



**HAL**  
open science

## Opinion: Distribute paleoscience information across the next Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change reports

Darrell Kaufman, Valérie Masson-Delmotte

► **To cite this version:**

Darrell Kaufman, Valérie Masson-Delmotte. Opinion: Distribute paleoscience information across the next Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change reports. *Climate of the Past*, 2024, 20 (11), pp.2587 - 2594. 10.5194/cp-20-2587-2024 . hal-04803988

**HAL Id: hal-04803988**

**<https://hal.science/hal-04803988v1>**

Submitted on 26 Nov 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



# Opinion: Distribute paleoscience information across the next Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change reports

Darrell Kaufman<sup>1</sup> and Valérie Masson-Delmotte<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Earth & Sustainability, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ, USA

<sup>2</sup>Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement (UMR CEA-CNRS-UVSQ/IPSL 8212),  
Université Paris Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

**Correspondence:** Darrell Kaufman (darrell.kaufman@nau.edu)

Received: 17 June 2024 – Discussion started: 5 July 2024

Revised: 22 October 2024 – Accepted: 24 October 2024 – Published: 19 November 2024

**Abstract.** In this opinion piece, we evaluate two approaches for incorporating paleoscience information into future assessment reports by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). One approach advocates for a dedicated paleoclimate chapter, while the other supports the continued integration of paleoscience along with other lines of evidence across multiple sections of the report, as done in the most recent assessment cycle. We address the merits and challenges of these two approaches. We argue that paleoscience expertise is most effectively deployed where it leads to integration of paleoscience knowledge and demonstration of its policy relevance, and we suggest opportunities for expanding paleoscience contributions in future IPCC reports, regardless of the approach chosen.

## 1 Introduction

As the scoping phase for the next Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) report is underway (IPCC, 2024a), discussions within the paleoscience community have emerged regarding the most effective way to incorporate paleoscience information into future reports. Some advocate for the inclusion of a dedicated chapter on paleoclimate (Esper et al., 2024; PAGES, 2024), as was done in some previous IPCC reports. Proponents of this approach contend that a separate chapter would provide a more comprehensive assessment of paleoclimate information and ensure the visibility of this important field. They argue that this approach could safeguard the representation of paleoclimate experts as IPCC authors, together with increased relevancy and visibility of

paleoscience (PAGES, 2024). In contrast, we argue here that paleoscience is made more relevant to the target audience – those interested in current and future climate change, risks and responses – and that it is afforded greater visibility when the information is distributed and integrated with other lines of evidence across the reports, as it was in the latest IPCC Assessment Reports (AR6). In this distributed approach, knowledge of pre-instrumental, pre-industrial climate is considered alongside and on par with multiple other lines of evidence, including observations, theory and modeling, that are needed for a robust and comprehensive assessment of the state of knowledge, including the assignment of confidence levels. We find that insights from paleoscience were promoted in AR6 because, rather than consolidating the subject within a separate chapter where it might appear unconnected to actionable knowledge, the relevance of pre-industrial climate change was highlighted in multiple chapters of the Working Group I report (WGI; IPCC, 2021a), where current and projected climate changes were placed into a broader context of long-term natural variability.

The outline for AR6 Working Group I report (IPCC, 2021a) was scoped following extensive discussions by an international group of select climate experts, with input from the broader community (IPCC, 2018a), and guided by a vision for a holistic and integrative report (IPCC, 2018b). The resulting outline focused on the state of the climate system, processes that shape global and regional climate responses, and regional information (Sect. 1.1.2 of Chen et al., 2021, explains the rationale for the AR6-WGI structure and its relation to the previous AR5-WGI report). In AR6, paleoscience content was further distributed in the Working Group II re-

port (IPCC, 2022a) as it relates to the detection and attribution of ecosystem changes, and the vulnerability and adaptation of Earth's biota, socio-ecological systems and societies to past climate variations (Cross-Chapter Box PALEO, Vulnerability and Adaptation to Past Climate Change, in Ara Begum et al., 2022). In the Working Group III report (IPCC, 2022b), centennial and longer timescales were part of the assessment of carbon storage and removal.

While the previous two assessment reports (AR4 and AR5) had included a separate chapter focused on paleoclimate, this was not the case for AR6. The separate chapters in AR4 and AR5 did much to advance the assessment of the state of knowledge from paleoclimate archives in those reports; however, considering the purpose of IPCC reports along with advances in paleoscience, we see distinct advantages to the distributed approach adopted for AR6, where paleoscience information was integrated with other lines of evidence whenever possible based on available literature.

We view the expansion of paleoscience information across AR6 as integral to the maturation of scientific knowledge. While maturation focused on specific past periods builds depth and specialization, integration across lines of evidence and timescales enables a more holistic understanding of such complex phenomena as the response of the Earth system to natural and anthropogenic forcings. In AR6 for instance, proxy-based reconstructions provided a long-term perspective on the evolution of modes of variability (Cassou et al., 2021). This integration of knowledge across fields of climate science is facilitated by the IPCC assessment process, which strengthens interactions among scientists with complementary expertise (Weart, 2013). It enhances the robustness and relevance of knowledge, making it a more powerful and comprehensive process. This holistic approach ultimately accelerates the maturation of knowledge by fostering a more interconnected, accurate, and actionable understanding of climate science.

## 2 The case for a separate chapter and the benefits of the distributed approach

A separate chapter has been proposed as a means to facilitate a more complete assessment of paleoscience information. Thoroughness is indeed a core principle of IPCC assessments, but there are practical limits to what can be included, and the reports have already been criticized for being too sprawling. The study of past Earth system changes is a huge field, and the diversity of scientists selected as IPCC authors must encompass its full breadth of expertise. Considering the exponential rise in climate science evidence from the literature (Masson-Delmotte, 2024) and faced with very tight constraints on the number of words and pages available for any one topic, we submit that the limited paleoscience information that is included is most effectively deployed where it leads to integration of paleoscience knowledge and demon-

stration of its relevance. The distributed approach facilitates a more complete assessment by avoiding potential gaps where paleoscience information might have contributed to informed decision-making. This relevance dimension is core to IPCC assessments, which thus differ from textbooks or in-depth reviews for specialized audiences.

While some have proposed both a dedicated chapter and distributed information, this presents practical challenges in terms of author selection and maintaining consistency across chapters. Considering all of the other dimensions of climate science expertise to represent when selecting IPCC authors, the fair share of paleoscience experts among the group of IPCC Lead Authors would be too small to both populate a separate paleoclimate chapter and to embed into other chapters. Embedding paleoscience authors within each chapter team is needed to ensure that the paleo perspective is effectively included within the context of those topics and their high-level, policy-relevant findings of the type that are promoted to chapter executive summaries, which underpin the summary documents. Moreover, this would increase the challenges to ensure consistency and complementarity among chapters and reports and to avoid gaps. We believe that the involvement of the limited number of paleoscience authors in IPCC reports is particularly crucial for ensuring the integration of paleoscience knowledge wherever possible, thereby demonstrating policy-relevant outcomes to a broader audience.

A separate chapter is also seen as a platform for a team of experts to work together closely to assess topics in more depth and deliver a more robust and detailed assessment of uncertainties compared to the distributed approach. Whether information from paleoscience comprises a separate chapter or is distributed across chapters, the quality in IPCC reports is upheld through an extensive open review process overseen by designated Review Editors. These subject matter experts ensure that all substantive comments are addressed in a balanced and transparent way. In our experience, and from our conversations with other IPCC authors, the content of the reports are more thoroughly reviewed and heavily scrutinized than any single publication in peer-reviewed journals. The quality of the information in IPCC reports can also be attributed to the readily accessible data that underlie the major findings, which enables traceability and reproducibility.

A separate chapter focusing on paleoscience could make it easier to locate information about the subject. However, the field of climate science is far too large and rapidly growing for each discipline in Earth system observations, theory, processes and projections to have their own convenient chapter. Instead, in AR6-WGI, key paleoscience information from across the chapters came together in a dedicated box in the "Technical Summary" (Box TS.2, "Paleoclimate," in Arias et al., 2021) as part of the report's distillation process in support of the "Summary for Policy Makers". A cross-chapter box in AR6-WGI-Chapter 2 ("Changing State of the Climate System"; Gulev et al., 2021) points to sections across the report

that present information about each of multiple “paleoclimate reference periods,” periods that have been extensively studied based on both empirical evidence and climate modeling as examples of distinct climate states. Meanwhile, emerging artificial intelligence tools (e.g., Climate Q&A, 2024) offer new user-friendly opportunities to interact with IPCC reports across individual chapters.

Some see a separate chapter as providing greater visibility to paleoscience. We place high value on visibility for raising awareness of our science across a broader audience, an opportunity afforded by the widely distributed IPCC reports. We emphasize that paleoscience information is made more visible when it is covered more comprehensively, as we contend that it was in AR6-WGI than in previous IPCC reports. This is evidenced by the breadth of topics informed by paleoscience information across the report, including the summary documents (PAGES, 2022; Masson-Delmotte, 2021), and by a textural analysis of its content (see below). Some of this expanded coverage reflects paleoscience knowledge developments, with a longer time span between reports and more material to be assessed. In addition, paleoscience chapters in AR4 and AR5 had themselves stimulated new paleoscience research.

### 3 Paleoscience coverage in AR6-WGI compared with previous reports

Despite the absence of a chapter dedicated to paleoscience or because of the choice of more holistic approach for the report structure designed to integrate multiple lines of evidence, paleoscience information was featured more prominently in AR6 than in the previous two reports (AR5 and AR4). This assertion is based on an analysis of the contents of the WGI Summary for Policy Makers (SPM) (IPCC, 2021b), which highlights findings of greatest relevance to decision makers. Specifically, the keywords “paleo” (or “palaeo”) or “millennia” (or “millennial”), which were typically used when assessing pre-industrial climate at multiple timescales, were mentioned more frequently in AR6-WGI-SPM than in those of AR5 (IPCC, 2013) and AR4 (IPCC, 2007), both as a total number of occurrences and relative to the number of pages (Table 1). The frequency of key findings based on paleoscience evidence was also greater in AR6-WGI-SPM, as was the number of words that comprise these findings (Table 1). Our simple keyword search leads to the same conclusion as that of one of the preprint referees for this paper (Lunt, 2024), who independently surveyed the two SPMs for mentions of paleoscience information.

Another preprint referee (Brierley, 2024a) surveyed the frequency of citations to *Climate of the Past*. He found that this journal was cited 122 times in AR5-WGI vs. 163 times in AR6-WGI. This increase in citations represents an approximately constant proportion of the total number of works cited in the WGI contributions to AR5 and AR6 (1.34 % vs.

**Table 1.** The frequency of paleoscience information in the Working Group I contributions to the last three IPCC climate assessment reports.

Working Group I	AR4	AR5	AR6
Publication year	2007	2014	2021
Paleoclimate chapter in report	Yes	Yes	No
FAQs with paleo content	2	2	4
<i>Climate of the Past</i> citations	NA	123	175
“Summary for Policy Makers”			
Total pages of content	17	26	28
“Paleo” or “millennia” mentions <sup>a</sup>	6	8	15
Average mentions per page	0.35	0.31	0.54
Major sections with paleo content	3	2	3
Bullets/subsections with paleo content	4	6	8
Approx. words containing paleo content	390	360	460
Figures with paleo content	1	0	1
“Technical Summary”			
Total pages of content	71	82	107
“Paleo” or “millennia” mentions <sup>b</sup>	19	38	56
Average mentions per page	0.27	0.46	0.52
Figures with paleo content	5	3	7

<sup>a</sup> Includes “palaeo” and “millennial” <sup>b</sup> Not counting the paleo box or paleo perspective or text within figures and their captions.

1.25 %, respectively). However, this metric needs to be seen in context of the huge expansion of papers published across the field of climate change generally. The number of peer-reviewed papers with the keyword “climate change” published in the year the AR5-WGI report was released was one-third the number for the AR6-WGI report (approximately 5000 in 2013 vs. 15 000 in 2021 based on Web of Science data, <https://www.webofknowledge.com>, last access: 15 September 2024). This compares with the number of papers published per year by this journal, which increased by one-third (130 in 2013 vs. 173 in 2021). Therefore, the importance of paleoscience as represented by the proportion of *Climate of the Past* citations compared to all other citations in the WGI report was essentially equal between AR5 and AR6, despite the huge growth of climate publications overall (300 %) compared with the modest growth of *Climate of the Past* publications during the same period (33 %). This analysis addresses the extent to which paleoscience was considered across the WGI reports of AR5 and AR6 rather than their SPMs alone, and it supports our contention that paleoscience was featured more prominently in AR6.

Paleoscience has been a part of IPCC reports since the beginning, and the SPMs of all previous WGI reports contain findings that attest to increasingly unprecedented changes in the climate system over centuries and millennia. The latest AR6-WGI-SPM expands on these findings by describing evidence from additional indicators of the state of the climate system beyond atmospheric greenhouse gas concen-

trations and large-scale surface temperature. Paleoscience in the AR6-WGI-SPM looks further back in time to climate states with higher global warming levels than in previous assessment reports. It is mentioned along with other evidence that narrows the uncertainty range of climate sensitivity and strengthens confidence in projections of long-term sea level responses to different levels of sustained warming. It is also used to evaluate low-likelihood events with high-impact outcomes, including large explosive volcanic eruptions and their documented climate effects.

A similar expansion of paleoscience information is also seen in the “Technical Summary” (TS) of the AR6-WGI report (Arias et al., 2021) compared with the previous two reports (Stocker et al., 2013; Solomon et al., 2007). All three contain a section or box dedicated to paleoscience. Outside of these more specialized sections, the keywords “paleo” (or “palaeo”) or “millennia” (or “millennial”) are mentioned more frequently in AR6-WGI-TS than in AR4 and AR5 relative to their number of pages (Table 1). Furthermore, AR6-WGI-TS includes seven figures that feature paleoscience information compared with five in AR4 and three in AR5. Among these figures is a direct comparison of atmospheric carbon dioxide levels back through the Cenozoic and forward through alternative projections to 2300, including both time series and maps of global temperature. This is the first time a figure with these global-scale climate indicators has appeared in an IPCC report; it is indicative of the integrative approach in AR6, with attention to placing current and projected changes into a long-term context. Finally, to reach a broader audience, paleoscience information is included in more frequently asked questions of AR6 (IPCC, 2021c) than in previous reports (Table 1), and simulations of paleoclimate reference periods are incorporated into the Interactive Atlas alongside historical runs and climate projections from the same models (Gutiérrez et al., 2021).

#### 4 Challenges of the distributed approach

While we view the integration of paleoscience topics throughout the IPCC report as an inevitable and healthy progression for an increasingly expansive and relevant subject, we are fully aware of its challenges. When writing the reports, paleoscience authors need to coordinate closely to avoid redundancies, ensure important topics do not fall into cracks between chapters and prepare dedicated cross-chapter boxes as entry points for paleoscience topics. For example, cross-chapter boxes in AR6-WGI Chapter 2 (Gulev et al., 2021) focus on multiple “paleoclimate reference periods” and other features of the climate of the Pliocene when CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were last similar to those of present day. Box TS.2 (Arias et al., 2021) includes a synthesis of the assessed values for global mean temperature, atmospheric carbon dioxide and global mean sea level for multiple paleoclimate reference periods and directly compares global

mean temperatures derived from observations with those from climate models for these reference periods, with all of the data accessible and traceable (<https://www.ipcc-data.org/ar6landing.html>, last access: 15 November 2024).

These boxes were written by AR6 paleoscience lead authors and contributing authors from multiple chapters that formed one of several WGI breakout groups that focused on cross-cutting topics. Paleoscience authors of future reports should be prepared to devote additional time to serve their roles as authors within both their chapter teams and the paleo breakout group. In the future, more formal coordination mechanisms could include a new role for cross-chapter paleoscience coordinators who could participate in coordinating lead author meetings and work proactively across the Working Groups to assure insights from paleo evidence are considered within chapters where decisions about the specific content are made, as guided by the scoping document. This way, novel and relevant paleoscience findings are more likely to be promoted to the executive summary of each chapter, which underpins the most widely read summary documents (TS and SPM). The author team for these summary documents needs to include paleoscientists who can draw together key findings disseminated across chapters.

Despite their increasing length, space available for any climate change subject is exceedingly limited in IPCC reports. There is generally no scope or purpose for extensive analyses of datasets, textbook-style reviews of methods or lengthy discussions of knowledge gaps aimed at experts. Instead, IPCC reports rely heavily on evidence from timely published literature, including community-based assessments of relevant topics that provide in-depth analysis of methodologies, outcomes and uncertainties and that support the integration and distillation of information within IPCC assessments of policy-relevant information.

#### 5 Opportunities for future reports

Regardless of whether the information is consolidated in a separate chapter or distributed through the report, the success of paleoscience in future reports depends primarily on community efforts to advance the state of knowledge and evaluate uncertainties within timely academic publications. It also depends on input from paleoscientists during the scoping phase of the report (planned for December 2024 for AR7) so the full breadth of relevant paleoscience topics is explicitly identified and effectively parsed among chapters, and key expertise is ensured within the selection of author teams. Timely publications calling for specific topics to be addressed with suggestions for scoping are also valuable. Individuals and organizations have input to this process through their appointed IPCC National Focal Points and Observer Organizations (IPCC, 2024b). They can advocate for topics and keywords to be included in chapter outlines or cross-chapter



boxes, which will help ensure that the author selection includes the right balance of expertise.

Like the PAGES communication that motivated this piece (PAGES, 2024), we too encourage paleoscientists to support and engage in the IPCC process. Among the various avenues for participation (IPCC, 2024c) is volunteering as a reviewer during the drafting and revision phases to make sure that new knowledge developments are included where relevant. Collective reviews of IPCC reports by early career scientists can be especially fruitful, as it was for AR6, and this activity could be strengthened for future reports (Moreno-Ibáñez et al., 2024). In addition, contributing authors play an important role as content experts to help draft chapter text alongside lead authors. In Chap. 2 of AR6-WGI (Gulev et al., 2021), for example, 22 paleoscientists served as contributing Authors from outside the WGI Lead Author team.

Paleoscience, like all climate science communities competing for coverage in this high-level product, can work proactively and in concert with the current IPCC assessment cycle to generate or update systematic reviews of the state of knowledge regarding understanding past climate variations and their implications, and regarding key policy-relevant topics. Now is the time to identify what appraisals of major research advances that address socially relevant understanding of climate change (e.g., Kaufman, 2020) are missing from the literature and to initiate coordinated efforts by experts to fill these gaps in support of AR7. Paleontologists too have opportunities to expand their contribution to providing policy-relevant information on climate change impacts (Kießling et al., 2023). An example of such a community-led effort in support of a key IPCC topic is that by the World Climate Research Programme (WCRP) for the grand challenge of understanding climate sensitivity (Sherwood et al., 2020), with new developments underway to inform AR7 (e.g., Cooper et al., 2024).

We see potential for stronger inclusion of information regarding topics such as climate extremes of the past, implications of different durations of different sustained levels of warming, past abrupt events, irreversibility, and insights related to the vulnerability and adaptation of ecosystems and biodiversity. Major collective efforts are needed to evaluate and communicate the state of understanding of past climate variations at global to regional levels.

While the AR6 placed a stronger emphasis on regional climate information than previous reports, advances are needed to include paleoscience information in the distillation of regionally relevant climate information. This includes, for example, regional-scale seasonal and annual hydroclimate reconstructions, extreme events and climatic impact drivers. Syntheses based on transparent approaches and supported by well-curated and readily traceable data are especially useful. This includes updates of paleoclimate forcings and of key indicators of the state of global climate and their uncertainties – including the limitations of paleo data assimilation products – for well-studied paleoclimate reference periods. Con-

sidering the emphasis on climate modeling in IPCC reports, efforts directed toward model evaluation and other CMIP7 (2024) and PMIP5 (Brierley, 2024b) science goals are crucial. We see the need for expanded use of evidence from paleoscience for assessing climate model fitness for purpose and confidence in projections grounded in rigorous model–data comparisons, especially for the paleoclimate reference periods, and where there is deep uncertainty, including for instance tipping points, Antarctic sea ice, or land carbon feedbacks.

In addition to their core mandate, IPCC reports also contribute to strengthening climate literacy. Report elements designed for schoolteachers and the general public include “Frequently Asked Questions”, which address key topics with up-to-date information in a consistent style and have been bundled into a single pdf (Connors et al., 2022). New for AR6 is the colorfully illustrated, plain-language “Summary for All” (IPCC, 2022c), which is translated into multiple languages. Considering the widespread misconceptions and outdated views of past climate variations, we see a need to distillate the current state of knowledge using accessible plain-language text and scientifically rigorous, user-friendly data visualizations, anchored in a co-design process (Morelli et al., 2021; InfoDesignLab, 2024). We argue that paleoclimate literacy can be strengthened by clear communication of topics such as the causes, mechanisms, and characteristics of past climate changes; lessons from past climates that are relevant for well-informed climate action; and examination of how recent and future changes compare with those of the past. This includes improving the display of post-industrial changes in key climate system indicators, such as global mean surface temperature, in context of long-term changes in a way that the general public and decision makers can easily understand.

The underlying publications with these advances are needed in support of the AR7 assessment cycle. These advances will require substantial support for community efforts, both by funding agencies and by professional organizations equipped for regional and international coordination. Considering the major expansion of paleoscience knowledge since AR6, such products are beyond what can be produced by a small group of IPCC authors regardless of whether those authors work within a single chapter or are distributed across the working groups.

**Code and data availability.** No underlying research data or code were used for this Opinion piece.

**Author contributions.** DK wrote the initial manuscript. Both authors generated the final version.

**Competing interests.** The authors were involved in the preparation of AR6.

**Disclaimer.** Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims made in the text, published maps, institutional affiliations, or any other geographical representation in this paper. While Copernicus Publications makes every effort to include appropriate place names, the final responsibility lies with the authors.

**Acknowledgements.** We thank Martin Grosjean, Fabrice Lambert, Nick McKay, Thomas Stocker, and Peter Thorne for their valued input on an earlier version of the paper, although not all fully shared our views. We thank the two referees (Chris Brierley, Dan Lunt) and members of the paleoclimate community (Nerilie Abram, Kathryn Allen, Kevin Anchukaitis, Elsa Arellano-Torres, Isabel Cacho, Celia Martin-Puertas, Michael Prather, Michael Sigl, Jason Smerdon, Antje Voelker, Rob Wilson, Eric Wolff) for their comments and suggestions during the open discussion phase of the manuscript review. Chris Brierley provided the count of citations to articles in *Climate of the Past*.

**Review statement.** This paper was edited by Qiong Zhang and Laurie Menviel and reviewed by Chris Brierley and Dan Lunt.

## References

- Ara Begum, R., Lempert, R., Ali, E., Benjaminsen, T. A., Bernauer, T., Cramer, W., Cui, X., Mach, K., Nagy, G., Stenseth, N. C., Sukumar, R., and Wester, P.: Point of departure and key concepts, in: *Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability*, edited by: Pörtner, H.-O., Roberts, D. C., Tignor, M., Poloczanska, E. S., Mintenbeck, K., Alegría, A., Craig, M., Langsdorf, S., Löschke, S., Möller, V., Okem, A., and Rama, B., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, 121–196, <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009325844.003>, 2022.
- Arias, P. A., Bellouin, N., Coppola, E., Jones, R. G., Krinner, G., Marotzke, J., Naik, V., Palmer, M. D., Plattner, G.-K., Rogelj, J., Rojas, M., Sillmann, J., Storelvmo, T., Thorne, P. W., Trewin, B., Achuta Rao, K., Adhikary, B., Allan, R. P., Armour, K., Bala, G., Barimalala, R., Berger, S., Canadell, J. G., Cassou, C., Cherchi, A., Collins, W., Collins, W. D., Connors, S. L., Corti, S., Cruz, F., Dentener, F. J., Dereczynski, C., Di Luca, A., Diongue Niang, A., Doblus-Reyes, F. J., Dosio, A., Douville, H., Engelbrecht, F., Eyring, V., Fischer, E., Forster, P., Fox-Kemper, B., Fuglested, J. S., Fyfe, J. C., Gillett, N. P., Goldfarb, L., Gorodetskaya, I., Gutierrez, J. M., Hamdi, R., Hawkins, E., Hewitt, H. T., Hope, P., Islam, A. S., Jones, C., Kaufman, D. S., Kopp, R. E., Kosaka, Y., Kossin, J., Krakovska, S., Lee, J.-Y., Li, J., Mauritsen, T., Maycock, T. K., Meinshausen, M., Min, S.-K., Monteiro, P. M. S., Ngo-Duc, T., Otto, F., Pinto, I., Pirani, A., Raghavan, K., Ranasinghe, R., Ruane, A. C., Ruiz, L., Sallée, J.-B., Samset, B. H., Sathyendranath, S., Seneviratne, S. I., Sörensson, A. A., Szopa, S., Takayabu, I., Treguier, A.-M., van den Hurk, B., Vautard, R., von Schuckmann, K., Zaehle, S., Zhang, X., and Zickfeld, K.: Technical summary, in: *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by: Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S. L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M. I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J. B. R., Maycock, T. K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, B., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 33–144, <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.002>, 2021.
- Brierley, C. M.: Referee Comment 1, Review of egusphere-2024-1845 by Chris Brierley, <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2024-1845-RC1>, 2024a.
- Brierley, C. M.: PMIP and CMIP7 update, PAGES News, <https://pastglobalchanges.org/news/137793>, last access: 21 September 2024b.
- Cassou, C., Acherchi, A., Kosaka, Y., Corti, S., Engelbrecht, F., Lee, J.-Y., Maycock, A., McGregor, S., Morgenstern, O., Nnamchi, H. C., Rivera, J. A., and Trewin, B.: Annex IV: Modes of variability, in: *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by: Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S. L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M. I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J. B. R., Maycock, T. K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, B., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2153–2192, <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.018>, 2021.
- Chen, D., Rojas, M., Samset, B. H., Cobb, K., Diongue Niang, A., Edwards, P., Emori, S., Faria, S. H., Hawkins, E., Hope, P., Huybrechts, P., Meinshausen, M., Mustafa, S. K., Plattner, G.-K., and Tréguier, A.-M.: Framing, context, and methods, in: *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by: Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S. L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M. I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J. B. R., Maycock, T. K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, B., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 147–286, <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.003>, 2021.
- Climate Q&A: <https://climateqa.com/docs/intro/>, last access: 3 August 2024.
- CMIP7: <https://wcrp-cmip.org/cmip-panel-meet-to-advance-cmip7-and-ar7-fast-track/>, last access: 27 July 2024.
- Cooper, V. T., Armour, K. C., Hakim, G. J., Tierney, J. E., Osman, M. B., Proistosescu, C., Dong, Y., Burls, N. J., Andrews, T., Amrhein, D. E., and Zhu, J.: Last Glacial Maximum pattern effects reduce climate sensitivity estimates, *Science Advances*, 10, eadk9461, <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adk9461>, 2024.
- Connors, S. L., Nicolai, M., Berger, S., Pidcock, R., Walsh, M., and Hawtin, N.: Co-developing the IPCC frequently asked questions as an effective science communication tool, *Climatic Change*, 171, 10, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-021-03248-0>, 2022.
- Esper, J., Smerdon, J. E., Anchukaitis, K. J., Allen, J., Cook, E. R., D'Arrigo, R., Guillet, S., Ljungqvist, F. C., Reinig, F., Schneider, L., Sigl, M., Stoffel, M., Trnka, M., Wilson, R.,

- and Büntgen, U.: The IPCC's reductive Common Era temperature history, *Communications Earth & Environment*, 5, 222, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01371-1>, 2024.
- Gulev, S. K., Thorne, P. W., Ahn, J., Dentener, F. J., Domingues, C. M., Gerland, S., Gong, D., Kaufman, D. S., Nnamchi, H. C., Quaas, J., Rivera, J. A., Sathyendranath, S., Smith, S. L., Trewin, B., von Shuckmann, K., and Vose, R. S.: Changing state of the climate system, in: *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by: Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S. L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M. I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J. B. R., Maycock, T. K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, B., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 287–422, <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.004>, 2021.
- Gutiérrez, J. M., Jones, R. G., Narisma, R. T., Alves, L. M., Amjad, M., Gorodetskaya, I. V., Grose, M., Klutse, N. A. B., Krakovska, S., Li, J., Martínez-Castro, D., Mearns, L. O., Mernild, S. H., Ngo-Duc, T., van den Hurk, B., and Yoon, J.-H.: Atlas, in: *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by: Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S. L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M. I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J. B. R., Maycock, T. K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, B., Cambridge University Press, Interactive Atlas, <http://interactive-atlas.ipcc.ch/> (last access: 14 November 2024), 2021.
- InfoDesignLab: <https://infodesignlab.com/selected-projects/ipcc-reports>, last access: 6 October 2024.
- IPCC: Summary for policymakers, in: *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B., Tignor, M., and Miller, H. L., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, ISBN 978-0521705967, 2007.
- IPCC: Summary for policymakers, in: *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by: Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Borschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, ISBN 978-1107057999, 2013.
- IPCC: [https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/04/040820170312-WGI\\_inf1\\_background\\_information.pdf](https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/04/040820170312-WGI_inf1_background_information.pdf) (last access: 1 June 2024), 2018a.
- IPCC: <https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/11/AR6-Chair-Vision-Paper.pdf> (last access: 1 June 2024), 2018b.
- IPCC: *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by: Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S. L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M. I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J. B. R., Maycock, T. K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, B., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896>, 2021a.
- IPCC: Summary for policymakers, in: *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by: Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S. L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M. I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J. B. R., Maycock, T. K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, B., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 3–32, <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.001>, 2021b.
- IPCC: Frequently Asked Questions: [https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg1/downloads/faqs/IPCC\\_AR6\\_WGI\\_FAQs\\_Compiled.pdf](https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg1/downloads/faqs/IPCC_AR6_WGI_FAQs_Compiled.pdf) (last access: 6 October 2024), 2021c.
- IPCC: *Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by: Pörtner, H.-O., Roberts, D. C., Tignor, M., Poloczanska, E. S., Mintenbeck, K., Alegría, A., Craig, M., Langsdorf, S., Löschke, S., Möller, V., Okem, A., and Rama, B., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, 3056 pp., <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009325844>, 2022a.
- IPCC: *Climate Change 2022: Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by: Shukla, P. R., Skea, J., Slade, R., Al Khourdajie, A., van Diemen, R., McCollum, D., Pathak, M., Some, S., Vyas, P., Fradera, R., Belkacemi, M., Hasija, A., Lisboa, G., Luz, S., and Malley, J., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157926>, 2022b.
- IPCC: *Climate Change 2021: Summary for All, Working Group I Technical Support Unit*, <https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg1/resources/summary-for-all/> (last access: 14 November 2024), 2022c.
- IPCC: <https://www.ipcc.ch/2024/05/03/ipcc-ar7-scoping-nominations/>, last access: 1 June 2024a.
- IPCC: <https://www.ipcc.ch/focal-points/>, last access: 21 September 2024b.
- IPCC: [https://www.ipcc.ch/about/engage\\_with\\_the\\_ipcc/](https://www.ipcc.ch/about/engage_with_the_ipcc/), last access: 21 September 2024c.
- Kaufman, D. S.: Paleoscience questions for socially relevant understanding of climate change, Abstract PP017-0002, 2020 Fall Meeting, AGU, Online, 1–17 December, <https://agu.confex.com/agu/fm20/meetingapp.cgi/Paper/684105> (last access: 14 November 2024), 2020.
- Kiessling, W., Smith, J. A., and Raja, N. B.: Improving the relevance of paleontology to climate change policy, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 120, e2201926119, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2201926119>, 2023.
- Lunt, D.: Referee Comment 2, Comment on egusphere-2024-1845, <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2024-1845-RC2>, 2024.



- Masson-Delmotte, V. [@valmasdel]: “You may have noticed that there was no specific chapter on paleoclimate in the 2021 AR6 @IPCC\_CH #ClimateReport”, Twitter, <https://x.com/valmasdel/status/1458853767194066949> (last access: 14 November 2024), posted: 06:46, 11 November 2021.
- Masson-Delmotte, V.: The physical science basis of climate change empowering transformations, insights from the IPCC AR6 for a climate research agenda grounded in ethics, *PLOS Clim.*, 3, e0000451, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pclm.0000451>, 2024.
- Morelli, A., Johansen, T. G., Pidcock, R., Harold, J., Pirani, A., Gomis, M., Lorenzoni, I., Haughey, E., and Coventry, K.: Co-designing engaging and accessible data visualisations: a case study of the IPCC reports, *Climatic Change*, 168, 26, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-021-03171-4>, 2021.
- Moreno-Ibáñez, M., Casado, M., Gremion, G., Rabanal, V., Adojoh, O., Anoruo, C., Arshad, A., Faten, A. B., Bello, C., Bergstedt, H., and Caccavo, J. A.: Engagement of early career researchers in collaborative assessments of IPCC reports: achievements and insights, *Frontiers in Climate*, 6, 1395040, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2024.1395040>, 2024.
- PAGES: <https://pastglobalchanges.org/news/quick-guide-paleoclimate-ipcc-ar6-2021-report> (last access: 27 July 2024), 2022.
- PAGES: <https://pastglobalchanges.org/node/137814/>, last access: 1 June 2024.
- Sherwood, S. C., Webb, M. J., Annan, J. D., Armour, K. C., Forster, P. M., Hargreaves, J. C., Hegerl, G., Klein, S. A., Marvel, K. D., Rohling, E. J., and Watanabe, M.: An assessment of Earth’s climate sensitivity using multiple lines of evidence, *Rev. Geophys.*, 58, e2019RG000678, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019RG000678>, 2020.
- Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Alley, R. B., Berntsen, T., Bindoff, N. L., Chen, Z., Chidthaisong, A., Gregory, J. M., Hegerl, G. C., Heimann, M., Hewitson, B., Hoskins, B. J., Joos, F., Jouzel, J., Kattsov, V., Lohmann, U., Matsuno, T., Molina, M., Nicholls, N., Overpeck, J., Raga, G., Ramaswamy, V., Ren, J., Rusticucci, M., Somerville, R., Stocker, T. F., Whetton, P., Wood R. A., and Wratt, D.: Technical summary, in: *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B., Tignor, M., and Miller, H. L., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, ISBN 978-0521705967, 2007.
- Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G. K., Alexander, L. V., Allen, S. K., Bindoff, N. L., Bréon, F. M., Church, J. A., Cubasch, U., Emori, S., and Forster, P.: Technical summary, in: *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by: Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 33–115, ISBN 978-1107057999, 2013.
- Weart, S.: Rise of interdisciplinary research on climate, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 110, 3657–3664, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1107482109>, 2013.