

MuPET-Flow: Multiple Ploidy Estimation Tool from Flow cytometry data

C. Gómez-Muñoz, G. Fischer

▶ To cite this version:

C. Gómez-Muñoz, G. Fischer. MuPET-Flow: Multiple Ploidy Estimation Tool from Flow cytometry data. 2024. hal-04797474

HAL Id: hal-04797474 https://hal.science/hal-04797474v1

Preprint submitted on 22 Nov 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 MuPET-Flow: Multiple Ploidy Estimation Tool from Flow

2 cytometry data

- 3
- 4 **Keywords:** Cytometry, ploidy, genome, software, graphical user interface.

5

6 Gómez-Muñoz C.*, Fischer G.

7

- 8 Laboratory of Computational and Quantitative Biology, Centre National de la Recherche
- 9 Scientifique (CNRS), Institut de Biologie Paris-Seine (IBPS), Sorbonne Université, Paris F-
- 10 75005, France
- 11 *Corresponding author
- 12 Email address: cintia.gomez_munoz@sorbonne-universite.fr

13

ABSTRACT

14 Summary:

Ploidy, representing the number of homologous chromosome sets, can be estimated from 15 16 flow cytometry data acquired on cells stained with a fluorescent DNA dye. This estimation 17 relies on a combination of tools that often require scripting, individual sample curation, and additional analyses. To automate the ploidy estimation for multiple flow cytometry files, 18 we developed MuPET-Flow—a Shiny graphical user interface tool. MuPET-Flow allows 19 users to visualize cell fluorescence histograms, detect the peaks corresponding to the 20 21 different cell cycle phases, perform a linear regression using standards, make ploidy or 22 genome size predictions, and export results as figures and table files. The tool was benchmarked with known ploidy datasets, yielding consistent ploidy results. MuPET-Flow 23 produces ploidy results comparable to those from other available tools; however, MuPET-24 25 Flow stands out for its user-friendly interface, which allows the whole process to be carried 26 out in one place, considerably speeding up analysis, particularly in projects involving large 27 numbers of samples.

Availability and implementation: https://github.com/CintiaG/MuPET-Flow.

29

INTRODUCTION

Ploidy (n) is the number of homologous chromosome sets in a cell. Cells can be haploid if they have one set (1n), diploid if they have two (2n), or polyploid if they have three or more (\geq 3n). Polyploidy exists in several branches of the tree of life (Otto and Whitton, 2000), notably in the *Saccharomyces* genus (Peter *et al.*, 2018; Gómez-Muñoz *et al.*, 2021), and in plants (Čertner *et al.*, 2022).

35 Flow cytometry (FC) allows measuring the fluorescence of single cells stained with a DNA intercalant, such as propidium iodide (PI). When this fluorescence is plotted against 36 37 the cell count (histogram of fluorescence intensity), usually two peaks corresponding to the 38 different cell cycle stages, G0/G1 and G2, are recognizable. The peaks' fluorescence intensity is proportional to the DNA content, which allows inferring both ploidy and 39 genome size. To achieve this, the peaks' fluorescence of query samples needs to be 40 identified and then compared to that of known standards. Such standards can be run 41 42 separately (external standardization) or within the sample (internal standardization) (Todd et al., 2018; Sliwinska et al., 2022). 43

Several software tools for FC data analysis exist. Proprietary software, such as FlowJo (Becton Dickinson & Company, USA), are usually tied to the equipment or require payment. Open-source software, developed in R and Python programming languages, are freely available and have the potential to automate the production of publication-ready images but typically lack a graphical user interface (GUI) and involve scripting, which might be daunting for some users. For the specific case of peaks detection and ploidy estimation, two open-source tools deserve special mention as they offer a GUI. On one

hand, Cytoflow (Teague, 2022), implemented in Python, is a versatile FC data analysis package. In this software, data can be loaded and visualized through a GUI. However, it requires manual configuration, and the formal analysis must be conducted outside the software (Table S1). On the other hand, flowPloidy, implemented in R (Smith *et al.*, 2018), is a tool that provides powerful peaks and debris fitting, as well as gating, facilitated by the inclusion of an R Shiny GUI (Chang *et al.*, 2023). Nevertheless, it still involves scripting, and individual sample curation (Table S1).

58 Given the importance of FC in ploidy estimation and the limitations of the existing 59 tools, we developed MuPET-Flow (Multiple Ploidy Estimation Tool from Flow cytometry 60 data). MuPET-Flow is a GUI tool that automates file uploading and configuration, peak 61 fluorescence intensity detection, multiple histogram visualizations, peak error curation, 62 ploidy and genome size calculations, and easy results export (Table S1).

We benchmarked our tool using *Saccharomyces* datasets and demonstrated its applicability in plant species for ploidy and genome size estimation. Additionally, we compared MuPET-Flow to Cytoflow and flowPloidy. MuPET-Flow shares several features and advantages with these existing tools (Table S1), but its novelty consists in automating and combining features that usually exist separately, as discussed below. Notably, MuPET-Flow is the only tool that allows full and simple in-app calculation of ploidy. MuPET-FC is available at https://github.com/CintiaG/MuPET-FC.

70

MATERIALS AND METHODS

71 Flow cytometry data acquisition

72 S. cerevisiae strains included two natural polyploids CLQCA_17-111 (abbreviated as CRE; 73 3n) and CH10 (abbreviated as AVQ; 5n), used as test, and four laboratory strains, BY4742 (1n), BY4743 (2n), YPS128 3n (3n), and YPS128 4n (4n), serving as standards. Three 74 biological replicates of yeast cells were cultured in YPD media (Sigma-Aldrich, USA), 75 76 fixed in ethanol 70% and washed twice with Phosphate-buffered saline solution 1X. 77 Subsequently, the cells were treated with RNAse A (EUROMEDEX, France) at 1 mg/mL 78 at 37°C for 2h, and stained with PI (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) at 50 µg/mL. Fluorescence was measured in the MACSQuant VYB system (Miltenyi Biotec, Germany), 79 80 in channel FL4-A (605-625 nm). Files were gated by FSC-A vs SSC-A and FSC-A vs FSC-H using CytoExploreR (Hammill, 2021). The FC files generated are available on MuPET-81 Flow's GitHub. Saccharomyces pastorianus files were obtained from Gómez-Muñoz et al. 82 (2021), while Solanum pseudocapsicum files (Čertner et al., 2022) were downloaded from 83 the FlowRepository (ID FR-FCM-Z45W; Spidlen et al., 2012). 84

85

Tool description and performance evaluation

MuPET-Flow is implemented in the R package Shiny (Chang *et al.*, 2023) and comprises three tabs (Fig. 1). On the first tab, 'Peaks', multiple local Flow Cytometry Standard (FCS) files can be uploaded through the GUI using internally flowCore (Hahne *et al.*, 2009). MuPET-Flow automatically scans the files for available channels and displays them in and dropdown menu, from which one must be selected. The tool then estimates the number of breaks (minimum 256) and automatically generates a histogram in the chosen channel for

92 all samples. A 'Local Polynomial Regression Fitting' is applied for histograms smoothing (default 0.5). Peaks are detected using a local maximum algorithm by employing a sliding 93 window of a given width (default 50). Optionally, individual files can be selected through a 94 95 dropdown menu to display the sample's histogram and peak detection results, and the 96 smoothing level and window width adjusted by a numeric input menu. The minimum cell 97 count to call peak can be selected (default 5). The algorithm assumes that only the GO/G198 and G2 populations exist, and only two peaks can be used for the subsequent steps. 99 However, additional detected peaks can be explored and selected through a checkbox.

In the second tab, 'Regression', MuPET-Flow estimates ploidy or genome size using a linear regression based on external standards. Therefore, the type of analysis, the number of standards files, and their corresponding values should be specified. A minimum of two distinct standards is necessary. The two peaks of the standards are considered for linear regression, and the ploidy or genome size of both peaks of the test samples is predicted. The linear regression results are displayed in a text box.

Finally, all generated histograms can be previewed in the third tab called 'Summary'. In case not all of the samples were individually inspected and an error is found, it is possible to return to the first tab to review any parameters and selected peaks, provided that regression is redone as well. All used parameters, such as 'smoothing' and 'window', as well as the mean ploidy or genome size (presented as decimal and rounded values), are reported. The histograms can be saved as a figure (PNG or TIFF), and the table as a comma-separated value file (CSV).

113 The peaks' fluorescence of the *S. cerevisiea* dataset was also determined using Cytoflow 114 (Teague, 2022) and flowPloidy (Smith *et al.*, 2018), following their instructions. The

ploidies were obtained by applying the same principle as MuPET-Flow (linear regression). 115 These results were compared with a paired Wilcoxon signed rank exact test. To assess the 116 tool's execution time, we generated a mock dataset by duplicating the files of the six S. 117 118 cerevisiae analyzed strains for all replicates resulting in 36 FCS files (considered a 119 manageable number for visualization) with a total size of 119 MB. Using the R 'system.time' function, the user, system, and elapsed mean times of three runs were 120 121 obtained for the main application processes. Full computer specifications for developing and testing MuPET-Flow are in Table S2. 122

123

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

124 Benchmarking with newly generated S. cerevisiae FC data

To test our application, we determined the ploidy of two S. cerevisiae polyploids, CRE and 125 AVQ, from newly obtained PI-stained cells FC data. The histograms of the two tested 126 127 strains and four standards were visualized simultaneously (Fig. S1), and the smoothing and window were adjusted for a few samples (Table S3). The estimated peaks' intensities and 128 the inferred ploidy by linear regression are reported in Table S3. The fluorescence intensity 129 130 varied among the biological replicates; however, there was a good agreement in the mean ploidy for CRE and AVQ in the triplicates. Similarly, the rounded ploidy of the tested 131 strains was identical to that reported by Peter et al. (2018). Additionally, we examined the 132 execution time over the S. cerevisiea mock dataset consisting of 36 files with MuPET-Flow. 133 134 The total user time for MuPET-Flow's main processes was less than 2 seconds (Table S2).

App testing with published data in other species 135

136 To further assess the app's performance, we challenged it with FC data originating from different instruments, fluorophore technology, and species. We utilized two previously 137 published cytometry datasets, one from the yeast S. pastorianus (Gómez-Muñoz et al., 138 2021) for genome size estimation and another from the plant S. pseudocapsicum (Čertner et 139 140 al., 2022) for ploidy determination. In the S. pastorianus dataset, we obtained a genome size of the query strain S. pastorianus 790 of 58.64 Mb, close to the 60.1 Mb previously 141 estimated (Table S4; Gómez-Muñoz et al., 2021). For S. pseudocapsicum, multiple peaks 142 143 were detected in the fruit skin file. The ploidy of the highest intensity peaks was 144 investigated, revealing correspondence with the 8n peak previously reported for this tissue (Table S5; Čertner et al., 2022). Thus, MuPET-Flow is primarily developed to estimate 145 ploidy in yeast; however, it can also be applied to measure genome size and in other species. 146

147

MuPET-Flow comparison with open-source tools for ploidy estimation

To emphasize the advantages of MuPET-Flow's pipeline, we compared it with the pipelines 148 149 of Cytoflow and flowPloidy, focusing on their ability to calculate ploidy over the S. 150 cerevisiea dataset. We examined whether the peaks' fluorescences and ploidies obtained with MuPET-Flow differed from those obtained with Cytoflow and flowPloidy. We 151 observed a significant difference (p < 0.05) in the peaks' fluorescence between MuPET-152 Flow and flowPloidy, as well as between Cytoflow and flowPloidy, but not between 153 154 MuPET-Flow and Cytoflow. However, no differences were observed in the inferred ploidy values across the three tools (Table S6). 155

Compared to MuPET-Flow, Cytoflow (Teague, 2022) requires extensive manual 156 configuration and using the 1D mixture model for detection of the different peaks' 157 fluorescence. However, the number of peaks for the model needs to be specified, and the 158 same number is applied to all samples. Additionally, for ploidy estimation, the data needs 159 160 to be exported and analyzed outside the software (Table S1). Likewise, FlowPloidy explicitly necessitates detecting channels, specifying the number of breaks for histograms, 161 162 and saving the images via scripting. Furthermore, failed models needed to be inspected and corrected individually. Finally, flowPloidy is principally intended for calculating plant 163 genome size with internal standards. When external standards are used, the peaks' means 164 need to be exported and the ploidy calculated through additional operations (Table S1). 165

166 On the contrary, MuPET-Flow is an easy-to-use tool that assembles and automates all necessary actions for ploidy estimation (Table S1). For instance, MuPET-Flow allows the 167 visualization of samples both individually and simultaneously, as in Cytoflow, and the 168 169 capability to correct histograms, somewhat similar to flowPloidy. These characteristics 170 combined facilitates the identification and curation of the few problematic samples, not 171 feasible in Cytoflow, and more efficient than flowPloidy which necessitates inspecting 172 every one of them. Furthermore, MuPET-Flow obtained histograms and peaks' intensities 173 can be saved as a figure and a table, always with the aid of a GUI (Table S1). An additional feature of MuPET-Flow is the ability to detect multiple peaks due to its local maxima 174 175 algorithm, without prior knowledge of the number of peaks. The multiple peaks present in 176 certain samples can be explored and selected, as observed in the case of endopolyploidy reported in S. pseudocapsicum (Čertner et al., 2022). 177

Cytoflow, flowPloidy, and MuPET-Flow individually present several advantages and disadvantages (Table S1). Nevertheless, MuPET-Flow is an attractive option as it automates and facilitates many steps in ploidy estimation. It is the only tool capable of performing this process within the app in a straightforward manner, while reducing the need for manual curation. Overall, MuPET-Flow minimizes the time required for ploidy analysis, which is particularly beneficial for projects dealing with a large number of samples routinely.

185

WEB RESOURCES

186 MuPET-FC code and execution instructions are available at
187 https://github.com/CintiaG/MuPET-FC.

188 DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

S. dataset available https://github.com/CintiaG/MuPET-189 cerevisiae is at Flow/tree/master/example data. The S. 190 pseudocapsicum dataset is available 191 http://flowrepository.org/id/FR-FCM-Z45W. S. pastorianus dataset was obtained directly 192 from authors Gómez-Muñoz et al. (2021).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS Flow cytometry data acquisition was performed at the IBPS Imaging Facility. The IBPS

195 Imaging facility is supported by Region-Île-de-France, Sorbonne Université and CNRS.

196 CONFLICT OF INTEREST

197 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

198	FUNDER INFORMATION									
199	This work was supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche [ANR-20-CE12-0020].									
200	LITERATURE CITED									
201	Čertner, M., Lučanová, M., Sliwinska, E., Kolář, F., & Loureiro, J. (2022). Plant material									
202	selection, collection, preservation, and storage for nuclear DNA content estimation.									
203	Cytometry Part A, 101(9), 737-748. https://doi.org/10.1002/cyto.a.24482									
204	Chang, W., Cheng, J., Allaire, J., Sievert, C., Schloerke, B., Xie, Y., Allen, J., McPherson,									
205	J., Dipert, A., & Borges, B. (2023). shiny: Web Application Framework for R.									
206	https://shiny.posit.co/, https://github.com/rstudio/shiny									
207	Gómez-Muñoz, C., García-Ortega, L. F., Montalvo-Arredondo, J., Pérez-Ortega, E.,									
208	Damas-Buenrostro, L. C., & Riego-Ruiz, L. (2021). Long-insert clone experimental									
209	evidence for assembly improvement and chimeric chromosomes detection in an									
210	allopentaploid beer yeast. G3 GeneslGenomeslGenetics, 11(7), jkab088.									
211	https://doi.org/10.1093/g3journal/jkab088									
212	Hahne, F., LeMeur, N., Brinkman, R. R., Ellis, B., Haaland, P., Sarkar, D., Spidlen, J.,									
213	Strain, E., & Gentleman, R. (2009). flowCore: A Bioconductor package for high									
214	throughput flow cytometry. BMC Bioinformatics, 10, 106.									
215	https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-106									
216	Hammill, D. (2021). CytoExploreR: Interactive Analysis of Cytometry Data. R package.									
217	https://github.com/DillonHammill/CytoExploreR									
218	Otto, S. P., & Whitton, J. (2000). Polyploid incidence and evolution. Annual Review of									
219	Genetics, 34(1), 401-437. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.genet.34.1.401									

11

220	Peter. J	Chiara.	M. D.,	Friedrich, A.,	Yue, J.	X	Pflieger.	D.,	Bergström	. A	Sigwalt.	А.,
	, ,		,,	,		• • ,		,		, ,		

- 221 Barre, B., Freel, K., Llored, A., Cruaud, C., Labadie, K., Aury, J.-M., Istace, B.,
- Lebrigand, K., Barbry, P., Engelen, S., Lemainque, A., Wincker, P., Liti, G.,
- 223 Schacherer, J. (2018). Genome evolution across 1,011 Saccharomyces cerevisiae
- isolates. Nature, 556(7701), 339–344. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0030-5
- 225 Sliwinska, E., Loureiro, J., Leitch, I. J., Šmarda, P., Bainard, J., Bureš, P., Chumová, Z.,
- 226 Horová, L., Koutecký, P., Lučanová, M., Trávníček, P., & Galbraith, D. W. (2022).
- 227 Application-based guidelines for best practices in plant flow cytometry. Cytometry
- 228 Part A, 101(9), 749–781. https://doi.org/10.1002/cyto.a.24499
- Smith, T. W., Kron, P., & Martin, S. L. (2018). flowPloidy: An R package for genome size
 and ploidy assessment of flow cytometry data. Applications in Plant Sciences, 6(7),
- e01164. https://doi.org/10.1002/aps3.1164
- 232 Spidlen, J., Breuer, K., Rosenberg, C., Kotecha, N., & Brinkman, R. R. (2012).
- 233 FlowRepository: A resource of annotated flow cytometry datasets associated with
- peer-reviewed publications. Cytometry Part A, 81A(9), 727–731.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/cyto.a.22106
- 236 Teague, B. (2022). Cytoflow: A Python Toolbox for Flow Cytometry (p.
 237 2022.07.22.501078). bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.22.501078
- 238 Todd, R. T., Braverman, A., & Selmecki, A. (2018). Flow Cytometry Analysis of Fungal
- Ploidy. Current Protocols in Microbiology, 50(1), e58.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/cpmc.58

FIGURES AND LEGENDS



242

Fig. 1. MuPET-Flow: A Shiny app for estimating ploidy in multiple samples. The MuPET-Flow workflow is divided into three tabs:
'Peaks', 'Regression', and 'Summary'. The main functionalities consist of User Interface (UI) inputs, processes executed in the Server,

and UI outputs. Adjusting the inputs in the dashed box is optional. Created with BioRender.com.

241