

Indispensability and Ontology

Fabrice Pataut

▶ To cite this version:

Fabrice Pataut. Indispensability and Ontology. XV Annual Estonian Philosophy Conference, University of Tallinn, Estonia, Aug 2019, Tallinn, Estonia. hal-04810537

HAL Id: hal-04810537 https://hal.science/hal-04810537v1

Submitted on 29 Nov 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

INDISPENSABILITY AND ONTOLOGY Fabrice Pataut Sciences, Normes, Démocratie (UMR 8011, CNRS, Université Paris Sorbonne)

It is often taken for granted that if it is shown that mathematics is indispensable for our best explanations of natural phenomena, existence claims of applied mathematics thereby acquire a bona fide philosophical justification. Nominalizations have been proposed to substantiate the opposite dispensability claim. Questionable assumptions are at work in the way in which both platonists and nominalists have construed the relation between inferences to the best explanation, ontological commitments and indispensability *vs.* dispensability claims, assumptions about why we should prefer a certain kind of explanation, either mathematical or not, and why the success of either kind plays the role of a defeater or underminer with respect to our beliefs in whatever plays the (alleged) role of explanans in the rejected explanation.

I look at two nominalistic proposals, by Field (*Field 1980*) and Rizza (*Rizza 2011*). In Field's case, the arithmetic of natural numbers supplemented by set theory is replaced by the logic of identity supplemented by existential numerical quantifiers to derive a conclusion about the existence of a number of concrete objects located in space. In Rizza's case, a number-theoretic lemma about the co-primeness of natural numbers is replaced by a paraphrase resorting to betweenness on temporal intervals to explain the evolutionary advantage of the behavior of cicadas. Building on criticisms offered in *Pataut 2016*, I argue that in each case the nominalistic force of the non mathematical explanation should be assessed in terms of the explanatory role of nominalistically respectable proofs rather than in terms of ontological economy proper.

MINIMAL BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Hartry Field, Science without Numbers A Defence of Nominalism, 1st edition: Princeton UP, Princeton, New Jersey, 1980.
- Fabrice Pataut, "Comments on 'Parsimony and inference to the best mathematical explanation' [Reply to Baker]," Synthese 193 (2), special issue on Indispensability and Explanation, D. Molinini, F. Pataut and A. Sereni, guest eds., (2016): 351-363.
- Davide Rizza, "Magicicada, mathematical explanation and mathematical realism," *Erkenntnis* 74 (2011): 101-114.