

Biofilm formation in Streptococcus suis: in vitro impact of serovars and assessment of coinfections with other porcine respiratory disease complex bacterial pathogens

Rubén Miguélez-Pérez, Oscar Mencía-Ares, César B. Gutiérrez-Martín, Alba González-Fernández, Máximo Petrocchi-Rilo, Mario Delgado-García, Sonia Martínez-Martínez

To cite this version:

Rubén Miguélez-Pérez, Oscar Mencía-Ares, César B. Gutiérrez-Martín, Alba González-Fernández, Máximo Petrocchi-Rilo, et al.. Biofilm formation in Streptococcus suis: in vitro impact of serovars and assessment of coinfections with other porcine respiratory disease complex bacterial pathogens. Veterinary Research, 2024, 55 (1), pp.157. 10.1186/s13567-024-01412-9 hal-04813474

HAL Id: hal-04813474 <https://hal.science/hal-04813474v1>

Submitted on 2 Dec 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

Rubén Miguélez-Pérez¹, Oscar Mencía-Ares^{1[*](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9923-2623)} D, César B. Gutiérrez-Martín¹, Alba González-Fernández¹, Máximo Petrocchi-Rilo¹, Mario Delgado-García¹ and Sonia Martínez-Martínez¹

Abstract

Streptococcus suis is a worldwide pathogen that impacts the swine industry, causing severe clinical signs, includ‑ ing meningitis and arthritis, in postweaning piglets. A key virulence mechanism of *S.* suis is bioflm formation, which improves its persistence and resistance to external factors. Here, we assessed the in vitro bioflm formation of 240 *S.* suis isolates from Spanish swine farms and evaluated the effects of serovars (SVs) and coinfections with other porcine respiratory disease complex (PRDC) pathogens. Our study revealed significant heterogeneity in biofilm formation among *S. suis* SVs. Notably, SV2 resulted in the lowest degree of bioflm formation, in contrast with the high bioflm-forming capacities of SV1, SV7, and SV9. Other PRDC pathogens, including *Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae*, *Glaesserella parasuis*, and *Pasteurella multocida*, formed bioflms, although they were generally less robust than those of *S. suis* (except for SV2), which contrasts with the high bioflm formation of *Staphylococcus hyicus*. Coinfections enhanced bioflm formation in mixed cultures of *S. suis*, particularly with *P. multocida*. Other coinfections revealed vari‑ able results in pathogen interactions, suggesting the potential of bioflms for increased persistence and pathogenicity in coinfections. In conclusion, this study underscores the importance of serovar-specific differences in biofilm formation among *S. suis* isolates, with signifcant implications for pathogenicity and persistence. The heterogeneous bioflm formation observed in coinfections with other PRDC pathogens reveals a complex interplay that could exacerbate disease severity. These fndings provide a foundation for further research on bioflm mechanisms to mitigate the impact of PRDC in the swine industry.

Keywords *Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae*, *Glaesserella parasuis*, *Pasteurella multocida*, pig, respiratory pathogens, serotype, *Staphylococcus hyicus*, swine, virulence factor

Handling editor: Marcelo Gottschalk

*Correspondence: Oscar Mencía‑Ares oscar.mencia@unileon.es ¹ Department of Animal Health, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universidad de León, León, Spain

Introduction

Streptococcus suis constitutes a worldwide hazard, not only because of its impact on the swine industry but also because it is a zoonotic pathogen [1]. During pig production, *S. suis* afects mostly postweaning piglets between four and ten weeks of age. Once the upper respiratory tract is colonized, the host usually becomes asymptomatic, eventually leading to the development of invasive

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver ([http://creativeco](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) [mmons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/)) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

disease, causing severe clinical signs, including arthritis, meningitis, endocarditis, septicaemia, and ultimately sudden death [2].

S. suis exhibits signifcant genetic and phenotypic heterogeneity, even among strains of the same serovar (SV). Currently, there are 29 well-defned SVs (119, 21, 2325, 2731, and 1/2) based on the antigenicity of the capsular polysaccharides [3]. Over one hundred virulence factors (VFs) have been described for *S. suis* in the literature [4]. However, despite the critical role of the capsular polysaccharide, no other specifc VF has been defnitively identifed as essential for the disease [5]. Among them, we highlight the particular role of adhesins and cell surface factors, such as muramidase-released protein (MRP), extracellular factor (EF), and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH); toxins, such as suilysin; and S-ribosylhomocysteinase (LuxS), an interspecies quorum sensing-related enzyme [6].

Bioflm formation is a major pathogenic factor in *S. suis*, facilitating its establishment in pig tissues [7]. Bioflms are inter- or intraspecifc communities of bacteria enclosed in a self-produced extracellular matrix that adheres to biotic or abiotic surfaces $[8]$. They have been linked to increased resistance to antimicrobial agents, environmental stress, and the host immune system, contributing to the chronicity of infections [9]. Although research on *S. suis* bioflms has been heavily promoted since its frst description in 2007 [10], the understanding of their formation mechanisms remains superficial [7]. Moreover, little is known about the role of *S. suis* bioflm formation in coinfections with other bacterial pathogens directly or indirectly involved in the porcine respiratory disease complex (PRDC), a multifactorial syndrome afecting the respiratory system of postweaning piglets $[11]$.

For these reasons, the aim of this study was to assess in vitro bioflm formation and characterize the VFs of 240 *S. suis* belonging to diferent SVs and recovered from Spanish pig farms, together with the evaluation of the in vitro bioflm formation capacity of a selection of bacterial pathogens frequently involved in the PRDC, alone and in coinfection with *S. suis.*

Materials and methods

Bacterial isolates and growth conditions

A wide range of diferent bacterial isolates were used in this study. First, 240 *S. suis* isolates belonging to 16 diferent SVs (i.e., 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21 and 31) were isolated from three anatomic regions: the central nervous system (CNS), lungs and joints. For the other bacteria, a total of 35 virulent (*n*=21) and nonvirulent (*n*=14) *Glaesserella parasuis* belonging to eight different SVs (i.e., 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, and 10), 31 *Staphylococcus* *hyicus*, 20 *Pasteurella multocida* belonging to fve serogroups (i.e., A, B, D, E, and F), and 12 *Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae* isolates belonging to 11 diferent SVs (i.e., 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12) were tested. A detailed summary of the isolates used in the study is available in Additional file 1. These isolates were recovered from clinical cases from Spanish swine farms collected between 2020 and 2024 and further included in the strain collection of the BACRESPI research group at the Animal Health Department of the University of León (Spain).

S. suis isolates were cultured on Todd-Hewitt broth (THB) agar (Condalab, Spain) supplemented with 5% (v/v) foetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco, USA) and grown at 37 for 24 h under aerophilic conditions. The remaining bacterial isolates were cultured on chocolate agar plates with Vitox (Oxoid, UK). *S. hyicus* and *P. multocida* were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h under aerophilic conditions, whereas *G. parasuis* and *A. pleuropneumoniae* were incubated at 37 °C for 48 h under microaerophilic conditions.

Molecular characterization of bacterial isolates

Serotyping and virulence-related characterization of the diferent bacterial species were accomplished via polymerase chain reaction (PCR). *S. suis* characterization was based on the protocol described by Petrocchi-Rilo et al. [12], which included various sets of multiplex PCRs to identify all SVs and fve monoplex PCRs for virulenceassociated genes, including *epf*, *gapdh*, *luxS*, *mrp*, and *sly*.

For *S. hyicus*, multiplex PCR was used for the detection of genes encoding the exfoliative toxins ExhA, ExhB, ExhC and ExhD, as described by Andresen and Ahrens [13]. Six of the most relevant virulence-associated genes of *P. multocida*, namely, *hgbA*, *ompH*, *nanH*, *sodA*, *oma87*, and *pfhA*, were detected via PCR, as specifed by Ewers et al. [14]. Another multiplex PCR method was used to diferentiate the serogroups of *cap* genes A, B, D, E and F, as described by Townsend et al*.* [15]. For the *G. parasuis* isolates, PCR was utilized to classify the isolates into virulent or nonvirulent strains on the basis of *vtaA* genes, as described by Galofré-Milà et al. [16].

Bioflm formation assay with single and mixed cultures

The biofilm formation of all the different isolates was quantifed by crystal violet staining, following an archetypical bioflm formation protocol previously described [17] with slight modifcations. Briefy, for *S. suis*, *S. hyicus* and *P. multocida*, a single colony was inoculated into 96-well polystyrene microfbre cell culture-treated plates (Corning Incorporated, USA) containing 200 µL of THB supplemented with 5% FBS. In the case of mixed cultures, a single colony of *S. suis* was inoculated, followed by inoculation of a single colony of either *S. hyicus* or *P.*

multocida. For both single-colony and mixed cultures involving these pathogens, the plates were incubated for 24 h under aerophilic conditions. For plates containing either single *A. pleuropneumoniae* and *G. parasuis* isolates or mixed cultures with *S. suis*, THB was supplemented with 5% FBS, 0.5% glucose (v/v) and 20 mg/ mL NAD, and the mixture was incubated for 48 h under microaerophilic conditions.

In either case, following incubation, the culture medium and unattached bacteria were aspirated to remove them. The formed biofilms were stained with 100 L of 2% crystal violet for 30 min, washed three times with distilled water, and dried at 37 °C for 15 min. To release the dye, 100 L of 95% ethanol was added, and the plates were briefly agitated. The absorbance of the biofilm biomass was quantifed at 595 nm (A595). All the assays were conducted in triplicate to ensure the reliability of the results. The final optical density (OD) value of each isolate was expressed as the mean of the three measurements minus the average OD of the negative control (diferent from the control, DC) to reduce the possible unevenness in absorbance quantifcation.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of bioflms

The SEM assay was conducted on a selection of four *S*. *suis* isolates belonging to the four main SVs (SV1, SV2, SV7, and SV9) and a selection of two mixed infections of each bacterial pathogen evaluated with *S. suis*. It was performed following a previously published method $[18]$ with minor adjustments. Briefly, Thermanox plastic coverslips (13 mm in diameter and 0.13 mm in nominal thickness; NUNC, USA), which were positioned at the bottom of the wells in 24-well polystyrene microfbre cell culture-treated plates (Corning Incorporated, USA), were used as adhesion carriers for the bioflms. Independently from the culture and after the appropriate incubation time (with all the media, supplement volumes and number of colonies proportionately extrapolated), the samples were fxed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in phosphate bufer (0.1 M PBS, pH 7.4) at 4 °C for 12 h, rinsed three consecutive times with PBS, fxed with 1% osmium tetroxide in PBS for 45 min in the dark and rinsed again three times with PBS. The samples were dehydrated in a graded ethanol series (30%, 50%, 70%, 90%, 3×96%, and 3×100%, each for 10 min), dried by the critical point method (CPD300, Leica, Austria), mounted on aluminium stubs with a carbon ribbon and sputter-coated with goldpalladium (ACE200, Leica, Austria). The samples were observed under a Jeol JSM-6840LV scanning electron microscope (Jeol, Japan) at 5 kV . The samples were frst approached via broad sweep visualization to further scrutinize representative areas to obtain images at either 2500X, 5000X or 10 000X magnifcation.

Data analysis and results visualization

Databases were created in several Excel sheets (Microsoft Office). The first database, used for *S. suis* characterization and bioflm formation evaluation, included *S. suis* ID, anatomic location (lung, joint, CNS), SV, presence/ absence of VF (*epf*, *mrp*, *sly*, *luxS*, and *gapdh*), and bioflm formation. Bioflm formation was expressed numerically as DC and categorized on the basis of DC value into low (DC2), medium (2>DC3), and high (DC>3) categories, as previously described [19].

The second database included the four most clinically relevant *S. suis* SVs (SV1, SV2, SV7, and SV9) and four additional bacterial pathogens also frequently associated with *S. suis* infections (*S. hyicus*, *P. multocida*. *G. parasuis* and *A. pleuropneumoniae*). In addition to the information from the frst database, specifc details were recorded for each microorganism: the VFs (*exhA*, *exhB*, *exhC*, and *exhD*) for *S. hyicus*; the VFs (*hgbA*, *ompH*, *sodA*, *pfhA*, and *oma87*) and capsular type (A, B, D, E, and F) for *P. multocida*; and the virulence (virulent/ nonvirulent isolate) for *G. parasuis*. Coinfections were studied in a specifc database for each pathogen pair, including all previous information along with bioflm formation in each coinfection.

Statistical analyses of DC values were conducted via nonparametric methods due to the nonnormal distribution of the data. Diferences were assessed via the Wilcoxon rank-sum test, with *p* values adjusted according to the Benjamini and Hochberg method, and signifcance was established at p <0.05. Analyses for each bacterial pathogen were initially performed for all the isolates and further itemized by SV, anatomic location, or any other specifc variables. *S. suis* isolates belonging to SV18 (*n*=1), SV19 (*n*=1), and SV31 (*n*=1), and non-typifed isolates $(n=7)$ were excluded from the statistical analysis because of their low frequency.

The *S. suis* isolates were estimated on the basis of their VF composition via the Jaccard distance matrix and analysed via principal component analysis (PCA). The two main dimensions of the principal components were characterized. The effect of biofilm formation capacity (low, medium, or high) was determined via permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) using distance matrices with the *adonis2* function (pairwise adonis**)**.

For the evaluation of coinfections, four isolates belonging to the four most clinically relevant *S. suis* SVsSV1 (ID 990), SV2 (ID 1001), SV7 (ID 998), and SV9 (ID 969) were selected. These isolates were compared with a selection of ten random isolates from *S. hyicus*, *P. multocida*, *G. parasuis* and *A. pleuropneumoniae.* All analyses were performed within each *S. suis* SV and bacterial pathogen. To determine the efect of *S. suis* SV on the bioflm formation of each bacterial pathogen, the average DC of the bacterial pathogen was compared with the average DC of the coinfection with the specifc *S. suis* SV. Similarly, to determine the efect of the bacterial pathogen on the bioflm production of *S. suis* SV, the average DC of the specifc *S. suis* was compared with the DC of the coinfection with the pathogen.

All analyses were conducted using R version 4.3.2 $(2023-10-31$ ucrt) $[20]$. Plots were produced using the *ggplot2* package version 3.5.1 [21] and further modifed using the software *Inkscape* version 1.3.2 [22].

Results

Characterization of *Streptococcus suis* **clinical isolates on the basis of their virulence, serotyping, and anatomic location**

Most isolates carried at least one VF, with frequencies of all VFs exceeding 60%. The most common VF was *luxS* (90%), followed by *gapdh* (80%), *epf* (64.6%), *mrp* (64.2%) and *sly* (62.5%). When the combinations of all VFs were evaluated, 27 distinct patterns were observed. The most frequent combination was the presence of all genes (33.8%), followed by the combination of *epf*, *sly*, *lux*S and *gapdh* and the combination of *luxS* and *gapdh*, each representing 8.3% of all *S. suis* isolates. A detailed description of VF patterns, both individually and by SV, is available in Additional fles 2 and 3.

By analysing the isolates by SV, we found signifcant associations between certain SVs and VFs. Notably, there was a positive association of *epf*, *sly* and *mrp* with SVs 1, 2 and 9 (*p*<0.001) and a negative association of *epf* and *sly* with SV7 (*p*<0.05). Both *luxS* and *gapdh* were signifcantly more common in most isolates, regardless of SV, due to their high prevalence in the isolates of the study. A detailed description of these combinations is available in Additional fle 4. Regarding *S. suis* anatomic location, we could determine that the only signifcant fnding was a negative association between SV2 and the lungs (*p*<0.01), as most SV2 isolates were recovered from the CNS.

Infuence of serovars and virulence factors on the bioflm formation capacity of *Streptococcus suis*

SV strongly infuences the capacity of *S. suis* to produce biofilms (Figure 1A; Table 1). The most remarkable finding was the signifcantly lower bioflm formation of SV2 (DC=1.77±0.46) than that of most of the SVs commonly isolated from swine streptococcal infections (*p*<0.05), demonstrating its low bioflm formation capacity (DC2), as shown in the SEM images (Figure 1B). In contrast, other clinically relevant *S. suis* SVs, such as SV7 (DC=6.98±2.33) and SV9 (DC=5.77±1.56), presented strong bioflm formation capacity (Figure 1B). Overall, the mean bioflm formation for all the SVs, except SV2, was categorized as strong (DC>3). A detailed summary of the comparisons of bioflm formation capacity among SVs is available in Additional fle 5. No signifcant association was detected between the anatomic location of *S. suis* and its bioflm formation capacity.

The analysis of the association between biofilm formation and VF composition (Figure 2A) revealed that isolates carrying *epf* (*p*<0.0001), *mrp* (*p*<0.01), or *sly* (*p*<0.01) genes had a lower bioflm formation capacity than those not carrying these genes. No signifcant diferences were detected for *gapdh* and *luxS*. When evaluating the association between the degree of bioflm formation and VF combinations, we observed a slight $(R^2=0.03)$ but significant association (*p*<0.001) using PERMANOVA analysis (Figure 2B), with a slightly lower variation in VF composition among isolates with low bioflm formation capacity (DC2).

When the information is itemized by SV, the results vary. For example, SV1 isolates carrying the *epf* gene presented significantly higher biofilm formation $(p<0.01)$, whereas SV2 isolates with the *epf* gene (*p*<0.05), SV9 isolates with the *sly* gene (*p*<0.01), and SV1 isolates with the *gapdh* gene (*p*<0.05) presented lower bioflm formation capacities.

Bioflm formation in swine bacterial pathogens associated with *Streptococcus suis* **infections**

Substantial variability in bioflm production was observed among those pathogens frequently associated with *S. suis* infections (Figure 3). *S. hyicus* presented the highest bioflm formation capacity (DC=13.91±8.51) among all the tested species and *S. suis* SVs (*p*<0.001), despite notable variability among the isolates. It was followed by *S. suis* SV7 (DC=7.02±1.80), SV9 (DC=5.77±1.56), and SV1 (DC=3.54±1.32). *P. multocida* (DC=2.83±2.01) and *G. parasuis* (DC=2.66±0.88) were categorized as medium bioflm producers (DC>23). *A. pleuropneumoniae* (DC=1.76±0.51) and *S. suis* SV2 (DC=1.76±0.45) presented the lowest bioflm formation capacities. Interestingly, although *G. parasuis*, *P. multocida* and *A. pleuropneumoniae* produced signifcantly fewer bioflms than *S. suis* SV1, SV7 and SV9 did (*p*<0.05), the two former strains had signifcantly greater bioflm production than *S. suis* SV2 did (*p*<0.05). A detailed summary of bioflm formation capacity comparisons among bacterial species and *S. suis* SVs is available in Additional fle 6.

We further evaluated additional information on these bacterial pathogens, revealing that no signifcant associations were observed between bioflm production and VFs in *S. hyicus*, VFs and the capsular type in *P. multocida*, or virulence in *G. parasuis*. For coinfection studies, we assessed the bioflm formation capacity of *S. suis* under microaerophilic conditions at 37 °C for 48 h, replicating

Figure 1 Bioflm formation of *Streptococcus suis* **isolates recovered from Spanish swine farms. A)** Boxplots illustrating bioflm formation categorized by *S. suis* serovar (SV). Biofilm formation for each isolate was quantified as the difference in absorbance (OD₅₉₅) from that of the negative control (DC). Each *S. suis* isolate is represented by a dot with horizontal jitter for visibility. The horizontal box lines represent the frst quartile, the median, and the third quartile. Whiskers extend to the 1.5 interquartile range. **B)** Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of bioflm formation in *S. suis* isolates belonging to the four main SVs (ID): *S. suis* SV1 (990), *S. suis* SV2 (1001), *S. suis* SV7 (998), and *S. suis* SV9 (969).

Table 1 Bioflm formation in *Streptococcus suis* isolates recovered from Spanish swine farms.

S. suis SV	Number of isolates (n)	Biofilm formation (mean±SD)	Biofilm formation (Degree)
SV1	30	3.54 ± 1.32	High
SV ₂	61	$1.77 + 0.46$	Low
SV ₃	11	4.64 ± 1.46	High
SV4	10	4.99 ± 2.28	High
SV ₅	4	3.30 ± 0.92	High
SV7	31	6.98 ± 1.78	High
SV ₈	10	6.96 ± 2.82	High
SV9	45	5.77 ± 1.56	High
SV10	5	6.99 ± 2.33	High
SV12	5	4.49±0.83	High
SV16	6	7.97±2.10	High
SV17	6	5.81 ± 2.97	High
SV21	6	5.55 ± 2.12	High

A. pleuropneumoniae and *G. parasuis* growth conditions, and compared it with that under standard growth conditions (37 $°C$ for 24 h under aerophilic conditions, optimal for *P. multocida* and *S. hyicus*). Given the clear diferences between growth conditions, signifcant diferences in bioflm formation capacity were observed, with greater production under microaerophilic conditions $(p<0.05)$ also due to supplementation with glucose [23]. This finding does not interfere with further analyses, as coinfections were conducted individually for each pair of pathogens under the optimal growth conditions for the most fastidious microorganism.

In vitro bioflm formation in coinfections between *Streptococcus suis* **and clinically relevant swine bacterial pathogens**

Notable diferences were observed among *S. hyicus* isolates and *S. suis* SVs during coinfection (Figure 4A). Interestingly, those *S. hyicus* strains with the highest bioflm production, specifcally H074, H086, H094, and H103, exhibited lower bioflm formation when coinfected with *S. suis*, regardless of the SV. In contrast, isolates with lower bioflm formation, such as H007, H026, H065, and H071, demonstrated a synergistic efect with *S. suis* coinfection, which was particularly remarkable when coinfected with SV9 and SV7. SEM revealed that the increased bioflm formation observed for *S. suis* in these coinfections was caused mainly by *S. hyicus*, with a reduced presence of *S. suis*, regardless of the *S. suis* SV (Figure 4B). When *S. suis* SV was coinfected with *S. hyicus*, no signifcant diferences were detected in the bioflm production of *S. hyicus*, but we observed a signifcant potentiation of bioflm formation in all *S. suis* SVs (*p*<0.0001), nearly doubling the DC value in coinfections with SV1 and SV7, 3.2 times for SV9, and 4.2 times for SV2.

Synergistic bioflm production was demonstrated in coinfections between *S. suis* and certain *P. multocida* isolates, especially for PM179 with all the SVs and, to a lesser extent, for PM182, except for SV2 (Figure 5A). With respect to the efect of *S. suis* SV, an increase in bioflm formation in *P. multocida* isolates was noted when coinfected with SV7 $(p<0.01)$, nearly doubling the DC value, with a slightly greater contribution of *P. multocida* to bioflm formation (Figure 5B). A synergistic efect of *P. multocida* coinfection was demonstrated for SV2, increasing the number of *S. suis* SV2 DCs from 1.55 to 2.53 (*p*<0.01). Notably, a potentiation was observed for both *P. multocida* (*p*<0.01) and *S. suis* (*p*<0.0001) in SV1 coinfection, increasing to a DC of 5.42 from 2.97 and 3.13, respectively (Figure 5B).

Coinfections between *G. parasuis* and *S. suis* revealed an overall signifcant reduction in bioflm formation for *S. suis* for SV2 (*p*<0.05), SV7 (*p*<0.001), and SV9 (*p*<0.001) (Figure 6A). In contrast, *G. parasuis* increased its bioflm production in all SV coinfections, except for SV2, with notable increases in SV1 (p <0.001) and SV9 (p <0.001), nearly 3.5 times greater than the single *G. parasuis* DC average. Similar but more pronounced results were observed for *A. pleuropneumoniae* (Figure 7A). Coinfection increased bioflm formation in *A. pleuropneumoniae*, regardless of the *S. suis* SV (*p*<0.05), particularly for SV9 (DC increase of 4.5 times) and SV7 and SV1 (DC increase of 2.6 times). Conversely, all *S. suis* SVs presented a significant reduction in biofilm production $(p<0.001)$. Notably, the only coinfection that increased bioflm formation was *S. suis* SV7 and *A. pleuropneumoniae* APP8. For both *G. parasuis* and *A. pleuropneumoniae* coinfections, SEM revealed that bioflm formation was determined mainly by *S. suis* (Figures 6B, 7B), with a reduced contribution of these pathogens to the biofilm matrix. These findings demonstrate that the associations between *S. suis* and other bacterial pathogens are not homogeneous and that substantial diferences among SVs need to be considered.

A detailed description of the signifcant interactions between *S. suis* SVs and bacterial pathogens, including the effects on both *S. suis* and the bacterial pathogens, along with DC averages and potential increases, is available in Additional fles 7 and 8.

Discussion

Streptococcus suis is an opportunistic and zoonotic pathogen that naturally colonizes the respiratory tract in pigs [24]. Several factors, such as bacterial or viral coinfections and environmental stressors, can cause *S. suis* to

Figure 2 Impact of virulence factor (VF) genes on bioflm formation in *Streptococcus suis* **isolates recovered from Spanish swine farms. A)** Boxplots illustrating the quantitative bioflm formation of *S. suis*, comparing the presence or absence of VF genes. The diference in absorbance (OD595) from that of the negative control (DC) was quantifed. Each *S. suis* isolate is represented by a dot with horizontal jitter for visibility. The horizontal box lines represent the frst quartile, the median, and the third quartile. Whiskers extend to 1.5 times the interquartile range. Diferences between groups were evaluated using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. **B)** Principal component analysis (PCA) of the fve evaluated VF genes, showing grouping on the basis of bioflm formation degree.

Figure 3 Bioflm formation of bacterial pathogens involved in the porcine respiratory disease complex (PRDC) and *Streptococcus suis* **isolates belonging to the four main serovars (SVs).** Boxplots illustrating bioflm formation for each isolate, quantifed as the diference from the negative control (DC) in absorbance (OD₅₉₅). Each isolate is represented by a dot with horizontal jitter for visibility. The horizontal box lines represent the frst quartile, the median, and the third quartile. Whiskers extend to 1.5 times the interquartile range.

transition from a commensal state to a pathogenic state [3]. Its pathogenesis involves several niche environments, with multiple virulence mechanisms, including VFs and bioflm formation [6]. Here, through extensive screening of virulence mechanisms in *S. suis* clinical isolates recovered from Spanish swine farms, we demonstrate that bioflm-forming ability is a signifcant pathogenic factor in certain *S. suis* SVs, particularly in those isolates harboring fewer virulence genes. Furthermore, *S. suis* interactions in bioflm formation with bacteria involved in the PRDC clearly vary among SVs and pathogens.

The multifactorial nature of *S. suis* pathogenicity was evident in our study, which revealed substantial diversity in the frequency of VFs among isolates, with 27 distinct gene patterns observed. Approximately one-third of the isolates (33.8%) carried all fve evaluated VFs. Among these genes, the *mrp*, *epf*, and *sly* genes, which are frequently associated with virulence [25], were simultaneously present in 37.9% of the clinical *S. suis* isolates. Additionally, 86.7% and 66.7% of them harboured one or two of these genes, respectively. This finding aligns with previous studies suggesting that their absence is more commonly associated with *S. suis* isolates from healthy or carrier pigs in Europe and Asia [2628]. Nonetheless, the absence of one or more of these VFs does not necessarily correlate with a lack of virulence [5, 29]. For example, *sly* is typically absent in isolates from North America, but these isolates do not exhibit reduced virulence compared with *sly* producing *S. suis* [30]. The complexity of VF associations is further highlighted by the relationship between VFs and *S. suis* SVs, as recently described [31]. This finding is particularly notable for SV7, in which a negative association with the presence of *epf* and *sly* was observed, which is consistent with a previous study on *S. suis* SV7 clinical isolates from pig farms in Germany [32]. These findings underscore the importance of considering other mechanisms, such as bioflm formation, when evaluating *S. suis* pathogenicity.

Bioflm formation is an essential pathogenic mechanism in *S. suis*, enabling its establishment in pig tissues, as most isolates can form bioflms [7]. Indeed, the development of bacterial meningitis is strongly associated with biofilm formation $[33]$. However, differences exist among strains. In this study, we demonstrated that in vitro bioflm formation is strongly infuenced by *S. suis* SV. A previous investigation revealed differences in bioflm-forming ability between SV2 and SV9 isolates [23], and our wide-range assessment of 16 diferent Spanish *S. suis* SVs expanded on this fnding. *S. suis* SV2 was the only SV categorized as having low bioflm-forming ability. Despite its prevalence in swine infections $[34]$ and its role as a primary lineage

B)

Figure 4 Bioflm formation in coinfections of *Streptococcus suis* **and** *Staphylococcus hyicus***. A)** Bar plots showing the quantitative bioflm formation (expressed as the absorbance OD₅₉₅) of one representative *S. suis* isolate from each of the four main serovars (SVs) (orange), ten random *S. hyicus* isolates (green), and their coinfections (blue). **B)** Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of bioflm formation in coinfections between *S. suis* SV2 (1001) and *S. hyicus* H100 (left) and between *S. suis* SV9 (969) and *S. hyicus* H007 (right).

Figure 5 Bioflm formation in coinfections of *Streptococcus suis* **and** *Pasteurella multocida***. A)** Bar plots showing the quantitative bioflm formation (expressed as the absorbance OD₅₉₅) of one representative *S. suis* isolate from each of the four main serovars (SVs) (orange), ten random *P. multocida* isolates (green), and their coinfections (blue). **B)** Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of bioflm formation in coinfections between *S. suis* SV1 (990) and *P. multocida* PM182 (left) and between *S. suis* SV7 (998) and *P. multocida* PM179 (right).

B)

Figure 6 Bioflm formation in coinfections of *Streptococcus suis* **and** *Glaesserella parasuis***. A)** Bar plots showing the quantitative bioflm formation (expressed as the absorbance OD₅₉₅) of one representative *S. suis* isolate from each of the four main serovars (SVs) (orange), ten random *G. parasuis* isolates (green), and their coinfections (blue). **B)** Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of bioflm formation in coinfections between *S. suis* SV1 and *G. parasuis* G003 (left) and between *S. suis* SV9 (969) and *G. parasuis* G026 (right).

Figure 7 Bioflm formation in coinfections of *Streptococcus suis* **and** *Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae***. A)** Bar plots showing the quantitative bioflm formation (expressed as the absorbance OD595) of one representative *S. suis* isolate from each of the four main serovars (SVs) (orange), ten random *A. pleuropneumoniae* isolates (green), and their coinfections (blue). **B)** Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of bioflm formation in coinfections between *S. suis* SV2 (1001) and *A. pleuropneumoniae* APP9 (left) and between *S. suis* SV7 (998) and *A. pleuropneumoniae* APP8 (right).

in human infections worldwide [35], our study shows that, interestingly, bioflm formation is not an essential pathogenic factor for SV2, in contrast with other SVs. For example, *S. suis* SV9, an important and prevalent SV causing invasive disease in pigs in Europe [36], demonstrated strong bioflm formation regardless of its virulence gene arsenal.

Reduced virulence has been described as an important characteristic of bioflm infection in *S. suis* [37]. Our research revealed an overall signifcant reduction in bioflm formation among *S. suis* isolates harbouring the *epf*, *mrp*, and *sly* genes. Previous studies have shown diferential expression of virulence genes under planktonic and bioflm conditions [37], which could explain the presence of virulent strains in the host respiratory tract as commensals. In addition, *S. suis* in a bioflm state is less likely to trigger the immune system [38]. Although *luxS* is involved in the LuxS/AI-2 quorum sensing (QS) system, a crucial regulatory network infuencing bioflm formation [39], no signifcant diferences in bioflm formation were detected when isolates harboring *luxS* were evaluated. This could be due to its high prevalence in clinical *S. suis*, underscoring its importance as a virulence determinant [40]. Interestingly, when we analysed the association between bioflm formation and VFs caused by SV, we found that the overall diferences were reduced, likely due to the overrepresentation of *S. suis* SV2 isolates positive for most of the evaluated VFs. While this may represent a potential limitation, the analysis by serotype still revealed consistent patterns, such as signifcantly lower bioflm formation in the *epf*- and *sly*-positive SV2 and SV9 isolates, respectively. Notably, *S. suis* SV1 isolates carrying the *epf* gene exhibited signifcantly greater bioflm formation, which aligns with studies suggesting that highly pathogenic strains may exhibit strong bioflm formation [37]. These differences likely depend on specific gene expression patterns in the bioflm state rather than the mere presence of the genes. Therefore, further investigations are necessary to evaluate gene expression changes between planktonic and bioflm-forming cells among SVs with varying bioflm-forming abilities.

Other bacterial pathogens have also been shown to form biofilms within the PRDC $[41]$, and their potential role in coinfections with *S. suis* should be considered. Here, we demonstrated the in vitro bioflm formation abilities of primary (*A. pleuropneumoniae*) and secondary (*G. parasuis* and *P. multocida*) PRDC pathogens recovered from Spanish swine farms. However, the bioflms formed by these bacterial pathogens were generally less robust than those formed by most *S. suis* SVs, except for *S. suis* SV2. The low to medium biofilm formation observed in *A. pleuropneumoniae* aligns with previous studies showing the bioflm-forming ability of most feld isolates [42], since this pathogen is known to form bioflms in the lungs [43].

For *G. parasuis*, we observed a wide range of bioflmforming abilities among our isolates, regardless of their virulence, with overall medium bioflm formation. Recently, 76 genes have been identifed as potentially involved in *G. parasuis* bioflm formation. Nonetheless, diferences were observed among isolates, even within the same SV, likely due to its open pangenome and variations in the accessory genome [44]. Compared with other PRDC pathogens, *P. multocida* was identifed as an intermediate bioflm producer, with 65% of clinical isolates characterized as mid-level bioflm producers, which is consistent with recent fndings [45]. An inverse association between capsular polysaccharide production and bioflm formation has been described, with encapsulated *P. multocida* isolates presumed to be more virulent and producing less bioflm than those with reduced capsular polysaccharide [46]. However, we could not corroborate this fnding due to the limited *P. multocida* isolates used in the study and the fact that all of them were clinical and produced capsular polysaccharides. Since *P. multocida* was not the primary focus of this study, our results did not explore these diferences in depth; hence, further investigations are needed.

Although *S. hyicus*, the causative agent of exudative epidermitis, is not regarded as a constituent of the PRDC, recent studies have described its potential role in swine respiratory disease cases [47, 48], leading us to consider the bioflm persistence of bacterial pathogens involved in the PRDC. In this study, the strong bioflm formation capacity of *S. hyicus* was confrmed, with more than 90% of the isolates showing robust bioflm formation, several of which were well above the threshold to be considered strong bioflm formers. To the best of our knowledge, this is the frst report specifcally addressing the bioflm formation of *S. hyicus*, although previous studies have evaluated its formation within sets of coagulase-negative staphylococci, yielding disparate results $[49]$. The strong bioflm formation observed in this study could serve as a starting point for future studies aimed at understanding the mechanisms of *S. hyicus* bioflm formation and its impact on the microbial environment in the respiratory tract.

Given the high prevalence of bacterial coinfections in the PRDC [50], mixed bioflms may be common and contribute to increased bacterial survival through interspecifc competition, communication, and cooperation [8]. However, potential interactions involving *S. suis* have rarely been studied, with a primary focus on *S. suis* SV2, which was shown to be the lowest bioflm former among *S. suis* SVs. This research revealed that the contribution of each pathogen to in vitro bioflm formation difers

depending on the bacterial pathogen and the *S. suis* SV involved. Notably, this is the frst report to show that bioflm formation was stronger in mixed infections with *S. suis* and *P. multocida* than in single infections for both microorganisms, with a clear presence of both pathogens in the bioflm matrix. Additionally, we demonstrated an overall promotion of bioflm formation for *G. parasuis* and *A. pleuropneumoniae* when they were coinfected with *S. suis*, although it was determined mainly by the presence of *S. suis*. Thus, *S. suis* could contribute to the persistence of these pathogens integrated in the bioflm matrix. These findings are consistent with previous studies that analysed the role of *S. suis* in the persistence of both *G. parasuis* [51] and *A. pleuropneumoniae* [52] in mixed bioflms with *S. suis* SV2. In the case of *A. pneumoniae*, bioflm growth is promoted under hostile conditions, such as the absence of NAD, when coinfected with *S. suis* [53], revealing that mixed infections may be more difficult to eradicate. In contrast, *S. suis* coinfection with *S. hyicus* revealed that the latter was the main determinant in the bioflm matrix, highlighting its potential relevance in the persistence of *S. suis* in the respiratory tract, since both are frequently present in tonsils [54]. Understanding the role of bioflm formation in respiratory mixed infections will contribute to the establishment of optimal control measures for the PRDC, a syndrome that causes signifcant economic losses in pig production worldwide [55].

This study highlights the heterogeneity in virulence factors and in vitro bioflm formation among *S. suis* clinical isolates from Spanish swine farms, particularly those infuenced by SV variations. Our fndings indicate that while some *S. suis* SVs, such as SV2, have low bioflmforming abilities, others, such as SV1, SV7 or SV9, exhibit robust bioflm formation, independent of their virulence gene arsenal. Additionally, the present study underscores the complexity of mixed bioflm formation in coinfections, revealing heterogeneous bioflm production in interactions between *S. suis* and other primary or secondary PRDC bacterial pathogens, such as *P. multocida*, *G. parasuis*, or *A. pleuropneumoniae*. Remarkably, *S. hyicus*, which is typically not associated with PRDC, displayed strong bioflm formation, suggesting its potential role in *S. suis* persistence in the upper respiratory tract. These insights pave the way for more detailed investigations into the mechanisms underlying bioflm formation and maintenance in PRDC-associated pathogens, ultimately contributing to the development of effective control measures to mitigate their economic impact in the swine industry.

Abbreviations

- THB Todd-Hewitt broth
- VF irulence factor

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13567-024-01412-9) [org/10.1186/s13567-024-01412-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13567-024-01412-9).

Additional fle 1: Summary of bacterial isolates recovered from Spanish swine farms used in the study.

Additional fle 2: Combination of virulence factor (VF) genes in *Streptococcus suis* isolates recovered from Spanish swine farms.

Additional fle 3: Combination of virulence factor (VF) genes in *Streptococcus suis* isolates recovered from Spanish swine farms, categorized by serovar (SV).

Additional fle 4: Signifcant associations (Fisher's exact test) between *Streptococcus suis* serovars (SVs) and the carriage of virulence factor (VF) genes (*p* < 0.05) were detected.

Additional fle 5: Signifcant diferences (Wilcoxon rank-sum test) in bioflm formation among *Streptococcus suis* serovars (SVs) (*p* < 0.05).

Additional fle 6: Signifcant diferences (Wilcoxon rank-sum test) in bioflm formation among bacterial pathogens involved in the porcine respiratory disease complex (PRDC) and *Streptococcus suis* belonging to diferent serovars (SVs) (*p* < 0.05).

Additional fle 7: Signifcant modifcation (Wilcoxon rank-sum test) in the bioflm formation of *Streptococcus suis* from diferent serovars (SVs) alone and in coinfection with bacterial pathogens involved in the porcine respiratory disease complex (PRDC) (*p* < 0.05).

Additional fle 8: Signifcant modifcation (Wilcoxon rank-sum test) in the bioflm formation of bacterial pathogens involved in porcine respiratory disease complex (PRDC) alone and in coinfection with *Streptococcus suis* from diferent serovars (SVs) (*p* < 0.05).

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the excellent technical assistance provided by María Mediavilla and Vanessa Acebes. We would also like to thank the University of Leóns microscopy services and personnel for their predisposition and assistance.

Authors' contributions

The study design was performed by SMM, CBGM and OMA. Laboratory analyses were performed via RMP with the support of AGF, MPR, and MDG. Statistical analyses were performed via OMA. SMM, CBGM, and OMA provided technical and scientifc support for the analysis. RMP, OMA, CBGM and SMM participated in the writing of the manuscript or contributed to its revision. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

Funding

Rubén Miguélez-Pérez holds a grant from Junta de Castilla y León co-fnanced by the European Social Fund. Alba González-Fernández holds a grant from the University of León.

Data availability

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 18 June 2024 Accepted: 19 September 2024

References

- Segura M, Aragon V, Brockmeier SL, Gebhart C, de Greeff A, Kerdsin A, ODea MA, Okura M, Saléry M, Schultsz C, Schultsz C, Valentin-Weigand P, Weinert LA, Wells JM, Gottschalk M (2020) Update on *Streptococcus suis* research and prevention in the era of antimicrobial restriction: 4th International Workshop on *S. suis*. Pathogens 9:374. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens9050374) [3390/pathogens9050374](https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens9050374)
- 2. Neila-Ibáñez C, Casal J, Hennig-Pauka I, Stockhofe-Zurwieden N, Gottschalk M, Migura-García L, Pailler-García L, Napp S (2021) Stochastic assessment of the economic impact of *Streptococcus suis*-associated dis‑ ease in German, Dutch and Spanish swine farms. Front Vet Sci 8:676002. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.676002>
- 3. Vötsch D, Willenborg M, Weldearegay YB, Valentin-Weigand P (2018) Streptococcus suis-the two faces of a pathobiont in the porcine respiratory tract. Front Microbiol 9:333782. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00480) [00480](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00480)
- 4. Estrada AA, Gottschalk M, Rendahl A, Rossow S, Marshall-Lund L, Marthaler DG, Gebhart CJ (2021) Proposed virulence-associated genes of *Streptococcus suis* isolates from the United States serve as predictors of pathogenicity. Porcine Health Manage 7:22. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40813-021-00201-6) [s40813-021-00201-6](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40813-021-00201-6)
- 5. Segura M, Fittipaldi N, Calzas C, Gottschalk M (2017) Critical *Streptococcus suis* virulence factors: are they all really critical Trends Microbiol 25:585599.<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TIM.2017.02.005>
- 6. Tram G, Jennings MP, Blackall PJ, Atack JM (2021) *Streptococcus suis* pathogenesisa diverse array of virulence factors for a zoonotic lifestyle. Adv Microb Physiol 78:217257. [https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.ampbs.2020.12.](https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.ampbs.2020.12.002) 002
- 7. Wang H, Fan Q, Wang Y, Yi L, Wang Y (2024) Rethinking the control of *Streptococcus suis* infection: bioflm formation. Vet Microbiol 290:110005. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2024.110005>
- 8. Sharma S, Mohler J, Mahajan SD, Schwartz SA, Bruggemann L, Aalinkeel R (2023) Microbial bioflm: a review on formation, infection, antibiotic resistance, control measures, and innovative treatment. Microorganisms 11:1614. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms11061614>
- 9. Arciola CR, Campoccia D, Montanaro L (2018) Implant infections: adhesion, bioflm formation and immune evasion. Nat Rev Microbiol 16:397409.<https://doi.org/10.1038/S41579-018-0019-Y>
- 10. Grenier D, Grignon L, Gottschalk M (2009) Characterisation of bioflm formation by a *Streptococcus suis* meningitis isolate. Vet J 179:292295. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2007.09.005>
- 11. Assavacheep P, Thanawongnuwech R (2022) Porcine respiratory disease complex: dynamics of polymicrobial infections and management strategies after the introduction of the African swine fever. Front Vet Sci 9:1048861.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2022.1048861>
- 12. Petrocchi-Rilo M, Martínez-Martínez S, Aguarón-Turrientes Á, Roca-Martínez E, García-Iglesias MJ, Pérez-Fernández E, González-Fernández A, Herencia-Lagunar E, Gutiérrez-Martín CB (2021) Anatomical site, typing, virulence gene profling, antimicrobial susceptibility and resistance genes of *Streptococcus suis* isolates recovered from pigs in Spain. Antibiotics 10:707.<https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics10060707>
- 13. Andresen LO, Ahrens P (2004) A multiplex PCR for detection of genes encoding exfoliative toxins from *Staphylococcus hyicus*. J Appl Microbiol 96:12651270. <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1365-2672.2004.02258.X>
- 14. Ewers C, Lübke-Becker A, Bethe A, Kießling S, Filter M, Wieler LH (2006) Virulence genotype of *Pasteurella multocida* strains isolated from diferent hosts with various disease status. Vet Microbiol 114:304317. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2005.12.012) [org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2005.12.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2005.12.012)
- 15. Townsend KM, Boyce JD, Chung JY, Frost AJ, Adler B (2001) Genetic organization of *Pasteurella multocida* cap Loci and development of a multiplex capsular PCR typing system. J Clin Microbiol 39:924929. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.39.3.924-929.2001) doi.org/10.1128/jcm.39.3.924-929.2001
- 16. Galofré-Milà N, Correa-Fiz F, Lacouture S, Gottschalk M, Strutzberg-Minder K, Bensaid A, Pina-Pedrero S, Aragon V (2017) A robust PCR for the differentiation of potential virulent strains of *Haemophilus parasuis*. BMC Vet Res 13:124.<https://doi.org/10.1186/S12917-017-1041-4>
- 17. Zuo J, Shen Y, Wang H, Gao S, Yuan S, Song D, Wang Y, Wang Y (2023) Efects of metformin on *Streptococcus suis* LuxS/AI-2 quorum sensing system and bioflm formation. Microb Pathog 181:106183. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2023.106183) [org/10.1016/j.micpath.2023.106183](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2023.106183)
- 18. Yi L, Li J, Fan Q, Mao C, Jin M, Liu Y, Sun L, Grenier D, Wang Y (2020) The *otc* gene of *Streptococcus suis* plays an important role in bioflm formation, adhesion, and virulence in a murine model. Vet Microbiol 251:108925. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2020.108925>
- 19. Dong CL, Che RX, Wu T, Qu QW, Chen MD, Zheng SD, Cai XH, Wang G, Li YH (2023) New characterization of multi-drug resistance of *Streptococcus suis* and bioflm formation from swine in Heilongjiang Province of China. Antibiotics 12:132. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics12010132/S1>
- 20. R Core Team (2023) R: a Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. <https://www.r-project.org/>
- 21. Wickham H (2016) ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis. Springer, New York
- 22. Inkscape Project (2024) Inkscape.<https://inkscape.org/>
- 23. Guo D, Wang L, Lu C (2012) In vitro bioflm forming potential of *Streptococcus suis* isolated from human and swine in China. Braz J Microbiol 43:9931004. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1517-83822012000300021>
- 24. Haas B, Grenier D (2018) Understanding the virulence of *Streptococcus suis*: a veterinary, medical, and economic challenge. Med Mal Infect 48:159166.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medmal.2017.10.001>
- 25. Rieckmann K, Pendzialek SM, Vahlenkamp T, Baums CG (2020) A critical review speculating on the protective efficacies of autogenous Streptococ*cus suis* bacterins as used in Europe. Porcine Health Manage 6:12. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1186/S40813-020-00150-6) doi.org/10.1186/S40813-020-00150-6
- 26. Wang K, Zhang W, Li X, Lu C, Chen J, Fan W, Huang B (2013) Characterization of *Streptococcus suis* isolates from slaughter swine. Curr Microbiol 66:344349.<https://doi.org/10.1007/S00284-012-0275-4>
- 27. Louise Prüfer T, Rohde J, Verspohl J, Rohde M, de Greeff A, Willenborg J, Valentin-Weigand P (2019) Molecular typing of *Streptococcus suis* strains isolated from diseased and healthy pigs between 19962016. PLoS One 14:e0210801. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210801>
- 28. Meekhanon N, Kaewmongkol S, Phimpraphai W, Okura M, Osaki M, Sekizaki T, Takamatsu D (2017) Potentially hazardous *Streptococcus suis* strains latent in asymptomatic pigs in a major swine production area of Thailand. J Med Microbiol 66:662669. <https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.000483>
- 29. Fittipaldi N, Segura M, Grenier D, Gottschalk M (2012) Virulence factors involved in the pathogenesis of the infection caused by the swine pathogen and zoonotic agent *Streptococcus suis*. Future Microbiol 7:259279. <https://doi.org/10.2217/fmb.11.149>
- 30. Tenenbaum T, Seitz M, Schroten H, Schwerk C (2016) Biological activities of suilysin: role in *Streptococcus suis* pathogenesis. Future Microbiol 11:941954.<https://doi.org/10.2217/fmb-2016-0028>
- 31. Uruén C, Fernandez A, Arnal JL, del Pozo M, Amoribieta MC, de Blas I, Jurado P, Calvo JH, Gottschalk M, González-Vázquez LD, Arenas M, Marín CM, Arenas J (2024) Genomic and phenotypic analysis of invasive *Streptococcus suis* isolated in Spain reveals genetic diversifcation and associated virulence traits. Vet Res 55:11. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13567-024-01267-0) [s13567-024-01267-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13567-024-01267-0)
- 32. Rieckmann K, Seydel A, Szewczyk K, Klimke K, Rungelrath V, Baums CG (2018) *Streptococcus suis* cps7: an emerging virulent sequence type (ST29) shows a distinct, IgM-determined pattern of bacterial survival in blood of piglets during the early adaptive immune response after weaning. Vet Res 49:48. <https://doi.org/10.1186/S13567-018-0544-8>
- 33. Zhang S, Gao X, Xiao G, Lu C, Yao H, Fan H, Wu Z (2018) Intracranial subarachnoidal route of infection for investigating roles of *Streptococcus*

suis bioflms in meningitis in a mouse infection model. J Vis Exp 1:e57658. <https://doi.org/10.3791/57658>

- 34. Petrocchi Rilo M, Gutiérrez Martín CB, Acebes Fernández V, Aguarón Turrientes Á, González Fernández A, Miguélez Pérez R, Martínez Martínez S (2024) Streptococcus suis research update: serotype prevalence and antimicrobial resistance distribution in swine isolates recovered in Spain from 2020 to 2022. Vet Sci 11:40.<https://doi.org/10.3390/vetsci11010040>
- 35. Brizuela J, Roodsant TJ, Hasnoe Q, van der Putten BCL, Kozakova J, Slotved HC, van der Linden M, de Beer-Schuurman IGA, Sadowy E, Sáez-Nieto JA, Chalker VJ, van der Ark KCH, Schultsz C (2024) Molecular epidemiology of underreported emerging zoonotic pathogen *Streptococcus suis* in Europe. Emerg Infect Dis 30:413422. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid3003.230348>
- 36. Zheng H, Du P, Qiu X, Kerdsin A, Roy D, Bai X, Xu J, Vela AI, Gottschalk M (2018) Genomic comparisons of *Streptococcus suis* serotype 9 strains recovered from diseased pigs in Spain and Canada. Vet Res 49:1. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13567-017-0498-2) doi.org/10.1186/s13567-017-0498-2
- 37. Wang Y, Zhang W, Wu Z, Lu C (2011) Reduced virulence is an important characteristic of bioflm infection of *Streptococcus suis*. FEMS Microbiol Lett 316:3643. <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1574-6968.2010.02189.X>
- 38. Qi K, Yi X, Wang M, Wang J, Sun H, Liang P, Xu J, Zheng H (2023) *Streptococcus parasuis*, an emerging zoonotic pathogen, possesses the capacity to induce cerebral infammatory responses. Pathogens 12:600. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens12040600) doi.org/10.3390/pathogens12040600
- 39. Wang Y, Wang Y, Sun L, Grenier D, Yi L (2018) The LuxS/AI-2 system of *Streptococcus suis*. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 102:72317238. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/S00253-018-9170-7) [org/10.1007/S00253-018-9170-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/S00253-018-9170-7)
- 40. Aradanas M, Poljak Z, Fittipaldi N, Ricker N, Farzan A (2021) Serotypes, virulence-associated factors, and antimicrobial resistance of *Streptococcus suis* isolates recovered from sick and healthy pigs determined by wholegenome sequencing. Front Vet Sci 8:742345. [https://doi.org/10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.742345) [fvets.2021.742345](https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.742345)
- 41. Loera-Muro A, Ramírez-Castillo FY, Avelar-González FJ, Guerrero-Barrera AL (2015) Porcine respiratory disease complex and bioflms. J Bacteriol Parasitol 6:6. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2155-9597.1000247>
- 42. Aper D, Frömbling J, Bacolu M, Ehling-Schulz M, Hennig-Pauka I (2020) Comparison of metabolic adaptation and bioflm formation of *Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae* feld isolates from the upper and lower respira‑ tory tract of swine with respiratory disease. Vet Microbiol 240:108532. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2019.108532>
- 43. Tremblay YDN, Labrie J, Chénier S, Jacques M (2017) *Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae* grows as aggregates in the lung of pigs: is it time to refne our in vitro bioflm assays Microb Biotechnol 10:756760. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12432) [10.1111/1751-7915.12432](https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12432)
- 44. Zhou Y, Jiang D, Yao X, Luo Y, Yang Z, Ren M, Zhang G, Yu Y, Lu A, Wang Y (2023) Pan-genome wide association study of *Glaesserella parasuis* highlights genes associated with virulence and bioflm formation. Front Microbiol 14:1160433. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1160433>
- 45. Mushtaq HA, Rafque R, Naveed MA, Anjum A, Ali S, Tania HA, Arfat MY, Naeem M, Fraz A, Abdullah M, Khan MN, Huma I, Shahid S (2023) Molecu‑ lar characterization, antibiotic susceptibility and bioflm forming ability of *Pasteurella multocida* isolated from the respiratory microbiota of healthy bovines. Pak J Sci 75:503511. <https://doi.org/10.57041/PJS.V75I03.994>
- 46. Petruzzi B, Briggs RE, Swords WE, de Castro C, Molinaro A, Inzana TJ (2017) Capsular polysaccharide interferes with bioflm formation by *Pasteurella multocida* serogroup A. MBio 8:e01843-17. [https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.](https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01843-17) [01843-17](https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01843-17)
- 47. Wang M, Hu J, Zhu L, Guo C, Lu H, Guo C, Li X, Wang X (2017) A fatal suppurative pneumonia in piglets caused by a pathogenic coagulasepositive strain of *Staphylococcus hyicus*. Vet Res Commun 41:139146. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11259-017-9682-0>
- 48. Paramita PW, Suarjana IGK, Besung INK (2020) Isolation and identifcation of *Staphylococcus* sp. bacteria in pig infected with porcine respiratory disease complex. Indonesia Med Vet 9:426434. [https://doi.org/10.19087/](https://doi.org/10.19087/imv.2020.9.3.426) [imv.2020.9.3.426](https://doi.org/10.19087/imv.2020.9.3.426)
- 49. Tormo MÁ, Knecht E, Götz F, Lasa I, Penadés JR (2005) Bap-dependent bioflm formation by pathogenic species of *Staphylococcus*: evidence of horizontal gene transfer Microbiology 151:24652475. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.27865-0) [1099/mic.0.27865-0](https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.27865-0)
- 50. Saade G, Deblanc C, Bougon J, Marois-Créhan C, Fablet C, Auray G, Belloc C, Leblanc-Maridor M, Gagnon CA, Zhu J, Gottschalk M, Summerfeld A, Simon G, Bertho N, Meurens F (2020) Coinfections and their molecular

consequences in the porcine respiratory tract. Vet Res 51:80. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/S13567-020-00807-8) [org/10.1186/S13567-020-00807-8](https://doi.org/10.1186/S13567-020-00807-8)

- 51. Bujold AR, Barre AME, Kunkel E, Macinnes JI (2023) Strain-dependent interactions of *Streptococcus suis* and *Glaesserella parasuis* in co-culture. Can J Vet Res 87:245
- 52. Yi L, Jin M, Gao M, Wang H, Fan Q, Grenier D, Sun L, Wang S, Wang Y (2022) Specifc quantitative detection of *Streptococcus suis* and *Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae* in co-infection and mixed bioflms. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 12:898412.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2022.898412>
- 53. Wang Y, Gong S, Dong X, Li J, Grenier D, Yi L (2020) In vitro mixed bioflm of *Streptococcus suis* and *Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae* impacts antibi‑ otic susceptibility and modulates virulence factor gene expression. Front Microbiol 11:510153.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.00507>
- 54. OSullivan T, Friendship R, Blackwell T, Pearl D, McEwen B, Carman S, Slavi D, Dewey C (2011) Microbiological identifcation and analysis of swine tonsils collected from carcasses at slaughter. Can J Vet Res 75:106
- 55. Boeters M, Garcia-Morante B, van Schaik G, Segalés J, Rushton J, Steen‑ eveld W (2023) The economic impact of endemic respiratory disease in pigs and related interventionsa systematic review. Porcine Health Manage 9:45. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40813-023-00342-w>

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.