# Optimizing stilbene recovery from cell cultures media: A comprehensive study of the adsorption process

Ruxandra Toma<sup>1</sup>, Julien Lemaire<sup>2</sup>, Amandine L. Flourat<sup>1</sup>, Blandine Marant<sup>3</sup>, Mathilde Duval<sup>4</sup>, Florent Allais<sup>1</sup>, Morad Chadni<sup>\*,1</sup>

## DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2024.128983

<sup>1</sup>URD Agro-Biotechnologie Industrielles, CEBB, AgroParisTech, 51110 Pomacle, France

<sup>2</sup> Université Paris-Saclay, CentraleSupélec, Laboratoire de Génie des Procédés et Matériaux, Centre Européen de

Biotechnologie et de Bioéconomie (CEBB), 3 rue des Rouges Terres, 51110 Pomacle, France

<sup>3</sup> Université de Reims Champagne Ardenne, INRAE, RIBP USC 1488, 51100 Reims, France

<sup>4</sup> Novéal, 16 Rue Maurice Berteaux, 95500 Le Thillay, France

\* Correspondence: morad.chadni@agroparistech.fr

### SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES

Table S1. Physical properties of the tested adsorbents. Sourced from Sigma Aldrich Website. The

Decin	Amberlite	Amberlite Amberlite Amberlite	Amberlite			
Kesiii	XAD-16	XAD-4	XAD-1180	XAD-7	FPX66	
Chemical nature	SDVB	SDVB	SDVB	Acrylic ester	SDVB	
Polarity	Non-polar	Non-polar	Non-polar	Polar	Non-polar	
Specific surface area (m <sup>2</sup> /g)	900	800	600	450	700	
Pore diameter (Å)	100	50	300	90	200–250	
Pore volume (mL/g)	1.82	0.98	1.68	1.14	1.4	
Moisture content (%)	67.42	62.43	69.81	72.10	70.9	
SDVB - Styrene-divinylbenzene						

moisture content was analyzed in the laboratory

Kinetic model	Pseudo-first order	Pseudo-second order	Intra-particle diffusion		
Differential equation	$\frac{dq_{t,i}}{dt} = k_{1,i} \cdot \left(q_{eq,i} - q_{t,i}\right)$	$\frac{dq_{t,i}}{dt} = k_{2,i} \cdot (q_{eq,i} - q_{t,i})^2$	-		
Non-linear form equation	$q_{t,i} = q_{eq,i} \cdot (1 - e^{-k_{1,i}t})$	$q_{t,i} = \frac{k_{2,i} \cdot q_{eq,i}^2 \cdot t}{1 + k_{2,i} \cdot q_{eq,i} \cdot t}$	-		
Linear form equation	$ln(q_{eq,i} - q_{t,i}) = lnq_{eq,i} - k_{1,i} \cdot t$	$\frac{t}{q_{t,i}} = \frac{1}{k_{2,i} \cdot q_{eq,i}^2} + \frac{t}{q_{eq,i}}$	$q_{t,i} = k_{d,i} \cdot t^{\frac{1}{2}} + C_{d,i}$		
Plot (y vs. x)					
Non-linear form	$q_{t,i} vs.t$	$q_{t,i} vs.t$	-		
Linear form	$ln(q_{eq,i}-q_{t,i}) vs.t$	$rac{t}{q_{t,i}} vs.t$	$q_{t,i} vs. t^{1/2}$		
Plot parameters	$k_{1,i} = -m$	$q_{eq,i} = m^{-1}$	$k_{d,i} = m$		
for linear form	$q_{eq,i} = \exp(b)$	$k_{2,i} = m^2/b$	Cd, i = b		
m = alapath = integraphica = adaption conscituted time t (ma/a). It = note constant of DEO = add (min-1). It					

Table S2: Adsorption kinetic models utilized for fitting the experimental data (Adapted from [1,2]

m = slope; b = intercept;  $q_{t,i}$  = adsorption capacity at time t (mg/g);  $k_{1,i}$  = rate constant of PFO model (min<sup>-1</sup>);  $k_{2,i}$  = rate constant of PSO model (mg·g<sup>-1</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>);  $k_{d,i}$  = rate constant of IPD model (mg·g<sup>-1</sup>·min<sup>-1/2</sup>);

 $C_{d,i}$  = constant of IPD model (mg/g); i = stilbene compound.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES



Figure S1: Overlay of the chromatograms of the standard solutions at 315 nm. δ-viniferin, labruscol, leachianol and resveratrol were mixed at different concentration to perform calibration curves



Figure S2: Overlay of the chromatograms of the standard solutions at 210 nm

400 1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 6.0 7.0 8.0 9.0 10.0 11.0 12.0

Figure S3: Chromatogram of in-house synthesized and purified  $\delta$ -viniferin at 315 nm



Figure S4: Chromatogram of in-house synthesized and purified labruscol (cis and trans diasteromers) at 315 nm

898 1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 6.0 7.0 8.0 9.0 10.0 11.0 1

Figure S5: Chromatogram of in-house synthesized and purified leachianol at 210 nm



Figure S 6 : <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the extract concentrated in stilbenes



Figure S7: HSQC spectrum of the extract concentrated in stilbens

Few fatty chains are registered in NMR with signals between 0.5 and 1.5 ppm corelated with carbon below 30 ppm. The singlet at 3.24 ppm that correlated at 56.0 ppm is typical from methoxy group on aromatic ring, thus could be attributed to pterostilbene but more probably to lignin derived products such hydroxycinnamic acids and/or lignans. Under the peak of water, the HSQC reveals numerous correlations with peaks between 50-85 ppm. In addition of peaks from labruscol coupling and benzofuran rings of  $\delta$ - and  $\epsilon$ -viniferin, these signals are with high probability link to sugar moieties. Indeed, this assumption is reinforced by the correlated signals around 5 ppm and 100 ppm typical of anomeric peaks. Upper that 6 and 100 ppm, the peaks are characteristics of aromatic signals and fully consistent with an extract rich in stilbens.

#### References

- [1] E.D. Revellame, D.L. Fortela, W. Sharp, R. Hernandez, M.E. Zappi, Adsorption kinetic modeling using pseudo-first order and pseudo-second order rate laws: A review, Clean. Eng. Technol. 1 (2020) 100032. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clet.2020.100032.
- [2] S. Zafar, N. Khalid, M. Daud, M. Mirza, Kinetic Studies of the Adsorption of Thorium Ions onto Rice Husk from Aqueous Media: Linear and Nonlinear Approach, 52 (2015) 14–19.