

## **Frequency-Fresnel Domain Equalization for Underwater Acoustic OCDM Systems**

Tarak Arbi, Benoit Geller

### **To cite this version:**

Tarak Arbi, Benoit Geller. Frequency-Fresnel Domain Equalization for Underwater Acoustic OCDM Systems. OCEANS 24, IEEE, Sep 2024, Halifax (Canada), France. hal-04813348

## **HAL Id: hal-04813348 <https://hal.science/hal-04813348v1>**

Submitted on 1 Dec 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Frequency–Fresnel Domain Equalization for Underwater Acoustic OCDM Systems

Tarak Arbi and Benoit Geller

Department U2IS, ENSTA Paris, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France

*Abstract*—Orthogonal Chirp Division Multiplexing (OCDM) is a promising multi-carrier modulation for Underwater Acoustic (UWA) systems, as several low complexity OCDM receivers capable of exploiting the channel diversity have already been proposed. However, OCDM receivers are often designed and studied over time-invariant channels.

This paper proposes a hybrid Frequency-Fresnel domain equalizer to enhance the system performance over the doubly selective UWA channel. Our method first estimates the Channel Impulse Response (CIR) using pilot blocks and the Least Square (LS) criterion. The CIR is then sparsified by removing coefficients below a threshold, and the receiver performs equalization in the frequency domain. To address residual InterSymbol Interference (ISI) in doubly selective UWA channels, we propose to equalize again the obtained symbols in the Fresnel domain and perform jointly phase synchronization. Simulations both on synthetic channels and a real underwater channel show that the proposed algorithm improves significantly the BER performance compared to existing methods.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Orthogonal Chirp Division Multiplexing (OCDM) has been recently proposed as a new multi-carrier modulation scheme; based on a series of orthogonal chirp signals, it spreads the information symbols both in the time and the frequency domain [1]. The OCDM modulation was tested over some UnderWater Acoustic (UWA) channels, and was shown to be robust to different kinds of imperfections such as the use of insufficient guard intervals [1]. It also leads to better system performance when compared to Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (OFDM) in several scenarios in terms of Bit Error Rate (BER) [1]–[3].

Over frequency-selective channels, OCDM signals can be equalized using a Time Domain Equalizer (TDE), a Frequency Domain Equalizer (FDE) [4], [5], [5], or other advanced detectors [1]. The FDE has been privileged in the literature [1]–[3], [7] as it leads to good BER performance and is well suited to physical implementation. The performance of the FDE considerably depends on the performance of the channel estimation. However, channel estimation is challenging for OCDM systems as the symbols are not separable in both time and frequency domains. [7] proposed several frequency domain pilot multiplexing techniques to enable independent channel estimation and detection. In [8], two pilots block are attached at the head and the tail of data blocks, and are

designed so as to optimally minimise the Mean Square Error (MSE) of the Channel Impulse Response (CIR) estimation; however the MSE tends to degrade significantly as the channel varies [8], [9]. Also, the synchronization must be carefully devised in a channel as difficult as the UWA channel [10]–[14].

In this paper, we thus propose a hybrid Frequency-Fresnel domain equalizer. First the receiver estimates the Doppler shift and resamples the received symbols accordingly. Then, it estimates the Channel Impulse Response (CIR) based on the pilots blocks and the Least Square (LS) criterion. Given the sparsity of the UWA channel, the estimated CIR is made sparse by zeroing out the coefficients of the estimated CIR that are below a predefined threshold. Since the UWA channel is doubly selective, the CIR is not necessarily constant over one block leading to residual InterSymbol Interference (ISI); we thus propose to develop a joint Frequency and Fresnel domain equalizer with a limited number of coefficients and a separate Phase-Locked Loop.

The reminder of this paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the system model. Section III presents the proposed hybrid equalization algorithm. Numerical results obtained over some time-varying channels and a real UWA channel are displayed in Section IV. Finally, some conclusions are drawn in Section V.

#### II. SYSTEM MODEL

Information bits b are first channel encoded and interleaved, and then mapped into possibly complex symbols. Thereafter, the symbols are separated into blocks of  $N_s/N_u$  symbols, where  $N_u$  is an integer divisor of  $N_s$  for convenience; it represents the number of chirp to be modulated by the coded symbols. For an underloaded OCDM transmission [2], the symbols to be transmitted in the i-th block  $d^{(i)}$  can be expressed as:

$$
\boldsymbol{d}^{(i)} = [d_0^{(i)} \quad 0_{1 \times Ns/N_u - 1} d_1(i) \cdots d_{N_u - 1}^{(i)} \quad 0_{1 \times Ns/N_u - 1}]^T.
$$
\n(1)

Pilots symbols are then added such that the  $i$  th transmitted symbol block can be expressed as:  $\mathbf{x}^{(i)} = [\mathbf{p}_0^T \mathbf{d}^{(i)} \mathbf{p}_1^T]^T$ ; in our simulations, we consider the following pilot design [8]:  $\mathbf{p}_0 = \left[ b, \mathbf{0}_{K-1}^T \right]^T$ , and  $\mathbf{p}_1 = \mathbf{0}_{K-1}$ , with  $||b||^2 = \mathcal{P}_b$  where  $\mathcal{P}_b$ is the power allocated to the pilots. The time-domain OCDM

This study was funded by the AID CIEDS Glasmar project.



Fig. 1. The frame structure.

symbol block, denoted by  $s^{(i)}$  of length  $N = N_s + 2K - 1$ , can be then written as:

$$
\mathbf{s}^{(i)} = \mathbf{\Phi}^{\mathcal{H}} \mathbf{x}^{(i)},\tag{2}
$$

where  $\Phi$  is an  $N \times N$  discrete Fresnel transform (DFnT) matrix whose  $(m, n)$  th entry is defined as:

$$
[\mathbf{\Phi}]_{m,n} = \frac{e^{-j\frac{\pi}{4}}}{\sqrt{N}} \begin{cases} e^{j\frac{\pi}{N}(m-n)^2} & N \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ e^{j\frac{\pi}{N}\left(m+\frac{1}{2}-n\right)^2} & N \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}
$$
 (3)

Finally, a cyclic prefix larger than the maximum delay spread of the channel  $L<sub>h</sub>$  is added at the beginning of the frame. Figure 2 illustrates the frame structure in the Fresnel domain. In the sequel of this paper, the block index  $i$  is dropped for simplicity reasons.

At the receiver side, the receiver first estimates the Doppler shift and resamples the received symbols accordingly. Thereafter, it uses our proposed hybrid equalization algorithm that we detail in the next section. Finally, the equalized symbols are fed to the channel decoder to obtain LLR values [15]–[17] and to estimate the information symbols.

#### III. THE PROPOSED FREQUENCY-FRESNEL DOMAIN EQUALIZATION ALGORITHM

#### *A. Sparsity aware channel estimation and Frequency domain equalization*

At the receiver side, after frame synchronisation and initial Doppler correction [18] and assuming the channel to be constant within one block, the received observations for a single block can be expressed as:

$$
r = Hs + w = H\Phi^H x + w,\tag{4}
$$

where  $H$  is the CIR matrix and  $w$  is an additive Gaussian noise. The CIR matrix H is circulant: its first column is  $h =$  $[h^0, h^1, \ldots, h^{L_h-1}, 0, \ldots, 0]^T$ , where  $h^l$  are the CIR taps. The receiver then applies a Fast Fourier Transform (FFT), leading to:

$$
r_1 = F H \Phi^H x + F w = \Gamma^H \Lambda F x + w_1; \tag{5}
$$

where **F** is the Fourier matrix of size N,  $\Lambda = \mathbf{F} H \mathbf{F}^H$ , and  $\Gamma$  is a diagonal matrix with  $\{\Gamma\}_{n,n} = e^{-j\frac{\pi}{N}n^2}$ .

As, the statistics of the UWA channels are quite hard to obtain, we thus estimate the channel  $\mathbf{h}_{LS}$  using the Least



Fig. 2. The proposed receiver design.

Square (LS) criterion.

The receiver then obtains the sparse CIR estimate  $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{\text{LS}}^S$ from  $\hat{h}_{LS}$ ; indeed, we propose to take under consideration the sparsity of the UWA CIR by setting coefficients of  $\hat{h}_{LS}$  to zero if they fall below a predefined threshold.

In our simulations, only coefficients with power exceeding 30% of the average coefficient power are considered for the equalization of the received signal. Then the receiver performs channel equalization in the Frequency domain as:

$$
\tilde{\boldsymbol{z}}^{Freq} = \mathbf{G}\Gamma r_1,\tag{6}
$$

where G is a diagonal equalization matrix. For instance, if the Zero forcing criterion is used, it can be expressed as:

$$
\mathbf{G}_{ZF}(k) = \tilde{\Lambda}^{-1}(k). \tag{7}
$$

Under the Minimum MSE (MMSE) criterion,  $G(k)$  is given by:

$$
\mathbf{G}_{MMSE}(k) = \frac{\tilde{\Lambda}^*(k)}{|\tilde{\Lambda}(k)|^2 + \rho^{-1}},\tag{8}
$$

where  $\Lambda$  is the estimated Channel Frequency Response (CFR) and  $\rho$  is the Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR): it can be estimated using conventional methods such as described in [3], [19].

#### *B. Fresnel domain equalization*

The equalized observations can be obtained in the Fresnel domain:

$$
\tilde{\boldsymbol{z}} = F^H \tilde{\boldsymbol{z}}^{Freq}.
$$

In practice, channel estimation cannot be perfectly accurate, and the channel may fluctuate within one symbol block. As a result, residual ISI may persist after frequency equalization, necessitating an additional equalization.

The symbols at the output of the FDE can be modeled in the Fresnel domain as:

$$
\tilde{z}_n = \tilde{h}_0 x_n + \sum_{l \neq 1} \tilde{h}_l x_{n-l} + w_2 \tag{10}
$$

Therefore, we propose to perform jointly equalization and phase synchronization of the symbols  $\tilde{z}_n$ . We then obtain:

$$
z_n = P_n^T \tilde{Z}_n e^{-i\varphi_n}, \qquad (11)
$$

where  $\tilde{Z}_n = [\tilde{z}_{n+L}, \cdots, \tilde{z}_{n} \cdots, \tilde{z}_{n-L}]^T$ ,  $\varphi_n$  is the estimated phase error, and  $f_n = \left[ f_{-L}(n), \cdots, f_0(n), \cdots, f_L(n) \right]^T$  is a transversal filter of length 2L+1,

The coefficients of the filters are updated over the known symbols in order to minimize the mean-square-error (MSE) criterion defined as [20]–[24]:

$$
MSE = \mathbb{E}\left(|z_n - x_n|^2\right),\tag{12}
$$

The filter coefficients  $P_n$  and the estimated phase error  $\phi_n^I$ are updated as:

$$
\begin{cases}\nP_{n+1} = P_n - \mu \nabla_{P_n} \left( | z_n - x_n |^2 \right), \\
\phi_{n+1} = \phi_n - \gamma \nabla_{\phi_n} \left( | z_n - x_n |^2 \right),\n\end{cases} \tag{13}
$$

The step sizes  $\mu$  and  $\gamma$  are updated over all known symbols as:

$$
\mu_{k+1} = \mu_k - \alpha \nabla_\mu \left( \mid z_n - x_n \mid^2 \right),
$$
  
\n
$$
\gamma_{n+1} = \gamma_n - \beta \nabla_\gamma \left( \mid z_n - x_n \mid^2 \right),
$$
\n(14)

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the second-order step-sizes.

Over information symbols, the joint equalization and phase synchronization algorithm operates in a Decision-Directed (DD) mode.

Finally, the receiver performs BICM decoding to recover the transmitted bits.

#### IV. SIMULATIONS RESULTS

#### *A. The BCH1 channel [25]*

In our simulations, the information data were coded by a 1/2 rate convolutional code with generator polynomials equal to (37,33). The length of the pilot symbols  $p_0$  (resp. feedforward equalizer  $L$ ) is set to 65 (resp. 16), and we consider  $N = 1024$ ,  $N_u/N_s = 1/2$ .

Figure 2 compares the performance of our proposed method to the solution used in [2], [3] consisting of an MMSE equalization followed by a PLL. It was obtained over a real UWA channel (BCH1) measured off the commercial harbour of Brest [25]. The BCH1 is a rather a stable channel; Fig. 3 show some impulse response at the different time instants of the BCH1 channel.

We can observe that our proposed method achieves a gain of about 1.5 dB compared with the conventional method.

#### *B. Synthetic time varying channel*

In addition to the WATERMARK real recorded channel BCH1, we obtained similar results on a 3 tap synthetic channel where each tap is given by [26]:

$$
h_l(k) = \sqrt{\frac{P_l}{I}} \sum_{i=1}^{I} e^{j(k\epsilon \pi \cos \psi_{l,i} + \zeta_{l,i})},
$$
 (15)



Fig. 3. BER comparison over the BCH1 channel [25].



Fig. 4. Impulse responses at different time instants of the BCH1 channel.

where the Doppler shift is characterised by  $\epsilon$ ,  $P_l$  denotes the mean power of the *l*-th channel path,  $\psi_{l,i}$  and  $\zeta_{l,i}$  are uniform random variables over  $[0, 2\pi]$ ; *I* is fixed to 10 and (15) has three paths having all the same mean power [26] in our simulation. The length of the pilot symbols  $p_0$  (resp. feedforward equalizer  $L$ ) is set to 23 (resp. 6), and we consider  $N = 1024$ ,  $N_u/N_s = 1/2$ .

Figure 4 compares our proposal with the conventional method [2], [3] over this channel with two different Doppler shifts. It can be observed that our method achieves a better BER performance in particular over fast time varying channels as it surpasses the conventional method by 0.8 dB for  $\epsilon = 1e^{-4}$ and by more than 1.5 dB for  $\epsilon = 3e^{-4}$ .

#### V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we proposed a hybrid Frequency-Fresnel domain equalizer for Orthogonal Chirp Division Multiplexing (OCDM) to improve the BER performance over time-varying Underwater Acoustic (UWA) channels. First, the receiver performs frequency domain equalization based on the estimated



Fig. 5. BER comparison over a 3 tap synthetic channel (15).

sparse channel impulse response.

Moreover, we propose to deal with residual ISI by equalizing the obtained observation again in the Fresnel domain by using a transversal filter that operates jointly with a phase locked loop to better track phase variations over time. Simulations including a real underwater channel, showed significant gains in BER performance compared to conventional equalization techniques.

In the future, we would like to study the OCDM system performance using rotated constellations [27]–[32].

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] X. Ouyang and J. Zhao, "Orthogonal Chirp Division Multiplexing," in IEEE Trans. on Communications, 64 (9), pp. 3946-3957, Sept. 2016.
- [2] B. Yiqi and P. -J. Bouvet, "Orthogonal Chirp Division Multiplexing for Underwater Acoustic Communication" Sensors, no. 11: 3815, Nov. 2018.
- [3] P. -J. Bouvet, Y. Auffret and C. Aubry, "On the analysis of orthogonal chirp division multiplexing for shallow water underwater acoustic communication," OCEANS 2017 - Aberdeen UK, 2017.
- [4] B. Geller, V. Capellano, J.M. Brossier, A. Essebbar, G. Jourdain, Equalizer for Video Rate Transmission in Multipath Underwater Communications , IEEE Journal of Oceanic Engineering, vol. 21, no 2, pp 150-156, April 1996.
- [5] B. Geller, V. Capellano, G. Jourdain, Equalizer for Real Time High Rate Transmission in Underwater Communications , Proceedings of IEEE ICASSP, pp 3179-3182, Detroit, May 1995.
- [6] B. Geller, J.M. Broissier, V. Capellano, Equalizer for High Rate Transmission , Proceedings of OCEANS IEEE Oceanic engineering, Brest, pp; 302-306, Sept. 1994.
- [7] B. Wang, Y. Wang, Y. Li and X. Guan, "Underwater Acoustic Communications Based on OCDM for Internet of Underwater Things," in IEEE Internet of Things Journal, 10 (24), pp. 22128-22142, Dec. 2023.
- [8] R. Zhang, Y. Wang and X. Ma, "Channel Estimation for OCDM Transmissions With Carrier Frequency Offset," in IEEE Wireless Communications Letters, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 483-487, March 2022.
- [9] B. Wang and X. Guan, "Channel Estimation for Underwater Acoustic Communications Based on Orthogonal Chirp Division Multiplexing," in IEEE Signal Processing Letters, vol. 28, pp. 1883-1887, 2021.
- [10] V. Lottici and M. Luise, "Embedding carrier phase recovery into iterative decoding of turbo-coded linear modulations," IEEE Trans. Commun.,vol.
- 52, no. 4, pp. 661–669, Apr. 2004. [11] I. Nasr,L. N. Atallah, S. Cherif, B. Geller, J. Yang, A Soft Maximum Likelihood Technique for Time Delay Recovery , Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Communications and Networking , COM-NET, Mars 2014.
- [12] I. Nasr, L. N. Atallah, S. Cherif, B. Geller, Near MAP Dynamical Delay Estimator and Bayesian CRB for QAM Coded Signals, IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, vol. 17, pp. 636 - 651, Nov. 2017.
- [13] J. Yang, B. Geller, C. Herzet, and J.M. Brossier, Smoothing PLLs for QAM Dynamical Phase Estimation , Proceedings of IEEE ICC'09, Dresden, June 2009.
- [14] L. Zhang and A. Burr, "Iterative carrier phase recovery suited for turbocoded systems", IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun., vol. 3, pp.2267–2276, Nov. 2004.
- [15] C. Berrou, A. Glavieux, P. Thitimajshima,"Near Shannon limit error-correcting coding and decoding: Turbo-codes", Proceedings of IEEE,ICC Geneva, May1993.
- [16] C. Vanstraceele, B. Geller, J.P. Barbot, J.M. Brossier, Block Turbo Codes for Multicarrier Local Loop Transmission , Proceedings of 56th Vehicular Technology Conference, IEEE VTC, pp. 1773-1776, Sept. 2002.
- [17] D.J Mac Kay. "Information theory, Inference and Learning Algorithms." Cambridge University Press, 2003. ISBN 0-521-64298-1.
- [18] T. Arbi, J. Yang, B. Geller, "Récepteur multi-normes pour les réseaux de capteurs de l'iot médical," Internet des objets, 1 (Numéro 1), 2017.
- [19] J. G. Proakis, Digital Communications, 5th ed. McGraw-Hill, 2008.
- [20] Z. Ye, T. Arbi and B. Geller, "Adaptive Step-size Iterative Equalization for Underwater Communication," OCEANS 2021: San Diego – Porto, San Diego, CA, USA, 2021, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.23919/OCEANS44145.2021.9705715.
- [21] Z. Ye, T. Arbi and B. Geller, "Low Complexity Adaptive Turbo Equalization for Multipath Underwater Communication," OCEANS 2019 - Marseille, France, 2019, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.1109/OCEANSE.2019.8866873.
- [22] Z. Ye, T. Arbi, F. X. Socheleau and B. Geller, "Fast Soft Demapping for Underwater Acoustic Communications With Signal Space Diversity," OCEANS 2018 MTS/IEEE Charleston, 2018, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/OCEANS.2018.8604747.
- [23] T. Arbi, B. Geller and Z. Ye, "Turbo Equalization for Underwater Communication Systems using Rotated Constellations," OCEANS 2023 - Limerick, Ireland, 2023, pp. 1-4, doi: 10.1109/OCEANSLimerick52467.2023.10244678.
- [24] T. Arbi and B. Geller, "Hybrid Turbo Equalization for Fasterthan-Nyquist Underwater Communication Systems," OCEANS 2021: San Diego – Porto, San Diego, CA, USA, 2021, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.23919/OCEANS44145.2021.9705818.
- [25] P. A. Van Walree, F. X. Socheleau, R. Otnes, and T. Jenserud. The "Watermark" benchmark for underwater acoustic modulation schemes. IEEE Journal of Oceanic Engineering, 42(4):1007–1018, Oct. 2017.
- [26] C. Laot, A. Glavieux and J. Labat, "Turbo Equalization: Adaptive Equalization and Channel Decoding Jointly Optimized," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 19, no. 9, Sep 2001.
- [27] T. Arbi, Z. Ye and B. Geller, "Low-Complexity Blind PAPR Reduction for OFDM Systems With Rotated Constellations," IEEE Transactions on Broadcasting, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 491-499, June 2021, doi: 10.1109/TBC.2021.3056232.
- [28] T. Arbi, B. Geller, J. Yang, C. Abdel Nour and O. Rioul, "Uniformly Projected RCQD QAM: A Low-Complexity Signal Space Diversity Solution Over Fading Channels With or Without Erasures," IEEE Transactions on Broadcasting, vol. 64, no. 4, pp. 803-815, Dec. 2018, doi: 10.1109/TBC.2018.2811618.
- [29] T. Arbi, B. Geller and O. P. Pasquero, "Direct-Sequence Spread Spectrum with Signal Space Diversity for High Resistance to Jamming," MILCOM 2021 - 2021 IEEE Military Communications Conference (MILCOM), San Diego, CA, USA, 2021, pp. 670-676, doi: 10.1109/MILCOM52596.2021.9652967.
- [30] J. Yang, K. Wan, B. Geller, C.A. Nour, O. Rioul, C. Douillard, "A Low-Complexity 2D Signal Space Diversity Solution for Future Broadcasting Systems", Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC 2015),pp. 2762-2767, June 2015.
- [31] J. Yang, M. Li, M. Li, C.A. Nour, C. Douillard, B. Geller, "Max-Log Demapper Architecture Design for DVB T2 Rotated QAM Constellations", Proceedings of IEEE SIPS, Hangzhou, October 2015.
- [32] J. Boutros, and E. Viterbo, "Signal space diversity: a power- and bandwidth-efficient diversity technique for the Rayleigh fading channel," IEEE Trans. on Inform. Theory, 44(4):1453-1467, July 1998.