

Leveraging the properties of the Christoffel function for anomaly detection in data streams

Louise Travé-Massuyès

▶ To cite this version:

Louise Travé-Massuyès. Leveraging the properties of the Christoffel function for anomaly detection in data streams. POP23 - Future Trends in Polynomial OPtimization, LAAS-CNRS, Toulouse, Nov 2023, Toulouse, France. hal-04795310

HAL Id: hal-04795310 https://hal.science/hal-04795310v1

Submitted on 21 Nov 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Leveraging the properties of the Christoffel

function for anomaly detection in data streams

Louise Travé-Massuyès *Kévin Ducharlet, Jean-Bernard Lasserre*

POP23 - Future Trends in Polynomial OPtimization 13-17 November 2023 LAAS-CNRS, Toulouse



Anomaly detection





Data quality







Anomaly detection in data streams



LAAS CNRS

State of the Art

Adaptation of time series methods

- Prediction models, e.g. based on exponential smoothing or LSTM
- Do not account for concept drift

Dynamic clustering

• Outliers do not belong to clusters or are in low density clusters

Methods relying on KNN

- Based on number of neighbours, e.g., MCOD
- Based on local density based (LOF and variants)

Statistical methods

- Parametric methods, e.g., based on GMM (Samrtsifter)
- Non parametric methods, e.g., on line Multiple Kernel Density Estimation (MKDE)

Many methods deal with *transiency*, *concept drift*, *infinity* and *time dependency*, mostly through the use of windows But no rapid model update and tend no memory of previously acquired knowledge, window size dependency





A hybrid AI anomaly detection method for data streams that:

- Ieverages the Christoffel function
 - related to the Christoffel-Darboux kernel borrowed from the theory of approximation and orthogonal polynomials
 - advocated for data mining by J.-B. Lasserre and E. Pauwels (2019)
- benefits from a clean algebraic framework
- fulfils all data stream requirements
- needs little tuning or no tuning at all

This work



A hybrid AI anomaly detection method for data streams that:

- Ieverages the Christoffel function
 - related to the Christoffel-Darboux kernel borrowed from the theory of approximation and orthogonal polynomials
 - advocated for data mining by J.-B. Lasserre and E. Pauwels (2019)
- benefits from a clean algebraic framework
- fulfils all data stream requirements
- needs little tuning

A collaboration between two ANITI chairs:

- Polynomial Optimization for Machine Learning and Data Analysis (Jean-Bernard Lasserre)
- Synergistic Transformations in Model Based and Data Based Diagnosis (Louise Travé-Massuyès)



PhD thesis of Kévin Ducharlet

Détection d'anomalies dans les flux de données pour une application dans les réseaux de capteurs (in french), PhD thesis, Computer science & Control, INSA, defended on Septembre 28, 2023.

Capturing the shape of a cloud of points





Consider a cloud of data points $(\mathbf{x}(i))_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{p}$

The red curve is the level set: $\mathcal{L}_{\gamma} = \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{x}) \leq \gamma\}, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$

of a certain polynomial $Q_d \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2]$ of degree 2*d*.

Notice that \mathcal{L}_{γ} captures the shape of the cloud.

Capturing the shape of a cloud of points (2)

Level sets obtained for a multi-density two disks dataset

CF level sets (d=6)



 The red level set nicely captures the two clusters





Capturing the shape of a cloud of points (2)

Level sets obtained for a multi-density two disks dataset with CF and MKDE gaussian kernel



CF level sets (d=6) **MKDE** level sets 1.0 -1.0 -× (×) 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 × -0.5 -0.5 -1.0 -1.0 --1.0 -0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0 -1.0 -0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0 Method AUROC AP \mathbf{CF} 0.97450.7050MKDE 0.66480.9645



- Let μ be a Borel measure on a compact set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ with nonempty interior,
- Form the vector $\mathbf{v}_d(\mathbf{x})$ from a basis of p-variate polynomials of degree at most d:

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{x}) \,=\, (\boldsymbol{P}_1(\mathbf{x}),\ldots,\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{d})}(\mathbf{x}))^{\mathcal{T}} \quad \text{of size } \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{d}) = \binom{\boldsymbol{p}+\boldsymbol{d}}{\boldsymbol{p}}.$$

$$P Q_d^{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{v}_d(\mathbf{x})^T \underbrace{\mathbf{M}_d(\mu)}_{-1} \mathbf{v}_d(\mathbf{x}), \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p$$

Moment matrix of μ

The Christoffel function $\Lambda_d^{\mu} : \mathbb{R}^{\rho} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is defined by: $\Lambda_d^{\mu}(\mathbf{x})^{-1} = Q_d^{\mu}(\mathbf{x})$

 Λ_d^{μ} encodes properties of the underlying measure μ .

Empirical measure



In our case

$$\boldsymbol{\mu_n} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\boldsymbol{x(i)}}$$

is the EMPIRICAL measure associated with the cloud of data points $(x(i))_{i \le n}$ sampled from an unknown measure μ on Ω .

Empirical moment matrix of μ_n :

 $\mathbf{M}_{d}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{p}} \mathbf{v}_{d}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{v}_{d}(\mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}} d\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathbf{M}_{d}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{n}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}} \mathbf{v}_{d}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{v}_{d}(\mathbf{x})^{\mathsf{T}}$

Property: The samples belonging to the support Ω of the empirical measure μ_n are confined by a specific level set $\Omega_{\gamma_{d,p}}$, where $\gamma_{d,p} = Cd^{3p/2}$ and *C* a problem-related constant (cf. (Lasserre *et al.* 2022), Theorem 7.3.3).

Specific level set





The red level set corresponds to the set $\Omega_{\gamma_{d,p}}$ with the threshold $\gamma_{d,p}=d^{3p/2}$ as dictated by the CF theory (C=1)



In our case

$$\boldsymbol{\mu_n} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\boldsymbol{x}(i)}$$

is the EMPIRICAL measure associated with the cloud of data points $(x(i))_{i \le n}$ sampled from an unknown measure μ on Ω .

... and quite remarkably

The level sets of $\Lambda_d^{\mu_n}(\mathbf{x})^{-1}$ match the density variations of the cloud of points $(\mathbf{x}(i))_{i \leq n}$

 $\rightarrow \Lambda_{d}^{\mu_{n}}(\mathbf{x})^{-1}$ is a good scoring function for anomaly detection

In particular, the level set

$$\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{p} : \Lambda_{d}^{\mu_{n}}(\mathbf{x})^{-1} \leq \gamma_{d,p} = Cd^{3p/2}\}$$

identifies the support Ω of μ , even for moderate values of *d*.

Dealing with data streams: DyCF method



1) Low memory: $M_d(\mu_n)^{-1}$ can be seen as en encoding of the whole data set

2) Low computation: incremental update of $\Lambda_d^{\mu_n}(\mathbf{x})^{-1}$ with rank-one update of the inverse $\mathbf{M}_d(\mu_n)^{-1}$

When a point ξ is added to the cloud of *i* points, i.e.,

$$\mu_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{n+1} \left(n \mu_n + \delta_{\xi} \right)$$

 \rightarrow a new cloud with n+1 points

The Sherman-Morrisson-Woodbury formula allows for a simple RANK-ONE UPDATE of the inverse $M_d(\mu_n)^{-1}$

Incremental update

The moment matrix $M_d(\mu_n)^{-1}$ can be rewritten with the incremental formula:

$$\mathbf{M}_{d}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{n+1} [n \mathbf{M}_{d}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{n}) + \mathbf{v}_{d}(\mathbf{x}_{n+1})^{T} \mathbf{v}_{d}(\mathbf{x}_{n+1})]$$

Incremental inversion of a matrix A with the Sherman-Morrison formula:

$$(\boldsymbol{A} + \boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{v}^{\mathsf{T}})^{-1} = \boldsymbol{A}^{-1} - \frac{\boldsymbol{A}^{-1}\boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{v}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{A}^{-1}}{1 + \boldsymbol{v}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{A}^{-1}\boldsymbol{u}}$$

 $\longmapsto \quad ((n+1)\mathbf{M}_{d}(\mu_{n+1}))^{-1} = (n\mathbf{M}_{d}(\mu_{n}))^{-1} - \frac{(n\mathbf{M}_{d}(\mu_{n}))^{-1}\mathbf{v}_{d}(\mathbf{x}_{n+1})\mathbf{v}_{d}(\mathbf{x}_{n+1})^{T}(n\mathbf{M}_{d}(\mu_{n}))^{-1}}{1 + \mathbf{v}_{d}(\mathbf{x}_{n+1})^{T}(n\mathbf{M}_{d}(\mu_{n}))^{-1}\mathbf{v}_{d}(\mathbf{x}_{n+1})}$







DyCF requires only one parameter to be fixed: *d*

The theory dictates to use the level set defined by $\Omega_{\gamma_{d,\rho}}$, where $\gamma_{d,\rho} = C d^{3\rho/2}$.

Normalized scoring function :
$$S_{d,p}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\Lambda_d^{\mu}(\mathbf{x})^{-1}}{\gamma_{d,p}}$$
.

If C=1, a point x is defined as an outlier if $S_{d,p}(x) \ge 1$.

Leveraging the growth properties of CF



As *d* grows, $\Lambda_d^{\mu}(\mathbf{x})^{-1}$ has: **POLYNOMIAL growth INSIDE** Ω **EXPONENTIAL growth OUTSIDE** Ω



Cf. (Lasserre et al. 2022), Lemmas 4.3.1 and 4.3.2)

CF growth property



d

d



DyCG : two DyCF models of degrees d_{min} and d_{max}

DyCG scoring function:
$$S'_{d_{max}, d_{min}, p}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{S_{d_{max}, p}(\mathbf{x}) - S_{d_{min}, p}(\mathbf{x})}{d_{max} - d_{min}}$$

Outlierness threshold is 0:

$$\text{Inliers} \longrightarrow S_{d_{max},p}(\mathbf{x}) < S_{d_{min},p}(\mathbf{x}) \longrightarrow S'_{d_{max},d_{min},p}(\mathbf{x}) < 0$$

$$\mathsf{Outliers} \longrightarrow S_{d_{max},\rho}(\mathbf{x}) \ge S_{d_{min},\rho}(\mathbf{x}) \longrightarrow \left| \begin{array}{c} S'_{d_{min},d_{max},\rho}(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0 \end{array} \right|$$

d_{min} and *d_{max}* are fixed at 2 and 8 once and for all: DyCG is tuning free.



Evaluation

Two moons data stream (tm)



2 x 2500 samples building two moons (500 for initial training)

+ 20 outliers uniformly distributed



Http data stream (http)

From the Outlier Detection Data Streams ODDS library (<u>https://odds.cs.stonybrook.edu/</u>) 3 variables, 567498 observations (50000 for initial training), 2211 outliers (\simeq 0,4%)



Industrial luggage conveyor data stream (Ic)

Carl Berger-Levrault project



Multimode system, 2 variables, 166926 observations (15000 for initial training), 17 introduced outliers







	Method	Parameters	Range	ω_{tm}	ω_{lc}	ω_{http}
Sliding window Multivariable Kernel Density Estimation	Sliding MKDE	threshold (not informed)	-	-	-	-
		window size W	$\{100, 200, 500, 1000, 2000, 5000\}$	200	5000	5000
		kernel (fixed)	gaussian	-	-	-
		bandwidth (fixed)	Scott's rule	-	-	-
Based on discounting learning of a GMM model		threshold (not informed)	-	-	-	-
	SmartSifter	nb of gaussians k	$\{2, 5, 10, 15, 20\}$	15	10	2
		disounting param r	$\{0.001, 0.005, 0.01\}$	0.01	0.01	0.001
		stability param α	$\{1, 1.5, 2\}$	1.5	1	2
Based on the number (parameter <i>k</i>) of neighboring points laying at a given distance (parameter <i>R</i>)	OSCOD	nb of neighbors k (not informed)	-	-	-	-
		radius R	$\{0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, \\ 1.2, 1.5\}$	1.2	0.2	0.5
		window size W	$\{100, 200, 500, 1000, 2000, 5000\}$	200	100	5000
KNN based method that		threshold (not informed)	-	-	-	-
contrasts sample local density	ILOF	nb of neighbors k	$\{5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30\}$	5	25	30
with that of its neighbors		window size W	$\{100, 200, 500\}$	200	100	500
	DyCF	degree d	$\{2, 4, 6, 8\}$	6	8	2
	DyCG	no need	-	-	-	-

No deep learning method because no frugality, no fast update, no low tuning.



- AUROC (Area Under the ROC Sensitivity-Specificity curve)
 - The higher the better (a value of 0.5 is not better than a random classifier)
- AUPRC (Area Under the Precision-Recall Curve) estimated as AP (Average Precision)
 - Higher value indicates better precision-recall performance
 - Relevant for imbalanced data sets
- Computation time for one iteration
- Memory size

Results



- DyCF and DyCG are globally positioned at the top
- Best scores for the industrial data stream
- DyCG and ILOF are bad for the http data stream (p= 3, d=8)





Industrial luggage conveyor data stream (Ic)

Carl Berger-Levrault project









- Dy-CF and Dy-CG are simple and easy-to-use methods with little or no tuning at all
- They achieve excellent results compared to other more tricky anomaly detection methods
- The Christoffel function provides interesting theoretical foundations
- It nicely deals with data streams thanks to the moment matrix encoding and its incremental update
- Future work:
 - adding forgetting ability
 - scaling up to high dimensions
 - extend to abnormal trajectory detection
 - integrate with other tasks.

(Lasserre *et al.* 2022) Lasserre JB, Pauwels E, Putinar M (2022) The Christoffel–Darboux Kernel for Data Analysis. Cambridge Monographs on Applied and Computational Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108937078