

Zonal fields as catalysts and inhibitors of turbulence-driven magnetic islands -Supplementary Material

D Villa, N Dubuit, O Agullo, X Garbet

To cite this version:

D Villa, N Dubuit, O Agullo, X Garbet. Zonal fields as catalysts and inhibitors of turbulence-driven magnetic islands -Supplementary Material. Physics of Plasmas, In press. hal-04833908

HAL Id: hal-04833908 <https://hal.science/hal-04833908v1>

Submitted on 12 Dec 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Zonal fields as catalysts and inhibitors of turbulence-driven magnetic islands

D. Villa

Max Planck Institute for Plasma Physics, Boltzmannstraße 2, 85748, Garching, Germany and Excellence Cluster ORIGINS, Boltzmannstraße 2, D-85748, Garching, Germany[∗]

N. Dubuit and O. Agullo

Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS, PIIM UMR 7345, Marseille, France

X. Garbet

CEA, IRFM, F-13108 Saint-Paul-Lez-Durance, France and School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, 637371 Singapore (Dated: December 12, 2024)

Abstract

A novel coalescence process is shown to take place in plasma fluid simulations, leading to the formation of large-scale magnetic islands that become dynamically important in the system. The parametric dependence of the process on the plasma β and the background magnetic shear is studied, and the process is broken down at a fundamental level, allowing to clearly identify its causes and dynamics. The formation of magnetic-island-like structures at the spatial scale of the unstable modes is observed quite early in the non-linear phase of the simulation for most cases studied, as the unstable modes change their structure from interchange-like to tearing-like. This is followed by a slow coalescence process that evolves these magnetic structures towards larger and larger scales, adding to the large-scale tearing-like modes that already form by direct coupling of neighbouring unstable modes, but remain sub-dominant without the contribution from the smaller scales through coalescence. The presence of the cubic non-linearities retained in the model is essential in the dynamics of this process. The zonal fields are key actors of the overall process, acting as mediators between the competitive mechanisms from which Turbulence Driven Magnetic Islands can develop. The zonal current is found to slow down the formation of large-scale magnetic islands, acting as an inhibitor, while the zonal flow is needed to allow the system to transfer energy to the larger scales, acting as a catalyst for the island formation process.

leads to the re-organization of the magnetic configuration and lowers the overall internal energy of the system. Magnetic reconnection itself is a phenomenon of interest to the whole domain of magnetized plasma physics, as it leads to localized heating and changes in the magnetic field topology, thus strongly affecting the properties and dynamics of the plasma [1–3]. In case the system allows for

Magnetic islands are a well-studied phe-it, the newly formed magnetic structures can nomenon in plasma physics, being the prod-form subsets of nested flux surfaces that can uct of magnetic reconnection, a process that act as a localized confinement region, the magnetic island itself.

> Despite the large volume of work done on magnetic islands [4–8], there are still open questions about the fundamental properties of these structures and the dynamics of reconnection, namely how exactly turbulence drives reconnection, thus forming Turbulence Driven Magnetic Islands (TDMIs), what are the differences in the dynamics for a plasma that undergoes reconnection at different plasma $\beta = \frac{2\mu_0 p}{B^2}$ regimes (where p is

[∗] daniele.villa@ipp.mpg.de

constant) and how do zonal fields (i.e. axisymmetric flows and currents) interact with magnetic islands. Of particular interest for this work are the dynamics of turbulent reconnection $[7, 9-11]$, the generation of magfields [16–19].

In this letter, the generation of magnetic islands by turbulence is shown in systems with varying plasma β , magnetic shear and instability drive. The relation of the new process to those previously explored in the literature [12, 13] is addressed, and, as will be detailed, this new process comes in addition to, and supercedes, previous processes leading to TDMI formation, in particular in nearmarginal regimes relevant to low- β plasmas. The core finding of this paper is illustrated in Fig. 1, where the transition of the system from the linear interchange instability to the non-linear formation of TDMIs through coalescence is shown as a sequence of isocontours of the field ψ at different times.

To demonstrate the presence of these mechanisms and assess their importance, a reduced 6-field fluid model is considered, developed starting from the Braginskii fluid equations [20, 21], allowing to describe both small-scale phenomena, like interchange in-

the plasma pressure, B the magnetic field stabilities and the deriving turbulence, and strength and μ_0 the vacuum permeability large-scale ones, like magnetic islands and netic islands by turbulence [12–15] and the and the ionic parallel velocity u_{\parallel} . Reduction interaction of magnetic islands with zonal of the system to single-helicity "2D" slab gezonal fields, on the time scales needed to study (resistive) magnetic reconnection. The model evolves 6 dynamic fields: the magnetic potential ψ , the electrostatic potential ϕ , the electron and ion pressure $p_{e/i}$, the density n ometry allows studying the fundamentals of the process in a simplified and generic environment, where the only requirement is a strong background magnetic field that allows defining the geometry of the system in reference to a chosen magnetic helicity (that identifies a "resonant position" [4, 22]). The background magnetic field is used to define a parallel and a perpendicular direction and establish drift-ordering expansions [23] (that are used to derive the model), that allow to study slow processes $\omega/\Omega_i \ll 1$, where Ω_i is the ion cyclotron frequency and ω the characteristic timescale of the studied phenomenon. The model being a "reduced" model means that fluctuations of the magnetic field in the parallel direction are considered to be higher order corrections to the dynamics, i.e. $\tilde{B}_{\parallel}/B_0 \ll \tilde{B}_{\perp}/B_0 < 1$. The ~ indicates fluctuations, the parallel "∥" and perpendicular "⊥" directions are defined relative to the total magnetic field, and $\partial_z B_z = 0$, with z being the direction of the dominant component of the background magnetic field. Thus (af-to the reference values at the resonant mag $f = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ $\sum_{m=-\infty} f_m(x) \exp(2i\pi my/L_y + \varphi).$ are given by the product of 3 fluctuating one has to impose $\partial_x \phi_{eq} = -\Omega_i \tau_A \rho_*^2$ position $v_A = \frac{B_0}{\sqrt{\mu_0 m}}$

ter normalization) one can write the fluctua-netic surface. A more detailed description tions of the magnetic field as $\tilde{B} = \nabla \times (\psi \hat{z})$. of the model, including simulation parame-In slab geometry, the parallel derivative of a ters, is provided in the supplementary mategeneric function f can be expressed in a con- rial and/or in the references [21]. This model venient form keeping into account the fluc-is implemented in the fluid code AMON [27]. tuations of $\tilde{B} = \tilde{B}_{\perp}$ through the Poisson For the simulations of this work, only the inbrackets: $\tilde{\bm{B}} \cdot \nabla f = \{\psi, f\} = (\nabla \psi \times \nabla f) \cdot \bm{e}_z$ terchange instability is present, driven by the where ∇ is the gradient and e_z the direc- coupling of the equilibrium ion pressure gration of the background out-of-plane magnetic dient to the curvature of the magnetic field. field. The explicit expression for the Pois- Having a single-helicity system implies that son bracket in slab geometry is: $\{\psi, f\} = \text{there is only one resonant position, where the}$ $(\partial_x \psi \partial_y f - \partial_x f \partial_y \psi)$. Here, x is the radial di- magnetic field determines the reference helicrection, y the periodic poloidal direction and ity, which allows for clearer analysis of the any field f can be decomposed according to mechanism. To limit the number of free pa-The full expression of the flux divergence the system are those of the ion pressure and terms is retained in the model, meaning that, of the magnetic field. Note that in order to in reduced notation, the parallel derivatives have a net 0 perpendicular equilibrium drift fields, e.g. $\nabla_{\parallel}(pu) = p \nabla_{\parallel} u_{\parallel} + u_{\parallel} \nabla_{\parallel} p =$ The magnetic field is linearly stable to the $p\{\psi, u_{\parallel}\} + u_{\parallel} \{\psi, p\}.$ Such terms, also used tearing mode, which is confirmed by comin other models [24, 25], are referred to as puting, at the resonant position $x = 0$ the "cubic terms" [26], and it has been shown parameter $\Delta' \leq -1.9$ [28, 29]. This value [21] that they can significantly impact the dy- of Δ' is obtained by using a Harris-sheet namics. Velocities in the system are normal-background magnetic field with sufficiently ized to the Alfvén velocity at the reference weak gradient. The resistivity $\eta = 10^{-5}$ im- $\frac{B_0}{\mu_0 mn_0}$, lengths are normal- plies a typical time-scale for resistive reconized to a characteristic but arbitrary perpen- nection $\tau_{\eta} = L_{\perp}^2/\eta \approx 10^4$ τ_A for a typical dicular length scale L_{\perp} , the magnetic field $L_{\perp} = 0.5$, meaning that phenomena occuris normalized to the reference value B_0 and ring on time-scales faster than τ_η are due both pressure and density are also normalized to turbulent dynamics. The simulations are rameters, the only background gradients in $\partial_x p_i$ eq $\frac{c p_{i\,eq}}{n_{eq}}.$

FIG. 1. Sequence of isocontours of ψ taken from the simulation with $\beta = 1.28\%$ and $\partial_x B_{eq} = 0.02$ showing the coalescence process taking place from the late linear phase (top) to the non-linear phase (bottom).

thus gradient-driven, though the mode $m = 0$ is self-consistently evolved, allowing for saturation dynamics to take place, should they be present.

Non-linear simulations are run scanning the parameter space as shown in Fig. 2. All simulations are interchange unstable. This identifies a threshold in β and $s = \frac{\partial_x B_{eq}|_{x=0}}{B_{eq} |_{x=0}}$ $\frac{xB_{eq}|x=0}{B_{eq}|_{x=0}} =$ $\partial_xB_{eq}|_{x=0}$, the magnetic shear, for the formation of TDMIs. The definition of the magnetic shear varies in the literature, here B_{eq} is the total equilibrium magnetic field, including the constant out-of-plane component, that appears at the denominator when writing B_y as a function of the total magnetic field in a mono-helicity system, hence $|B_{eq}|_{x=0}$ = 1 and s measures the inverse of the length scale of the variation of the "poloidal" magnetic field, but results presented in this paper are independent of the definition of the magnetic shear. Additional simulations have shown that the threshold is not related to the growth rate of the most unstable mode, but rather to the physical mechanisms acting at different shears, since simulations with very similar growth rates of the most unstable mode did or didn't show the formation of TDMIs depending on magnetic shear.

Notice that the weaker the magnetic shear, the more likely the appearance of TDMIs, reinforcing the point that the structures presented here do not originate from tearing [28]. The non-linear dynamics of the system further weaken the magnetic shear, and nonlinear de-stabilization of tearing can also be ruled out.

What is actually meant by "formation of TD-MIs" is illustrated in Fig. 3, where the radial structure of the mode $m = 14$ for two simulations with $\beta = 1.28\%$ but different magnetic shears $\partial_x B_{eq} = 0.02$ and $\partial_x B_{eq} = 0.04$ are compared. Since the modes are complex

ference of the mode at that particular position to the phase at the resonant position. For a mode with interchange parity, in a linear simulation, the eigenfunction of ψ is odd (meaning that the real and imaginary parts are odd), corresponding to an even absolute value with a change in phase across the resonance, while for tearing parity the phase is constant across the resonance, corresponding to an even eigenfunction. Since simulations are non-linear, the words "even" and "odd' are not to be understood as exact properties, but refer to the "dominant" component of the function, which does not necessarily match the linear one. Focusing on ψ , as the formation of magnetic islands is directly visible through this field, Fig. 3 refers to $t =$ $1700\tau_A$ (i.e. early in the non-linear phase) in two simulations where, at that particular time, the mode $m = 14$ had the highest energy: the simulation that develops TDMIs ($\partial_x B_{eq} = 0.02$) has a broad region of weakly varying phase around the resonance, whereas the simulation that doesn't form TDMIs has a clear variation in phase across the resonance. Averaging the absolute values of these modes satisfies $A/A_{\text{max}} \geq 0.1$, gives the aver- and the cubic non-linearities retained in the

valued, the plot uses the absolute value of age $\langle |\Delta \varphi(x)| \rangle_{x \in [-1, +1]}$ values in Fig. 3, showthe function to represent its magnitude, and ing a noticeable lower average phase differthe colour scale to represent the phase dif-ence when TDMIs form. Using the phase information to quantify the parity of the modes also allows to compensate for the shift of the resonant position in the non-linear phase, that would act as the symmetry center to define the parity of the mode. Performing this analysis over time and for all modes shows this behaviour of the average phase difference to be consistent over time, at least for all modes with mode-number $m \leq m^*$ (m[∗] being the mode-number of the linearly most unstable mode). Thus, in simulations where the formation of TDMIs is observed, modes develop, on average, more tearing-like structures in their non-linear evolution, even though they start out with interchange parity.

phase differences $\Delta \varphi = (\varphi - \varphi_{res})/(2\pi)$ for ity, so the mechanism requires also the pa- $-1 \leq x \leq 1$, where the amplitude A of the rameter dependencies illustrated in Fig. 2 Whether this change in structure of the unstable modes takes place determines whether or not the large-scale magnetic islands will form. What allows this change in structure is a combination of factors, including the velocity shear, whose role, along with that of the zonal current, will be expanded upon in the following section. However, suppressing the fluctuations (and the equilibrium) of the mode ϕ_0 does not prevent the change in par-

by running simulations without cubic non-profile flattening). The Poisson bracket of linearities, that don't show change in par- two odd functions is odd, multiplied by $n_{m=0}$ ity of the unstable modes. Indeed, in sim-leads to an even term, at the same m^* of the ulations without cubic terms, one recovers the results from the literature [10, 13]: direct coupling of the mode m^* with the mode It is also important to remark that the phase $m^* \pm 1$ drives non-linearly an $m = 1$ mode change described here happens on much with tearing parity, whose growth rate satisfies $\gamma \approx 2\gamma^*$. However, such modes never scale (in the late linear phase it's possible to become dynamically important. Only if the quantify the average behaviour of the phases unstable modes (with $m \approx m^*$) change par- described above), and thus is attributed to ity, will a clearly identifiable magnetic island non-linear (turbulent) behaviour. The nonform in the system, after the non-linear energy transfer across scales takes place. The scales faster than the resistive one, so that small-scale islands that form at the unstable scales merge (coalesce) into larger islands over time, allowing the sub-dominant lowm modes, formed by direct coupling, to become dynamically relevant and form largescale magnetic islands. Thus without the mechanism described here, no large-scale island would be visible in the system (see the supplemetary material for figures illustrating this process).

The role of the cubic terms (and partially of β and $\partial_x B_{eq}$ can be understood by fo-

model. This latter point has been verified density that also have odd parity (to give linear instability. This changes the parity of the unstable mode.

> faster time-scales than the resistive timelinear energy transfer also occurs on timeoverall the process is quicker than the resistive time-scale.

> Summarizing, the novelty of the process described here is the fact that the change in structure of the unstable modes is allowed by a combination of the background parameters and the presence of the cubic terms. Through the above non-linear dynamics, small-scale TDMI form. They allow the otherwise subdominant large-scale TDMIs formed by the direct coupling described in the literature [12, 13, 15] to appear in the system.

cusing on the pressure term in Ohm's law: These simulations only allowed seeing islands $\frac{\Omega_i \tau_A \rho_*^2}{n} \{\psi, p_e\}.$ In an interchange unstable sys- with $m = 2$, while one might expect to tem, the parity of ψ_{m^*} in the linear (and early observe islands with $m = 1$: for simulanon-linear) phase is odd, and non-linear dy-tions with strong instability drive, the islands namics form modes $m = 0$ of pressure and reached the boundaries of the domain, re-

FIG. 2. Summary of results for 2D slab non-linear runs of linearly unstable interchange where the plasma β and background magnetic shear were varied. Green circles represent simulations that showed the formation of magnetic islands and at least the initial phases of coalescence, while simulations indicated with red "X"s didn't. The mode number m^* and the corresponding growth rate γ^* on top of the symbols refer to the most unstable mode. Circled simulations are used in Fig. 3

gardless of the size of the latter, before the mode $m = 1$ formed, while for simulations with weak drive, the non-linear energy transfer slows down progressively, rendering the computational cost of observing the $m = 1$ mode prohibitive. The strong instability case might point to a missing saturation mechanism in our simulations, but this will have to be addressed in future studies.

The non-linear dynamics of the system are dominated by the interaction among the structures at the largest scales. These struc-

FIG. 3. Radial structure and phase differences of the mode $m = 14$ of ψ for two non-linear simulations with $\beta = 1.28\%$ but different magnetic shear as indicated in the legend $(\partial_x B_{eq} = 0.02)$ forms TDMIs, $\partial_x B_{eq} = 0.04$ doesn't). The differences in phase with the reference value at the resonance are computed across the radius, along with the average $\langle |\Delta \varphi|/2\pi \rangle$, over the portion of the radius between the two dashed vertical lines at $x = \pm 1$. Notice that for $\partial_x B_{eq} = 0.02$ the mode has more even (tearing-like) parity. A noticeable lower average phase difference shows up consistently through simulations that form TD-MIs for all modes with $m < m^*$.

tures are the TDMI (with poloidal modenumber $m = 2$), the zonal current (i.e. mode $\psi_{m=0}$) and the zonal flow (i.e. mode $\phi_{m=0}$). The fluctuations of the mode ψ_0 form a radial region around the resonance where the poloidal magnetic field and its shear vanish. In the non-linear phase, the flow becomes strongly sheared at the resonance, which is expected since that is where the instability is driven, and also at the radial position where the magnetic island has its maximum radial

width. As the island grows in width, this ergies than the turbulent scales. One could latter region of sheared flow moves with the growing island away from the resonant surface, which is indicative of a strong interdependence between the magnetic island and the localization of the velocity shear.

Shown in Fig. 4 is the time evolution of $10 \leq m \leq 40$) grow and drive, by non-linear coupling, the large-scale modes (ψ_2, ψ_3, ψ_4) . fields have much "smoother" energy dynamics. Furthermore, in simulations that did not form TDMIs, the large-scale modes remain at low energy levels, while here a shift in the energy distribution is visible quite early in the non-linear phase. The importance of modes $m = 0$ on the dynamics of coalescence has already been highlighted also in other contexts such as in [5]. Late in the simulation the mode ψ_2 becomes dominant, and the largescale modes acquire significantly larger en-

expect the mode ψ_1 to become dominant over time, but, as already addressed, this was not observed.

the energies of the (selected) modes of ψ and pressed either through the use of a stronger the zonal flow (ϕ_0) for the simulation with dissipation only to the mode $m = 0$ of the $\partial_x B_{eq} = 0.01$ and $\beta = 1.28\%$. It's visible electrostatic potential ϕ from the beginning how in the linear phase the linearly unstable of the simulations, or by completely eliminatmodes (the curve labeled as ψ_{Σ} is obtained ing fluctuations of the mode $m = 0$ of ψ or of by summing the energies for all modes with ϕ from a certain time in the non-linear phase A period of interaction with the zonal fields, suppressed for a simulation that has already visible in the quick oscillations of the zonal reached the non-linear phase, it is observed current ψ_0 , enhances the energy transfer from that the coalescence is halted at the current the small to the large scales. In simula-wave-number, and the island's width stops tions that did not show the formation of evolving, indicating that the zonal flow is re-TDMIs this phase is missing, and the zonal sponsible for the transfer of energy at larger To further address the role of the zonal fields, variations on the simulations were run where the fluctuations of the zonal fields were sup-(once the $m = 2$ magnetic island was already dominant) onwards. When the zonal flow is scales (i.e. the coalescence), acting as a catalyst for the formation of TDMIs. This is an unusual behaviour for zonal flows, that usually move energy towards the smaller scales by shearing apart larger eddies [17], but it's also peculiar that in these simulations the properties of turbulence aren't much affected by the disappearance of the zonal flow.

> Suppression of the zonal current for a simulation that has already reached the nonlinear phase does the opposite, accelerating

the transition of energy to larger scales, while strongly suppressing turbulence. This can be understood as the re-establishing of the background magnetic shear, that limits the region where turbulence can develop. Thus the zonal current acts as an inhibitor to the formation of TDMIs, slowing down the coalescence by creating the low-shear region, that favours the accumulation of energy at the turbulent scales.

It has long been known from gyrokinetic analytical calculations [30] and simulations [31] that zonal flow saturation is not dominated by fluid dissipation. Given the important role of zonal flows described above, one should check that our results are not reliant on zonal flow dissipation. Starting simulations anew with varied dissipation for the mode ϕ_0 shows, as expected, the existence of two regimes of zonal flow saturation (ideal and collisional). Specifically, increase of the dissipation of the zonal flow by a factor 50 doesn't lead to any effect, while a further factor of 5 finally gives a factor $\sim 1/5$ on zonal flow saturation levels. The simulations discussed in this paper are therefore in the ideal regime, where both zonal fields saturate at similar levels regardless of the magnitude of the dissipation.

In conclusion, this paper shows how the formation of TDMIs can happen through a

FIG. 4. Evolution of the energies for the simulation with $\partial_x B_{eq} = 0.01$ and $\beta = 1.28\%$. For the magnetic potential ψ multiple modes are shown to highlight the different phases of the simulation, while for the electrostatic potential ϕ only the mode $m = 0$ (i.e. the zonal flow) is shown. The curve labeled as ψ_{Σ} is obtained by summing the energies for all modes with $10 \le m \le 40$.

process never observed before that involves otherwise observed. Simulations that form small-scale islands forming at the unstable scales and then merging to progressively larger scales. This happens through a coalescence process that allows the otherwise negligible large-scale islands formed by direct non-linear coupling to become dominant. Coalescence occurs at a later time than the direct coupling of the most unstable modes reported in the literature (e.g. [13]), and, since it requires energy transfer across scales, is slower than the direct coupling (direct coupling takes $\approx 10(\gamma^*)^{-1}$, in Fig. 4 this would be $t \approx 800\tau_A$, while coalescence takes $\geq 50(\gamma^*)^{-1}$, but it modifies the dynamics of the system fundamentally, and, in particular, leads to much larger magnetic islands than

plasmas, since it allows the formation and magnetic shear. growth of magnetic islands independent of neoclassical physics, and it might feedback into the dynamics of NTMs. The latter are expected to pose a threat to future devices, operating at higher β than existing ones (and than explored here), in particular since the source of the seed islands is, in some cases, unexplained [32]. On the other hand, astrophysical plasmas occur more often in conditions where $\beta \approx 1$, such that pressure fluctuations can play a much larger role on the dynamics of the system, allowing the cubic terms to impact the dynamics much more significantly, making the process described here relevant.

The TDMI is capable of driving a strongly sheared poloidal flow at the position where its separatrix has its maximum radial width, and the presence of the zonal flow is needed for the coalescence process to continue throughout the simulation. The zonal flow

magnetic islands, unlike those that don't, is mostly driven by the turbulence and the undergo a change in the radial structure magnetic islands, rather than being reguof the magnetic potential ψ , rendering the lated by dissipation. Given the strong role phase of the mode more uniform over the in the establishment of Internal Transport radial coordinate (Fig. 3). The equilibrium Barriers [33, 34] played by zonal fields, this magnetic shear of the system, and the might be an unexpected positive outcome of presence of the cubic terms in the model, the presence of TDMIs. The zonal current, are crucial in allowing this change in parity, instead, slows down the coalescence process, and thus the coalescence, to take place. This favouring the development of small-scale process is of particular importance for fusion turbulence by creating a region of weak

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The supplementary material to this letter contains the equations of the model, including normalizations and parameters used to run the simulations. There is also a series of figures illustrating the change of phase and the coalescence through the isocontours of the magnetic potential ψ , as well as the crucial role played by the cubic terms, and an explanation of the use of phase information to analyze the parity of the modes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the referees for their constructive and detailed feedback.

The authors would like to thank S. Mazzi

and M.J. Pueschel for constructive discus-those of the author(s) only and do not sions on the topic.

The project leading to this publication has received funding from the Excellence Initia-French "Investissements d'Avenir" program has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No 101052200 — EUROfusion). Views and opinions expressed are however

tive of Aix-Marseille University - A*Midex, a Commission can be held responsible for AMX-19-IET-013. The simulations in this by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft article were run thanks to the support of EU-(DFG, German Research Foundation) under ROfusion and MARCONI-Fusion. This work Germany´s Excellence Strategy – EXC 2094 necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the European This work was partially funded – 390783311.

The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

- [1] DA Falconer, GA Gary, RL Moore, and JG Porter. An assessment of magnetic conditions for strong coronal heating in solar active regions by comparing observed loops with computed potential field lines. The Astrophysical Journal, 528(2):1004, 2000.
- [2] M Bárta, B Vršnak, and M Karlický. Dynamics of plasmoids formed by the current sheet tearing. Astronomy $\mathcal C$ Astrophysics, 477(2):649–655, 2008.
- [3] Miho Janvier. Three-dimensional magnetic reconnection and its application to solar flares. *Journal of Plasma Physics*, 83(1), 2017.
- [4] FL Waelbroeck. Theory and observations of magnetic islands. Nuclear Fusion, 49(10):104025, 2009.
- [5] A Poyé, O Agullo, A Smolyakov, S Benkadda, and X Garbet. Dynamics of magnetic islands in large δ ' regimes. Physics of Plasmas, 21(2):020705, 2014.
- [6] Akihiro Ishizawa, Yasuaki Kishimoto, and Yuji Nakamura. Multi-scale interactions between turbulence and magnetic islands and parity mixture—a review. Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion, 61(5):054006, 2019.
- [7] Minjun J Choi. Interaction between a magnetic island and turbulence. Reviews of

Modern Plasma Physics, 5(1):1–39, 2021.

- [8] H. Betar, D. Del Sarto, M. Ottaviani, and A. Ghizzo. Microscopic scales of linear tearing modes: a tutorial on boundary layer theory for magnetic reconnection. Journal of Plasma Physics, 88(6):925880601, 2022.
- [9] A Lazarian and Ethan T Vishniac. Reconnection in a weakly stochastic field. The Astrophysical Journal, 517(2):700, 1999.
- [10] A Ishizawa and N Nakajima. Turbulence driven magnetic reconnection causing longwavelength magnetic islands. Physics of Plasmas, 17(7):072308, 2010.
- [11] Alex Lazarian, Gregory L Eyink, Amir Jafari, Grzegorz Kowal, Hui Li, Siyao Xu, and Ethan T Vishniac. 3d turbulent reconnection: Theory, tests, and astrophysical implications. Physics of Plasmas, 27(1), 2020.
- [12] Masatoshi Yagi, Sanae-I Itoh, Kimitaka Itoh, Masafumi Azumi, Patrick H Diamond, Atsushi Fukuyama, and Takayuki Hayashi. Nonlinear drive of tearing mode by microscopic plasma turbulence. Plasma and Fusion Research, 2:025–025, 2007.
- [13] M Muraglia, O Agullo, S Benkadda, M Yagi, X Garbet, and A Sen. Generation and amplification of magnetic islands by drift interchange turbulence. Physical review letters, 107(9):095003, 2011.
- [14] O Agullo, M Muraglia, S Benkadda, A Poyé, N Dubuit, and X Garbet. Non-

linear dynamics of turbulence driven magnetic islands. i. theoretical aspects. Physics of Plasmas, 24(4):042308, 2017.

- [15] N. Dubuit, O. Agullo, M. Muraglia, J. Frank, X. Garbet, and P. Maget. Dynamics of magnetic islands driven by ballooning turbulence. Physics of Plasmas, 28(2):022308, 2021.
- [16] PN Guzdar, RG Kleva, A Das, and PK Kaw. Zonal flow and zonal magnetic field generation by finite β drift waves: A theory for low to high transitions in tokamaks. *Physical review letters*, $87(1):015001$, 2001.
- [17] Patrick H Diamond, SI Itoh, K Itoh, and TS Hahm. Zonal flows in plasma—a review. Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion, 47(5):R35, 2005.
- [18] A Fujisawa, K Itoh, A Shimizu, H Nakano, S Ohshima, H Iguchi, K Matsuoka, S Okamura, T Minami, Y Yoshimura, et al. Experimental evidence of a zonal magnetic field in a toroidal plasma. Physical review letters, 98(16):165001, 2007.
- [19] Ge Dong, Jian Bao, Amitava Bhattacharjee, and Zhihong Lin. Nonlinear saturation of kinetic ballooning modes by zonal fields in toroidal plasmas. Physics of Plasmas, 26(1):010701, 2019.
- [20] SI Braginskii. Transport processes in a plasma. Review of Plasma Physics, 1:216,

1965.

- [21] Daniele Villa, Nicolas Dubuit, Olivier Agullo, Alexandre Poyé, Xavier Garbet, and Andrei Smolyakov. Localized compressional self-heating in magnetic islands. Journal of Plasma Physics, 88(6):905880613, 2022.
- [22] Bruce D. Scott. The mechanism of selfsustainment in collisional drift wave turbulence. Physics of Fluids B, 4(8):2468–2494, August 1992.
- [23] Bruce Scott. Turbulence and Instabilities in Magnetised Plasmas, volume 2 of 2053- 2563. IOP Publishing, 2021.
- [24] M Giacomin, P Ricci, A Coroado, G Fourestey, D Galassi, E Lanti, D Mancini, N Richart, LN Stenger, and N Varini. The gbs code for the selfconsistent simulation of plasma turbulence and kinetic neutral dynamics in the tokamak boundary. Journal of Computational Physics, page 111294, 2022.
- [25] Christoph Pitzal, Andreas Stegmeir, Wladimir Zholobenko, Kaiyu Zhang, and Frank Jenko. Landau-fluid simulations of edge-sol turbulence with grillix. Physics of Plasmas, 30(12), 2023.
- [26] D Villa, X Garbet, N Dubuit, and O Agullo. On cubic non-linearities in fluid and kinetic models. In Journal of Physics: Conference Series, volume 2397, page 012005. IOP Publishing, 2022.
- [27] Alexandre Poye. Dynamique des ilots magnétiques en présence de feuille de courant et en milieu turbulent. PhD thesis, Aix-Marseille, 2012.
- [28] Harold P Furth, John Killeen, and Marshall N Rosenbluth. Finite-resistivity instabilities of a sheet pinch. The physics of Fluids, 6(4):459–484, 1963.
- [29] N Arcis, DF Escande, and M Ottaviani. Rigorous approach to the nonlinear saturation of the tearing mode in cylindrical and slab geometry. Physics of plasmas, 13(5):052305, 2006.
- [30] MN Rosenbluth and FL Hinton. Poloidal flow driven by ion-temperature-gradient turbulence in tokamaks. Physical review letters, 80(4):724, 1998.
- [31] Z. Lin, T. S. Hahm, W. W. Lee, W. M. Tang, and R. B. White. Turbulent transport reduction by zonal flows: Massively parallel simulations. Science, 281(5384):1835–1837, 1998.
- [32] Akihiko Isayama, Go Matsunaga, and Yoichi Hirano. Onset and evolution of $m/n = 2/1$ neoclassical tearing modes in high- β p mode discharges in jt-60u. *Plasma* and Fusion Research, 8, 2013.
- [33] S Benkadda, P Beyer, N Bian, C Figarella, O Garcia, X Garbet, Ph Ghendrih, Y Sarazin, and PH Diamond. Bursty transport in tokamak turbulence: Role of zonal

clear fusion, 41(8):995, 2001.

flows and internal transport barriers. $Nu-$ [34] Katsumi Ida and Takaaki Fujita. Internal transport barrier in tokamak and helical plasmas. Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion, 60(3):033001, 2018.