



HAL
open science

From midpoint to endpoint level radiological impacts on human health in prospective LCA: leveraging open source solutions to investigate the Fessenheim NPP decommissioning

Paul Robineau, Maria Boltoeva, Nicolas Arbor, Gaétana Quaranta

► To cite this version:

Paul Robineau, Maria Boltoeva, Nicolas Arbor, Gaétana Quaranta. From midpoint to endpoint level radiological impacts on human health in prospective LCA: leveraging open source solutions to investigate the Fessenheim NPP decommissioning. Brightcon 2024, Sep 2024, Hambourg, Germany. . hal-04811989

HAL Id: hal-04811989

<https://hal.science/hal-04811989v1>

Submitted on 29 Nov 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

Context and objectives

The **CO2InnO project**^[1] is a France-Germany cross-border living laboratory, investigating several aspects of the energy transition at the local scale. One of these is the study of the environmental impacts of the upcoming Fessenheim Nuclear Power Plant (NPP) decommissioning.

NPP decommissioning have been relatively neglected in academic environmental impact studies, in particular through the lens of life cycle assessment (LCA), with only 3 studies to date^[2-4].

In parallel, **there has been relatively low interest in radiological impact assessment in the field of LCA**, in particular due to the lack of an appropriate and consistent life cycle impact assessment (LCIA) framework^[5]. Two recently developed methodologies attempt to remedy this problem regarding impacts on human health^[6]:

- **UCrad**, built as a radiological counterpart to the widely used USEtox, is designed to produce **globally averaged results adapted for technology evaluation & comparison**.
- **CGM**, drawing on analytical modeling of established risk assessment studies, is designed for **plant-scale evaluation in a screening context**.

References

- [1] CO2InnO Project. Interreg Upper Rhine 2021–2027, A1.3. [URL](#).
- [2] Wallbridge, et al., 2013. Life cycle environmental impacts of decommissioning Magnox nuclear power plants in the UK. *Int J Life Cycle Assess* 18, 990–1008. [DOI](#).
- [3] Seier, M., Zimmermann, T., 2014. Environmental impacts of decommissioning nuclear power plants: methodical challenges, case study, and implications. *Int J Life Cycle Assess* 19, 1919–1932. [DOI](#).
- [4] Iguder, M. et al., 2024. Life cycle assessment of an upcoming nuclear power plant decommissioning: the Fessenheim case study from public data. *Int J Life Cycle Assess*. [DOI](#).
- [5] Paulillo, A. et al., 2018. Radiological impact assessment approaches for Life Cycle Assessment: a review and possible ways forward. *Environ. Rev.* 26, 239–254. [DOI](#).
- [6] Paulillo, A. et al., 2020. Radiological impacts in Life Cycle Assessment. Part I: General framework and two practical methodologies. *Science of The Total Environment* 708, 135179. [DOI](#).
- [7] Paulillo, A. et al., 2023. Characterizing human health damage from ionizing radiation in life cycle assessment. *Int J Life Cycle Assess* 28, 1723–1734. [DOI](#).
- [8] QGIS Development Team, 2024. QGIS geographic information system v3.36.3 (Maidenhead). QGIS Association. [URL](#).

The development of UCrad have also been pushed from the midpoint/effect level, in Sievert (Sv), to the endpoint/damage level in Disability-Adjusted Lost Years (DALYs)^[7]. The approach can easily be transferred to CGM by adapting the population considered. Furthermore, **the time scale of an NPP decommissioning (at least 15 years) suggest that population evolution could cause bias in the characterization factors (CFs) used, a fortiori the obtained results, if not dynamically adjusted**.


$$CF_{\text{endpoint}} = CF_{\text{midpoint}} \cdot EF \cdot P$$

Endpoint CF = Midpoint CF · Effect Factor · Population


We resolve this by using population datasets designed for consistency with the different shared socio-economic pathways (SSPs). These datasets are imported in the **QGIS open source software**^[8], and extracted population values are used to **construct appropriate CFs for the prospective endpoint-level assessment**.

This allows us to compute the midpoint & endpoint radiological impacts on human health expected to occur during the Fessenheim NPP decommissioning, compare “static” & prospective LCA estimates, and to highlight perspectives for the development of the UCrad & CGM LCIA methods.


System description



Annual radioactive discharges estimates





Total radioactive discharges per radionuclide



The plain color and white dots pattern in respectively represents ³H and ¹⁴C. Other RNs contribute too little to be visualized.


Estimation of population dynamics with QGIS for damage assessment



UCrad & CGM midpoint impacts


Method	Relative contributions (%)			Total (Sv)
	Tritium-3	Carbon-14	Cobalt-60	
CGM 1 km	2.04	87.36	9.98	$1.16 \cdot 10^{-5}$
CGM 100 km	1.44	1.22	97.31	$4.64 \cdot 10^{-7}$
CGM 1000 km	1.42	0.02	98.54	$4.56 \cdot 10^{-7}$
CGM 10 000 km	0.17	$5 \cdot 10^{-4}$	99.82	$4.22 \cdot 10^{-7}$
UCrad	18.94	48.04	32.86	$1.66 \cdot 10^{-10}$

3 radionuclides dominate the midpoint impacts, contributions depending on the LCIA method



Prospective UCrad & CGM endpoint impacts

Not taking into account expected population future dynamics → [17-21.8]% of expected global damages missing (UCrad)



Static UCrad

Original characterization factors

Prospective UCrad


Prospective datasets of global population used to modify the CFs

Prospective CGM 1 – 10 000 km

Population inside each spatial interval uniformly extracted and treated as aggregated at the farthest boundary

CGM limits & perspectives

Influence of population distribution on a simplified case



Problematic

Simplifying assumptions on population distribution

Midpoint impacts fits implemented in QGIS

Solution?

Towards endpoint impacts representative computation?

Perspective