

Grapevine cell response to carbon deficiency requires transcriptome and methylome reprogramming

Margot Berger, Virginie Garcia, Nathalie Lacrampe, Bernadette Rubio, Guillaume Decros, Pierre Pétriacq, Amélie Flandin, Cédric Cassan, Ghislaine Hilbert-masson, Sophie Colombie, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Margot Berger, Virginie Garcia, Nathalie Lacrampe, Bernadette Rubio, Guillaume Decros, et al.. Grapevine cell response to carbon deficiency requires transcriptome and methylome reprogramming. Horticulture research, In press, 10.1093/hr/uhae277. hal-04791091

HAL Id: hal-04791091 https://hal.science/hal-04791091v1

Submitted on 19 Nov 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Margot M J Berger¹, Virginie Garcia¹, Nathalie Lacrampe², Bernadette Rubio¹, Guillaume Decros³, Pierre Pétriacq^{3,4}, Amélie Flandin^{3,4}, Cédric Cassan³, Ghislaine Hilbert-Masson¹, Sophie Colombié^{3,4}, Rossitza Atanassova⁵ and Philippe Gallusci¹*

¹Ecophysiologie et Génomique Fonctionnelle de la Vigne (EGFV), University of Bordeaux, Bordeaux sciences Agro, INRAE, ISVV, Villenave d'Ornon, France

² Plantes et Système de culture horticoles (PSH), INRAE, Avignon, France. UMR Qualisud, Université Avignon, France.

³ Univ. Bordeaux, INRAE, UMR1332 BFP, 33882 Villenave d'Ornon, France

⁴Bordeaux Metabolome, MetaboHUB, PHENOME-EMPHASIS, 33140 Villenave d'Ornon, France

⁵ UMR CNRS 7267 EBI-Ecologie et Biologie des Interactions (EBI), Equipe Sucres et Echanges Végétaux-Environnement (SEVE), University of Poitiers, France.

*Corresponding author : philippe.gallusci@inrae.fr margot.berger@inrae.fr, virginie.garcia@inrae.fr nathalie.lacrampe1@gmail.com bernadette.rubio@fcba.fr decros@mpimp-golm.mpg.de pierre.petriacq@inrae.fr amelie.flandin@inrae.fr cedric.cassan@inrae.fr ghislaine.hilbert-masson@inrae.fr sophie.colombie@inrae.fr rossitza.atanassova@univ-poitiers.fr

Running title: Metabolome, transcriptome and methylome cope together with carbon shortage

ABSTRACT

Sugar limitation has dramatic consequences on plant cells, which include cell metabolism and transcriptional reprogramming, and the recycling of cellular components to maintain fundamental cell functions. There is however no description of the contribution of epigenetic regulations to the adaptation of plant cells to limited carbon availability. We investigated this question using non-photosynthetic grapevine cells (*Vuis vintfera*, cv Cabernet Sauvignon) cultured *in vitro* with contrasted glucose concentrations. Sugar depletion in the culture medium led to a rapid cell growth arrest and a major metabolic shift that include the depletion in soluble sugar and total amino acids and modulation of the cell redox status. Consistently, flux modeling showed a dramatic slowdown of many pathways required for biomass accumulation such as cell wall and protein synthesis. Sugar depletion also resulted in a major transcriptional reprogramming, characterized by the induction of genes involved in photosynthesis, and the repression of those related to sucrose mobilization or cell cycle control. Similarly, the epigenetic landscape was deeply modified. Glucose-depleted cells showed a higher global DNA methylation level than those grown with glucose. Changes in DNA methylation mainly occurred at transposable elements, and at genes including some of those differentially expressed, consistent with an important role for methylation to the adaptation of cells to limited sugar availability. In addition,

genes encoding histone modifiers were differentially expressed suggesting that additional epigenetic mechanisms may be at work in plant cells under carbon shortage.

INTRODUCTION

Epigenetics is defined as the study of heritable and/or stable changes in gene expression that occur without modifications of the DNA sequence (Eichten, Schmitz, and Springer 2014). Epigenetic regulations involve different mechanisms such as post-translational modification of histones, small RNA production and DNA methylation, and play important roles in plant development and adaptation to the environment (He, Elling and Deng, 2011; Chang et al., 2020) In plants, methylation of the 5th carbon of cytosine, can occur in the symmetrical CG and CHG, and non-symmetrical CHH (H \models A, C or T) sequence contexts. Establishment of DNA methylation in all sequence contexts is performed by the RNA directed DNA methylation (RdDM) pathway that requires the Domain Rearranged Methyltransferases 1, 2 (DRM1/2), DRD1 and 24nt-long small RNAs, and by the chromomethylase 2 (CMT2) associated with Decrease in DNA Methylation (DDM1) for CHH methylation in constitutive heterochromatic regions. Methylation at hemi methylated CG sites, generated during DNA replication, relies on the activity of Methyltransferase 1 (MET1) together with Variant In Methylation (VIM) 1, 2 and 3, and at CHG sites on CMT3. Methylation of the newly synthesized DNA strands at non-methylated CHH sites is mediated by both the RdDM pathway and CMT2 (Zhang, Lang, and Zhu, 2018). DNA methylation can be passively lost during cell divisions, or actively removed by DNA-demethylases in a base-excision repair process (Liu and Lang, 2020).

Links between epigenetic regulations and metabolism were recently evidenced in animal systems (Lu and Thompson, 2012). Epigenetic modifications, both DNA methylation and histone post-translational modifications (HPTMs) are mediated by enzymes, that require metabolic precursors including acetyl-coenzyme A (Acetyl-CoA) or S-adenosyl-methionine (SAM), and cofactors (nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide; NAD+, acetyl-CoA). Epigenetic processes are therefore, intimately connected to cell metabolism (Leung and Gaudin, 2020). This is further indicated by evidence of an interplay between sugar availability and epigenetic regulations in eukaryotes (Donohoe and Bultman, 2012; Chen, Zhang and Li, 2022). Recent works in yeast and yellowfin seabream have shown that starving is associated with metabolic imbalance and transcriptomic reprogramming. Main effects include the reduced expression of genes involved in cell growth while promoting those involved in carbon remobilization (fatty acid oxidation, gluconeogenesis) (Hsieh *et al.*, 2022; Lin *et al.*, 2022). In addition, while in yeast there is growing evidence of an interplay between the genome wide distribution of histone acetylation and transcriptomic changes following sugar starvation (Hsieh *et al.*, 2022), in yellowfin seabream modification of the global DNA methylation level and distribution is considered as an important consequence of starvation (Lin *et al.*, 2022). In plants, carbon depletion is also associated with several

cellular responses. On the one hand, starvation promotes protein, amino acids and lipid degradation, cell wall, starch and sucrose degradation, autophagy, photosynthesis, gluconeogenesis, transporters, and trehalose metabolism. On the other hand, carbon deprivation inhibits amino acids and protein synthesis, cell wall biogenesis, nucleotide metabolism, TCA cycle and glycolysis. The change of the cell energy status requires two antagonistically acting metabolic sensors - Sucrose-non-fermenting Related Kinase 1 (SnRK1) and Target Of Rapamycin (TOR) kinase, which orchestrate the cell energy homeostasis, growth and survival throughout transcriptional and epigenetic regulations. Carbon starvation triggers the formation of SnRK1-C/S1-bZIP to enhance histone acetylation, or the direct interaction of SnRK1 with JMJ705 to decrease H3K27me3 levels, thus activating the expression of starvation-responsive genes to maintain intracellular energy homeostasis (Pedrotti et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2021). In addition, recent work in Arabidopsis has shown that rapamicyn, an inhibitor of the TOR kinase, affects DNA methylation at genes involved in carbon and amino acid metabolism, suggesting that the glucose-TOR effect is mediated in part by DNA methylation (Zhu et al., 2020). Furthermore, mutation or pharmacological approaches impairing the synthesis of metabolic precursors necessary for epigenetic regulations, such as acetyl-CoA (Wang et al., 2019) or SAM, have important impacts on epigenomes, gene expression and plant phenotypes (Zhang et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2017). In the present work, we used carbon depleted heterotrophic grapevine cells as a way to investigate

In the present work, we used carbon depleted heterotrophic grapevine cells as a way to investigate possible links between cell metabolism and DNA methylation control.

The consequences of carbon depletion on cell growth, metabolic profiles and metabolic fluxes were described. We also analyzed the molecular response of cells by determining changes in gene expression profiles and DNA methylation landscapes. Correlative analyses of these multi-omics data sets allowed demonstrating tight interactions between cell primary metabolism, and gene expression and DNA-methylation reprogramming, thus emphasizing the central role of epigenetic regulations in the response of cells to sugar starvation.

RESULTS

Sugar depletion causes a rapid cell growth arrest and metabolic shift

After a latent phase of 3 days, Cabernet Sauvignon cells (CS) grown in standard conditions (SD, see methods) showed a rapid growth phase from day 3 (D3) to D8 followed by a growth arrest (Figure S1A). To evaluate the impact of glucose depletion, CS cells were transferred at D4 to either a glucose-rich (G+, 110 mM) or a glucose-poor (G-, 11 mM) medium (Figure 1A). The G+ cells fresh weight (FW) increased ~3,5-fold from D4 to D10 to reach 530.2 (\pm 18.7) g FW/mL at D10 (Figure 1A). In contrast, G- cell FW showed a 1.2-fold increase, from 160 (\pm 8.93) g FW/mL at D4 to 197.6 (\pm 9.61) g FW/mL

at D5, with no further significant changes. At D6, G- cells growth was significantly reduced as compared to G+ cells (Figure 1A), which was associated with a severe reduction of sugar availability in the growing medium (Figure S2).

The consequences of carbon depletion on grapevine cell metabolism, was investigated using untargeted metabolomics analyses (see methods) each day from D0 to D4, and, after subculturing, from D4 to D10 in G+ and G- conditions ($n \ge 4$). After filtering of the metabolic signatures obtained from all samples analyzed, 1,719 variables were selected as the most reliable (see methods). The PCA of these signatures indicate that samples are grouped at D4 and diverge along the PC1 axis (representing 32.6% of the total variance) at D5 (Figure 1B). Maximum multivariate separation between samples is evident at D6 and D7, whilst G+ cells converge toward G- cells after D8 and this trend consistent with a restriction in glucose availability under G+ conditions at that time point. The G+ cells separate along the PC2 axis (15.5% of the total variance) as a function of time. Pearson's correlation clustering (Figure S3) further confirmed the divergence between samples as dependent to both incubation time and medium composition.



Figure 1. Sugar depletion triggers rapid growth arrest and metabolic drift in grapevine cells (A) Cabernet Sauvignon cell growth expressed in gram fresh weight (gFW/L) in SD (from day 0 to 4, grey line) and in glucose rich (G+ blue line) or glucose poor (G-, orange line) subculture from D4 to D10 (n=4). Vertical bars are Confidence Interval ($n \ge 4$). (B) Principal Component Analysis (PCA) score plots (n=4) of 1719 LCMS-based metabolic signatures under SD, G+ or G- conditions. Maximal variance explained by each PC is indicated in brackets. Arrows represent the direction of metabolomic evolution in the PCA. SD (D0-D4): grey shades; G+ (D4-D10): blue shades; G- (D4-D10): orange shades. Vertical bars represent CI (n=8). Stars indicate the level of significance of G+ and G- sample comparison (*p ≤ 0.05 , **p ≤ 0.01 , *** p ≤ 0.001 , **** p ≤ 0.001).

Table 1. Carbon depletion triggers a metabolic shift in grapevine cells after 48h of subculture.

Pairwise statistical analysis of the metabolomic features differing between glucose rich (G+) and glucose poor (G-) conditions estimated from D4 to D10.

Pairwise comparisons of the metabolic profiles showed that the number of metabolites (P<0.01) differentially accumulated between G+ and G- conditions increased from 1 to 56 at D4 and D5, respectively, then to 285 from D5 to D6, with no further increase at D7 (Table 1). At D8, metabolic differences heightened transiently between conditions as G+ cells began to suffer from carbon depletion as well (Figure S2), before decreasing again from D8 to D10.

Metabolomics profiles of G+ and G- cells at D4, D5, D6 and D7 were further used to extract the most significant 596 signals, in terms of differential accumulation in all samples (P<0.01) over a total of 1,703 detected from D4 to D7 (Figure S4). Utilizing Pearson's correlation clustering on the 596 signals resulted in samples classification into four distinct groups that present distinct metabolite accumulation profiles from D5 to D7, demonstrating a progressive separation of G+ and G- samples from D4 to D7 (Figure S4).

Overall, metabolome analyses reveal that changes in glucose availability generate major metabolic adjustment in heterotrophic cells as early as 24h after subculture.

| Quantitative | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|-----------------------------|------------------|
| metabolic profiling | D10 | D9 | D8 | D7 | D6 | D5 | T-test p = 0.01 G+ vs G- | T-tes p = 0.0 |
| of soluble sugars, organic acids, | 132 | 213 | 433 | 264 | 285 | 56 | Metabolic 1 features | Metabo featur |

Sugar limitation has a profound impact on the cell primary metabolism and redox state

proteins, and total amino acids was performed from D0 to D10 in all growing conditions. As observed with untargeted metabolic analysis, most of metabolites measured were differentially accumulated between G+ and G- conditions from D6 (Figure S5 and S6). Main effects of G- condition were a reduction of soluble sugars accumulation in cells, but also changes in the abundance of free amino acids, organic acids, or total proteins. The NADH/NAD balance was also severely reduced in sugar-depleted cells (Figure S5). These data are consistent with a strong impact of carbon depletion on grapevine cell central metabolism and redox state.

To further determine the consequences of sugar depletion on cell metabolism, experimental data of targeted metabolite analyses and cell components were integrated to constrain a flux model analysis and

evaluate the impact of carbon depletion on the cell metabolic fluxes. To perform the flux analysis (Table S1-S4), the metabolic model was constrained to calculate fluxes as a snapshot each day in all conditions (*i.e.* in SD, G+ and G- conditions; Figure S7). Most of the internal fluxes calculated in G- were lower than the ones calculated in G+ at D6 (Figure S7) including those of glycolysis, oxidative phosphate pathway, and cell wall biosynthesis, and to a lower extend those of respiration, nitrate assimilation, and of the TCA cycle. Interestingly, some fluxes were increased under carbon limitation.



Figure 2. Carbon depletion impacts 1C metabolic fluxes in grapevine cells.

Calculated fluxes through metabolites involved in the TetraHydroFolate (THF) and S-Adenosyl-Methionine (SAM) cycle. The x-axis represents time (days of culture), and the y-axis flux values calculated in mmol.gDW⁻¹.Day⁻¹. From D1 to D4, cells in standard conditions (SD, grey); from D4 to D8, cells in glucose-rich culture (G+, blue) and glucose-poor-culture (G-, orange): SD; blue and orange lines.

They include fluxes involved in the mobilization of stored compounds, such as cell wall polymers, total protein, as well as the accumulation of lipids and some amino acids (Figure S7, Table S5).

We focused on the folate and methionine cycles (the 1C metabolism), as they link primary metabolism to methylation by controlling SAM availability to cells (Lindermayr *et al.*, 2020). For the THF and SAM cycles, the calculated fluxes were used to estimate the flux activity for an intermediate metabolite as the sum of fluxes producing (or consuming) this metabolite (Figure 2, Table S6). Most of the fluxes rapidly dropped down to zero between D4 and D6 under G-, except fluxes through dihydrofolate (DHF), tetrahydrofolate (THF) and 10-formyltetrahydrofolate (fTHF) (Figure 2, Table S6). By contrast, the SAM fluxes estimated at 0.075 mmol/g DW/day in G+ condition at D6 were reduced to 0.012 10⁻³ mmol/g DW/day in G- condition (Figure 2, Table S6). This suggests that folate synthesis is not completely inhibited under carbon depletion, and that parts of this cycle are prioritized as compared to others. According to the model prediction, folate is *a priori* mainly needed for other DHF dependent pathways such as nucleotides synthesis (Table S2), rather than for DNA methylation, because SAM-cycle fluxes are decreased under carbon depletion. Overall, these results show that sugar depletion impacts 1C metabolic pathways, including those implicated in the synthesis of SAM.



Figure 3. Carbon depletion strongly affects the expression of genes related to cell cycle and metabolic pathways dedicated to carbon mobilization.

Mapman visualization of DEGs in grapevine cells in culture in G+ versus G- conditions at D6. (A) Cell cycle-related genes. Each square represents a gene. In red: genes more expressed in G- cells; in blue: genes more expressed in G+ condition. (B) Mapman representation of metabolic pathways representing DEGs and metabolites in G- versus G+ cells at D6. Each square represents a gene and each circle a

metabolite. In red: genes/metabolites more expressed/abundant in G- cells; in blue: genes/ metabolites more expressed/abundant in G+ condition.

Genes of several metabolic pathways display differential expression under sugar depletion

RNAseq analyses were performed at D6 in both G+ and G- conditions. After trimming, quality assessment and removal of low-quality reads (see Methods), total cleaned reads, approximately ~24 million reads for each sample, were mapped to the grapevine reference genome (12X.V2; (Canaguier *et al.*, 2017), of which on average 90% were uniquely mapped (Table S7). Between 69.7% and 71% of aligned reads overlap known genes. PCA performed using all expressed genes reveals both strong differential behavior between G+ and G- conditions, and low variability between biological replicates (Figure S8). This observation is also supported by the analysis of the 200 genes showing the highest variation in expression levels between conditions (Figure S9). Replicates for each condition cluster together in correlative analysis, but are clearly separated between G+ and G- conditions.

A total of 5,607 genes were considered differentially expressed (Log2FoldChange >1.0; padj 0.05), among which 2,802 were upregulated and 2,805 downregulated in G- versus G+ conditions. Gene ontology (GO) overrepresentation analysis showed that upregulated genes are enriched in genes involved in photosynthesis (PS) processes including light harvesting, chlorophyll biosynthesis and electron transport in photosystems, in stress responses (hormones, redox process, defense response), and in metabolite biosynthesis and transport (trehalose, malate, oligopeptide; Figure S10). By contrast, downregulated genes were enriched in genes involved in translation (ribosome biogenesis, translational elongation), cell division (DNA replication, microtubule-based movements, chromosome segregation), amino acids biosynthesis (serine, threonine, glycine, tyrosine, phenylalanine), and processes related to carbon metabolism (gluconeogenesis, glycolysis, sugars, fatty acids; Figure S10).

Using a MapMan representation with both targeted metabolites and RNAseq data (Figure 3A and B), 89 and 947 out of 5,607 DEGs were successfully assigned to cell cycle and various metabolic pathways respectively. Most of DEGs related to cell cycle (82/89) were downregulated at D6 (Figure 3A), suggesting that the cell growth arrest observed in G- conditions correlates with a stop in cell divisions. When considering DEGs involved in metabolism (Figure 3B), those associated with cell wall biogenesis (pechin esterases, expansins and xyloglucane endotransglycosylases (XETs)), amino acid and nucleotide synthesis, glycolysis, TCA cycle, energy metabolism (Mitochondrial Electron Transport), sucrose and starch synthesis, glycolysis and other downstream reactions were downregulated in G- conditions (Figure 3B, Figure S11). Inversely, DEGs associated with processes induced by carbon depletion including the mobilization of carbon resources, amino acids, lipids and sucrose, the breakdown of starch and protein together with the glyoxylate cycle, and with the ATG-mediated autophagy pathway (Feng *et al.*, 2024; Magen *et al.*, 2022; Shangguan *et al.*, 2018) were up-regulated in G- cells (Figure 3B, Figure S11, Table S8).

Genes involved in 1C metabolism were downregulated at D6 (Figure 3B), including those related to the synthesis of 5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate (methylene-THF), a precursor of the tetrahydrofolate cycle (THF cycle) (Figure S12). Overall, results show that sugar deprivation strongly impacts the expression of genes of the metabolic pathways dedicated to carbon mobilization, nutrient mobilization, and heterotrophic carbon formation and are consistent with previous data obtained on Arabidopsis cells under sugar starvation (Contento, Kim and Bassham, 2004).

Genes involved in epigenetic processes are differentially regulated under carbon limitation

As a first step to investigate possible effects of sugar depletion on epigenetic regulations, our work focused on the expression of genes involved in epigenetic processes (Table 2). Four DNAmethyltransferases (DNMTs), VvCMT1, VvCMT3, VvCMT4, were down-regulated with a Log2FoldChange (L2FC) ranging between -1.06 and -1.45 (padj<0.01). Similarly, genes encoding the demethylase (DEMETER Like; DML) VvDML3 and chromatin remodeler (DECREASE IN DNA METHYLATION 1; DDM1) VvDDM1 were also down-regulated with a L2FC of -2.60 and -1.1 (padj<0.01) respectively. Four ARGONAUTE (VvAGO10a, VvAGO11, VvAGO5, VvAGO2a), one RNA-Dependent-RNA polymerase (VvRDR1b) and one DICER-like (VvDCL2) genes were also differentially expressed under G- condition. The VvAGO10a and VvAGO11 genes were both downregulated showing L2FC values of -1.8 and -1.2 respectively (padj<0.05), while the four other genes were up-regulated with a L2FC of 1.3 for VvAGO5 and 1.5 for VvAGO2a (padj<0.05) and a L2FC of 2.3 for both VvRDR1b and VvDCL2 (padj<0.05; Table 2). We also investigated genes encoding histone modifiers (Wang et al., 2020) (Table 2) and putative POLYCOMB REPRESSING COMPLEX2 (PRC2; Table 2, Figure S13, Figure S14). Two histone demethylases genes (HDMs, Vitvi08g00216, Vitvi10g01394), were downregulated, while three others (Vitvi10g00053, Vitvi15g00950, Vitvi16g01410) were upregulated. All DEGs encoding histone lysine methyltransferases (HKMTs, VvCLF/Vitvi07g01721, Vitvi16g00290, Vitvi08g01786, Vitvi10g00002, Vitvi16g02079) were downregulated in G- conditions with L2FC ranging between -1.08 and -3.54 (padj <0.05; Table 2). One histone acetyltransferase gene (HAT, Vitvi11g00219), was downregulated in G- condition with a L2FC of -1.31 (padi<0.05). Genes encoding putative POLYCOMB REPRESSING COMPLEX1 (PRC1) (NVDRIP1 Vitvi07g00031 and VvDRIP3 Vitvi05g00634) and PRC2 components, (VvMSI2 Vitvi03g00147 and VvMSI5 Vitvi11g00185) were also differentially expressed under carbon limitation with contrasted behaviors (Table 2).

Table 2. Epigenetic modifiers are differentially expressed in grapevine cells under carbondepletion. Grapevine epigenetic modifiers differential expression in G- cells. DDM1: DECREASEDDNA METHYLATION 1; CMT: CHROMOMETHYLASE; DML: DEMETER-LIKE; AGO:ARGONAUTE; RDR : RNA-DEPENDENT RNA POLYMERASE; DCL: DICER-LIKE; HDM:

| Histone Demethylase; HKMT: Histone-Lysine-Methyl-Transferase; HAT: Histone Acetyl-Transferase; |
|---|
| PRC: Polycomb Repressive Complex. Padj: transformed p-value after accounting for multiple testing |
| using Benhamin and Hochberg method by DESeq2. |

|] | Name/Function | Gene ID | Diff.Exp ^a | padj |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| | VvDDM1 | Vitvi04g01275 | -1.1 | 7.22 ^E -22 |
| | VvCMT1 | Vitvi08g01767 | -1.1 | 3.35 ^E -07 |
| | VvCMT3 | Vitvi06g00102 | -1.3 | 4.58 ^E -11 |
| | VvCMT4 | Vitvi16g00174 | -1.5 | 5.62 ^E -38 |
| | VvDML3 | Vitvi06g01402 | -2.6 | 3.35 ^E -27 |
| | VvAGO10a | Vitvi05g00574 | -1.8 | 0.04 |
| | VvAGO11 | Vitvi12g00448 | -1.2 | 3.23 ^E -09 |
| | VvAGO5 | Vitvi06g01378 | 1.3 | 9.15 ^E -53 |
| | VvAGO2a | Vitvi10g01346 | 1.5 | 3.78 ^E -08 |
| | VvRDR1b | Vitvi01g00503 | 2.3 | 1.13 ^E -59 |
| | VvDCL2 | Vitvi04g01202 | 2.3 | 6.60 ^E -118 |
| | | Vitvi10g00053 | 1.5 | 4.68 ^E -16 |
| | | Vitvi08g00216 | -1.1 | 1.50 ^E -10 |
| | VvHDM | Vitvi10g01394 | -1.7 | 1.72 ^E -18 |
| | | Vitvi15g00950 | 1.2 | 1.68 ^E -12 |
| | ~ | Vitvi16g01410 | 1.5 | 3.40 ^E -19 |
| | 2- | Vitvi07g01721 | -1.1 | 7.41 ^E -11 |
| | | Vitvi16g00290 | -1.7 | 2.85 ^E -05 |
| | VvHKMT | Vitvi08g01786 | -1.3 | 0.003 |
| \sim | | Vitvi10g00002 | -3.3 | 3.64 ^E -25 |
| \mathbf{X} | | Vitvi16g02079 | -3.5 | 2.75 ^E -25 |
| | VvHAT | Vitvi11g00219 | -1.3 | 8.05 ^E -29 |
| | | Vitvi07g00031 | 1.3 | 3.46 ^E -35 |
| | VvPRC1 | Vitvi05g00634 | -2.2 | 1.01 ^E -20 |
| | | | | |

| | Vitvi07g01721 | -1.1 | 7.41 ^E -11 |
|--------|---------------|------|-----------------------|
| VvPRC2 | Vitvi03g00147 | -1.6 | 3.19 ^E -26 |
| | Vitvi11g00185 | -1.1 | 2.45 ^E -08 |

^aLog2FoldChange

DNA-methylation landscape changes under carbon limitation

biological replicates are numbered from 1 to 3.

To characterize the effects of sugar depletion on DNA-methylation, WGBS was performed on the genomic DNA of G+ and G- cells at D6. A minimum of ~93 million reads were generated for each replicate resulting in a sequencing depth ranging from ~25X to ~74X depending on samples (Table 3). Hierarchical clustering shows that replicates of the same growing conditions cluster together (Figure S15). The G- cells showed methylation levels of ~50.0%, ~34.6% and ~4.0% in the CG, CHG and CHH sequence contexts respectively, which are higher than those of G+ cells, respectively ~47.6%, ~31.7% and ~3.2% (Figure 4A, Table 4, Table 5).

Table 3. Whole Genome Bisulfite Sequencing mapping results and average depth coverage. G+ :CS cells cultivated in glucose rich medium; G- : CS cells cultivated in glucose poor medium,

| Sample | Sequence pairs | Nbr. Of paired-end | Mapping efficiency | Non-uniquely | Average depth |
|--------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|---------------|
| | analyzed | alignments with a | (%) | mapped | coverage |
| | | unique best hit | , , | sequences | |
| G+1 | 130,472,479 | 82,944,788 | 63.6 | 13,213,594 | 33.97668 |
| G+_2 | 282,099,730 | 181,683,529 | 64.4 | 29,427,292 | 74.39895 |
| G+_3 | 139,655,324 | 91,667,849 | 65.6 | 14,282,757 | 37.54222 |
| G1 | 96,857,550 | 59,516,335 | 61.4 | 9,877,183 | 24.38944 |
| G2 | 93,098,553 | 61,901,086 | 66.5 | 10,136,166 | 25.35674 |
| G3 | 99,426,877 | 61,857,519 | 62.2 | 10,945,369 | 25.33656 |

Table 4. Bisulfite sequencing data report. G+: CS cells cultivated in glucose rich medium; G- : CS cells cultivated in glucose poor medium, biological replicates are numbered from 1 to 3.

% of Sample Total of C's % of Methylated cytosines in % Methylated analyzed methylated C conversion cytosines in CHG CHH CpG D6 CpG Unknown CHG CHH G+ 1 259,133,083 0.567123265 99.43287674 284,782 263,603 920,187 1,032 47.6 32.0 3.3

| G+_2 | 546,752,409 | 0.564586081 | 99.43541392 | 608,384 | 558,261 | 1,918,117 | 2,126 | 48.3 | 32.0 | 3.2 |
|------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|---------|-----------|-------|------|------|-----|
| G+_3 | 314,771,657 | 0.601212008 | 99.39878799 | 355,313 | 325,031 | 1,210,998 | 1,103 | 47.1 | 31.4 | 3.1 |
| G1 | 159,367,452 | 0.626294759 | 99.37370524 | 199,134 | 180,869 | 617,520 | 587 | 51.2 | 35.2 | 4.0 |
| G2 | 168,998,804 | 0.497389911 | 99.50261009 | 179,576 | 159,608 | 500,726 | 673 | 49.5 | 34.1 | 3.9 |
| G3 | 162,348,402 | 0.614100901 | 99.38589910 | 208,515 | 190,078 | 597,758 | 632 | 49.8 | 34.8 | 4.1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 5. Global DNA-methylation is increased in cells under carbon depletion. Methylation average calculated in the symmetrical (CG, CHG) and asymmetrical (CHH) sequence contexts (%), in CS cells cultivated in G+ and G- medium at D6. Error values correspond to CI (n=3).

| % Methylation | CG | CHG | CHH |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|
| D6_G+ | 47.6 ± 0.8 | 31.7 ± 0.5 | 3.2 ± 0.1 |
| D6_G- | 50.0 ± 1.1 | 34.6 ± 0.6 | 4.0 ± 0.1 |

Analysis of DNA methylation profiles along transcriptional units (ORF +/-2 kb) and Transposable Elements (TE) showed that there is no difference between G- and G+ cells in CG methylation. Methylation is however higher up/downstream and within genes, and at TE in G- than in G+ cells, in both the CHH and CHG contexts, at a lower level in the later context though (Figure 4B and C).

A total of 12,524 differentially methylated cytosine (DMCs) were identified between G- and G+ conditions with 4,102, 4,307 and 4,115 DMCs in CG, CHG and CHH contexts respectively (Figure 4D). The hypo- and hyper-CG-DMCs were similarly abundant, while hyper-DMCs were more abundant than hypo-DMCs in CHG (62%) and represent over 94% in the CHH context (Figure 4D).

A total of 848 differentially methylated regions (DMRs) were identified between G- and G+ cells (Table S9), with 294 CG-, 257 CHG- and 297 CHH-DMRs (Figure 4D). Hypo-DMRs are more abundant than hyper-DMRs in the CG context (176 hypo- and 118 hyper-DMRs) but the converse is found in the CHH context (282 hyper- and 15-hypo-DMRs). A similar number of hypo- and hyper-DMRs is found in the CHG context. We analyzed the distribution of DMRs in different genomic features.

A majority of DMRs (58%, 482 over 848) were localized in TEs, 22% (186 over 848) in promoter (promDMRs), 20% (165 over 848) in genes, distributed between exons (7%, 59 over 848) and introns (13%, 106 over 848). The majority of DMRs in intron (75 over 106) and promoters (168 over 186) are overlapping with TE (Figure 4E, Table S10). The distribution of hyper- and hypo-DMRs in each sequence context and genomic features, is clearly different in TE and genes. The PromDMRs and genic-DMRs occur essentially in the CG and CHG contexts while CHH DMRs are overrepresented in extragenic regions and TEs. Genic-DMRs and promDMRs were mostly hypo-methylated in the CG and CHG context in G- conditions while the majority of TE-DMRs were hyper-methylated in the CHH context.



Figure 4. Global DNA-methylation is affected in G- cells.

Global methylation levels analysis in G- and G+ condition. (A) Bart chart representing the methylation level of individual sample (y-axis, 1=100%) in the three-cytosine sequence context (x-axis). (B, C) Cytosine methylation profiles within and 2kb up- and downstream of (B) genes coding sequence and (C) of TE. (D) Differentially Methylated Cytosines (DMCs) and Differentially Methylated Regions (DMRs) and their contexts in G- condition compared to G+. Grey bar chart represents the total number of DMC DMRs identified. Methylation changes are represented in black when methylation increases in G- compared to G+ cells (Hyperme) and in light grey when methylation decreases in G- compared to G+ cells (Hyperme). Number of DMCs and DMRs are indicated on the top/bottom of each barchart. (E) Localisation of identified DMRs in specific genomic features. Black and white pie charts represent the proportion of hyper-(black) and hypo-(white) methylated of identified DMRs (number underneath) in each sequence context. TE: extragenic Transposable Elements; TE-intron: Intronic Transposable Elements; TE-promoter; Transposable Element found within promoter sequence.

To assess whether genes involved in metabolism were differentially methylated, genes associated with genic-DMRs (exonic, intronic, TE-intronic) or promDMRs (promDMRs, TE-promDMRs) were used for MapMan analysis. Among the 150 genic-DMRs identified, 48% (72 over 150) were assigned to 17 MapMan metabolic functional categories (Table S11). When considering promDMRs 55% (95 over 173) of them were assigned to 21 MapMan metabolic functional categories including the TCA cycle, amino acid, lipid, protein, nucleic acid and hormone metabolism, stress responses, but also transcription regulation and DNA synthesis/repair (Table S12).

Some DMRs are associated with differentially expressed genes

We determined possible association between DNA methylation and gene expression by looking at the genomic co-localizations between gene- or promDMRs, and DEGs. In total, 17 gene-DMRs were associated with DEGs (DMEGs), and 34 promDMRs were associated with DEGs (promDMEGs; Table S13 and S14). However, because there is no clear link between gene methylation and expression (Bewick and Schmitz, 2017), we only analyzed the 34 promDMEGs for subsequent analysis (Table 6, Table S15).

The majority of these promDMEGs (28 over 34) were hyper-methylated, of which 22 (out of 28) were in the CHH context (Figure 5A, Table 6). The promDMEGs were further classified in four types based on the relationship between their methylation state (Me: +/-) and expression level (Exp: +/-; Figure 10). Among the 34 PromDMEGs, 17 show a negative correlation between DNA methylation and gene expression levels (Figure 5, Table 6). They include *Vitvi04g01733* encoding a SAM-dependent methyltransferase, *Vitvi03g00483* encoding a Carboxylesterase (Figure 5B) and *Vitvi17g00607*, which codes for a L-malate dehydrogenase/oxidoreductase. These genes were hyper-methylated and repressed in sugar-depleted cells (Figure 5, Table 6). Other genes with hypo-methylated promDMRs were overexpressed. Among them, *Vitvi04g00159* encodes an electron-carrier protein, *Vitvi18g00430*, a 7deoxylganetin glucosyltransferase with a potential TF activity, and *Vitvi14g00093* a KNOTED1-LIKE HOMEOBOX (KNOX) like transcription factor (Figure 5B, Ensemblplants, UNIPROTKB ref: AC7Q8). This later gene is orthologous to the *KNAT6* gene known for its role in the regulation of meristenatic activity in Arabidopsis (Belles-Boix *et al.*, 2006). Of note, no DEG involved in the mobilization of carbon resources or participating in the ATG-mediated autophagy pathway showed changes in DNA methylation level in their promoter region.

Overall, results suggest that DNA-methylation contribute to the regulation of different genes in response to carbon depletion.



Figure 5. The differential expression of some genes is associated with changes in DNA-methylation at promoters.

(A) Graphical representation of the relationship between promoter showing differential methylation and associated changes in gene expression. Each dot represents a DMR found in a DEG promoter region. Position on the graph depends on differential expression value (Log2FC) along the x-axis and differential methylation along the y-axis. Dot numbers refer to those attributed to promDMEGs listed in Table 6. The type of correlation is represented in each corner of the graph. Methylation context is indicated by colors as shown by the legend. (**B**) Example of methylation patterns in promDMEGs n°6 (*Vitvi03g00483*), n°7 (*Vitvi04g01733*) and n°24 (*Vitvi14g00093*) in G- and G+ cells. Me+/-: methylation changes hyper-/hypomethylated; Exp +/-: gene expression up-/downregulated..

Table 6. Some DEGs are associated with DMRs localized at promoter. Identified promDMEGs and their associated function; Gene ID: identification based on 12xV2 grapevine genome annotation; Chr: Chromosome: Diff.Exp: Differential expression (Log2FoldChange); Diff.Meth: Difference of methylation between the two conditions. PromDMEGs in bold are non-TE promDMRs.

| N° promDME | G Gene ID | Chr | Diff. Exp ^a | Diff.meth | Context | Function | |
|------------|---------------|-------|------------------------|-----------|---------|---|-----|
| 1 | Vitvi02g01812 | chr00 | 1.35 | -0.49 | CHG | Unknown | 0 |
| 2 | Vitvi04g01539 | chr04 | 2.75 | -0.28 | CHG | ACT-like protein tyrosine kinase family | 6 |
| 3 | Vitvi04g00498 | chr04 | 1.01 | -0.27 | CG | Myosin heavy chain-related protein | é |
| 4 | Vitvi04g00159 | chr04 | 1.44 | -0.25 | CHG | electron carriere/heme binding/monooxygenase | dr |
| 5 | Vitvi03g00483 | chr03 | -2.86 | 0.13 | CHH | Carboxylesterase 13 | ĕr |
| 6 | Vitvi03g00483 | chr03 | -2.86 | 0.13 | CHH | Carboxylesterase 13 | 20 |
| 7 | Vitvi04g01733 | chr04 | -1.39 | 0.13 | CHH | S-adenosyl-L-methionine-dependent methyltransferases superfamily protein |)24 |
| 8 | Vitvi17g00607 | chr17 | -1.16 | 0.13 | CHH | L-malate dehydrogenase/oxidoreductase | - |
| 9 | Vitvi08g00969 | chr08 | -2.41 | 0.14 | CHH | Not in our list | |
| 10 | Vitvi18g00262 | chr18 | -1.90 | 0.14 | CHH | IAA-amino acid conjugate hydrolase/ metallopeptidase | |
| 11 | Vitvi05g02123 | chr05 | -2.50 | 0.14 | СНН | Cyclo-DOPA 5-O-glucosyltransferase | |
| 12 | Vitvi02g01690 | chr00 | -2.96 | 0.15 | CHH | Flavin-binding monooxygenase family protein | |
| 13 | Vitvi03g00755 | chr03 | -3.27 | 0.18 | CHH | CAP (Cysteine-rich secretory proteins, Antigen 5, and Pathogenesis-related 1 protein) superfamily protein | ein |
| 14 | Vitvi18g00430 | chr18 | -2.98 | 0.19 | CHH | Glucuronosyltransferase/Transcription factor) | |
| 15 | Vitvi07g00683 | chr07 | -1.00 | 0.23 | CHH | NTF2 family protein with RNA binding domain | |
| 16 | Vitvi17g00926 | chr17 | -1.86 | 0.25 | CHH | Not in our list | |
| 17 | Vitvi18g02335 | chr18 | -1.18 | 0.28 | CG | R protein L6 | |

| 18 | Vitvi06g00226 | chr06 | -1.17 | -0.41 | CG | Unknown |
|---------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-----|--|
| 19 | Vitvi18g02508 | chr18 | -3.37 | -0.31 | CG | Not assigned |
| 20 | Vitvi18g00482 | chr18 | 3.12 | 0.14 | CHH | purine transmembrane transporter |
| 21 | Vitvi02g00805 | chr02 | 1.03 | 0.15 | CHH | electron carriere/heme binding/monooxygenase |
| 22 | Vitvi16g00350 | chr16 | 2.80 | 0.16 | CHH | Integrase-type DNA-binding superfamily protein |
| 23 | Vitvi10g00558 | chr10 | 1.53 | 0.18 | CHH | Unknown |
| 24 | Vitvi14g00093 | chr14 | 1.07 | 0.19 | CHH | DNA binding/KNOX-like transcription factor |
| 25 | Vitvi03g00601 | chr03 | 2.71 | 0.20 | CHH | Binding/catalytic |
| 26 | Vitvi11g01472 | chr11 | 1.05 | 0.24 | CHH | Uncharacterized |
| 27 | Vitvi14g00118 | chr14 | 1.43 | 0.24 | CHH | Cam-binding protein 60-like G |
| 28 | Vitvi10g00924 | chr10 | 1.41 | 0.25 | CHG | No hit |
| 29 | Vitvi02g01406 | chr02 | 1.25 | 0.26 | CHH | Thaumatin |
| 30 | Vitvi16g01804 | chr16 | 1.18 | 0.27 | CHG | Acyl-CoA oxidases |
| 31 | Vitvi08g00716 | chr08 | 1.12 | 0.29 | CG | Receptor like protein 12 |
| 32 | Vitvi10g02090 | chr00 | 3.10 | 0.31 | CHH | Unknown |
| 33 | Vitvi14g01368 | chr14 | 1.07 | 0.34 | CHG | Receptor like protein kinase FERONIA |
| 34 | Vitvi02g01753 | chr00 | 2.37 | 0.37 | CHG | Not assigned |
| aLog2EC | | | | | | |

DISCUSSION

The consequences of carbohydrate depletion on heterotrophic plant tissues, was investigated studying CS cells that are strictly dependent on external carbon source. The CS cells were grown in the absence of light with a 10-fold reduction in glucose concentration (2 g/L) as compared to standard conditions (20 g/L). Taking advantage of the efficiency of heterotrophic cell in the control of carbon nutrition (Morkunas *et al.*, 2012), this study describes the genome-wide dynamic of DNA-methylation and its link with gene expression and metabolic changes in sugar depleted plant cells.

Previous studies in Arabidopsis and rice have shown that the response of cells to carbon limitation is rapid (Gout *et al.*, 2011) and results in the mobilization of cell carbon resources, such as proteins, lipids and starch and in the recycling of cellular components by autophagy (Contento, Kim and Bassham, 2004; Rose *et al.*, 2006; Wang *et al.*, 2007; Morkunas *et al.*, 2012). Cell viability decreases after 48 h, and cell death is observed after 72 h (Contento, Kim and Bassham, 2004; Wang *et al.*, 2007). Although cell division and death were no evaluated in our study, the CS cell biomass stopped increasing and major metabolic changes, similar to mose observed in Arabidopsis and rice cells, occurred 24 h to 48 h after transfer to sugar depleted medium. Total proteins were reduced after 48 hours and the G- cells were depleted in soluble sugar and total amino acid content. Metabolic fluxes required for the resources biosynthesis, including glycolysis and TCA cycle, showed a reduced activity in G- versus G+ cell. Inversely, those involved in resource mobilization including lipid, cell wall polymers and protein breakdown as well as starch mobilization were enhanced (Figure S7, Table S5). Such a behavior was previously suggested to be an adaptive process enabling the cells to maintain a continuous energy supply under sugar starvation (Morkunas *et al.*, 2012).

Transcriptomic reprogramming is consistent with a major metabolic stress

Changes in glucose availability to plant cells impact the expression of a large number of genes related to stress response, cell wall biogenesis, cellular metabolism, cell signaling, and transcription factors (Price *et al.*, 2004). While end products of PS such as sucrose and glucose repress photosynthetic gene

(Sheen, 1990), carbon depletion results in the induction of genes involved in PS and in nutrient mobilization from starch, sucrose and lipids, as alternative carbon source (Contento, Kim and Bassham, 2004; Wang *et al.*, 2007). Consistently, in our study, CS cells in G- conditions were characterized by a very strong upregulation of genes involved in PS, particularly in the light reactions (Figure 3B, Figure S10, S12 and S13), associated with the downregulation of those involved in cell cycle, biomass production including cell wall synthesis, glycolysis, nucleotides formation and the TCA cycle (Figure 3A). In contrast, genes contributing to cellular and metabolic processes associated with carbon mobilization such as autophagy, glyoxylate cycle, lipid, starch and sucrose breakdown pathways were upregulated (Figure 3B, Figure S10, Figure S11, Figure S12, Table S8).

These results are consistent with those obtained in sucrose-starved non-photosynthetic cells of Arabidopsis (Contento, Kim and Bassham, 2004), and demonstrate that CS cells present typical effects on their metabolism, gene expression patterns and phenotypes of cells under severe carbon depletion.

Cells under carbon depletion present changes in DNA-methylation levels and distribution

One important aspect of our work was to investigate whether carbon depletion could impact on the synthesis of SAM, the universal methyl donor, which in turn may affect methylation processes in plant cells, including DNA-methylation. Arabidopsis plants mutated in 1C key regulatory genes such as METHYLENETETRAHYDROFOLATE-DEHYDROGENASE or MET-ADENOSYLTRANSFERASE (respectively *mthfd1-*) and *mat4*) show altered DNA and/or histone methylation profiles (Groth *et al.*, 2016; Smith and Butler, 2018). In our study, at D6, both transcriptomic results and flux analyses demonstrated, respectively, the downregulation of genes involved in the methylene-THF cycle, and a reduction of fluxes through the THF pathway in G-condition (Figure 2 and 3, Figure \$12, Table \$6). However, fluxes toward DHF synthesis were only slow down at D6 (Figure 2, Table \$6), whereas those necessary for SAM synthesis were totally stopped, suggesting that the synthesis of SAM is not prioritized over other metabolites of the 1C metabolism. Hence, sugar depletion may result in limited availability of SAM and as a consequence of methylation activities.

Carbon limitation induces global DNA-methylation changes

Plant cell DNA-methylation landscape is modified in response to environmental changes in plants or when plants are facing nutrient starvation as for example phosphate limitation (Yong-Villalobos *et al.*, 2015; Akhter *et al.*, 2021; Tian *et al.*, 2021). The present work is showing that global cytosine methylation is higher in G- than in G+ condition with a 4%, 8% and 20% increase in the CG, CHG and CHH context respectively (Figure 4A, Table 3, Table 4). Consistently, the DNA-methylation level within genes and in 2 kb regions up/downstream of genes was higher in the CHG and CHH contexts in G- as compared to G+ conditions whereas no significant difference was found in the CG context (Figure 4B). A similar observation is made for TE, as hypo- and hyper-DMCs in the CG context were equally

abundant, while CHG hyper-DMCs were more abundant than CHG hypo-DMCs and almost all CHH DMCs were hyper- in G- cells (Figure 4 C, D).

Although unexpected, these results are consistent with recent studies showing that the efficiency and timing of DNA-methylation maintenance differs between the C sequence context during cell division (Borges et al., 2021). The CG methylation is transiently loss during the S phase, and rapidly reestablished at the G2 phase. In contrast, maintenance of CHG methylation is delayed resulting in hemimethylated sites that are reestablished when cell division stops, which leads to a transient reduction of the CHG DNA-methylation. The strongest effect is observed on CHH methylation, which is depleted in actively dividing cells in culture, probably as a result of the loss of small RNA during the cell cycle (Borges et al., 2021). In our study, G+ cells were actively growing from D4 to D8 (Figure 1B), when G- cells did not, as show by the absence of FW increase (Figure 1A). This is most likely due to an arrest of cell division of G- cells, considering the downregulation of cell cycle-related genes (Figure 3A). Thus, the CHH and CHG hypo-methylations observed in G+ versus G- cells might in part reflect the difference in cell division activity between the two conditions of our study. However, we cannot rule out that the dynamic of CHH DNA-methylation is also a direct consequence of the metabolic stress generated by carbon depletion. In grapevine, and in other plants, CHH methylation is highly dynamic in plants under stresses (Lin et al., 2022; López et al., 2022), an observation associated with the regulation of VvAGO, VvDCL and VvRDR gene families under abiotic stresses in grapevine (Zhao et al., 2015). We have controlled the expression of DNMT genes and of genes involved in DNA-methylation regulation. The VvMET1 gene was similarly expressed in both conditions, while VvDDM1, all VvCMT and VvDML3 were down regulated in G- cells. Hence there is no clear correlation between DNMT gene expression and DNA-methylation changes, an observation already performed in plants (Tian et al., 2021). As small RNAs are depleted in dividing cells (Borges et al., 2021) our analyses also focused on genes encoding putative ARGONAUTE (AGO), RNA-directed-RNA-Polymerase (RDR) and DICER-LIKE (DCL) proteins, which are known to participate in the RdDM pathway by controlling siRNA synthesis (Erdmann and Picard, 2020). The VvAGO10a and VvAGO11 were under-expressed in G- versus G+ cells, in contrast to VvAGO5, VvAGO2a, VvRDR1b and VvDCL2 which were over-expressed (Table 2). This complex expression patterns most likely reflects a dynamic regulation of the RdDM pathway in Gcells. Whether this could contribute to the hyper-methylation observed in the CHH and CHG contexts in G-cells remains to be demonstrated.

The majority of DMRs are localized at transposable elements

Differentially methylated regions are usually considered as functional regions associated with the control of gene expression and transposon activity. We have identified 848 DMRs that were equally distributed between CG, CHG and CHH sequence contexts (Figure 4D). Consistent with the metaanalysis of DNA methylation at genes and TEs and with DMCs distribution, hypo- and hyper-DMRs in the CG and CHG context were similarly abundant, unlike CHH-DMRs presented an important bias toward hyper-DMRs in G- versus G+ cells (Figure 4D).

Most DNA-methylation changes irrespective of the C sequence contexts were associated with TEs (Figure 4E). The TE associated DMRs also showed an overrepresentation of hyper-DMRs in the CHH context, as compared to CG and CHG context which were equally distributed between hyper- and hypo-DMRs (Figure 4E). In contrast, those located at promoters and gene bodies and not associated with TEs, were mainly found in the CG and CHG context and did not show any specific trend toward hyper- or hypo-methylation. This suggests that depending on their genomic features, DMRs triggered by difference in carbon availability in CS cells are generated by distinct mechanisms.

Hyper-methylated CHH at TEs have already been reported in various plants subjected to abiotic stresses, as for example, in maize (Achour *et al.*, 2019), as well as in tomato plants under phosphate starvation (Tian *et al.*, 2021). As TEs are targeted by repressive epigenetic marks as a preservation mechanism for genome integrity (Okamoto and Hirochika, 2001), this response has been associated with the activity of the RdDM pathway to transposons repression in accessible chromatin environments (Gent *et al.*, 2015). In our experiments, although we had not analyzed the small RNAs populations, it is likely that siRNAs are depleted in G+ conditions as cells are actively dividing. Therefore, hypo-methylated DMRs at TEs in G+ conditions might also be due to a loss of methylation in G+.

Overall, results showed that sugar limitation results in important changes in DNA methylation patterns in all sequence contexts mostly located at TEs. Furthermore, the high number of hyper-CHH argues in favor of the involvement of the RdDM pathway in response to sugar availability, either leading to a decrease in methylation in actively dividing cells (Borges *et al.*, 2021) or strengthening it in cells that present a nutritional stress, or a combination of both.

Differential methylation may have an impact on gene expression in cells under carbon depletion.

Among the 848 DMRs identified, 165 (19%) were located in genes and 186 (22%) in their promoter region (-2kb upstream the TSS; Figure 4E). Among them, 90 and 18 were not associated with TEs. Interestingly, intragenic-DMRs were preferentially CG- (86 over 165) and CHG-DMRs (59 over 165) with very few CHH-DMRs (20 over 165). All except one intragenic CHH-DMRs were hyper-methylated and associated with TE. The Gene-CG-DMRs were mostly hyper-methylated (53 over 83) in G- cells, suggesting that this differential methylation might be directly linked to a response to carbon depletion, as was observed for promDMRs not associated with TE. The DMRs located in genes and promoters were enriched in genes related to the central and secondary metabolism, along with those involved in stress response, photosynthesis, chromatin structure, protein PTMs, and transcription regulation (Table 6, S10 and S11).

Among the 5,607 identified DEGs, 34 present DMRs located in their promoter regions, among which 17 show a negative correlation between methylation and gene expression. These 17 promDMEGs include genes coding for putative transcription factors such as a Class 1 KNOX gene, enzymes involved

in the central metabolic pathways including a malate dehydrogenase, and an S-adenosyl-methioninedependent methyltransferase among others (Figure 5B, Table 6). This observation strongly suggests that DNA-methylation is involved in the control of gene expression as an integrated part of the cell metabolic and molecular responses to carbon depletion. Similarly, differentially expressed genes related to phosphate starvation regulation in tomato plants under low phosphate conditions display DNAmethylation changes (Tian *et al.*, 2021). Hence, DNA-methylation dynamic likely represents a general strategy of plant cells to deal with nutritional stresses, such as carbon depletion.

However, additional regulatory processes, including other epigenetic mechanisms may also be at work. Consistently, many DEGs participating in cellular processes involved in the responses of grapevine cells to carbon starvation were not associated with changes in DNA methylation levels in their promoter regions, including DEGs involved in autophagy, protein or lipid mobilization. However, as for DNA-methylation, HPTMs also rely on the availability of metabolic precursors and cofactors. Several DEGs encoding HMTs were identified and shown to be downregulated in CS cells under glucose depletion (Table 2), consistent with a limitation of most methylation processes associated with epigenetic modifications in G- cells. Moreover, inhibition of fluxes involved in the TCA cycle was observed in G- cells, that could result in change in histone methylation patterns as observed in mammalians (Xiao *et al.*, 2012).

Overall, results showed that epigenetic changes under carbon shortage are complex and may rely not only on DNA-methylation but also involve histone post-translational modifications. The differential expression of histone modifiers in G- versus G+ cells suggests that the distribution of histone marks may also be affected under sugar depletion. Recent reports have shown that autophagy, a major process involved in cell response to carbon starvation is associated with changes in histone mark distribution including the acetylation of Lys16 of histone 4 (H4K16ac) and dimethylation of H3K9 (H3K9me2) at genes involved in the autophagy pathway in mammals (Füllgrabe, Klionsky and Joseph, 2014; Yu *et al.*, 2023). Further analysis is now needed to assess the changes in HPTMs distribution induced under carbon depletion and their relation with gene expression in plant cells.

In summary, our study provides a comprehensive genome-wide analysis of DNA-methylation changes and their relationship with gene expression to orchestrate metabolic adjustment in the response of plant cells to sugar depletion. In particular, we have demonstrated an important DNA-methylation remodeling under carbon depletion, that can be associated with changes in the expression of genes encoding enzymes involved in the cell metabolism, but also transcription factors that in turn may coordinate the response of cells to carbon depletion.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture

Grape cell suspensions of CS Berries (CSB, (Atanassova *et al.*, 2003) initiated from fruit tissues were cultured in 50 mL of liquid Murashige and Skoog medium (MS, Sygma M0221) supplemented with vitamins (0.025 g/L of *myo*-inositol, 0.25 mg/L of nicotinic acid, calcium pantothenate, HCL pyridoxine, HCL thiamine and 0.0025 mg/L of D(+)-Biotin), 0.6 mg/L of benzylaminopurine (BAP), 2.3 mg/L of naphthalene acetic acid (NAA), 0.25g/L of casein enzymatic hydrolysate (Sigma C0626), and 20 g/L of glucose in 250 mL Erlenmeyer at 23°C in darkness on an orbital shaker (120 rpm). Cells were subcultured weekly by transferring 10 mL of the cell suspension to 40 mL of fresh medium, in mdividual vials for each time point and condition. Sample collection was performed every day from the day of inoculation (D0) to ten days after (D10) at the same hour. Cell growth was estimated by measuring the cell fresh weight (FW) in 30ml culture after removal of the culture medium by filtration. Cells and culture medium were subsequently frozen in liquid nitrogen, ground to a fine powder in a Retsch Mixer Mill MM 400 (Fischer Scientific), and stored at -80°C until processed.

For sugar depletion experiments, cells cultured during four days in standard condition (SD) were transferred to either a glucose rich (G+: 110 mM i.e.20 g/L) or glucose poor (G-: 11 mM i.e. 2 g/L) medium (Figure S1B) and grown for 6 additional days. The cell water content was evaluated using ~140 to 200 mg FW of frozen (maintained at -80°C) grounded cells, by comparing the cell mass before and after lyophilization.

Metabolic analysis

Glucose and fructose concentrations in culture medium were measured enzymatically with an automated absorbance microplate reader (Elx800UV, Biotek Instruments Inc., Winooski, VT, USA) using the glucose/fructose kit from BioSenTec (Toulouse, France) according to manufacturer's instructions. The results were presented as 'extracellular glucose' concentration. Extractions for both targeted and untargeted metabolite analyses were performed in quadruplicate for each sample of frozen cell powder. Extraction was performed as described in (Luna *et al.*, 2020) at the *Bordeaux Metabolome Facility* (https://metabolome.u-bordeaux.fr/en/, Villenave d'Ornon, France) with the following modifications: (1) 20 mg of fresh frozen-powder (FW) of each replicate sample were used for extraction, (2) ~10 mg of polyvinylpolypyrrolidone (PVPP) were added in each tube prior to extraction to precipitate polyphenols.

Analyses of soluble sugars (glucose, fructose, sucrose), starch, malate, citrate, total soluble proteins, total amino acids were conducted at *Bordeaux Metabolome Facility*. Measurements were based on coupled enzyme assays as described previously in (Biais *et al.*, 2014), except for total soluble proteins measured by Bradford assay (Bradford, 1976).

Extraction of NAD+, NADH, NADP+ and NADPH was performed from 20 mg of fresh frozen grapevine cell powder (FW) with the addition of ~10 mg of PVPP prior to extraction and quantified as

described by (Decros *et al.*, 2019, 2023). Quantification was performed in technical duplicate using four independent biological replicates.

Analysis of 19 amino acids (excluding tryptophane and cysteine) was performed essentially as described by (Arrizabalaga-Arriazu *et al.*, 2020). Briefly, extracts were derived with 6-aminoquinolyl-*N*hydroxysuccinimidyl carbamate (AccQ-Tag derivatization reagent, Waters, Milford, MA, USA) and analysed using an UltiMate 3000 UHPLC with an FLD-3000 Fluorescence Detector (Thermo Electron SAS, Waltham, MA, USA). Free amino acids' separation was achieved with an AccQ-Tag Ultra column, 2.1×100 mm, 1.7μ m (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) at 37 °C with elution at 0.5 mL/min (sodium acetate buffer, 140 mM at pH 5.7; acetonitrile; water) and detection was performed at 395 nm with an excitation wavelength at 150 nm.

Analysis of cell wall biomass was performed on pellets resulting from the metabolite extraction described above following the *Bordeaux Metabolome* platform protocol. Briefly, after metabolite extraction, pellets were separated from supernatant and resuspended in 250 μ D of NaOH 0.5 M at 95°C for 20 min. Supernatant was removed after centrifugation, the pellet was washed twice by addition of 250 μ L of water and centrifuged at 2500 rpm for 10 min. After overnight lyophilization, cell wall content was estimated by calculating the difference of tube weight before and after discarding the pellet.

Untargeted metabolic analysis were performed as described in (Dussarrat et al., 2022, 2024), using 4 independent biological replicates (n=4). The analytical sequence contained 10 Quality Control (QC) samples, prepared by mixing 20 µL of each sample, to correct the signal drift during the analytical batch and to the calculation of coefficients of variation for each metabolomic feature optimized parameters (Supplemental Material 1). Putative annotation of differentially expressed metabolites was performed MSDIAL by screening the online library (MSMS-Public-Neg-VS15, http://prime.psc.riken.jp/compms/msdial/main.html#MSP; (Tsugawa et al., 2015)) using the precise m/z of the parent ion and MS² fragmentation spectra. After data-cleaning (blank check, S/N>10, CV(QC)<30%), the dataset was normalized (median normalization, cube-root transformation and Pareto scaling) using MetaboAnalyst (v 5.0; (Pang et al., 2021) prior to multivariate statistical analyses.

Flux-Balance Model

The flux-balance model of heterotrophic plant central metabolism used here was initially described in (Colombié *et al.*, 2015) and improved (details given in (Lacrampe *et al.*, 2024) to better describe all the reactions involving energy, metabolic compounds for biomass synthesis (proteins, cell wall, lipids, nucleotides, amino acids) and metabolic precursors for secondary metabolism. Details are provided in supplementary information.

Software

Molecular characterisation

Nucleic acid extraction

Both DNA and RNA were both extracted from the same sample (~200 mg cells of fresh frozen powder,FW) of independent biological replicates (n=3) of each conditions (D4, D6 G+, D6 G-) according to (Berger *et al.*, 2022).

Bioinformatic analysis of RNAseq data

High-throughput sequencing of RNA samples were performed using DNBSEQ Sequencing technology (pair-end, 150bp) service provided by BGI-Genomics platform (http://bgi.com). We generated 9 cDNA libraries corresponding to three biological replicates for each group (D6 G+ and D6 G-). Raw reads were trimmed using Trimmomatic v0.38 in PE mode (Bolger, Lonse and Usadel, 2014). The sequence alignment files were generated by STAR (version 2.5.1b,(Dobin *et al.*, 2013). To generate the raw gene counts, we used the *featureCounts* function of the Rsubread package (Liao, Smyth and Shi, 2014) which assigns mapped sequencing reads to genomic features based on the grapevine reference genome assembly of PN40024 12X.2 (https://urgi.versailles.inra.fr/Species/Vitis/Data-Sequences/Genome-sequences). We used the DESeq2 package to identify differentially expressed genes (Love, Huber and Anders, 2014). After selection of genes presenting a count per million reads (CPM) >10, differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified using a False Discovery Rate (FDR)-adjusted p-value threshold < 0.05. In addition, only DEGs with a log2 fold change > 1.0 were selected.

Bioinformatic analysis of WGBS data

Whole Genome Bisulfite Sequencing of DNA samples were performed using DNBSEQ-sequencing (pair end, 100bp) technology provided by BGI-Genomics. Reads obtained from the WGBS approach were first trimmed with TrimGalore! (Version v0.4.5). First, we assessed the bisulfite conversion rate using the unmethylated grapevine chloroplast genome with Bismark tool (version v0.20.0, (Krueger and Andrews, 2011). Cleaned reads were then aligned onto the grapevine reference genome PN40024 (12Xv2) using Bismark (version v0.20.0) allowing 5 mismatches. Reads with multiple alignment were discarded. PCR duplicates were also removed using the *deduplicate_bismark* tool. The methylation state of each cytosine was calculated, for the three contexts CG, CHG and CHH. We then used the DSS R package (dispersion shrinkage for sequencing data - version 2.39.0, (Wu *et al.*, 2015) to identify

differentially methylated regions (DMRs) based on a Wald test procedure and accounting for both biological variations among replicates and sequencing depths. First, differential methylation statistical tests were performed at each C locus by calling the DSS *DMLtest* function with smoothing the methylation levels using a simple moving average algorithm. Then, differentially methylated loci were retained when the difference in mean methylation levels was >0.1 for CG or CHG contexts and >0.07 for CHH context with a posterior probability >0.9999. DMRs were then identified using the DSS callDMR function with standard parameters. To define hypo- or hyper-DMRs, we applied a cut-off of at least a 10%, 25% and 25% change in methylation ratio for CHHs, CHGs, and CGs, respectively.

MapMan analysis

All the gene models were automatically categorized according to the MapMan ontology (x3.6) with Mercator tool (Lohse *et al.*, 2014) and MapMan standalone software v3.5.1 (Thimm *et al.*, 2004) was used to explore the data.

Statistical analysis

Untargeted metabolic profiling data were checked for statistical significance by ANOVA for global variation. Significant differentially accumulated metabolites among conditions considered (Fisher's P<0.01) were determined and analyzed using MetaboAnalyst 5.0 online software (https://www.metaboanalyst.ca/docs/Format.xhtml).

For all other analyses, mean and confidence interval values (CI) were calculated with R software (v4.1.1). Data were checked for normality using Shapiro-Wilk test, and further checked for significance by performing parametric (t-test) or non-parametric (Wilcox-Mann-Whitney test) depending on their normality status.

Aknowledgments

We are grateful to the genotoul bioinformatics platform Toulouse Occitanie (Bioinfo Genotoul, https://doi.org/10.15454/1.5572369328961167E12) for providing advice, and giving access to computing facilities and storage resources.

Margot Berger was in receipt of a grant financed by CNIV (Comité National des Interprofessions du Vin) and by the Région Nouvelle Aquitaine. Bernadette Rubio was in receipt of the PNDV (Plan National du dépérissement de la Vigne) funding EPIDEP. The work was supported by PNDV, Region Nouvelle Aquitaine and Bordeaux University.

Sample Data availability

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

Achour, Z., Joets, J., Leguilloux, M., Sellier, H., Pichon, J.-P., Leveugle, M., Duborjal, H., Caius, J., Brunaud, V., Roux, C.P.-L., Mary-Huard, T., Giauffret, C. and Vitte, C. (2019) *Low temperature triggers genome-wide hypermethylation of transposable elements and centromeres in maize*. preprint. Plant Biology. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1101/573915.

Akhter, Z., Bi, Z., Ali, K., Sun, C., Fiaz, S., Haider, F.U. and Bai, J. (2021) 'In Response to Abiotic Stress, DNA Methylation Confers EpiGenetic Changes in Plants', *Plants*, 10(6), p. 1096. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10061096.

Arrizabalaga-Arriazu, M., Gomès, E., Morales, F., Irigoyen, J.J., Pascual, I. and Hilbert, G. (2020) 'High Temperature and Elevated Carbon Dioxide Modify Berry Composition of Different Clones of Grapevine (Vitis vinifera L.) cv. Tempranillo', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 11, p. 603687. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.603687.

Atanassova, R., Leterrier, M., Gaillard, C., Agasse, A., Sagot, E., Coutos-Thévenot, P. and Delrot, S. (2003) 'Sugar-Regulated Expression of a Putative Hexose Transport Gene in Grape', *Plant Physiology*, 131(1), pp. 326–334. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.009522.

Belles-Boix, E., Hamant, O., Witiak, S.M., Morin, H., Traas, J. and Pautot, V. (2006) '*KNAT6* : An *Arabidopsis* Homeobox Gene Involved in Meristem Activity and Organ Separation', *The Plant Cell*, 18(8), pp. 1900–1907. Available at https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.106.041988.

Berger, M.M.J., Lailheugue, V., Zhelyazova, G., Stammitti, L., Lauvergeat, V., Barrieu, F. and Gallusci, P. (2022) 'One prep to catch them all: "2 in 1", an efficient method for the simultaneous extraction of DNA and RNA from Grapevine tissues', *OENO One*, 56(2), pp. 1–13. Available at: https://doi.org/10.20870/oeno-one.2022.56.2.5000.

Bewick, A.J. and Schmitz, R.J. (2017) 'Gene body DNA methylation in plants', *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 36, pp. 103–110. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2016.12.007.

Biais, B., Bénard, C., Beauvoit, B., Colombié, S., Prodhomme, D., Ménard, G., Bernillon, S., Gehl, B., Gautier, H., Ballias, P., Mazat, J.-P., Sweetlove, L., Génard, M. and Gibon, Y. (2014) 'Remarkable Reproducibility of Enzyme Activity Profiles in Tomato Fruits Grown under Contrasting Environments Provides a Roadmap for Studies of Fruit Metabolism', *Plant Physiology*, 164(3), pp. 1204–1221. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.113.231241.

Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M. and Usadel, B. (2014) 'Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data', *Bioinformatics*, 30(15), pp. 2114–2120. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170.

Borges, F., Donoghue, M.T.A., LeBlanc, C., Wear, E.E., Tanurdžić, M., Berube, B., Brooks, A., Thompson, W.F., Hanley-Bowdoin, L. and Martienssen, R.A. (2021) 'Loss of Small-RNA-Directed

DNA Methylation in the Plant Cell Cycle Promotes Germline Reprogramming and Somaclonal Variation', *Current Biology*, 31(3), pp. 591-600.e4. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2020.10.098.

Bradford, M.M. (1976) 'A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding', *Analytical Biochemistry*, 72(1–2), pp. 248–254. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(76)90527-3.

Canaguier, A., Grimplet, J., Di Gaspero, G., Scalabrin, S., Duchêne, E., Choisne, N., Mohellibi, N., Guichard, C., Rombauts, S., Le Clainche, I., Bérard, A., Chauveau, A., Bounon, R., Rustenholz, C., Morgante, M., Le Paslier, M.-C., Brunel, D. and Adam-Blondon, A.-F. (2017) 'A new version of the grapevine reference genome assembly (12X.v2) and of its annotation (VCost.v3)', *Genomics Data*, 14, 'pp. 56–62. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gdata.2017.09.002.

Chang, Y., Zhu, C., Jiang, J., Zhang, H., Zhu, J. and Duan, C. (2020) 'Epigenetic regulation in plant abiotic stress responses', *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology*, 62(5), pp. 563–580. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/jipb.12901.

Chen, Q., Zhang, J. and Li, G. (2022) 'Dynamic epigenetic modifications in plant sugar signal transduction', *Trends in Plant Science*, 27(4), pp. 379–390. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2021.10.009.

Colombié, S., Nazaret, C., Bénard, C., Biais, B., Mengin, V., Solé, M., Fouillen, L., Dieuaide-Noubhani, M., Mazat, J., Beauvoit, B. and Gibon, Y. (2015) 'Modelling central metabolic fluxes by constraint-based optimization reveals metabolic reprogramming of developing *Solanum lycopersicum* (tomato) fruit', *The Plant Journal*, 81(1), pp. 24–39. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.12685.

Contento, A.L., Kim, S.-J. and Bassham, D.C. (2004) 'Transcriptome Profiling of the Response of Arabidopsis Suspension Culture Cells to Suc Starvation', *Plant Physiology*, 135(4), pp. 2330–2347. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.104.044362.

Decros, G., Beauvoit, B., Colombié, S., Cabasson, C., Bernillon, S., Arrivault, S., Guenther, M., Belouah, I., Prigent, S., Baldet, P., Gibon, Y. and Pétriacq, P. (2019) 'Regulation of Pyridine Nucleotide Metabolism During Tomato Fruit Development Through Transcript and Protein Profiling', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 10, p. 1201. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.01201.

Decros, G., Dussarrat, T., Baldet, P., Cassan, C., Cabasson, C., Dieuaide-Noubhani, M., Destailleur, A., Flandin, A., Prigent, S., Mori, K., Colombié, S., Jorly, J., Gibon, Y., Beauvoit, B. and Pétriacq, P. (2023) 'Enzyme-based kinetic modelling of ASC–GSH cycle during tomato fruit development reveals the importance of reducing power and ROS availability', *New Phytologist*, 240(1), pp. 242–257. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.19160.

Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson, M. and Gingeras, T.R. (2013) 'STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner', *Bioinformatics*, 29(1), pp. 15–21. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635.

Donohoe, D.R. and Bultman, S.J. (2012) 'Metaboloepigenetics: Interrelationships between energy metabolism and epigenetic control of gene expression', *Journal of Cellular Physiology*, 227(9), pp. 3169–3177. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.24054.

Dussarrat, T., Díaz, F.P., Carrasco-Puga, G., Colombié, S., Prigent, S., Decros, G., Bernillon, S., Cassan, C., Flandin, A., Guerrero, P.C., Gibon, Y., Rolin, D., Cavieres, L.A., Pétriacq, P., Latorre, C. and Gutiérrez, R.A. (2024) 'Ecological and metabolic implications of the nurse effect of *Maihueniopsis camachoi* in the Atacama Desert', *New Phytologist*, 241(3), pp. 1074–1087. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.19415.

Dussarrat, T., Prigent, S., Latorre, C., Bernillon, S., Flandin, A., Díaz, F.P., Cassan, C., Van Delft, P., Jacob, D., Varala, K., Joubes, J., Gibon, Y., Rolin, D., Gutiérrez, R.A. and Pétriacq, P. (2022) 'Predictive metabolomics of multiple Atacama plant species unveils a core set of generic metabolites for extreme climate resilience', *New Phytologist*, 234(5), pp. 1614–1628. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.18095.

Erdmann, R.M. and Picard, C.L. (2020) 'RNA-directed DNA Methylation', *PLOS Genetics*, 16(10), p. e1009034. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009034.

Feng, Y., Chen, Y., Wu, X., Chen, J., Zhou, Q., Liu, B., Zhang, L. and Yi, C. (2024) 'Interplay of energy metabolism and autophagy', *Autophagy*, 20(1), pp. 4–14. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/15548627.2023.2247300.

Füllgrabe, J., Klionsky, D.J. and Joseph, B. (2014) 'The return of the nucleus: transcriptional and epigenetic control of autophagy', *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, 15(1), pp. 65–74. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3716.

Gent, J.I., Madzima, T.F., Bader, R., Kent, M.R., Zhang, X., Stam, M., McGinnis, K.M. and Dawe, R.K. (2015) 'Accessible DNA and Relative Depletion of H3K9me2 at Maize Loci Undergoing RNA-Directed DNA Methylation', *The Plant Cell*, 26(12), pp. 4903–4917. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.114.130427.

Gout, E., Bligny, R., Douce, R., Boisson, A. and Rivasseau, C. (2011) Early response of plant cell to carbon deprivation: *in vivo* ³¹ P-NMR spectroscopy shows a quasi-instantaneous disruption on cytosolic sugars, phosphorylated intermediates of energy metabolism, phosphate partitioning, and intracellular pHs', *New Phytologist*, 189(1), pp. 135–147. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03449.x.

Groth, M., Moissiard, G., Wirtz, M., Wang, H., Garcia-Salinas, C., Ramos-Parra, P.A., Bischof, S., Feng, S., Cokus, S.J., John, A., Smith, D.C., Zhai, J., Hale, C.J., Long, J.A., Hell, R., Díaz De La Garza, R.I. and Jacobsen, S.E. (2016) 'MTHFD1 controls DNA methylation in Arabidopsis', *Nature Communications*, 7(1), p. 11640. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11640.

He, G., Elling, A.A. and Deng, X.W. (2011) 'The Epigenome and Plant Development', *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 62(1), pp. 411-435. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-042110-103806.

Krueger, F. and Andrews, S.R. (2011) 'Bismark: a flexible aligner and methylation caller for Bisulfite-Seq applications', *Bioinformatics*, 27(11), pp. 1571–1572. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr167.

Lacrampe, N., Lugan, R., Dumont, D., Nicot, P.C., Lecompte, F. and Colombié, S. (2024) 'Modelling metabolic fluxes of tomato stems reveals that nitrogen shapes central metabolism for defence against *Botrytis cinerea*', *Journal of Experimental Botany*, p. erae140. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erae140.

Leung, J. and Gaudin, V. (2020) 'Who Rules the Cell? An Epi-Tale of Histone, DNA, RNA, and the Metabolic Deep State', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 11, p. 181. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00181.

Liao, Y., Smyth, G.K. and Shi, W. (2014) 'featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features', *Bioinformatics*, 30(7), pp. 923–930. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656.

Lin, X., Zhou, M., Yao, J., Li, Q.Q. and Zhang, Y.-Y. (2022) 'Phenotypic and Methylome Responses to Salt Stress in Arabidopsis thaliana Natural Accessions', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 13, p. 841154. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.841154.

Lindermayr, C., Rudolf, E.E., Durner, J. and Groth, M. (2020) 'Interactions between metabolism and chromatin in plant models', *Molecular Metabolism*, 38, p. 100951. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmet.2020.01.015.

Liu, R. and Lang, Z. (2020) 'The mechanism and function of active DNA demethylation in plants', *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology*, 62(1), pp. 148–159. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/jipb.12879.

Lohse, M., Nagel, A., Herter, T., May, P., Schroda, M., Zrenner, R., Tohge, T., Fernie, A.R., Stitt, M. and Usadel, B. (2014) 'M ercator: a fast and simple web server for genome scale functional annotation of plant sequence data', *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 37(5), pp. 1250–1258. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.12231.

López, M.-E., Roquis, D., Becker, C., Denoyes, B. and Bucher, E. (2022) 'DNA methylation dynamics during stress response in woodland strawberry (*Fragaria vesca*)', *Horticulture Research*, 9, p. uhac174. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/hr/uhac174.

Love, M.I., Huber, W. and Anders, S. (2014) 'Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2', *Genome Biology*, 15(12), p. 550. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8.

Lu, C. and Thompson, C.B. (2012) 'Metabolic Regulation of Epigenetics', *Cell Metabolism*, 16(1), pp. 9–17. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2012.06.001.

Luna, E., Flandin, A., Cassan, C., Prigent, S., Chevanne, C., Kadiri, C.F., Gibon, Y. and Pétriacq, P. (2020) 'Metabolomics to Exploit the Primed Immune System of Tomato Fruit', *Metabolites*, 10(3), p. 96. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo10030096.

Magen, S., Seybold, H., Laloum, D. and Avin-Wittenberg, T. (2022) 'Metabolism and autophagy in plants—a perfect match', *FEBS Letters*, 596(17), pp. 2133–2151. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1002/1873-3468.14359.

Morkunas, I., Borek, S., Formela, M. and Ratajczak, L. (2012) 'Plant Responses to Sugar Starvation', in C.-F. Chang (ed.) *Carbohydrates - Comprehensive Studies on Glycobiology and Glycotechnology*. InTech. Available at: https://doi.org/10.5772/51569.

Okamoto, H. and Hirochika, H. (2001) 'Silencing of transposable elements in plants', *Trends in Plant Science*, 6(11), pp. 527–534. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1360-1385(01)02105-7.

Pang, Z., Chong, J., Zhou, G., de Lima Morais, D.A., Chang, L., Barrette, M., Gauthier, C., Jacques, P.-E., Li, S. and Xia, J. (2021) 'MetaboAnalyst 5.0: narrowing the gap between raw spectra and functional insights', *Nucleic Acids Research*, 49(W1), pp. W388–W396. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab382.

Pedrotti, L., Weiste, C., Nägele, T., Wolf, E., Lorenzin, F., Dietrich, K., Mair, A., Weckwerth, W., Teige, M., Baena-González, E. and Dröge-Laser, W. (2018) 'Snf1-RELATED KINASE1-Controlled C/S₁-bZIP Signaling Activates Alternative Mitochondrial Metabolic Pathways to Ensure Plant Survival in Extended Darkness', *The Plant Cell*, 30(2), pp. 495–509. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.17.00414.

Price, J., Laxmi, A., St. Martin, S.K. and Jang, J.-C. (2004) 'Global Transcription Profiling Reveals Multiple Sugar Signal Transduction Mechanisms in Arabidopsis[W]', *The Plant Cell*, 16(8), pp. 2128–2150. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.104.022616.

Rose, T.L., Bonneau, L., Der, C., Marty-Mazars, D. and Marty, F. (2006) 'Starvation-induced expression of autophagy-related genes in Arabidopsis', *Biology of the Cell*, 98(1), pp. 53–67. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1042/BC20040516.

Shangguan, L., Fang, X., Chen, L., Cui, L. and Fang, J. (2018) 'Genome-wide analysis of autophagyrelated genes (ARGs) in grapevine and plant tolerance to copper stress', *Planta*, 247(6), pp. 1449– 1463. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-018-2864-3.

Sheen, J. (1990) 'Metabolic repression of transcription in higher plants.', *The Plant Cell*, 2(10), pp. 1027–1038. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.2.10.1027.

Smith, L. and Butler, N. (2018) 'Powering Epigenetics through the 1C Pathway', *Plant Physiology*, 177(2), pp. 443–444. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.18.00456.

Thimm, O., Bläsing, O., Gibon, Y., Nagel, A., Meyer, S., Krüger, P., Selbig, J., Müller, L.A., Rhee, S.Y. and Stitt, M. (2004) 'MAPMAN : a user-driven tool to display genomics data sets onto diagrams of metabolic pathways and other biological processes', *The Plant Journal*, 37(6), pp. 914–939. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2004.02016.x.

Tian, P., Lin, Z., Lin, D., Dong, S., Huang, J. and Huang, T. (2021) 'The pattern of DNA methylation alteration, and its association with the changes of gene expression and alternative splicing during phosphate starvation in tomato', *The Plant Journal*, 108(3), pp. 841–858. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.15486.

Tsugawa, H., Cajka, T., Kind, T., Ma, Y., Higgins, B., Ikeda, K., Kanazawa, M., VanderGheynst, J., Fiehn, O. and Arita, M. (2015) 'MS-DIAL: data-independent MS/MS deconvolution for comprehensive metabolome analysis', *Nature Methods*, 12(6), pp. 523–526. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3393.

Wang, H.-J., Wan, A.-R., Hsu, C. M., Lee, K.-W., Yu, S.-M. and Jauh, G.-Y. (2007) 'Transcriptomic adaptations in rice suspension cells under sucrose starvation', *Plant Molecular Biology*, 63(4), pp. 441–463. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-006-9100-4.

Wang, L., Ahmad, B., Liang, C., Shi, X., Sun, R., Zhang, S. and Du, G. (2020) 'Bioinformatics and expression analysis of histone modification genes in grapevine predict their involvement in seed development, powdery mildew resistance, and hormonal signaling', *BMC Plant Biology*, 20(1), p. 412. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-020-02618-7.

Wang, L., Kong, D., Lv, Q., Niu, G., Han, T., Zhao, X., Meng, S., Cheng, Q., Guo, S., Du, J., Wu, Z., Wang, J., Bao, F., Hu, Y., Pan, X., Xia, J., Yuan, D., Han, L., Lian, T., Zhang, C., Wang, H., He, X.-J. and He, Y. (2017) 'Tetrahydrofolate Modulates Floral Transition through Epigenetic Silencing', *Plant Physiology*, 174(2), pp. 1274–1284. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.16.01750.

Wang, L., Wang, C., Liu, X., Cheng, J., Li, S., Zhu, J.-K. and Gong, Z. (2019) 'Peroxisomal β oxidation regulates histone acetylation and DNA methylation in *Arabidopsis*', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116(21), pp. 10576–10585. Available at:
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1904143116.

Wang, W., Lu, Y., Li, J., Zhang, X., Hu, F., Zhao, Y. and Zhou, D.-X. (2021) 'SnRK1 stimulates the histone H3K27me3 demethylase JMJ705 to regulate a transcriptional switch to control energy

homeostasis', *The Plant Cell*, 33(12), pp. 3721–3742. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/plcell/koab224.

Wu, H., Xu, T., Feng, H., Chen, L., Li, B., Yao, B., Qin, Z., Jin, P. and Conneely, K.N. (2015) 'Detection of differentially methylated regions from whole-genome bisulfite sequencing data without replicates', *Nucleic Acids Research*, p. gkv715. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv715.

Xiao, M., Yang, H., Xu, W., Ma, S., Lin, H., Zhu, H., Liu, L., Liu, Y., Yang, C., Xu, Y., Zhao, S., Ye, D., Xiong, Y. and Guan, K.-L. (2012) 'Inhibition of α-KG-dependent histone and DNA demethylases by fumarate and succinate that are accumulated in mutations of FH and SDH tumor suppressors', *Genes & Development*, 26(12), pp. 1326–1338. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.191056.112.

Yong-Villalobos, L., González-Morales, S.I., Wrobel, K., Gutiérrez-Alanis, D., Cervantes-Peréz, S.A., Hayano-Kanashiro, C., Oropeza-Aburto, A., Cruz-Ramírez, A., Martínez, O. and Herrera-Estrella, L. (2015) 'Methylome analysis reveals an important role for epigenetic changes in the regulation of the *Arabidopsis* response to phosphate starvation', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(52). Available at: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1522301112.

Yu, Y.S., Kim, H., Kim, K.I. and Baek, S.H. (2023) 'Epigenetic regulation of autophagy by histonemodifying enzymes under nutrient stress', *Cell Death & Differentiation*, 30(6), pp. 1430–1436. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41418-023-01154-9.

Zhang, H., Deng, X., Miki, D., Cutler, S., La, H., Hou, Y.-J., Oh, J. and Zhu, J.-K. (2012) 'Sulfamethazine Suppresses Epigenetic Silencing in *Arabidopsis* by Impairing Folate Synthesis', *The Plant Cell*, 24(3), pp. 1230–1241. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.112.096149.

Zhao, H., Zhao, K., Wang, J., Chen, X., Chen, Z., Cai, R. and Xiang, Y. (2015) 'Comprehensive Analysis of Dicer-Like, Argonaute, and RNA-dependent RNA Polymerase Gene Families in Grapevine (Vitis Vinifera)', *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*, 34(1), pp. 108–121. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-014-9448-7

Zhu, T., Li, L., Feng, L., Mo, H. and Ren, M. (2020) 'Target of Rapamycin Regulates Genome Methylation Reprogramming to Control Plant Growth in Arabidopsis', *Frontiers in Genetics*, 11, p. 186. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2020.00186.

200