

## Pollen Identification and Concentration Estimation Using High Resolution Imaging and Deep Learning

Anandaramane Candassamy, Adeline Pouthier, Alice Caplier, Jocelyn Chanussot, David O'Connor, Roland Sarda-Esteve, Dominique Baisnee, Benjamin Guinot, Dominique Filippi, Sylvain Bonnefond

### ▶ To cite this version:

Anandaramane Candassamy, Adeline Pouthier, Alice Caplier, Jocelyn Chanussot, David O'Connor, et al.. Pollen Identification and Concentration Estimation Using High Resolution Imaging and Deep Learning. EUSIPCO 2024, pp.1651-1655, 2024. hal-04790358

## HAL Id: hal-04790358 https://hal.science/hal-04790358v1

Submitted on 19 Nov 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Pollen Identification and Concentration Estimation Using High Resolution Imaging and Deep Learning

Anandaramane CANDASSAMY ACTIV GIPSA Lab, Oberon Sciences Grenoble, France anand.candassamy@oberon.one Alice CAPLIER, Jocelyn CHANUSSOT ACTIV, Sigmaphy GIPSA Lab, CNRS Grenoble, France <u>alice.caplier@grenoble-inp.fr</u>, jocelyn.chanussot@grenoble-inp.fr

Adeline POUTHIER Hamamatsu Photonics Massy Palaiseau, France apouthier@hamamatsu.fr David O'CONNOR School of Chemical Sciences SFI Research Centre for Data Analytics Dublin, Ireland <u>david.x.oconnor@dcu.ie</u> Roland SARDA-ESTEVE, Dominique BAISNEE Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement CEA-CNRS Saint-Aubin, France roland.sarda-esteve@lsce.ipsl.fr

Benjamin GUINOT, Dominique FILIPPI, Sylvain BONNEFOND *Oberon Sciences* Grenoble, France <u>benjamin.guinot@oberon.one</u>

Abstract— Climate change, coupled with the rise in pollen concentrations and extended pollen seasons, poses significant challenges to public health, particularly for individuals with pollen allergies and/or respiratory diseases. In response to these challenges, the work here's objective is to pioneer an automated trainable system for the recognition and counting of pollen grains. Such a system would enhance efficiency, allowing for quicker and more accurate assessments of airborne pollen concentrations, ultimately aiding in the mitigation of allergy symptoms associated with changing environmental conditions and the spread of allergenic species. This approach utilizes image processing tools to segment pollens on digitalized slides and several deep learning tools to recognize them among 17 different allergenic species in total. The system has been designed to avoid the time spent by palynologists on the microscope and to considerably increase the number of observation sites above the current European standards in allergenic pollen concentrations evaluation. In turn reducing associated errors with such analysis.

#### Keywords— Climate change, Deep learning, Allergy

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Pollen allergies and respiratory diseases are expected to become a major public health issue due to increased pollen concentrations and extended pollen seasons, exacerbating allergy symptoms [1].

The spread of invasive species like Ambrosia artemisiifolia (ragweed) introduces highly allergenic pollen to new regions, further exacerbating the issue. To address these concerns and mitigate pollinosis symptoms, daily identification of airborne pollen using computer vision methods is crucial. Currently, the standard approach involves collecting airborne pollen with a Hirst-type trap, where pollen and other particles adhere to an adhesive tape. Subsequent analysis, illustrated in Fig. 1, involves chemical treatment and manual microscopy inspection by a palynologist. This method, commonly known as the Hirst method [2], is the standard for monitoring airborne pollen grain concentrations in ambient air for aerobiological networks. However, it is time-consuming and labor-intensive for detecting and quantifying pollen grains.

Automated airborne pollen recognition can assist palynologists during the annotation process in the Hirst method by automatically annotating pollen grains. Depending on the country, not all species of pollen are present in the air [3], as some plants or trees emitting specific airborne pollen may not be found in the surrounding area. In France, the Réseau National de Surveillance Aérobiologique (R.N.S.A.) [4] coordinates the weekly analysis of airborne pollen content from over 70 sites across the country, averaging 35 weeks per year. They identify 17 allergenic taxa of airborne pollen and provide a weekly pollen risk index.

Manual recognition by palynologists is challenging due to the presence of up to 10 different pollen species on a single slide, with overlapping seasonal patterns. Identification relies on size, shape, contour, texture, and pore count, but some species share similar features, making accurate labeling difficult. Therefore, a large dataset of well-labeled pollen grains is crucial for developing an effective computer vision recognition model [5].

Our goal is to propose a procedure adhering to Hirst method standards by (i) generating a large dataset of welllabeled allergenic pollen grain images and (ii) developing a computer vision model to recognize and quantify airborne pollen grains.

#### II. RELATED WORK

There are two steps to achieve the recognition of pollen on a microscopy slide, the first one is the segmentation of pollen



Fig. 1. Illustration of the Hirst Method used to evaluate allergenic pollen concentrations in the air.

grains and the second one is the identification of the pollen class.

In the segmentation phase, pollen grains are stained with fuchsin (a pink dye) during slide preparation. The primary challenge is addressing multifocal information, as neighboring pollen grains may not lie in the same focal plane, rather than grain extraction itself. Consequently, some studies employ advanced techniques like Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) with multifocal information merging to resolve focal plane discrepancies [6]. Others utilize Coarse-Grained Pollen Localization [7] with sliding windows to determine the optimal focus for each pollen grain. These approaches generate individual pollen grain thumbnails for subsequent identification.

Early classification methods relied on morphological feature extraction, followed by SVM or K-NN classifiers [8] operating on these parameters. The main challenges involved automating and fusing data like size, shape, aperture count, and texture. However, due to frequent morphological similarities between pollen grains and other particles (e.g., moisture, dirt), a reliable approach is to first distinguish pollen from non-pollen items, then utilize morphological features for accurate pollen family identification [9].

Recent works [10, 11, 12] favor CNNs for classification due to their superior performance. A survey [13] compares state-of-the-art CNN architectures (ResNet-50, Inception V3, DenseNet-121) for pollen identification, revealing that DenseNet-121, fine-tuned on pollen datasets [14], excels in this task.

TABLE I. DATA SAMPLES DISTRIBUTION WITH ILLUSTRATION

Pollen samples			
Dataset Name	Number of Classes	Number of images	
Pollen13K [15]	4	13.000	
Pollen23E [16]	23	805	
Pollen20L-det [17]	20	7.750	

In the literature, there are commonly three datasets used to train CNN. The specification of each dataset is resumed in table 1.

The Pollen13K and Pollen23E have microscopic images without the use of fuchsin, the Pollen20L-set stands closer to Hirst standard, however the target classes in this dataset do not cover all the allergenic species in France.

The use of Fast R-CNN and YOLO models for simultaneous segmentation and classification has been explored [18], demonstrating reliable classification but noise-sensitive pollen grain detection.

Some commercial pollen measurement devices utilize holographic imaging and fluorescence measurement [19] for pollen identification, offering reduced noise sensitivity. However, their high cost and the need for expertise in holographic pollen recognition limit their accessibility. Alternatively, more affordable devices employing multi-angle lighting in visible and UV wavelengths [20] generate pollen images compatible with recognition techniques developed for Hirst method slide samples.

Therefore, this work focuses on developing a pollen classification method using visible spectrum particle slide images. The segmentation step involves: (i) utilizing highquality colored images stained with fuchsin, (ii) employing Laplacian information as a blur metric to select the optimal focal plane for each pollen grain, and (iii) combining pollen grain circularity, size, and hue properties for segmentation.

Regarding the classification step, the Imagenet-1K database [21] is used to pre-train a DenseNet121 CNN which is fine-tuned on the considered pollen dataset. To avoid the tedious labelling work required with supervised deep learning methods, the CNN is trained on data extracted from "exemplar" slides with a single pollen grain type and then is generalized to recognize pollen classes on "ambient" slides with several different pollen types on the same image. This approach yields a supervised computer vision algorithm that can automatically estimate the concentration of 17 different pollen classes.

#### III. POLLEN RECOGNITION

#### A. Image Acquisition

Fresh pollen from trees and herbs were collected and fixed on microscopy slides following the actual normative



Fig. 2. Illustration of the process to segment best quality of pollen thumbnails

procedure for pollen identification and counting. We used the fuchsin to highlight the pollens (pink color) by applying it to each slide. A SQ Nanozoomer (Hamamatsu, France [22]) wasthen utilized to digitalize the entire sample surface as illustrated Fig. 2-top-left.

In this work, ambient slides and exemplar slides are considered during the acquisition process. Ambient slides are slides where different kinds of pollen grains are collected outside along with possible dirt or moisture (cf. Fig. 2-topleft). On the other side, to avoid the fastidious human labelling task, slides with one pollen grain type only have been generated in lab conditions. As a consequence, the labelling process of those exemplar slides is very easy since only a single pollen species is present.

The scanner produces high-resolution images using a x20 microscope objective. We decide to digitalize each slide into images of 4096 x 4096 pixels. The scanner also takes a bunch of images at 31 z stacks, with a minimum space of 1  $\mu$ m in a range of + or – 15 $\mu$ m from where the scanner does its auto focus. 17 exemplar slides were collected, one for each pollen species, resulting in 31 x 338 associated images of 4096 x 4096 pixels per class, representing the slides along the X, Y, and Z axes.

#### **B.** Pollen Image Segmentation

Given that the scanner provides a stack of images with varying focal planes for each image (cf. Fig. 2 top-left), it is essential to select the area with the best focus before segmentation.

For each image, pollen grains are filtered using upper and lower thresholds applied to the rose-fuschia hue of pollen within the HSV (Hue, Saturation, Value) color space. Simultaneously, a bitwise mask is used to convert all pixels except those with pink hues to a white value. Consequently, each resulting image contains only rose-tinted objects, including pollen grains as well as other particles of similar hue (e.g., moisture, bacteria). The variance of the Laplacian calculation is then applied to the image stack to assess the level of blur in each image [23]. Blurred images exhibit low variance; therefore, to obtain the best-focused image for each slide, the image with the lowest Laplacian variance score is selected.

After extracting the image with the optimal focus on pollen grains, a Watershed Algorithm [24] is employed to delineate the contours of each item present in the image, including pollen grains and noise such as moisture, bacteria, and air bubbles. To isolate pollen grains from noise, a circular Hough transform [25] is applied, as pollen grains typically exhibit a circular shape, unlike noise particles. Fig. 2 (steps 1 to 4) illustrates this process for some segmentation results. The Hough transform also enables the elimination of any remaining pollen grain clusters after the Watershed process.

#### C. DenseNet 121 CNN Fine Tuning for Pollen Grain Recognition

A labeled dataset was constructed by extracting pollen grains from 17 exemplar slides, each containing a different pollen species. Table 2 presents the distribution of samples among the 17 species, along with an example image of each species' pollen grain.

As evident, the classes for the identification training process are imbalanced, as an equal number of pollen grains were not collected for each species to produce the exemplar slides. Prior to the training loop, several data augmentation techniques were applied :

• The height and width of each thumbnail were rescaled by multiplying them by a randomly chosen ratio between 0.9 and 1.1. This enhances the model's robustness to variations in pollen size.

• Random hue variations of  $\pm 0.005$  and brightness variations of  $\pm 0.01$  were applied to ensure robustness to variations in grain color.

• A low-pass Gaussian filter with a kernel size of 19 was applied to enhance robustness to image blur.

• Horizontal and vertical flips, as well as rotations of 90, 180, and 240 degrees, were incorporated.

• White pixel padding was used to resize each pollen grain image to 128 x 128 pixels, ensuring uniformity within the dataset for the training process.

Pollen samples			
Selected Taxa	Number of Thumbnails	Illustration	
Ash	9320		
Ragweed	8578		
Olive	5481		
Birch	5359		
Grasses	5257		
Willow	3976	٩	
Plane	3675		
Cypress	3601		
Sorrel	2959		
Alder	2942		
Plantain	2496		
Mugwort	1779	۲	
Nettle	1296	1	
Linden	1012		
Hornbeam	780		
Pine	767		
Oak	380		

 TABLE II.
 DATA SAMPLES DISTRIBUTION WITH ILLUSTRATION

For pollen recognition, the DenseNet-121 CNN, pretrained on ImageNet-1K, was adapted and fine-tuned using our dataset. Only the final layer was modified and retrained to perform the 17-class classification. The model was trained using 80% of the dataset, with the remaining 20% reserved for evaluation. Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD) optimization was employed with a learning rate of 0.001, a batch size of 64 and 60 epochs.

Fig. 3. Metrics of training and validation of the model.



Fig. 3 illustrates the progression of training and validation accuracies over epochs, with the validation accuracy reaching 98.45%.

Detailed results of the validation test can be observed in the confusion matrix (cf. Fig. 4.). Our model demonstrates the ability to recognize nearly all labels, even for pollen species with limited thumbnail samples (such as Oak and Hornbeam).



Fig. 4. Confusion matrix of the validation set.

#### D. Pollen Grain Recognition on Ambient Slides

Following the training of the model on exemplar samples, it is crucial to assess its generalization ability in recognizing pollen grains extracted from ambient slides. To this end, an ambient slide acquired during the peak of Birch pollen emission in the spring in Paris was considered. A total of four different species were present for identification: Birch, Cypress, Pine, and Willow.

Labeling each pollen thumbnail within the test dataset, consisting of nearly 500 thumbnails, would be excessively time-consuming. Therefore, the classification step was performed using our CNN model, and misclassifications were manually counted to generate the confusion matrix for the test dataset. The accuracy reached 94.1%. The model appears to

exhibit a slight bias towards Birch pollen due to the larger quantity of Birch pollen thumbnails in the training set. This bias could potentially be mitigated by employing adjusted class weights during training. Further investigation with additional ambient test slides and other allergenic species is warranted to validate these findings. The complete confusion matrix is presented in Fig. 5.



Fig. 5. Confusion matrix of the ambient test set.

#### **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

For the purpose of automatic pollen recognition, this study successfully developed an efficient CNN-based model trained on images acquired from exemplar slides, thereby avoiding the need for tedious manual labeling. The results demonstrate that the developed model generalizes well, maintaining comparable performance in classifying multiple pollen types on ambient slides. These results indicate that this automated computer vision approach can facilitate the development of a real-time pollen concentration estimation system, which is essential for predicting the allergenic exposure risk for the population according to the Hirst method standards.

#### REFERENCES

- Idrose NS, Lodge CJ, Erbas B, Douglass JA, Bui DS, Dharmage SC. A Review of the Respiratory Health Burden Attributable to Short-Term Exposure to Pollen. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022 Jun 20;19(12):7541. doi: 10.3390/ijerph19127541. PMID: 35742795; PMCID: PMC9224249.
- [2] CEN/EN 16868:2019 (2019). Ambient air Sampling and analysis of airborne pollen grains and fungal spores for networks related to allergy networks – Volumetric Hirst method. European Standard, European Committee for Standardisation, Brussels, Belgium, 38p.
- [3] G. D'Amato and F. Spieksma, "European allergic pollen types," Aerobiologia, vol. 8, pp. 447–450, 1992.
- [4] Réseau national de surveillance aérobiologique, Le plat du pin, 69690 Brussieu, <u>https://www.pollens.fr</u>
- [5] Curtis G Northcutt, Anish Athalye, and Jonas Mueller. Pervasive label errors in test sets destabilize machine learning benchmarks. arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.14749, 2021.
- [6] R. Gallardo-Caballero, C.J. García-Orellana, A. García-Manso, H.M. González-Velasco, R. Tormo-Molina, M. Macías-Macías, "Precise Pollen Grain Detection in Bright Field Microscopy Using Deep Learning Techniques," Sensors 2019, 19, 3583.
- [7] L.-N. Zhao, J.-Q. Li, W.-X. Cheng, S.-Q. Liu, Z.-K. Gao, X. Xu, C.-H. Ye, H.-L. You, "Simulation Palynologists for Pollinosis Prevention: A Progressive Learning of Pollen Localization and Classification for Whole Slide Images," Biology 2022, 11, 1841.

- [8] J.V. Marcos, R. Nava, G. Cristóbal, R. Redondo, B. Escalante-Ramírez, G. Bueno, Ó. Déniz, A. González-Porto, C. Pardo, F. Chung, T. Rodríguez, "Automated pollen identification using microscopic imaging and texture analysis," Micron, Volume 68, 2015, Pages 36-46, ISSN 0968-4328.
- [9] C. M. Travieso, J. C. Briceño, J. R. Ticay-Rivas and J. B. Alonso, "Pollen classification based on contour features," 2011 15th IEEE International Conference on Intelligent Engineering Systems, Poprad, Slovakia, 2011, pp. 17-21, doi: 10.1109/INES.2011.5954712.
- [10] Kubera E, Kubik-Komar A, Piotrowska-Weryszko K, Skrzypiec M. Deep Learning Methods for Improving Pollen Monitoring. Sensors (Basel). 2021 May 19;21(10):3526. doi: 10.3390/s21103526. PMID: 34069411; PMCID: PMC8159113.
- [11] Sevillano V, Aznarte JL. Improving classification of pollen grain images of the POLEN23E dataset through three different applications of deep learning convolutional neural networks. PLoS One. 2018 Sep 14;13(9):e0201807. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0201807.
- [12] Sevillano V, Holt K, Aznarte JL. Precise automatic classification of 46 different pollen types with convolutional neural networks. PLoS One. 2020 Jun 23;15(6):e0229751. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0229751.
- [13] A. R. d. Geus, C. A. Z. Barcelos, M. A. Batista and S. F. d. Silva, "Large-scale Pollen Recognition with Deep Learning," 2019 27th European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO), A Coruna, Spain, 2019, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.23919/EUSIPCO.2019.8902735.
- [14] G. Huang, Z. Liu, L. Van Der Maaten et al., "Densely connected convolutional networks," In Proceedings of the IEEE-conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, 4700-4708, 2017.
- [15] Battiato, S., Ortis, A., Trenta, F., Ascari, L., Politi, M., & Siniscalco, C. (2020, October). Pollen13k: a large scale microscope pollen grain image dataset. In 2020 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP) (pp. 2456-2460). IEEE.
- [16] Barbosa Gonçalves, A. (2015, August 28). POLEN23E: Image dataset for training and testing computer vision software for pollenidentification.
- [17] Khanzhina, Natalia, et al. "Combating data incompetence in pollen images detection and classification for pollinosis prevention." Computers in biology and medicine 140 (2022): 105064.
- [18] Kubera, Elżbieta & Kubik-Komar, Agnieszka & Kurasiński, Paweł & Piotrowska-Weryszko, Krystyna & Skrzypiec, Magdalena. (2022). Detection and Recognition of Pollen Grains in Multilabel Microscopic Images. Sensors. 2022. 2690. 10.3390/s22072690.
- [19] Erb, S., Graf, E., Zeder, Y., Lionetti, S., Berne, A., Clot, B., Lieberherr, G., Tummon, F., Wullschleger, P., and Crouzy, B.: "Real-Time Pollen Identification using Holographic Imaging and Fluorescence Measurement," EGUsphere.
- [20] Sarda-Estève, R. & Baisnee, D. & Guinot, Benjamin & Filippi, D. & O'Connor, David & Sciare, J. (2022). A new instrument for realtime detection of aeroallergens and particulate matter by optical microscopy. Revue Française d'Allergologie. 62. 329. 10.1016/j.reval.2022.02.097.
- [21] O. Russakovsky, J. Deng, H. Su, J. Krause, S. Satheesh, S. Ma et al., "ImageNet large scale visual recognition challenge," International Journal of Computer Vision, 115, 211-252, 2015.
- [22] Hamamatsu France, https://www.hamamatsu.com/jp/en.html.
- [23] R. Bansal, G. Raj and T. Choudhury, "Blur image detection using Laplacian operator and Open-CV," 2016 International Conference System Modeling & Advancement in Research Trends (SMART), Moradabad, India, 2016, pp. 63-67, doi: 10.1109/SYSMART.2016.7894491.
- [24] S. Beucher, "Use of watersheds in contour detection," In Proc. Int. Workshop on Image Processing, 17-21, 1979.
- [25] R. O. Duda, P. E. Hart, "Use of the Hough transformation to detect lines and curves in pictures," Communications of the ACM, 15, 11-15, 1972.