

Posttranscriptional control of mitochondrial biogenesis: Spatio-temporal regulation of the protein import process

Frédéric Devaux, Gaëlle Lelandais, Mathilde Garcia, Sébastien Goussard,

Claude Jacq

► To cite this version:

Frédéric Devaux, Gaëlle Lelandais, Mathilde Garcia, Sébastien Goussard, Claude Jacq. Posttranscriptional control of mitochondrial biogenesis: Spatio-temporal regulation of the protein import process. FEBS Letters, 2010, 584 (20), pp.4273-4279. 10.1016/j.febslet.2010.09.030. hal-04841292

HAL Id: hal-04841292 https://hal.science/hal-04841292v1

Submitted on 16 Dec 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. FEBS Letters 584 (2010) 4273-4279







journal homepage: www.FEBSLetters.org

Review

Posttranscriptional control of mitochondrial biogenesis: Spatio-temporal regulation of the protein import process

Frédéric Devaux^{b,*}, Gaëlle Lelandais^c, Mathilde Garcia^a, Sébastien Goussard^a, Claude Jacq^a

^a Institut de Biologie de l'ENS, Ecole Normale Supérieure, 46 rue d'Ulm, 75005 Paris, France

^b Laboratoire de Génomique des Microorganismes, FRE3214 CNRS, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 15 rue de l'école de Médecine, 75006 Paris, France

^c Laboratoire Dynamique des Structures et Interactions des Macromolécules Biologiques, INSERM UMR-S 665, Université Paris 7, INTS, 6 rue Alexandre Cabanel 75015 Paris, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 16 July 2010 Revised 10 September 2010 Accepted 18 September 2010 Available online 26 September 2010

Edited by Ulrike Kutay

Keywords: RNA regulon Mitochondria TOR pathway Post-genomics

ABSTRACT

This review focuses on the posttranscriptional processes which govern mitochondrial biogenesis, with a special emphasis on the asymmetric localization-translation of nuclear-encoded mRNAs as an important regulatory step of the protein import process. We review how spatio-temporal mRNA regulons help to elicit timely, versatile, and coordinated intracellular processes to assemble mitochondrial structures. Our current knowledge on the mitochondrial import of respiratory chain assembly factors and the role of the ribonucleic acid (RNA) binding protein Puf3 are presented. A connection with the target of rapamycine signalling pathway may explain how respiratory chain assembly senses environmental conditions via the protein import machinery.

© 2010 Federation of European Biochemical Societies. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Most of the mitochondrion proteome is made of nuclearlyencoded preproteins, translated in the cytosol, which have to be imported, processed, assembled into large macromolecular complexes and located to the proper mitochondrial compartment. The abundance, morphology and functional properties of mitochondria are finely tuned to meet cell-specific energetic and metabolic demands. It is generally assumed that, in different organisms, this tuning is largely achieved in the nucleus, at the level of transcriptional regulation [1–3], whereas the mitochondrial import machinery is simply in charge of the protein sorting inside mitochondrial compartments [4,5]. However, in vivo studies in budding yeast and HeLa cells have stressed the importance of the processes preceding the import step [6-8]. It seems clear, long after the pioneering work of Butow et al. [9], that some nuclearly-encoded proteins can be co-translationally imported into mitochondria. Global transcriptome studies have demonstrated that

E-mail address: devaux@biologie.ens.fr (F. Devaux).

roughly half of the mRNAs coding for mitochondrially-localized proteins are asymmetrically localized to the vicinity of mitochondria [10,11]. This implies that gene-specific post-transcriptional processes cooperate with the mitochondrial import machinery. The recent demonstration of a role of Tom20, a subunit of the outer membrane translocase, in the co-translational import process [12,13], raises the possibility that the protein import can be modulated via precise mRNA localization and site-specific translation.

This review will focus on the role of mRNA localization and sitespecific translation in the control of mitochondrial protein import, as an important posttranscriptional control of gene expression. The specific case of the spatio-temporal control of the assembly of respiratory chain complexes during the yeast metabolic cycle will be emphasized, as an emblematic example of cooperation between transcriptional and co-translational events. The mechanisms, that possibly link the co-translational protein import at mitochondria and cytosolic signals connected to cell growth and energetic demand, will be discussed.

2. Key examples of mitochondria import regulations

Studies carried out in different eukaryotic systems have pointed out the mitochondrial protein import process as a potentially important level of regulation of gene expression and mitochondrial activity. An exciting example of regulated protein import into yeast

Abbreviations: RNA, ribonucleic acid; ADP, adenosine diphosphate; ATP, adenosine triphosphate; rRNA, ribosomal RNA; TOR, target of rapamycine; OXPHOS, oxidative phosphorylation; MLR, mitochondrially localized RNA; MTS, mitochondrial targeting sequence

^{*} Corresponding author. Fax: +33 1 44 32 39 41.

mitochondria is the case of fumarase [14,15]. Fumarase is asymmetrically distributed between the cytosol and mitochondria, depending on the metabolic state of the cells. When the glyoxylate shunt is shut off, and therefore fumarase is no longer required in the cytosol, the protein accumulates exclusively in mitochondria. The mechanisms controlling this differential distribution are not known, though the authors favour a change in fumarase folding, which would modulate the rate of translocation into mitochondria.

In plant cells the mitochondrial import of proteins is a dynamically regulated process that is influenced by the light–dark cycle, wounding, and developmental cues [16]. In cardiac muscle cells, thyroid hormone (T3) treatment dramatically increases the rate of mitochondrial protein import [17]. This rate can also be altered in mitochondria from senescent animals [18] and mutations that disrupt the proper functioning of the import machinery can lead to oxidative stress, neurodegenerative diseases and metabolic disorders (reviewed in [6]). Additionally, external factors, like Rubella virus, can inhibit mitochondrial import via the action of a capsid RNA-binding protein which probably targets important component of the translocation apparatus [19].

3. Connections between protein import and mitochondrial metabolic activity

Recent studies have pointed out relationships between the import machinery and the establishment of mitochondrial functions. This was especially well addressed in plants. Rice shows substantial tolerance to anaerobic conditions and is able to germinate and grow for days in the absence of oxygen. Studies in relatively mature rice tissues have shown that, in aerobic conditions, an increase in the import capacity is correlated with an increase in the abundance of the cytochrome bc1 complex, thus revealing a link between the activity of respiratory chain complexes and the protein import apparatus [20,21]. Interestingly, in plants, the mitochondrial processing peptidase is part of the cytochrome bc1 and is repressed both at transcript and protein levels, under anaerobic conditions, providing a direct connection between mitochondrial protein import and processing, and the respiration rate. Physical interactions, mediated by the Tim21 protein, were observed in the budding yeast between the inner membrane translocase TIM23 and subunits of the respiratory chain complexes [22,23]. Also the adenosine diphosphate/adenosine triphosphate (ATP) carrier Aac2, which plays a central role in the mitochondrial metabolism, exists in physical association with the cytochrome creductase (cytochrome bc1)-cytochrome c oxidase (COX) supercomplex and its associated TIM23 machinery [24].

4. Mechanisms that control the mitochondrial protein import machinery

The outer membrane protein translocase, that functions as a general entry gate for preproteins into mitochondria is, *a priori*, a good target for import regulation processes. Up-regulation of the protein import process may result from an increased synthesis of the diverse translocases. For instance, in plants, the level of Tom20 was found to positively correlate with import capacity [25]. More recent studies [20] have shown that transcript levels of mitochondrial protein import components are unaffected by oxygen availability, indicating that the abundance of these proteins, which is higher in anaerobic conditions, is regulated at a post-transcriptional level. In budding yeast, the expression of genes associated with protein import is positively correlated with the growth rate together with a substantial fraction of the genes associated with translation, ribosome biogenesis and ribosomal RNA (rRNA) metabolism [26]. This perception by the mitochondria

of the nutritional environment of the cell should depend on target of rapamycine (TOR) signalling. TOR is a conserved signalling pathway which controls cell growth by integrating multiple environmental inputs, including nutrients availability, growth factors and stress. TOR exists in two different protein complexes: TORC1 and TORC2. Both complexes exhibit protein kinase activities and regulate many key cellular processes, including translation, ribosome biogenesis and basal metabolism. However the relationships between TOR and the mitochondria are complex. Although inhibition of the TOR pathway leads to a global decrease of the cytoplasmic translation [27], tor1 null cells exhibit a higher rate of mitochondrial translation and an increase in the expression of several mitochondrially encoded oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) components [28]. Accordingly, the treatment of budding yeast with rapamycin, an inhibitor of TORC1 activity, increases the expression of genes involved in the TCA cycle, mitochondrial ribosome biogenesis, and assembly of the OXPHOS complexes [29]. In mammalian cells, hypoxia represses mTOR (mammalian TOR) as if the cells were exposed to rapamycin [30] and mTOR activity is regulated in a redox-sensitive manner [31], which establishes an homeostatic regulation loop between mTOR and mitochondria [32]. This connection between TOR activity and membrane-linked translation may be an important aspect of the mitochondrial import regulation, since many mRNA encoding mitochondrial proteins are translated by ribosomes present at the external membrane of mitochondria (see below). The recent demonstration, in a leukemic cell line, that mTOR directly controls mitochondria function, while being localized to the outer membrane of the mitochondria [33], strongly supports a direct action of TOR on proteins located at the mitochondrial outer surface. In yeast, this effect could be mediated by the Sch9p kinase, which was shown to be the main target of TORC1 [34], and the inactivation of which mimics the effects of an inhibition of TOR activity on respiration and life span [28,35]. Altogether these observations suggest that TOR signalling could be a key actor in the regulation of the mitochondrial import process.

5. Mitochondrial co-translational import distinguishes groups of genes

The site-specific translation of localized mRNA is a fast and efficient way of reacting to external stimuli, with the added benefit of providing spatial resolution to the cellular response [36]. Several genome-wide studies [10,11,13] have shown that about half of the mRNAs encoding mitochondrial proteins are enriched in the vicinity of mitochondria, presumably to facilitate protein transport. Interestingly, these mitochondrially localized RNAs (MLRs) can be mechanistically split in two classes: class I mRNAs (MLR I), whose asymmetric localization depends on the presence of the RNA-binding protein Puf3, and class II mRNAs (MLR II), which are localized to the vicinity of mitochondria independently of the presence of the *PUF3* gene [10]. Finally, a third class (class III) groups the mRNAs encoding mitochondrial proteins, which are not translated at the vicinity of mitochondria.

6. Parameters that control the mRNA asymmetric localization to the mitochondria

At least four elements are known to control the peri-mitochondrial mRNA asymmetric localization, which are more or less important, depending on the MLR class that is considered: (i) the mitochondrial targeting sequence (MTS), which is located at the N-terminus of the nascent pre-proteins, is more than a general protein feature that interacts with the Tom20 translocase to favour mitochondrial addressing. It also acts to regulate this translocation process. Thus, the MTS can either tilt the balance between mitochondrial and cytosolic dual localization [15], or guide the mRNA asymmetric localization to the vicinity of mitochondria [12,13]. However, the MTS is present in many mitochondrial proteins independently of the three classes described above [37], and therefore is not sufficient to explain the site-specific translation of MLRs, (ii) the outer membrane protein, Tom20, was shown to mediate localization of mRNAs to mitochondria in a translation-dependent manner [13]. Absence of this major import receptor alters the repertoire of mRNAs localized to the vicinity of mitochondria, (iii) the translational machinery was observed to be required to maintain MLRs next to mitochondria [12,13]. In mammals it was clearly demonstrated that ribosomes specifically bind to mitochondria via protease-sensitive proteins on the outer membrane [38] and it is reasonable to hypothesize that ribosomes are involved in the direct interaction of some mRNAs with mitochondria [9]. (iv) Puf3 and probably other unidentified mRNA binding proteins are required to maintain mRNA asymmetric localization to the vicinity of mitochondria. The MLR I class largely overlaps the Puf3 target mRNAs previously identified [39] and the importance of the Puf3 binding site in the 3'UTR of some MLR I members for their mitochondrial asymmetric localization was experimentally demonstrated [10].

Recent studies have shown that localization of some MLR I to mitochondria depends on both Puf3p and Tom20p [13]. Accordingly, a *tom20* Δ *puf3* Δ strain exhibited growth defects under conditions where fully functional mitochondria are required [13]. While it was strongly suggested that Tom20p and Puf3p are both localized to the mitochondrial outer membrane [40], they do not seem to interact with each other, at least in standard growth conditions [13]. However, Puf3 controls the Tom20 mRNA localization next to mitochondria [10] and the deletion of *TOM20* considerably



Fig. 1. The mitochondria cycle. When grown under continuous, nutrient-limited conditions, budding yeast exhibits robust, highly periodic cycles (from 40 [59] to 300 min [43]). Clustering analyses [43,44] of gene expression of 656 genes encoding mitochondrial proteins have emphasized four different phases during the metabolic cycle: Ox (oxidative, 137 genes mostly involved in amino-acid synthesis), RB-A (reductive building A, 262 genes coding for assembly and translation factors), RB-B (reductive building B, 77 genes coding mainly for respiratory chain subunits) RC (reductive charging, 150 genes, coding for TCA cycle enzymes and other metabolic pathways). The percentages of genes in each of the four phases, which belong to the MLR class I (green bars), MLR class II (purple bars) and mRNA class III (blue bars) categories, are represented by histograms. The cis- and trans- regulatory elements which have been demonstrated or predicted to play a role in the yeast mitochondrial cycle [44] and in MLR site-specific translation [10] are represented as follows. Puf3 (upper right) recognizes the P3E motif in the 3'UTR of its targets RNA and plays a role in targeting them to the mitochondrial surface [10]. These Puf3 targets are MLR class I which are mostly expressed during the RB-A phase. The Hap complex and the Hap4 transcription factor (bottom middle) recognize the CCAAT box in the promoter of its target genes. These genes are mostly expressed during the RB-B or the RC phases. The MLR class II mRNAs (bottom right) are spread between the RB-B, the RC and the Ox phases but represent the major class in the RB-B phase. In the particular case of *ATP2* mRNA, it has been shown that the mitochondrial asymmetric localization depends on cis-regulatory sequences located into the open reading frame [12]. The proteins recognizing these sequences are unknown to date. The class III mRNAs (on the left) are spread between the RB-B, the RC and the Ox phases, and the Ox phases. The class III mRNAs expressed during the Ox phases, and th

increases the level of *PUF3* mRNA and protein [13]. This increase could be an adaptation to the mislocalisation of Puf3 in the cytosol, that is observed in $tom20\Delta$ strains [13].

A simple scenario can describe the main steps controlling the co-translational processing of MLRs: (1) interaction of Tom20p with the emerging pre-protein through its MTS, (2) translation of the downstream ORF, (3) interactions of additional RNA-binding factors which, by interacting with ORF-contained motifs [12] or signals in the 3'UTR (like Puf3-motif) [10], can favour mRNA-mitochondria interactions, while participating in the regulation of mRNA translation and stability. An essential aspect of this process is the tight control of translation which has to be blocked until mRNA has reached its appropriate localization and whose rate has to be severely modulated to maintain ribosomes in close proximity with mitochondria. In the MLR II member ATP2, the translation of two elements in the coding sequence has been shown to be essential for mRNA anchoring to the mitochondrial surface [12]. This translation regulation of MLRs may also be achieved by RNA-binding proteins, such as Puf3 in the case of the MLR I members, which interacts both with the external side of mitochondria [40] and with P-bodies [41], and therefore could control the localization and the translation/degradation balance of its target mRNA. Alternatively, such RNA binding proteins could coat the mRNAs in the nucleus during mRNP formation or straight after cytoplasmic export to ensure translational repression during transport, as proposed by Lithgow et al. [42]. In conclusion, site-specific mRNA translation seems important to precisely control both the localization and the rate of cotranslational protein import into mitochondria.

7. Protein import and the spatio-temporal regulation of mitochondria biogenesis: a complex interplay between transcriptional and post-transcriptional events

The cotranslational import of groups of mitochondrially-localized proteins challenges the previous dogma that placed transcriptional control at the centre of gene regulation and relegated translation to a more passive role. Co-regulated groups of genes were precisely identified in Yeast Metabolic Cycle data obtained by transcriptome analysis of metabolically synchronized yeast cell cultures [43]. The time-dependent expression of groups of nuclear genes encoding mitochondrial proteins strikingly correlates with their mode of mitochondrial import [44]. The most salient feature is probably the identification of a group of genes (named RB-A in Fig. 1), whose mRNA amount increases at a very early step of the Yeast Metabolic Cycle. These 262 mRNAs are mainly (70%) translated to the vicinity of mitochondria and contain a canonical Puf3 binding site in their 3'UTR [39,44]. They correspond to functions required for the construction of mitochondria (assembly factors, ribosomal proteins, translation and transcription regulators). Interestingly this group of genes, whose site-specific translation seems to be tightly regulated, do not seem to be transcriptionally regulated [45] and no evident consensus motif could be found in their promoter region [44], suggesting that their up-regulation is mainly due to a reduced mRNA degradation. The situation is more classical for the following group of genes (RB-B in Fig. 1), which code mainly for respiratory chain subunits, exhibit a transcriptional regulation by Hap transcription factors [46] and a controlled mRNA asymmetric localization [10]. The best documented case of transcriptional regulation assisted by a posttranscriptional regulation process is that of ATP2, which belongs to the RB-B group, has a bona fide Hap binding site in its promoter and whose translation, to the vicinity of mitochondria, is tightly regulated [12].

8. Respiratory chain assembly factors: an interesting Puf3 posttranscriptional regulon

The protein import process, through the co-translational import process, is able to funnel functionally connected groups of proteins according to a spatio-temporal program. Several groups of proteins are concerned but the case of the respiratory chain assembly factors is especially convincing. The biogenesis of the respiratory chain complexes is critical for cellular bioenergetics and as such it is tightly regulated to cope with cellular and environmental conditions. Biogenesis of cytochrome c oxidase (COX), the last enzyme of the mitochondrial respiratory chain represents a case study by the importance and complexity of this multimeric enzyme [47,48]. In the budding yeast, COX is formed by 13 different subunits of dual genetic origin (10 nuclear and 3 mitochondrial). Its assembly involves at least 27 assembly factors which control different assembly steps. For instance, the mitochondrion-encoded Cox1 subunit is incorporated within one early assembly intermediate containing the assembly factor Mss51 [49]. Subsequently, Cox1 maturation involves the progression to downstream assembly intermediates requiring the assembly factors Coa1, Cox14 and Shy1 [50], and COX biogenesis continues under the control of a sophisticated ballet of assembly factors. Each respiratory chain complex has its own set of assembly factors, only Oxa1, the first



Fig. 2. Early steps of COX assembly: assembly factors are co translationally imported. This model is adapted from Khalimonchuk et al. [49] and Mick et al. [60]. When the Cox1 subunit is translated inside mitochondria, the first protein complexes are formed with the nuclear-encoded assembly factors Mss51, Pet309, Oxa1, Cox11, Shy1. All these factors are likely to be co translationally imported since their mRNA is closely associated with mitochondria and contains a Puf3 binding site in their 3'UTR (MLR I). Constitutive Cox5 and Cox6 subunits are probably imported posttranslationally (Class III mRNA). Note that among the 25 identified assembly factors involved in COX assembly, all but one (Cox16) belong to the MLR I class.

discovered assembly factors [51], is shared by the three different respiratory complexes (III, IV and V). All these assembly factors share similar post-transcriptional properties which are tightly connected with their mitochondrial import process and which are illustrated in Fig. 2: (1) their mRNA is asymmetrically localized, 31 of the 32 mRNAs coding for respiratory complexes assembly factors are localized to the vicinity of mitochondria, (2) most of them (29/32) have a Puf3 binding site in their 3'UTR sequence which has been shown to be functionally active in many cases [10,39], (3) 25/32 of these mRNAs are more abundant in the very early beginning of the yeast metabolic cycle strongly suggesting that, in metabolically synchronized cells, assembly factors are produced before respiratory chain subunits and thus constitute the corner stones of mitochondria biogenesis.

To summarize, whereas the regulation of assembly factor coding genes appeared quite heterogenous and difficult to rationalize [45], the analysis of transcriptome data from metabolically synchronized strains [43], together with data concerning the topological properties of their mRNAs [10,44], have revealed that assembly factor mRNAs share common properties: they are regulated mostly post-transcriptionally both by their translation mode (localization, controlled rate) and by their stability. In that respect they constitute one of the best documented case of a decay regulon [52] or, more generally, a post-transcriptional regulon which coordinates the localization, translation and stability of mRNAs [53].

9. Could TOR signalling pathway regulates mitochondrial import via Puf3?

The above scenario may offer some clues for better exploring the regulatory mechanisms which control mitochondrial biogenesis via the mitochondrial import process (Fig. 3). It was recently proposed that the role of Puf3 in mRNA translation and stability could be connected to the Mkt1 protein, which interacts with the Poly(A)-binding protein associated factor Pbp1 [41]. Moreover, rapamycin treatment has been shown to reduce the ability of

Puf3 to control mRNA stability [54]. Finally, in a leukemic cell line, inhibition of mTOR has immediate effects, which cannot be explained by transcriptional changes, on carbon and mitochondrial metabolism by acting directly at the mitochondrial outer membrane. It is tempting to propose that, in the yeast, Puf3, which is also located at the mitochondrial outer membrane [40], might be a direct phosphorylation substrate for TOR signalling. In this model, two distinct states of Puf3-mRNA complexes would exist: (i) a repressive complex associated with P-bodies, and (ii) an activating complex at the mitochondria, engaged in co-translational import. The balance between these states could be achieved by TOR, which is a negative regulator of Puf3 function and mitochondrial biogenesis (Fig. 3). This would allow mitochondria to swiftly adapt to the energetic, metabolic and signalling demand of the cell, without relving on time-consuming transcriptional processes. It is important to mention that the functional homologue of Puf3 in human cells is unknown to date. None of the human pumilio proteins PUM1 and PUM2 interact specifically with mRNAs encoding mitochondrial proteins [55-57]. However, further experimental work is needed to exclude a role of PUM1 and PUM2 in mRNA localisation to the mitochondria.

10. Concluding remarks

Importance of the mitochondrion in the cell physiology implies that this organelle should have the capacity to swiftly adapt its properties to the cellular or extracellular context. The transcriptional regulation of nuclear genes coding for mitochondrially localized proteins is certainly important, but it cannot account for a fast adaptive response. Recent studies have underscored the importance of a co-translational import process concerning a large set of proteins important for mitochondrial biogenesis. This process, which relies on a tight translational regulation of localized mRNAs, can rapidly respond to cellular conditions while giving the possibility to orchestrate complex assembly at discrete foci on the mitochondrial membrane. TOR signalling might play a central role in



Fig. 3. Metabolism and co-translational import regulation. (1) Puf3 may serve as a regulatory signal in processes taking place in P-bodies [41]. Puf3-mRNA complexes can be stored in P-bodies where they are translationally silenced and either degraded or released back in the translating pool [61]. (2) Reduced nutrient sensing TOR pathway or dietary restriction increase translation of nuclear-encoded mitochondrial genes [62,63]. Rapamycin alters P-bodies-linked Puf3 properties [54] and may favour the formation of co-translationally active mRNA-Puf3-Tom20 complexes. Class I mRNAs are translated to the vicinity of mitochondria under the control of the mRNA-binding protein Puf3 [10]. Puf3 and the outer membrane translocase Tom20 are both necessary for an asymmetric mRNA localization [12,13]. Puf3 interaction with the mitochondrial surface is dependent on Mdm12 [40]. This model is speculative and many of the factors involved in this equilibrium have to be studied. For instance, Mkt1 is a protein which interacts with the Poly(A)-binding protein associated factor, Pbp1, during translation and can either regulate translation of fuel (41). Mkt1 is a good candidate to play an important role in the P-bodies-Mitochondria equilibrium of Puf3 [41]. In addition, the fact that *MKT1* allele reduces the formation of *petite* colonies and compromised the growth of *petite* cells [65] is a strong indication of its role in mitochondria biogenesis. Though several other putative functions for Mkt1 have been mentioned mostly in connections with environmental conditions [66,67], its role in mitochondria biogenesis seems predominant. Yeast TOR is also a very important factor in the control of Puf3 properties [54] and the recent finding that, in a leukemic cell line, mTOR also controls mitochondria biogenesis through the YY1-PGC-1α transcriptional complexes [68] thus connecting transcriptional and post transcriptional regulation.

this regulation via modification of mRNA-binding proteins like Puf3 [54]. Recent data showed that reduced TOR signalling extends yeast chronological life span by increasing oxygen consumption. This is, in part, due to a up-regulation of the translation of mtDNA-encoded OXPHOS subunits [58]. TOR could then be an important element to connect mitochondrial protein import and the synthesis of mitochondrial proteins. Interestingly Puf3 controls the translation of many important mRNAs including TOM20 mRNA, which codes for an important component of the outer membrane translocator. The Tom20-Puf3 couple, which may sense the environmental context, seems to be important to regulate the in vivo import of many mitochondrial proteins [13]. Unquestionably the Tom20-Puf3 regulon is not the only protein association in charge of the mitochondrial import regulation but it is a paradigmatic example which certainly opens the way to new approaches to study mitochondrial biogenesis and which may be relevant to better understand dramatic pathological situations.

Acknowledgements

F.D. and C.J. work on mRNA asymmetric localization at mitochondria is supported by a Grant from the Agence pour la Recherche contre le Cancer (ARC). We thank Geneviève Lorieux for her helpful suggestions on Fig. 1.

References

- Hock, M.B. and Kralli, A. (2009) Transcriptional control of mitochondrial biogenesis and function. Annu. Rev. Physiol. 71, 177–203.
- [2] Kundaje, A., Xin, X., Lan, C., Lianoglou, S., Zhou, M., Zhang, L. and Leslie, C. (2008) A predictive model of the oxygen and heme regulatory network in yeast. PLoS Comput. Biol. 4, e1000224.
- [3] Kwast, K.E., Burke, P.V. and Poyton, R.O. (1998) Oxygen sensing and the transcriptional regulation of oxygen-responsive genes in yeast. J. Exp. Biol. 201, 1177–1195.
- [4] Mokranjac, D. and Neupert, W. (2009) Thirty years of protein translocation into mitochondria: unexpectedly complex and still puzzling. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1793, 33–41.
- [5] Chacinska, A., Koehler, C.M., Milenkovic, D., Lithgow, T. and Pfanner, N. (2009) Importing mitochondrial proteins: machineries and mechanisms. Cell 138, 628–644.
- [6] MacKenzie, J.A. and Payne, R.M. (2007) Mitochondrial protein import and human health and disease. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1772, 509–523.
- [7] Mukhopadhyay, A., Ni, L. and Weiner, H. (2004) A co-translational model to explain the in vivo import of proteins into HeLa cell mitochondria. Biochem. J. 382, 385–392.
- [8] Fujiki, M. and Verner, K. (1993) Coupling of cytosolic protein synthesis and mitochondrial protein import in yeast. Evidence for cotranslational import in vivo. J. Biol. Chem. 268, 1914–1920.
- [9] Kellems, R.E., Allison, V.F. and Butow, R.A. (1974) Cytoplasmic type 80S ribosomes associated with yeast mitochondria. II. Evidence for the association of cytoplasmic ribosomes with the outer mitochondrial membrane in situ. J. Biol. Chem. 249, 3297–3303.
- [10] Saint-Georges, Y., Garcia, M., Delaveau, T., Jourdren, L., Le Crom, S., Lemoine, S., Tanty, V., Devaux, F. and Jacq, C. (2008) Yeast mitochondrial biogenesis: a role for the PUF RNA-binding protein Puf3p in mRNA localization. PLoS ONE 3, e2293.
- [11] Marc, P., Margeot, A., Devaux, F., Blugeon, C., Corral-Debrinski, M. and Jacq, C. (2002) Genome-wide analysis of mRNAs targeted to yeast mitochondria. EMBO Rep. 3, 159–164.
- [12] Garcia, M., Delaveau, T., Goussard, S. and Jacq, C. (2010) Mitochondrial presequence and open reading frame mediate asymmetric localization of messenger RNA. EMBO Rep. 11, 285–291.
- [13] Eliyahu, E., Pnueli, L., Melamed, D., Scherrer, T., Gerber, A.P., Pines, O., Rapaport, D. and Arava, Y. (2010) Tom20 mediates localization of mRNAs to mitochondria in a translation-dependent manner. Mol. Cell. Biol. 30, 284– 294.
- [14] Regev-Rudzki, N., Battat, E., Goldberg, I. and Pines, O. (2009) Dual localization of fumarase is dependent on the integrity of the glyoxylate shunt. Mol. Microbiol. 72, 297–306.
- [15] Regev-Rudzki, N., Yogev, O. and Pines, O. (2008) The mitochondrial targeting sequence tilts the balance between mitochondrial and cytosolic dual localization. J. Cell Sci. 121, 2423–2431.
- [16] Dessi, P. and Whelan, J. (1997) Temporal regulation of in vitro import of precursor proteins into tobacco mitochondria. FEBS Lett. 415, 173–178.
- [17] Craig, E.E., Chesley, A. and Hood, D.A. (1998) Thyroid hormone modifies mitochondrial phenotype by increasing protein import without altering degradation. Am. J. Physiol. 275, C1508–C1515.

- [18] Craig, E.E. and Hood, D.A. (1997) Influence of aging on protein import into cardiac mitochondria. Am. J. Physiol. 272, H2983–H2988.
- [19] Ilkow, C.S., Weckbecker, D., Cho, W.J., Meier, S., Beatch, M.D., Goping, I.S., Herrmann, J.M. and Hobman, T.C. (2010) The rubella virus capsid protein inhibits mitochondrial import. J. Virol. 84, 119–130.
- [20] Howell, K.A., Cheng, K., Murcha, M.W., Jenkin, L.E., Millar, A.H. and Whelan, J. (2007) Oxygen initiation of respiration and mitochondrial biogenesis in rice. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 15619–15631.
- [21] Millar, A.H., Trend, A.E. and Heazlewood, J.L. (2004) Changes in the mitochondrial proteome during the anoxia to air transition in rice focus around cytochrome-containing respiratory complexes. J. Biol. Chem. 279, 39471–39478.
- [22] Mokranjac, D. and Neupert, W. (2010) The many faces of the mitochondrial TIM23 complex. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1797, 1045–1054.
- [23] van der Laan, M., Wiedemann, N., Mick, D.U., Guiard, B., Rehling, P. and Pfanner, N. (2006) A role for Tim21 in membrane-potential-dependent preprotein sorting in mitochondria. Curr. Biol. 16, 2271–2276.
- [24] Dienhart, M.K. and Stuart, R.A. (2008) The yeast Aac2 protein exists in physical association with the cytochrome bc1-COX supercomplex and the TIM23 machinery. Mol. Biol. Cell 19, 3934–3943.
- [25] Murcha, M.W., Huang, T. and Whelan, J. (1999) Import of precursor proteins into mitochondria from soybean tissues during development. FEBS Lett. 464, 53-59.
- [26] Brauer, M.J., Huttenhower, C., Airoldi, E.M., Rosenstein, R., Matese, J.C., Gresham, D., Boer, V.M., Troyanskaya, O.G. and Botstein, D. (2008) Coordination of growth rate, cell cycle, stress response, and metabolic activity in yeast. Mol. Biol. Cell 19, 352–367.
- [27] Schmelzle, T. and Hall, M.N. (2000) TOR, a central controller of cell growth. Cell 103, 253–262.
- [28] Bonawitz, N.D., Chatenay-Lapointe, M., Pan, Y. and Shadel, G.S. (2007) Reduced TOR signaling extends chronological life span via increased respiration and upregulation of mitochondrial gene expression. Cell Metab. 5, 265–277.
- [29] Shamji, A.F., Kuruvilla, F.G. and Schreiber, S.L. (2000) Partitioning the transcriptional program induced by rapamycin among the effectors of the Tor proteins. Curr. Biol. 10, 1574–1581.
- [30] Arsham, A.M., Howell, J.J. and Simon, M.C. (2003) A novel hypoxia-inducible factor-independent hypoxic response regulating mammalian target of rapamycin and its targets. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 29655–29660.
- [31] Sarbassov, D.D. and Sabatini, D.M. (2005) Redox regulation of the nutrientsensitive raptor-mTOR pathway and complex. J. Biol. Chem. 280, 39505– 39509.
- [32] Schieke, S.M. and Finkel, T. (2006) Mitochondrial signaling, TOR, and life span. Biol. Chem. 387, 1357–1361.
- [33] Ramanathan, A. and Schreiber, S.L. (2009) Direct control of mitochondrial function by mTOR. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 106, 22229–22232.
- [34] Urban, J., Soulard, A., Huber, A., Lippman, S., Mukhopadhyay, D., Deloche, O., Wanke, V., Anrather, D., Ammerer, G., Riezman, H., Broach, J.R., De Virgilio, C., Hall, M.N. and Loewith, R. (2007) Sch9 is a major target of TORC1 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell 26, 663–674.
- [35] Lavoie, H. and Whiteway, M. (2008) Increased respiration in the sch9Delta mutant is required for increasing chronological life span but not replicative life span. Eukaryotic Cell 7, 1127–1135.
- [36] Lecuyer, E., Yoshida, H. and Krause, H.M. (2009) Global implications of mRNA localization pathways in cellular organization. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 21, 409– 415.
- [37] Vögtle, F.N., Wortelkamp, S., Zahedi, R.P., Becker, D., Leidhold, C., Gevaert, K., Kellermann, J., Voos, W., Sickmann, A., Pfanner, N. and Meisinger, C. (2009) Global analysis of the mitochondrial N-proteome identifies a processing peptidase critical for protein stability. Cell 139, 428–439.
- [38] MacKenzie, J.A. and Payne, R.M. (2004) Ribosomes specifically bind to mammalian mitochondria via protease-sensitive proteins on the outer membrane. J. Biol. Chem. 279, 9803–9810.
- [39] Gerber, A.P., Herschlag, D. and Brown, P.O. (2004) Extensive association of functionally and cytotopically related mRNAs with Puf family RNA-binding proteins in yeast. PLoS Biol. 2, E79.
- [40] Garcia-Rodriguez, LJ., Gay, A.C. and Pon, L.A. (2007) Puf3p, a Pumilio family RNA binding protein, localizes to mitochondria and regulates mitochondrial biogenesis and motility in budding yeast. J. Cell Biol. 176, 197–207.
- [41] Lee, S.I., Dudley, A.M., Drubin, D., Silver, P.A., Krogan, N.J., Pe'er, D. and Koller, D. (2009) Learning a prior on regulatory potential from eQTL data. PLoS Genet. 5, e1000358.
- [42] Lithgow, T., Cuezva, J.M. and Silver, P.A. (1997) Highways for protein delivery to the mitochondria. Trends Biochem. Sci. 22, 110–113.
- [43] Tu, B.P., Kudlicki, A., Rowicka, M. and McKnight, S.L. (2005) Logic of the yeast metabolic cycle: temporal compartmentalization of cellular processes. Science 310, 1152–1158.
- [44] Lelandais, G., Saint-Georges, Y., Geneix, C., Al-Shikhley, L., Dujardin, G. and Jacq, C. (2009) Spatio-temporal dynamics of yeast mitochondrial biogenesis: transcriptional and post-transcriptional mRNA oscillatory modules. PLoS Comput. Biol. 5, e1000409.
- [45] Fontanesi, F., Soto, I.C., Horn, D. and Barrientos, A. (2006) Assembly of mitochondrial cytochrome c-oxidase, a complicated and highly regulated cellular process. Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol. 291, C1129–C1147.
- [46] DeRisi, J.L., Iyer, V.R. and Brown, P.O. (1997) Exploring the metabolic and genetic control of gene expression on a genomic scale. Science 278, 680–686.

- [47] Fontanesi, F., Soto, I.C. and Barrientos, A. (2008) Cytochrome c oxidase biogenesis: new levels of regulation. IUBMB Life 60, 557–568.
- [48] Carr, H.S. and Winge, D.R. (2003) Assembly of cytochrome *c* oxidase within the mitochondrion. Acc. Chem. Res. 36, 309–316.
- [49] Khalimonchuk, O., Bestwick, M., Meunier, B., Watts, T.C. and Winge, D.R. (2010) Formation of the redox cofactor centers during Cox1 maturation in yeast cytochrome oxidase. Mol. Cell. Biol. 30, 1004–1017.
- [50] Pierrel, F., Bestwick, M.L., Cobine, P.A., Khalimonchuk, O., Cricco, J.A. and Winge, D.R. (2007) Coa1 links the Mss51 post-translational function to Cox1 cofactor insertion in cytochrome *c* oxidase assembly. EMBO J. 26, 4335–4346.
- [51] Bonnefoy, N., Chalvet, F., Hamel, P., Slonimski, P.P. and Dujardin, G. (1994) OXA1, a Saccharomyces cerevisiae nuclear gene whose sequence is conserved from prokaryotes to eukaryotes controls cytochrome oxidase biogenesis. J. Mol. Biol. 239, 201–212.
- [52] Garcia-Martinez, J., Aranda, A. and Perez-Ortin, J.E. (2004) Genomic run-on evaluates transcription rates for all yeast genes and identifies gene regulatory mechanisms. Mol. Cell 15, 303–313.
- [53] Keene, J.D. (2007) Biological clocks and the coordination theory of RNA operons and regulons. Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol. 72, 157–165.
- [54] Foat, B.C., Houshmandi, S.S., Olivas, W.M. and Bussemaker, H.J. (2005) Profiling condition-specific, genome-wide regulation of mRNA stability in yeast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102, 17675–17680.
- [55] Galgano, A., Forrer, M., Jaskiewicz, L., Kanitz, A., Zavolan, M. and Gerber, A.P. (2008) Comparative analysis of mRNA targets for human PUF-family proteins suggests extensive interaction with the miRNA regulatory system. PLoS ONE 3, e3164.
- [56] Hafner, M., Landthaler, M., Burger, L., Khorshid, M., Hausser, J., Berninger, P., Rothballer, A., Ascano Jr., M., Jungkamp, A.C., Munschauer, M., Ulrich, A., Wardle, G.S., Dewell, S., Zavolan, M. and Tuschl, T. (2010) Transcriptome-wide identification of RNA-binding protein and microRNA target sites by PAR-CLIP. Cell 141, 129–141.
- [57] Morris, A.R., Mukherjee, N. and Keene, J.D. (2008) Ribonomic analysis of human Pum1 reveals cis-trans conservation across species despite evolution of diverse mRNA target sets. Mol. Cell. Biol. 28, 4093–4103.

- [58] Shadel, G.S. and Pan, Y. (2009) Multi-faceted regulation of mitochondria by TOR. Cell Cycle 8, 2143.
- [59] Lloyd, D. and Murray, D.B. (2005) Ultradian metronome: timekeeper for orchestration of cellular coherence. Trends Biochem. Sci. 30, 373–377.
- [60] Mick, D.U., Wagner, K., van der Laan, M., Frazier, A.E., Perschil, I., Pawlas, M., Meyer, H.E., Warscheid, B. and Rehling, P. (2007) Shy1 couples Cox1 translational regulation to cytochrome *c* oxidase assembly. EMBO J. 26, 4347–4358.
- [61] Brengues, M., Teixeira, D. and Parker, R. (2005) Movement of eukaryotic mRNAs between polysomes and cytoplasmic processing bodies. Science 310, 486–489.
- [62] Zid, B.M., Rogers, A.N., Katewa, S.D., Vargas, M.A., Kolipinski, M.C., Lu, T.A., Benzer, S. and Kapahi, P. (2009) 4E-BP extends lifespan upon dietary restriction by enhancing mitochondrial activity in Drosophila. Cell 139, 149– 160.
- [63] Bonawitz, N.D. and Shadel, G.S. (2007) Rethinking the mitochondrial theory of aging: the role of mitochondrial gene expression in lifespan determination. Cell Cycle 6, 1574–1578.
- [64] Tadauchi, T., Inada, T., Matsumoto, K. and Irie, K. (2004) Posttranscriptional regulation of HO expression by the Mkt1-Pbp1 complex. Mol. Cell. Biol. 24, 3670–3681.
- [65] Dimitrov, L.N., Brem, R.B., Kruglyak, L. and Gottschling, D.E. (2009) Polymorphisms in multiple genes contribute to the spontaneous mitochondrial genome instability of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* S288C strains. Genetics 183, 365–383.
- [66] Smith, E.N. and Kruglyak, L. (2008) Gene-environment interaction in yeast gene expression. PLoS Biol. 6, e83.
- [67] Kim, H.S. and Fay, J.C. (2009) A combined-cross analysis reveals genes with drug-specific and background-dependent effects on drug sensitivity in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics 183, 1141–1151.
- [68] Cunningham, J.T., Rodgers, J.T., Arlow, D.H., Vazquez, F., Mootha, V.K. and Puigserver, P. (2007) MTOR controls mitochondrial oxidative function through a YY1-PGC-1alpha transcriptional complex. Nature 450, 736– 740.