest intereruption periods define the recurrent nova (RN) subclass, whose members (by definition) undergo eruptions separated by less than 100 yr (B. E. Schaefer 2010). The two dominant parameters that control the frequency with which a nova undergoes an eruption are the WD mass and the rate at which matter is accreted onto it (O. Yaron et al. 2005). The high

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. surface gravities of massive WDs ($\gtrsim 1.3M_{\odot}$) enable thermonuclear runaways to occur in very low-mass envelopes (Y. Hillman et al. 2016). If the donors are subgiants or giants, their very high rates of mass transfer ($10^{-6}-10^{-7}M_{\odot}$ yr⁻¹; R. Walder et al. 2008; W. M. Wolf et al. 2013; R. A. Booth et al. 2016) can build critical-mass envelopes, and hence RN, in under one century.

1.3. Nova Super-remnants

Because novae eject matter with a range of speeds, the most rapidly moving parts of envelopes ejected in successive RN events are predicted to overtake and collide with the slowest ejecta of the previous eruption, leading to the buildup of vast (\sim 10–100 pc) nova super-remnants (NSR) that should surround all RNe (M. W. Healy-Kalesh et al. 2023). These NSR must have swept up many thousands of times more interstellar medium (ISM) mass than was ejected by the WD in all its nova eruptions, so that NSR expansion velocities should be only a few tens of km s⁻¹. Remarkably, only two examples of NSR have been detected and imaged (M. J. Darnley et al. 2019; M. W. Healy-Kalesh et al. 2024b; M. M. Shara et al. 2024). The KT Eri NSR strongly supports both the size and slow expansion speed predicted for NSRs from numerical simulations (M. J. Darnley et al. 2019; M. W. Healy-Kalesh et al. 2023).

A recent survey yielded zero new NSRs surrounding 20 known RNe in the Local Group of galaxies (M. W. Healy-Kalesh et al. 2024a). The extremely low predicted surface brightnesses of

Condor Field 155930+255513 Filter	J2000 Start Date	R.A. = 15:59:30 End Date	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Decl.} = +25{:}55{:}13\\ \text{Reach } (\text{m}^2 \text{ s}) \end{array}$
Luminance 550.0 nm	2023-09-21	2024-05-31	3,389.5
[O III] 500.7 nm	2023-09-03	2024-05-29	2,168.1
$H\alpha$ 656.3 nm	2023-08-30	2024-05-30	10,077.0
[N II] 658.4 nm	2024-03-22	2024-05-30	1,190.9
[S II] 671.6 nm	2023-08-30	2024-05-28	4,519.4

 Table 1

 Details of Observations

NSRs probably explains the lack of success of this recent survey (M. W. Healy-Kalesh et al. 2023).

1.4. T CrB

The well-studied Galactic RN T CrB (G. H. Herbig & F. J. Neubauer 1946; R. P. Kraft 1958; R. F. Webbink 1976; S. J. Kenyon & M. R. Garcia 1986; P. L. Selvelli et al. 1992; G. C. Anupama & J. Mikołajewska 1999; R. Zamanov et al. 2004; G. J. M. Luna et al. 2018; N. A. Maslennikova et al. 2023) underwent well-documented nova eruptions in the years 1866 and 1946 and perhaps 1787 and 1217 (B. E. Schaefer 2023a). It reached an apparent magnitude of at least ~ 2.5 in 1866 (E. Pettit 1946), and 1.7 in 1946 (J. H. Shears 2024). The light curves of every RN system's eruption are very similar to each other (B. E. Schaefer 2010), so we can expect the peak visual brightness of T CrB to reach or slightly exceed 1.7 mag during the coming eruption. The underlying binary has an orbital period of \sim 227.6 days (F. C. Fekel et al. 2000) and is comprised of a massive WD (~1.37 M_{\odot}) and a ~1.12 M_{\odot} red giant (K. Belczynski & J. Mikolajewska 1998; V. Stanishev et al. 2004).

In addition to optical emission, T CrB emits from the radio (J. D. Linford et al. 2019) through the infrared (N. A. Maslennikova et al. 2023), ultraviolet (G. J. M. Luna et al. 2018), and X-ray (G. J. M. Luna et al. 2019) portions of the electromagnetic spectrum. Preoutburst observations of T CrB were carried out by ALMA on 2024 August 10 at 107.9, 136.4, and 359.5 Ghz (ATel 16781). They reveal a faint point source at the position of T CrB detected with at least 4σ significance, consistent with a power law with an index of approximately +0.6. High-resolution spectra at the locations of CO, SiO, and HCN lines revealed no line emission.

Strong optical emission lines (roughly 12 Å wide, implying $\sim 3000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ velocity ejection) were observed in 1946 and 1866 (D. B. Mclaughlin 1946). Thus we know that there is preexisting material surrounding T CrB, and still expanding away from it, that will be a "target" for the ejecta and the photons of the upcoming and future eruptions. Locating that preexisting material now, before the next eruption, would allow us to predict the locations of at least some of the light echoes (D. F. Falla et al. 2003; M. M. Shara et al. 2015) that previous generations of T CrB ejecta will produce as they undergo flash irradiation. We are unaware of any previous published predictions of expected locations of light echoes for any astronomical object other than SN 1987A (B. E. Schaefer 1987).

In Section 2 we describe the observational data of this Letter. The images of the newly discovered nebulosity surrounding T CrB are presented in Section 3. The ejecta energetics and predicted light echoes are discussed in Section 4. We briefly summarize our results in Section 5.

2. The Data

The imaging data of this study were gathered with the Condor Array Telescope (K. M. Lanzetta et al. 2023). Condor is comprised of six fast (f/5) apochromatic refractors with 180 mm objectives. Each refractor is equipped with a 9576 × 6388 pixel CMOS camera covering $2.3 \times 1.5 \text{ deg}^2$, with a plate scale of 0.85 pixel⁻¹. Details of the telescope, image-signature removal (flat-fielding, etc.), and astrometry are given in K. M. Lanzetta et al. (2023).

T CrB was imaged with Condor through four narrowband filters, each of 3 nm FWHM, with the following central wavelengths (CWLs): [O III] 500.7, H α 656.3, [N II] 658.4, and [S II] 673.2 nm. In addition it was imaged through a broadband luminance filter with a FWHM of 280 nm and a CWL of 550 nm.

The telescope was dithered by a random offset of ~15' between each exposure. Because different filters were used on different telescopes at different times, we define the "reach" of an observation obtained by Condor observations as the product of the total objective area and the total exposure time devoted to the observation. As Condor consists of six individual telescopes, each of objective area 0.0254 m^2 , a 1 s exposure with one telescope of the array yields a reach of 0.0254 m^2 s, and a 1 s exposure with the entire array (i.e., with all six telescopes) yields a reach of $6 \times 0.0254 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s} = 0.153 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}$. The dates of observation and reaches of the images of T CrB are presented in Table 1. Three or four telescopes were often used simultaneously with H α filters, accounting for the deeper reach in that filter.

3. T CrB Images

3.1. Narrowband and Luminance Images

The four narrowband images of T CrB, taken through the filters noted above, as well as the broadband luminance filter image, are shown in Figure 1. In Figure 2, we show the same images after applying a "block-summing" procedure, which sums each 8×8 block of pixels in the original image to produce one pixel in the new image. While no obvious nebulosities are seen in Figure 1, faint nebulous structures are seen near T CrB in three of the four narrowband images of Figure 2: H α , [N II], and [S II].

The brightest areas in the H α image are radiating with a surface brightness ~6.6 × 10⁻¹⁸ erg s⁻¹ cm⁻² arcsec⁻². The monochromatic 1 σ uncertainty per pixel of the H α image is ~0.43 microJy, which corresponds to an energy flux 1 σ uncertainty per pixel of 3.0 × 10⁻¹⁸ erg s⁻¹ cm⁻², a surface brightness 1 σ uncertainty over one pixel of 4.1 × 10⁻¹⁸ erg/s/cm²/arcsec², and a surface brightness 3 σ uncertainty over a 10 × 10 arcsec² region of 4.1 × 10⁻¹⁸ erg s⁻¹ cm⁻² arcsec⁻².

In Figure 3 we show the four difference images of the area surrounding T CrB (Luminance minus each of [O III], H α , [N II], and [S II]). While the nebulosities seen are broadly similar in morphology, they differ significantly from each other in detail. The



Figure 1. Coadded images of T CrB (position indicated with red ticks) in the luminance broadband and four narrowband ([O III], H α , [N II], and [S II]) filters. Each image has a different contrast scaling corresponding to the parameters assigned by the "zscale" function in SAO DS9.

presence of strong [N II] emission, but none in [O III], suggests that the nebulosities are shock-energized rather than photoionized. The nebulosity appears concentrated in two lobes, whose sketched outlines are superposed on the narrowband [S II] difference image.

In Figure 4 we show a color composite that is a sum of the three difference images of the luminance image minus H α , [N II], and [S II]. The bilobed structure is again visible. The reality of this structure will only be testable once spectroscopy



Figure 2. Same as Figure 1, but each image has been 8×8 block-summed (see text). Faint, nebulous structures are seen to surround T CrB in H α , [N II], and [S II] in all directions.

is carried out to determine the dynamics of the ionized gas of this newly detected NSR.

In Figure 5 we show the "star-cleaned" image of Figure 4, created with the V2 version of StarNet2 (https://www.starnetastro. com/experimental). In Figure 6, we show "star-cleaned" versions

of the individual channels of the red, green, and blue (RGB) image, created with the same tool and convolved with a 2D Gaussian kernel ($\sigma_x = \sigma_y = 5$) to highlight the structure of the nebulosity. The 2° sized, bilobed structure suggested by Figure 3 remains evident.



Figure 3. Difference images of T CrB (position indicated with red ticks) created by directly subtracting each of the four narrowband coadded images from the luminance coadded image, then applying 8×8 block-summing as in Figure 2. A hand sketch of the two possible lobes of the nebulosity is drawn over a copy of the [S II] narrowband difference image. All images have the same scaling to allow for direct comparison of the faint emission features. The presence of clear emission in [N II], but none in [O III], demonstrates that the nebulosities are shock-ionized rather than photoionized.

4. Implications of the Images

4.1. Could the Nebulosity Not Be due to an NSR?

NSRs are predicted to exist around all RNe (M. J. Darnley et al. 2019; M. W. Healy-Kalesh et al. 2023) as the inevitable consequence of (i) velocity gradients in nova ejecta and (ii) recurrence times sufficiently short that the early, high-velocity

ejecta of RNe will eventually catch up to, collide, and merge with the slow-velocity ejecta of the previous nova. This reasoning prompted us to use Condor to deeply image the region around the RN-candidate KT Eri (B. E. Schaefer et al. 2022), revealing its H α -bright NSR (M. M. Shara et al. 2024) and confirming its RN nature. While degree-sized H α structures are not expected at the relatively high Galactic



Figure 4. RGB image of T CrB (position indicated with red ticks) and its surrounding emission. Each of the three channels is a block-summed difference image (see Figure 3). Red corresponds to luminance—[S II], green corresponds to luminance— $H\alpha$, and blue to luminance—[N II]. The intensity scaling of each channel has been adjusted individually to highlight the emission features. There is a suggestion of a bilobed structure (also noted and sketched in Figure 3). The white arrow points in the direction of the star's Gaia-measured proper motion, with a length corresponding to the angular distance that T CrB has moved in the past 100,000 yr.



Figure 5. Same as Figure 4 but after the "star-cleaning" algorithm STARNET2 is applied. The bilobed structure is again seen, with a maximum diameter of \sim 2 deg in the NW to SE direction. The location of T CrB is indicated with red ticks.

latitude (~ -32 deg) of KT Eri, and the nebulosity surrounding KT Eri was predicted, it was nonetheless Southern African Large Telescope spectra that proved that the nebulosity surrounding KT Eri both matched it in radial velocity and possessed the modest velocity dispersion of an NSR.

The even more extreme Galactic latitude of T CrB (+48 deg) suggests that the chance superposition of a $\sim 2^{\circ}$ -sized nebulosity with the RN is very unexpected a priori. The lack of [O III] emission in Figures 3 and 4 rules out the possibility that the nebulosity is a planetary nebula or a supernova remnant (P. F. Winkler et al. 2021; K. S. Long et al. 1990). Still, despite the prediction that T CrB should be surrounded by an NSR, our discovery of the nebula of Figures 2–6 does not prove, with certainty, that the nebula really is an NSR and not a line-of-sight H II region.

We therefore searched the Gaia DR3 database to determine whether we could find a star within the Condor field of view (FOV) that is both sufficiently hot (at least $\sim 30 \text{ kK}$) and sufficiently luminous (at least $\sim 100 L_{\odot}$) to ionize the hydrogen in its vicinity along our line of sight to T CrB. Our search criteria were that the Gaia G magnitude be <0.0, that the BP-RP color be < -0.2, and that the apparent G-band magnitude be <10.0. Not a single star in the Condor FOV meets these three criteria simultaneously. The closest match is the star Gaia DR3 1220093045066977408 = BD +26 2766, with a Gaia parallax of 0.5499 \pm 0.0302 mas, placing it at \sim twice the distance of T CrB. Its absolute G mag = -0.41, its color is BP - RP = -0.13, and its apparent G mag = 10.89. The Gaia Dr3 catalog lists its temperature as 15.1 kK, while SIMBAD returns a spectral type of A0. This star is far too cool to ionize hydrogen; it cannot be responsible for the nebulosity of Figures 2–6. With any star in the Condor FOV that is both luminous and hot enough to ionize hydrogen being absent, we conclude that the nebulosity surrounding T CrB is not an H II region. We predict that spectroscopy at multiple points throughout the nebula, likely with an 8 m class telescope, will ascertain a match between the radial velocity of our claimed NSR relative to that of T CrB. It will also show an expansion velocity of order tens of km s⁻¹, typical of NSRs.

4.2. The T CrB NSR Age

The components of T CrB's Gaia-measured proper motion μ are $\mu_{\alpha} = -4.461 \pm 0.016 \text{ mas yr}^{-1}$ and $\mu_{\delta} = 12.016 \pm 0.028 \text{ mas yr}^{-1}$ (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2023). The white arrow in Figure 4 corresponds to 100,000 yr of proper motion of T CrB, which is 1281". This is $\sim 1/2$ the radius of the nebulosity of Figures 4 and 5, suggesting that the NSR (nearly stationary) ejecta are at least $\sim 200,000 \text{ yr}$ old. It is possible that even Condor's remarkably large FOV has not captured the full extent of T CrB's ejecta, so that its NSR might be considerably larger (and older) than the above simplistic estimate.

4.3. The T CrB NSR Size and Mass

The largest nova shells of 20th century novae are $\sim 1 \text{ pc}$ in size or smaller. In contrast, NSR sizes are ultimately limited by the ISM material swept up by the many thousands of RN eruptions' ejecta. The total ejected mass of an RN cannot greatly exceed $\sim 1 M_{\odot}$, i.e., the mass of the donor star. In practice this limits NSRs (M. W. Healy-Kalesh et al. 2023) in the Galactic plane to $\sim 10-100 \text{ pc}$ in size and $\sim 10^3-10^6 M_{\odot}$ in mass. Achieving this size brings the $\sim 1 M_{\odot}$ of ejecta of an RN



Figure 6. "Star-cleaned" versions of the luminance—[S II], luminance—H α , and luminance—[N II] images, created with STARNET2. The scaling of each image matches the scaling of the channels in the RGB image (Figures 4 and 5). The images have been smoothed with a 2D Gaussian kernel to emphasize the nebulosity. Note that the (noisy) [N II] image has the lowest reach (and highest background) of the three narrowband images shown. The position of T CrB is indicated with red ticks.

"nearly" to a halt (relative to the initial 5000–10,000 km s⁻¹ ejection velocities of an RN), with terminal velocities of just \sim 10–100 km s⁻¹(M. W. Healy-Kalesh et al. 2023). This limits any further, substantial NSR size growth before the mass donor's envelope is depleted.

As T CrB is located at a Gaia-determined distance of 914 ± 23 pc (B. E. Schaefer 2022), the $\sim 2^{\circ}$ in angular scale of the NSR seen in Figures 2–6 corresponds to 31.9 ± 0.8 pc. While this is smaller than the ~ 50 pc-sized NSR of KT Eri (M. M. Shara et al. 2024) and the ~ 134 pc-sized NSR of M31-12a (M. J. Darnley et al. 2019), it well within the range in sizes of the NSR predicted by the hydrodynamical models of M. W. Healy-Kalesh et al. (2023), especially the "younger" NSR with ages of the order of just a few $\times 10^{5}$ yr.

4.4. The T CrB NSR Energetics

As already noted, the brightest areas in the H α image are radiating with a surface brightness $\sim 6.6 \times 10^{-18} \,\mathrm{erg}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}\,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}\,\mathrm{arcsec}^{-2}$. Assuming that the ejecta are radiating isotropically and at the 914 pc distance to T CrB also noted above, their H α luminosity is of order 5 L_{\odot} . Other hydrogen lines, particularly of the Lyman series, may exceed that value but only by factors of order 2–3. The energy required to maintain the observed NSR hydrogen-line luminosity for $\sim 200,000 \,\mathrm{yr}$ is thus \sim a few times $10^{47} \,\mathrm{erg}$.

The mass transfer rate required for an RN eruption every ~80 yr from a $1.37 M_{\odot}$ WD is $\simeq 1-3 \times 10^{-8} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, and about half that accreted mass ($\sim 10^{-6} M_{\odot}$) will be ejected (Y. Hillman et al. 2016) at velocities ~3000 km s⁻¹ (D. B. Mclaughlin 1946). The kinetic energy of the mass ejected during each eruption is thus $\sim 10^{44}$ erg, so the ~2500 eruptions of the past 200,000 yr have injected ~2.5 $\times 10^{47}$ erg of kinetic energy into the NSR, in good agreement with the line luminosity estimate of the previous paragraph. Below we show that while the NSR is irradiated by an RN flash every ~80 yr, it is so optically thin that the photons emitted by the nova eruption do not measurably increase its energy budget. We conclude that the observed luminosity of T CrB's NSR is accounted for by the deposition of the kinetic energy of the nova ejecta alone.

4.5. Light Echoes

4.5.1. The Shells inside the NSR

Because the WD of T CrB is expected to undergo a thermonuclear-powered RN eruption during the coming 1 to 2 yr (B. Schaefer 2024; J. Schneider 2024), a flash of nova light comprising $\sim 10^{44}$ erg will propagate outward through the large nebulosities reported in this Letter, at the angular rate of \sim 50 pixels yr⁻¹. As already noted, the lack of [O III] emission and presence of [N II] emission (see Figure 3) suggest that the nebulosities are currently shock-ionized rather than photoionized. Might this change, especially in the inner parts of the nebula, during the first years after T CrB's coming eruption? In addition, could currently "dark" material (similar to that detected around the RN T Pyx) also light up (M. M. Shara et al. 1997, 2015)? If so, these could enable a full 3D model of the T CrB ejecta. These would be just the second set of light echoes whose morphology was ever predicted before the transient event that gave rise to them (see B.E. Schaefer 1987 for predictions of SN 1987A's light echoes). Unfortunately, the following modeling suggests that we will see no fluorescent light echoes, though continuum light echoes might be observed.

4.5.2. A Model of Fluorescent Light Echoes of Recent T CrB Ejecta

We assume that $10^{-6} M_{\odot}$ of hydrogen is ejected from the WD of T CrB during every eruption and that all eruptions are spaced exactly 80 yr apart. We also assume that the rate of ejection is zero outside of eruption and uniform for 10^6 s during eruption; that all matter is ejected at 3000 km s^{-1} (D. B. Mclaughlin 1946), i.e., 1% the speed of light; and that all matter is ejected with spherical symmetry. We also assume that the radius to which the ISM surrounding T CrB has been swept is 15 pc (the observed radius of its NSR) so that all recent ejections of mass are into a near vacuum and that, on the basis of the enhanced brightness to the SE of T CrB that is seen in Figure 5, the thickness of the NSR shell is $\sim 10\%$ of its radius, i.e., 1.5 pc, so that its massfilled volume is $\sim 4 \times 10^{59} \text{ cm}^3$. The NSR simulations of M. W. Healy-Kalesh et al. (2023) support these latter assumptions, especially the generation of a near-vacuum environment around aN RN by the sweeping of most circumstellar matter by thousands of successive mass ejections.

Under these assumptions, each successive ejected shell coasts independently until it runs into the "pileup" of swept-up ISM located ~ 15 pc from and surrounding T CrB. Moving at 1% of the speed of light, it takes each ejected shell nearly 5000 yr to reach the NSR, so we expect ~ 60 such nested shells to occupy the volume between T CrB and its NSR.

We first consider the effects of the next RN flash of T CrB on the ejecta of 1946. Moving at 3000 km s⁻¹, those ejecta are currently a shell with a wall thickness of $\sim 3 \times 10^{14}$ cm and a shell radius of $\sim 7.2 \times 10^{17}$ cm. The density of the $10^{-6} M_{\odot}$ of mass within the shell will be of order 10^{-23} gm cm³ or ~ 5 atoms cm³. The optical depth (opacity x density x path length) of that shell is of order 10^{-9} , so that just $\sim 10^{35}$ erg of the $\sim 10^{44}$ erg flash will be absorbed by the shell. This is only sufficient energy to increase the fraction of ionized hydrogen in the shell by $\sim 10^{-6}$.

The e-folding time over which electrons recombine in ionized hydrogen is $10^5/N_e \text{ yr}$, where N_e is the number density of free electrons (D. E. Osterbrock 1989). The recombination time of ionized hydrogen in the 1946 shell will be $\sim 10^5/5 \text{ yr} = 20,000 \text{ yr}$ immediately after the flash energy is absorbed and monotonically increasing as the shell continues to expand. The rate of emission of the newly absorbed flash energy will be $\sim 10^{35} \text{ erg}/20,000 \text{ yr} \sim 10^{-9} L_{\odot}$. This is ~ 14 orders of magnitude fainter than T CrB near its peak, i.e., ~ 36 th mag if the shell were an unresolved point source. In fact the 1946 shell is $\sim 0.5 \text{ pc}$ in diameter, which will be seen as a $\sim 113''$ diameter sphere covering $\sim 10,000 \text{ arcsec}^2$. The surface brightness of the fully illuminated 1946 shell will thus be an utterly undetectable $\sim 46 \text{ mag arcsec}^{-2}$.

The shells of 1866, 1786, and 1706 have twice, three times, and four times the radius and hence one fourth, one ninth, and one sixteenth the density and optical depth of the shell of 1946, respectively. The infinite series sum of $1/n^2$ is $\pi^2/6-1.64$, so that the flash energy absorbed by all ~60 shells surrounding the 1946 shell will be only ~64% of that absorbed by the 1946 shell. Each of these shells will thus be even fainter than the undetectable 1946 shell.

4.5.3. Light Echoes from the NSR Itself

An estimate of the mass and density of the swept-up ISM that comprises T CrB's NSR is needed in order to predict the strength of its light echoes. T CrB is located \sim 680 pc above the

Galactic plane, where the ambient ISM density is likely to be 1 order of magnitude lower (~0.1 atoms cm⁻³) than than in the plane of the Galaxy. Assuming ambient densities of 0.1 or 1 atom/cm³ and a mass-filled volume ~4 × 10⁵⁹ cm³, then the mass within the NSR shell is just ~30 or 300 M_{\odot} , respectively. That mass will have an optical depth <10⁻⁵, so just like the ~60 shells expanding toward it, the NSR itself will not brighten enough due to absorption of photons from each RN flash to yield detectable light echoes.

Under the discrete shell model of Section 4.5.2, a $\sim 10^{-6} M_{\odot}$ shell ejected \sim 5000 yr ago reaches the NSR shock pileup front every ~ 80 yr and is decelerated and assimilated into the NSR. This could generate NSR light echoes (even if the energy deposition is not via photons) if the assumptions of the simple nova ejection model of Section 4.5.2 were strictly correct. However, they are not. All novae are both observed and predicted by numerical simulations to eject matter at a wide range of speeds, with the fastest ejected material moving at 5–10X the speed of the slowest ejecta (B. Warner 1995). Thus the discrete, thin shelled structures we envisioned to roughly estimate the masses and densities of matter between T CrB and its NSR do not maintain their thin-walled shapes or even identities for long. Within one or two intereruption times successive ejected shells overlap and mix, and by the time the ejecta reach the NSR shock boundary they should be a continuous and thoroughly mixed wind. This has no effect on the prediction of the previous section, namely, that the stillcoasting ejecta from T CrB are essentially transparent. In summary, we predict that there will be no detectable fluorescent light echoes in the close-in environs of T CrB or its extended NSR on any timescale.

4.6. Dust and Continuum Light Echoes

The declining light curve of the 1946 eruption of T CrB shows no sign of a sudden dip in brightness, which would be expected if substantial amounts of dust formed in the ejecta. There was, however, a very significant dip (up to ~ 1.5 mag) in the brightness of T CrB during 1945.1 through 1946.1 (B. E. Schaefer 2023b) and again beginning in 2023 March. These brightness dips are simplest to interpret as dust produced by the red giant in the \sim yr before an RN outburst. However, there is no straightforward way to robustly turn these observed brightness dips (if they really are dust induced) into estimates of dust mass, composition, grain sizes, geometry, and dynamics.

Lindblad (http://www.cbat.eps.harvard.edu/IAUCs/IAUC 1030a.png) predicted the expected sizes of light echo rings, after an eruption, from the near- and farsides of T CrB. These were based on an assumed distance to the nova of 614 pc and a spherically symmetric dust ejection velocity of 1000 km s⁻¹. Correcting to the Gaia-determined distance of 914 pc, and adopting 1000 km s⁻¹ as the ejection velocity, nearside rings of expanding radii of 5", 10", 20," and 27["].8 should be seen 2.4, 9.8, 45, and 149 days after the eruption begins. Contracting rings of radii 20", 10", and 5" from the remote side of the ejecta should be seen 249, 285, and 292 days after the eruption. Higher-velocity dust ejecta will proportionally shorten these timescales.

We conclude that dust-scattered, continuum light echoes may be detected around T CrB but that insufficient input information is available to make an informed prediction about the intensities or morphologies of such echoes. Hubble Space Telescope optical imaging as well as James Webb Space Telescope infrared imaging, on timescales of days to at least 1 yr, would be very worthwhile as probes of any such dust formation and ejection.

5. Conclusions

T CrB is now the third RN shown to be surrounded by an optically detected NSR. The NSR is at least \sim 30 pc in extent and at least \sim 200,000 yr old. The ejecta of the three currently known NSRs are all tens of parsecs in size and are seen in narrowband H α , [N II], and [S II] images but not in [O III] or broadband luminance images. The morphologies of the gas of the line-emitting ions of T CrB are broadly similar but differ in detail in the three filters due to varying shock conditions across the ejecta. Block-summed difference images suggest that the ejecta of T CrB might be bilobed.

The ejecta of past RN eruptions will be irradiated at successively later times after T CrB undergoes its once in ~80 yr eruption in the near future. We simplistically modeled ~60 concentric, thin shells of $\sim 10^{-6} M_{\odot}$ expanding uniformly away from T CrB, ejected in the past ~5000 yr. These shells' low masses and very small optical depths imply that their surface brightnesses due to nova flash irradiation will be far too low to be detectable. This conclusion is unchanged under the more realistic assumption that the multiple ejections' matter blends into a wind within a few centuries. In similar vein, the NSR itself is so optically thin that its brightening due to the 1946 flash or the upcoming RN flash should be immeasurably small.

A lack of dips in the posteruption light curves of past T CrB eruptions means there is probably no significant dust produced in the expanding matter ejected from the WD. However, preeruption dips seen in 1945 and 2023 light curves suggest that the red giant of T CrB may have emitted an undetermined amount of dust with an unknown geometry and density. We therefore cannot predict the morphology or intensity of continuum light echoes due to dust scattering following the coming eruption. A simple model predicts the appearance and disappearance of continuum light echoes from dust produced in 1946 on timescales of days to \sim 1 yr.

In summary, we conclude that (i) there will be no fluorescent light echoes seen after T CrB erupts, and (ii) there might be dust-scattered, continuum light echoes as large as 20''-30'' in radius during the year following the nova eruption, but we cannot predict their intensity or morphology. We also predict that (iii) the ongoing NSR power source is the transformation of the kinetic energy of merged, ejected shells into optical light via collisions, which continuously ionize the $\sim 30-300 M_{\odot}$ of swept-up ISM surrounding T CrB.

Acknowledgments

K.M.L., M.M.S., and J.T.G. acknowledge the support of National Science Foundation Grants 1910001, 2107954, 2108234, 2407763, and 2407764. J.M. acknowledges support from the Polish National Science Center grant 2019/35/B/ ST9/03944. This work has made use of data from the European Space Agency (ESA) mission Gaia (https://www. cosmos.esa.int/gaia), processed by the Gaia Data Processing and Analysis Consortium (DPAC; https://www.cosmos.esa. int/web/gaia/dpac/consortium). Funding for the DPAC has been provided by national institutions, in particular the institutions participating in the Gaia Multilateral Agreement. We thank Brad Schaefer and the anonymous referee for excellent suggestions that helped improve the Letter.

ORCID iDs

Michael M. Shara https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0155-2539 Kenneth M. Lanzetta https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6906-7594 Alexandra Masegian https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3361-2893 James T. Garland https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2922-1416 Stefan Gromoll https://orcid.org/0009-0006-3617-1356 Joanna Mikolajewska https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3457-0020 Mikita Misiura https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5094-3785 David Valls-Gabaud https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9821-2911 Frederick M. Walter https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7796-1756 John K. Webb https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0004-9360

References

- Anupama, G. C., & Mikołajewska, J. 1999, A&A, 344, 177
- Belczynski, K., & Mikolajewska, J. 1998, MNRAS, 296, 77
- Booth, R. A., Mohamed, S., & Podsiadlowski, P. 2016, MNRAS, 457, 822
- Darnley, M. J., Hounsell, R., O'Brien, T. J., et al. 2019, Natur, 565, 460
- Falla, D. F., Floyd, M. J., & Potter, A. G. 2003, EJPh, 24, 197
- Fekel, F. C., Joyce, R. R., Hinkle, K. H., & Skrutskie, M. F. 2000, AJ, 119, 1375 Ford, H. C. 1978, ApJ, 219, 595
- Gaia Collaboration, Vallenari, A., Brown, A. G. A., et al. 2023, A&A, 674, A1
- Healy-Kalesh, M. W., Darnley, M. J., Harvey, É. J., et al. 2023, MNRAS, 521, 3004
- Healy-Kalesh, M. W., Darnley, M. J., & Shara, M. M. 2024a, MNRAS, 528, 3531
- Healy-Kalesh, M. W., Darnley, M. J., Shara, M. M., et al. 2024b, MNRAS, 529, 236
- Herbig, G. H., & Neubauer, F. J. 1946, PASP, 58, 196
- Hillman, Y., Prialnik, D., Kovetz, A., & Shara, M. M. 2016, ApJ, 819, 168
- Hillman, Y., Shara, M. M., Prialnik, D., & Kovetz, A. 2020, NatAs, 4, 886
- Kenyon, S. J., & Garcia, M. R. 1986, AJ, 91, 125
- Kraft, R. P. 1958, ApJ, 127, 625

- Lanzetta, K. M., Gromoll, S., Shara, M. M., et al. 2023, PASP, 135, 015002
- Linford, J. D., Chomiuk, L., Sokoloski, J. L., et al. 2019, ApJ, 884, 8
- Long, K. S., Blair, W. P., Kirshner, R. P., & Winkler, P. F. 1990, ApJS, 72, 61
- Luna, G. J. M., Nelson, T., Mukai, K., & Sokoloski, J. L. 2019, ApJ, 880, 94
- Luna, G. J. M., Mukai, K., Sokoloski, J. L., et al. 2018, A&A, 619, A61
- Maslennikova, N. A., Tatarnikov, A. M., Tatarnikova, A. A., et al. 2023, AstL, 49, 501
- Mclaughlin, D. B. 1946, PASP, 58, 159
- Osterbrock, D. E. 1989, Astrophysics of Gaseous Nebulae and Active Galactic Nuclei (Mill Valley, CA: Univ. of Science Books)
- Pettit, E. 1946, PASP, 58, 153
- Prialnik, D., Shara, M. M., & Shaviv, G. 1978, A&A, 62, 339
- Prialnik, D., Shara, M. M., & Shaviv, G. 1978, A&A, 62, 339
- Schaefer, B. 2024, AAS Meeting Abstracts, 243, 225.05
- Schaefer, B. E. 1987, ApJL, 323, L47
- Schaefer, B. E. 2010, ApJS, 187, 275
- Schaefer, B. E. 2022, MNRAS, 517, 6150
- Schaefer, B. E. 2023a, JHA, 54, 436
- Schaefer, B. E. 2023b, MNRAS, 524, 3146
- Schaefer, B. E., Walter, F. M., Hounsell, R., & Hillman, Y. 2022, MNRAS, 517, 3864
- Schneider, J. 2024, RNAAS, 8, 272
- Selvelli, P. L., Cassatella, A., & Gilmozzi, R. 1992, ApJ, 393, 289
- Shara, M. M., Zurek, D. R., Williams, R. E., et al. 1997, AJ, 114, 258
- Shara, M. M., Zurek, D., Schaefer, B. E., et al. 2015, ApJ, 805, 148
- Shara, M. M., Lanzetta, K. M., Garland, J. T., et al. 2024, MNRAS, 529, 224 Shears, J. H. 2024, RNAAS, 8, 233
- Stanishev, V., Zamanov, R., Tomov, N., & Marziani, P. 2004, A&A, 415, 609
- Starrfield, S., Truran, J. W., Sparks, W. M., & Kutter, G. S. 1972, ApJ, 176, 169
- Walder, R., Folini, D., & Shore, S. N. 2008, A&A, 484, L9
- Warner, B. 1995, Cataclysmic Variable Stars, Vol. 28 (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press)
- Webbink, R. F. 1976, Natur, 262, 271
- Winkler, P. F., Coffin, S. C., Blair, W. P., Long, K. S., & Kuntz, K. D. 2021, ApJ, 908, 80
- Wolf, W. M., Bildsten, L., Brooks, J., & Paxton, B. 2013, ApJ, 777, 136
- Yaron, O., Prialnik, D., Shara, M. M., & Kovetz, A. 2005, ApJ, 623, 398
- Zamanov, R., Bode, M. F., Stanishev, V., & Martì, J. 2004, MNRAS, 350, 1477