

# **Small molecule approaches to targeting RNA**

Sandra Kovachka, Marc Panosetti, Benedetto Grimaldi, Stéphane Azoulay,

Audrey Di Giorgio, Maria Duca

## **To cite this version:**

Sandra Kovachka, Marc Panosetti, Benedetto Grimaldi, Stéphane Azoulay, Audrey Di Giorgio, et al.. Small molecule approaches to targeting RNA. Nature Reviews Chemistry, 2024, 8 (2), pp.120-135.  $10.1038/s41570-023-00569-9$ . hal-04801128

# **HAL Id: hal-04801128 <https://hal.science/hal-04801128v1>**

Submitted on 25 Nov 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## **Small molecule approaches to targeting RNA**

- Sandra Kovachka, <sup>1,¥</sup> Marc Panosetti, <sup>1,2,¥</sup> Benedetto Grimaldi,<sup>2</sup> Stéphane Azoulay,<sup>1</sup> Audrey Di Giorgio,<sup>1</sup> 4 Maria Duca<sup>1,\*</sup>
- <sup>5</sup> Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, Institute of Chemistry of Nice, 28 avenue Valrose, Nice, France
- <sup>2</sup> Molecular Medicine Research Line, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia (IIT), Via Morego 30, 16163,
- Genoa, Italy
- <sup>¥</sup> These authors contributed equally to the manuscript
- \* Corresponding author: maria.duca@univ-cotedazur.fr

### **Abstract**

 The development of innovative methodologies to identify RNA binders has attracted enormous attention in chemical biology and drug discovery. Although antibiotics targeting bacterial ribosomal RNA have been on the market for decades, the renewed interest in RNA targeting reflects the need to better understand complex intracellular processes involving RNA. In this context, small molecules are privileged tools to explore the biological functions of RNA, to validate RNA as therapeutic targets and, eventually, to become new drugs. Despite recent progresses, the rational design of specific RNA binders requires a better understanding of the interactions which occur with the RNA target to reach the desired biological response. In this review, we discuss the challenges to approaching this underexplored chemical space, together with recent strategies to bind, interact and affect biologically relevant RNAs.

#### **[H1] Introduction**

 The paradigm of RNA targeting with both oligonucleotides and small molecules has existed in chemical and biological sciences for many years.<sup>1</sup> The recognition of the essential roles played by RNAs in living systems has resulted in the discovery of many new classes of RNAs involved not only in transcription and translation, but also in the regulation of gene expression. Oligonucleotides recognize RNAs by sequence complementarity, thanks to the formation of Watson-Crick-Franklin hydrogen bonds with single-stranded RNAs. In contrast, small molecules target specific sites in structured RNAs where the association of single-stranded and double-stranded regions leads to the 30 formation of specific tridimensional structures.<sup>1</sup> This structure-recognition depends on the formation 31 of binding pockets similar to those found in proteins. While oligonucleotides are important tools both for the fundamental study of RNA functions and for their potential therapeutic applications, their use remains limited owing to pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic limitations, such as stability, 34 selectivity and biodelivery.<sup>2</sup> Furthermore, since RNAs, especially non-coding RNAs, are highly structured, oligonucleotides cannot access or interact efficiently with these structures. Some of the limitations of oligonucleotides have been addressed, such as stability by using modified nucleotides resistant to nucleases. Some others have still to be solved, such as biodelivery that asks for complex 38 route of administration and thus impairing the applicability of such an approach.<sup>3</sup> An approach complementary to oligonucleotides is the use of small molecules and holds great potential for chemical intervention on RNA functions.<sup>1,4-6</sup> 

 The first RNA binders were discovered in the early forties when various natural products, such as the 43 first aminoglycoside streptomycin (FIG1), were identified as antibiotics (FIG. 1).<sup>7</sup> The antimicrobial activity was a result of binding to the prokaryotic ribosomal RNA which induced the impairment of 45 protein synthesis in bacteria. Aminoglycosides consist of positively charged aminooligosaccharides that interact with the negatively charged RNA backbone.<sup>9</sup> 

 Subsequently, other natural compounds were identified as ribosome binders with antimicrobial properties. These include tetracyclines (such as doxycycline), macrolides (such as erythromycin), and 50 oxazolidinones (such as linezolid). <sup>8</sup> The therapeutic potential of RNA-targeting was also recently highlighted by the FDA approval of risdiplam for spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) treatment.<sup>10</sup> Additionally, numerous compounds have been documented as RNA binders, to further enhance the understanding of small-molecule RNA targeting strategies.<sup>1</sup> 

 In this review, we illustrate the structures of small molecule RNA binders together with the interactions they form with the RNA target and discuss the important molecular features for binding, selectivity and biological activity. The recent modalities adding new functions to specific RNA binders are also detailed. Finally, the knowledge gaps remaining for the field to progress for new

 applications in chemical biology and therapeutics will be presented. Since the screening methodologies and the biophysical approaches employed to identify and study RNA binders have been reviewed and detailed elsewhere, they will be described only briefly.<sup>1</sup> This manuscript will focus on the challenges that have been recently overcome and the ones that remain to design effective chemical tools for RNA targeting. This review aims to promote medicinal chemists and chemical biologists to enter the field of small molecule RNA targeting with the insight and understanding required for future developments and innovations.

## **[H1] Design of small molecules for RNA binding**

 RNA's inherent structure means identifying RNA binders can be challenging (See BOX 1). A first obstacle is the highly negatively charged backbone restricting compatible structures and favoring  $\eta$  interactions with positively charged species.<sup>11</sup> Also, RNA has only four bases compared to proteins' 20 amino acids, meaning selecting appropriate chemical scaffolds is key to designing ligands suitable for 72 RNA binding.<sup>4</sup> Finally, unlike most protein targets, biologically relevant RNAs exist in a dynamic 73 ensemble of structures depending on base pairing.<sup>12</sup> Factors like salt concentration, intracellular interactions or mutations influence the prevalence of certain sub-structures. Altering this dynamic ensemble may impact the RNA's function but determining which RNA conformation is biologically active *in vivo* remains challenging. The lack of knowledge about the isoform the compound will bind to and how this will affect RNA function represents the third major drawback. Fortunately, structural biologists now have a range of techniques in addition to X-ray, such as advanced NMR and cryo-EM, that should provide the tools for important developments in the future.<sup>1</sup> 

81 Given these premises, the design of selective RNA binders as chemical probes or potential drugs 82 cannot be performed in the same way as protein targeting. Indeed, small molecule/RNA interactions 83 are dominated by hydrogen bonding and  $\pi$ -stacking interactions that constitute more than half of the <sup>84</sup> interactions underlying small molecule-RNA recognition in reported binders.<sup>13</sup> Hydrophobic interactions and weak hydrogen bonds (e.g. CH-O interactions) are also privileged interactions but 86 occur less frequently than hydrogen bonding and  $\pi$ -stacking. Conversely, the systematic analysis of the interactions involved in small molecule-protein complexes are mainly represented by hydrophobic 88 contacts that constitute almost half of the studied interactions followed by hydrogen bonding and  $\pi$ - stacking. Since the discovery of the first RNA binding small molecules, until the recent discovery of specific and drug-like molecules, such as the FDA approved Risdiplam modifying RNA splicing in 91 spinal muscular atrophy and described later in this manuscript,<sup>10</sup> show that there may well be techniques to overcome the above-mentioned challenges. However, it is still difficult to perform a rational design of ligands for a specific RNA target.

 In the upcoming sections, we provide an exploration of the challenges and limitations that have been tackled in the quest to efficiently target RNA with small molecules from the chemistry perspective. 97 We will not describe all the details about the biological consequences induced by RNA binding, but instead detail how the compounds act at the molecular level and how they interact with the target. We indeed believe that a better understanding of the formed interactions upon the study of RNA binders is key to the development of improved ligands.

 *[H2] Improving selectivity with cationic ligands.* The highly negatively charged RNA backbone makes ligand design particularly challenging. Furthermore, the presence of paired and unpaired regions, results in a 3D structure that differs from double-helical DNA and can be considered more 105 similar to proteins structure. The phosphate backbone promotes the use of binding compounds containing chemical groups protonated at physiological pH, such as aminoglycosides, that can provide electrostatic interactions with phosphates and hydrogen bonds with unpaired bases.<sup>7</sup> 

 To illustrate this concept, one can look at aminoglycosides that predominantly bind to RNA regions 109 with internal loops and bulges that distort the double helix.<sup>15</sup> For example, plazomicin (compound **1**, FIG2a right), a recent aminoglycoside approved for the treatment of infections caused by multidrug 111 resistant organisms, interacts with the ribosomal A-site through  $\pi$ -stacking and hydrogen bond interactions with nucleobases as revealed by X-ray (FIG. 2a left).<sup>16</sup> A-site region of the ribosome is the one binding to the incoming tRNA bringing a new amino acid to be introduced in the growing peptidic chain during protein synthesis. Additionally, plazomicin's hydroxy-aminobutyric acid (HABA) tail (highlighted in blue in FIG2a) forms a H-bond with an uracil base. Together, this leads to a strong and selective interaction even if electrostatic interactions are also formed, which reinforce binding but hamper aminoglycoside specificity. To further increase selectivity, aminoglycosides have been conjugated with moieties able to establish specific interactions with the target.<sup>17</sup> One such strategy to  $\mu$ <sup>119</sup> improve aminoglycoside selectivity is through conjugation with nucleobases, <sup>18</sup> for example, a 120 modified neomycin designed to selectively target the HIV-1 dimerization initiation site (DIS). This is 121 a highly conserved RNA stem-loop structure located in the 5'-non-coding region of the viral genome.<sup>19</sup> Its dimerization is a key step in HIV replication and its inhibition has been considered for potential antiviral therapies. The structural study of neomycin in a complex with DIS highlighted that an 124 adenine base was involved in a key interaction with ring I of neomycin. Comparison with A-site, that shows substantial similarity to DIS, illustrated that this interaction was absent in this case.<sup>19</sup> Indeed, ring I was replaced by a thymine leading to neomycin derivative **2** (FIG. 2b) able to bind DIS with an improved specificity compared to neomycin. This example illustrates how the appropriate addition of H-bonds donors and acceptors complementary to the structure of the RNA target can increase selectivity for the desired target. In a similar way, neomycin and paromomycin were conjugated with nucleobases as in compound **3** (FIG. 2c) to obtain ligands with an improved affinity for HIV-1 TAR  $RNA$  over A-site.<sup>20</sup> This result was difficult to predict, as rational drug design remains challenging  even in the presence of structural information, most likely owing to the intrinsically dynamic RNA systems. Furthermore, no biological activity was reported, suggesting issues such as poor permeability or lack of specificity in cells.

135 Other types of heteroaromatic compounds have been applied to prepare aminoglycoside conjugates targeting the biogenesis of oncogenic microRNAs (miRNAs or miR) that are essential regulators of gene expression.<sup>21</sup> The biogenesis of miRNAs goes through two highly structured precursors: a 138 primary miRNA (pri-miRNA) of about 1 kbases and a precursor of miRNA (pre-miRNA) that are sequentially cleaved by ribonucleases Drosha in the nucleus and Dicer in the cytoplasm to lead to a mature miRNA of about 22-24 nucleotides. This latter is able to bind to mRNAs and inhibit their translation into proteins. Overexpression of some miRNAs appears to be responsible for the development and progression of various cancers and these oncogenic miRNAs became not only biomarkers for the different kinds of cancers but also potential anticancer targets. To design selective ligands of stem-loop-structured precursors of oncogenic miRNAs and inhibit miRNAs biogenesis, neomycin was conjugated to artificial nucleobases,  $22-25$  heteroaromatic compounds able to form specific hydrogen bonds with DNA and RNA base pairs, to form base triplets.<sup>26</sup> This led to compounds, such as conjugate **4** (FIG. 2d), that selectively inhibit the biogenesis of a small set of overexpressed oncogenic miRNAs in cancer cells.<sup>25</sup> Notably, incorporation of the phenyl-thiazole heteroaromatic component directed neomycin to the stem-loop precursor of oncogenic miR-372, pre- miR-372, inhibiting Dicer cleavage and miRNA maturation. This resulted in effective miR-372 inhibition *in vitro* and specific proliferation inhibition in cancer cells overexpressing miR-372 without toxicity. Enzymatic footprinting (analysis of which residues of the RNA sequence are protected by ribonuclease cleavage in the presence of a ligand) and molecular docking analyses suggested that incorporation of a third RNA binding domain could enhance affinity and selectivity. Consequently, amino acids, natural RNA binders, were conjugated to neomycin-nucleobase derivatives leading to improved binding and inhibitory activity.<sup>23</sup> Notably, despite their selectivity for pre-miRNAs over tRNA and DNA, these ligands also bind to a small set of other pre-miRNAs. The specificity of biological activity for cells overexpressing miR-372, corroborated by other studies, indicates that selectivity strongly depends on intracellular target expression levels as well as on binding RNA in a functional site to effectively inhibit its function or processing. Strong binding to non-functional off- target sites may not result in any biological activity nor toxicity. Therefore, associating binding with function is crucial in designing biologically active, selective RNA binders and evaluating potential off-target implications.

 In a complementary approach, two-dimensional combinatorial screening (2-DCS) involves a large set 166 of compounds immobilized on microarrays and screened against thousands of RNA motifs, including bulges or internal loops.<sup>28</sup> 2-DCS was used to identify guanidilated aminoglycosides as specific 168 binders of RNA internal loops. Guanidilated neomycin (compound **5**, FIG. 2e) was found to bind a 5<sup>1</sup>-

169 AUACC/3'-UAAGG internal loop that is present in the precursor of oncogenic miR-10b and inhibit its production in cells with micromolar activity. Compound **5** was thus a specific ligand of pre-miR10b, 171 with the guanidinium groups probably playing an important role in RNA binding.<sup>29</sup>

 Positively charged compounds interact with RNA in a selective manner providing electrostatic interactions that are important for binding.<sup>30</sup> The association of these kind of compounds with moieties 175 able to form specific hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic interactions increases selectivity. Therefore, it 176 may be possible to use positively charged compounds to approach an RNA phosphate backbone, while coupled to structures able to establish specific hydrogen bonds or hydrophobic interactions for increased selectivity. The search for ligands whose main binding mode is not driven by the formation of electrostatic interactions led to the discovery of more drug-like and specific binders as it will be highlighted in the following sections.

 *[H2] Targeting secondary and tertiary RNA structures.* The second challenge in the discovery of RNA binders is the particular chemical space of the RNA targets that is different to the one of proteins. This calls for a new paradigm to design selective ligands using strategies that can be broadly divided into two categories: (i) the rational design of binders based on the identification of specific interactions that could be formed with the RNA structure and (ii) the use of high-throughput methodologies combining screening with bioinformatics and biophysics to identify new scaffolds. The success of these strategies relies heavily on a thorough understanding of the secondary and tertiary structures of the target, which play a critical role in determining potential binding pockets for ligands.

## *[H3] RNA binders by design*

 For the first strategy, a relevant example is represented by dimethylamiloride (DMA, compound **6** in FIG. 3a) that, compared with aminoglycosides, showed lower affinity but promising selectivity.<sup>31</sup> Iterative modifications at C(5)- and C(6)-positions allowed the establishment of strong interactions with the bulge regions of HIV-1 TAR RNA. Derivative **7** (FIG. 3a) demonstrated a 100-fold increase in activity over the parent compound in Tat peptide displacement assays against TAR.<sup>31</sup> This suggests a stronger binding in a site that is particularly important for Tat interaction. The same scaffold was modified to direct the ligands against other viral RNAs.<sup>32-34</sup> Some DMA analogs, such as compound **8** (FIG. 3a) showed promising antiviral activity by reducing SARS-CoV-2 virus load in 199 infected cells. These amiloride compounds bind to bulges and/or loop residues of 5'-UTR domains of 200 SARS-CoV-2 RNA genome owing to the interaction with specific binding sites.<sup>34</sup> Amilorides could thus represent promising chemical probes for understanding SARS-CoV-2 pathology, even if they generally show modest selectivity as they are also able to bind other RNAs or viral proteins.<sup>35</sup>

 Similar interactions were found for the triaminotriazine moiety that has been employed in the design of various DNA and RNA ligands and is responsible for the recognition of T-T and U-U mismatches.

 The two edges of the triazine heterocycle can form a full set of Janus-wedge hydrogen bonds with poorly paired uracil and thymine residues (FIG. 3b) or can bind one of the two nucleobases and displace the other one out of the helix. The addition of bisamidinium groove-binding linkers, as in compounds **9-11** enabled preparation of multimeric compounds to target DNA and RNA triplet repeat expansions, that are represented by abnormal repetition of three or more nucleotides in DNA and consequently in RNA. These have been linked to a number of genetic diseases since they induce the production of aberrant proteins. The presence of amidinium groups also added electrostatic interactions with the phosphate backbone in the major groove of double helix.<sup>36,37</sup> These compounds were specific for the CUG expanded triplet repeats,  $r(CUG)^{exp}$ , found in the 3'-untranslated region of the dystrophia myotonica protein kinase (DMPK) gene causing the myotonic dystrophy type 1 (DM1).<sup>38</sup> The pathology of DM1 is a result of splicing errors caused by these repeated RNA triplets, 217 thereby their targeting has been proposed for the discovery of treatments.<sup>39</sup> The biological evaluation 218 of compound 9 resulted in efficient inhibition of MBNL1-r( $CUG)^{exp}$  interaction with micromolar activities *in vitro* and in cells and paved the way to the synthesis of oligomers of compound **9** to 220 increase the potency by binding to multiple repeats.  $40,41$ 

 Conjugation of an acridine intercalator with a triaminotriazine scaffold led to compound **12** (FIG. 3d).<sup>42</sup> While the triazine heterocycle forms hydrogen bonds with poorly paired uracil residues, both 224 acridine and triaminotriazine moieties form important  $\pi$ -stacking interactions with paired bases, eventually increasing affinity and selectivity, as illustrated by the crystal structure of CTG DNA repeat expansion in complex with (FIG. 3d).<sup>43</sup> While, it is clear that these compounds are selective for  $r(CUG)^{exp}$  and  $r(CTG)^{exp}$ , they do not distinguish between DNA and RNA which limits their biological 228 applications.  $36,37$ 

230 Similarly, the naphthyridine scaffold has been employed in compounds with the aim of producing DNA and RNA molecular glues.<sup>44</sup> This compound can form specific hydrogen bonds with unpaired guanine and cytosine bases (FIG. 3e) and dimers have been prepared to control hybridization events <sup>233</sup> between two single-stranded DNAs and higher order RNA structures.<sup>45,46</sup> For instance, compounds **13**  and **14** (FIG. 3e) are able to bind to the loop residues inducing the dimerization of two pre-miRNAs structures. This tridimensional assembly prevents Dicer processing and represents an original mechanism of inhibition.<sup>47</sup> Other types of naphthyridine derivatives, such as cyclic mismatch binding 237 ligands (CMBLs), were recently reported as rationally designed ligands to target CGG repeats in **RNA.**<sup>48</sup>

## *[H3] RNA binders by high-throughput approaches*

 In the context of the second type of targeting approaches, based on high-throughput techniques, a remarkable study reported the integration of high-throughput sequencing with conventional SELEX (Systematic Evolution of Ligands by Exponential enrichment) followed by bioinformatic analysis was

 employed to identify hairpin loop sequences of pre-miRNA showing high binding affinity and specificity for a water-soluble cyclic mismatch binding ligand (FIG. 3f).<sup>49</sup> Binding was observed for both endogenous pre-miR-33a and pre-miR-24-2 comprising characteristic binding motifs. Dose- dependent decrease in the cleaved products formation was also observed in the presence of **15** for the *in vitro* Dicer cleavage reaction of pre-miR33a thus confirming the potential of such an approach, although no intracellular activity was reported.

 While chemically distinct from aminoglycosides, guanidilated and protonated compounds maintain interactions based on electrostatic forces, which can compromise selectivity. In this context, ribocil (compound **16**, FIG. 3g) marks a substantial shift towards specific RNA binders with drug-like properties.<sup>14</sup> Identified as an inhibitor of the flavin mononucleotide (FMN) riboswitch, ribocil targets these conserved prokaryotic RNAs that adjust gene expression upon binding to specific endogenous ligands. The FMN riboswitch regulates riboflavin concentrations and controls genes related to its biosynthesis and transport. A high-throughput screening highlighted the *S* enantiomer of ribocil as an effective inhibitor of FMN, reducing riboflavin levels in *E. coli.* Various analogs, including ribocil D (compound **17**, FIG. 3g), have been explored, revealing π-stacking interactions and crucial H-bonds 259 between the carbonyl, the 2'-OH of adenosine 48, and the exocyclic NH<sub>2</sub> of adenosine 99.<sup>50</sup> This suggests that RNA binding does not strictly require protonated groups if hydrogen bonds are established. Additional drug-like compounds, such as those binding to Xist, which plays a role in X 262 chromosome inactivation, further exemplify this trend.<sup>51,52</sup>

#### *[H3] Integration of high-throughput and rational strategies*

 From the examples above, it is clear that both the design based on the potential formed interactions and high-throughput approaches are efficient strategies to discover selective ligands. The more is known about RNA structure, the better the design and understanding of the interactions. A combination of all these approaches would thus be ideal for future discovery of efficient RNA binders.

 The closest approach to the rational design of RNA ligands based on the targeted structure is 270 represented by InfoRNA methodology that belongs to a series of recently developed discovery tools.<sup>53</sup> While most of these approaches, such as dynamic combinatorial chemistry (DCC) or DNA-encoded libraries (DEL) are still being explored (see BOX 2), InfoRNA played a crucial role in the discovery of new binders that have been validated *in vitro*, in cells and *in vivo*. InfoRNA methodology is based on the 2-DCS approach described above, combined with a structure–activity relationships through sequencing (StARTS) process – a statistical method for anticipating affinity and selectivity of RNA 276 Iibrary's compounds and so scores binding interactions.<sup>54</sup> This leads to the identification of the RNA motifs that are the most suitable targets of a particular small-molecule binder. Finally, the obtained database is mined against folded RNA structures within the human transcriptome to identify 279 potentially druggable RNA targets from sequence and the corresponding specific ligand.<sup>55</sup>

 The benzimidazole scaffold at the core of Hoechst derivatives that are very common fluorophores known to interact with nucleic acids by intercalation and it was found in many of the RNA binders <sup>282</sup> identified by Inforna.<sup>56</sup> A typical example is compound **18** (FIG. 3h) that was identified as a specific binder of miR-210 hairpin precursor. MiR-210 regulates hypoxia inducible factors (HIFs) that play critical roles in cancer maintenance since a hypoxic state is critical to the metastatic and invasive 285 features of most solid cancers.<sup>27</sup> Compound 18 was able to bind to pre-miR-210 and inhibit its processing toward mature miRNA through a specific interaction with 5'-ACU-3'/3'-UCA-5' internal 287 loop that represents its Dicer-cleavage site. This also induced a decrease of HIF-1 $\alpha$  and apoptosis of triple negative breast cancer.<sup>27</sup> This highly specific effect shows that Hoechst intercalators can be modified to specifically recognize a RNA region (sequence and structure) and to avoid off-target effects.

 In another remarkable example, compound **19** (FIG. 3i) was discovered with InfoRNA, to specifically interact with a 1 x 1 UU internal loop located in the cleavage site of Dicer on pre-miR-200c, while compound was discovered as it interacts with adjacent AU base pair.<sup>57</sup> MiR-200c belongs to the miR-200 family including five different members associated with type 2 diabetes (T2D). 295 Overexpression of miR-200c alone is sufficient to induce  $\beta$  cell apoptosis *in vivo*. Assembling compounds **19** and **20**, led to compound **21** that is remarkably specific for miR-200c with respect to the other members of the miR-200 family since it binds two different sites that are found only on pre- miR-200c. Binding of this small molecule inhibited the biogenesis of miR-200c in a cellular model of 299 T2D thus reducing the amount of the miRNA as well as  $\beta$  cell apoptosis. Compound 21 has no other targets across the miRnome, the transcriptome or the proteome, showing that conjugation of two ligands specific for two different RNA sites could direct binding on a unique region where these two sites are close and distinguish between similar pre-miRNAs sequences. Importantly, this compound is specifically targeting pre-miR-200c that could not be targeted using an oligonucleotide, this latter needing more extended single-stranded regions to bind. Using multiple methodologies, specific 305 compounds were identified to correct Tau pre-mRNA splicing linked to neurodegenerative diseases.<sup>58</sup> Use of InfoRNA applied to a library of RNA binders led to the identification of compound **22** (FIG. 3j). This was followed by a chemical similarity search for compounds bearing a chemical structure close to **22** that led to analog **23**. NMR and fluorescence assays built a pharmacophore model, leading to hit expansion and optimized compounds **24** and **25**. Compound **26** was finally obtained through molecular docking, and bears micromolar activity *in vitro*, in cells, and *in vivo*. The comparison of the 311 formed interaction between the three compounds and the targets, as studied by NMR and molecular docking, revealed that concomitant to the stacking interactions formed by the aromatic rings and the RNA nucleobases, The *N*-methylcyclohexylamine group of **26** appears to form van der Waals interactions with the wall of the major groove, probably increase affinity, specificity and eventually 315 the biological activity. This example highlights the importance of combining different techniques to optimize RNA ligands and understand the underlying molecular mechanism. InfoRNA is a suitable

 approach to identify RNA binders bearing a high and specific biological activity. The intracellular activity has also been directly related to the RNA target upon target engagement experiments that confirmed which intracellular RNA is responsible for the activity. In vivo confirmation of the activity further highlighted the efficacy of this methodology.

 In summary, the design of ligands tailored for the formation of strong interactions with the RNA target can be very efficient but can also lead to poorly specific binders. Integrated approaches, such as SELEX or InfoRNA as well as other methodologies described in Box 2, despite possible increased failure rates, present a higher potential for the discovery of specific ligands. Nucleobase targeting by small molecules predominantly relies on a structure-based approach over a sequence-based one. Successful interactions depend on the target's three-dimensional environment and the potential for 328 RNA to adapt conformationally, creating specific binding sites.<sup>59</sup> Therefore, understanding the relevant 3D structure is essential for the discovery of new ligands.

 *[H2] Targeting dynamic 3D RNA structure.* The secondary and tertiary RNA structures of the target and the pairing interactions involved in the formation of binding pockets, are strongly connected. A further level of concept complexity is added by the fact that in most cases the RNA target bears more than one possible conformation and that the conformations adopted in solution or in complex with the intracellular partners are of major importance for its function.<sup>12</sup> While the identification of strong (at least nanomolar) RNA binders is accessible, the determination of the biologically relevant RNA structure is essential for the design of selective binders. Notably, ligand binding to an RNA target can induce a conformational change, as demonstrated by the benzimidazole ligands of the IRES IIa domain in the HCV RNA genome or by naphthyridine carbamate dimers (NCD) against  $r(UGGAA)$ exp repeats in spinocerebellar ataxia type 31.<sup>59,60</sup> Binding could thus involve induced fit but also conformational selection mechanisms and this renders the understanding of the interactions more challenging as well as the design of ligands appropriate for a specific target.<sup>61-63</sup> Structural biology, biochemistry, biophysics and molecular modeling are thus essential fields for the design of efficient binders. Even if algorithms are available to predict the RNA base pairing and the resulting structure, experimental validation is essential to assess their reliability.

347 NMR remains a privileged technique to assess RNA structure and ligand binding.<sup>64</sup> These approaches 348 are mainly based on the observation of either the target or ligand <sup>1</sup>H nuclei, and have been developed 349 to study RNA dynamics (detection of dynamic sub-states) as well as RNA–ligand interactions.<sup>65,66</sup> As such, NMR studies allowed for the elucidation of risdiplam's mode of action, the first splicing modifier approved for clinical use in 2020 for the treatment of SMA and initially identified through 352 phenotypic screening.<sup>67</sup> The binding mode of SMN-C5, risdiplam's analog, is shown in FIG. 4a, and confirms that the compound acts by stabilizing a bulged adenine (A1). This induce a modified splicing  of Survival of Motor Neuron-2 protein (SMN2) that takes the place of the SMN1 defective protein. 355 Further to its ability to bind RNA through H-bonding and  $\pi$ -stacking interactions, risdiplam and its analogs stabilize the interaction between the 5' splice site and the U1 small nuclear ribonucleoprotein (snRNP) of the spliceosome, acting as a molecular glue between protein and RNA. <sup>1</sup>H NMR experiments can be difficult to interpret when studying larger strands of RNA and in this case, it is possible to use <sup>19</sup>F NMR to follow conformational changes and/or binding events. As an example, chiral fluorinated diaminocyclopentanes (such as **27**, FIG. 4b) could bind to different RNA structures leading to distinct <sup>19</sup>F NMR signal splittings.<sup>68</sup> Therefore, fluorinated ligands can be exploited as sensitive NMR probes to investigate dynamic RNA structures, delivering a topological footprint of the RNA. These small fluorinated reporters were also used for monitoring the binding of unlabeled RNA 364 with unlabeled ligands and to qualitatively rank different binders for a structured RNA.<sup>45</sup>

366 Among the techniques available to study RNA secondary and tertiary structures, selective 2'-hydroxyl acylation analyzed by primer extension (SHAPE) is largely applied to accurately identify paired and 368 unpaired bases on RNA sequences as well as transcriptome-wide.<sup>69,70</sup> Mutational profiling (SHAPE-369 MaP) makes the *de novo* and large-scale identification of RNA functional motifs possible.<sup>71</sup> Indeed, mutational profiling allows for the identification of sites prone to mutation that give a measure of local flexibility and dynamics at the nucleotide level. This helped in the understanding of actual structures that can be found *in vivo* and are ligands targets even if the dynamics of RNA and of ligand binding events make the design of compounds appropriate for the desired biological activity very difficult.<sup>72</sup> Recently, SHAPE chemical probing of RNA and fragment-based ligand discovery were combined to discover small fragments binding an RNA structure of interest, the thiamine pyrophosphate (TPP) riboswitch, and to identify the individual sites of binding at roughly nucleotide resolution.<sup>73</sup> The fragments were identified through a particularly clever workflow including a modular RNA screening construct containing two target motifs that allowed the assessment of affinity and specificity during the screening. The authors then linked fragments interacting with two different sites on the targeted RNA to efficiently obtain optimized compounds, such as compounds **28** and **29** (FIG. 4c). Without resemblance to the native ligand, they are not only druglike and have high ligand efficiency, but also able to modulate RNA conformation during co-transcriptional folding.

 A combination of artificial intelligence (AI)-augmented molecular simulations with experimental techniques such as SHAPE-MaPwas also recently employed to evaluate the predictability of RNA 386 dynamics and ligand binding events.<sup>74,12</sup> For cognate and synthetic ligands of PreQ1 riboswitch, unbiased molecular dynamics (MD) simulations with classical all-atom force-fields for RNA and water can provide the same flexibility profile as measured in SHAPE experiments. Since the time scales for ligand dissociation are far slower than MD, an AI-augmented MD method was developed and could successfully reproduce relative binding affinity measurements obtained experimentally.

 Furthermore, this methodology was able to highlight which nucleotides are essential for ligand dissociation in a reliable way. These interactions would have been difficult to identify otherwise as they are distant from the binding site and do not interact directly with the studied ligands.

395 Other structural biology approaches, especially crystallography and cryo-EM, can be employed to better understand the three-dimensional structure of the target together with its dynamics, but can rarely account for the entire conformational landscape of a particular RNA alone. It is thus important that a combination of approaches are used to achieve the goal of identifying specific ligands for functional structures towards a target biological output.

#### **[H1] New modalities for RNA binding**

 Recent strategies have enabled the design of ligands with enhanced features, such as covalent binding or cleavage properties, primarily driven by the need for target identification and validation. An efficient method in this regard is chemical cross-linking and isolation by pull-down (Chem-CLIP).<sup>75</sup> In this technique, an RNA-binding molecule is equipped with a chemical cross-linker, like nitrogen 406 mustard or diazirine, and a biotin purification tag (FIG. 5a).<sup>76</sup> Upon RNA binding, the cross linker is brought into high concentration and undergoes a proximity-based reaction. Resulting covalent adducts, purified *via* biotin, reveal the molecule's cellular targets. Chem-CLIP was employed to map binding sites against oncogenic pre-miR-21, leading to the identification of fragments from 460 diazirine compounds that bind RNA upon irradiation. Notably, combining promising fragments with a pre-miR- 21 binder produced compound **30** (FIG. 5b), which inhibited pre-miR-21 processing in breast cancer cells. Covalent RNA ligand binding offers a promising approach for ligand discovery and intracellular RNA target validation. Combined transcriptome-wide analyses can further elucidate intracellular targets, as evidenced by studies on PreQ1 riboswitch and QSOX1 (quiescin sulfhydryl oxidase 1) mRNA 5'-UTR binders.<sup>77,78</sup> Such research shows the feasibility of studying small molecule-RNA interactions in intact biological systems. and in an unbiased way.

 Combining cleavage activity with RNA binding is another strategy to increase the biological activity by permanently damage the RNA target. Inspiration for this came from RNase enzymes that cleave RNA owing to the presence of one or two histidine residues in their catalytic site. These residues act as acidic and basic imidazolium and imidazole units coordinating the phosphorous intermediate during the transition state together with other protonated side chains such as lysine (FIG. 5c) inducing the cleavage of the phosphodiester bond. Adding one or more histidine or imidazole residues to RNA ligands such as intercalators,<sup>79</sup> peptides,<sup>80</sup> or aminoglycosides<sup>81</sup> has also been applied as a strategy to induce RNA cleavage, with the specificity dependent on the selectivity of the RNA binder.

427 Bleomycin is a known compound able to induce DNA and RNA cleavage. It is a natural product extracted from *Streptomyces* and used in clinics as an anticancer agent with a complex mechanism of action which includes topoisomerase inhibition.<sup>82</sup> Bleomycin was also applied to RNA cleavage by studying its preferential cleavage site and identifying it in the precursors of miR-10b (FIG. 5d).<sup>83</sup> Cleavage occurred close to the Drosha and Dicer cleavage site, essential for the production of mature miRNA, and it was demonstrated *in vitro* and in cells to reduce the production of oncogenic miR-10b. Bleomycin was then conjugated to binders specific for a particular RNA target such as targaprimiR-96 (compound 31, FIG. 5b)<sup>84</sup> or ligands of r(CUG)<sup>exp</sup> to induce a specific cleavage and degradation of the **target.**<sup>85</sup>

 Altogether, these examples based on the conjugation of a covalent or cleavage moiety to an RNA ligand illustrate the value of these methodologies not only in target validation studies, but also to increase the actual biological effect when selectivity is not affected.

 Starting from these findings and observations, a recent innovation in RNA targeting is the development of ribonuclease targeting chimeras, or RIBOTACs.<sup>86</sup> These involve covalently linking a specific RNA ligand to a molecule that activates RNase L, an RNA-degrading enzyme (FIG. 5e). This approach has been applied to various RNA binders, including targapremir-210 (**18**, FIG. 3h) and has been explored for targeting the RNA genome of SARS-CoV2, highlighting its potential in RNA therapeutics.<sup>87</sup> Using InfoRNA, a binder was identified for the SARS-CoV-2 frameshifting element RNA, that bears a 1x1 nucleotide UU internal loop crucial for frameshifting function.<sup>87</sup> Subsequent detailed *in vitro* and *in cellulo* analysis led to the discovery of compound **32** (FIG. 5e), a ligand with nanomolar affinity that stabilized the RNA hairpin structure and inhibited frameshifting. Chem-CLIP was employed to ascertain the intracellular target of **32**, upon conjugation to a nitrogen mustard. Additionally, **32** was modified to create RIBOTAC **33** (FIG. 5b), which induces targeted RNA cleavage, in a similar manner to how PROTACs target proteins. This compound effectively degraded the entire SARS-CoV construct. Optimization of the RIBOTAC strategy enhanced the compound's bioactivity, as evidenced by intracellular luciferase reporter assays. This methodological combination of chemical modification and use of various assays facilitated the identification of specific ligands that effectively target cells without inducing toxicity. The question of the applicability of these complex tools *in vivo* remains open, but the numerous examples reported so far hold the promise for further 458 developments in an analogous fashion to what happened for PROTACs.<sup>88</sup> The combination of RNA binding and selectivity properties of a ligand with a chemical tool with covalent and/or cleavage properties certainly represents an interesting approach for chemical biology and medicinal chemistry 461 applications. This leads to compounds that move away from the commonly accepted drug-like properties<sup>5</sup> but recent developments show that these criteria need to remain flexible to allow for new modalities that may be beneficial for therapeutic discoveries.

#### **[H1] Conclusion and outlook**

 Here, we have explored design strategies and chemical approaches that have been used in recent years to approach RNA as a biological target. The understanding of RNA binders is continuously growing and major improvements are in progress thanks to innovation in this fascinating field. RNA that was once considered undruggable and almost impossible to be targeted specifically. However, it is now considered a valuable target for the discovery of chemical probes to better understand its numerous and elusive functions. Many efforts by the academic and private sector have aimed to expand the field of RNA targeting by small molecules. Despite the numerous developments that have been performed during the last decades, and even if the contribution for a better understanding of complex biological processes is undeniable, it remains hard to predict if RNA binders will fulfill all expectations and open new avenues for therapy. In this context, the future of the field is still uncertain, and more efforts are needed to assess whether RNA targeting with small molecules will actually open new avenues to answer important biological questions and discover new drugs.

 The analysis of the RNA binders reported so far enables the identification of some common features, such as the presence of protonated groups, the introduction of nitrogen-containing heteroaromatic moieties or nucleobases and often the use of sp3-rich compounds. These latter are particularly interesting in medicinal chemistry because they lead to better pharmacological profiles compared to 481 planar aromatic compounds, a concept that is called escape from flatland.<sup>89</sup> Drug-likeness has been achieved successfully, but it is essential to allow for new modalities outside the common drug-like landscape.

 The most important open question concerns the lack of a standard methodology to obtain the desired and specific biological effect with RNA-targeting small molecules. Despite relevant *in vivo* studies and a few clinical successes, many reported ligands lack proof of specificity in cells and *in vivo* and correlation between the observed biological effect and the specific targeting of the desired RNA. Further studies are therefore needed, especially target validation experiments, structural biology to determine the actual conformation and structure of the target and *in vivo* validation of the biological activity. Also, it is hard to distinguish between biological activity induced by pure RNA binders, by RNA-protein inhibitors or RNA-protein glues in a biological context where off target interactions could reduce the biological activity even without inducing toxic effects. This is of course true for all bioactive molecules, but is especially evident in the RNA-targeting field. A deep study of the site(s) of interaction and the accurate choice of these sites that must be functional to lead to activity, is an essential parameter to reach the desired activity. The first examples of the use of AI in the discovery of RNA binders have already been reported and it is likely that it will continue to play a role in the field.

 The tools presented here, including chemical strategies for the targeting of RNA primary, secondary and tertiary structures and innovative approaches to understand RNA binding, function and biological  outcome represent the main basis for what should be the next step: rational design of ligands for specific targets with potent biological activity. This will help to create new approaches to answer complex biological questions and open new avenues for therapy. The upcoming years will be decisive to confirm whether or not this optimistic view of the field is accurate.

## **References**

- 1 Childs-Disney, J. L. *et al.* Targeting RNA structures with small molecules. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **21**, 736-762 (2022).
- 2 Crooke, S. T., Baker, B. F., Crooke, R. M. & Liang, X. H. Antisense technology: an overview and prospectus. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **20**, 427-453 (2021).
- 3 Roberts, T. C., Langer, R. & Wood, M. J. A. Advances in oligonucleotide drug delivery. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **19**, 673-694 (2020).
- 4 Falese, J. P., Donlic, A. & Hargrove, A. E. Targeting RNA with small molecules: from fundamental principles towards the clinic. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **50**, 2224-2243 (2021).
- 5 Warner, K. D., Hajdin, C. E. & Weeks, K. M. Principles for targeting RNA with drug-like small molecules. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **17**, 547-558 (2018).
- 6 Zamani, F. & Suzuki, T. Synthetic RNA Modulators in Drug Discovery. *J. Med. Chem.* **64**, 7110- 7155 (2021).
- 7 Magnet, S. & Blanchard, J. S. Molecular insights into aminoglycoside action and resistance. *Chem. Rev.* **105**, 477-498 (2005).
- 8 Wilson, D. N. Ribosome-targeting antibiotics and mechanisms of bacterial resistance. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **12**, 35-48 (2014).
- 9 Thomas, J. R. & Hergenrother, P. J. Targeting RNA with small molecules. *Chem. Rev.* **108**, 1171-1224 (2008).
- 10 Ratni, H., Scalco, R. S. & Stephan, A. H. Risdiplam, the First Approved Small Molecule Splicing Modifier Drug as a Blueprint for Future Transformative Medicines. *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.* **12**, 874-877 (2021).
- 11 Di Giorgio, A. & Duca, M. Synthetic small-molecule RNA ligands: future prospects as therapeutic agents. *MedChemComm* **10**, 1242-1255 (2019).
- 12 Ganser, L. R. *et al.* High-performance virtual screening by targeting a high-resolution RNA dynamic ensemble. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **25**, 425-434 (2018).
- 13 Padroni, G., Patwardhan, N. N., Schapira, M. & Hargrove, A. E. Systematic analysis of the interactions driving small molecule-RNA recognition. *RSC Med. Chem.* **11**, 802-813 (2020).
- 14 Howe, J. A. *et al.* Selective small-molecule inhibition of an RNA structural element. *Nature* **526**, 672-677 (2015).
- 15 Wang, S., Huber, P. W., Cui, M., Czarnik, A. W. & Mei, H. Y. Binding of neomycin to the TAR element of HIV-1 RNA induces dissociation of Tat protein by an allosteric mechanism. *Biochemistry* **37**, 5549-5557 (1998).
- 16 Golkar, T. *et al.* Structural basis for plazomicin antibiotic action and resistance. *Commun. Biol.* **4**, 729 (2021).
- 17 Aradi, K., Di Giorgio, A. & Duca, M. Aminoglycoside Conjugation for RNA Targeting: Antimicrobials and Beyond. *Chem. Eur. J.* **26**, 12273-12309 (2020).
- 18 Bera, S., Mondal, D., Palit, S. & Schweizer, F. Structural modifications of the neomycin class of aminoglycosides. *MedChemComm*, 1499 (2016).
- 19 Ennifar, E. *et al.* Structure-guided discovery of a novel aminoglycoside conjugate targeting HIV-1 RNA viral genome. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **8**, 2509-2517 (2013).
- 20 Blount, K. F. & Tor, Y. A tale of two targets: differential RNA selectivity of nucleobase-aminoglycoside conjugates. *ChemBioChem* **7**, 1612-1621 (2006).
- 21 Gebert, L. F. R. & MacRae, I. J. Regulation of microRNA function in animals. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol.* **20**, 21-37 (2019).
- 22 Maucort, C. *et al.* Design and Implementation of Synthetic RNA Binders for the Inhibition of miR-21 Biogenesis. *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.* **12**, 899-906 (2021).
- 23 Vo, D. D. *et al.* Building of neomycin-nucleobase-amino acid conjugates for the inhibition of oncogenic miRNAs biogenesis. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **16**, 6262-6274 (2018).
- 24 Vo, D. D. *et al.* Targeting the production of oncogenic microRNAs with multimodal synthetic small molecules. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **9**, 711-721 (2014).
- 25 Vo, D. D. *et al.* Oncogenic MicroRNAs Biogenesis as a Drug Target: Structure-Activity Relationship Studies on New Aminoglycoside Conjugates. *Chem. Eur. J.* **22**, 5350-5362 (2016).
- 26 Malnuit, V., Duca, M. & Benhida, R. Targeting DNA base pair mismatch with artificial nucleobases. Advances and perspectives in triple helix strategy. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **9**, 326- 336 (2011).
- 27 Costales, M. G. *et al.* Small Molecule Inhibition of microRNA-210 Reprograms an Oncogenic Hypoxic Circuit. *J Am Chem Soc* **139**, 3446-3455 (2017).
- 28 Disney, M. D. *et al.* Two-dimensional combinatorial screening identifies specific aminoglycoside-RNA internal loop partners. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 11185-11194 (2008).
- 29 Velagapudi, S. P. & Disney, M. D. Two-dimensional combinatorial screening enables the bottom-up design of a microRNA-10b inhibitor. *Chem. Commun. (Camb)* **50**, 3027-3029 (2014).
- 30 Davis, B. *et al.* Rational design of inhibitors of HIV-1 TAR RNA through the stabilisation of electrostatic "hot spots". *J. Mol. Biol.* **336**, 343-356 (2004).
- 31 Patwardhan, N. N. *et al.* Amiloride as a new RNA-binding scaffold with activity against HIV-1 TAR. *MedChemComm* **8**, 1022-1036 (2017).
- 32 Davila-Calderon, J. *et al.* IRES-targeting small molecule inhibits enterovirus 71 replication via allosteric stabilization of a ternary complex. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 4775 (2020).
- 33 Patwardhan, N. N., Cai, Z., Newson, C. N. & Hargrove, A. E. Fluorescent peptide displacement as a general assay for screening small molecule libraries against RNA. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **17**, 1778-1786 (2019).
- 34 Zafferani, M. *et al.* Amilorides inhibit SARS-CoV-2 replication in vitro by targeting RNA structures. *Sci. Adv.* **7**, eabl6096 (2021).
- 35 Wilson, L., Gage, P. & Ewart, G. Hexamethylene amiloride blocks E protein ion channels and inhibits coronavirus replication. *Virology* **353**, 294-306 (2006).
- 36 Hagler, L. D. *et al.* Versatile Target-Guided Screen for Discovering Bidirectional Transcription Inhibitors of a Trinucleotide Repeat Disease. *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.* **12**, 935-940 (2021).
- 37 Hagler, L. D. *et al.* Expanded DNA and RNA Trinucleotide Repeats in Myotonic Dystrophy Type 1 Select Their Own Multitarget, Sequence-Selective Inhibitors. *Biochemistry* **59**, 3463- 3472 (2020).
- 38 Mirkin, S. M. Expandable DNA repeats and human disease. *Nature* **447**, 932-940 (2007).
- 39 Wong, C. H. *et al.* Targeting toxic RNAs that cause myotonic dystrophy type 1 (DM1) with a bisamidinium inhibitor. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **136**, 6355-6361 (2014).
- 40 Krueger, S. B., Lanzendorf, A. N., Jeon, H. H. & Zimmerman, S. C. Selective and Reversible Ligand Assembly on the DNA and RNA Repeat Sequences in Myotonic Dystrophy. *ChemBioChem* **23**, e202200260 (2022).
- 41 Pushechnikov, A. *et al.* Rational design of ligands targeting triplet repeating transcripts that cause RNA dominant disease: application to myotonic muscular dystrophy type 1 and spinocerebellar ataxia type 3. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **131**, 9767-9779 (2009).
- 42 Jahromi, A. H. *et al.* A novel CUG(exp).MBNL1 inhibitor with therapeutic potential for myotonic dystrophy type 1. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **8**, 1037-1043 (2013).
- 43 Chien, C. M. *et al.* Structural Basis for Targeting T:T Mismatch with Triaminotriazine-Acridine Conjugate Induces a U-Shaped Head-to-Head Four-Way Junction in CTG Repeat DNA. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **142**, 11165-11172 (2020).
- 44 Murata, A., Otabe, T., Zhang, J. & Nakatani, K. BzDANP, a Small-Molecule Modulator of Pre-miR-29a Maturation by Dicer. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **11**, 2790-2796 (2016).
- 45 Lombes, T. *et al.* Investigation of RNA-ligand interactions by 19F NMR spectroscopy using fluorinated probes. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **51**, 9530-9534 (2012).
- 46 Murata, A., Nakamori, M. & Nakatani, K. Modulating RNA secondary and tertiary structures by mismatch binding ligands. *Methods* **167**, 78-91 (2019).
- 47 Murata, A. *et al.* Small Molecule-Induced Dimerization of Hairpin RNA Interfered with the Dicer Cleavage Reaction. *Biochemistry* **60**, 245-249 (2021).
- 48 Konieczny, P. *et al.* Cyclic mismatch binding ligands interact with disease-associated CGG trinucleotide repeats in RNA and suppress their translation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **49**, 9479-9495 (2021).
- 49 Mukherjee, S. *et al.* HT-SELEX-based identification of binding pre-miRNA hairpin-motif for small molecules. *Mol. Ther. Nucleic Acids* **27**, 165-174 (2022).
- 50 Howe, J. A. *et al.* Atomic resolution mechanistic studies of ribocil: A highly selective unnatural ligand mimic of the E. coli FMN riboswitch. *RNA Biol.* **13**, 946-954 (2016).
- 51 Aguilar, R. *et al.* Targeting Xist with compounds that disrupt RNA structure and X inactivation. *Nature* **604**, 160-166 (2022).
- 52 Carrette, L. L. G. *et al.* A mixed modality approach towards Xi reactivation for Rett syndrome and other X-linked disorders. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **115**, E668-E675 (2018).
- 53 Ursu, A. *et al.* Design of small molecules targeting RNA structure from sequence. *Chem Soc Rev* **49**, 7252-7270 (2020).
- 54 Velagapudi, S. P., Seedhouse, S. J. & Disney, M. D. Structure-activity relationships through sequencing (StARTS) defines optimal and suboptimal RNA motif targets for small molecules. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **49**, 3816-3818 (2010).
- 55 Disney, M. D. *et al.* Inforna 2.0: A Platform for the Sequence-Based Design of Small Molecules Targeting Structured RNAs. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **11**, 1720-1728 (2016).
- 56 Velagapudi, S. P., Gallo, S. M. & Disney, M. D. Sequence-based design of bioactive small molecules that target precursor microRNAs. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **10**, 291-297 (2014).
- 57 Haniff, H. S. *et al.* A structure-specific small molecule inhibits a miRNA-200 family member precursor and reverses a type 2 diabetes phenotype. *Cell Chem. Biol.* **29**, 300-311 e310 (2022).
- 58 Chen, J. L. *et al.* Design, Optimization, and Study of Small Molecules That Target Tau Pre-mRNA and Affect Splicing. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **142**, 8706-8727 (2020).
- 59 Dibrov, S. M. *et al.* Structure of a hepatitis C virus RNA domain in complex with a translation inhibitor reveals a binding mode reminiscent of riboswitches. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **109**, 5223-5228 (2012).
- 60 Shibata, T. *et al.* Small molecule targeting r(UGGAA)(n) disrupts RNA foci and alleviates disease phenotype in Drosophila model. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 236 (2021).
- 61 Boehr, D. D., Nussinov, R. & Wright, P. E. The role of dynamic conformational ensembles in biomolecular recognition. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **5**, 789-796 (2009).
- 62 Nakatani, K. Possibilities and challenges of small molecule organic compounds for the treatment of repeat diseases. *Proc. Jpn. Acad. Ser. B Phys. Biol. Sci.* **98**, 30-48 (2022).
- 63 Vogt, A. D. & Di Cera, E. Conformational selection or induced fit? A critical appraisal of the kinetic mechanism. *Biochemistry* **51**, 5894-5902 (2012).
- 64 Bailor, M. H., Mustoe, A. M., Brooks, C. L., 3rd & Al-Hashimi, H. M. Topological constraints: using RNA secondary structure to model 3D conformation, folding pathways, and dynamic adaptation. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **21**, 296-305 (2011).
- 65 Marusic, M., Toplishek, M. & Plavec, J. NMR of RNA Structure and interactions. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **79**, 102532 (2023).
- 66 Shortridge, M. D. *et al.* Drug-Like Small Molecules That Inhibit Expression of the Oncogenic MicroRNA-21. *ACS Chem Biol* **18**, 237-250 (2023).
- 67 Campagne, S. *et al.* Structural basis of a small molecule targeting RNA for a specific splicing correction. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **15**, 1191-1198 (2019).
- 68 Moumne, R. *et al.* Fluorinated diaminocyclopentanes as chiral sensitive NMR probes of RNA structure. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **132**, 13111-13113 (2010).
- 69 Bevilacqua, P. C., Ritchey, L. E., Su, Z. & Assmann, S. M. Genome-Wide Analysis of RNA Secondary Structure. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **50**, 235-266 (2016).
- 70 Deigan, K. E., Li, T. W., Mathews, D. H. & Weeks, K. M. Accurate SHAPE-directed RNA structure determination. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 97-102 (2009).
- 71 Siegfried, N. A., Busan, S., Rice, G. M., Nelson, J. A. & Weeks, K. M. RNA motif discovery by SHAPE and mutational profiling (SHAPE-MaP). *Nat. Methods* **11**, 959-965 (2014).
- 72 Weeks, K. M. SHAPE Directed Discovery of New Functions in Large RNAs. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **54**, 2502-2517 (2021).
- 73 Zeller, M. J. *et al.* SHAPE-enabled fragment-based ligand discovery for RNA. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **119**, e2122660119 (2022).
- 74 Wang, Y., Parmar, S., Schneekloth, J. S. & Tiwary, P. Interrogating RNA-Small Molecule Interactions with Structure Probing and Artificial Intelligence-Augmented Molecular Simulations. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **8**, 741-748 (2022).
- 75 Velagapudi, S. P., Li, Y. & Disney, M. D. A cross-linking approach to map small molecule-RNA binding sites in cells. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* **29**, 1532-1536 (2019).
- 76 Suresh, B. M. *et al.* A general fragment-based approach to identify and optimize bioactive ligands targeting RNA. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **117**, 33197-33203 (2020).
- 77 Balaratnam, S. *et al.* A chemical probe based on the PreQ(1) metabolite enables transcriptome-wide mapping of binding sites. *Nat Commun* **12**, 5856 (2021).
- 78 Tong, Y. *et al.* Transcriptome-Wide Mapping of Small-Molecule RNA-Binding Sites in Cells Informs an Isoform-Specific Degrader of QSOX1 mRNA. *J Am Chem Soc* **144**, 11620-11625 (2022).
- 79 Vlassov, V. V., Zuber, G., Felden, B., Behr, J. P. & Giege, R. Cleavage of tRNA with imidazole and spermine imidazole constructs: a new approach for probing RNA structure. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **23**, 3161-3167 (1995).
- 80 Tamkovich, N. *et al.* Design, RNA cleavage and antiviral activity of new artificial ribonucleases derived from mono-, di- and tripeptides connected by linkers of different hydrophobicity. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **24**, 1346-1355 (2016).
- 81 Martin, C. *et al.* Design, Synthesis, and Evaluation of Neomycin-Imidazole Conjugates for RNA Cleavage. *ChemPlusChem* **87**, e202200250 (2022).
- 82 Hecht, S. M. Bleomycin: new perspectives on the mechanism of action. *J Nat Prod* **63**, 158- 168 (2000).
- 83 Angelbello, A. J. & Disney, M. D. Bleomycin Can Cleave an Oncogenic Noncoding RNA. *ChemBioChem* **19**, 43-47 (2018).
- 689 84 Li, Y. & Disney, M. D. Precise Small Molecule Degradation of a Noncoding RNA Identifies Cellular Binding Sites and Modulates an Oncogenic Phenotype. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **13**, 3065- 3071 (2018).
- 85 Angelbello, A. J. *et al.* Precise small-molecule cleavage of an r(CUG) repeat expansion in a myotonic dystrophy mouse model. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **116**, 7799-7804 (2019).
- 86 Liu, X. *et al.* Targeted Degradation of the Oncogenic MicroRNA 17-92 Cluster by Structure-Targeting Ligands. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **142**, 6970-6982 (2020).
- 87 Haniff, H. S. *et al.* Targeting the SARS-CoV-2 RNA Genome with Small Molecule Binders and Ribonuclease Targeting Chimera (RIBOTAC) Degraders. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **6**, 1713-1721 (2020).
- 88 Li, K. & Crews, C. M. PROTACs: past, present and future. *Chem Soc Rev* **51**, 5214-5236 (2022).
- 89 Lovering, F., Bikker, J. & Humblet, C. Escape from flatland: increasing saturation as an approach to improving clinical success. *J Med Chem* **52**, 6752-6756 (2009).
- 90 Morgan, B. S. & Hargrove, A. E. Synthetic Receptors for Biomolecules: Design Principles and Applications. *The Royal Society of Chemistry*, 253-325 (2015).
- 91 Kenyon, J., Prestwood, L. & Lever, A. Current perspectives on RNA secondary structure probing. *Biochem Soc Trans* **42**, 1251-1255 (2014).
- 92 Batey, R. T., Rambo, R. P. & Doudna, J. A. Tertiary Motifs in RNA Structure and Folding. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* **38**, 2326-2343 (1999).
- 93 Butcher, S. E. & Pyle, A. M. The molecular interactions that stabilize RNA tertiary structure: RNA motifs, patterns, and networks. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **44**, 1302-1311 (2011).
- 94 Jones, C. P. & R., F.-D. A. A. RNA quaternary structure and global symmetry. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences* **40**, 211-220 (2015).
- 95 Donlic, A. *et al.* R-BIND 2.0: An Updated Database of Bioactive RNA-Targeting Small Molecules and Associated RNA Secondary Structures. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **17**, 1556-1566 (2022).
- 96 Morgan, B. S., Forte, J. E., Culver, R. N., Zhang, Y. & Hargrove, A. E. Discovery of Key Physicochemical, Structural, and Spatial Properties of RNA-Targeted Bioactive Ligands. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **56**, 13498-13502 (2017).
- 97 Xie, J. & Frank, A. T. Mining for Ligandable Cavities in RNA. *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.* **12**, 928-934 (2021).
- 98 Murray, C. W. & Rees, D. C. The rise of fragment-based drug discovery. *Nat. Chem.* **1**, 187- **192 (2009).**
- 99 Umuhire Juru, A., Cai, Z., Jan, A. & Hargrove, A. E. Template-guided selection of RNA ligands using imine-based dynamic combinatorial chemistry. *Chem. Commun. (Camb)* **56**, 3555-3558 (2020).
- 100 Rzuczek, S. G., Park, H. & Disney, M. D. A toxic RNA catalyzes the in cellulo synthesis of its own inhibitor. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **53**, 10956-10959 (2014).
- 101 Benhamou, R. I. *et al.* DNA-encoded library versus RNA-encoded library selection enables design of an oncogenic noncoding RNA inhibitor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **119** (2022).
- 102 Rizvi, N. F. *et al.* Discovery of Selective RNA-Binding Small Molecules by Affinity-Selection Mass Spectrometry. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **13**, 820-831 (2018).

#### **Acknowledgements**

- The authors thank the Italian Institute of Technology (IIT) and Université Côte d'Azur for financial
- support to M.P. as PhD fellowship, respectively. The authors also thank BoostUrCareer COFUND
- project with Région PACA and European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program
- under grant agreement no. 847581 for PhD fellowship to S.K.

#### **Author contributions**

- **All authors researched data for the article. S.K., M.P. contributed substantially to the illustrations describing the reported examples. M.D., S.A., A.D.G contributed substantially to discussion of the content. M.D. wrote the article. All authors reviewed and/or edited the manuscript before submission.**
- **Competing interests**
- **The authors declare no competing interests.**
- **Peer review information**
- *Nature Reviews Chemistry* **thanks Matthew Disney, Jessica Bush, and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.**
- 

Fig. 1. **| Historical view of RNA ligands as marketed drugs.** Timeline illustrating the main landmarks in the field of RNA

targeting with small molecules and examples of marketed drugs.

 Fig. 2 | **RNA binders based on aminoglycoside structure. a |** On the left, crystal structure of plazomicin **1** within its A-site binding site. The ligand is shown in orange sticks while contact residues are shown in light blue sticks, H-bonds are shown as black dashes (PDB ID: 71H5). On the right, 2D representation of plazomicin and the contact nucleotides in the binding site. **b |** On the left, crystal structure of neomycin within the DIS kissing complex, target residue for specific interaction A16 is shown as blue sticks (PDB ID: 2FCY). Comparison between the H-bond A16-Neomycin-ring I and A16-Neomycin-thymine is shown below. On the right 2D representation of Neomycin-Thymine conjugate **2** and the contact nucleotides in the binding site. **c |** Neomycin-nucleobase conjugates **3**; **d |** Neomycin-artificial nucleobase conjugate **4** and its binding to stem-loop structured precursor of oncogenic miR-372 as confirmed by footprinting and molecular docking. **4** interacts predominantly at the stem region (U12–G14 and A40-G42) close to the site of cleavage by Dicer. **e |** Guanidilated neomycin **5** identified upon 2-DCS as a specific binder of the internal loop of pre-miR-10b.

 Fig. 3. | **RNA binders targeting secondary structures. a |** Compound **6** optimization led to **7** and **8**; **b |** Janus-wedge hydrogen bonds formed by the triaminotriazine moiety; **c |** Cartoon representation of a CUG repeat (PDB ID: 3GM7) with highlighted the binding residues for compounds **9-11** in sticks; **d |** Compound **12** binding to DNA CTG repeats: the triaminotriazine forms H-bonds with T10 inducing a displacement of T4 (represented in dark blue sticks) while the acridine intercalates between T10 and C3-G11 pair (PDB ID: 6M4T); **e |** Naphthyridines **13-15** forming H-bonds with C and G; **f |** Ribocil (**16**) and Ribocil-D (**17**) chemical structures and binding mode in FMN riboswitch (PDB ID: 5KX9). **g |** InfoRNA pipeline led to Targapremir-210 (**18**); **h |** Discovery of compound **21** starting from **19** binding to the U-U internal loop, and **20** binding to the adjacent U-A pair; **i |** Structure-based drug design of **26**. The apo NMR structure bearing the A bulge (highlighted in light orange) is shown on the left (PDB ID: 6VA1). The complex between **22** and the RNA results in A6 displacement and in the design of **26** whose binding mode is shown on the left (PDB ID 6VA4).

 Fig. 4. | **NMR and SHAPE methodologies for RNA structure determination. a** | **SMN C5** molecular glue between U1 snRNA (represented in light blue) and E7 5' SS (represented in white) by stabilizing a bulged A (white sticks) inside the duplex. Binding mode determined by NMR (PDB ID:6HMO); **b** | Fluorinated probe **27** binds to different RNA conformations existing in solution and thus the conformational ensemble can be studied by <sup>19</sup>F NMR. Upon binding of the  $\frac{774}{4}$  unmarked ligand, the selection of the bound conformation and the perturbation of the equilibrium can be monitored by  $\frac{19}{2}$ F NMR; **c** | Cartoon representation of SHAPE-MaP methodology. Binding events perturb SHAPE signal and the comparison between bound and unbound structure leads to identification of the binding nucleotides (indicated in green). Binding fragments **1** and **2** are next linked together to improve binding affinity, leading to compound **28**. Binding mode elucidation of **28** by X-ray inside the TPP riboswitch (PDB ID: 7TZU, ligand **28** represented with green sticks, key contact nucleotides are shown) and subsequent structure based molecular modeling led to the design of optimized compound **29**.

 Fig. 5 | **Achieving RNA binding with additional functionalities. a |** Chem-CLIP methodology for target engagement or binding site mapping through covalent binding; **b |** RNA ligands conjugated with imidazole are able to induce RNA cleavage, the mechanism is shown in the grey box; **c |** Bleomycin conjugated to efficient RNA ligands induces cleavage of the RNA target; **d |** Ribonuclease targeting chimeras (RIBOTACs) are bivalent compounds able to recruit RNases and induce RNA cleavage**; e |** Chemical structures of pre-miR-21 binder **30** identified via Chem-CLIP-Frag-Map, Bleomycin conjugate of targapri-miR96 **31** and RIBOTAC **32** against the SARS-CoV-2 RNA genome.

## **Box 1 – Primary, secondary and tertiary structures of RNA.**

 Ribonucleic acids (RNAs) are multifaceted molecules that accomplish various tasks in living cells by acquiring complex and unique 3D structures. They are formed through different levels of organization, including primary, secondary, tertiary, and quaternary structures and provide useful sites for selective identification by proteins or small molecules. The primary structure of RNA consists of a linear sequence of nucleotides, each comprising a nucleobase, a ribose sugar, and a phosphate group that links the sugars through phosphodiester bonds. The four nucleobases: guanine (G), adenine (A), cytosine (C), and uracil (U), attach to the backbone with, respectively, their N9 for purines (A and G) <sup>796</sup> and their N1 for pyrimidines (C and U) and the ribose sugars.<sup>90</sup>

- RNA secondary structures result from hydrogen bonds between nucleobases, forming various folding
- patterns. The most common secondary structure is the Watson-Crick-Franklin canonical base pairing
- between A-U and C-G base pairs, resulting in RNA double helices with an A-form, instead of the B-
- form found in DNA due to a hydroxyl group at the 2' position of the sugar. Other secondary structures
- 801 such as internal loops, hairpin loops, and bulges are formed by single-stranded sections of 802 nucleotides.<sup>91</sup> Overall, secondary structures are behind the formation of 3D arrangements of RNA, 803 called tertiary structures.  $92,93$
- RNA tertiary structures arise from connections between secondary structures, leading to specific 805 tridimensional arrangements. The stacking of bases increases the likelihood of these interactions, leading to complex structures such as pseudoknots and kissing loop complexes.<sup>94</sup> G-quadruplexes, 807 riboswitches and triple helices are also part of RNA tertiary structures. RNA quaternary structures occur when RNA interacts with other biomolecules to carry out its biological function.
- 

#### <sup>811</sup> **Box 2 - Integrated technologies for the discovery of RNA ligands**

812 Alongside the development of predictive approaches and chemoinformatic tools to describe the main 813 features for RNA targeting, such as R-BIND (RNA-targeted Bloactive LigaNd Database), innovative <sup>814</sup> screening methodologies have been developed for the discovery of RNA binders and have been  $815$  integrated to the methodologies described in this manuscript.<sup>95-97</sup> Fragment-based drug discovery  $816$  (FBDD),<sup>98</sup> coupled to Chem-CLIP or SHAPE, has been particularly useful for the discovery of 817 original chemical scaffolds.<sup>73,76</sup>

818 Dynamic combinatorial chemistry (DCC, a) is a powerful strategy to identify specific bioactive 819 compounds. Compounds able to assemble and form a dynamic library *via* a reversible reaction are put  $\frac{1}{20}$  in the presence of the biological target to favor the formation of the strongest binder(s).<sup>99</sup> In situ 821 approaches have also provided informative results. Potent modulators of RNA function can be <sup>822</sup> assembled *in cellulo* by using cells as a reaction vessel and a disease-causing RNA as a catalyst.<sup>100</sup>

823 The use of DNA-encoded libraries (DEL, b) has been applied in the search for RNA binders. For 824 example, a DNA-encoded library was screened against a library of RNA structures to evaluate 300 825 million interactions in total, allowed for the identification of numerous ligand/target pairs.<sup>101</sup>

826 High-throughput screenings have been also been applied to the discovery of RNA binders. Alongside 827 phenotypic screenings, various recent innovative methodologies have been reported. Among them,

828 Automated Ligand Detection System (ALIS, c), an indirect affinity-selection mass spectrometry (AS-

829 MS) has been employed for the high-throughput screening of unbiased libraries of small molecules for

830 ncRNAs interactions.<sup>102</sup>.<sup>52</sup> Catalytic enzyme-linked click chemistry assays (cat-ELCCA) have also

831 been applied to the screening for compounds able to inhibit pre-let7/Lin28 interactions. The main

<sup>832</sup> advantages of these assays are the robustness, the high sensitivity owing to catalytic signal

833 amplification and the negligible compound interference compared to other fluorescence-based assays.

834

## **Short summary**

837 This review highlights the strategies and challenges for targeting RNA with small molecules in 838 medicinal chemistry. It emphasizes their potential as drugs and tools for understanding complex 839 biological processes while encouraging chemists to contribute to this field for future advances.