

Forecasting Hot Water Consumption Demand for Residential Dwellings Using Hybrid Regression Technique

Ibrahim Ali Kachalla, Christian Ghiaus

▶ To cite this version:

Ibrahim Ali Kachalla, Christian Ghiaus. Forecasting Hot Water Consumption Demand for Residential Dwellings Using Hybrid Regression Technique. 15th IEEE International Conference on Green Energy and Smart Systems (GESS 2024), IEEE, Nov 2024, Long Beach, CA, United States. pp.1-6, 10.1109/GESS63533.2024.10784974. hal-04838039

HAL Id: hal-04838039 https://hal.science/hal-04838039v1

Submitted on 14 Dec 2024 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Forecasting Hot Water Consumption Demand for Residential Dwellings Using Hybrid Regression Technique

1st Ibrahim Ali Kachalla Centre d'Energétique et de Thermique de Lyon CETHIL UMR5008, INSA Lyon, Université de Lyon F-69621 Villeurbanne, France ibrahim-ali.kachalla@insa-lyon.fr

Abstract—Residential buildings contribute approximately 30% of global greenhouse gas emissions, with electric water boilers accounting for around 20% of total energy consumption. The development of a smart renewable energy system and control requires adequate and accurate forecasting models to mitigate both short-term and long-term energy demand. Accurate forecasting of hot water consumption is crucial for optimizing load demand scheduling and grid energy management in residential dwellings. This study develops hybrid forecasting models by combining a seasonal auto-regressive model (SARIMA) with machine learning models, specifically Support Vector Regression (SVR) and Artificial Neural Network (ANN). The study uses six months of hot water consumption data from residential electric boilers, categorized into hourly and daily scenarios. The models are evaluated based on performance metrics, including Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) and Mean Absolute Error (MAE). Results obtain, indicate that the SARIMA-ANN model outperforms the SARIMA-SVR model in terms of both predictive accuracy and computational efficiency. The SARIMA-ANN model's superior feature mapping, in both long- and short-time frames, makes it optimal and robust for Model Predictive Control (MPC) applications and real-time use, especially in renewable energy grid integration. It offers a more scalable solution compared to the hyperplane-dependent SARIMA-SVR model.

Index Terms—Energy consumption, Electric water boilers, Hybrid machine learning model, Hot water consumption, Residential buildings.

I. INTRODUCTION

With the rise in global warming, transitioning to green energy sources like PV systems has become essential. This shift is crucial, especially given the projected 50% increase in energy demand due to industrial and residential activities, with the residential sector accounting for 20% of this increase. A significant portion of residential energy consumption comes from hot water use, including showering, kitchen activities, and bathing, which contributes to energy demand fluctuations. Electric water boilers alone account for 45.8% of home energy consumption [1]. Implementing energy-saving measures in this sector can significantly reduce overall energy usage, lower greenhouse gas emissions, and 2nd Christian Ghiaus

Centre d'Energétique et de Thermique de Lyon CETHIL UMR5008, INSA Lyon, Université de Lyon F-69621 Villeurbanne, France christian.ghiaus@insa-lyon.fr

support a more sustainable energy system. The development of robust forecasting models that can accurately predict hot water usage based on hourly and daily consumption can help mitigate this challenge [2], [3]. These hot water consumption patterns span across the year and include various end-use activities such as showering, kitchen use, bathtub reheating, and bathtub filling.

Accurate models are crucial for enhancing Model Predictive Control (MPC) strategies for demand side response management. However, developing these models presents challenges, particularly in handling the complexities of time series data, regression analysis, and the non-linearity of user pattern and behavior. This non-linearity is especially evident in the hourly, daily, and seasonal variations in hot water usage. Load demand models have evolved from traditional statistical methods to advanced machine learning and hybrid techniques [4]–[7]. Time series models like ARIMA are widely used for capturing seasonal trends, stochastic behaviours, and temporal dynamics in hot water consumption data [6], [8]–[12].

Aurore Lomet et al. [13] developed an ARIMA model to forecast daily domestic hot water (DHW) consumption, accounting for weekly periodicity, prior-day consumption, and random fluctuations, demonstrating its potential. Traditional regression analysis, such as linear regression, remains important for modeling the relationship between hot water and energy consumption, considering factors like ambient temperature and usage behaviour over time [14], [15]. Techniques like Support Vector Regression (SVR) are also effective for handling non-linearity and are recognized as powerful tools in machine learning, complementing classical methods like ARIMA, exponential smoothing, and ARMAX [16]–[18].

In recent years, machine learning technologies such as Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) have become popular for modeling hot water consumption due to their ability to manage the complexities of non-linear end-user consumption [19]–[21]. Sheng Cao et al., [22] proposed using a support vector machine (SVM) to predict occupants' showering behaviors, achieving a 33% reduction in hot water demand compared to traditional control methods. Similarly, techniques like SVR and ensemble methods have shown exceptional performance in managing high-dimensional and non-linear data [4], [6], [23]. Christopher Bennett et al., [19], developed an ANN-based model for residential water end-use demand forecasting. Combining classical regression methods with machine learning has paved the way for more accurate and hybrid load demand models, particularly in scenarios involving complex stochastic user behaviour in residential building applications for grid demand response management [24]. These hybrid models leverage the strengths and compensate for the weaknesses of each approach to obtain a more accurate hot water consumption profile [25].

Our study highlights the need for a comparative analysis of hybrid models (SARIMA-ANN and SARIMA-SVR) specifically for hot water consumption in residential building applications, an area that has received limited attention. Such a study could help identify the most suitable modeling technique based on forecasting accuracy and computational efficiency. Table I summarizes related literature on hot water consumption in residential dwellings, focusing on research methods, forecast horizons, data scenarios, and gaps in hybrid model comparisons. This study combines classical regression (SARIMA) with two machine learning techniques (SVR and ANN) to develop SARIMA-ANN and SARIMA-SVR models. The focus is on forecasting hot water consumption in residential buildings and comparing the accuracy of these models in both short-term and long-term forecasting horizons using various performance metrics. The article is structured as follows: first, the methodology for developing the forecasting models; followed by results and discussion; a comparative analysis of the models; and concluding with recommendations for future research.

II. METHODOLOGY

The research methodology employed in this study aims to compare two hybrid forecasting models that integrate time series and regression techniques (SARIMA) with machine learning methods (SVR and ANN). These integrations yield two hybrid models: SARIMA-SVR and SARIMA-ANN, which are developed through empirical evaluation and a structured methodology. The primary objectives are to compare the prediction and forecast accuracy of these models across two different scenarios for domestic hot water boiler consumption, as detailed in Table II. The models' performance is evaluated using RMSE and MAE performance metrics. This section outlines the detailed model development and research methodology framework, as illustrated in Figure 1.

A. Data description and processing

The hot water consumption data for this case study was obtained from 67 apartments. Data were collected from



Fig. 1. Research methodology framework

December 1, 2020, to June 11, 2021. The data were used to evaluate forecasts, categorizing the consumption into two distinct scenarios, as detailed in Table II. The statistical description of the training period data-set is presented in Figure 2 and Figure 3.

The daily consumption scenario (Fig. 3) shows more significant variability and higher average usage compared to the hourly scenario (Fig. 2), indicating more consistent daily consumption patterns with distinct peaks, especially in apartments 4, 5, and 10. Furthermore, the models were trained using 80% of the data, with the remaining 20% serving as the test set. Furthermore, Table II, outlines the different scenarios used for evaluating the forecasting models, detailing the time-step, training period, and forecast length for each scenario.

B. Hybrid model development

In this study, the hybridization of seasonal learning regression (SARIMA) with machine learning techniques (ANN and SVR) is modeled using a two-stage prediction and forecasting approach. In the first stage, the SARIMA model is employed to capture the seasonal linear components within a 24hour seasonality. The residuals obtained from the SARIMA model, along with the hourly and daily data, are then used as features in the second stage prediction using the machine learning models.

 TABLE I

 Comparison of Various Research Methods and Data Scenarios

	Research Methods						
Authors	Regression	ession Machine Learning Hybrid		Forecast horizon	Data Scenarios	Research disparity	
Fargallo et al. [26]	\checkmark^a			b	98 apartments, 2015 to 2021	failed to compare hy- brid techniques	
Louis-Gabriel et al. [27]		\checkmark		_	40-unit residential building located in Quebec City (Canada)	failed to compare Hy- brid techniques	
Ferrantelli et al. [28]	\checkmark			-	Finland dwellings, November & August	failed to compare Hy- brid techniques	
Juan de Santiago et al. [29]	\checkmark			-	401 per person in swiss dwelling	failed to compare Hy- brid techniques	
Azar Nilsson et al. [20]		\checkmark		monthly	Yazd, Iran, from 2011 to 2020	Did not consider Hy- brid techniques	
Xin Zhou et al. [25]		\checkmark	\checkmark	_	Female hostel univer- sity in Xi'an, China, 1 March to 30 Nov. 2022	Did not consider vari- ous periods or scenar- ios	
Gelazan et al. [30]	\checkmark			hourly	1hour, 2hours, 3hours for 2006 EWB	failed to compare hy- brid models	
Lomet et al. [31]	\checkmark			daily	8 residents EWBs in France, 2009 to 2011, 10 Liters timesteps	failed to compare hy- brid models	
Barteczko et al. [32]	\checkmark			-	130L EWB 47 data	failed to compare hy- brid models	
Denis et al. [14]	\checkmark			yearly	USA dwelling hot water consumption	failed to compare hy- brid models	
Xu et al. [7]		\checkmark		daily	150 EWB hot water consumption	failed to compare hy- brid models	
Bennett et al. [19]	\checkmark			liter/person	Southeast queen land residential end-user study (SEWREUS)	failed to compare hy- brid models	
S. Cao et al. [22]	\checkmark			_	7 occupants, 8.33L/mins daily and hour consumption	failed to compare hy- brid models	
Aki et al. [4]	\checkmark			-	Osaka Japan, April 2007 to March 2010	failed to compare hy- brid models	
D. Kim et al. [33]	√			-	2017 to 2022, data of apartment complex in Seongnam-si, Korea	failed to compare hy- brid models	
Rzeznik et al. [34]	\checkmark			daily	2021 Grudziadz, in Central Poland	Neglected to consider hybrid models	

^b Demand not considered by author

 TABLE II

 Scenarios (time-step) data for forecasting model evaluation

Scenarios	Time-step	Training period	Forecast length
Hourly	hourly	7 days	24 hours
Daily	24 hours	6 months	30 days

The SARIMA residuals, representing the significant nonlinear relationships between the actual time series data and the SARIMA-predicted values, are input into the machine learning models (ANN and SVR) to address the non-linear complexities that the SARIMA model could not capture. The final prediction is obtained by combining the weighted outputs from both the SARIMA model and the machine learning models. The novel hybrid models developed in this research, SARIMA-ANN and SARIMA-SVR, aim to enhance prediction accuracy by leveraging the strengths of both regression and machine learning techniques.

C. SARIMA-ANN Model Development

The Auto ARIMA algorithm searches for the optimal combination of p, d, q, P, D, Q, and s parameters to find the best-fitting SARIMA model based on the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). The best-fit SARIMA configuration, ((1,0,1), (1,0,1,24)), was selected for hybridization with machine learning models (ANN and SVR) from empirical analysis. By analyzing 24-hourly hot water consumption data with s = 24 representing the daily cycle, the model captures both regular daily consumption patterns and non-linear variations. This configuration enables accurate predictions for both short-term (hourly) and long-term (daily) forecasts. The



Fig. 2. Hot water hourly consumption scenario



Fig. 3. Hot water daily consumption scenario

hot water forecasting model uses the entire dataset to predict future consumption levels:

$$\hat{x}_{t+1} = \zeta + \phi_1 \Delta x_t + \phi_2 \Delta x_{t-1} + \phi_3 \Delta x_{t-2} + \theta_1 \epsilon_t \quad (1)$$

where \hat{x}_{t+1} is the forecast at time t + 1, ζ is a constant, and θ_1 models the error as a linear combination of past errors up to the q-th lag. The residual error of the SARIMA forecast is used as input to the machine learning models to capture the non-linear components that the SARIMA model cannot address. Forecast errors are computed as the difference between the actual observed values in the test set and the forecasted values produced by the SARIMA model:

$$f_t = y_t - \hat{y}_t \tag{2}$$

where y_t is the actual observed value at time t and \hat{y}_t is the forecasted value at time t. The forecast error f_t reflects the discrepancies between the actual outcomes and the model's

forecasts. Both e_t (residuals) and f_t (forecast errors) are used as inputs to the ANN, which aims to learn from these errors to correct similar mistakes in future predictions and capture the non-linear patterns that the linear model (SARIMA) might miss. The hybrid forecasts subsequently combine the SARIMA forecasts $\hat{y}_{f,t}$ with the ANN-forecasted $\hat{z}_{\text{fore},t}$ using a weighted sum, yielding the final forecasts of the hybridized model:

$$\hat{y}_{f,\mathrm{adj},t} = w_1 \cdot \hat{y}_{f,t} + w_2 \cdot \hat{z}_{\mathrm{fore},t} \tag{3}$$

where $\hat{y}_{f,\text{adj},t}$ is the final hybrid forecast at time t, and w_1 and w_2 are the respective weights for the SARIMA and ANN forecast contributions.

D. SARIMA-SVR Model Development

Similar to the SARIMA-ANN implementation, the SARIMA model in the SARIMA-SVR design calculates residuals e_t as follows:

$$e_t = X_t - \hat{y}_t \tag{4}$$

where e_t represents the residual at time t, X_t is the actual observed value at time t, and \hat{y}_t is the value predicted by the SARIMA model at time t.

The forecast \hat{y}_{t+k} for a future time t+k is given as:

$$\hat{y}_{t+k} = \mathbf{SAR}(X_t) \tag{5}$$

where \hat{y}_{t+k} is the forecasted value k periods ahead of the last observed time t. The forecast from the SARIMA model for future time t + k is denoted as \hat{f}_{t+k}^{SAR} , while \hat{f}_{t+k}^{SVR} is the corresponding forecast from the SVR model for the same future time t + k.

The hybrid forecasts $\hat{f}_{t+k}^{\text{hyb}}$ for future time t+k are computed as:

$$\hat{f}_{t+k}^{\text{hyb}} = w_1 \cdot \hat{f}_{t+k}^{\text{SAR}} + w_2 \cdot \hat{f}_{t+k}^{\text{SVR}}$$
(6)

where w_1 and w_2 are the weights assigned to the SARIMA and SVR predictions, respectively, and $\hat{f}_{t+k}^{\text{SAR}}$ and $\hat{f}_{t+k}^{\text{SVR}}$ represent the individual model forecasts at time t + k.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The SARIMA-ANN shows superior accuracy, particularly in short-term forecasting (1-hour), where it achieves an R^2 of 0.9766 and an RMSE of 0.0223. However, SARIMA-SVR is significantly computationally expensive, making SARIMA-ANN a more efficient model for real-time forecasting in both short and long-term scenarios.

The computational efficiency was evaluated by comparing computation times under identical simulation parameters across different scenarios. The models were developed using the Python programming language and tested on a system equipped with an 8th Gen Intel Core i5-8265U CPU @ 1.60GHz,1.80GHz, and 16.0 GB RAM. Comparative results

 TABLE III

 SUMMARY OF FORECAST PERFORMANCE METRICS FOR SARIMA-SVR AND SARIMA-ANN MODELS

Scenario (Timestep)	Algorithms Model	Computation Time	R^2	RMSE	MAE	MSE
1 hour	SARIMA-SVR	3 mins 44.2 s	0.5080	0.0862	0.0692	0.0074
1 noui	SARIMA-ANN	0.7802 s	0.9766	0.0223	0.0074	0.0005
24 hours	SARIMA-SVR	3 mins 13.0 s	0.2678	0.0616	0.0466	0.0038
24 110015	SARIMA-ANN	0.6949 s	0.4051	0.1229	0.1032	0.0152

for the prediction and forecasting capabilities are presented in Table III. The SARIMA-ANN model demonstrated low computation times in both scenarios, while the SARIMA-SVR model was the most computationally expensive, taking 3 minutes and 44 seconds in scenario one (hourly).



Fig. 4. Prediction & forecasting for hourly Scenario



Fig. 5. Prediction & forecasting daily Scenario

The results in these figures 4 and 5, show a clear pattern in how the SARIMA-ANN and SARIMA-SVR models fit to time series hot water boiler consumption data. In both hourly and daily scenarios, the SARIMA-ANN model (black line) aligns more closely with the actual data (green line), capturing both short-term fluctuations and long-term trends more accurately than the SARIMA-SVR model (red line), which exhibits greater deviations. The forecasted areas further emphasize SARIMA-ANN's superior ability to model hot water boiler consumption patterns, maintaining better consistency in both trends and forecasted values, whereas SARIMA-SVR struggles, especially during peak consumption periods, making SARIMA-ANN a better choice for hot water boiler consumption forecasting.

IV. CONCLUSION

To accurately address demand response with the integration of green energy systems in residential dwellings, it is crucial to develop accurate and robust models for hot water consumption, which this research aims to achieve. The novelty lies in incorporating daily and time-of-day features into the ANN model, along with the non-linear residuals that SARIMA could not capture in the secondstage prediction. These feature inputs enhance the hybrid model's accuracy and robustness in capturing stochastic user behavior. SARIMA-ANN has demonstrated optimal performance in both short-term and long-term predictions, which is significant for real-time kWh deployment, smart meter management, and mini-grid integration for demand response applications.

The SARIMA-ANN model proved more effective in mapping features than the SARIMA-SVR model, which relies on hyperplanes and incurs higher computational costs as the feature space and scalability increase. The hidden layers of the ANN effectively learned and captured the high dimensional feature space. Future work should explore the use of 1D Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) or transformer models to better forecast intrinsic variations, further improving forecasting accuracy and computational efficiency.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the Institut National des Sciences Appliquées de Lyon and the Centre d'Energétique et de Thermique de Lyon for providing the necessary resources and facilities to conduct this research. We are grateful to the Petroleum Technology Development Fund (PTDF), Nigeria, and Immobilier Rhône Alpes-Groupe 3 F for their financial support and data, which made this study possible. Lastly, we extend our gratitude to our families and friends for their continuous encouragement and understanding.

REFERENCES

 E. Fuentes, L. Arce, and J. Salom, "A review of domestic hot water consumption profiles for application in systems and buildings energy performance analysis," Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev., vol. 81, pp. 1530–1547, Jan. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2017.05.229.

- [2] A. Marszal-Pomianowska, B. Valeva, V. Georgieva, O. K. Larsen, R. L. Jensen, and C. Zhang, "High resolution measuring system for domestic hot water consumption. Development and field test," Energy Procedia, vol. 158, pp. 2859–2864, Feb. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.egypro.2019.01.1022.
- [3] O. De Somer, A. Soares, K. Vanthournout, F. Spiessens, T. Kuijpers, and K. Vossen, "Using reinforcement learning for demand response of domestic hot water buffers: A real-life demonstration," in 2017 IEEE PES Innovative Smart Grid Technologies Conference Europe (ISGT-Europe), IEEE, 2017, pp. 1–7. Accessed: Jan. 13, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/8260152/
- [4] H. Aki, T. Wakui, and R. Yokoyama, "Development of a domestic hot water demand prediction model based on a bottom-up approach for residential energy management systems," Appl. Therm. Eng., vol. 108, pp. 697–708, Sep. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2016.07.094.
- [5] C. Diduch, M. Shaad, R. Errouissi, M. E. Kaye, J. Meng, and L. Chang, "Aggregated domestic electric water heater control - building on smart grid infrastructure," in Proceedings of The 7th International Power Electronics and Motion Control Conference, Jun. 2012, pp. 128–135. doi: 10.1109/IPEMC.2012.6258873.
- [6] I. A. Kachalla and C. Ghiaus, "Electric Water Boiler Energy Prediction: State-of-the-Art Review of Influencing Factors, Techniques, and Future Directions," Energies, vol. 17, no. 2, p. 443, 2024, Accessed: Mar. 12, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/17/2/443
- [7] J. Xu, H. Mahmood, H. Xiao, E. Anderlini, and M. Abusara, "Electric Water Heaters Management via Reinforcement Learning With Time-Delay in Isolated Microgrids," IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 132569–132579, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3112817.
- [8] M. J. Booysen, J. A. A. Engelbrecht, M. J. Ritchie, M. Apperley, and A. H. Cloete, "How much energy can optimal control of domestic water heating save?," Energy Sustain. Dev., vol. 51, pp. 73–85, Aug. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.esd.2019.05.004.
- [9] J. Runge and E. Saloux, "A comparison of prediction and forecasting artificial intelligence models to estimate the future energy demand in a district heating system," Energy, vol. 269, p. 126661, Apr. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2023.126661.
- [10] T. Ahmad, H. Chen, Y. Guo, and J. Wang, "A comprehensive overview on the data driven and large scale based approaches for forecasting of building energy demand: A review," Energy Build., vol. 165, pp. 301–320, Apr. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.enbuild.2018.01.017.
- [11] K. Tanha, A. S. Fung, and R. Kumar, "Simulation and experimental investigation of two hybrid solar domestic water heaters with drain water heat recovery," Int. J. Energy Res., vol. 39, no. 14, pp. 1879–1889, 2015, doi: 10.1002/er.3370.
- [12] L. Wang, H. Zou, J. Su, L. Li, and S. Chaudhry, "An ARIMA-ANN Hybrid Model for Time Series Forecasting," Syst. Res. Behav. Sci., vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 244–259, 2013, doi: 10.1002/sres.2179.
- [13] A. Lomet, F. Suard, and D. Chèze, "Statistical modeling for real domestic hot water consumption forecasting," Energy Procedia, vol. 70, pp. 379–387, 2015, Accessed: Feb. 13, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S187661021500260X
- [14] Y. Denis, F. Suard, A. Lomet, and D. Chèze, "Saving energy by anticipating hot water production: identification of key points for an efficient statistical model integration," AI EDAM, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 138–147, May 2019, doi: 10.1017/S0890060419000143.
- [15] M. J. Ritchie, J. A. A. Engelbrecht, and M. J. Booysen, "Predicting residential water and electricity usage profiles with a temporal histogram model," Sustain. Cities Soc., vol. 99, p. 104884, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.scs.2023.104884.
- [16] J. Cao, L. Dong, and L. Xue, "Load Scheduling for an Electric Water Heater With Forecasted Price Using Deep Reinforcement Learning," in 2020 Chinese Automation Congress (CAC), Nov. 2020, pp. 2500–2505. doi: 10.1109/CAC51589.2020.9326475.
- [17] M. Sharifi, A. Kouti, E. Lambie, Y. Ma, M. F. Boneta, and M. H. Shamsi, "A Comprehensive Framework for Data-Driven Building End-Use Assessment Utilizing Monitored Operational Parameters," Energies, vol. 16, no. 20, p. 7132, 2023, Accessed: Mar. 12, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/16/20/7132.
- [18] S. Jiang, M. Lian, C. Lu, S. Ruan, Z. Wang, and B. Chen, "SVM-DS fusion based soft fault detection and diagnosis in solar water heaters," Energy Explor. Exploit., vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 1125–1146, May 2019, doi: 10.1177/0144598718816604.

- [19] C. Bennett, R. A. Stewart, and C. D. Beal, "ANN-based residential water end-use demand forecasting model," Expert Syst. Appl., vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 1014–1023, 2013, Accessed: Oct. 08, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0957417412009736
- [20] A. Niknam, H. K. Zare, H. Hosseininasab, and A. Mostafaeipour, "Developing an LSTM model to forecast the monthly water consumption according to the effects of the climatic factors in Yazd, Iran," J. Eng. Res., vol. 11, no. 1, p. 100028, 2023, Accessed: May 14, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2307187723000287
- [21] H. Saele and O. S. Grande, "Demand Response From Household Customers: Experiences From a Pilot Study in Norway," IEEE Trans. Smart Grid, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 102–109, Mar. 2011, doi: 10.1109/TSG.2010.2104165.
- [22] S. Cao, S. Hou, L. Yu, and J. Lu, "Predictive control based on occupant behavior prediction for domestic hot water system using data mining algorithm," Energy Sci. Eng., vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 1214–1232, 2019, doi: 10.1002/ese3.341.
- [23] F. Magoules and H.-X. Zhao, Data Mining and Machine Learning in Building Energy Analysis. John Wiley & Sons, 2016.
- [24] E. J. M. Blokker, J. H. G. Vreeburg, and J. C. Van Dijk, "Simulating Residential Water Demand with a Stochastic End-Use Model," J. Water Resour. Plan. Manag., vol. 136, no. 1, pp. 19–26, Jan. 2010, doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)WR.1943-5452.0000002.
- [25] X. Zhou, X. Meng, and Z. Li, "ANN-LSTM-A Water Consumption Prediction Based on Attention Mechanism Enhancement," Energies, vol. 17, no. 5, Art. no. 5, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.3390/en17051102.
- [26] A. Pérez-Fargallo, D. Bienvenido-Huertas, S. Contreras-Espinoza, and L. Marín-Restrepo, "Domestic hot water consumption prediction models suited for dwellings in central-southern parts of Chile," J. Build. Eng., vol. 49, p. 104024, May 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.jobe.2022.104024.
- [27] L.-G. Maltais and L. Gosselin, "Energy management of domestic hot water systems with model predictive control and demand forecast based on machine learning," Energy Convers. Manag. X, vol. 15, p. 100254, Aug. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.ecmx.2022.100254.
- [28] A. Ferrantelli, K. Ahmed, P. Pylsy, and J. Kurnitski, "Analytical modelling and prediction formulas for domestic hot water consumption in residential Finnish apartments," Energy Build., vol. 143, pp. 53–60, 2017, Accessed: Oct. 15, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378778817308241
- [29] J. de Santiago, O. Rodriguez-Villalón, and B. Sicre, "The generation of domestic hot water load profiles in Swiss residential buildings through statistical predictions," Energy Build., vol. 141, pp. 341–348, Apr. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.enbuild.2017.02.045.
- [30] L. Gelažanskas and K. A. A. Gamage, "Forecasting hot water consumption in dwellings using artificial neural networks," in 2015 IEEE 5th International Conference on Power Engineering, Energy and Electrical Drives (POWERENG), May 2015, pp. 410–415. doi: 10.1109/PowerEng.2015.7266352.
- [31] A. Lomet, F. Suard, and D. Chèze, "Energy Procedia," vol. 70, pp. 379–387, 2015, Accessed: Feb. 13, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S187661021500260X
- [32] C. Barteczko-Hibbert, M. Gillott, and G. Kendall, "An artificial neural network for predicting domestic hot water characteristics," Int. J. Low-Carbon Technol., vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 112–119, 2009.
- [33] D. Kim, T. Yim, and J. Y. Lee, "Analytical study on changes in domestic hot water use caused by COVID-19 pandemic," Energy, vol. 231, p. 120915, 2021.
- [34] W. Rzeźnik, I. Rzeźnik, and P. Hara, "Comparison of Real and Forecasted Domestic Hot Water Consumption and Demand for Heat Power in Multifamily Buildings, in Poland," Energies, vol. 15, no. 19, Art. no. 19, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.3390/en15196871.