

Homological smoothness of Hopf-Galois extensions Julian Le Clainche

To cite this version:

Julian Le Clainche. Homological smoothness of Hopf-Galois extensions. 2024. hal-04810811

HAL Id: hal-04810811 <https://hal.science/hal-04810811v1>

Preprint submitted on 29 Nov 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Homological smoothness of Hopf-Galois extensions

Julian Le Clainche

Abstract

We show that if H is a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode and $B \subset A$ is a faithfully flat H -Galois extension, then A is homologically smooth if H and B are.

1 Introduction

An algebra A is said to be homologically smooth if A admits, as an A-bimodule, a finite length resolution by finitely generated projective A-bimodules. Homological smoothness is an appropriate analogue of regularity for (noncommutative) algebras, and is the basic condition involved in homological duality questions for algebras, see [3, 17, 18, 8]. The aim of this paper is to provide a result that produces new examples of homologically smooth algebras, in the setting of Hopf-Galois extensions, the analogue of principal bundles in noncommutative algebra.

Recall [10] that if H is a Hopf algebra and A is a H -comodule algebra, the algebra extension $A^{coH} \subset A$ is said to be an H-Galois extension if a certain canonical map $\beta: A \otimes_B A \to A \otimes H$ is bijective, see Section 3. This framework includes examples of various nature such as Hopf crossed products [12] or exact sequences of Hopf algebras [1] (in particular exact sequences of groups).

In this setting, our main result is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. Let H be a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode and let $B \subset A$ be an H-Galois extension such that A is faithfully flat as left and right B-module. If H and B are homologically smooth algebras, then A is homologically smooth as well.

Similar results were known to be true in some particular cases, notably in the setting of twisted Calabi-Yau algebras: the case of Galois objects (i.e. for $B = k$) was done by Yu [21] and smash products of algebras by Hopf algebras were studied by Le Meur [11].

The main ingredients to prove Theorem 1.1 are

- 1. Stefan's spectral sequences [16] for Hopf-Galois extensions;
- 2. a smoothness criterion using Tor due to Bieri-Eckmann [2], which seems to have been slightly forgotten in the recent literature.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 consists of preliminaries about homological finiteness of modules and reminders about Hochschild cohomology. In section 3 we recall the definition of Hopf-Galois extensions and we introduce Stefan's spectral sequences. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1 and in the final Section 5, we present an illustrating example of Theorem 1.1.

Notations. Throughout the paper, we work over a field k , and all algebras are (unital) k -algebras. If A is an algebra, the opposite algebra is denoted A^{op} and the enveloping algebra $A \otimes A^{op}$ is denoted A^e . The category of right (resp. left) A-modules is denoted \mathcal{M}_A (resp. $_A\mathcal{M}$). We have $\mathcal{M}_A = A^{op}\mathcal{M}$, hence any definition we give for left modules has an obvious analogue for right modules, that we will not necessarily give. An A-bimodule structure is equivalent to a left (or right) A^e -module structure. Indeed, if M is an A-bimodule, a left (resp. right) A^e -module structure on M is defined by

$$
(a \otimes b) \cdot m = amb
$$
 (resp. $m \cdot (a \otimes b) = bma$) for $a, b \in A$ and $m \in M$.

The category of A-bimodule is thus identified with the category \mathcal{M}_{A^e} , or with the category $_{A^e}\mathcal{M}$.

If M and N are left A-modules, Ext spaces ([20]) are denoted $\text{Ext}_{A}^{\bullet}(M, N)$ and if P is a right A-module Tor spaces are denoted $Tor_{\bullet}^{A}(P,M)$. We will also need to consider Ext spaces in categories of right modules, which we denote $\text{Ext}_{A^{op}}^{\bullet}(-, -)$.

If H is a Hopf algebra, its comultiplication, counit and antipode are denoted Δ , ε and S and we will use Sweedler notation in the usual way, i.e. for $h \in H$, we write $\Delta(h) = h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)}$. See [12].

2 Homological preliminaries

In this section we recall the various homological ingredients needed in the paper.

Definition 2.1. Let A be an algebra. The *projective dimension* of an A-module M is defined by

 $\text{pdim}_A(M) := \min\{n \in \mathbb{N}, M \text{ admits a length } n \text{ resolution by projective } A\text{-modules}\}\in \mathbb{N}\cup \{\infty\}.$

The projective dimension can as well be characterized by

 $\text{pdim}_A(M) = \min \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N}, \ \text{Ext}_A^{n+1}(M,N) = \{0\} \text{ for any } A\text{-module } N \right\}$ $=\max\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ Ext}_{A}^{n}(M,N) \neq \{0\} \text{ for some } A\text{-module } N.\right\}$

We now recall various finiteness conditions on modules.

Definition 2.2. Let A be an algebra and let M be an A-module.

- 1. The A-module M is said to be of type FP_{∞} if it admits a projective resolution $P_{\bullet} \to M$ with P_i finitely generated for all i.
- 2. The A-module M is said to be of type FP if it admits a finitely generated projective resolution of finite length.

The following result characterizes modules of type FP among those of type FP_{∞} , see e.g [5, Chapter VIII].

Proposition 2.3. Let A be an algebra. An A-module M is of type FP if and only if it is of type FP_{∞} and pdim_A(M) is finite. In this case we have

$$
\operatorname{pdim}_A(M)=\max\left\{n\in\mathbb{N},\ \operatorname{Ext}_A^n(M,A)\neq\{0\}\right\}
$$

The following result is [2, Corollary 1.6]. The implication (iii) \Rightarrow (i) is due to Bieri-Eckmann [4], and gives an effective condition to check that a module is of type FP_{∞} and will be useful in section 4.

Proposition 2.4. Let A be an algebra and M a left (resp. right) A-module. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) The left (resp. right) A-module M is of type FP_{∞} ;
- (ii) For any direct product $\prod_{i\in I} N_i$ of right (resp. left) A-modules, the natural map $\text{Tor}_k^A(\prod_{i\in I} N_i, M) \to$ $\prod_{i\in I} \text{Tor}_k^A(N_i, M)$ (resp. $\text{Tor}_k^A(M, \prod_{i\in I} N_i) \to \prod_{i\in I} \text{Tor}_k^A(M, N_i)$ is an isomorphism for all $k \geq 0$:
- (iii) For any direct product $\prod A$ of arbitrary many copies of A, we have $\text{Tor}_i^A(\prod A, M) = 0$ (resp. $Tor_i^A(N, \prod A) = 0$ for $i \ge 1$ and the natural map $(\prod A) \otimes_A M \to \prod M$ (resp. $M \otimes_A (\prod A) \to$ $\prod M$) is an isomorphism.

There are similar results for Ext functors, but will only use the following particular instance.

Proposition 2.5. Let A be an algebra and M a right (resp. left) A-module, if M is of type FP_{∞} then $Ext_{A^{op}}(M, -)$ (resp. $Ext_A(M, -)$) commutes with direct sums.

Those above general homological finiteness notions specify to the case of bimodules which is our case of interest when considering Hochschild (co)homology.

Definition 2.6. Let A be an algebra.

- 1. The cohomological dimension of A is defined to be $\text{cd}(A) := \text{pdim}_{A^e}(A)$.
- 2. The algebra A is said to be *homologically smooth* if A is of type FP as a left A^e -module.
- 3. Let M an A-bimodule. The Hochschild cohomology spaces of A with coefficients in M are the vector spaces $HH^{\bullet}(A,M) := \text{Ext}^{\bullet}_{A^e}(A,M)$. The *Hochschild homology* spaces of A with coefficients in M are the vector spaces $\operatorname{HH}_{\bullet}(A, M) := \operatorname{Tor}_{\bullet}^{A^e}(M, A)$.

Notice that if A is a homologically smooth algebra, then $cd(A) = \max\{n \in \mathbb{N}, H\cdot H^n(A, A^e) \neq 0\}$, by Proposition 2.3.

We finally record that if $A = H$ is a Hopf algebra, homological smoothness can be expressed using H -modules rather than H -bimodules.

Theorem 2.7. [19, Proposition A.2] Let H be a Hopf algebra. The following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) The algebra H is homologically smooth;
- (ii) The right H-module k_{ε} is of type FP;
- (iii) The left H-module ϵk is of type FP.

3 Hopf-Galois extensions

3.1 Definitions and examples

Recall that if H is a Hopf algebra, an H -comodule algebra is an algebra A together with an algebra map $\rho: A \to A \otimes H$ making it into an H-comodule.

Definition 3.1. Let H be a Hopf algebra. An H -comodule algebra A is said to be an H -Galois extension of $B := A^{coH} = \{a \in A, a_{(0)} \otimes a_{(1)} = a \otimes 1\}$ if the (canonical) map

$$
\beta: A \otimes_B A \longrightarrow A \otimes H
$$

$$
a \otimes_B b \longmapsto ab_{(0)} \otimes b_{(1)}
$$

is bijective. An H-Galois extension of the base field k is called an H-Galois object.

An overview of the theory of Hopf-Galois extensions can be found in [13]. We now list a number of important examples.

Example 3.2. Let H be a Hopf algebra. Then H is an H-Galois object, with the right H-comodule structure given by the comultiplication.

Example 3.3. Let G be a group and let A a G-graded algebra, i.e. an algebra with a decomposition $A = \bigoplus$ g∈G A_g such that $A_gA_h \subset A_{gh}$ for any $g, h \in G$ and $1 \in A_e$, where e denotes the neutral element of G. The G-grading makes A into a kG-comodule algebra, and $A_e \subset A$ is a kG-Galois extension if

and only if A is G-strongly graded, which means that $A_qA_h = A_{gh}$ for any $g, h \in G$.

In that case, the inverse of β is given by

$$
\beta^{-1}(a \otimes g) = \sum_i ac_i \otimes_{A_e} d_i \text{ for } a \in A, g \in G
$$

where $c_i \in A_{g^{-1}}$ and $d_i \in A_g$ are elements such that \sum i $c_i d_i = 1.$

β

Example 3.4. Let H be a Hopf algebra and let B be a left H-module algebra, i.e. B is a left H-module with $h \cdot (ab) = (h_{(1)} \cdot a)(h_{(2)} \cdot b)$ and $h \cdot 1 = \varepsilon(h)1$ for any $h \in H$, $a, b \in B$. Let A be the smash product algebra $B\sharp H$. Then A is an H-Galois extension of B where the H-comodule structure on A is given by

$$
a\sharp h\mapsto (a\sharp h_{(1)})\otimes h_{(2)}
$$

and the inverse of β is

$$
\beta^{-1}: (B \# H) \otimes H \longrightarrow (B \# H) \otimes_B (B \# H)
$$

$$
(a \# h) \otimes k \longmapsto (a \# h S(k_{(1))}) \otimes_B (1 \# k_{(2)})
$$

Example 3.5. Let $p: A \to H$ be a surjective Hopf algebra map. Then p induces a right H-comodule algebra structure on A, given by $a \mapsto a_{(1)} \otimes p(a_{(2)})$. Let $B = A^{\text{co}H}$. If $B^+A = \text{Ker}(p)$, then $B \subset A$ is an H-Galois extension, with the inverse of the canonical map β being given by

$$
\beta^{-1}: A \otimes H \longrightarrow A \otimes_B A
$$

$$
a \otimes p(a') \longmapsto aS(a'_{(1)}) \otimes_B a'_{(2)}
$$

Recall [1] that a sequence of Hopf algebra maps

$$
k \longrightarrow B \stackrel{i}{\longrightarrow} A \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} H \longrightarrow k
$$

is said to be exact if the following conditions hold:

- (1) i is injective and p is surjective,
- (2) $\text{Ker}(p) = i(B)^{+}A = Ai(B)^{+},$

(3) $i(B) = A^{coH} = {}^{coH}A$,

where $A^{coH} = \{a \in A, a_{(1)} \otimes p(a_{(2)}) = a \otimes 1\}$ and $^{coH}A = \{a \in A, p(a_{(1)}) \otimes a_{(2)} = 1 \otimes a\}$. Exact sequences of groups correspond to exact sequences of their group algebras.

Hence, if $k \longrightarrow B \stackrel{i}{\longrightarrow} A \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} H \longrightarrow k$ is an exact sequence of Hopf algebras, then by the previous consideration we have that A is an H-Galois extension of $i(B)$ where the H-comodule structure on A is given by $a \mapsto a_{(1)} \otimes p(a_{(2)})$.

Remark 3.6. Let $B \subset A$ be an H-Galois extension. Since the canonical map is left A-linear, its inverse is uniquely determined by κ : $H \to A \otimes_B A$ defined by $\kappa(h) = \beta^{-1}(1 \otimes h)$. Denoting $\kappa(h) = h^{(1)} \otimes_B h^{(2)}$, the following identities, which we will not use directly but are essential for some constructions in the next subsection, are [15, Remark 3.4]:

$$
bh^{(1)} \otimes_B h^{(2)} = h^{(1)} \otimes_B h^{(2)}b, \quad a_{(0)}a_{(1)}^{(1)} \otimes_B a_{(1)}^{(2)} = 1 \otimes_B a, \quad h^{(1)}h^{(2)} = \varepsilon(h)
$$

\n
$$
h^{(1)} \otimes_B (h^{(2)})_{(0)} \otimes (h^{(2)})_{(1)} = h_{(1)}^{(1)} \otimes_B h_{(1)}^{(2)} \otimes h_{(2)},
$$

\n
$$
(h^{(1)})_{(0)} \otimes_B h^{(2)} \otimes (h^{(1)})_{(1)} = h_{(2)}^{(1)} \otimes_B h_{(2)}^{(2)} \otimes S(h_{(1)})
$$

\n
$$
(hk)^{(1)} \otimes_B (hk)^{(2)} = k^{(1)}h^{(1)} \otimes_B h^{(2)}k^{(2)}
$$

3.2 The Stefan spectral sequences

The fundamental tool that we will use in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the Stefan spectral sequence, constructed in [16] by using the Grothendieck spectral sequence.

It involves a right (resp. left) H-module structures on the cohomology spaces $HH^q(B, M)$ (resp. on the homology spaces $HH_q(B, M)$ for $q > 0$ and any A-bimodule M defined in [16]. For $q = 0$, the H-action on $HH^0(B,M) \simeq M^B$ is given by $m \cdot h = h^{(1)}mh^{(2)}$ for $m \in M^B$ and $h \in H$ and it is extended to $q \geq 0$ using the machinery of cohomological functors [5, Theorem 7.5]. In [7], explicit formulas are given for $q \geq 0$ in the case of a smash product.

For $q = 0$, the H-action on $HH_0(B, M) \simeq M/[M, B]$ is given by $h \cdot \pi_M(m) = \pi_M(h^{\langle 2 \rangle}mh^{\langle 1 \rangle})$ for $m \in M$ and $h \in H$, where π_M denotes the natural projection of M onto $M/[M, B]$.

Theorem 3.7 ([16]). Let H be a Hopf algebra, let $B \subset A$ be an H-Galois extension and let M be an A-bimodule.

 (i) Assume that A is flat as left and right B-module. Then there is a spectral sequence

$$
E_2^{p,q} = \text{Ext}^p_{H^{op}}(k_{\varepsilon}, \text{HH}^q(B, M)) \implies \text{HH}^{p+q}(A, M)
$$

which is natural in M.

(ii) Assume that A is projective as left and right B-module. Then there is a spectral sequence

$$
E_{p,q}^2 = \operatorname{Tor}_p^H(k_\varepsilon, \operatorname{HH}_q(B,M)) \implies \operatorname{HH}_{p+q}(A,M)
$$

which is natural in M.

As explained in [16], the above spectral sequences are natural generalizations of the usual Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequences in group or Lie algebra (co)homology.

Remark 3.8. It is shown in [14, Theorem 4.10] that if H is a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode, and $B \subset A$ an H-Galois extension with A faithfully flat as a left and right B-module, then A is projective as a left and right B-module. Thus, in order to invoke one of the above spectral sequences, we can indifferently assume projectivity or faithful flatness for an H-Galois extension $B \subset A$.

Remark 3.9. In [16], the homology spaces $HH_{\bullet}(A, M)$ are defined as the spaces $Tor_{\bullet}^{A^e}(A, M)$ for A and algebra and M an A -bimodule. This is equivalent to Definition 2.6, indeed, if P is an A -bimodule, we have $M \otimes_{A^e} P \simeq P \otimes_{A^e} M$ hence, the complexes defining $Tor_{\bullet}^{A^e}(M, A)$ and $Tor_{\bullet}^{A^e}(A, M)$ are isomorphic, hence their homology are and $\operatorname{Tor}^{\mathcal{A}^e}_{\bullet}(M, A) \simeq \operatorname{Tor}^{\mathcal{A}^{\overline{e}}}_{\bullet}(A, M)$.

4 Smoothness of Hopf-Galois extensions

This section is dedicated to the proof of our main theorem. We begin with a result on finiteness of the cohomological dimension.

Proposition 4.1. Let H be a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode and let $B \subset A$ be an H-Galois extension such that A is flat as left and right B-module. We have $cd(A) \leq cd(B) + cd(H)$, and hence if $cd(B)$ and $cd(H)$ are finite, so is $cd(A)$.

Proof. Under those assumptions, we can use Theorem 3.7. Thus, for every A-bimodule M , we get a spectral sequence

$$
E_2^{pq} = \text{Ext}_{H^{op}}^p(k_\varepsilon, \text{HH}^q(B, M)) \implies \text{HH}^{p+q}(A, M).
$$

If $cd(B)$ or $cd(H)$ is infinite, there is nothing to show, so we assume that these are finite. For $p > \text{cd}(H)$ or $q > \text{cd}(B)$, we have $E_2^{pq} = \{0\}$. Now, if we denote $d_2^{p,q}$ E_2^{pq} : $E_2^{pq} \rightarrow E_2^{p+2,q-1}$ $x_2^{p+2,q-1}$ the differential on the second page of the spectral sequence, we get that for $p > \text{cd}(H)$ or $q > \text{cd}(B)$ the maps $d_2^{p,q}$ $E_2^{p,q}$: $E_2^{p,q} \rightarrow E_2^{p+2,q-1}$ $a_2^{p+2,q-1}$ and $d_2^{p-2,q+1}$ $E_2^{p-2,q+1}$: $E_2^{p-2,q+1}$ \rightarrow $E_2^{p,q}$ $E_2^{p,q}$ are both 0 hence $\{0\} = E_2^{p,q} \simeq$ $E_3^{p,q} \simeq ... \simeq \text{HH}^{p+q}(A,M)$. We obtain that $\text{HH}^n(A,M) = \{0\}$ for $n > \text{cd}(B) + \text{cd}(H)$, and hence $cd(A) \leq cd(H) + cd(B).$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let H be a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode and let $B \subset A$ be an H-Galois extension. We assume that A is faithfully flat as left and right B -module and that H and B are homologically smooth algebras. We know from Proposition 4.1 that A has finite cohomological dimension, hence to prove that A is homologically smooth, it remains to prove, by Proposition 2.3, that A is of type FP_{∞} as an A-bimodule.

To prove that A is of type FP_{∞} as an A-bimodule, we will use the characterization of Proposition 2.4, and hence we consider the A-bimodule $M = \prod A^e$ where \prod is an arbitrary direct product. We have to show that for $n \geq 1$, one has $HH_n(A, \widetilde{M}) = 0$ and that $HH_0(A, M) \simeq \text{Tor}_0^{A^e}(M, A) \simeq$ $(\prod A^e) \otimes_{A^e} A \simeq \prod A \simeq \prod \text{Tor}_0^{A^e}(A^e, A) \simeq \prod \text{HH}_0(A, A^e).$

Under the hypothesis that A is faithfully flat as a right and left B-module and regarding Remark 3.8, we can use Theorem 3.7 (ii). Thus, for every A-bimodule M we get a spectral sequence

$$
E_{pq}^2 = \text{Tor}_p^H(k_\varepsilon, \text{HH}_q(B, M)) \implies \text{HH}_{p+q}(A, M).
$$

The algebra B is of type FP_{∞} as a left B^e-module hence $HH_q(B, -) = Tor_q^{B^e}(-, B)$ commutes with direct products. Moreover, A^e is flat as a left and right B^e -module [16, Lemma 2.1] thus for $q \ge 1$, we get that

$$
\mathrm{HH}_q(B, \prod A^e) \simeq \prod \mathrm{HH}_q(B, A^e) = \{0\}.
$$

Hence we have $E_{pq}^2 = \{0\}$ for $q > 0$.

If π_M (resp. π_{A^e}) denotes the natural projection of M (resp. A^e) onto $HH_0(B, M) \simeq M/[M, B]$ (resp. HH₀ $(B, A^e) \simeq A^e/[A^e, B]$), the natural isomorphism $\mu : HH_0(B, M) \to \prod HH_0(B, A^e)$ is given by $\mu(\pi_M((x_i \otimes y_i)_i)) = (\pi_{A^e}(x_i \otimes y_i))_i$ for any $x_i, y_i \in A$. Hence, for $h \in H$, we have

$$
\mu(h \cdot \pi_M((x_i \otimes y_i)_i)) = \mu(\pi_M((h^{(2)}x_i \otimes y_i h^{(1)})_i)) = (\pi_{A^e}(h^{(2)}x_i \otimes y_i h^{(1)}))_i = h \cdot \left((\pi_{A^e}(x_i \otimes y_i))_i \right).
$$

The natural isomorphism $HH_0(B, M) \simeq \prod HH_0(B, A^e)$ is thus an isomorphism of H-modules. Therefore, since the algebras B and H are homologically smooth, we get, using Proposition 2.4, for $p \ge 0$

$$
E_{p0}^2 = \text{Tor}_p^H(k_\varepsilon, \text{HH}_0(B, M)) \simeq \text{Tor}_p^H(k_\varepsilon, \prod \text{HH}_0(B, A^e)) \simeq \prod \text{Tor}_p^H(k_\varepsilon, \text{HH}_0(B, A^e)).
$$

The A^e -module A^e is projective, hence [16, Proposition 4.4] ensures that

$$
\operatorname{Tor}_p^H(k_\varepsilon, \operatorname{HH}_0(B, A^e)) = \{0\} \text{ for } p \ge 1.
$$

Hence for $p \geq 1$ we have

$$
E_{p,0}^2 = \operatorname{Tor}_p^H(k_\varepsilon, \operatorname{HH}_0(B,M)) \simeq \prod \operatorname{Tor}_p^H(k_\varepsilon, \operatorname{HH}_0(B,A^e)) = \{0\}
$$

We get that $E_{p,q}^2 = \text{Tor}_p^H(k_\varepsilon, \text{HH}_q(B,M)) = \{0\}$ for $(p,q) \neq (0,0)$, and hence the spectral sequence ensures that $\widehat{HH}_n(A, M) = \{0\}$ for $n > 0$.

Finally, using [16, Proposition 4.2], that k_{ε} is of type FP_{∞} as a right H-module and that B is smooth, we obtain

$$
HH_0(A, \prod A^e) \simeq \text{Tor}_0^H(k_{\varepsilon}, HH_0(B, \prod A^e))
$$

\n
$$
\simeq \text{Tor}_0^H(k_{\varepsilon}, \prod HH_0(B, A^e))
$$

\n
$$
\simeq \prod \text{Tor}_0^H(k_{\varepsilon}, HH_0(B, A^e))
$$

\n
$$
\simeq \prod HH_0(A, A^e).
$$

It is not difficult to check that the above isomorphism is the natural map μ in the third item of Proposition 2.4, the first an last isomorphism being explicit from [16, Proposition 4.2], and the second and third one being obtained from the natural respective maps μ as well. We thus conclude from Proposition 2.4 that A is homologically smooth. \Box

Remark 4.2. In the situation of Theorem 1.1, we have seen that $\text{cd}(A) \leq \text{cd}(B) + \text{cd}(H)$. We have not been able to show that the equality $cd(A) = cd(B) + cd(H)$ holds in general, although we suspect it does. It holds in the case of Galois objects [21], of smash products [11], and in the case of exact sequences of Hopf algebras, as we will show in a forthcoming paper.

5 An example

We finish the paper by presenting an illustrative example.

Definition 5.1. Let B be a commutative k-algebra, let $b \in B$ and let $q \in k^{\times}$ with $q^2 \neq 1$. The *k*-algebra $U_q^{B,b}$ is defined by

$$
U_q^{B,b} = B\left\langle g, g^{-1}, e, f \mid eg = q^{-2}ge, gf = q^{-2}fg, ef - fe = bg - \frac{1}{q - q^{-1}}g^{-1}\right\rangle
$$

When $B = k$ and $b = (q + q^{-1})^{-1}$, the algebra $U_q^{B,b}$ is the quantized enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

Proposition 5.2. If B is an homologically smooth commutative algebra, then $U_q^{B,b}$ is as well homologically smooth, with $\text{cd}(U_q^{B,b}) \leq \text{cd}(B) + 3$.

Proof. Recall that denoting $E = e$, $K = g$ and $F = f$, the algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ has a Hopf algebra structure defined by

$$
\Delta(E) = 1 \otimes E + E \otimes K, \ \Delta(F) = K^{-1} \otimes F + F \otimes 1, \ \Delta(K) = K \otimes K,
$$

$$
S(E) = -EK^{-1}, \ S(F) = -KK, \ S(K) = K^{-1}, \ \varepsilon(E) = \varepsilon(F) = 0, \ \varepsilon(K) = 1.
$$

More generally, $U_q^{B,b}$ is a right $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -comodule algebra with $\rho(a) = a \otimes 1$ for any $a \in B$, $\rho(g) = a$ $g \otimes K$, $\rho(e) = e \otimes K + 1 \otimes E$, $\rho(f) = f \otimes 1 + g^{-1} \otimes F$. It is shown in [9, Lemma 16] that $B \subset U_q^{B,b}$ is a cleft (hence in particular free) $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -Galois extension. Hence combining [6, Proposition 3.2.1]

 \Box

References

- [1] ANDRUSKIEWITSCH, N., AND DEVOTO, J. Extensions of Hopf algebras. St. Petersburg Math. J. 7 (1996), 17–52.
- [2] Bieri, R. Homological dimension of discrete groups. Queen Mary College, 1983.

(for $g = \mathfrak{sl}_2$), Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 1.1, we obtain the announced result.

- [3] Bieri, R., and Eckmann, B. Groups with homological duality. Invent. Math. 20, 2 (1973), 103–124.
- [4] BIERI, R., AND ECKMANN, B. Finiteness properties of duality groups. Comment. Math. Helv. 49 (1974), 74–83.
- [5] Brown, K. S. Cohomology of groups, vol. 87 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [6] Chemla, S. Rigid dualizing complex for quantum enveloping algebras and algebras of generalized differential operators. J. Algebra 276, 1 (2004), 80–102.
- [7] García Iglesias, A., and Sánchez, J. On the computation of Hopf 2-cocycles with an example of diagonal type. Glasg. Math. J. 1965, 1 (2023), 141–169.
- [8] Ginzburg, V. Calabi-Yau algebras. arXiv:math/0612139.
- [9] GÜNTHER, R. Crossed products for pointed hopf algebras. Comm. Algebra 27, 9 (1999), 4389– 4410.
- [10] KREIMER, H. F., AND TAKEUCHI, M. Hopf algebras and Galois extensions of an algebra. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 30, 5 (1981), 675–692.
- [11] Le Meur, P. Smash products of Calabi–Yau algebras by Hopf algebras. J. Noncommut. Geom. 13, 3 (2019), 887–961.
- [12] MONTGOMERY, S. Hopf algebras and their actions on rings, vol. 82 of CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1993.
- [13] SCHAUENBURG, P. Hopf-Galois and bi-Galois extensions. In Galois theory, Hopf algebras, and semiabelian categories, vol. 43 of Fields Inst. Commun. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, pp. 469–515.
- [14] SCHAUENBURG, P., AND SCHNEIDER, H. On generalized Hopf galois extensions. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 202, 1-3 (2005), 168–194.
- [15] SCHNEIDER, H. Representation theory of Hopf galois extensions. Israel J. Math. 72, 1-2 (1990), 196–231.
- [16] Stefan, D. Hochschild cohomology on Hopf Galois extensions. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 103, 2 (1995), 221–233.
- [17] Van Den Bergh, M. A relation between Hochschild homology and cohomology for gorenstein rings. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 126, 5 (1998), 1345–1348.
- [18] Van Den Bergh, M. Erratum to : "A relation between Hochschild homology and cohomology for gorenstein rings". Proc. Amer. Math. Soc 130, no 8 (2002), 2809–2810.
- [19] Wang, X., Yu, X., and Zhang, Y. Calabi-Yau property under monoidal Morita-Takeuchi equivalence. Pac. J. Math. 290, 2 (2017), 481–510.
- [20] WEIBEL, C. *Introduction to homological algebra*. Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- [21] Yu, X. Hopf-Galois objects of Calabi-Yau Hopf algebras. J. Algebra Appl. 15, 10 (2016), 1650194, 19.

Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS, LMBP, F-63000 CLERMONT-FERRAND, FRANCE