## Supplementary material for Study of PVAc/EVA Polymer Series: Influence of the Inter/Intra molecular Interaction Ratio on the Molecular Mobility at the Glass Transition

Jules Trubert<sup>a)</sup>, Liubov Matkovska, Allisson Saiter-Fourcin, Laurent Delbreilh

Univ Rouen Normandie, INSA Rouen Normandie, CNRS, Normandie Univ, GPM UMR 6634, F-76000 Rouen, France

<sup>a)</sup> Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: jules.trubert@univ-rouen.fr

## SUPPLEMENTARY TEXT

*Example of dielectric spectrum approximation*. The dielectric relaxation curves obtained were analyzed using the Havriliak-Negami (HN) complex function. An example of a dielectric spectrum approximation using the HN fitting function and conductivity is shown in **Fig. S1**.

*Pressure dependency of the glass transition* temperature. The pressure influence on  $T_g$  for the PVAc/EVA series is shown in **Fig. S2**. The nonlinear relationship between  $T_g$  and pressure can be fitted by the empirical Andersson-Andersson model.



**FIG. S1.** Example of approximation to (a) the real and (b) the imaginary part of the complex permittivity at P = 15 MPa and T = 329 K for PVAc. Experimental data are represented by empty squares. The red curves correspond to the sum the contributions from conductivity (dotted line),  $\alpha$ -relaxation (dashed dotted lines) and  $\beta$ -relaxation (dashed dotted lines).



**FIG. S2.** Pressure dependence of the glass transition temperature (from the extrapolation of VFT fit to  $\tau = 10 s$ ) for EVA60, EVA80 and PVAc. Solid red line indicates an experimental data fit to the Andersson–Andersson model.

**Table S1**. Parameters of HN fits for secondary relaxation and conductivity. For the secondary relaxation, the dielectric strength  $\Delta \varepsilon_{\beta}$  and the broadening parameters  $\alpha_{HN\beta}$  and  $\beta_{HN\beta}$  are constants. Only the ohmic conductivity  $\sigma_0$  and the secondary relaxation time  $\tau_{\beta}$  are considered temperature dependent.

	$\Delta arepsilon_{eta}$	$\alpha_{HN\beta}$	$\beta_{HN\beta}$
PVAc	1.32	0.3	1
EVA80	1.00	0.5	1
EVA60	0.65	0.5	1

Example of conductivity  $\sigma_0$  and the secondary relaxation time  $\tau_\beta$  as function of temperature at 100 MPa for PVAC, EVA80 and EVA60.

PVAc				EVA8	30		EVA60		
Т	$\text{Log}_{10}(\sigma_0)$	$\log_{10}(\tau_{\beta})$	Т	$\log_{10}(\sigma_0)$	$\log_{10}(\tau_{\beta})$	Т	$\log_{10}(\sigma_0)$	$\log_{10}(\tau_{\beta})$	
[K]	$[S. cm^{-1}]$	[s]	[K]	$[S. cm^{-1}]$	[s]	[K]	$[S. cm^{-1}]$	[s]	
331	-13.7	-10.1	301	-14.4	-6.8	268	-14.6	-6.6	
333	-13.7	-10.0	303	-14.3	-6.9	270	-18.4	-6.8	
335	-13.7	-9.9	305	-14.2	-7.0	272	-14.9	-6.9	
337	-13.8	-9.9	307	-14.1	-7.1	273	-14.7	-7.3	
339	-13.8	-9.7	309	-14.1	-7.4	275	-14.8	-8.1	
341	-13.8	-9.9	311	-14.0	-7.5	277	-14.8	-8.3	
343	-13.7	-9.6	313	-14.0	-7.8	279	-14.9	-8.6	
345	-13.6	-9.5	315	-13.9	-8.2	281	-15.1	-8.9	
347	-13.6	-9.8	317	-13.7	-8.9	283	-15.0	-10.5	
349	-13.5	-9.6	319	-13.6	-8.9	285	-15.0	-10.0	
			322	-13.5	-8.9	287	-14.9	-9.7	
			324	-13.4	-8.9	289	-14.8	-9.6	
			326	-13.3	-8.9	291	-14.8	-9.9	
			328	-13.1	-8.9	293	-14.7	-11.0	
			330	-13.0	-8.9	295	-14.5	-10.4	

**Table S2**. Frequency list of dielectric measurements shown in **Fig. 4**. The frequency range is from  $10^{-1}$  up to  $10^{6}$  Hz, and is composed of 42 measurements spaced logarithmically.

				f [Hz]			
-	1.00E+06	1.17E+05	1.03E+04	9.02E+02	7.92E+01	6.95E+00	6.11E-01
	8.89E+05	7.80E+04	6.85E+03	6.02E+02	5.28E+01	4.64E+00	4.07E-01
	5.93E+05	5.20E+04	4.57E+03	4.01E+02	3.52E+01	3.09E+00	2.71E-01
	3.95E+05	3.47E+04	3.05E+03	2.67E+02	2.35E+01	2.06E+00	1.81E-01
	2.63E+05	2.31E+04	2.03E+03	1.78E+02	1.56E+01	1.37E+00	1.21E-01
	1.76E+05	1.54E+04	1.35E+03	1.19E+02	1.04E+01	9.16E-01	1.00E-01

**Table S3.** Fit parameters (log( $\tau_{\infty}$ ), *D*, T0), uncertainties of *D* and *T*0, glass transition temperature  $T_g$ , isobaric fragility  $m_P$  and the R-squared of the VFT laws of isobars (Eq. (2) in the main manuscript) for PVAc, EVA80 and EVA60.

EVA 60								
P [MPa]	$\log(\tau_{\infty}[s])$	D	$\Delta D$	<i>T</i> 0 [K]	Δ <i>T</i> 0 [K]	$T_g$	$m_P$	<i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>
P <sub>atm</sub>	-14	8.94	0.08	195.07	0.46	246	73	0.9965
25	-14	9.33	0.15	195.30	0.87	248	71	0.9981
50	-14	8.84	0.12	202.46	0.71	254	74	0.9979
75	-14	9.17	0.24	203.19	1.37	257	71	0.9931
100	-14	9.09	0.21	205.88	1.19	260	72	0.9935
125	-14	9.21	0.22	207.39	1.24	263	71	0.9957
150	-14	9.13	0.19	211.20	1.07	267	72	0.9961
175	-14	9.62	0.24	210.13	1.38	269	69	0.9959
200	-14	10.10	0.16	211.32	0.93	273	66	0.9972

EVA 80

P [MPa]	$\log(\tau_{\infty}[s])$	D	$\Delta D$	<i>T</i> 0 [K]	Δ <i>T</i> 0 [K]	$T_g$	$m_P$	<i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>
P <sub>atm</sub>	-14	10.04	0.13	209.94	0.77	271	82	0.9977
25	-14	10.39	0.22	210.40	1.31	274	79	0.9964
50	-14	10.50	0.23	214.94	1.38	280	83	0.9959
75	-14	10.08	0.16	220.97	0.99	285	80	0.9978

100	-14	10.21	0.16	223.29	0.95	289	81	0.9981
125	-14	10.58	0.27	222.58	1.63	291	80	0.9960
150	-14	10.73	0.18	224.66	1.09	294	81	0.9977
175	-14	10.68	0.21	228.02	1.28	299	77	0.9973
200	-14	11.13	0.19	230.51	1.18	305	74	0.9973

## PVAc

P [MPa]	$\log(\tau_{\infty}[s])$	D	$\Delta D$	<i>T</i> 0 [K]	Δ <i>T</i> 0 [K]	$T_g$	$m_P$	$R^2$
P <sub>atm</sub>	-14	9.80	0.12	239.36	0.83	307	76	0.9984
20	-14	9.77	0.08	244.11	0.50	313	76	0.9993
40	-14	9.76	0.05	247.86	0.30	318	76	0.9998
60	-14	9.84	0.04	250.83	0.30	322	76	0.9998
80	-14	9.89	0.05	253.84	0.32	327	76	0.9997
100	-14	10.03	0.09	256.16	0.53	331	75	0.9993
120	-14	9.77	0.06	260.22	0.36	334	76	0.9997
140	-14	9.85	0.09	262.65	0.54	338	76	0.9994
160	-14	10.06	0.15	264.22	0.87	341	75	0.9984
180	-14	10.47	0.23	264.56	1.31	345	72	0.9969
200	-14	11.02	0.39	264.14	2.12	348	70	0.9930