

Complex SMN Hybrids Detected in a Cohort of 31 Patients with Spinal Muscular Atrophy

Mar Costa-Roger, Laura Blasco-Pérez, Lorene Gerin, Marta Codina-Solà, Jordi Leno-Colorado, Marta Gómez-García de la Banda, Rocio Garcia-Uzquiano, Pascale Saugier-Veber, Séverine Drunat, Susana Quijano-Roy, et al.

To cite this version:

Mar Costa-Roger, Laura Blasco-Pérez, Lorene Gerin, Marta Codina-Solà, Jordi Leno-Colorado, et al.. Complex SMN Hybrids Detected in a Cohort of 31 Patients with Spinal Muscular Atrophy. Neurology: Genetics, 2024, 10 (4), 10.1212/NXG.0000000000200175. hal-04831744

HAL Id: hal-04831744 <https://hal.science/hal-04831744v1>

Submitted on 28 Jan 2025

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) [International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Complex SMN Hybrids Detected in a Cohort of 31 Patients With Spinal Muscular Atrophy

Mar Costa-Roger, PhD, Laura Blasco-Pérez, PhD, Lorene Gerin, MD, Marta Codina-Solà, PhD, Jordi Leno-Colorado, PhD, Marta Gómez-García De la Banda, MD, Rocio Garcia-Uzquiano, MD, Pascale Saugier-Veber, PhD, Séverine Drunat, MD, Susana Quijano-Roy, MD, PhD,* and Eduardo F. Tizzano, MD, PhD*

Correspondence Dr. Tizzano eduardo.tizzano@vallhebron.cat

Neurol Genet 2024;10:e200175. doi:[10.1212/NXG.0000000000200175](http://dx.doi.org/10.1212/NXG.0000000000200175)

Abstract

Background and Objectives

Spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) is a recessive neuromuscular disorder caused by the loss or presence of point pathogenic variants in the SMN1 gene. The main positive modifier of the SMA phenotype is the number of copies of the SMN2 gene, a paralog of SMN1, which only produces around 10%–15% of functional SMN protein. The SMN2 copy number is inversely correlated with phenotype severity; however, discrepancies between the SMA type and the SMN2 copy number have been reported. The presence of SMN2-SMN1 hybrids has been proposed as a possible modifier of SMA disease.

Methods

We studied 31 patients with SMA, followed at a single center and molecularly diagnosed by Multiplex Ligand-Dependent Probe Amplification (MLPA), with a specific next-generation sequencing protocol to investigate their SMN2 genes in depth. Hybrid characterization also included bioinformatics haplotype phasing and specific PCRs to resolve each SMN2-SMN1 hybrid structure.

Results

We detected SMN2-SMN1 hybrid genes in 45.2% of the patients (14/31), the highest rate reported to date. This represents a total of 25 hybrid alleles, with 9 different structures, of which only 4 are detectable by MLPA. Of particular interest were 2 patients who presented 4 SMN2- SMN1 hybrid copies each and no pure SMN2 copies, an event reported here for the first time. No clear trend between the presence of hybrids and a milder phenotype was observed, although 5 of the patients with hybrid copies showed a better-than-expected phenotype. The higher hybrid detection rate in our cohort may be due to both the methodology applied, which allows an in-depth characterization of the SMN genes and the ethnicity of the patients, mainly of African origin.

Discussion

Although hybrid genes have been proposed to be beneficial for patients with SMA, our work revealed great complexity and variability between hybrid structures; therefore, each hybrid structure should be studied independently to determine its contribution to the SMA phenotype. Large-scale studies are needed to gain a better understanding of the function and implications of SMN2-SMN1 hybrid copies, improving genotype-phenotype correlations and prediction of the evolution of patients with SMA.

Copyright © 2024 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the American Academy of Neurology.

Downloaded from https://www.neurology.org by 193.51.24.15 on 28 January 2025 Downloaded from https://www.neurology.org by 193.51.24.15 on 28 January 2025

^{*}These authors are both senior authors.

From the Medicine Genetics Group (M.C.-R., L.B.-P., M.C.-S., J.L.-C., E.F.T.), Vall d'Hebron Research Institute (VHIR); Department of Clinical and Molecular Genetics (M.C.-R., L.B.-P., M.C.- S., J.L.-C., E.F.T.), Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Universitat Autonoma de Barcelona (UAB), Barcelona, Spain; Neuromuscular Unit (L.G., M.G.-G.D.B., R.G.-U., P.S.-V., S.D., S.Q.-R.), ` Pediatric Neurology and ICU Department, Raymond Poincaré Hospital (UVSQ), AP-HP Université Paris-Saclay, Garches; and Laboratoire END-ICAP - UMR 1179 (INSERM/UVSQ) (S.Q.-R.), Equipe 1 Biothérapies des maladies neuromusculaires, Montigny-Le-Bretonneux, France.

Go to [Neurology.org/NG](https://ng.neurology.org/content/0/0/e200175/tab-article-info) for full disclosures. Funding information is provided at the end of the article.

The Article Processing Charge was funded by the authors.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 \(CC BY-NC-ND\),](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) which permits downloading and sharing the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

Glossary

 $MLPA = Multiplex Ligand-Dependent Probe Amplification; NGS = next-generation sequencing; PSV = paralogous sequence$ variant; $SMA =$ spinal muscular atrophy.

Introduction

Spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) is a neuromuscular disease defined by progressive atrophy of the lower motor neurons of the spinal cord.¹ It is the second most common recessive disease with a pan-ethnic incidence of 1 in 11,000 live births and a worldwide carrier frequency of around $1:54^{2,3}$ The severity of symptoms and age at onset are highly variable among patients with SMA; however, the clinical features that usually lead to diagnosis are motor difficulties with further muscle respiratory and bulbar weakness in the more severe phenotypes. Based on age at onset and acquired motor milestones before treatment, 4 SMA types have been established. $4,5$ Type 1 is the postnatal most severe form, with onset before 6 months of life. Patients are unable to sit independently, being referred to as "nonsitters", and usually show severe complications such as respiratory failure and premature death. This SMA form is subdivided into 3 subtypes: type 1a, with perinatal onset; type 1b, when symptoms appear between the first weeks and 3 months of life; and type 1c, characterized by onset before 6 months of life and the achievement of cephalic control.^{5,6} Type 2 is considered the intermediate form with onset between 6 and 18 months, and patients are called "sitters" because they can sit but never walk autonomously. Depending on their motor milestones, we differentiate 2 subgroups: type 2a patients are considered weak sitters because they will lose the ability to stay seated, while type 2b patients usually present a later debut (around 12 months of life or after), maintain the ability to sit, and can achieve bipedestation (strong sitters). $4,5$ In type 3 disease, patients attain the ability to walk without support, being referred to as "walkers." Based on the age at onset, patients are subdivided into type 3a, characterized by onset between 18 months and 3 years of age and losing ambulation in childhood; and type 3b, in which patients debut after 3 years of age and retain the ability to walk into adolescence or even adulthood.⁷ Finally, type 4 disease is an uncommon SMA form with adult presentation in which patients may show some gait disturbances and mild muscle weakness in the second or third decade of life.⁸

At the molecular level, SMA is an autosomal recessive disorder caused by the loss of both copies of the SMN1 gene (Survival Motor Neuron 1, OMIM *600354), consisting of a homozygous deletion in approximately 95% of patients. The remaining cases are due to heterozygous deletion in one SMN1 allele and a point pathogenic variant in the other SMN1 copy. In addition, and more rarely, patients with biallelic point pathogenic variants have also been reported.⁹ SMN1 is located in the 5q13 locus, a highly complex and

repetitive region that also includes SMN2 (OMIM *601627), its nearly identical paralogous gene that resulted from a gene duplication event. Owing to this event, the region is highly homologous and dynamic leading to frequent genomic rearrangements, such as deletions, duplications, and gene conversions (SMN2-SMN1 hybrids).^{10,11} Therefore, SMN1 and SMN2 are highly homologous and differ in only 16 nucleotide changes, known as paralogous sequence variants $(PSVs).^{12,13}$ PSV c.840C>T, located in exon 7, represents the major functional difference between both genes. This change promotes alternative splicing in the majority of SMN2 pre-mRNA transcripts, producing exon 7 skipping and leading to a nonfunctional and highly unstable protein (SMN- Δ 7). As a consequence, each SMN2 copy produces only 10–15% of functional full-length SMN protein.^{13,14}

As patients with SMA lack SMN1, they are dependent on residual SMN2 production of functional SMN protein, and therefore, the SMN2 copy number has been described as the main modifier of disease severity. The higher the number of SMN2 copies, the less severe the SMA phenotype because more functional SMN protein is being produced.^{10,15} Thus, the SMN2 copy number is used as a prognostic factor to predict phenotype and guide therapeutics. Typically, SMA type 1 patients have 2 copies of SMN2, type 2 patients present 3 copies, type 3 patients have 3 or 4, and type 4 patients have 4 or more SMN2 genes. ¹⁵ However, this correlation is not absolute, and discordant patients have been described, including both better-than-expected and worse-than-expected phenotypes.^{15,16}

To date, some SMN2-positive modifiers associated with milder SMA phenotype have been discovered, such as variants c.835-44A>G and c.859G>C (p.Gly287Arg), located in intron 6 and exon 7, respectively. Both changes significantly increase the inclusion of SMN2 exon 7, subsequently producing more functional SMN protein.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ Nevertheless, only a small number of patients have been described to carry these changes, including 51 patients with SMA carrying c.859G>C and 12 patients with $c.835-44A>G.²⁰$

In addition, to positive modifier variants, SMN2-SMN1 hybrid genes have also been proposed as putative-positive modifiers in patients with SMA because some studies have shown that hybrid genes seem to be associated with milder phenotypes.²¹ The proportion of SMN2-SMN1 hybrid genes among patients with SMA has been described to be around 5% ²²⁻²⁴ Hybrids were originally defined as SMN copies with exon 7 from SMN2 and exon 8 from SMN1 because the majority of routine techniques for SMA diagnosis only assess these exons of the SMN genes. However, sequencing analysis also allowed the description of other, more complex, hybrid structures.^{21,22,25}

This work aims to characterize in detail the SMN genetic architecture of a cohort of 31 patients with SMA and to establish the correlation between the structure of the hybrid genes and the phenotype of the patients.

Methods

Study Participants

We studied a total of 31 patients with SMA followed and treated at the Raymond Poincaré Hospital (Garches, France). Clinical data of the patients were collected and curated by clinicians from the same center. Patients were classified into SMA types according to age at onset, clinical severity, and maximal achieved motor milestones before receiving any modifying therapies. Exceptionally, patient 25 was diagnosed and treated at a presymptomatic stage, due to a prior case in his family.

DNA samples from the Généthon DNA bank (Evry, France) were extracted from peripheral blood using standard methods. All molecular procedures were performed at the Hospital Vall d'Hebron.

Standard Protocol Approvals, Registrations, and Patient Consents

The study was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Hospital Vall d'Hebron (Comité de Ética de Investigación con Medicamentos del Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron [PR(AG)229/2018]). All participants or their legal caregivers signed written informed consent.

Molecular SMN1 and SMN2 Characterization

All patients were genetically confirmed as SMA by the P021 Multiplex Ligand-Dependent Probe Amplification (MLPA) kit from MRC Holland, 9 which determines the SMN1 and SMN2 copy number, and the results were replicated in a second laboratory. Subsequently, a detailed molecular characterization was performed using a previously described nextgeneration sequencing (NGS) method,¹² which covers the entire SMN1 and SMN2 gene sequence. This high coverage approach not only allows copy number evaluation but also the identification and quantification of PSVs, hybrid structures, and known positive modifier variants (c.835-44A>G and $c.859G > C$).

Hybrid Characterization

To establish hybrid structures, we used the previously published list of $PSVs$,¹² except for PSV8 in position chr5: 69371448, originally described as a PSV .¹³ This PSV is currently considered by our group as a common variant due to its higher-than-expected occurrence in 368 SMA patients with a homozygous SMN1 deletion studied by NGS (Blasco-Pérez et al., in preparation). Accordingly, we defined an

SMN2-SMN1 hybrid gene as an SMN gene that presents a T at position c.840 (PSV12; if a C is present, the gene is considered SMN1) and at least 1 PSV typical of SMN1. The hybrid structure was determined based on the study of the PSVs and their AB ratio (allelic frequency of the PSVs in each sample) in the NGS data.¹²

To better characterize the hybrid genes, we applied computational techniques and specific PCRs to phase the PSVs of each SMN copy in the patients with hybrid genes. On the one hand, we applied the WhatsHap package²⁶ in the NGS data, indicating the number of SMN2 copies in each case to avoid the program assuming a diploid genome. Specifically, using the function "whatshap_polyphase" of the package, we obtained the phased genotypes of each variant, and with "whatshap stats," we identified the blocks of variants that phased together. This bioinformatic tool uses the variability of the samples to phase and define the alleles. However, the distance between variants should be less than the NGS read length (250 bp).

On the other hand, we used 2 gene-specific PCRs for each SMN gene to sequence all PSVs by standard Sanger sequencing; PCR 1 included PSV1 to PSV9 and PCR 2 from PSV9 to PSV16 (PCR 2 was previously described 20). Specificity was achieved by the differential amplification of PSV9 (Chr5:69371499A/C), which has an adenine in SMN1 and cytosine in SMN2. If a patient does not show heterogeneity for PSV9, the PCRs will not be informative because all the patient's alleles will amplify in the same reaction. Primer sequences and PCR conditions are provided in eTable 1.

Genotype-Phenotype Correlation

To define the expected phenotype based on the SMN2 copy number, we used the following model: SMA type 1b has 2 copies of SMN2; type 1c, type 2a/b, and type 3a have 3 copies; and type 3a/b has 4 copies.¹⁵ Patients whose phenotype could not be assigned according to this model were considered discordant.

Data Availability

Deidentified data are available on reasonable request.

Results

Clinical and Molecular Characterization of Patients

The majority of patients in this cohort were classified as SMA type 2a (29%, 9/31), type 3a (22.6%, 7/31), or type 1c (22.6%, 7/31). The remaining patients were SMA type 2b (16.1%, 5/31) and type 1b (6.5%, 2/31), and 1 proband was detected presymptomatically (3.2%) based on a family history of SMA. Our population included patients from different geographic origins and ethnicities, and most were of African (13/31) and European origin (10/31). Among them, there were 2 pairs of siblings (patients 7 and 8; patients 16 and 17)

Downloaded from https://www.neurology.org by 193.51.24.15 on 28 January 2025 Downloaded from https://www.neurology.org by 193.51.24.15 on 28 January 2025

Table Clinical and Molecular Data of the 31 Patients With SMA Included in the Study, Including General Characteristics of Patients, SMN1/2 Genotypes, and SMA Phenotype

Abbreviations: CN = copy number; PS = presymptomatic.

SMA type was assessed before treatment administration. ^a These patients are siblings.

b These patients are cousins.

^c The copy of *SMN1* presents the pathogenic variant c.332G>C p.(Ala111Gly).
^d In addition, the patient carries a partial *SMN* gene comprising only exons 1 to 6 (*SMN1/2*Δ7-8).

 $^{\rm e}$ In addition, the patient presents another SMN2 copy with an exon 7 deletion.

Structure of the alleles in the 11 patients from group 1. Each bar represents 1 allele, SMN1 is represented in orange, and SMN2 in purple. Patient 1 presented 1 SMN1 copy harboring the pathogenic variant c.332G>C. Note that PSV8 does not appear in the figure because it is no longer considered a PSV (see Methods for more details). PSV = paralogous sequence variant.

and a pair of cousins (patients 25 and 26), and the remaining patients with SMA were unrelated (see Table 1). Only 1 family of the cohort referred first-degree of consanguinity (patient 3).

All patients with SMA described in this study (20 males and 11 females) presented a homozygous deletion of SMN1, except for patient 1, who had a heterozygous SMN1 deletion in one allele and the pathogenic variant c.332G>C p.(Ala111Gly) in the other.

Regarding the SMN2 copy number, if we only consider the presence of SMN2 exon 7, the majority of probands had 3 SMN2 exon 7 copies (64.5%, 20/31), followed by 2 (25.8%, 8/31) and 4 copies (9.7%, 3/31). Moreover, patient 21 presented a partial SMN gene comprising only exons 1 to 6, and patient 22 showed an exon 7 deletion in one of his 4 SMN2 copies (see Table 1 for details). Taking into account data from all SMN2 exons and introns (MLPA and NGS), SMN2-SMN1 hybrid genes were detected in almost half of our SMA cohort (45.2%, 14/31). These cases will be further discussed in the following section. Patients' characteristics are summarized in Table 1. We noticed that patients carrying hybrid genes were mostly of African origin. In the total cohort, 9 of the 13 patients of African origin presented SMN2-SMN1 hybrid copies (69.2%), whereas only 5 of the 18 non-African patients had SMN2-SMN1 hybrid genes (27.8%) ($p = 0.0325$ with the Fisher exact test).

Hybrid Characterization

From the total of 14 probands with SMN2-SMN1 hybrid genes, haplotype phasing and specific PCRs were able to fully resolve the structure of all the alleles in 11 patients, whereas the other 3 cases were partially solved (see below).

Regarding the former group $(n = 11)$, 4 patients had 1 hybrid copy, 5 had 2, and the other 2 had 4 SMN2-SMN1 hybrid copies, representing a total of 22 hybrid genes. Considering the total number of SMN alleles in this group $(n = 31, 1$ SMN1, 8 SMN2, and 22 SMN2-SMN1 hybrids; Table 1), 71% were hybrids. In addition, patient 5 presented PSV12 (c.835- 44A>G) as part of her hybrid copy, considered a positive modifier.¹⁸ Detailed characterization of these solved SMN2-SMN1 hybrid structures is shown in Figure 1.

On the other hand, not all the alleles of the latter group $(n =$ 3) could be completely solved. In patient 27, MLPA results showed zero SMN1, 2 SMN2, and 1 hybrid copy, resulting in a total of 3 SMN copies. NGS data defined that the SMN copies of this patient had 11 PSVs from SMN1, 9 at an AB ratio of around 33%, and 2 at around 66% (see Figure 2B). This result indicated that the hybrid copies in this patient were more complex than previously identified by MLPA. Both specific PCRs of SMN2 (using PSV9) only amplified 1 SMN copy, which included all PSVs from SMN2 except for PSV10 from SMN1, thus resolving one of the hybrid alleles in this patient. The specific SMN1 PCRs amplified the remaining 2 SMN copies, which we can state are also hybrid alleles because both

(A) Depiction of the specific PCRs designed for each SMN gene, one including PSV1 to PSV9 (PCR 1) and the other PSV9 to PSV16 (PCR 2). Primer numbers 1 and 4 are common for both SMN genes, while primer numbers 2 and 3 are specific for SMN1 and primer numbers 5 and 6 for SMN2. For more details, see eTable 1. (B) Schematic representation of the different alleles of patients 27, 28, and 29, whose hybrid structures were partially solved with the methodology used. In patient 27, allele 1 was fully solved as a hybrid structure containing only PSV10 from SMN1. By haplotype phasing, in alleles 2 and 3, we were able to define 4 blocks of PSVs, albeit the connection between the blocks cannot be defined (dashed lines). In patients 28 and 29, haplotype phasing established 3 blocks of PSVs, but the connection between the blocks cannot be solved for any of these alleles. Depending on these connections, both patients could present from 1 to 3 hybrid copies. Note that PSV8 does not appear in the figure because it is no longer considered a PSV (see Methods for more details). PSV = paralogous sequence variant.

contained PSV9 from SMN1. However, we were unable to resolve the exact structure of each copy. Nevertheless, haplotype phasing defined 4 blocks of PSVs, although the connection between them could not be established. As in the previous case, patient 28 presented zero SMN1, 2 SMN2, and 1 hybrid copy by MLPA, while NGS results showed 7 PSVs from SMN1 at an AB ratio of around 33%. As PSV9 of SMN1 was not present in this patient, it was not possible to perform specific PCRs. However, haplotype phasing partially solved the allele structures by defining 3 blocks of PSVs (see Figure 2B). Based on these results, it is not possible to know how many of his SMN copies are hybrid alleles; nevertheless, it could range

between 1 and 3. Finally, patient 29 presented zero SMN1 and 3 SMN2 copies by MLPA, and NGS results revealed 5 PSVs from SMN1 at an AB ratio of around 33%, indicating the presence of at least 1 hybrid copy. As in the previous case, specific PCRs could not be applied and haplotype phasing defined 3 blocks of PSVs (see Figure 2B). Again, the genotype of this patient could include from 1 to 3 hybrid copies.

Globally, our approach has allowed the identification of 9 different hybrid structures from a total of 25 SMN2-SMN1 hybrid alleles (see Figure 3). Only 4 of these SMN2-SMN1 hybrid structures were detected by MLPA (44.4%, 4/9)

Figure 3 Paralogous Sequence Variants (PSVs) of the Different Hybrid Structures

(A) Scheme of the 15 PSVs, which differentiate SMN genes, named from 1 to 16, because PSV 8 has been excluded (for more details, see Methods). SMN1 is colored orange, and SMN2 is purple. (B) Details of the PSVs included in each SMN2-SMN1 hybrid structure reported in our cohort. Hybrid structure numbers 1, 6, 8, and 9 are detectable by MLPA.

because they included PSV16 from SMN1 located in exon 8. In our cohort, this represents that 14 of the 25 hybrid alleles were detectable by MLPA (56%). Moreover, we found 5 cases without any pure SMN2 gene in which only SMN2-SMN1 hybrid copies were present (patients 7, 8, 26, 30, and 31; Table 1). Specifically, patients 7 and 8, who were siblings, had 2 copies of hybrid structure number 6 (see Figure 3); patient 30 had 4 copies of hybrid structure number 4; and patient 31 presented 4 copies of hybrid structure number 8 (see Figure 3). Finally, patient 27 carried 3 different hybrid copies, which included hybrid structure number 7; however, it was not possible to characterize the remaining 2 alleles (see Figure 2A).

Genotype-Phenotype Correlation

We investigated the phenotypes of the patients taking into account SMN2 exon 7 copies. From the whole cohort, 2 patients were excluded from the analysis: the presymptomatic case (patient 25) and patient 1, who carried a deletion and an SMN1 sequence pathogenic variant (p.(Ala111Gly)). For the remaining patients ($n = 29$), more than half presented the expected phenotype when considering SMN2 exon 7 copy number (65.5%, 19/29), 9 patients had a better-thanexpected phenotype (31%, 9/29) and 1 a worse-thanexpected phenotype (3.5%, 1/29).

Taking into account that some studies have proposed SMN2- SMN1 hybrid genes as possible positive modifiers of SMA disease, 21 we also performed this analysis considering only patients carrying hybrid copies. Among these patients $(n = 12,$ excluding patients 1 and 25, as explained above), 6 were concordant patients (50%, 6/12), 5 showed a better-than-expected phenotype (42%, 5/12), and 1 presented a worse-than-expected phenotype $(8\%, 1/12)$. No variants in the SMN2 gene of these patients were found that could explain these phenotypic discrepancies.

Discussion

Using NGS and specific PCRs, we characterized the SMN1 and SMN2 genes in depth, including copy number, structure, and modifier variants in 31 patients with SMA followed at the same center (Hôpital Raymond Poincaré). The clinical distribution of our patients was approximately one-third of each major SMA type (SMA types 1, 2, and 3); thus, initial conclusions can be drawn fairly equally for the different subgroups. It is worth noting that most of the type 1 cases studied were SMA type 1c (also called chronic SMA 1 or SMA 1 "long survivors") because most were recruited in a pretherapeutic era when only milder cases of SMA type 1 survived. Most of them carry 3 SMN2 copies, which are associated with milder phenotypes than those carrying 2 SMN2 copies.

Application of NGS to the SMN locus identified SMN2- SMN1 hybrid genes in 14 of 31 patients with SMA, representing a frequency of 45.2% of hybrid genes in our cohort. This is much higher than previous literature reports, which ranged from 5 to 12% .^{21-24,27-30} A high frequency (30%) of hybrid genes has also been reported in patients with SMA of Czech or Polish ancestry.²⁷

The high frequency of SMN2-SMN1 hybrid genes in our cohort could be partially explained by the NGS methodology applied in this study, which permitted a more thorough study of the SMN1 and SMN2 genes. Indeed, the NGS-based method allowed the identification of 5 hybrid structures, which would not have been detected by MLPA due to the intronic position of their SMN1 PSVs (see Figure 3). This represents an increase in the hybrid detection rate of almost 80% in our cohort because 11 SMN2- SMN1 hybrid alleles were detectable exclusively by NGS out of a total of 25 hybrid copies. In the majority of studies, hybrid detection was only based on the last 5 PSV positions^{21,22,25} for which the most reported hybrid is the classic SMN2E1-7+SMN1E8.

Of interest, the hybrid frequency is higher in patients of African ancestry (69.2%) compared with non-African patients $(27.8%)$ $(p = 0.0325)$. In agreement, some studies have shown that African populations tend to have a higher number of SMN gene copies than other populations, which could make them prone to nonallelic homologous recombination events and, as a consequence, to a higher prevalence of SMN hybrid genes, including SMN2-SMN1 hybrids and vice versa (SMN hybrids that present c.840C and at least 1 PSV from SMN2).³¹⁻³⁴ Therefore, the large proportion of patients of African origin in our cohort could be another factor contributing to the high frequency of hybrids detected.

Our approach based on NGS, haplotype phasing, and specific PCRs allowed the full characterization of SMN2-SMN1 hybrid alleles in 11 patients. In the remaining 3 cases, the hybrid structures were not fully solved because of the lack of informativeness of their SMN sequences (Figure 2). To overcome this limitation, long-read sequencing could be applied.³⁵ However, due to the high cost of thirdgeneration sequencing techniques and the fact that highmolecular weight DNA is necessary, this approach would be, at least at present, restricted to cases that cannot be solved by our methodology.

Regarding the specific structures of these hybrids, the 9 different SMN2-SMN1 hybrids were very diverse, with some having only 1 PSV from SMN1 to others containing 13 PSVs from SMN1 (Figure 3). All hybrid genes presented PSV12 of SMN2 (c.840T), which categorically defines SMN2 because it determines its functionality. Of interest, hybrid structure number 9 included the positive modifier c.835-44A > G^{18} and mainly comprised PSVs from SMN1, with the exception of PSV5 and PSV13 (Figure 3). Patient 5 carried this hybrid copy, and 1 pure SMN2 copy presented SMA type 2a, which is classified as better-than-expected. The beneficial effect of this variant has been described in the context of a pure SMN2 gene^{12,16,18}; however, our case suggests that this modifier may maintain the positive effect when present in combination with other PSVs in a hybrid structure. Another interesting case is patient 1, carrying the SMN1 p.Ala111Gly variant, originally reported in a patient with type $1b/2$ and 2 SMN2 copies.³⁶ By contrast, our patient presented a type 3a phenotype also with 2 SMN2 copies but being one an SMN2-SMN1 hybrid (Figure 1; Table 1). Studies in mice pointed toward a possible mild effect of this variant complementing the SMN2 influence.^{37,38} However, the milder phenotype in our patient could also be explained by a positive effect of the hybrid allele. It is also interesting to note that we described 5 cases wherein all SMN2 genes were SMN2-SMN1 hybrid copies. In particular, as a novel finding, patients 30 and 31 presented 4 identical hybrid copies each (Figure 1).

In previous literature, hybrid SMN genes have been proposed to be associated with a milder phenotype. 21 In our cohort, although the number of patients is limited, 5 of the patients with SMN2-SMN1 hybrids showed a better-than-expected phenotype and only 1 presented a worse-than-expected phenotype (Table 1). Thus, no clear correlation could be determined between the presence of hybrids and a milder phenotype. A large-scale study should be performed to draw firm conclusions; however, it would be necessary to take into account the wide variability of hybrid structures identified in our patients. Therefore, each hybrid structure should be studied independently to perform genotype-phenotype correlations because their contribution to the patient's phenotype or the response to SMN2 modifying therapies could be different. For instance, patient 23 presented 3 SMN2 genes, including 2 hybrid copies with only PSV6 from SMN1. This patient is classified as type 1c SMA, which is considered concordant but is the worst phenotype associated with patients harboring 3 SMN2 copies.¹⁵ In the same line, patient 30 presented the same hybrid structure in all 4 copies and developed type 2b SMA, which should be considered a worsethan-expected phenotype. On the other hand, some patients do not present SMN1 PSVs or known positive modifiers, although may have better-than-expected phenotypes. This is, for example, the case of patients 2 and 3 (Table 1) with type 1c and 2 SMN2 copies. Thus, unknown modifier genes/ mechanisms independent of SMN2 sequence may be still involved in SMN modulation or have an SMN independent mode of action to influence the phenotype.

Downloaded from https://www.neurology.org by 193.51.24.15 on 28 January 2025 Downloaded from https://www.neurology.org by 193.51.24.15 on 28 January 2025

To sum up, we characterized in-depth the SMN2-SMN1 hybrid copies detected in 14 patients with SMA from a cohort of 31 cases. Our work revealed the high complexity of the hybrid structures detected, even within the same patient, and suggests that some hybrid structures could be beneficial, but others could negatively affect the patient's phenotype. A largescale application of our methodology would allow a better understanding of the function of the different SMN2-SMN1 hybrid copies. This would, therefore, improve genotypephenotype correlations in patients with SMA, better predict the evolution of cases detected by newborn screening, and raise the possibility of addressing tailored therapeutics.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank patients and families for their participation in the study. Dr. Audrey Bénézit and Dr. Amal Oulhissane-Omar for the clinical management of patients. Thanks to the Généthon DNA Bank (Evry, France) for the stocking, processing, and transfer of DNA samples. This study was performed in reference centers belonging to the ERN Euro-NMD (Raymond Poincaré Hospital [APHP], Garches, France; Vall d'Hebron Hospital, Barcelona, Spain); and the national neuromuscular networks in France (FILNEMUS).

Study Funding

This work was partially funded by grants from Biogen (ESP-SMG-17-11256), Roche, GaliciAME and the Spanish Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Fondo de Investigaciones Sanitarias and cofunded with ERDF funds (FIS PI18/000687).

Disclosure

E.F. Tizzano has served as a consultant and has participated on advisory boards for Novartis Gene Therapies, Inc., Biogen, Biologix, Cytokinetics, Novartis, and Roche, and research funding from Biogen/Ionis and Roche. S. Quijano-Roy is a site principal investigator for clinical trials of Biogen and Novartis Gene Therapies, Inc.; has served as a consultant and has participated on advisory boards for Novartis Gene Therapies, Inc., Biogen, and Roche; and has received travel and speaker honoraria from Biogen, Novartis, and Roche. Go to [Neurology.org/NG](https://ng.neurology.org/content/0/0/e200175/tab-article-info) for full disclosures.

Publication History

Received by Neurology: Genetics February 20, 2024. Accepted in final form June 6, 2024. Submitted and externally peer reviewed. The handling editor was Associate Editor Antonella Spinazzola, MD.

Continued

Appendix (continued)

References

- Kolb SJ, Kissel JT. Spinal muscular atrophy. Neurol Clin. 2015;33(4):831-846. doi: 10.1016/j.ncl.2015.07.004
- Sugarman EA, Nagan N, Zhu H, et al. Pan-ethnic carrier screening and prenatal diagnosis for spinal muscular atrophy: clinical laboratory analysis of >72 400 specimens. Eur J Hum Genet. 2012;20(1):27-32. doi:10.1038/ejhg.2011.134
- Cusin V, Clermont O, Gérard B, Chantereau D, Elion J. Prevalence of SMN1 Deletion and duplication in carrier and normal populations: implication for genetic counselling. J Med Genet. 2003;40(4):e39. doi:10.1136/jmg.40.4.e39
- 4. Talbot K, Tizzano EF. The clinical landscape for SMA in a new therapeutic era. Gene Ther. 2017;24(9):529-533. doi:10.1038/gt.2017.52
- 5. Serra-Juhe C, Tizzano EF. Perspectives in genetic counseling for spinal muscular atrophy in the new therapeutic era: early pre-symptomatic intervention and test in minors. Eur J Hum Genet. 2019;27(12):1774-1782. doi:10.1038/s41431-019-0415-4
- 6. Tizzano EF. Treating neonatal spinal muscular atrophy: a 21st century success story? Early Hum Dev. 2019;138:104851. doi:10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2019.104851
- 7. Zerres K, Rudnik-Schonebom S, Forrest E, Lusakowska A, Borkowska J, Hausmanowa-Petrusewicz B 'institutefor I. A collaborative study on the natural history of childhood and juvenile onset proximal spinal muscular atrophy (type II and III SMA): 569 patients. J Neurol Sci. 1997;146(1):67-72. doi:10.1016/s0022-510x(96) 00284-5
- 8. Cuscó I, López E, Soler-Botija C, Barceló MJ, Baiget M, Tizzano EF. A genetic and phenotypic analysis in Spanish spinal muscular atrophy patients with c.399_402del AGAG, the most frequently found subtle mutation in the SMN1 gene. Hum Mutat. 2003;22(2):136-143. doi:10.1002/humu.10245
- 9. Alías L, Bernal S, Fuentes-Prior P, et al. Mutation update of spinal muscular atrophy in Spain: molecular characterization of 745 unrelated patients and identification of four novel mutations in the SMN1 gene. Hum Genet. 2009;125(1):29-39. doi:10.1007/ s00439-008-0598-1
- 10. Lefebvre S, Reboullet S, Clermont O, et al. Identification and characterization of a spinal muscular atrophy-determining gene. Cell. 1995;80(1):155-165. doi:10.1016/ 0092-8674(95)90460-3
- 11. Bürglen L, Lefebvre S, Clermont O, et al. Structure and organization of the human survival motor neurone (SMN) gene. Genomics. 1996;32(3):479-82. doi:10.1006/ geno.1996.0147
- 12. Blasco-Pérez L, Paramonov I, Leno J, et al. Beyond copy number: a new, rapid, and versatile method for sequencing the entire SMN2 gene in SMA patients. Hum Mutat. 2021;42(6):787-795. doi:10.1002/humu.24200
- 13. Monani UR, Lorson CL, Parsons DW, et al. A single nucleotide difference that alters splicing patterns distinguishes the SMA gene SMN1 from the copy gene SMN2. Hum Mol Genet. 1999;8(7):1177-1183. doi:10.1093/hmg/8.7.1177
- 14. Lorson CL, Hahnen E, Androphy EJ, Wirth B. A single nucleotide in the SMN gene regulates splicing and is responsible for spinal muscular atrophy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 199996(11):6307, 6311. doi:10.1073/pnas.96.11.6307
- 15. Calucho M, Bernal S, Alías L, et al. Correlation between SMA type and SMN2 copy number revisited: an analysis of 625 unrelated Spanish patients and a compilation of 2834 reported cases. Neuromuscul Disord. 2018;28(3):208-215. doi:10.1016/ j.nmd.2018.01.003
- Ruhno C, McGovern VL, Avenarius MR, et al. Complete sequencing of the SMN2 gene in SMA patients detects SMN gene deletion junctions and variants in SMN2 that

modify the SMA phenotype. Hum Genet. 2019;138(3):241-256. doi:10.1007/s00439- 019-01983-0

- 17. Prior TW, Krainer AR, Hua Y, et al. A positive modifier of spinal muscular atrophy in the SMN2 gene. Am J Hum Genet. 2009;85(3):408-413. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2009.08.002
- 18. Wu X, Wang SH, Sun J, Krainer AR, Hua Y, Prior TW. A-44G transition in SMN2 intron 6 protects patients with spinal muscular atrophy. Hum Mol Genet. 2017;26(14): 2768-2780. doi:10.1093/HMG/DDX166
- 19. Vezain M, Saugier-Veber P, Goina E, et al. A rare SMN2 variant in a previously unrecognized composite splicing regulatory element induces exon 7 inclusion and reduces the clinical severity of spinal muscular atrophy. Hum Mutat. 2010;31(1): E1110-E1125. doi:10.1002/humu.21173
- 20. Blasco-Pérez L, Costa-Roger M, Leno-Colorado J, et al. Deep molecular characterization of milder spinal muscular atrophy patients carrying the c.859G>C variant in SMN2. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23(15):8289. doi:10.3390/ijms23158289
- 21. Niba ETE, Nishio H, Wijaya YOS, et al. Clinical phenotypes of spinal muscular atrophy patients with hybrid SMN gene. Brain Dev. 2021;43(2):294-302. doi: 10.1016/j.braindev.2020.09.005
- 22. Cuscó I, Barceló MJ, Del Rio E, et al. Characterisation of SMN hybrid genes in Spanish SMA patients: de novo, homozygous and compound heterozygous cases. Hum Genet. 2001;108(3):222-229. doi:10.1007/s004390000452
- 23. Omrani O, Bonyadi M, Barzgar M. Molecular analysis of the SMN and NAIP genes in Iranian spinal muscular atrophy patients: original article. Pediatr Int. 2009;51(2): 193-196. doi:10.1111/j.1442-200X.2008.02665.x
- 24. jin QuY, li BaiJ, yan CaoY, et al. Mutation spectrum of the survival of motor neuron 1 and functional analysis of variants in Chinese spinal muscular atrophy. J Mol Diagn. 2016;18(5):741-752. doi:10.1016/j.jmoldx.2016.05.004
- 25. Kubo Y, Nishio H, Saito K. A new method for SMN1 and hybrid SMN gene analysis in spinal muscular atrophy using long-range PCR followed by sequencing. J Hum Genet. 2015;60(5):233-239. doi:10.1038/jhg.2015.16
- 26. Martin M, Ebert P, Marschall T. Read-Based Phasing and Analysis of Phased Variants with WhatsHap. Methods Mol Biol. 2023;2590:127-138. doi:10.1007/978-1-0716- 2819-5_8
- 27. Hahnen E, Schonling J, Rudnik-Schoneborn S, Zerres K, Wirth B. Hybrid survival motor neuron genes in patients with autosomal recessive spinal muscular atrophy: new insights into molecular mechanisms responsible for the disease. Am J Hum Genet. 1996;59(5):1057-1065.
- 28. Rodrigues NR, Owen N, Talbot K, et al. Gene deletions in spinal muscular atrophy. J Med Genet. 1996;33(2):93-96. doi:10.1136/jmg.33.2.93
- 29. Wadman RI, Jansen MD, Stam M, et al. Intragenic and structural variation in the SMN locus and clinical variability in spinal muscular atrophy. Brain Commun. 2020;2(2): fcaa075. doi:10.1093/braincomms/fcaa075
- 30. Lefebvre S, Burlet P, Liu Q, et al. Correlation between severity and SMN protein level in spinal muscular atrophy. Nat Genet. 1997;16(3):265–269. doi:10.1038/ng0797-265
- 31. Sangaré M, Hendrickson B, Sango HA, et al. Genetics of low spinal muscular atrophy carrier frequency in sub-Saharan Africa. Ann Neurol. 2014;75(4):525-532. doi: 10.1002/ana.24114
- 32. Labrum R, Rodda J, Krause A. The molecular basis of spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) in South African black patients. Neuromuscul Disord. 2007;17(9-10):684-692. doi: 10.1016/j.nmd.2007.05.005
- 33. Chen TH, Tzeng CC, Wang CC, et al. Identification of bidirectional gene conversion between SMN1 and SMN2 by simultaneous analysis of SMN dosage and hybrid genes in a Chinese population. J Neurol Sci. 2011;308(1-2):83-87. doi:10.1016/j.jns.2011.06.002
- 34. Stevens G, Yawitch T, Rodda J, Verhaart S, Krause A. Different molecular basis for spinal muscular atrophy in South African black patients. Am J Med Genet. 1999;86(5): 420-426. doi:10.1002/(sici)1096-8628(19991029)86:5<420::aid-ajmg5>3.0.co;2-s
- 35. Abiusi E, Costa-Roger M, Bertini ES, et al.; SMN2 Study group. 270th ENMC International Workshop: consensus for SMN2 genetic analysis in SMA patients 10-12 March, 2023, Hoofddorp, The Netherlands. Neuromuscul Disord. 2024;34:114-122. doi:10.1016/j.nmd.2023.12.008
- 36. Sun Y, Grimmler M, Schwarzer V, Schoenen F, Fischer U, Wirth B. Molecular and functional analysis of intragenic SMN1 mutations in patients with spinal muscular atrophy. Hum Mutat. 2005;25(1):64-71. doi:10.1002/humu.20111
- 37. Carrel TL, McWhorter ML, Workman E, et al. Survival motor neuron function in motor axons is independent of functions required for small nuclear ribonucleoprotein biogenesis. J Neurosci. 2006;26(43):11014-11022. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1637-06.2006
- 38. Workman E, Saieva L, Carrel TL, et al. A SMN missense mutation complements SMN2 restoring snRNPs and rescuing SMA mice. Hum Mol Genet. 2009;18(12): 2215-2229. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddp157