

Microfluidics for studying the deep underground biosphere: from applications to fundamentals

Sandy Morais, Emeline Vidal, Anaïs Cario, Samuel Marre, Anthony

Ranchou-Peyruse

► To cite this version:

Sandy Morais, Emeline Vidal, Anaïs Cario, Samuel Marre, Anthony Ranchou-Peyruse. Microfluidics for studying the deep underground biosphere: from applications to fundamentals. FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 2024, 10.1093/femsec/fiae151. hal-04809978

HAL Id: hal-04809978 https://hal.science/hal-04809978v1

Submitted on 28 Nov 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

Microfluidics for studying the deep underground biosphere: From applications to fundamentals

1 Sandy Morais¹, Emeline Vidal¹, Anaïs Cario¹, Samuel Marre^{*1}, Anthony Ranchou-Peyruse^{*2}

- 2
- ¹ CNRS, Univ. Bordeaux, Bordeaux INP, ICMCB, F-33600, Pessac Cedex, France
- ⁴ ² Universite de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, E2S UPPA, CNRS, IPREM, Pau, France
- 5
- 6 * Correspondence:
- 7 Anthony Ranchou-Peyruse
- 8 anthony.ranchou-peyruse@univ-pau.fr
- 9 Samuel Marre
- 10 samuel.marre@icmcb.cnrs.fr
- 11
- 12 Keywords: Deep underground ecosystems, microfluidics, micromodels, microorganisms, high
- 13 pressure
- 14 Abstract

In this review, selected examples are presented to demonstrate how microfluidic approaches can be 15 utilized for investigating microbial life from deep geological environments, both from practical and 16 fundamental perspectives. Beginning with the definition of the deep underground biosphere and the 17 conventional experimental techniques employed for these studies, the use of microfluidic systems for 18 accessing critical parameters of deep life in geological environments at the microscale is 19 subsequently addressed (high pressure, high temperature, low volume). Microfluidics can simulate a 20 range of environmental conditions on a chip, enabling rapid and comprehensive studies of microbial 21 22 behavior and interactions in subsurface ecosystems, such as simulations of porous systems, 23 interactions among microbes/microbes/minerals, and gradient cultivation. Transparent microreactors allow real-time, noninvasive analysis of microbial activities (microscopy, Raman spectroscopy, FTIR 24 microspectroscopy, etc.), providing detailed insights into biogeochemical processes and facilitating 25 26 pore-scale analysis. Finally, the current challenges and opportunities to expand the use of microfluidic methodologies for studying and monitoring the deep biosphere in real time under deep 27 28 underground conditions are discussed.

ANUSCRÍ

© The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of FEMS. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial reproduction and distribution of the work, in any medium, provided the original work is not altered or transformed in any way, and that the work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

29	List	of	acronyms
----	------	----	----------

- 30 EOR: Enhanced Oil Recovery
- FTIR: Fourier transform infrared 31
- MEOR: Microbial Enhanced Oil Recovery 32
- 33 PMMA: Poly(methyl methacrylate)
- 34
- 35
- MANUSCAN 36
- 37

38 1 Introduction

39 The depths of our planet, particularly in continental systems, have gained increasing attention, as 40 they have been suggested to be the home of millions of undiscovered microbial organisms (Bar-On, 2018; Magnabosco, 2018). This intraterrestrial world has rarely been investigated, especially for 41 42 technical and economic issues, although several national and international multidisciplinary 43 programs have emerged to facilitate exploration and determine the impact of autochthonous 44 microorganisms on deep geochemical cycles, such as the International Ocean Discovery Program, the 45 International Continental Scientific Drilling Program or the Deep Carbon Observatory's Census of 46 Deep Life (Colwell, 2013; Pedersen, 2000; Fry, 2008; Edwards, 2012; McMahon, 2014; Escudero, 47 2018; Mangelsdorf, 2010).

The deep underground biosphere and its investigation. In addition to the absence of sunlight, the 48 49 key parameter driving the definition of deep environments-to distinguish them from classical surface environments—is pressure (typically p > 10 MPa). The lithostatic pressure can exhibit strong 50 lateral and depth heterogeneity, such as in three typical geological formations: (i) unmineable coal 51 reservoirs, (ii) oil reservoirs, and (iii) deep saline aquifers (De Silva, 2015; Javasekara, 2020; Liu, 52 2020). Investigating the role of the deep biosphere in global cycles and its interaction with human 53 activities is not straightforward. These environments are extremely difficult to access and require 54 55 scientific drilling, the implementation of protocols to recover characteristic samples or sampling campaigns in mines such as those in South Africa or China (Takai, 2001; Zhang, 2005; Mangelsdorf, 56 57 2010; Ranchou-Peyruse, 2023). The pioneers of high-pressure (HP) microbiology developed devices 58 to sample and investigate deep-living organisms at the laboratory scale, including high-pressure flow environments (Jannasch, 1996; Parkes, 2009). Such experimental breakthroughs have demonstrated 59 60 the extended pressure and temperature limits of life (Takai, 2008; Yayanos, 1981; Zeng, 2009) and offered a better understanding of microbes' adaptation to harsh environments, including 61 62 biophysiological mechanisms (Cario, 2015; Cario, 2016; Amrani, 2016). However, the current equipment mostly uses large volumes and cannot easily accommodate in situ characterization 63 techniques. Thus, Garel and colleagues (2019) developed an equipressure transfer procedure via 64 65 high-pressure bottles (stainless steel coated with PEEK), allowing subsampling to carry out a series 66 of experiments. This means that conventional HP microbiology methods (phenotyping, characterization, etc.) are time-consuming and often favor well-known fast-growing organisms that 67 68 outcompete others in culture. In addition, sample decompression, which is required for microbial

69 characterization, is a common process that can skew community diversity and structure, and isolate 70 physiology (Jayasekara, 2020). The main challenge in reproducing acceptable deep environmental 71 conditions in the laboratory is therefore the need for suitable experimental tools in terms of the 72 observation scale and pressure/temperature range.

73 *The rise of microfluidics.* Microfluidics is a field of research that addresses the precise manipulation 74 of fluids at small scales, typically from microliter to picoliter volumes. This interdisciplinary area 75 combines principles from physics, engineering, chemistry, and biology to create devices known as 76 "lab-on-a-chip" systems. Microfluidic devices utilize micron-sized channels, chambers, and valves to 77 integrate various functionalities, such as mixing, separation, and analysis, into compact microscale platforms, typically of the size of a credit card (see Figure 1). By enabling precise control over fluids 78 79 at the microscale, microfluidics has revolutionized experimentation and analysis, opening new 80 avenues for scientific discovery and technological innovation. Microfluidic tools have been extensively used over the past 20 years for microbiology (Duncombe, 2015; El-Ali, 2006), 81 82 thermodynamics (Pinho, 2014), hydrodynamics (Zhang, 2018), materials synthesis (Marre, 2010) and 83 chemistry (Hartman, 2009; Jensen, 2014). Microfluidic tools have inherent advantages, such as low sample consumption, faster equilibrium times, better control of the experimental conditions, 84 85 flexibility in terms of designs for recreating complex geometries (Morais, 2020) and high-throughput capabilities. For example, we recently demonstrated the use of confined microfluidic chambers for 86 87 HP microbial phenotyping (Cario, 2022) under anoxic conditions, whereas others used similar approaches to simulate and observe fluid mixtures in real time to understand precipitation 88 mechanisms during pH variations in the case of hydrothermal vents on the ocean floor (Weingart, 89 90 2023). On the basis of these characteristics, microfluidics has largely been involved in accelerating discoveries in microbiology, although far focusing almost exclusively on biomedical and health 91 applications along with investigations of human cells. These studies considered only pressure and 92 temperature conditions close to ambient conditions with "conventional" laboratory model 93 microorganism strains and were mostly interested in investigating fundamental microbial 94 95 mechanisms and their responses to stimuli. Only a few reports exist on applications of microfluidics 96 for environmental microbiology, such as planktonic and microalgal research (Wang, 2020; Girault, 97 2019), but again, these reports are close to ambient conditions. Nevertheless, given the flexibility 98 provided by photolithography, it is rather straightforward to reproduce "micromodels" mimicking the 99 porous geometry of deep underground environments, which has driven the use of microfluidics to 100 investigate—at the laboratory scale—subsurface processes, particularly those linked with human

101 activities (geological CO₂ storage, EOR, etc.) (Lifton, 2016; Morais, 2016; Morais, 2020). The 102 resulting microfluidic devices generally consist of 2D patterns extruded in the third shallower 103 dimension on a substrate (glass, silicon, PMMA (poly(methyl methacrylate)) or cast on a mold 104 (polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)) and chemically or physically sealed with a transparent material 105 (Morais, 2020). However, it is important to note that access to 3D micromodels is also possible 106 owing to multiple etching steps, leading to multidepth geometrical profiles (Park, 2015). 107 Additionally, minerals can also be inserted inside microchannel geometries to reproduce full 3D 108 porous media (Bowden, 2016). These micromodels have demonstrated that they can be powerful 109 tools to complement more conventional experimental methods, such as core flood experiments, 110 particularly when they are compatible with experimental work performed under harsh conditions. As 111 an illustration, Table 1 summarizes some advantages and limitations of conventional and 112 microfluidic approaches for investigating the deep underground biosphere.

Hence, investigating the biological processes in micromodels enables real-time observations and
monitoring of microorganism metabolism in realistic microporous media (Almeida, 2018; Lee, 2017;
Sun, 2011; Tang, 2014; Xu, 2013).

On the basis of these advantages, through selected examples, current studies concerning the use of 116 micromodels for studying the interactions between the deep biosphere and a selection of human 117 118 activities in deep geological porous reservoirs are presented in this review. Then, several challenges 119 and opportunities related to the utilization of microreactors for such studies are discussed. High-120 pressure and high-temperature transparent microfluidic systems are powerful tools whose use 121 deserves to be developed to simulate exploitation scenarios and generate missing experimental data 122 for large-scale modeling of the evolution of exploited reservoirs and address certain fundamental 123 questions related to deep underground life (Figure 1).

124 2- Microfluidics approaches for evaluating the impact of microbiology on deep underground 125 anthropogenic activities.

Micromodels have been extensively used to investigate several bioprocesses occurring in geological environments at the pore scale. These micromodels provide critical physicochemical information, which are some keys to driving the efficient injection and recovery of fluids, both in deep geological reservoirs and in geochemical reactions, reactive flows or biofilm formation and monitoring. We discuss hereafter some selected examples of the use of microfluidics and micromodels for accessing a deeper understanding of how the deep biosphere interacts with human exploitation of geology underground.

133 **2.1 Biosurfactant generation influence on interfacial phenomena**

Microbial enhanced oil recovery (MEOR) is a technology developed to accelerate and increase underground oil recovery via the use of microorganisms. A combination of various mechanisms improves the sweep and displacement efficiency. In the literature, the following mechanisms are commonly quoted and investigated:

- An improvement in mobility due to changes in oil and water/brine viscosities is mainly
 related to the dissolution of gases (CO₂, H₂, N₂ and CH₄) produced by microbial metabolism
 (Alkan, 2014; Sugai, 2014).
- An increase in microscopic sweep efficiency due to changes in interfacial tension (Armstrong, 2012; Paulsen, 1999; Nourani, 2007) and wettability (Polson, 2010; Armstrong, 2012; AlRaoush, 2009) decreases capillary forces.
- Biofilm formation (bio-plugging) reduces the permeability in high-permeability zones or
 fractures (Kaster, 2012; Jenneman, 1984; Gray, 2008; Karambeigi, 2013) and causes the
 corrosion of pipelines (production of H₂S) in some cases (Zhou, 2022).
- 147 The production of acids can lead to rock dissolution.

The change in wettability and the reduction in interfacial tension (IFT) caused by biosurfactants are 148 key parameters for MEOR processes. They strongly affect the fluid displacement mechanisms at the 149 150 microscale, and an increase of three orders of magnitude is necessary to displace oil from capillaries 151 of 10-100 µm (Karimi, 2012; Reed, 1977; Crescente, 2008; Kowalewski, 2006). Hence, microfluidics may help overcome the lack of microscale information. Indeed, over the past 30 years, 152 153 microfluidics approaches have proven that they are indubitably suitable for studying wettability 154 effects on drainage or imbibition for problematic EOR or geological CO₂ storage and sequestration 155 investigations (Bora, 2000; Cao, 2019; Gerami, 2018; Lifton, 2016; Nguyen, 2002; Sohrabi, 2001; Jamaloei, 2009). 156

Bryant *et al.* studied the feasibility of enhancing oil recovery via the injection of microorganisms into a reservoir (Bryant, 1990; Bryant, 1988; Buciak, 1996). These microorganisms provide amphiphilic molecules that act as biosurfactants, leading to the detachment of the oil from the reservoir rocks.

160 Studies have shown that Bacillus licheniformis, which was isolated from a pristine oilfield, was the 161 most effective (da Cunha, 2006). Three decades later, Afrapoli et al. explored the performance and mechanisms of model bacterial strain flooding at the pore scale (Afrapoli, 2012; Afrapoli, 2011). 162 163 They investigated the improvement in oil (dodecane) recovery essentially due to an alkane-oxidizing 164 Rhodococcus sp. strain 094 in glass micromodels with three different configurations (the ratio of the 165 pore diameter to the pore throat dimension) and different wettabilities (water wet or oil wet). The 166 emulsification process occurs while the cells are growing exponentially and involves IFT reduction, 167 wettability changes and pore blocking mechanisms (Bredholt, 1998; Crescente, 2008). 168 Microorganisms produce biosurfactants to access hydrophobic compounds, effectively reducing 169 interfacial tension (IFT), altering wettability, modifying flow patterns, and decreasing oil viscosity 170 (Soudmand-asli, 2007). Indeed, a study with a glass micromodel showed that the use of a surfactant produced by B. subtilis led to additional oil recovery (Hadia, 2019). The presence of bacterial cells 171 172 appears to amplify the oil recovery effect of biosurfactants, underscoring the increasing importance 173 of interactions between microorganisms and their environment. White and colleagues published work 174 on the interaction of a single oil droplet and bacterial strains via a microfluidic platform, ecology-on-175 a-chip (White, 2019). Owing to the layer-by-layer hydrophilic polyelectrolyte coating of the surface 176 of the microchannel, an oil drop was immobilized for over a month. The authors observed the aggregate morphology as well as the interfacial response between the oil and the microbial 177 178 environment. This approach represents a tremendous step forward in the matter of long-term observation of the MEOR process in microfluidics. While most MEOR studies in the literature are 179 180 conducted under atmospheric pressure and oxic conditions, some strive to replicate actual environmental conditions more accurately. For example, Gaol and colleagues (Gaol, 2019) succeeded 181 182 in investigating the pore-scale mechanisms of MEOR under relatively harsh processing conditions (37 °C, 0.6 MPa). Nonetheless, these conditions are far from realistic reservoir conditions, typically 183 184 between 6 MPa and 15 MPa and between 30 °C and 80 °C (Morais, 2020; Morais, 2016). The development of HP microfluidics could help address this gap (Marre, 2010). Moreover, microfluidic 185 186 approaches combined with image reprocessing now make it possible to monitor the growth of 187 microorganisms in real time while tracking their behavior in relation to the studied activity in general 188 (Strobel, 2023) and their interaction with oil droplets in the particular case of MEOR (Gaol, 2021). 189

In addition to planktonic microorganisms, biofilm formation and monitoring are critical parameters to investigate when considering deep underground utilization since they can severely change some operating parameters, such as injectivity and reservoir rock stability. Thus it is important to investigate the interactions between the considered substrates and the microorganisms. Microfluidics has been used to recreate several geometrical parameters from porous media while allowing *in situ* observations. These observations can provide deeper insight into the behavior of biofilms in confined geometries, as discussed in the next section.

196 2.2 Biofilm growth, metabolism, survival and degradation in confined environments

In deep porous environments, microorganisms are known to grow mainly by attaching themselves to rock surfaces (Whitman, 1998; Bar-On, 2018; McMahon, 2014; Griebler, 2002). MEOR applications can create barriers through pore clogging, leading to a reduction in the permeability of the reservoir (Kim, 2000; Lappan, 1996). Conversely, investigations revealed that similar bioclogging mechanisms can contribute to decreases in porosity and permeability in geological CO_2 storage reservoirs (Glatstein, 2014; Mitchell, 2009; Thullner, 2002).

One of the pioneering works concerning biofilm processes at the microscale in porous media 203 204 (Dunsmore, 2004) showed that, for the formation of a biofilm developed by cells of sulfate reducers 205 (Desulfovibrio sp. EX265), a decrease in permeability was associated with biofilm growth. From that 206 observation, correlations were established with the bioclogging of the pore space, which was 207 previously detected at the core scale and field scale (Cunningham, 1991). Later, the same team 208 coupled image analyses and chemical analyses to investigate the effects of nitrate treatment on 209 oilfield microbial biofilms (Dunsmore, 2006). This time, they used glass capillary flow cells. They 210 demonstrated the influence of nitrate treatment on sulfate reducer biofilms as well as changes in 211 biofilm cell morphology. Additionally, chemical analyses revealed that the injection of nitrate ions 212 into the flow cell changed the dominant metabolic process from sulfate to nitrate reduction. Another study including the use of a PDMS-based microfluidic channel revealed that the resistance of a 213 biofilm developed by Pseudomonas aeruginosa was due mainly to the formation of stable 214 extracellular polymeric substances, which provide a mechanical shield (Park, 2011). 215

Investigations at the microscale have been increasingly conducted to better understand how biofilms initiate their formation in different environments (Zhang, 2019) and how biofilms impact mineral surface properties, geochemistry and hydrodynamics in porous media (Liu, 2019). A recent study focused on sulfate reducer colonization in shale fractures, which promotes biosouring in oil and gas reservoirs (Zhou, 2022). In this context, a shale-based microfluidic flow cell reactor allows the quantification of both sulfate reduction rates and biomass growth while looking for biocide 222 inhibition. This experiment revealed that biocides had little effect on biomass removal and opened 223 shale fractures, which could contribute to the development of mitigation strategies for preventing 224 environmental impacts on underground processes. As micromodels provide access to direct 225 observations of biofilm evolution at the microscale (Yawata, 2016; Lam, 2016), they allow full 226 visualization of the environmental effects of nutrient concentration, cells, surface properties 227 (wettability, IFT) or shear stress on these biological formations (Skolimowski, 2010; Wang, 2018; 228 Wilkins, 2014; Zhang, 2019). These microscale approaches are already used to study the properties 229 of biofilms, such as stress/growth curves or deformation and cell detachment, through 230 microrheological studies (Klapper, 2002; Dunsmore, 2002; Stoodley, 2002; Stoodley, 1999; Billings, 231 2015; Liu, 2023). Undeniably, these tools provide the ability to observe different phenomena in detail 232 and collect quantitative data from the growth of the biofilm through the effects of starvation conditions (Kim, 2000), the estimation of the intrinsic permeability of the biofilm itself 233 234 (Hassannayebi, 2021), or biodegradation in porous media at the pore scale (Vayenas, 2002).

235 Another interesting aspect of microfluidic approaches is the ability to decouple complex 236 simultaneous effects such as the effect of nutrient flow velocity and the impact of shear stress on 237 biofilm formation (Liu, 2019). Authors performed a hydrodynamic study on biofilm growth (from 238 Thalassospira sp.) and experimented with biofilm detachment with a T-shaped microchannel. Direct 239 observation through a glass microsystem allowed spatial localization of biofilm accumulation and 240 evolution of the adhesive strength with various flow velocities and nutrient concentrations. This 241 permitted (i) the determination of the conditions that lead to a plugging effect and (ii) the estimation 242 of the capacity of biofilm formation to reduce the permeability of model deep underground porous 243 media.

Sygouni and coauthors (Sygouni, 2016) studied the impact of CO₂ injections on a Pseudomonas 244 putida biofilm in a micromodel. After inoculation in a glass/glass pore network and over the course 245 246 of 18 days, nutrient broth was injected into the micromodel to induce the growth of the biofilm. A 247 series of CO₂ injections were subsequently performed at different times. They observed a pH 248 reduction immediately after each CO₂ injection, leading to cell stress and inducing partial detachment 249 of the *P. putida* biofilm. However, this gas injection had only a temporary effect on the biofilm, as evidenced by the regrowth after the completion of each injection. As the nutrient flow compensates 250 251 for the porous media and CO₂ is consumed by various buffering reactions, its concentration then 252 decreases, and biofilm saturation progressively reaches its initial value. The same observation was

made for the permeability, which recovered quickly from its initial low value (due to the presence of the biofilm at the beginning of the experiment). The study highlighted that biofilm age is crucial, with older biofilms being denser and more resistant to degradation.

In a study on MEOR efficiency with microorganisms and their associated bioproduced surfactants, biofilm formation was also observed (Armstrong, 2012). In a 2D transparent porous medium, changes in the pore morphology through the propagation of the biofilm and the redirection of the flow were observed, creating a new preferential path pattern. This investigation confirmed the suitability of 2D porous media for studying the hydrodynamic impacts of biofilms (Aufrecht, 2019).

In addition to microbial production, the hydrodynamics of a whole geological reservoir can also be impacted by microbial activity. Indeed, chemical gradients and chemotaxis have been shown to influence the dispersion of degrading microorganisms within a porous matrix (de Anna, 2021; Wang, 2012; Wang, 2015; Wang, 2016).

265 **2.3.** Mineralization induced by microorganisms at the microscale.

Biomineralization is yet another effect of deep underground microorganisms, especially in storage 266 267 applications, that can affect the evolution of geological reservoirs, and it has been observed at the core and field scales that the precipitation of carbonates through biomineralization metabolism leads 268 to clogging in porous reservoirs (Dupraz, 2009; Mitchell, 2010; Fujita, 2008). Microfluidic 269 technologies have the ability to perform biomineralization experiments in model porous media and 270 271 allow direct observation of crystal growth during microbiologically induced calcite precipitation (MICP) processes (Singh, 2015; Wang, 2019; Wang, 2019; Wang, 2020). Several studies have shown 272 that quantifying biomineral precipitation at the pore scale via image processing is possible (Kim, 273 2018; Kim, 2020; Xiao, 2021; Xiao, 2024). These findings showed that characterizing biomineral 274 precipitation in porous media is complex because of the involvement of multiphase reactive transport 275 276 and interactive processes (Dejong, 2013; Hommel, 2016). For example, the nucleation and growth of crystals as well as the quantification of the crystal kinetics were performed in a Y-shaped sand-277 278 containing microchip. Such biomineralization process monitoring at the pore scale provides new 279 insights for optimizing underground processes in geotechnical engineering, such as biocementation (Zheng, 2023; Elmaloglou, 2020). MICP experiments carried out under high-pressure conditions 280 281 (100 bar) with Sporosarcina pasteurii resulted in lower CaCO₃ crystallization yields than those at 282 atmospheric pressure (Liu, 2023), possibly because of the lower activity of the considered model bacterium induced by HP conditions. For those interested in more information on MICP-based
microfluidic techniques and modeling results, we recommend consulting the review by Xiao *et al.*(Xiao, 2023).

286 HP studies are still scarce, but integrating them with conventional HP microbiology tools could offer 287 new insights and accelerate discoveries in this field, as discussed in the next section.

3- Challenges, opportunities and limitations

289 Many microbiology laboratories have equipment for handling strictly anaerobic microorganisms 290 (anaerobic chambers) and culturing them under controlled atmospheres at near-atmospheric pressure. 291 However, one of the main difficulties faced by microbiologists specializing in deep environments is 292 working safely under HP conditions, especially when working with flammable (CH₄, H₂), toxic and 293 corrosive gases (CO₂, H₂S). Many microbiology laboratories cannot accommodate classical HP 294 reactors (hundreds of milliliters to a few liters), mainly because of space, safety (explosion, gas 295 leaks) and cost. Microfluidic tools can help overcome these constraints along with the possibility of 296 performing experiments with high reproducibility given the improved control of the operating 297 parameters compared with large-scale experiments and the ability to work at the scale of the 298 microenvironments in which the microorganisms evolve. Microfluidic cells can be manipulated 299 inside an anaerobic chamber to introduce anaerobic microorganisms. The cells are then taken out to be connected to the HP pump containing the strict volume of fluids required for the experiment. In 300 301 addition, it is possible to screen various conditions simultaneously in a single experiment, generating 302 high throughput with a low sample volume (Xu, 2017; Tang, 2014; Gantz, 2022). For example, the 303 possibility of observing the effects of many chemical and physical variables simultaneously has not 304 yet been fully exploited and could extend the scope of possibilities. Moreover, the ability to control gradients and dissociate the effect control separately for each experimental parameter at very small 305 306 scales will allow strong multiplication of intercomparable results in a single experiment, as recently demonstrated for microbial phenotyping under pressure (Cario, 2022). Finally, the use of 307 308 microfluidics lends itself naturally and easily to the study of organisms evolving in strictly anoxic 309 conditions since such experiments can be perfectly well controlled (Mohr, 2010; Dickson, 2019; Cario, 2022). 310

311

..

313 **3.1.** Fast screening challenge under harsh conditions at the microscale

314 On-chip multiple experimental conditions. It is possible to generate temperature gradients in 315 microreactors to study, for example, the optimal culture conditions of a microbial strain, understand 316 the behavior of a microbial community, or even perform the isolation of microorganisms from 317 complex communities originating from the deep subsurface. Concentration gradients can also be 318 easily generated on a chip to evaluate, for example, their influence on biofilm growth, attachment and 319 interaction with mineral surfaces (Jeong, 2014; Yawata, 2014; Zhang, 2019). These gradient 320 approaches can also be applied to other physicochemical parameters, such as salinity, pH, and 321 molecules of interest (chemotaxis, toxicity), hence providing ways to perform multiple experimental 322 conditions in a single experiment. Such methodologies can therefore speed up the rate at which deep 323 underground related experiments can be performed.

324 High-pressure/high-temperature microfluidics. Conventional transparent micromodels cannot 325 withstand high-pressure conditions. However, it is possible to work at high pressures and 326 temperatures with micromodels whose channels are etched in mechanically resistant materials such as silicon, onto which borosilicate glass slides are bonded (Marre, 2010; Trachsel, 2008). The use of 327 328 such microfluidic reactors for microbiology studies under harsh conditions has already been demonstrated (Cario, 2022), but these require reflected light microscopy, which is not ideal for 329 330 microbiology. However, such a setup precludes that the use of transmission optical microscopy would be efficient when coupled with transmitted light microscopy for optimum observation of 331 microorganisms. While glass-glass microreactors (Dietrich, 2005) have demonstrated HP capabilities 332 333 up to 20 MPa or more (Tiggelaar, 2007), they are not able to cover a large range of conditions representative of the deep subsurface and are only transparent in the visible range. However, it is now 334 335 possible to produce full sapphire microreactors capable of working at pressures of up to 80 MPa (Marre, 2021) while exhibiting transparency from UV to mid-IR, opening opportunities for further 336 337 investigations under larger parameter window conditions. Hence, HP-compatible microfluidics tools 338 exist that can be used in combination with more conventional HP reactors for studying the deep 339 underground biosphere. Both experimental scales are equally important for investigating 340 multiparameter biogeochemical processes from the pore scale to the core scale and further at the 341 reservoir scale. Similarly, while microfluidics allows fast parameter screening, conventional larger

scale reactors can generally achieve better quantitative fluid analysis because of the large processedvolumes.

344 In situ live characterization of microbial activities in microfluidics. Working with transparent micromodels at a wide range of wavelengths opens up a wide range of possibilities for real-time 345 346 analyses, starting with all types of microscopy: confocal, fluorescence, Raman spectroscopy (Liu, 347 2012; Ochoa-Vazquez, 2019), FTIR microspectroscopy (Perro, 2016) and X-rays for analysis 348 (Beuvier, 2015) and imaging (Morais, 2023). The combined use of such characterization techniques 349 allows access to critical information on local biogeochemical processes and microbial metabolism 350 without any external perturbation of the studied reactive medium. For example, Raman spectroscopy 351 can be used to selectively identify different strains without any fluorescent labeling (Dhankhar, 2021; 352 Rebrosova, 2022; Shakeel, 2022; Lister, 2022). Similarly, live imaging of living cells can be obtained 353 by coupling FTIR microspectroscopy with microfluidics (Loutherback, 2016; Vaccari, 2012). The 354 advantage of FTIR over Raman is that imaging can be performed (in contrast to dot-by-dot mapping), 355 thus providing instant localized characterization. For example, Birarda and colleagues (Birarda, 356 2016) developed a low-cost microfluidic platform (IR-Live) compatible with synchrotron FTIR 357 imaging to study living eukaryotic cells. On the basis of the specific vibrational footprint of 358 molecules, they were able to identify and localize proteins and lipids without any preliminary 359 labeling. This technique is perfectly well suited for monitoring microbial activities in biofilms 360 (Holman, 2009; Pousti, 2018) and could also be coupled with Raman spectroscopy, as proven 361 concepts have already been developed with other methodologies (Gieroba, 2020; Muhamadali, 2015; 362 Lima, 2022; Rohman, 2019) and could easily be adapted to microfluidic experimentation.

363 **3.2.** Accessing realistic geometries and mineralogy on a chip

Microfluidic experiments performed to study applications related to the utilization of deep 364 365 underground provide excellent control and monitoring of operating parameters such as fluid velocity and composition, temperature and pressure (Morais, 2016). Additionally, micromodels enable 366 laboratory simulations of the microporosity conditions characteristic of porous rocks encountered in 367 368 deep environments, such as aquifers or hydrocarbon reservoirs. Owing to the development of 369 microfabrication techniques, all types of designs can be created, providing a flexible way to meet the 370 needs of mimicking realistic environments that are site-specific (see Section 2 from (Morais, 2020)). Most of the microreactors developed thus far for deep underground studies can be seen as 2D porous 371 372 structures, which are perfectly suitable as "micromodels" to describe and study the biophysico373 chemical mechanisms occurring inside; however, the third dimension is very small, and some 374 researchers often raise concerns about the applicability of the results obtained in 2D compared with 375 the real 3D world, which includes reactive fluid flow, dissolution/precipitation mechanisms, biofilm 376 development, etc. Recent developments have allowed the access of 2.5- or 3D structures on a chip, 377 which help account for vertical flows (similar to core flood experiments), but in a controlled manner 378 owing to microfabrication protocols (Park, 2015). Of course, not all microbiologists have the know-379 how and equipment to produce such micromodels. However, an increasing number of companies are 380 offering products that can be adapted for sale to microbiologists and do not necessarily require 381 advanced competencies. When studying microbial processes in porous media, surface chemistry is equally critical to account for since those interfaces are known to regulate mainly the exchanges and 382 383 compositions of the fluids that surround the microbial cells. Hence, the use or inclusion of actual 384 rocks and mineral surfaces that perfectly mimic the underground pore system is essential to obtain materials as close as possible to the fluid-mineral surfaces encountered in the target environments. 385 386 Recent developments in microfabrication and surface chemistry in microreactors promise to reach 387 this goal. The microporous network can now be reproduced in actual rocks (Hauge, 2016; Singh, 388 2017). The surface properties of micromodels can thus be modified and tuned with great flexibility, 389 for example, by functionalization with molecules (Song, 2015) or minerals such as crystallized calcite and others (Yoon, 2012), or by directly fabricating micromodels "out-of-the-rock" (Song, 390 391 2014), which can exhibit pressure resistance up to 100 bar (Porter, 2015).

392 **3.3. Some examples of current scientific challenges**

393 Microfluidic tools have the potential to revolutionize a wide range of research into microporous 394 ecosystems, whether terrestrial or intraterrestrial. High pressure plays an obvious role in the 395 physiology, morphology and behavior of prokaryotes (Abe, 2007). Thus, an increase in pressure has 396 already been shown to be responsible for the decrease in acetate production to the benefit of formate 397 by *Clostridium ljungdahlii* (Oswald, 2018). High-pressure conditions have repeatedly been shown to 398 produce deformations associated with cell division problems (Welch, 1993; Ishii, 2004). The use of 399 transparent HP micromodels would enable these adaptations to be observed in real time. The 400 following questions and current challenges are just a few examples of the approaches that could 401 benefit from microfluidic technologies to study life from the molecules to microbial communities and 402 societal applications, although this list is by no means exhaustive.

403 Pore-scale view of geological CO₂ and H₂ storage. CO₂, as a waste, and H₂, as a strategic energy 404 source, are key molecules to be stored deep underground, benefitting from the large volume offered 405 by such an environment (Haddad et al., 2022). However, both molecules can directly interact with 406 deep environments, either through geochemical or biogeochemical reactions. This means that for 407 such applications, it is important to learn from the benefit of scientific feedback concerning what 408 could be stored at each specific selected geological site and what could be the result of such storage 409 (Ranchou-Peyruse et al., 2019). While local measurements can be made concerning site-specific 410 properties in terms of geophysical and geochemical properties, performing realistic storage tests at 411 the field scale is quite difficult, both for technical and economic reasons. Hence, laboratory-scale 412 approaches could help obtain insights into further industrial strategies. In that context, microfluidic 413 experiments exhibit several interesting specificities, which could be complementary to conventional 414 core flood experiments, such as direct in situ visualization and biogeochemical characterization of the 415 ongoing phenomena with relatively simple laboratory equipment. Some papers concerning geological 416 CO₂ storage (Morais, 2016; Morais, 2020) and, more recently, H₂ storage (Lysyy, 2023; Liu, 2023; 417 Lysyy, 2024) have been published, resulting in a pore-scale view and investigations of the 418 geochemical and biochemical effects, particularly the effects of deep underground microorganisms. 419 Similarly, another study reported the use of microfluidic approaches for the simulation of alkaline vents (Weingart et al., 2023). In all these studies, the interest of coupling conventional and 420 microfluidic approaches to investigate energy or waste underground storage is evident since the 421 422 obtained data can be combined for a multiscale view of the mechanisms. From that point, typical geochemical reactions such as carbonation or serpentinization could be studied on a chip. Indeed, in 423 424 the presence of water and at specific temperatures, iron-bearing minerals can lead to geochemical reactions along with the production of H₂. Olivine, greigite, magnetite and awaruite can act as 425 426 catalysts for the subsequent formation of simple carbon-containing molecules (acetate, formate, 427 pyruvate, and methanol) (Preiner, 2018; Preiner, 2020). These simple molecules can in turn feed 428 entire microbial ecosystems and are at the origin of the SLiME concept for subsurface 429 lithoautotrophic microbial ecosystems on the basis of H₂ and CO₂ (Stevens, 1995; Fry, 1997; Kotelnikova, 1997; Chapelle, 2002; Haveman, 2002; Takai, 2003; Lin, 2005; Basso, 2009; Crespo-430 Medina, 2014). Moreover, such ecosystems can interact strongly with the injection of gas feedstocks, 431 432 which are either anthropogenic waste (CO₂) or energetic resources (natural gas, H₂, etc.). Hence, 433 microfluidics approaches can help mimic the geological environment at the laboratory scale to 434 evaluate the impact of such injection on the local biosphere at the pore scale (consumption, reservoir 435 stability, biofilm formation, variation in injectivity, process integrity, etc.).

436 Microfluidics fast screening approaches for database generation for numerical modeling and 437 scale-up. Another gap is the need to experimentally assess the scaling-up effect between 438 micromodels and larger and more traditional set-ups. In fact, the numerous studies presented here 439 aimed to connect the conclusions from microfluidic studies with other observations in the laboratory 440 or even at the reservoir scale. Modeling can partially address that need but does not satisfy the 441 necessary experimental data and demonstrations. This is where microfluidics approaches can play a 442 critical role. Indeed, one of the major interests with microfluidic experimentation concerns the ability 443 to perform several experiments in a single run. This method has already been applied to 444 crystallization (Bhattacharya, 2020; Chauhan, 2023), drug screening (Sun, 2019; Cui, 2019), organic chemistry, synthetic and structural biology (Kwon, 2023; Hansen, 2003) and microorganism 445 446 cultivation (Cario, 2022; Watterson, 2020).

447 In addition, numerous replicates can be realized on the same system, making it possible to envision 448 more robust approaches supported by statistical processing while working under exemplary safety 449 conditions. Hence, microfluidics has the ability to perform fast screening for generating multiple 450 data, which could be implemented in machine-learning approaches to predict biogeochemical 451 reactivity, permeability variation or biofilm development. All these aspects can further be used in 452 numerical modeling from the pore scale to the reservoir scale, although the scale-up process is still 453 not straightforward. To evaluate separate parameters to assess thermodynamics and kinetics, 454 micromodels (on-chip porous media) can also be used to evaluate the impact of the deep biosphere 455 on geological processes. This can be achieved by designing and microfabricating microreactors that 456 mimic specific storage locations and/or different scenarios. For example, transparent high-pressure 457 micromodel approaches coupled with X-ray imaging characterization, such as X-ray laminography 458 (Morais, 2023), would enable a more detailed study of geochemical reactions under conditions 459 simulating deep environments (pressure and temperature), providing additional information to more 460 conventional methodologies based on core flooding coupled with X-ray CT (Minto, 2017; Davit, 461 2011).

Microbial interactions (microbe-mineral surface and microbe-microbial interactions). Within the deep subsurface, microorganisms interact with their environment from both biological (cell-tocell) and mineral (attachment and colonization) points of view. Getting access to such interactions at small scales can be performed with microfluidics. A recent study investigated the effects of exposure to short, low-carbon-weight compounds on biofilm formation on mica surfaces (Nuppunen-Puputti, 467 2023). The effects of the conditions tested (addition of methane, methanol and acetate) on the 468 taxonomic diversity of the communities were then analyzed via high-throughput sequencing 469 approaches performed on both water and rock samples. A complementary culturing approach using a 470 mica-filled micromodel (Bhattacharjee, 2022) enabled real-time observation of the formation and/or 471 disintegration of the biofilm formed as a function of the molecules injected to quantify 472 microorganisms or to monitor the behavior of sessile and planktonic microorganisms. Similarly, 473 competition or cooperation phenomena can be studied throughout incubation, depending on the 474 parameters applied (substrate concentrations, flow rates, etc.). For example, Kubik and Holden (Kubik, 2023) studied the competition between different hydrogenotrophs belonging to three 475 476 different species. The aim of this study was to understand the effects of H₂ concentrations on the 477 metabolism of these microorganisms, particularly to demonstrate that these microorganisms redirected their redox reactions from CH₄ and H₂S production to biomass production at lower H₂ 478 479 concentrations. Axenic cultures and batch cocultures were generated at various incubation 480 temperatures. Using existing microfluidic tools, it would be possible to create a temperature gradient 481 (Mao, 2002) along with cocultivation on a chip to observe the cell distribution and interactions at a 482 small scale (different cells can be easily identified in cocultures when an autofluorescent 483 methanogenic archaeal strain (*i.e.*, cofactor F420) and a bacterial strain) are mixed).

484 Evolution of deep underground microbial communities. Omics approaches have been used to gain a more in-depth understanding of the functions of microbial communities in the deep subsurface but 485 have also led to new questions. For example, in the past, any presence of aerobic microorganisms in 486 anoxic deep continental environments was clearly identified as contamination during sampling, 487 488 which quickly led to a debate. Recently, omics approaches have revealed the presence of active 489 aerobic organisms (Ruff, 2023), even those known only as oxygenic phototrophs (Puente-Sánchez, 2018), which has led to hypotheses on the presence of O_2 in these environments (Winograd, 1982; 490 Gutsalo, 1971; Kadnikov, 2018). Several biogeochemical explanations have been proposed to explain 491 492 the presence of this electron acceptor, ranging from radiolysis to the dismutation of various 493 molecules (Coates, 2004; Ettwig, 2010; Heck, 2010). In the case of cyanobacteria found in deep 494 continental environments, H₂ consumption via their hydrogenases was hypothesized. Omic approaches are very powerful and informative, but they do not exempt the microbiologist from 495 testing the hypotheses formulated by cultural approaches that clearly demonstrate hypothetical 496 497 metabolisms and calculate yields to understand the impact on the functioning of the microbial 498 ecosystem. The rapid development of microfluidic tools for isolating and screening prokaryotes in

499 recent years (Yin, 2022; Wan, 2023) raises hopes for the transfer of such technologies to the deep 500 biosphere community. Indeed, microbial isolation could be carried out directly on water samples 501 from aquifers or faults and would consume only small volumes (a few microliters) of these often 502 precious and rare samples.

3.4 Current limitations of microfluidic approaches for studying the deep underground biosphere

505 While microfluidics approaches can help address several scientific questions and complement 506 conventional equipment and strategies to investigate the deep underground biosphere, some 507 limitations specific to such methodologies exist. We discuss hereafter some of them along with 508 remediation strategies.

509

The difficulties in accessing microreactor technologies for new users and accessing adapted HP 510 511 equipment. Microreactor technology, especially for polymer microfluidics, has become more accessible over 15 years (microfabrication kits are now commercially available), although they do 512 not fully meet deep biosphere research needs. While robust materials such as glass or silicon require 513 expertise, clean rooms are not always necessary—a fume hood suffices. The complexity of 514 515 photolithography and etching may deter newcomers, but robust commercial microfluidic devices are available, simplifying the process. Several commercial companies now sell robust microfluidics 516 devices in glass or silicon Pyrex to bypass the need to develop complex and expensive in-house 517 518 microfabrication processes along with all the required equipment to proceed in a user-friendly way 519 with microfluidic studies (Micronit, Little Things Factory, etc.). The overall equipment cost for 520 performing microbial studies in a microreactor is, of course, slightly greater than that of conventional 521 microbial incubation methods (with the exception of pressurized cultivation), but such approaches 522 can (i) yield information that cannot be obtained otherwise (single-cell measurement, bioclogging in 523 pore structures, etc.) and (ii) greatly reduce the experimental time owing to microfluidic fast-524 screening strategies, thus reducing the overall cost in terms of consumables, for example.

525

526 **Microfluidic anoxic experiments.** Most of the studies dealing with the deep underground biosphere 527 require anoxic environments to be representative of realistic conditions. As mentioned earlier, 528 conventional polymer microreactors cannot be considered for such conditions given that oxygen can 529 diffuse through these materials. Hence, inorganic microfabrication materials are needed, which increases the microfabrication protocol needed unless these materials are ordered from specialized companies. However, in addition to this limitation, anoxic experiments on a chip are similar to those on larger-scale reactors. This means that a glove or an intergas ramp box is generally needed to ensure the elimination of O_2 when the starting culture medium is prepared.

534

535 The implementation of characterization techniques in microreactors. While spectroscopy 536 techniques can be easily implemented on a chip (Raman, FTIR, fluorescence, optical observations, 537 etc.) and are relatively convenient for studying microbiological processes, they depend on the 538 microreactor material, particularly in terms of transparency. Therefore, it is important to pay attention 539 to the choice of construction materials. As mentioned earlier, X-ray analysis and imaging can also be 540 used in association with microreactors, providing ways to characterize fluid flows and geochemistry in porous media. Spectroscopy techniques (Raman, FTIR, fluorescence) can be used directly inside 541 microreactors without disturbing the system. Measurements of gases and metabolites in microscale 542 543 volumes have already been reported using confocal Raman spectroscopy to quantitatively monitor 544 the solubility of gases such as dissolved CO₂ and CH₄, electrode array detectors or fluorescence 545 detection (Kraly et al. 2009; Liu et al. 2012). These are powerful approaches for performing direct 546 biochemical imaging (especially when considering porous media), providing important spatial 547 information on microbial activities (Loutherback et al. 2016; Marcsisin et al. 2012; Perro et al. 2016). 548 Additionally, they could also possibly be used for strain identification and quantification on the basis 549 of specific biomolecular fingerprints without staining. However, compared with conventional larger-550 scale volume strategies, semiquantitative analyses are generally still considered. Hence, both methodologies need to be considered in parallel. In situ sequencing is still a tricky problem with 551 552 microfluidic methodologies given the small sample volume considered, from picoliter (a drop) to few 553 microliters (a chip). Hence, microreactors need to be coupled with milliliter-scale reactors to 554 guarantee sufficient biomass for further analysis. However, recent trends in single-cell RNA/DNA 555 sequencing (Clark et al. 2023; Zhou et al. 2021) could lead to the development of online microfluidics systems in the near future. 556

557

558 Conclusions and perspectives

559 While microfluidic tools have revolutionized molecular biology and health care in recent years, the 560 possibilities of applying these approaches to the study of the deep biosphere have barely scratched 561 the surface. However, these tools have long been used in the oil industry and in gas storage in the 562 broadest sense. Despite undeniable advantages over large-scale or core-scale methodologies, the 563 main criticism that can be made to microfluidics is the problem of scaling up the results obtained 564 with a micromodel to model a reservoir as a whole. For deep subsurface microbiologists, however, 565 these reservations are not very important in view of the advantages of such approaches, such as (i) 566 the ability to operate in a large range of experimental conditions (p, T, concentration, etc.), 567 representative of the deep underground environment; (ii) the safety related to microscale 568 experimentation; (iii) the possibility of finely tuning the design of the microreactor from very simple 569 micromodels to the complex permeability and porosity of certain rocks; (iv) the implementation of a 570 wide range of noninvasive in situ characterization techniques to monitor the growth and behavior of microorganisms, the composition of fluids, etc.; (v) the possibility of performing numerous replicates 571 572 on the same micromodel, making it possible to confirm the results obtained via statistical approaches; 573 and (vi) the ability to perform fast screening through the utilization of on-chip gradients (T, pH, concentration, etc.) for the exploration of multiple conditions in a single run and (vii) the isolation of 574 microorganisms while maintaining pressure conditions and consuming minimal volumes of 575 576 formation water. Render

577

578 **Conflict of Interest**

579 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial 580 relationships that could be construed as potential conflicts of interest.

581 Author Contributions

All the second s

AC, SM and ARP designed and funded this research project. SMo, EV, AC, SM and ARP wrote sections of the manuscript. All authors contributed to revisions of the manuscript, tables and figures and approved the submitted version.

585 Funding

Funding for this work was provided by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (Grant Agreement No.725100, project Big Mac). This research also received funding support from the French National Research Agency (ANR) with the projects ODEVIE (ANR-21-CE01-0018) and HOT DOG (ANR-22-CE02-0017). Additional funding was obtained from the French National Research Agency under France 2030 (project MICROFLUIDICS) bearing the reference ANR 22 EXOR 0013.

592

593

594

5	9	5

596

597 **References**

- Abe F. Exploration of the effects of high hydrostatic pressure on microbial growth, physiology and survival: perspectives from piezophysiology. *Bioscience, biotechnology, and biochemistry*.
 2007;71 (10), 2347-57.
- Afrapoli MS, Alipour S, Torsaeter O. Analysis of Microscopic Displacement Mechanisms of a
 MIOR Process in Porous Media with Different Wettability. *Transport in Porous Media*.
 2012.93 (3), 705-19.
- Afrapoli MS , Alipour S, Torsaeter O; Fundamental Study of Pore Scale Mechanisms in Microbial
 Improved Oil Recovery Processes. *Transport in Porous Media*. 2011.90 (3), 949-64.
- Al-Raoush RI. Impact of Wettability on Pore-Scale Characteristics of Residual Nonaqueous Phase
 Liquids. *Environmental Science & Technology*. 2009;43 (13), 4796-801.
- Alkan H, Biegel E, Kruger M, Sitte J, Kogler F, Bultemeier H, Beier K, McInerney MJ, Herold A,
 Hatscher S. (2014) An Integrated MEOR Project; Workflow to Develop a Pilot in a German
 Field. pp. 14. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA.
- Almeida MIGS, Jayawardane BM, Kolev SD, McKelvie ID. Developments of microfluidic paper based analytical devices (μPADs) for water analysis: A review. *Talanta*. 2018;177: 176-190.
- Amrani A, van Helden J, Bergon A, Aouane A, Ben Hania W, Tamburini C, Loriod B, Imbert J,
 Ollivier B, Pradel N, Dolla A. Deciphering the adaptation strategies of Desulfovibrio
 piezophilus to hydrostatic pressure through metabolic and transcriptional analyses.
 Environmental microbiology reports. 2016;8: 520-526.
- Armstrong RT, Wildenschild D. Investigating the pore-scale mechanisms of microbial enhanced oil
 recovery. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*. 2012a;94-95, 155-64.
- Armstrong RT, Wildenschild D. Microbial Enhanced Oil Recovery in Fractional-Wet Systems: A
 Pore-Scale Investigation. *Transport in Porous Media*. 2012b;92 (3), 819-35.
- Aufrecht JA, Fowlkes JD, Bible AN, Morrell-Falvey J, Doktycz MJ, Retterer ST. Pore-scale
 hydrodynamics influence the spatial evolution of bacterial biofilms in a microfluidic porous
 network. *PLoS ONE*. 2019;14: e0218316.
- Bar-On YM, Phillips R, Milo R. The biomass distribution on Earth. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2018;115 (25), 6506.
- Basso O, Lascourreges J-F, Le Borgne F, Le Goff C, Magot M. Characterization by culture and
 molecular analysis of the microbial diversity of a deep subsurface gas storage aquifer.
 Research in microbiology. 2009;160(2), pp.107-116.
- Beuvier T, Panduro EAC, Kwaśniewski P, Marre S, Lecoutre C, Garrabos Y, Aymonier C, Calvignac
 B, Gibaud A. Implementation of in situ SAXS/WAXS characterization into silicon/glass
 microreactors. *Lab on a Chip.* 2015;15: 2002-2008.
- Bhattacharjee A, Qafoku O, Richardson JA, Anderson LN, Schwarz K, Bramer LM, Lomas GX,
 Orton DJ, Zhu Z, Engelhard MH, Bowden ME. A Mineral-Doped Micromodel Platform

- 634 Demonstrates Fungal Bridging of Carbon Hot Spots and Hyphal Transport of Mineral-635 Derived Nutrients. *mSystems*. 2022;7: e00913-00922.
- Bhattacharya S, Kundu P, Liu J, Wang W-C, Tseng F-G. Feedback-System-Control Integrated
 Microfluidic System for Fast Screening of Protein Crystallization Conditions. *Crystal Growth & Design.* 2020;20: 4325-4334.
- Billings N, Birjiniuk A, Samad TS, Doyle PS, Ribbeck K. Material properties of biofilms-a review of
 methods for understanding permeability and mechanics. *Reports on Progress in Physics*.
 2015;78: 036601.
- Birarda G, Ravasio A, Suryana M, Maniam S, Holman H-Y, Grenci G. IR-Live: fabrication of a lowcost plastic microfluidic device for infrared spectromicroscopy of living cells. *Lab on a Chip.*2016;16: 1644-1651.
- Bora R, Maini BB, Chakma A. Flow Visualization Studies of Solution Gas Drive Process in Heavy
 Oil Reservoirs Using a Glass Micromodel. SPE Reservoir Evaluation & Engineering. 2000;3:
 224-229.
- Bowden SA, Tanino Y, Akamairo B, Christensen M. Recreating mineralogical petrographic
 heterogeneity within microfluidic chips: assembly, examples, and applications. *Lab on a Chip*, 2016 16(24), pp.4677-4681.
- Bredholt H, Josefsen K, Vatland A, Bruheim P, Eimhjellen K. Emulsification of crude oil by an
 alkane-oxidizing *Rhodococcus* species isolated from seawater. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*. 1998;44: 330-340.
- Bryant (1990) Microbial Enhanced Oil Recovery And Compositions Therefor (IIT Research Institute, Chicago).
- Bryant RS, Douglas J. Evaluation of Microbial Systems in Porous Media for EOR, SPE Reservoir
 Engineering. 1988;3(02), 489-95.
- Buciak J, Vazquez A, Frydman R, Mediavilla J, Bryant R. Enhanced Oil Recovery by Means of
 Microorganisms: Pilot Test. SPE Advanced Technology Series. 1996;4:144-149.
- Cao SC, Jung J, Radonjic M. Application of microfluidic pore models for flow, transport, and
 reaction in geological porous media: from a single test bed to multifunction real-time analysis
 tool. *Microsystem Technologies*. 2019;25(11), 4035-52.
- 663 Cario A, Grossi V, Schaeffer P & Oger PM (2015) Membrane homeoviscous adaptation in the piezo 664 hyperthermophilic archaeon *Thermococcus barophilus*. *Frontiers in microbiology* 6: 1152.
- Cario A, Jebbar M, Thiel A, Kervarec N, Oger PM. Molecular chaperone accumulation as a function
 of stress evidences adaptation to high hydrostatic pressure in the piezophilic archaeon
 Thermococcus barophilus. *Scientific reports*. 2016;6: 1-8.
- 668 Cario A, Larzillière M, Nguyen O, Alain K, Marre S. High-pressure microfluidics for ultra-fast
 669 microbial phenotyping. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2022;13:866681.
- Chapelle FH, O'Neill K, Bradley PM, Methé BA, Ciufo SA, Knobel LL, Lovley DR. A hydrogen based subsurface microbial community dominated by methanogens. *Nature*. 2002;415: 312 315.
- 673 Chauhan R, Minocha N, Coliaie P, Singh PG, Korde A, Kelkar MS, Langston M, Liu C, Nazemifard
 674 N, Patience D. Emerging microfluidic platforms for crystallization process development.
 675 *Chemical Engineering Research and Design.* 2023;197:908-930.

- Clark IC, Fontanez KM, Meltzer RH, Xue Y, Hayford C, May-Zhang A, D'Amato C, Osman A,
 Zhang JQ, Hettige P, Ishibashi JS. Microfluidics-free single-cell genomics with templated
 emulsification. *Nature Biotechnology*. 2023;41(11), pp.1557-1566.
- 679 Coates JD, Achenbach LA. Microbial perchlorate reduction: rocket-fuelled metabolism. *Nature* 680 *Reviews Microbiology* 2: 569-580.
- Colwell F.S. and D'Hondt S. (2013) Nature and extent of the deep biosphere. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry*. 2004;75(1):547-74.
- 683 Crescente CM, Rekdal A, Abraiz A, Torsaeter O, Hultmann L, Stroem A, Rasmussen K, Kowalewski
 684 E. (2008) A Pore Level Study of MIOR Displacement Mechanisms in Glass Micromodels
 685 Using *Rhodococcus* sp 094. pp. 20. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA.
- 686 Crespo-Medina M, Twing KI, Kubo MD, Hoehler TM, Cardace D, McCollom T, Schrenk MO.
 687 Insights into environmental controls on microbial communities in a continental serpentinite 688 aquifer using a microcosm-based approach. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2014;5, p.604.
- 689 Cui P, Wang S. Application of microfluidic chip technology in pharmaceutical analysis: A review.
 690 Journal of pharmaceutical analysis 2019;9(4): 238-47.
- 691 Cunningham AB, Characklis WG, Abedeen F, Crawford D. Influence of biofilm accumulation on
 692 porous media hydrodynamics. *Environmental Science & Technology*. 1991;25:1305-1311.
- da Cunha CD, Rosado AS, Sebastián GV, Seldin L, von der Weid I. Oil biodegradation by Bacillus
 strains isolated from the rock of an oil reservoir located in a deep-water production basin in
 Brazil. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*. 2006;**73**: 949-959.
- Davit Y, Iltis G, Debenest G, Veran-tissoires S, Wildenschild D, Gérino M, Quintard M. Imaging
 biofilm in porous media using X-ray computed microtomography. *Journal of Microscopy*.
 2011;242: 15-25.
- de Anna P, Pahlavan AA, Yawata Y, Stocker R, Juanes R. Chemotaxis under flow disorder shapes
 microbial dispersion in porous media. *Nature Physics*. 2021;17: 68-73.
- De Silva GPD, Ranjith PG, Perera MSA. Geochemical aspects of CO2 sequestration in deep saline
 aquifers: A review. *Fuel.* 2015;155: 128-143.
- Dejong J, Soga K, Kavazanjian E, Burns S, Van Paassen L, Al Qabany A, Aydilek A, Bang S,
 Burbank M, Caslake LF. Biogeochemical processes and geotechnical applications: progress,
 opportunities and challenges. *Geotechnique*. 2013;63: 287-301.
- Dhankhar D, Nagpal A, Li R, Chen J, Cesario TC, Rentzepis PM. Resonance Raman spectra for the
 in situ identification of bacteria strains and their inactivation mechanism. *Applied Spectroscopy*. 2021;75:1146-1154.
- Dickson I. Anaerobic intestine-on-a-chip system enables complex microbiota co-culture. *Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology*. 2019;16: 390-390.
- Dietrich TR, Freitag A, Scholz R. Production and characteristics of microreactors made from glass.
 Chemical Engineering & Technology: Industrial Chemistry-Plant Equipment-Process Engineering-Biotechnology. 2005;28:477-483.
- Duncombe TA, Tentori AM, Herr AE. Microfluidics: reframing biological enquiry. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*. 2015;16: 554-567.

- Dunsmore B, Youldon J, Thrasher DR, Vance I. Effects of nitrate treatment on a mixed species, oil
 field microbial biofilm. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology*. 2006;33: 454462.
- Dunsmore BC, Bass CJ, Lappin-Scott HM. A novel approach to investigate biofilm accumulation
 and bacterial transport in porous matrices. *Environmental Microbiology*. 2004;6:183-187.
- Dunsmore BC, Jacobsen A, Hall-Stoodley L, Bass CJ, Lappin-Scott HM, Stoodley P. The influence
 of fluid shear on the structure and material properties of sulphate-reducing bacterial biofilms.
 Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology. 2002;29(6), pp.347-353
- Dupraz S, Parmentier M, Ménez B, Guyot F. Experimental and numerical modeling of bacterially
 induced pH increase and calcite precipitation in saline aquifers. *Chemical Geology*. 2009;265:
 44-53.
- Dupraz S, Ménez B, Gouze P, Leprovost R, Bénézeth P, Pokrovsky OS, Guyot F. Experimental
 approach of CO2 biomineralization in deep saline aquifers. *Chemical Geology*. 2009;265: 54 62.
- Edwards KJ, Becker K, Colwell F. The deep, dark energy biosphere: intraterrestrial life on earth.
 Annual review of earth and planetary sciences. 2012;40, 551-68.
- 732 El-Ali J, Sorger PK, Jensen KF. Cells on chips. Nature. 2006;442 (7101), 403-11.
- Elmaloglou A, Terzis D, De Anna P, Laloui L, Mahé S, Miele F. (2020) Microfluidic-Based Study
 on the Activation and Evolution of Calcite Bio-Mineralization for Geotechnical Applications.
 p.^pp. 74-82. American Society of Civil Engineers Reston, VA.
- Escudero C, Oggerin M, Amils R. The deep continental subsurface: the dark biosphere. *International Microbiology*. 2018;21, 3-14.
- Ettwig KF, Butler MK, Le Paslier D, Pelletier E, Mangenot S, Kuypers MM, Schreiber F, Dutilh BE,
 Zedelius J, de Beer DJN. Nitrite-driven anaerobic methane oxidation by oxygenic bacteria.
 Nature. 2010;464:543-548.
- Fry JC, Parkes RJ, Cragg BA, Weightman AJ, Webster G. Prokaryotic biodiversity and activity in the
 deep subseafloor biosphere. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*. 2008;66(2), pp.181-196.
- Fry NK, Fredrickson JK, Fishbain S, Wagner M, Stahl DA. Population structure of microbial
 communities associated with two deep, anaerobic, alkaline aquifers. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 1997;63(4), pp.1498-1504.
- Fujita Y, Taylor JL, Gresham TLT, Delwiche ME, Colwell FS, McLing TL, Petzke LM, Smith RW.
 Stimulation Of Microbial Urea Hydrolysis In Groundwater To Enhance Calcite Precipitation.
 Environmental Science & Technology. 2008;42: 3025-3032.
- Gantz M, Aleku GA, Hollfelder F. Ultrahigh-throughput screening in microfluidic droplets: a faster
 route to new enzymes. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences*. 2022;47: 451-452.
- Gaol C, Wegner J, Ganzer L, Dopffel N, Koegler F, Borovina A, Alkan H. (2019) Investigation of
 Pore-Scale Mechanisms of Microbial Enhanced Oil Recovery MEOR Using Microfluidics
 Application. pp. 15. Society of Petroleum Engineers, London, England, UK.
- Gaol CL, Ganzer L, Mukherjee S, Alkan H. Parameters govern microbial enhanced oil recovery
 (MEOR) performance in real-structure micromodels. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*. 2021;205: 108814.

- Garel M, Bonin P, Martini S, Guasco S, Roumagnac M, Bhairy N, Armougom F, Tamburini C.
 Pressure-retaining sampler and high-pressure systems to study deep-sea microbes under in situ conditions. *Frontiers in microbiology*. 2019;10, p.453.
- Gerami A, Alzahid Y, Mostaghimi P, Kashaninejad N, Kazemifar F, Amirian T, Mosavat N,
 Ebrahimi Warkiani M, Armstrong RT. Microfluidics for Porous Systems: Fabrication,
 Microscopy and Applications. *Transport in Porous Media*. 2018;130: 277-304.
- Gieroba B, Krysa M, Wojtowicz K, Wiater A, Pleszczyńska M, Tomczyk M, Sroka-Bartnicka A. The
 FT-IR and Raman spectroscopies as tools for biofilm characterization created by cariogenic
 streptococci. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. 2020;21:3811.
- Girault M, Beneyton T, del Amo Y, Baret J-C. Microfluidic technology for plankton research.
 Current Opinion in Biotechnology. 2019;55:134-150.
- Glatstein DA, Francisca FM. Hydraulic conductivity of compacted soils controlled by microbial
 activity. *Environmental Technology*. 2014;35:1886-1892.
- Gray M, Yeung A, Foght J, Yarranton HW. (2008) Potential Microbial Enhanced Oil Recovery
 Processes: A Critical Analysis. p.^pp. 25. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Denver, Colorado,
 USA.
- Griebler C, Mindl B, Slezak D, Geiger-Kaiser M. Distribution patterns of attached and suspended
 bacteria in pristine and contaminated shallow aquifers studied with an in situ sediment
 exposure microcosm. Aquatic Microbial Ecology. 2002;28: 117-129.
- Gutsalo L. (1971) Radiolysis of water as the source of free oxygen in the underground hydrosphere.
 pp. Inst. of the Geology and Geochemistry of Fossil Fuels, Lvov.
- Haddad PG, Ranchou-Peyruse M, Guignard M, Mura J, Casteran F, Ronjon-Magand L, Sénéchal P,
 Isaure MP, Moonen P, Hoareau G, Dequidt D, Chiquet P, Caumette G, Cézac P, RanchouPeyruse A. Geological storage of hydrogen in deep aquifers–an experimental
 multidisciplinary study. *Energy and Environmental Science*. 2022;15: 3400-3415.
- Hadia NJ, Ottenheim C, Li S, Hua NQ, Stubbs LP, Lau HC. Experimental investigation of
 biosurfactant mixtures of surfactin produced by Bacillus Subtilis for EOR application. *Fuel.*2019;251: 789-799.
- Hansen C, Quake SR. Microfluidics in structural biology: smaller, faster... better. *Current opinion in* structural biology. 2003;13: 538-544.
- Hartman RL, Jensen KF. Microchemical systems for continuous-flow synthesis. *Lab on a Chip.* 2009;9: 2495-2507.
- Hassannayebi N, Jammernegg B, Schritter J, Arnold P, Enzmann F, Kersten M, Loibner AP, Fernø
 M, Ott H. Relationship between microbial growth and hydraulic properties at the sub-pore
 scale. *Transport in porous media*. 2021;139: 579-593.
- Hauge L, Gauteplass J, Høyland M, Ersland G, Kovscek A, Fernø MA. Pore-level hydrate formation
 mechanisms using realistic rock structures in high-pressure silicon micromodels.
 International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control. 2016;**53**:178-186.
- Haveman SA, Pedersen K. Distribution of culturable microorganisms in Fennoscandian Shield
 groundwater. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*. 2002;39(2), 129-37.
- Heck DE, Shakarjian M, Kim HD, Laskin JD, Vetrano AM. Mechanisms of oxidant generation by catalase. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 2010;**1203**:120-125.

- Holman H-YN, Miles R, Hao Z, Wozei E, Anderson LM, Yang H. Real-time chemical imaging of
 bacterial activity in biofilms using open-channel microfluidics and synchrotron FTIR
 spectromicroscopy. *Analytical chemistry*. 2009;81: 8564-8570.
- Hommel J, Ebigbo A, Gerlach R, Cunningham AB, Helmig R, Class H. Finding a balance between
 accuracy and effort for modeling biomineralization. *Energy Procedia*. 2016;97: 379-386.
- Ishii A, Sato T, Wachi M, Nagai K, Kato C. Effects of high hydrostatic pressure on bacterial
 cytoskeleton FtsZ polymers in vivo and in vitro. *Microbiology*. 2004;150(6), pp.1965-1972.
- Jannasch HW, Wirsen CO, Doherty KW. A pressurized chemostat for the study of marine barophilic
 and oligotrophic bacteria. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 1996;62: 1593-1596.
- Jayasekara DW, Ranjith PG, Wanniarachchi WAM, Rathnaweera TD. Understanding the chemico mineralogical changes of caprock sealing in deep saline CO2 sequestration environments: A
 review study. *The Journal of Supercritical Fluids*. 2020;161:104819.
- Jenneman GE, Knapp RM, McInerney MJ, Menzie DE, Revus DE. Experimental Studies of In-Situ
 Microbial Enhanced Oil Recovery. *Society of Petroleum Engineers Journal*. 1984;24: 33-37.
- Jensen KF, Reizman BJ, Newman SG. Tools for chemical synthesis in microsystems. *Lab on a Chip.* 2014;14: 3206-3212.
- Jeong H-H, Jeong S-G, Park A, Jang S-C, Hong SG, Lee C-S. Effect of temperature on biofilm
 formation by Antarctic marine bacteria in a microfluidic device. *Analytical Biochemistry*.
 2014;446: 90-95.
- Kadnikov VV, Mardanov AV, Beletsky AV, Banks D, Pimenov NV, Frank YA, Karnachuk OV,
 Ravin NV. A metagenomic window into the 2-km-deep terrestrial subsurface aquifer revealed
 multiple pathways of organic matter decomposition. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*. 2018;94:
 fiy152.
- Karambeigi MS, Schaffie M, Fazaelipoor MH. Improvement of Water Flooding Efficiency Using
 Mixed Culture of Microorganisms in Heterogeneous Micro-models. *Petroleum Science and Technology*. 2013;**31**: 923-931.
- Karimi M, Mahmoodi M, Niazi A, Al-Wahaibi Y, Ayatollahi S. Investigating wettability alteration
 during MEOR process, a micro/macro scale analysis. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*.
 2012;95: 129-136.
- Kaster KM, Hiorth A, Kjeilen-Eilertsen G, Boccadoro K, Lohne A, Berland H, Stavland A, Brakstad
 OG. Mechanisms Involved in Microbially Enhanced Oil Recovery. *Transport in Porous Media*. 2012;91: 59-79.
- Kim D-S, Fogler HS. Biomass evolution in porous media and its effects on permeability under
 starvation conditions. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*. 2000;69: 47-56.
- Kim D, Mahabadi N, van Paassen L. (2018) Pore-scale study on biomineral precipitation using a
 microfluidic chip: a quantitative analysis via image processing. pp. Atlanta.
- Kim DH, Mahabadi N, Jang J, van Paassen LA. Assessing the Kinetics and Pore-Scale
 Characteristics of Biological Calcium Carbonate Precipitation in Porous Media using a
 Microfluidic Chip Experiment. *Water Resources Research*. 2020;56: e2019WR025420.
- Klapper I, Rupp CJ, Cargo R, Purvedorj B, Stoodley P. Viscoelastic fluid description of bacterial
 biofilm material properties. *Biotechnol Bioeng*. 2002;80: 289-296.

- Kotelnikova S, Pedersen K. Evidence for methanogenic Archaea and homoacetogenic Bacteria in deep granitic rock aquifers. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*. 1997;20 (3-4), 339-49.
- 842 Kowalewski E, Rueslåtten I, Steen KH, Bødtker G, Torsæter O. Microbial improved oil recovery-

bacterial induced wettability and interfacial tension effects on oil production. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*. 2006;**52**: 275-286.

- Kraly JR, Holcomb, RE, Guan Q, Henry CS. Microfluidic applications in metabolomics and
 metabolic profiling. *Analytica chimica acta*. 2009;653 (1), 23-35.
- Kubik B, Holden J. (2023) Non-Deterministic Factors Affect Competition Between Thermophilic
 Autotrophs from Deep-Sea Hydrothermal Vents. Vol. 6 pp. e108248. Pensoft Publishers.
- Kwon KK, Lee J, Kim H, Lee D-H, Lee S-G. Advancing high-throughput screening systems for
 synthetic biology and biofoundry. *Current Opinion in Systems Biology*. 2023;100487.
- Lam RHW, Cui X, Guo W, Thorsen T. High-throughput dental biofilm growth analysis for
 multiparametric microenvironmental biochemical conditions using microfluidics. *Lab on a Chip.* 2016;16: 1652-1662.
- Lappan RE, Fogler HS. Reduction of porous media permeability from in situ Leuconostoc
 mesenteroides growth and dextran production. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*. 1996;50:
 6-15.
- Lee W-B, Fu C-Y, Chang W-H, You H-L, Wang C-H, Lee MS, Lee G-B. A microfluidic device for
 antimicrobial susceptibility testing based on a broth dilution method. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*. 2017;87: 669-678.
- Li X, Fan X, Brandani S. Difference in pore contact angle and the contact angle measured on a flat
 surface and in an open space. *Chemical engineering science*. 2014;117, 137-45.
- Lifton VA. Microfluidics: an enabling screening technology for enhanced oil recovery (EOR). Lab
 on a Chip. 2016;16: 1777-1796.
- Lima C, Muhamadali H, Goodacre R. Simultaneous raman and infrared spectroscopy of stable
 isotope labelled *Escherichia coli*. Sensors. 2022;22: 3928.
- Lin LH, Hall J, Lippmann-Pipke J, Ward JA, Sherwood Lollar B, DeFlaun M, Rothmel R, Moser D,
 Gihring TM, Mislowack B, Onstott TC. Radiolytic H₂ in continental crust: nuclear power for
 deep subsurface microbial communities. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*. 2005;6(7).
- Lister AP, Highmore CJ, Hanrahan N, Read J, Munro AP, Tan S, Allan RN, Faust SN, Webb JS,
 Mahajan S. Multi-excitation Raman spectroscopy for label-free, strain-level characterization
 of bacterial pathogens in artificial sputum media. *Analytical Chemistry*. 2022;94: 669-677.
- Liu M, Grana D. Petrophysical characterization of deep saline aquifers for CO2 storage using
 ensemble smoother and deep convolutional autoencoder. *Advances in Water Resources*.
 2020;142:103634.
- Liu N, Aymonier C, Lecoutre C, Garrabos Y, Marre S. Microfluidic approach for studying CO2
 solubility in water and brine using confocal Raman spectroscopy. *Chemical Physics Letters*.
 2012;551: 139-143.
- Liu N, Koyscek AR, Fernø MA, Dopffel N. Pore-scale study of microbial hydrogen consumption and
 wettability alteration during underground hydrogen storage. *Frontiers in Energy Research*.
 2023;11: 1124621.

- Liu N, Skauge T, Landa-Marbán D, Hovland B, Thorbjørnsen B, Radu FA, Vik BF, Baumann T,
 Bødtker G. Microfluidic study of effects of flow velocity and nutrient concentration on
 biofilm accumulation and adhesive strength in the flowing and no-flowing microchannels. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology & Biotechnology*. 2019;46: 855-868.
- Loutherback K, Birarda G, Chen L, Holman H-YN. Microfluidic approaches to synchrotron
 radiation-based Fourier transform infrared (SR-FTIR) spectral microscopy of living
 biosystems. *Protein and Peptide Letters*. 2016;23: 273-282.
- Lysyy M, Liu N, Solstad CM, Fernø MA, Ersland G. Microfluidic hydrogen storage capacity and
 residual trapping during cyclic injections: Implications for underground storage. *international journal of hydrogen energy*. 2023;48(80), pp.31294-31304.
- Lysyy M, Liu N, Landa-Marbán D, Ersland G, Fernø M. Impact of gas type on microfluidic drainage
 experiments and pore network modeling relevant for underground hydrogen storage. *Journal* of Energy Storage. 2024;87, p.111439.
- Magnabosco C, Lin LH, Dong H, Bomberg M, Ghiorse W, Stan-Lotter H, Pedersen K, Kieft TL, van
 Heerden E, Onstott TC. The biomass and biodiversity of the continental subsurface. *Nature Geoscience*. 2018;11: 707-717.
- Mangelsdorf K, Kallmeyer J. Integration of deep biosphere research into the International
 Continental Scientific Drilling Program. *Scientific Drilling*. (2010;10:46-55.
- Mao H, Yang T, Cremer PS. A microfluidic device with a linear temperature gradient for parallel and
 combinatorial measurements. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 2002;124: 4432 4435.
- Marcsisin EJS, Uttero CM, Mazur AI, Miljković M, Bird B, Diem M. Noise adjusted principal
 component reconstruction to optimize infrared microspectroscopy of individual live cells.
 Analyst. 2012;137(13), pp.2958-2964.
- Marre S, Lecoutre C, Garrabos Y, Fauveau C, Cario A, Nguyen O (2021), 'Sapphire microreactors',
 (France).
- Marre S, Jensen KF. Synthesis of micro and nanostructures in microfluidic systems. *Chemical Society Reviews*. 2010a;39 (3), 1183-202.
- McMahon S, Parnell J. Weighing the deep continental biosphere. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*.
 (2014)87: 113-120.
- Minto JM, Hingerl FF, Benson SM, Lunn RJ. X-ray CT and multiphase flow characterization of a
 'bio-grouted'sandstone core: The effect of dissolution on seal longevity. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control.* (2017)64: 152-162.
- Mitchell AC, Dideriksen K, Spangler LH, Cunningham AB, Gerlach R. Microbially Enhanced
 Carbon Capture and Storage by Mineral-Trapping and Solubility-Trapping. *Environmental Science & Technology*. 2010;44: 5270-5276.
- Mitchell AC, Phillips AJ, Hiebert R, Gerlach R, Spangler LH, Cunningham AB. Biofilm enhanced
 geologic sequestration of supercritical CO2. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control.* 2009;3: 90-99.
- Mohr S, Fisher K, Scrutton NS, Goddard NJ, Fielden PR. Continuous two-phase flow miniaturised
 bioreactor for monitoring anaerobic biocatalysis by pentaerythritol tetranitrate reductase. *Lab on a chip.* 2010;10: 1929-1936.

- Morais S, Lecoutre C, Philippot G, Aubert G, Nguyen O, Cario A, Vidal E, Campbell ZS, Garrabos
 Y, Azaroual MJP, Helfen L. 3D imaging of on-chip porous medium using x-ray
 laminography: Application to reactive flow dissolution at small scales. *Processes*. 2023;11:
 1981.
- Morais S, Liu N, Diouf A, Bernard D, Lecoutre C, Garrabos Y, Marre S. Monitoring CO2 invasion
 processes at the pore scale using geological labs on chip. *Lab on a Chip.* 2016;16: 3493-3502.
- Morais S, Cario A, Liu N, Bernard D, Lecoutre C, Garrabos Y, Ranchou-Peyruse A, Dupraz S,
 Azaroual M, Hartman RL, Marre S. Studying key processes related to CO2 underground
 storage at the pore scale using high-pressure micromodels. *Reaction Chemistry & Engineering* 2020;5: 1156-1185.
- Muhamadali H, Chisanga M, Subaihi A, Goodacre R. Combining Raman and FT-IR spectroscopy
 with quantitative isotopic labeling for differentiation of *E. coli* cells at community and single
 cell levels. *Analytical Chemistry*. 2015;87: 4578-4586.
- Nguyen QP, Thissen MHG, Zitha PLJ. (2002) Effect of Trapped Foam on Gas Tracer Diffusion in a
 Visual Microflow Model. pp. 10. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Tulsa, Oklahoma.
- Nourani M, Tehran H, Biria D, Haghighi M. (2007) Laboratory Studies of MEOR in the Micromodel
 as a Fractured System. International Petroleum Technology Conference (pp. cp-147).
 European Association of Geoscientists & Engineers.
- Nuppunen-Puputti M, Kietäväinen R, Kukkonen I, Bomberg M. Implications of a short carbon pulse
 on biofilm formation on mica schist in microcosms with deep crystalline bedrock
 groundwater. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2023;14: 1054084.
- Ochoa-Vazquez G, Kharisov B, Arizmendi-Morquecho A, Cario A, Aymonier C, Marre S, López I.
 Microfluidics and surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy: A perfect match for new analytical
 tools. *IEEE Transactions on NanoBioscience*. 2019;18: 558-566.
- 947 Oswald F, Stoll IK, Zwick M, Herbig S, Sauer J, Boukis N, Neumann A. Formic acid formation by
 948 Clostridium ljungdahlii at elevated pressures of carbon dioxide and hydrogen. *Frontiers in* 949 *bioengineering and biotechnology*. 2018;6, p.6.
- Park A, Jeong H-H, Lee J, Kim KP, Lee C-S. Effect of shear stress on the formation of bacterial
 biofilm in a microfluidic channel. *BioChip Journal*. 2011;5: 236-241.
- Park DS, Upadhyay J, Singh V, Thompson KE, Nikitopoulos DE. (2015) Fabrication of 2.5 D rockbased micromodels with high resolution features. Vol. 57533 p.^pp. V010T013A014.
 American Society of Mechanical Engineers.
- Parkes RJ, Sellek G, Webster G, Martin D, Anders E, Weightman AJ, Sass H. Culturable prokaryotic
 diversity of deep, gas hydrate sediments: first use of a continuous high-pressure, anaerobic,
 enrichment and isolation system for subseafloor sediments (DeepIsoBUG). *Environmental Microbiology*. 2009;11(12), pp.3140-3153.
- Paulsen JE, Ekrann S, Oppen E. Visualisation of bacterial degradation and mobilisation of oil in a
 porous medium. *Environmental Geology*. 1999;**38**: 204-208.
- 961 Pedersen K. Exploration of deep intraterrestrial microbial life: current perspectives. *FEMS* 962 *Microbiology Letters* 2000;185 (1), 9-16.
- Perro A, Lebourdon G, Henry S, Lecomte S, Servant L, Marre S. Combining microfluidics and FT IR spectroscopy: towards spatially resolved information on chemical processes. *Reaction Chemistry & Engineering*. 2016;1: 577-594.

- Pinho B, Girardon S, Bazer-Bachi F, Bergeot G, Marre S, Aymonier C. A microfluidic approach for
 investigating multicomponent system thermodynamics at high pressures and temperatures.
 Lab on a chip. 2014;14: 3843-3849.
- Polson EJ, Buckman JO, Bowen DG, Todd AC, Gow MM, Cuthbert SJ. An Environmental Scanning-Electron-Microscope Investigation Into the Effect of Biofilm on the Wettability of
 Quartz. SPE Journal 2010;15: 223-227.
- Porter ML, Jiménez-Martínez J, Martinez R, McCulloch Q, Carey JW, Viswanathan HS. Geo material microfluidics at reservoir conditions for subsurface energy resource applications. *Lab on a chip.* 2015;15: 4044-4053.
- Pousti M, Joly M, Roberge P, Amirdehi MA, Bégin-Drolet A, Greener J. Linear scanning ATR-FTIR
 for chemical mapping and high-throughput studies of *Pseudomonas* sp. biofilms in
 microfluidic channels. *Analytical Chemistry*. 2018;90: 14475-14483.
- Preiner M, Xavier JC, Sousa FL, Zimorski V, Neubeck A, Lang SQ, Greenwell HC, Kleinermanns
 K, Tüysüz H, McCollom TM. Serpentinization: connecting geochemistry, ancient metabolism
 and industrial hydrogenation. *Life*. 2018;8: 41.
- Preiner M, Igarashi K, Muchowska KB, Yu M, Varma SJ, Kleinermanns K, Nobu MK, Kamagata Y,
 Tüysüz H, Moran J, Martin WF. A hydrogen-dependent geochemical analogue of primordial
 carbon and energy metabolism. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*. 2020;4(4), pp.534-542.
- Puente-Sánchez F, Arce-Rodríguez A, Oggerin M, García-Villadangos M, Moreno-Paz M, Blanco Y,
 Rodríguez N, Bird L, Lincoln SA, Tornos F. Viable cyanobacteria in the deep continental
 subsurface. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2018;115: 10702-10707.
- Ranchou-Peyruse M, Auguet JC, Mazière C, Restrepo-Ortiz CX, Guignard M, Dequidt D, Chiquet P,
 Cézac P, Ranchou-Peyruse A. Geological gas-storage shapes deep life. *Environmental Microbiology*. 2019;21: 3953-3964.
- Rebrosova K, Samek O, Kizovsky M, Bernatova S, Hola V, Ruzicka F. Raman spectroscopy—A
 novel method for identification and characterization of microbes on a single-cell level in
 clinical settings. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology*. 2022;12: 367.
- Reed RL, Healy RN. (1977) Some physicochemical aspects of microemulsion flooding: a review.
 Improved Oil Recovery by Surfactant and Polymer Flooding, pp. 383-347. Shah, D.O.,
 Schecheter, R.S., Eds. Academic Press.
- Rohman A, Windarsih A, Lukitaningsih E, Rafi M, Betania K, Fadzillah NA. The use of FTIR and
 Raman spectroscopy in combination with chemometrics for analysis of biomolecules in
 biomedical fluids: A review. *Biomedical Spectroscopy and Imaging*. 2019;8: 55-71.
- Ruff SE, Humez P, de Angelis IH, Diao M, Nightingale M, Cho S, Connors L, Kuloyo OO, Seltzer
 A, Bowman S, Wankel SD. Hydrogen and dark oxygen drive microbial productivity in diverse groundwater ecosystems. *Nature Communications*. 2023;14: 3194.
- Shakeel M, Majeed MI, Nawaz H, Rashid N, Ali A, Haque A, Akbar MU, Tahir M, Munir S, Ali Z,
 Shahbaz M. Surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy for the characterization of pellets of
 biofilm forming bacterial strains of Staphylococcus epidermidis. *Photodiagnosis and Photodynamic Therapy*. 2022;40, p.103145.
- Singh R, Yoon H, Sanford RA, Katz L, Fouke BW, Werth CJ. Metabolism-Induced CaCO3
 Biomineralization During Reactive Transport in a Micromodel: Implications for Porosity
 Alteration. *Environmental Science & Technology*. 2015;49: 12094-12104.

- Singh R, Sivaguru M, Fried GA, Fouke BW, Sanford RA, Carrera M, Werth CJ. Real rockmicrofluidic flow cell: A test bed for real-time in situ analysis of flow, transport, and reaction
 in a subsurface reactive transport environment. *Journal of Contaminant Hydrology*. 2017;204:
 28-39.
- Skolimowski M, Nielsen MW, Emnéus J, Molin S, Taboryski R, Sternberg C, Dufva M, Geschke O.
 Microfluidic dissolved oxygen gradient generator biochip as a useful tool in bacterial biofilm
 studies. *Lab on a Chip.* 2010;10: 2162-2169.
- Sohrabi M, Tehrani DH, Danesh A, Henderson GD. (2001) Visualisation of Oil Recovery by Water
 Alternating Gas (WAG) Injection Using High Pressure Micromodels Oil-Wet & Mixed-Wet
 Systems. pp. 7. Society of Petroleum Engineers, New Orleans, Louisiana.
- 1019Song W, Kovscek AR. Functionalization of micromodels with kaolinite for investigation of low1020salinity oil-recovery processes. Lab on a chip. 2015;15: 3314-3325.
- 1021Song W, de Haas TW, Fadaei H, Sinton D. Chip-off-the-old-rock: the study of reservoir-relevant1022geological processes with real-rock micromodels. Lab on a chip. 2014;14: 4382-4390.
- Soudmand-asli A, Ayatollahi SS, Mohabatkar H, Zareie M, Shariatpanahi SF. The in situ microbial
 enhanced oil recovery in fractured porous media. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*. 2007;58: 161-172.
- Stevens TO, McKinley JP. Lithoautotrophic Microbial Ecosystems in Deep Basalt Aquifers. Science.
 1995;270: 450.
- Stoodley P, Lewandowski Z, Boyle JD, Lappin-Scott HM. Structural deformation of bacterial
 biofilms caused by short-term fluctuations in fluid shear: An in situ investigation of biofilm
 rheology. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*. 1999;65: 83-92,
- Stoodley P, Cargo R, Rupp CJ, Wilson S, Klapper I. Biofilm material properties as related to shear induced deformation and detachment phenomena. *J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol.* 2002;29: 361 367.
- Strobel G, Zawallich J, Hagemann B, Ganzer L, Ippisch O. Experimental and numerical investigation
 of microbial growth in two-phase saturated porous media at the pore scale. Sustainable
 Energy & Fuels. 2023;7: 3939-3948.
- Sugai Y, Komatsu K, Sasaki K, Mogensen K, Bennetzen MV. (2014) Microbial-Induced Oil
 Viscosity Reduction by Selective Degradation of Long-Chain Alkanes. pp. 13. Society of
 Petroleum Engineers, Abu Dhabi, UAE.
- Sun J, Warden AR, Ding X. Recent advances in microfluidics for drug screening. *Biomicrofluidics*.
 2019;13.
- Sun P, Liu Y, Sha J, Zhang Z, Tu Q, Chen P, Wang J. High-throughput microfluidic system for long-term bacterial colony monitoring and antibiotic testing in zero-flow environments. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*. 2011;26: 1993-1999.
- Sygouni V, Manariotis ID, Chrysikopoulos CV. Experimental investigation of the effect of carbon
 dioxide on Pseudomonas putida biofilms in a two-dimensional glass network micromodel.
 International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control. 2016;46: 240-247.
- Takai KEN, Moser DP, DeFlaun M, Onstott TC, Fredrickson JK. Archaeal diversity in waters from
 deep South African gold mines. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 2001;67(12),
 pp.5750-5760.

- Takai K, Mormile MR, McKinley JP, Brockman FJ, Holben WE, Kovacik Jr WP, Fredrickson JK.
 Shifts in archaeal communities associated with lithological and geochemical variations in subsurface Cretaceous rock. *Environmental Microbiology*. 2003;5(4), pp.309-320.
- Takai K, Nakamura K, Toki T, Tsunogai U, Miyazaki M, Miyazaki J, Hirayama H, Nakagawa S,
 Nunoura T, Horikoshi K. Cell proliferation at 122 C and isotopically heavy CH4 production
 by a hyperthermophilic methanogen under high-pressure cultivation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2008;105(31), pp.10949-10954.
- Tang Y, Gan M, Xie Y, Li X, Chen L. Fast screening of bacterial suspension culture conditions on chips. *Lab on a Chip.* 2014;14: 1162-1167.
- Thullner M, Zeyer J, Kinzelbach W. Influence of Microbial Growth on Hydraulic Properties of Pore
 Networks. *Transport in Porous Media*. 2002;49: 99-122.
- Tiggelaar RM, Benito-López F, Hermes DC, Rathgen H, Egberink RJ, Mugele FG, Reinhoudt DN,
 van den Berg A, Verboom W, Gardeniers HJ. Fabrication, mechanical testing and application
 of high-pressure glass microreactor chips. *Chemical Engineering Journal*. 2007;131: 163 170.
- Trachsel F, Hutter C, von Rohr PR. Transparent silicon/glass microreactor for high-pressure and
 high-temperature reactions. *Chemical Engineering Journal*. 2008;135: S309-S316.
- Vaccari L, Birarda G, Grenci G, Pacor S, Businaro L. (2012) Synchrotron radiation infrared microspectroscopy of single living cells in microfluidic devices: advantages, disadvantages and future perspectives. Vol. 359 ppp. 012007. IOP Publishing.
- 1071 Vayenas DV, Michalopoulou E, Constantinides GN, Pavlou S, Payatakes AC. Visualization
 1072 experiments of biodegradation in porous media and calculation of the biodegradation rate.
 1073 Advances in Water Resources. 2002;25: 203-219.
- 1074 Wan X, Yang Q, Wang X, Bai Y, Liu Z. Isolation and Cultivation of Human Gut Microorganisms: A
 1075 Review. *Microorganisms*. 2023;11: 1080.
- 1076 Wang L, Keatch R, Zhao Q, Wright JA, Bryant CE, Redmann AL, Terentjev EM. Influence of Type I
 1077 Fimbriae and Fluid Shear Stress on Bacterial Behavior and Multicellular Architecture of
 1078 Early Escherichia coli Biofilms at Single-Cell Resolution. *Applied and Environmental* 1079 *Microbiology*. 2018;84: e02343-02317.
- 1080Wang X, Long T, Ford RM. Bacterial chemotaxis toward a NAPL source within a pore-scale1081microfluidic chamber. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*. 2012;109: 1622-1628.
- Wang X, Atencia J, Ford RM. Quantitative analysis of chemotaxis towards toluene by Pseudomonas
 putida in a convection-free microfluidic device. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*.
 2015;112: 896-904.
- Wang Y, Wang J, Cheng J, Zhang Y, Ding G, Wang X, Chen M, Kang Y, Pan X. Serial Separation
 of Microalgae in a Microfluidic Chip Under Inertial and Dielectrophoretic Forces. *IEEE Sensors Journal.* 2020;20: 14607-14616.
- 1088 Wang Y, Soga K, Dejong JT, Kabla AJ. A microfluidic chip and its use in characterising the particle 1089 scale behavior of microbial-induced calcium carbonate precipitation (MICP). *Géotechnique* 1090 2019a;69: 1086-1094.
- 1091 Wang Y, Soga K, DeJong JT, Kabla AJ. Microscale Visualization of Microbial-Induced Calcium
 1092 Carbonate Precipitation Processes. Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental
 1093 Engineering. 2019b;145: 04019045.

- Wang Y, Konstantinou C, Soga K, DeJong JT, Biscontin G, Kabla AJ. Enhancing strength of MICP treated sandy soils: from micro to macro scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:* 2020;2006.15760.
- Watterson WJ, Tanyeri M, Watson AR, Cham CM, Shan Y, Chang EB, Eren AM, Tay S. Droplet based high-throughput cultivation for accurate screening of antibiotic resistant gut microbes.
 Elife. 2020;9: e56998.
- Weingart M, Chen S, Donat C, Helmbrecht V, Orsi WD, Braun D, Alim K. Alkaline vents recreated
 in two dimensions to study pH gradients, precipitation morphology, and molecule
 accumulation. *Science Advances*. 2023;9(39), p.eadi1884.
- Welch TJ, Farewell A, Neidhardt FC, Bartlett DH. Stress response of Escherichia coli to elevated
 hydrostatic pressure. *Journal of bacteriology*. 1993;175(22), pp.7170-7177.
- White AR, Jalali M, Sheng J. A new ecology-on-a-chip microfluidic platform to study interactions of
 microbes with a rising oil droplet. *Scientific Reports*. 2019;9: 13737.
- Whitman WB, Coleman DC & Wiebe WJ (1998) Prokaryotes: the unseen majority. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 95: 6578-6583.
- Wilkins M, Hall-Stoodley L, Allan RN, Faust SN, Allan R. New approaches to the treatment of
 biofilm-related infections. *Journal of Infection*. 2014;69: S47-S52.
- Winograd IJ, Robertson FN. Deep oxygenated ground water: anomaly or common occurrence?
 Science. 1982;216: 1227-1230.
- Xiao Y, He X, Ma G, Zhao C, Chu J, Liu H. Biomineralization and mineralization using
 microfluidics: A comparison study. *Journal of Rock Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering*. 2024;16: 661-669.
- Xiao Y, Cao B, Shi J, Wu H, He X, Zhao C, Chu J, Liu H. State-of-the-art review on the application of microfluidics in biogeotechnology. *Transportation Geotechnics*. 2023;p.101030.
- Xiao Y, He X, Wu W, Stuedlein AW, Evans TM, Chu J, Liu H, van Paassen LA, Wu H. Kinetic
 biomineralization through microfluidic chip tests. *Acta Geotechnica*. 2021;16: 3229-3237.
- Xiaopu W. (2016) Enhanced Retention of Chemotactic Bacteria in a Pore Network with Residual
 NAPL Contamination.
- Xu B-Y, Hu S-W, Qian G-S, Xu J-J, Chen H-Y. A novel microfluidic platform with stable
 concentration gradient for on chip cell culture and screening assays. *Lab on a Chip.* 2013;13:
 3714-3720.
- 1124Xu Y, Riordon J, Cheng X, Bao B, Sinton D. The full pressure-temperature phase envelope of a
mixture in 1000 microfluidic chambers. Angewandte Chemie. 2017;129: 14150-14155.
- Yadali Jamaloei B, Kharrat R. Fundamental Study of Pore Morphology Effect in Low Tension
 Polymer Flooding or Polymer–Assisted Dilute Surfactant Flooding. *Transport in Porous Media*. 2009;76: 199-218.
- Yawata Y, Nguyen J, Stocker R, Rusconi R. Microfluidic Studies of Biofilm Formation in Dynamic
 Environments. *Journal of Bacteriology*. 2016;198: 2589-2595.
- Yawata Y, Cordero O, Menolascina F, Hehemann J-H, Polz MF, Stocker R. Competition-dispersal
 tradeoff ecologically differentiates recently speciated marine bacterioplankton populations.
 Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111: 5622-5627.

- Yayanos AA, Dietz AS, Van Boxtel R. Obligately barophilic bacterium from the Mariana trench.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 1981;78:
 5212-5215.
- Yin J, Chen X, Li X, Kang G, Wang P, Song Y, Ijaz UZ, Yin H, Huang H. A droplet-based
 microfluidic approach to isolating functional bacteria from gut microbiota. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology*. 2022;12: 920986.
- Yoon H, Valocchi AJ, Werth CJ, Dewers T. Pore-scale simulation of mixing-induced calcium
 carbonate precipitation and dissolution in a microfluidic pore network. *Water Resources Research*. 2012;48.
- Zeng X, Birrien J-L, Fouquet Y, Cherkashov G, Jebbar M, Querellou J, Oger P, Cambon-Bonavita
 M-A, Xiao X, Prieur D. Pyrococcus CH1, an obligate piezophilic hyperthermophile:
 extending the upper pressure-temperature limits for life. *The ISME Journal*. 2009;**3**: 873-876.
- 1146Zhang F, Erriguible A, Gavoille T, Timko MT, Marre S. Inertia-driven jetting regimes in1147microfluidic coflows. Physical Review Fluids. 2018;3: 092201.
- Zhang G, Dong H, Xu Z, Zhao D, Zhang C. Microbial diversity in ultra-high-pressure rocks and
 fluids from the Chinese Continental Scientific Drilling Project in China. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 2005;71(6), pp.3213-3227.
- I151 Zhang X-Y, Sun K, Abulimiti A, Xu P-P, Li Z-Y. Microfluidic System for Observation of Bacterial
 Culture and Effects on Biofilm Formation at Microscale. *Micromachines*. 2019;10: 606.
- Zhang Y, Li C, Wu Y, Zhang Y, Zhou Z, Cao B. A microfluidic gradient mixer-flow chamber as a new tool to study biofilm development under defined solute gradients. *Biotechnol Bioeng*. 2019;116: 54-64.
- Zheng J, Lai H, Cui M, Ding X, Weng Y, Zhang J. Bio-grouting technologies for enhancing uniformity of biocementation: A review. *Biogeotechnics*. 2023;1:100033.
- Zhou L, Michelson K, Fried G, Sanford RA, Sivaguru M, Bhattacharjee AS, Deng J, Fouke BW,
 Valocchi AJ, Werth CJ. Rates of Sulfate Reduction by Microbial Biofilms That Form on
 Shale Fracture Walls within a Microfluidic Testbed. ACS ES&T Engineering. 2022;2: 1619161
- Zhou WM, Yan YY, Guo QR, Ji H, Wang H, Xu TT, Makabel B, Pilarsky C, He G, Yu XY, Zhang
 JY. Microfluidics applications for high-throughput single cell sequencing. *Journal of Nanobiotechnology*. 2021;19, pp.1-21.
- 1165
- 1166
- 1167
- 1168

RICH

- 1169
- 1107
- 1170
- 1171

1173 1174	Table 1: Control the study of description	nparison of co eep undergrou	onventional (nd environme	high-pres. ents.	sure) technio	ques and m	iicrofluidics t	echniques for

Set-up	Material	Conditions (P, T)	Advantages	Limitations	References
Core-flood	Rock	Up to 40 MPa and 400 °C	Integration of mineralogy, porosity	Nontransparent (except to X- rays)	(Sun, 2011)
Flow- through bioreactor	Hastelloy, PEEK chamber	Pressure up to 20 MPa Temperature up to 120 °C	Continuous monitoring of geochemical and biogeochemical evolution Wide range of experiments with in situ conditions Large volumes (mL to L)	Nontransparent	(Dupraz, 2009)
Static pressure vessels	Different alloys (stainless steel, etc.)	Up to 80 MPa and 150 °C	Large volumes Liquid and solid phases	Requires decompression to subsample Nontransparent	(Haddad, 2022)
Capillaries	Glass	Up to 100 MPa and 200 °C	Transparent Precise conditions	Small volume (µL–mL) Poor design flexibility	(Li, 2014)
Microchips	Silicon substrate Silicon- Pyrex	Up to 40 MPa and 400 °C	Pore-scale studies Precise manipulation of fluids High throughput screening	Small volume (nL to μL)	(Lifton, 2016; Morais, 2016)

Rock-based (and polymers)	3D geometry, reactive	Single use	(Park, 2011)
---------------------------------	--------------------------	------------	--------------

1175

1176 MANUMERT MANUSCRIM





1178

- 1179 Figure 1: General scheme of the microreactor setup and applications for deep underground
- 1180 *biosphere investigations.*

2 Call

1181