

## NMDA Receptors: Distribution, Role, and Insights into Neuropsychiatric Disorders

Marie Beaurain, Anne-Sophie Salabert, Pierre Payoux, Emmanuel Gras,

Franck Talmont

### ► To cite this version:

Marie Beaurain, Anne-Sophie Salabert, Pierre Payoux, Emmanuel Gras, Franck Talmont. NMDA Receptors: Distribution, Role, and Insights into Neuropsychiatric Disorders. Pharmaceuticals, 2024, 17 (10), pp.1265. hal-04788074

### HAL Id: hal-04788074 https://hal.science/hal-04788074v1

Submitted on 18 Nov 2024  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# NMDA receptors: Distribution, Role and Insights into neuropsychiatric disorders

Marie Beaurain <sup>1</sup>, Anne-Sophie Salabert <sup>1</sup>, Pierre Payoux <sup>1</sup>, Emmanuel Gras <sup>2</sup> and Franck Talmont <sup>3,\*</sup>

ToNIC, Toulouse NeuroImaging Center, Université de Toulouse, Inserm, UPS, France.

Laboratoire Hétérochimie Fondamentale et Appliquée, UMR 5069, CNRS-Université de Toulouse, 118 Route de Narbonne, CEDEX 9, 31062 Toulouse, France.

Correspondence: Institut de Pharmacologie et de Biologie Structurale (IPBS), Université de Toulouse, CNRS, UPS, Toulouse, France. franck.talmont@ipbs.fr

Abstract: N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors (NMDARs) are members of the ionotropic glutamate receptor family. These ligand-gated channels are entwined with numerous fundamental neurological functions within the central nervous system (CNS), and numerous neuropsychiatric disorders may arise from their malfunction. The purpose of the present review is to provide a detailed description of NMDARs by addressing their molecular structures, activation mechanisms, and physiological roles in the mammalian brain. In the second part, their role in various neuropsychiatric disorders including stroke, epilepsy, anti-NMDA encephalitis, Alzheimer's and Huntington's diseases, schizophrenia, depression, neuropathic pain, opioid-induced tolerance, and hyperalgesia will be covered. Finally, through a careful exploration of the main non-competitive NMDARs antagonists (channel-blockers) we discuss the strengths and limitations of the various molecular structures developed for diagnostic or therapeutic purposes.

Keywords: NMDA receptors; neuropsychiatric disorders; NMDA channel blockers

#### 1. Introduction: NMDA receptors, structure and activation mechanism

Glutamate receptors (GluRs) are ubiquitously distributed throughout the central nervous system (CNS). They are involved in a diverse range of neurological functions including but not limited to pain transmission, neuronal growth, motor function, long-term memory processes, and synaptic plasticity [1]. They are activated by glutamate, the amino acid acting as the main excitatory neurotransmitter found in the body. These receptors are classified into two distinct groups, namely metabotropic receptors (mGluRs), and ionotropic receptors (iGluRs).

Metabotropic glutamate receptors are G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCR). They are classified into three distinct groups based on sequence homology, signal transduction, and pharmacological profiles. According to [2], Group I comprises mGluR1 and mGluR5, while group II comprises mGluR2 and mGluR3, and group III comprises mGluR4, mGluR6, mGluR7, and mGluR8. The diverse roles played by mGluRs are reflected in the diverse locations they are found in, including but not limited to the glutamatergic synapse and glial cells including astrocytes, oligodendrocytes, and microglia. The main characteristic of these receptors is their slow activation rate, which suggest that they respond to prolonged exposure to glutamate. They are therefore responsible for slowing down synaptic responses and can lead to the integration of time-dispersed signals.

iGluRs are ligand-gated ion channels and are divided into three subtypes based on their pharmacological properties: NMDA (N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors) receptors (NMDARs), AMPA ( $\alpha$ -amino-3-hydroxy-5-methylisoxazole-4-proprionic acid) receptors (AMPARs), and kainate receptors (KARs). They are composed of four subunits, assembled into homomers or heterotetramers, which form a central channel permeable to cations (Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, and Ca<sup>2+</sup>)[5].

In contrast to mGluRs, iGluRs are accountable for rapid excitatory synaptic transmission. Thus, at the same synapse, glutamate can trigger fast synaptic responses (via iGluRs) and regulate or adjust neuronal activity (via mGluRs) [3, 4]. Their fast kinetics allow them to respond to a brief release of glutamate in the synaptic cleft. Among the three principal classes of iGluRs, AMPARs and NMDARs are predominantly post-synaptic, whereas KARs are located preor extra-synaptically, and appear to play a modulatory role in synaptic transmission that is comparable to that of mGluRs. Although all iGluRs can be activated by short exposure to glutamate, they have distinct kinetic properties. Within an excitatory synapse, two components of the excitatory post-synaptic current can be distinguished: a fast component mediated by AMPARs, and a slow component, promoted by NMDARs [6]. As well as other iGluRs, NMDARs are heteromeric multimers composed of three types of subunits: GluN1, expressed as eight splice variants, GluN2, and GluN3, encoded by four (GluN2A-D) and two (GluN3A-B) genes, respectively. Functional NMDARs are required to comprise two GluN1 subunits and two GluN2 and/or GluN3 subunits.

Each subunit has a similar architecture described as follow:

- An extracellular amino-terminal domain (ATD) containing the ligand agonist binding domain (LBD or ABD) as well as allosteric modulation sites.
- The transmembrane domain (TMD) comprises three segments namely M1, M3, and M4, and a reentrant intramembrane loop that faces the cytoplasm (M2), thereby forming the ion channel and selectivity filter.
- An intracellular C-terminal domain (CTD) that varies in size depending on the subunit influences membrane targeting and couples to intracellular signalling molecules.

The binding of glutamate or an agonist to the LBD leads to a conformational change in the TMD of the receptor, leading to the opening of the ion channel that triggers cation influx [7]. (Fig. 1a, b).



Figure 1. Structure of NMDA receptors.

(a) Cryo-EM structure of GluN1/GluN2B NMDA receptor in the glutamate/glycine-bound conformation (pdb 5IOU) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.03.028. (b) Schematic representation of NMDA receptors.

A key determinant of the functioning of iGluRs is the highly conserved Q/R/N (glutamine/arginine/Asparagine) site. This site, which controls  $Ca^{2+}$  permeability and blocking by magnesium ions  $(Mg^{2+})$  [8], is often referred to as the selectivity filter. This site is located on the top of the M2 re-entrant loop. The ion channel is divided into two parts: an intracellular domain, lined by the M2 re-entrant loop, and an extracellular domain, formed by the M3 transmembrane helix. The extracellular domain is separated by a narrow constriction, located on the top of the M2 re-entrant loop (i.e. at the Q/R/N site). [9]. This region is significantly associated with the ionotropic properties of the receptor. Hence, an amino acid substitution at the Q/R/N site of GluN2 will have minimal impact on  $Ca^{2+}$  permeability, but significantly reduces the obstruction of the channel by  $Mg^{2+}$  ions. Conversely, a substitution of the asparagine residue of GluN1 reduces  $Ca^{2+}$  permeability without affecting  $Mg^{2+}$  blockage [10, 11]. The various composition in receptor subunits may have an impact on numerous parameters, including the kinetics of receptor inactivation, receptor opening and permeability to cations, sensitivity to agonists, and blocking of the channel by the  $Mg^{2+}$  ion [12].

In contrast to the majority of other neurotransmitter receptors, NMDARs, comprise of the GluN1 and GluN2 subunits, necessitate the activation by two agonists (Fig. 2).



**Figure 2.** Schematic representation of NMDA receptor activation. NMDAR, N-methy-D-aspartate receptor; AMPAR,  $\alpha$ -amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptor.

The first, glutamate, binds to the GluN2 subunit. The second, also known as the co-agonist, can be either glycine or D-serine and binds to the GluN1 subunit. Papouin et al. demonstrated the importance of this co-agonist. Indeed, D-serine acts as a co-agonist for synaptic receptors, while glycine is an extrasynaptic receptor co-agonist [13]. Astroglial cells in contact with excitatory synapses produce and release D-serine. These non-neuronal cells therefore tightly control the activity of synaptic NMDARs and the resulting phenomena [14, 15]. The NMDAR composed of GluN1/GluN3 subunits only requires glycine to be activated [12] and therefore is not considered to be a glutamate receptor [16]. Once glutamate and the co-agonist have been bound to their respective binding sites, activation of the receptor also requires membrane depolarisation. This depolarisation removes the blockage exerted by magnesium ions (Mg<sup>2+</sup>) from the channel. At resting membrane potential (around -70 mV), extracellular Mg<sup>2+</sup> ions block the channel associated with the receptor. As the dissociation constant of magnesium is an exponential function of membrane potential, subsequent to neuronal depolarisation, the negative electrostatic forces that attracted the Mg<sup>2+</sup> ion to the GluN2 subunit collapse, resulting in the release of the cation [17]. Due to the glutamate-dependent activation of Ca<sup>2+</sup>-impermeable AMPAR, membrane depolarization occurs thus allowing the influx of Na<sup>+</sup> ions. The depolarization triggers the removal of Mg<sup>2+</sup> from the NMDAR pore to facilitate its activation. Therefore, activation of AMPA receptors by glutamate precedes activation of NMDA receptors [18]. This dual dependence on distinct and independent activation mechanisms explicates the delayed activation of NMDARs in contrast to AMPA channels, for instance. The slow activation is a reflection of the prolonged residence time of glutamate on NMDARs, which serves as a detector for the congruity of the excitation of the pre- and postsynaptic elements. These constituents pertains to biological membranes, and subsequent to its release from the presynaptic component, glutamate binds to the receptor. However, the blocking by Mg<sup>2+</sup> prevents any ionic flow at the resting electrical potential. Thus, if other glutamatergic afferents excite the post-synaptic neuron, the resulting depolarisation can transiently remove the Mg<sup>2+</sup> block. If this occurs while glutamate is still bound to NMDARs, then cations will pass through the ion channel. The cationic permeability of NMDARs then causes an influx of Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, and, most importantly,  $Ca^{2+}$  ions, which allows the initiation of neuronal plasticity, which is essential for learning and memory. Unlike other glutamatergic receptors, NMDARs exhibit slow activation and inactivation kinetics. Since this activation pattern involves the slow dissociation of glutamate, NMDARs have a higher affinity for glutamate than other iGluRs (EC50 ~ 1  $\mu$ M, almost one hundred times higher than AMPARs, EC50 ~ 100  $\mu$ M) [3, 6, 19]. Aside receptor activation phases, the reuptake system (Excitatory Amino Acid Transporters) located in the presynaptic element and glial cells maintains the concentration of glutamate at nanomolar levels. After vesicular release, the concentration of glutamate in the synaptic cleft can peak at around one mM before rapidly falling (one ms) due to diffusion and reuptake. This brief increase in concentration allows a temporary opening of NMDARs, which is necessary for physiological synaptic transmission [12]. The extracellular concentration of Na<sup>+</sup> ions varies from 145 to 150 mM, while the concentration of  $Ca^{2+}$  ions is between 1 and 1.5 mM. The relative permeability of the NMDAR ion channel for  $Ca^{2+}$ ions is ten times greater than that of Na<sup>+</sup> ions, resulting in a significant inflow of  $Ca^{2+}$  ions into the cells upon receptor opening. These  $Ca^{2+}$  ions are a crucial component of intracellular signalling which is implicated in numerous forms of neuronal plasticity, and they also act as mediator of excitotoxic cell death when present in excess [12, 20]. The activation of NMDARs goes along with the one of other glutamatergic receptors such as AMPARs or metabotropic receptors. Indeed, the increase in intraneuronal calcium concentration, induced by channel aperture is amplified by the opening of voltage-gated  $Ca^{2+}$  channels and the release of inositol triphosphate (IP3) promoted from metabotropic receptor activation. This calcium entry is the starting point for the synthesis of second and third messengers such as prostaglandins and nitric oxide (NO), which in turn facilitate the presynaptic release of glutamate [21].

The aim of this review is to highlight the structural complexity of the NMDAR in the context of glutamate receptors in relation to the complexity of their physiological and pathological role. We show that this apparent complexity has ultimately resulted in a limited number of drugs and that they almost all target a restricted structural region of the receptors.

#### 2. Role of NMDA receptors

NMDARs play a crucial role in the mammalian brain. They underlie synaptic plasticity phenomena such as long-term potentiation (LTP) and long-term depression (LTD), which are the cellular mechanisms of memory and learning [22]. They are also involved in the phenomenon of excitotoxicity, which is responsible for the neuronal death observed in several neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer's disease and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis [23]. In adult brains, the distribution of NMDARs exhibits heterogeneity both within brain regions and on the surface of neurons. Although predominantly postsynaptic, NMDARs can also be found at extrasynaptic sites, where they can be recruited to substitute synaptic receptors [1]. NMDARs can also be found on the presynaptic membrane, where neurotransmitter release can be modulated [24], and on glial cells, particularly oligodendrocytes [9]. Extensive studies have been conducted in rodents on the expression profiles of different subunits during development [25]. Subunit composition varies according to receptor location, although there is no systematic distribution of subunits according to subcellular region, synaptic vs extrasynaptic [26]. The GluN1 subunit, which is present in all functional NMDARs, is ubiquitous throughout the CNS, from embryonic to adult stages. NMDAR splicing variants are detected, depending on the stage of development and location in the CNS, but the functional consequences of differential expression of GluN1 isoforms are still poorly understood [25]. The four GluN2 subunits, which are the primary contributors to the functional heterogeneity of NMDARs, exhibit a distinct temporal expression profile. The embryonic brain contains only GluN2B and D subunits. Expression of GluN2A appears within the initial few weeks following birth and thereafter spread throughout all regions of the adult CNS. GluN2B expression is progressively restricted to the forebrain while GluN2D expression is declining and remains only in the diencephalon and midbrain. The expression of GluN2C occurs later and is restricted to the cerebellum and olfactory bulb [27-29]. Furthermore, the expression profiles of GluN3A and B subunits are variable. GluN3A expression peaks in early postnatal life and then slowly declines, whereas GluN3B expression increases slowly throughout development into adulthood. The specific expression of GluN2B, GluN2D, and GluN3A subunits early in development suggests that these subunits are important for synaptogenesis and synaptic maturation. In the adult brain, GluN2A and GluN2B are the predominant subunits, especially in higher brain structures (such as the hippocampus and cortex), suggesting that they play a central role in synaptic function and plasticity [30, 31].

#### 3. Presynaptic NMDA receptors

Although mainly found on the postsynaptic membrane, NMDARs are also found on the pre-synaptic membrane [24]. During the initial stages of neuronal development, the expression of presynaptic NMDARs (pre-NMDARs) is particularly elevated in the cerebral cortex. These receptors play an important role in the maturation of synapses and the neuronal network. However, unlike their post-synaptic counterparts, they are not ubiquitous. Instead, specific groups of neurons localize pre-NMDARs and they display activation specificities, suggesting they contribute distinctly to regulating synaptic activity and information processing. In rodents, the cerebral cortex, hippocampus, amygdala, striatum, nucleus accumbens, cerebellum, brainstem, spinal cord, and peripheral nervous system contain pre-NMDARs [32]. As there is no absolute rule for the subunit composition of presynaptic NMDA receptors, it is likely that they will contain subunits that are highly expressed at this early stage, such as the GluN2B or GluN3A subunits

[32]. Depending on the source of the glutamate that activates them, pre-NMDARs can act as autoreceptors or heteroreceptors. When activated by glutamate released from the presynaptic element, they are referred to as autoreceptors. Contrarily they are referred to as heteroreceptors when the activating glutamate originates from nearby synaptic terminals or astrocytes. They can also be activated by the retrograde release of glutamate, although this mode of activation has only been demonstrated in the cerebellum [33]. The activation of pre-NMDARs results in pre- or postsynaptic effects through distinct signalling pathways. In most synapses, the activation of pre-NMDARs results in a Ca<sup>2+</sup> entry thus stimulating the fusion of vesicles within the membrane. This phenomenon has been demonstrated with the observation that synapses between cerebellar interneurons and Purkinje cells initiate the release of GABA, thereby enhancing the frequency of inhibitory postsynaptic currents [34]. Furthermore, pre-NMDARs activation can also have direct effects on axon excitability or act on the postsynaptic element via diffusion of NO across the synapse (anterograde signalling) [32].

#### 4. Extrasynaptic NMDA receptors

The NMDARs located more than 100 nm from the postsynaptic density are considered to be extrasynaptic [35]. In adults, these receptors are distributed along dendrites as well as on dendritic spines and are in close contact with adjacent structures, including glia, axons, and their terminals. They are in contact with ambient glutamate of glial origin, the concentration of which is maintained at low levels by glutamate transporters. This concentration is not sufficient to activate NMDARs, but it may explain the lifespan of weak tonic currents [36, 37]. Their activation can be caused by excess glutamate released from neighbouring synapses (especially in the case of perisynaptic NMDARs) or by ectopic glutamate release from neighbouring structures such as astrocytes [38-40]. Numerous studies have highlighted this particular phenomenon, indicating the existence of focal points of communication between glial cells and neurons via glutamate release. This facilitates the modulation of neurotransmitter release at the axonal terminal [41-44]. These studies suggest that extrasynaptic NMDARs are organised into clusters at these communication points, resulting in a discrete and non-diffuse distribution along dendritic extensions [35]. This distribution appears to be regulated by association with scaffolding proteins such as PDZ domain proteins, GIPC, PSD-95, or SAP102, which play a stabilising role [24]. Extrasynaptic NMDARs are mostly composed of the GluN2B and GluN2D subunits, which form heterodimers (GluN1/GluN2B and GluN1/GluN2D) or heterotrimers (GluN1/GluN2B/GluN2D). Nonetheless, the GluN2A subunit, which exhibits the highest concentration in mature synapses, has also been identified at the extrasynaptic level [45]. The properties of these receptors have been investigated in various brain structures by measuring the ion conductance and properties of the currents using electrophysiological recordings. Receptors have been found in the cerebellum, Golgi cells, and Purkinje cells [46-50]. They are also found in the visual cortex [51] and within the hippocampus, in the granular cells of the dentate gyrus [52, 53], in the pyramidal cells of CA1 [54], and in primary cultures of hippocampal neurons [55].

Effects attributed to extrasynaptic NMDARs can be either positive thus acting on neuronal activity, modulation, and synaptic plasticity or negative and acting through excessive activation that promote neuronal death. Both types of effects depend on the location of the receptors on the neuron, the receptor subtype, and the associated scaffolding and signalling proteins [56]. The beneficial effects have been demonstrated in several studies. For example, Fellin et al. showed that glutamate release from hippocampal astrocytes is responsible for the synchronous activation of CA1 neurons via the opening of extrasynaptic NMDARs, which is essential for information processing [42]. Another study by Chalifoux and Carter in 2011 on pyramidal neurons in the mouse prefrontal cortex showed that glutamate released in excess at the synapse (spill over) can diffuse outside the synaptic cleft and activate NMDARs, thereby promoting synaptic plasticity [57]. Under physiological conditions, glial and neuronal glutamate transporters [58] limit this spill over.

Furthermore, in addition to their significant role in regulating neuronal activity, there is a growing body of evidence indicating that extrasynaptic NMDARs are involved in the processes of excitotoxicity and neuronal death [35]. Excitotoxicity refers to the ability of glutamate or related excitatory amino acids to mediate the death of central neurons under certain conditions, for example, after intense exposure. John Olney proposed this concept in 1969, following earlier observations by Lucas and Newhouse in the 1950s that glutamate injections caused retinal cell degeneration [59]. John Olney later demonstrated that the lesions involved many central structures, including the hypothalamic and circumventricular brain regions [60]. Despite the fact that excitotoxicity can be mediated by multiple iGluRs, the elevated calcium conductance of NMDAR renders it a pivotal player in both acute and chronic neurotoxic processes. The uncontrolled increase in intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentration resulting from excessive activation of NMDAR leads to the production of superoxide anion (O<sup>2-</sup>) and nitric oxide (NO), which together generate the cytotoxic reactive oxygen species peroxynitrite, a particularly oxidizing anion in oxidative stress [61]. Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions can also activate cytoplasmic enzymes such as protein kinase C (PKC), phospholipases A2 and C (PLA2 and PLC), endonucleases, and proteases.

They also activate the p28 MAPK protein (mitogen-activated protein kinase p38), which activates transcription factors. These phenomena, in conjunction with calcium overload in the mitochondria, facilitate the production of prostaglandin, oxidative stress, and the degradation of cellular proteins and nucleic acids. Furthermore, caspase activation links NMDARs to the physiological death of neurons (apoptosis), an essential process in brain development and synaptic plasticity, but also in cell destruction in situations of aggression [62]. Several studies have demonstrated that low concentrations of glutamate (10 to 20 µM) or NMDA (10 to 20 µM) do not cause neuronal death in cell cultures [63, 64]. However, the population begins to decline as the concentration increases, and maximum mortality is observed at NMDA concentrations between 50 and 100 µM [64]. Bases on convergent data, it is commonly accepted that the excitotoxicity phenomenon is mainly mediated by extrasynaptic NMDARs, whereas synaptic receptors stimulate cell survival. The roles of synaptic and extrasynaptic receptors have been studied in particular in the gene encoding the transcription factor CREB (cyclic AMP response element binding protein), a protein involved in neuronal survival processes. In a fundamental study, Hardingham et al. [65] discovered that the stimulation of synaptic NMDARs by treatment by administering bicuculline, a GABAergic antagonist that enhance synaptic activity, induces the activation of the pro-survival protein CREB. Subsequent incubation of neurons in a bath containing a high concentration of glutamate stops CREB signalling and causes significant neuronal death [66]. Although bath incubation with glutamate is likely to activate both synaptic and extrasynaptic NMDARs, the authors suggest that activation of extrasynaptic receptors counteracts the effect of synaptic and suppresses the pro-survival signalling pathway. In response to this initial study, other works have attempted to isolate extrasynaptic NMDARs to investigate their role in cell death [56]. CREB phosphorylation is dependent on the activation of the Ras/ERK pathway [67]. It was demonstrated that stimulation of synaptic NMDARs activates the Ras/ERK pathway, whereas activation of extrasynaptic receptors inactivates it [68-70]. Nonetheless, this theory fails to encompass all the occurrences associated with excitotoxicity. Initially, extrasynaptic NMDARs dominate in young developing neurons, which are resistant to high concentrations of glutamate and NMDA [65, 71, 72]. Furthermore, glutamate excitotoxicity has not been observed in neurons in the rat retinal ganglion cell layer, where synaptic NMDARs are not expressed and where current is mediated only by extrasynaptic receptors [65, 71-74]. This statement has also been subsequently challenged by experiments suggesting a role for synaptic NMDARs in excitotoxicity [75, 76]. Papouin et al. [13] demonstrated the importance of synaptic NMDARs in synaptic potentiation and excitotoxicity by incubating hippocampal slices with substances that degrade D-serine or glycine. NMDA-induced cell death was significantly reduced by the degradation of extracellular D-serine, a co-agonist of synaptic receptors, whereas degradation of glycine, a co-agonist of extrasynaptic receptors, had little therapeutic effect. This study, without excluding the involvement of extrasynaptic receptors, demonstrates a significant contribution of synaptic receptors to excitotoxicity as a full inhibition was not reached in both cases [13, 23, 77]. It seems that the composition of the GluN2 subunit is the determining factor for the induction of neuronal survival or death, but the results of studies vary among authors [78-82]. It remains nevertheless difficult to draw a clear converging conclusion from all these studies. This is especially due to the diversity of protocols set to induce excitotoxic processes. Although a harmonization shall be pursued, it appears nonetheless that the sole over activation of synaptic or extrasynaptic NMDARs is not sufficient to induce the phenomenon of excitotoxicity [77].

#### 5. Post-synaptic NMDA receptors

The function of glutamatergic transmission is also mediated by post-synaptic NMDARs. In most synapses, they are located close to AMPARs in order to form a functional synaptic unit. Glutamate released from the presynaptic element thus coactivates both types of receptors [9] and the contribution of each to the synaptic currents generated varies from synapse to synapse. The activation of post-synaptic NMDARs is associated with various physiological processes, such as synaptic maturation and plasticity. Therefore, they are present at various densities on synapses. Several studies have shown a differential distribution of NMDAR subtypes at the synaptic membrane: receptors containing the GluN2A subunit are concentrated in the centre of the synapse, whereas receptors containing the GluN2B subunit are more perisynaptic [83, 84]. This asymmetric distribution has also been investigated functionally in the granular cells of the hippocampal dentate gyrus. Spontaneous, monosynaptic currents pass predominantly through the activation of NMDARs containing the GluN2A subunit and induce little or no activation of peri- and extrasynaptic receptors, in contrast to evoked currents that pass through receptors containing the GluN2B subunit [52, 85, 86] However, not all synapses possess the same composition of receptor subtypes. In the hippocampus, for example, the number of GluN2B subunit receptors in pyramidal cell synapses in the CA1 and CA3 regions differs between the left and right hemispheres [83, 87]. The degree of NMDAR subtypes variability is related with specific brain regions such as the hippocampus or within a single neuron (e.g., interneuron or pyramidal neuron) and the afferents [87-90]. Other brain structures, such as the neocortex, also exhibit this afference-dependent asymmetry [91]. The maturation of glutamatergic synapses require the reorganisation of postsynaptic ionotropic receptors. During the first week of postnatal life, synapses contain primarily NMDARs [92]. Several models have been proposed to account for the synaptic remodelling observed during this period. However, everyone unanimously acknowledges that the activity of NMDARs affects the functional maturation of synapses, particularly through the modulation of AMPARs density [93-96]. These receptors have also been demonstrated to be involved both in (i) the morphological maturation of glutamatergic synapses by regulating the density and morphology of dendritic spines [97, 98] and (ii) in the organisation of neuronal circuits, particularly in the refinement of synaptic connections during maturation [99]. This is indicative of the effect of these receptors on neuroplasticity, the ability of the CNS to reorganise its structure, function, and connections as a response to both intrinsic and extrinsic stimuli. This takes place at multiple levels, including behavioural, cellular, and molecular, and serves as the foundation for learning and memory. Thus, synaptic plasticity reflects the dynamics of connections between neurons throughout an individual's life. The effectiveness of a synapse can be modulated by two criteria: direction (potentiation or depression) and duration (short- or long-term). NMDARs play an important role in triggering these synaptic plasticity phenomena, in particular long-term potentiation (LTP) and long-term depression (LTD), which have been extensively studied [100, 101]. These changes in the strength of synaptic transmission within a neuronal network may form a physiological basis for memory processes. The most well-characterised form of NMDAR-mediated LTP occurs in the hippocampus between CA3 and CA1 pyramidal neurons, a limbic structure that is widely recognised as being involved in learning processes [101, 102]. LTPis a process that enhance the effectiveness of the synaptic response induced by the application of a series of high-frequency stimuli or specific substances [19]. This phenomenon cannot be induced solely by glutamate, but rather involves the activation of both pre- and postsynaptic neurons, which fulfil the two prerequisites conditions for the activation of NMDARs: the release of glutamate and a robust membrane depolarisation thereby facilitating the expulsion of the Mg<sup>2+</sup> block. The influx of Ca<sup>2+</sup> reaches its maximum, triggering a signalling pathway that leads to an increase in the density of AMPARs on the synapse [101, 103]. Although this cascade is not completely understood, it involves several proteins, including Ca<sup>2+/</sup>calmodulin-dependent kinase II (CaMKII), which is capable of phosphorylating AMPARs and thereby increasing their conductance [104]. Subsequent to this last stimulation, the evoked potentials can persist at elevated levels for a lifetime of several hours or days. Unlike LTP, LTD can be induced by repeated stimulation of the presynaptic neuron at low frequencies without activation of the postsynaptic neuron. In fact, blocking of NMDARs by Mg<sup>2+</sup> is incomplete even at resting potentials [105], a small amount of Ca<sup>2+</sup> can still enter the cell in response to this low-frequency synaptic stimulation [106-108]. The repeated occurrence of this low Ca<sup>2+</sup> influx triggers the induction of LTD. This results in decrease in the number of synaptic AMPARs by endocytosis [101, 103]. This effect also involves the activation of several proteins, such as protein phosphatase 1 (PP1), whose high affinity for Ca<sup>2+</sup> promotes its activation at lower concentration [109]. The observed phenomena of exocytosis and endocytosis of AMPARs suggest the existence of a mobile pool of AMPARs that can be "recycled" between the cytoplasm and the cell membrane within a few minutes. These two processes do not occur directly on the synapse, but rather at the perisynaptic level, from where the receptors diffuse to reach the postsynaptic density [101]. These synaptic plasticity phenomena may not solely involve structural modifications at the synapse level, but also involve the maintenance of these modifications through the process of protein synthesis. Several signalling proteins are activated during LTP/LTD to initiate the transcription and translation of growth factors and proteins required to maintain these modifications. In this manner, LTP and LTD can direct the selective stabilisation of certain synapses or suppress others. For instance, the Arc gene is a precursor gene that is capable of orchestrating the translation of mRNA at the dendritic level essential for the polymerisation of actin and the stabilisation of dendritic spine extension during LTP [110]. Synaptic NMDARs also appear to play a critical role in neuronal survival by activating neuronal survival pathways [111, 112]. Studies indicate that blocking NMDARs leads to apoptosis and neuronal degeneration [113, 114]. The neuroprotective function that is dependent on NMDAR primarily involves the activation of pro-survival transcription factors and the inhibition of apoptosis [56, 115]. Furthermore, activation of synaptic NMDARs promotes the expression of survival genes by activating Ca2+-dependent transcription factors such as the CREB protein. Ten genes have been identified as providing neuroprotection by making mitochondria more resistant to oxidative stress. CREB gene also functions in the synthesis of BDNF, which possesses neuroprotective properties. The activation of synaptic NMDARs also inhibits several mechanisms involved in pro-apoptotic pathways (caspases, PUMA (p53 up-regulated modulator of apoptosis) gene) and suppresses the expression of pro-apoptotic transcription factors such as FOXO (forkhead box protein O) or p53 [116].

#### 6. Implications of NMDARs for neuropsychiatric disorders

Because of their central role in many physiological processes, NMDARs are naturally involved in several neurological and psychiatric disorders. These pathological processes may be associated to excitotoxicity, altered LTP or LTD, or a receptor hypofunction. In this section, we summarise the various receptor dysfunctions implicated in some of these pathologies.

#### 6.1. Stroke

Stroke is the first CNS pathology for which NMDAR dysfunction has been proposed. During cerebral ischaemia, the concentration of extracellular glutamate significantly rises to toxic levels. This phenomenon is largely attributed to a reduction or even a reversal in the activity of the transporters that normally remove glutamate from the synaptic cleft [117-119] and to an increase in presynaptic vesicular release [120]. This increase in glutamate concentration results in excitotoxic activation of NMDARs, primarily extrasynaptic, particularly those containing the GluN1/GluN2B subunits. Receptor opening induces the activation of pro-apoptotic agents such as calpain, a Ca<sup>2+</sup> activated protease [121], and inhibits survival factors such as the CREB nuclear transcription factor [66], ultimately leading to cell death. A study conducted in mice also demonstrated that DAPK1 (death-associated protein kinase 1) binds to the C-terminal region of GluN2B subunits, resulting in their phosphorylation and enhancing receptor conductance [122]. However, as discussed above, some studies suggest that synaptic NMDARs may be involved in this excitotoxicity phenomenon. Some NMDAR antagonists that have the capability to inhibit intraneuronal calcium entry have been proposed as therapeutic agents to limit the volume of ischaemic lesions. However, most of these molecules which also affect synaptic transmission, exhibit significant adverse effects such as hallucinations, respiratory impairment, memory disorders, among others, thus limiting their utilisation [115].

#### 6.2. Epilepsy

Epilepsy is one of the most common neurological disorders characterized by recurrent seizures. NMDARs play a major role in the physiopathology of epilepsy and glutamate-mediated neuronal hyperexcitation plays a causal role in the initiation of epileptic seizures [123]. In severe epilepsy, such as developmental and epileptic encephalopathy and status epilepticus, alterations of NMDARs are reported to be both the cause and results of epilepsy. For example, in the PTZ-induced status epilepticus, GluN1, GluN2A, and GluN2B subunits are increased and synaptic plasticity impairs in the hippocampus of rats while the increase in the GluN2B subunit may result in the decrease of LTP. Indeed, the augmentation of extracellular glutamate concentration during seizures has been extensively documented [124]. Among the glutamatergic receptors, NMDARs and AMPARs are important targets for clinical research in this disease. However, their function in ictogenesis appear to be elusive . It has been observed that agonists of NMDARs and AMPARs can induce convulsions [125, 126], while antagonists are known to inhibit convulsions in animal models [127]. Numerous studies have been conducted on models of genetic mutations resulting in hyper- or hypofunction of NMDARs. However, the varying clinical phenotypes produced have prevented a definitive categorization of these diverse forms of epilepsy [128, 129]. Other studies have demonstrated a distinct function for AMPARs through an elevation in their expression, which may be attributed to genetic mutations or a process of synaptic plasticity [130, 131]. The therapeutic use of molecules targeting glutamatergic receptors has been assayed; however, the majority, primarily NMDARs antagonists, have not demonstrated acceptable efficiency and safety [132, 133]. Only one AMPARs antagonist, perampanel, has been approved for the treatment of various forms of epilepsy [134].

#### 6.3. Anti-NMDAR encephalitis

Anti-NMDAR autoimmune encephalitis has been identified as an entity that is associated with epilepsy. The diagnosis of anti-NMDAR encephalitis is confirmed by the detection of cerebrospinal fluid antibodies against the GluN1 subunit of the NMDAR. Two potential triggers of autoimmune encephalitides are tumors and viral encephalitis. It was diagnosed in young women with a typical progression of psychiatric symptoms, from subtle behavioural changes such as irritability to full-blown psychosis. These symptoms are followed by motion disorders, autonomic dysfunction, hypoventilation, seizures, and a coma [135]. Anti-NMDAR antibodies are responsible for the internalisation of NMDARs, leading to a decrease in their density at the cell surface [136, 137]. This decrease is responsible for the inhibition of GABAergic interneurons, which results in the disinhibition of central excitatory pathways and ultimately leads to the frontostriatal syndrome characteristic of this form of encephalopathy [138]. The condition has a higher prevalence among females, with a ratio of approximately 8:2. About 37% of patients are under the age of eighteen at the time of diagnosis. Two possible triggers for the condition are tumours (mainly ovarian teratomas) and herpes encephalitis [139]. The treatments combine immunotherapy with plasmapheresis sessions and immunosuppressive therapy (corticoids, rituximab and cyclophosphamide) [140].

#### 6.4. Alzheimer disease

As per the World Health Organisation, Alzheimer's disease (AD) is the primary cause of dementia, accounting for 60-70% of cases. The symptoms of this chronic neurodegenerative disease worsen over time, from early forgetfulness to progressive deterioration of language, orientation, and behaviour and finally severe memory loss [116]. The underlying cause of the disease is intricate and multifactorial. One or more genetic mutations in the presenilin (PS1, PS2) and

amyloid precursor protein (APP) genes cause an early-onset familial form of AD. These mutations affect the synthesis and proteolysis of APP, leading to an excessive production of amyloid  $\beta$  protein (A $\beta$ ) [141]. However, the root cause of late-onset sporadic AD remains ambiguous. The primary risk factor is genetic; however, other factors such as ageing, apolipoprotein (Apo) E4 genotype, brain trauma, or certain vascular conditions [142] have also been suggested. The pathophysiology of AD encompasses both structural and functional abnormalities, particularly in the hippocampus and neocortex, which are particularly involved in memory and cognition [143]. As the disease progresses, a multitude of anatomical lesions occur in the brain, including the emergence of senile plaques comprised of amyloid  $\beta$  protein (Aβ) and neurofibrillary tangles containing phosphorylated tau protein, which ultimately lead to neuronal degeneration. These pathological changes are also correlated with the activation of NMDARs and oxidative stress. Alzheimer's disease is characterised by three main types of histological lesions: neurofibrillary degeneration (NFD), extracellular deposition of amyloid beta protein, and neuronal and synaptic loss [144]. However, cognitive decline begins before this stage, and there is increasing evidence that particular proteins cause early memory impairment by disrupting the LTP and LTD mechanisms [145, 146]. Indeed, soluble AB oligomers strongly activate metabotropic receptors, leading to AMPAR internalisation and LTD. Therefore, physiological NMDA-dependent LTD is inhibited. Moreover, oligomers are responsible for reducing the population of NMDARs, preventing the induction of LTP. In this particular setting, it has been observed that synapses undergo a decrease in thickness and some may even disappear [101]. Furthermore, the Aß peptide is thought to play an important role in triggering the excitotoxic process responsible for the neuronal death associated with Alzheimer's disease via several mechanisms. Initially, the Aß peptide is responsible for elevating the extracellular concentration of glutamate by enhancing the release of astrocytic glutamate through binding to  $\alpha$ 7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptors and by reducing its reuptake. The binding to  $\alpha$ 7 nicotinic receptors may also promote the internalisation of synaptic NMDARs by activating protein phosphatase 2B (PP2B), which dephosphorylates and activates striatal-enriched protein phosphatases (STEP). STEP dephosphorylates NMDARs, which leads to the internalisation of synaptic NMDARs, further shifting the balance towards extrasynaptic NMDA signalling. Furthermore, an increase in extracellular glutamate and the activation of extrasynaptic NMDARs leads to the production of nitric oxide (NO), which activates a signalling cascade that also leads to mitochondrial fragmentation. This cascade is also implicated in the hyperphosphorylation of the tau protein. Finally, the elevated activity of extrasynaptic NMDARs is also associated with the inhibition of several pro-survival proteins and transcription factors (such as ERK1/2 and CREB) and with the opposite activation of pro-apoptotic factors (such as FoxO3a, p38MAPK).

The activation of extrasynaptic NMDARs is also associated with the increased expression of APP containing the KPI domain, which facilitates its cleavage by  $\beta$ -secretase into A $\beta$ . A $\beta$  peptide is then released into the extracellular space in an activity-dependent manner [115].

#### 6.5. Huntington's disease

Huntington's disease (HD) is an autosomal dominant neurodegenerative disorder affecting cognition, motor skills, and mood, caused by a mutation in the gene encoding Huntington's protein (Htt). This mutant protein (mHtt) causes defects in signalling, synaptic plasticity, and neuron death, especially in the GABAergic spiny neurons of the striatum [147]. Neuronal death appears to be caused by the overactivation of extrasynaptic NMDARs, leading to excitotoxicity [115, 148]. Indeed, intrastriatal injections of NMDAR agonists have often been used to reproduce, in animals, the lesions observed in HD [149, 150]. Similarly, the overexpression of the GluN2B subunit in striatal neurons in a mouse model of HD leads to their increased degeneration [151]. The mechanisms underlying this excitotoxicity are diverse, with the mHtt protein engaging in a variety of signalling pathways. The outcome is a rise in the levels of extracellular glutamate, and a decrease in the endocytosis of extrasynaptic NMDARs resulting in an increase in their quantity and activity, particularly those containing the GluN3A subunit [115].

#### 6.6. Schizophrenia

Schizophrenia is a multifaceted neuropsychiatric disorder that is characterised by a hyperfunction of the dopaminergic system. This ailment leads to a diverse range of cognitive and behavioural manifestations, including "positive" symptoms such as hallucinations and delusions, "negative" symptoms such as apathy and anhedonia, and "cognitive" symptoms such as attention and memory deficits [152]. Over the course of several decades, extensive research has identified excessive striatal presynaptic dopamine release as a prevalent final pathway for the elicitation of positive symptoms [153]. However, the underlying pathophysiology of negative and cognitive symptoms, which often precede positive ones, remains unresolved. The first clue of the involvement of NMDARs in schizophrenia came from the observation that some molecules, such as phencyclidine (PCP) and ketamine, are able to antagonise NMDARs by blocking the ion channel, to induce psychotic and negative symptoms. Furthermore, cognitive impairments similar to those described in schizophrenia may be observed in healthy individuals [154, 155]. These symptoms are intensified in schizophrenic patients [156, 157]. The clinical expression of anti-NMDAR encephalitis, which includes marked psychiatric symptoms and cognitive impairment. This also suggests the involvement of NMDARs in schizophrenia [139]. However, these patients also exhibit movement disorders, convulsions, and autonomic instability. The hypothesis put forward to explain this phenomenon is that these drugs block synaptic NMDARs in a population of GABAergic interneurons (disinhibition theory). This results in a reduction in their activity and consequently in the release of GABA, thereby resulting in the disinhibition of glutamatergic neurotransmission in the prefrontal cortex. The release of glutamate would stimulate the AMPARs in the pyramidal cells, leading to the state of hyperactivity described. The dopaminergic hyperactivity observed in schizophrenia could be attributed to a hypofunction of NMDARs. Several studies have identified this hypofunction in fast-spiking corticolimbic (FS) neurons. It is believed to result from several major genetic and/or environmental factors that lead to schizophrenia. Certain genetic mutations, such as the overexpression of neuregulin (NRG) or the inactivation of the gene encoding the  $\alpha$ 7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor  $(\alpha7AChR)$ , which causes a reduction in the concentration of D-serine in the synaptic cleft, are likely to cause NMDAR hypofunction in GABAergic neurons. Likewise, some environmental factors, such as anti-NMDA antibodies (which can be synthesised following infections such as toxoplasmosis or herpes), inflammation, and oxidative stress, can also negatively regulate their activity. However, all these heterogeneous and multifactorial mechanisms are still not fully understood [152, 158].

#### 6.7. Depression

Depression is a major cause of disability worldwide. It affects approximately 280 million people worldwide (World Health Organization, 2021). Approximately 50 % of patients with major depressive disorder respond to standard treatments that target the monoaminergic system. However, the pathophysiology of the disorder is not completely understood. The conventional theory of monoamine depletion (serotonin, norepinephrine, and/or dopamine) does not explain the unsatisfactory therapeutic response of several patients to antidepressants. In recent years, the role of glutamate neurotransmission and NMDARs in depression has attracted the attention of the scientific community. Numerous factors suggest a link between depression and NMDAR dysfunction. In some depressive patients, abnormalities in the expression of genes encoding the receptor have been detected [159, 160]. Various stress factors induce excessive NMDAR activity [161]; and finally, some receptor channel-blocking antagonists, such as ketamine, have antidepressant effects [162, 163]. In 2019, an intranasal S-ketamine-based antidepressant (Spravato®) has been approved in the European Union for use in combination with other antidepressants for the acute short-term treatment of depressive symptoms. Ketamine is believed to block NMDARs, containing the GluN2B subunit, located in parvalbumin-expressing GABAergic interneurons. This would increase the levels of extracellular glutamate and increase the number of synapses in the prefrontal cortex. The activation of AMPARs by glutamate in this area, via signalling pathways involving, in particular, the mTOR protein, would induce the synthesis of new proteins such as BDNF and the GluA1 subunit. These proteins are believed to be responsible for the rapid antidepressant effects of ketamine [158, 164, 165].

#### 6.8. Neuropathic pain

Neuropathic pain is chronic and a persistent condition that arises from damage of the peripheral and/or central nerves. It is now widely acknowledged that NMDARs play a significant role in the initiation and maintenance of chronic pain states [166]. NMDARs are also expressed presynaptically, particularly in the central terminals of primary sensory neurons in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord. Nonetheless, these neurons are typically in a state of quiescence and are not actively engaged in the transmission of physiological nociceptive signals. Recent studies indicate that the activity of presynaptic NMDARs in the spinal cord is enhanced in neuropathic pain resulting from traumatic nerve injury, chemotherapy, or calcineurin inhibitors [167, 168]. The heightened activity of receptors has the potential to enhance glutamate release from primary afferent terminals on neurons located in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord. It is associated with the binding of the receptor to the C-terminus of  $\alpha 2\delta$ -1, an auxiliary subunit of Ca<sup>2+</sup> channels [169]. This binding is accountable for augmenting presynaptic NMDA activity by facilitating synaptic trafficking of  $\alpha 2\delta$ -1 NMDAR complexes and/or reducing receptor blockade by Mg<sup>2+</sup> ions. Moreover, the binding of gabapentinoids such as gabapentin and pregabalin to  $\alpha 2\delta$ -1, can normalise pre- and postsynaptic receptor activity, thereby reducing neuropathic pain [167, 170].

#### 6.9. Opioid-induced tolerance and hyperalgesia

Opioids constitute the most potent class of analgesics utilized to alleviate acute and chronic pain. These molecules include alkaloids extracted from poppy seeds (morphine, codeine), their semi-synthetic derivatives (oxycodone, hydromorphone, oxymorphone and synthetic phenylpiperidines (meperidine, fentanyl), as well as synthetic

pseudo-piperidines such as methadone [171]. Their action is mediated by the activation of three major classes of opioid receptors ( $\mu$ ,  $\delta$ , and  $\kappa$ ), which are widely distributed in the spinal cord (dorsal horn) as well as in specific cerebral structures, specifically the periaqueductal grey matter and the locus coeruleus. The activation of these GPCR induces analgesia via various intracellular pathways, leading to an inhibited release of glutamate and neuropeptides such as substance P in primary afferent fibres as well as hyperpolarisation of ascending projection neurons. These molecules also have a central analgesic effect through activation of the descending analgesic pathway [172]. Nonetheless, prolonged use of these molecules may also result in tolerance and hyperalgesia (exacerbation of nociceptive transmission), whose mechanisms remain ambiguous but that surely involve NMDARs. Indeed, NMDARs are located presynaptically at the central termini of primary afferent fibres and postsynaptically on neurons located in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord [173]. Several studies in rodents have shown that intrathecal or intravenous administration of the NMDAR antagonist MK-801 reduces or prevents the onset of opioid-induced hyperalgesia (OIH) [174, 175]. It has been demonstrated in a mouse model of OIH that chronic morphine administration leads to an elevation in the expression of the GluN1 subunit and that this effect can be mitigated by blocking NMDARs [176]. Moreover, it has been demonstrated that chronic administration of morphine and NMDARs antagonists in mice decreases the transcription of  $\beta$ -arrestin2 (Arrb2), a protein that is overexpressed in the periaqueductal grey matter, cortex, and striatum during analgesic tolerance and is implicated in the desensitisation of opioid receptor [176, 177]. These results demonstrate that Arrb2 activity regulated by NMDARs is involved in both OIH and tolerance, strengthening the link between these two phenomena [178]. Electrophysiological experiments have demonstrated that chronic opioid administration increases the activity of presynaptic NMDARs in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord and decreases the activity of postsynaptic NMDARs [179]. The authors hypothesise that chronic opioid administration can induce translocation of a protein kinase C (PKC) to the plasma membrane, which is responsible for activating presynaptic NMDARs by removing Mg<sup>2+</sup> that blocks the ion channel. This induces the receptor to move towards the plasma membrane, leading to an enhanced release of glutamate. Combined with the inhibition of the glutamate reuptake system, these mechanisms lead to an increase for glutamate concentration in the synaptic cleft, resulting in synaptic overstimulation that could lead to hyperalgesia [178]. These mechanisms and the many other molecular pathways involved in these phenomena are the subject of growing literature, making NMDARs one of the molecular targets for the prevention of hyperalgesia.

#### 7. NMDARs pharmacology

Since hypo- and hyperactivation of NMDARs is associated with the development of several neurological and psychiatric pathologies [180], these receptors represent a potential therapeutic target of high value. This implication has resulted in the development of allosteric modulators and molecules capable of blocking the ion channel. NMDAR is a complex pharmacological target with at least four different binding sites: an intracanal site, also known as the "PCP site" which blocks the efflux of calcium, a glutamate-binding site on the GluN2 subunit, a co-agonist (glycine or D-serine) binding site on the GluN1 subunit, and several allosteric modulation sites. D-cycloserine in addition to other NMDAR glycine agonists, such as glycine, serine, and D-serine, has been examined in the treatment of neurodevelopmental disorders, in particular schizophrenia. Preliminary studies suggest that further research into D-cycloserine as a possible treatment for certain neurodevelopmental diseases are needed to identify if glycine site agonists of the NMDAR are a viable treatment paradigm [181]. In this paragraph , we have decided to focus our analysis on ion channel blockers because glutamate agonists, antagonists, and allosteric modulators lack specificity and have not demonstrated clinical success over time [181]. Indeed, channel blockers have the advantage of targeting only NMDARs whose channel is open, allowing them to be visualised, as in the case of radiotracers. They also block them for therapeutic purposes. Because of this, the structure of channel blockers has served as a basis for the synthesis of drugs used for diagnostic or therapeutic purposes. Several molecules may inhibit NMDAR by blocking the ion channel. These channel blockers can be divided into three categories, depending on how they interact with the ion channel:

- - Sequential blockers or foot-in-the-door, which can only bind to the open channel and, once bound, prevents it from closing, include aminoacridine and tetrapentylammonium derivatives) [182, 183].
- - Partial blockers, which obstruct the channel without completely preventing its opening, include amantadine and memantine [184].
- - Trap blockers or total blockers that remain trapped in the pore and keep it closed include ketamine, phencyclidine (PCP) and dizocilpine (MK-801) [185].

The binding site of these molecules (Fig. 3) to the "PCP site" is intimately associated with the channel domain of the NMDA receptor. It partially overlaps with the Mg<sup>2+</sup> binding site between the ABD domain and the C-terminal domain. In order for the ligands to bind, the Mg<sup>2+</sup> must be absent from the channel. The antagonists adopt an "inverted triangle" configuration, and their binding occurs via hydrophobic interactions with the M3 transmembrane helix and a

polar bottom mainly contributed by the QRN glutamate, arginine, asparagine) site at the tip of the pore loop [186]. It is worth noting that these molecules are only able to access the channel when it is open [184]. Since the ion channel is a highly conserved region between receptor subtypes, blockers of the channel are thought to be non-selective [8]. However, certain antagonists, such as memantine, preferentially target specific receptor subpopulations [187]. These molecules show neuroprotective effects in some animal models of neurological diseases linked to excitotoxicity. Compounds with high and medium affinity for the receptor (ketamine, MK-801, PCP, TCP) are dissociative anaesthetics meaning that they make people feel separate from reality and whose clinical use is limited by their psychomimetic side effects [8].



Figure 3. Chemical structures of various NMDA receptor channels blockers.

#### 7.1. Dextromethorphan

Dextromethorphan is a dextrorotatory isomer of levorphanol and a codeine analogue that has no analgesic properties at therapeutic doses, but has been used as a cough suppressant for over 40 years. It was the first NMDAR channel blocker to be approved by the FDA (Food and Drug Administration) in 1958. Its bioavailability is 10 % due to rapid hepatic metabolism to the opioid agonist dextrorphan, the main active metabolite. Dextrorphan undergoes rapid glucuronidation, resulting in an ionic metabolite that passes through the blood-brain barrier. Besides its NMDAR antagonist activity, its pharmacological action is due to an agonist effect on sigma-1 receptors and an inhibitory effect on serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake [188]. In 2022, the FDA approved the combination dextromethorphan/bupropion to serve as a rapid acting antidepressant in patients with major depressive disorder.

#### 7.2. Ketamine and esketamine

Ketamine is a drug mainly used as an anaesthetic. It is a fast-acting general anaesthetic, administered intravenously or intramuscularly, that acts essentially by blocking NMDARs at concentrations between 2 and 50  $\mu$ M [189]. It produces an anaesthetic state different from that observed with drugs that act predominantly at the GABA receptors (barbiturates, propofol, benzodiazepines, etc.). This type of anaesthesia is known as "dissociative anaesthesia" and involves a reduction in activity in the neocortex and subcortical structures (thalamus) and an increase in activity in the limbic system and reticular substance. This causes a cataleptic state in which the patient's eyes remain open, corneal and photomotor reflexes are maintained, and a characteristic nystagmus is produced. This compound is particularly effective for short-term anaesthesia. It is also possible to achieve anaesthesia lasting several hours with repeated injections or intravenous infusions. It is also used as an anaesthesia inductor before the administration of other anaesthetic agents or as a potentiator of low-potency anaesthetic agents such as nitrous oxide [21]. Ketamine also has an antidepressant effect, at lower doses, leading to the launch in 2020 of Spravato®, a nasal spray solution containing the S (+) enantiomer of ketamine, or esketamine, for the treatment of resistant depression after the failure of other classes of antidepressants. The S (+) enantiomer exhibits 3-4 fold higher affinity for the PCP site compared to the R enantiomer [186].

#### 7.3. PCP, TCP, and MK-801

Phencyclidine (PCP, also known as angel dust by drug addicts, thienylcyclohexylpiperidine (TCP), and dizocilpine (MK-801) are high- and medium-affinity antagonists of the intracanal site of NMDARs. These molecules have demonstrated neuroprotective properties in preclinical models of excitotoxicity [190]. The aforementioned substances possess a dissociative anaesthetic effect. However, unlike ketamine; their higher affinity and prolonged half-life restrict their use as such in humans due to their potent psychomimetic properties [8, 188, 191]. However, they are considered reference molecules for studying the *in vitro* affinity of many compounds for the PCP site [192-195].

#### 7.4. Memantine

Memantine is an amantadine derivative that possesses neuroprotective properties that have been approved by the FDA and the European Union for the treatment of AD. Despite having interesting properties in mice, it has been considered that the medical benefit provided by memantine is low. Memantine exhibits a lower affinity for the PCP site compared to dissociative anaesthetics such as dizocilpine. Moreover, despite having, a similar affinity to ketamine, memantine exhibit distinct clinical effects. Several studies have been conducted in order to explain this difference. It may be due to interaction with other pharmacological sites, especially at high doses. Memantine can bind to acetyl-choline, serotonin 5-HT3, sigma-1 receptors, or voltage-gated sodium channels, whereas ketamine can bind to dopa-minergic D2, serotonergic 5-HT2 receptors, and HCN1 channels. However, an activity of metabolites inhibiting particular populations of NMDA receptors cannot be excluded. The interaction of memantine with NMDARs is predominantly observed in open channels in the presence of a prolonged rise in glutamate concentration typically lasting several minutes, as observed in extrasynaptic receptors [196, 197], which may account for the superior tolerability of this molecule in comparison to other antagonists such as ketamine, which exhibit a preferential inhibition of synaptic receptors [184].

#### 7.5. Fluoroethylnormémantine

Fluoroethylnormemantine (FENM) is a fluorinated analogue of memantine. This molecule was <sup>18</sup>F- radiolabelled to study its biodistribution in vivo [198-200]. More recently, preclinical studies have evaluated FENM in neuroprotective [201], antidepressant effects and its effectiveness in various behavioural disorders [202, 203]. FENM also displays synergistic protection in combination with a sigma-1 receptor agonist in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease [204]. The combination of autoradiography and ex vivo immunohistochemistry demonstrated colocalisation between radiolabeled FENM and NMDARs in the cortex and cerebellum of rats. Furthermore, the injection of (R, S)-ketamine prior to autoradiography prevented FENM binding. Thus, FENM binds to the PCP site in the ion channel and has a lower affinity for this site compared to ketamine [198, 199]. In vivo studies performed in 2021 concluded that FENM enhanced cognitive function and displayed neuroprotective properties in a mouse model of AD. In this study, Couly and colleagues [201] found that the administration of FENM prevented the onset of memory impairment and oxidative stress by a reducing lipid peroxidation and cytochrome c release. Moreover, a decrease in inflammation processes in the hippocampus and cortex was demonstrated. Unlike memantine, FENM improved spatiotemporal orientation. A recent study has demonstrated that drug combinations based on FENM and Sigama-1 receptor agonists may lead to highly effective and synergistic protection in AD, particularly in short-term memory [204]. Furthermore, two other preclinical studies have shown the ability of FENM to reduce stress-related behavioural dysfunction and to modify AM-PAR-mediated hippocampal activity in the same way as ketamine, suggesting a common neurobiological mechanism. However, this remains unclear [33, 34].

#### 8. Conclusions

Since the NMDAR system coexists with other glutamate systems, it represents a highly complex neurotransmitter system. Furthermore, the distribution of NMDAR at different levels of the CNS (pre-, post-, extra-synaptic, and various brain regions) makes it difficult to determine the exact role of NMDA receptors at both physiological and pathophysiological levels. Furthermore, the biochemical organisation of the receptors into four subunits increases the complexity of the system. Nevertheless, this encompassing complexity has not hindered the development of pharmaceuticals and imaging probes that exert their effects on these receptors and particularly at the level of the ion channel. With regard to the future marketing of new molecules, the challenge will be to find new chemical entities capable of blocking the ion channel without causing psychotomimetic side effects. In this context, efforts should be made to find new molecules

capable of interacting at various structural levels. These interactions can be validated both by pharmacology and molecular modelling experiments but also in animal models.

**Funding:** The European Regional Development Fund (Grant No. 16015075/MP0014063) and Occitania Region (Mutualized Platform Grant No. 16015090) PIR2 and Labex IRON (11-LABX-0018) funded this review.

**Author Contributions:** All authors have contributed to the writing, review and editing of this article. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

#### References

1. Tovar, K.R. and G.L. Westbrook, Mobile NMDA receptors at hippocampal synapses. Neuron, 2002. 34(2): p. 255-264.

2. Fujitani, S., et al., Statistical parametric mapping of interictal 123I-iomazenil SPECT in temporal lobe epilepsy surgery. Epilepsy Research, 2013. 106(1-2): p. 173-180.

3. Attwell, D. and A. Gibb, Neuroenergetics and the kinetic design of excitatory synapses. Nature Reviews. Neuroscience, 2005. 6(11): p. 841-9.

4. Batchelor, A.M. and J. Garthwaite, Frequency detection and temporally dispersed synaptic signal association through a metabotropic glutamate receptor pathway. Nature, 1997. 385(6611): p. 74-7.

5. Hollmann, M., et al., Cloning by functional expression of a member of the glutamate receptor family. Nature, 1989. 342(6250): p. 643-8.

6. Gielen, M., [Molecular operation of ionotropic glutamate receptors: proteins that mediate the excitatory synaptic neurotransmission]. Medecine sciences : M/S, 2010. 26(1): p. 65-72.

7. Willard, S.S. and S. Koochekpour, Glutamate, glutamate receptors, and downstream signaling pathways. International journal of biological sciences, 2013. 9(9): p. 948-59.

8. Hansen, K.B., et al., Structure, function, and allosteric modulation of NMDA receptors. The Journal of general physiology, 2018. 150(8): p. 1081-1105.

9. Traynelis, S.F., et al., Glutamate receptor ion channels: structure, regulation, and function. Pharmacological Reviews, 2010. 62(3): p. 405-96.

10. Burnashev, N., et al., Control by asparagine residues of calcium permeability and magnesium blockade in the NMDA receptor. Science, 1992. 257(5075): p. 1415-9.

11. Wollmuth, L.P., T. Kuner, and B. Sakmann, Adjacent asparagines in the NR2-subunit of the NMDA receptor channel control the voltage-dependent block by extracellular Mg2+. The Journal of physiology, 1998. 506 (Pt 1)(Pt 1): p. 13-32.

12. Vyklicky, V., et al., Structure, function, and pharmacology of NMDA receptor channels. Physiological Research, 2014. 63(Suppl 1): p. S191-203.

13. Papouin, T., et al., Synaptic and extrasynaptic NMDA receptors are gated by different endogenous coagonists. Cell, 2012. 150(3): p. 633-46.

14. Henneberger, C., et al., Long-term potentiation depends on release of D-serine from astrocytes. Nature, 2010. 463(7278): p. 232-6.

15. Panatier, A., et al., Glia-derived D-serine controls NMDA receptor activity and synaptic memory. Cell, 2006. 125(4): p. 775-84.

16. Bossi, S., L. Pizzamiglio, and P. Paoletti, Excitatory GluN1/GluN3A glycine receptors (eGlyRs) in brain signaling. Trends in Neurosciences, 2023. 46(8): p. 667-681.

17. Chen, L. and L.Y. Huang, Protein kinase C reduces Mg2+ block of NMDA-receptor channels as a mechanism of modulation. Nature, 1992. 356(6369): p. 521-523.

18. Itoh, T., et al., AMPA receptor-mediated excitotoxicity in human NT2-N neurons results from loss of intracellular Ca2+ homeostasis following marked elevation of intracellular Na+. Journal of Neurochemistry, 1998. 71(1): p. 112-124.

19. Bliss, T.V. and G.L. Collingridge, A synaptic model of memory: long-term potentiation in the hippocampus. Nature, 1993. 361(6407): p. 31-9.

20. Mattson, M.P., Excitotoxic and excitoprotective mechanisms: abundant targets for the prevention and treatment of neurodegenerative disorders. Neuromolecular medicine, 2003. 3(2): p. 65-94.

21. Mion, G. and T. Villevieille, Ketamine pharmacology: an update (pharmacodynamics and molecular aspects, recent findings). CNS neuroscience & therapeutics, 2013. 19(6): p. 370-80.

22. Malenka, R.C. and M.F. Bear, LTP and LTD: an embarrassment of riches. Neuron, 2004. 44(1): p. 5-21.

23. Oliet, S.H. and T. Papouin, [Importance of the location of NMDA glutamate receptors]. Medecine sciences : M/S, 2013. 29(3): p. 260-2.

24. Petralia, R.S., et al., Organization of NMDA receptors at extrasynaptic locations. Neuroscience, 2010. 167(1): p. 68-87.

25. Paoletti, P., C. Bellone, and Q. Zhou, NMDA receptor subunit diversity: impact on receptor properties, synaptic plasticity and disease. Nature Reviews. Neuroscience, 2013. 14(6): p. 383-400.

26. Kohr, G., NMDA receptor function: subunit composition versus spatial distribution. Cell and tissue research, 2006. 326(2): p. 439-46.

27. Akazawa, C., et al., Differential expression of five N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor subunit mRNAs in the cerebellum of developing and adult rats. The Journal of Comparative Neurology, 1994. 347(1): p. 150-60.

28. Laurie, D.J. and P.H. Seeburg, Regional and developmental heterogeneity in splicing of the rat brain NMDAR1 mRNA. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 1994. 14(5 Pt 2): p. 3180-94.

29. Monyer, H., P.H. Seeburg, and W. Wisden, Glutamate-operated channels: developmentally early and mature forms arise by alternative splicing. Neuron, 1991. 6(5): p. 799-810.

30. Henson, M.A., et al., Influence of the NR3A subunit on NMDA receptor functions. Progress in Neurobiology, 2010. 91(1): p. 23-37.

31. Pachernegg, S., N. Strutz-Seebohm, and M. Hollmann, GluN3 subunit-containing NMDA receptors: not just one-trick ponies. Trends in Neurosciences, 2012. 35(4): p. 240-9.

32. Bouvier, G., et al., Presynaptic NMDA receptors: Roles and rules. Neuroscience, 2015. 311: p. 322-40.

33. Duguid, I. and P.J. Sjostrom, Novel presynaptic mechanisms for coincidence detection in synaptic plasticity. Current opinion in neurobiology, 2006. 16(3): p. 312-22.

34. Glitsch, M.D., Calcium influx through N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors triggers GABA release at interneuron-Purkinje cell synapse in rat cerebellum. Neuroscience, 2008. 151(2): p. 403-9.

35. Petralia, R.S., Distribution of extrasynaptic NMDA receptors on neurons. TheScientificWorldJournal, 2012. 2012: p. 267120.

36. Herman, M.A. and C.E. Jahr, Extracellular glutamate concentration in hippocampal slice. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 2007. 27(36): p. 9736-41.

37. Le Meur, K., et al., Tonic activation of NMDA receptors by ambient glutamate of non-synaptic origin in the rat hippocampus. The Journal of physiology, 2007. 580(Pt. 2): p. 373-83.

38. Alonso-Nanclares, L., et al., Perisomatic glutamatergic axon terminals: a novel feature of cortical synaptology revealed by vesicular glutamate transporter 1 immunostaining. Neuroscience, 2004. 123(2): p. 547-56.

39. Merchan-Perez, A., et al., Proximity of excitatory and inhibitory axon terminals adjacent to pyramidal cell bodies provides a putative basis for nonsynaptic interactions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2009. 106(24): p. 9878-83.

40. Rusakov, D.A. and D.M. Kullmann, Extrasynaptic glutamate diffusion in the hippocampus: ultrastructural constraints, uptake, and receptor activation. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 1998. 18(9): p. 3158-70.

41. Bergersen, L.H. and V. Gundersen, Morphological evidence for vesicular glutamate release from astrocytes. Neuroscience, 2009. 158(1): p. 260-5.

42. Fellin, T., et al., Neuronal synchrony mediated by astrocytic glutamate through activation of extrasynaptic NMDA receptors. Neuron, 2004. 43(5): p. 729-43.

43. Hamilton, N.B. and D. Attwell, Do astrocytes really exocytose neurotransmitters? Nature Reviews. Neuroscience, 2010. 11(4): p. 227-38.

44. Jourdain, P., et al., Glutamate exocytosis from astrocytes controls synaptic strength. Nature neuroscience, 2007. 10(3): p. 331-9.

45. Thomas, C.G., A.J. Miller, and G.L. Westbrook, Synaptic and extrasynaptic NMDA receptor NR2 subunits in cultured hippocampal neurons. Journal of neurophysiology, 2006. 95(3): p. 1727-34.

46. Brickley, S.G., et al., NR2B and NR2D subunits coassemble in cerebellar Golgi cells to form a distinct NMDA receptor subtype restricted to extrasynaptic sites. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 2003. 23(12): p. 4958-66.

47. Cull-Candy, S.G., et al., NMDA receptor diversity in the cerebellum: identification of subunits contributing to functional receptors. Neuropharmacology, 1998. 37(10-11): p. 1369-80.

48. Misra, C., et al., Identification of subunits contributing to synaptic and extrasynaptic NMDA receptors in Golgi cells of the rat cerebellum. The Journal of physiology, 2000. 524 Pt 1(Pt 1): p. 147-62.

49. Misra, C., et al., Slow deactivation kinetics of NMDA receptors containing NR1 and NR2D subunits in rat cerebellar Purkinje cells. The Journal of physiology, 2000. 525 Pt 2(Pt 2): p. 299-305.

50. Momiyama, A., D. Feldmeyer, and S.G. Cull-Candy, Identification of a native low-conductance NMDA channel with reduced sensitivity to Mg2+ in rat central neurones. The Journal of physiology, 1996. 494 (Pt 2)(Pt 2): p. 479-92.

51. Stocca, G. and S. Vicini, Increased contribution of NR2A subunit to synaptic NMDA receptors in developing rat cortical neurons. The Journal of physiology, 1998. 507 (Pt 1)(Pt 1): p. 13-24.

52. Dalby, N.O. and I. Mody, Activation of NMDA receptors in rat dentate gyrus granule cells by spontaneous and evoked transmitter release. Journal of neurophysiology, 2003. 90(2): p. 786-97.

53. Pina-Crespo, J.C. and A.J. Gibb, Subtypes of NMDA receptors in new-born rat hippocampal granule cells. The Journal of physiology, 2002. 541(Pt 1): p. 41-64.

54. Lozovaya, N.A., et al., Extrasynaptic NR2B and NR2D subunits of NMDA receptors shape 'superslow' afterburst EPSC in rat hippocampus. The Journal of physiology, 2004. 558(Pt 2): p. 451-63.

55. Tovar, K.R. and G.L. Westbrook, The incorporation of NMDA receptors with a distinct subunit composition at nascent hippocampal synapses in vitro. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 1999. 19(10): p. 4180-8.

56. Hardingham, G.E. and H. Bading, Synaptic versus extrasynaptic NMDA receptor signalling: implications for neurodegenerative disorders. Nature Reviews. Neuroscience, 2010. 11(10): p. 682-96.

57. Chalifoux, J.R. and A.G. Carter, Glutamate spillover promotes the generation of NMDA spikes. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 2011. 31(45): p. 16435-46.

58. Diamond, J.S., Neuronal glutamate transporters limit activation of NMDA receptors by neurotransmitter spillover on CA1 pyramidal cells. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 2001. 21(21): p. 8328-38.

59. Lucas, D.R. and J.P. Newhouse, The toxic effect of sodium L-glutamate on the inner layers of the retina. A.M.A. archives of ophthalmology, 1957. 58(2): p. 193-201.

60. Olney, J.W., Brain lesions, obesity, and other disturbances in mice treated with monosodium glutamate. Science, 1969. 164(3880): p. 719-21.

61. Wang, J. and R.A. Swanson, Superoxide and Non-ionotropic Signaling in Neuronal Excitotoxicity. Frontiers in neuroscience, 2020. 4: p. 861.

62. Wang, H., et al., Apoptosis-inducing factor substitutes for caspase executioners in NMDA-triggered excitotoxic neuronal death. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 2004. 24(48): p. 10963-73.

63. Chandler, L.J., et al., N-methyl D-aspartate receptor-mediated bidirectional control of extracellular signal-regulated kinase activity in cortical neuronal cultures. The Journal of Biological Chemistry, 2001. 276(4): p. 2627-36.

64. Zhou, X., et al., NMDA receptor-mediated excitotoxicity depends on the coactivation of synaptic and extrasynaptic receptors. Cell death & disease, 2013. 4(3): p. e560.

65. Hardingham, G.E. and H. Bading, Coupling of extrasynaptic NMDA receptors to a CREB shut-off pathway is developmentally regulated. Biochimica et biophysica acta, 2002. 1600(1-2): p. 148-53.

66. Hardingham, G.E., Y. Fukunaga, and H. Bading, Extrasynaptic NMDARs oppose synaptic NMDARs by triggering CREB shut-off and cell death pathways. Nature neuroscience, 2002. 5(5): p. 405-14.

67. Xing, J., D.D. Ginty, and M.E. Greenberg, Coupling of the RAS-MAPK pathway to gene activation by RSK2, a growth factor-regulated CREB kinase. Science, 1996. 273(5277): p. 959-63.

68. Ivanov, A., et al., Opposing role of synaptic and extrasynaptic NMDA receptors in regulation of the extracellular signal-regulated kinases (ERK) activity in cultured rat hippocampal neurons. The Journal of physiology, 2006. 572(Pt 3): p. 789-98.

69. Kim, M.J., et al., Differential roles of NR2A- and NR2B-containing NMDA receptors in Ras-ERK signaling and AMPA receptor trafficking. Neuron, 2005. 46(5): p. 745-60.

70. Mulholland, P.J., et al., Glutamate transporters regulate extrasynaptic NMDA receptor modulation of Kv2.1 potassium channels. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 2008. 28(35): p. 8801-9.

71. Friedman, L.K. and M. Segal, Early exposure of cultured hippocampal neurons to excitatory amino acids protects from later excitotoxicity. International journal of developmental neuroscience : the official journal of the International Society for Developmental Neuroscience, 2010. 28(2): p. 195-205.

72. Zhou, X., et al., N-methyl-D-aspartate-stimulated ERK1/2 signaling and the transcriptional up-regulation of plasticity-related genes are developmentally regulated following in vitro neuronal maturation. Journal of neuroscience research, 2009. 87(12): p. 2632-44.

73. Chen, S. and J.S. Diamond, Synaptically released glutamate activates extrasynaptic NMDA receptors on cells in the ganglion cell layer of rat retina. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 2002. 22(6): p. 2165-73.

74. Ullian, E.M., et al., Invulnerability of retinal ganglion cells to NMDA excitotoxicity. Molecular and cellular neurosciences, 2004. 26(4): p. 544-57.

75. Sattler, R., et al., Distinct roles of synaptic and extrasynaptic NMDA receptors in excitotoxicity. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 2000. 20(1): p. 22-33.

76. Wroge, C.M., et al., Synaptic NMDA receptors mediate hypoxic excitotoxic death. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 2012. 32(19): p. 6732-42.

77. Zhou, X., et al., Extrasynaptic NMDA Receptor in Excitotoxicity: Function Revisited. The Neuroscientist : a review journal bringing neurobiology, neurology and psychiatry, 2015. 21(4): p. 337-44.

78. Liu, Y., et al., NMDA receptor subunits have differential roles in mediating excitotoxic neuronal death both in vitro and in vivo. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 2007. 27(11): p. 2846-57.

79. Martel, M.A., D.J. Wyllie, and G.E. Hardingham, In developing hippocampal neurons, NR2B-containing N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors (NMDARs) can mediate signaling to neuronal survival and synaptic potentiation, as well as neuronal death. Neuroscience, 2009. 158(1): p. 334-43.

80. Nakanishi, N., et al., Neuroprotection by the NR3A subunit of the NMDA receptor. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 2009. 29(16): p. 5260-5.

81. von Engelhardt, J., et al., Excitotoxicity in vitro by NR2A- and NR2B-containing NMDA receptors. Neuropharmacology, 2007. 53(1): p. 10-7.

82. Zhou, M. and M. Baudry, Developmental changes in NMDA neurotoxicity reflect developmental changes in subunit composition of NMDA receptors. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 2006. 26(11): p. 2956-63.

83. Shinohara, Y., et al., Left-right asymmetry of the hippocampal synapses with differential subunit allocation of glutamate receptors. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2008. 105(49): p. 19498-503.

84. Zhang, J. and J.S. Diamond, Subunit- and pathway-specific localization of NMDA receptors and scaffolding proteins at ganglion cell synapses in rat retina. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 2009. 29(13): p. 4274-86.

85. Townsend, M., et al., Developmental loss of miniature N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor currents in NR2A knockout mice. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2003. 100(3): p. 1340-5.

86. Zhao, J.P. and M. Constantine-Paton, NR2A-/- mice lack long-term potentiation but retain NMDA receptor and L-type Ca2+ channel-dependent long-term depression in the juvenile superior colliculus. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 2007. 27(50): p. 13649-54.

87. Kawakami, R., et al., Asymmetrical allocation of NMDA receptor epsilon2 subunits in hippocampal circuitry. Science, 2003. 300(5621): p. 990-4.

88. Arrigoni, E. and R.W. Greene, Schaffer collateral and perforant path inputs activate different subtypes of NMDA receptors on the same CA1 pyramidal cell. British journal of pharmacology, 2004. 142(2): p. 317-22.

89. Varela, J.A., et al., D1/D5 modulation of synaptic NMDA receptor currents. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 2009. 29(10): p. 3109-19.

90. Wu, Y., et al., Target-cell-specific left-right asymmetry of NMDA receptor content in schaffer collateral synapses in epsilon1/NR2A knock-out mice. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 2005. 25(40): p. 9213-26.

91. Kumar, S.S. and J.R. Huguenard, Pathway-specific differences in subunit composition of synaptic NMDA receptors on pyramidal neurons in neocortex. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 2003. 23(31): p. 10074-83.

92. Petralia, R.S., et al., Selective acquisition of AMPA receptors over postnatal development suggests a molecular basis for silent synapses. Nature neuroscience, 1999. 2(1): p. 31-6.

93. Durand, G.M. and A. Konnerth, Long-term potentiation as a mechanism of functional synapse induction in the developing hippocampus. Journal of physiology, Paris, 1996. 90(5-6): p. 313-5.

94. Hall, B.J., B. Ripley, and A. Ghosh, NR2B signaling regulates the development of synaptic AMPA receptor current. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 2007. 27(49): p. 13446-56.

95. Ultanir, S.K., et al., Regulation of spine morphology and spine density by NMDA receptor signaling in vivo. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2007. 104(49): p. 19553-8.

96. Zhu, J.J., et al., Postnatal synaptic potentiation: delivery of GluR4-containing AMPA receptors by spontaneous activity. Nature neuroscience, 2000. 3(11): p. 1098-106.

97. McKinney, R.A., Excitatory amino acid involvement in dendritic spine formation, maintenance and remodelling. The Journal of physiology, 2010. 588(Pt 1): p. 107-16.

98. Murakoshi, H. and R. Yasuda, Postsynaptic signaling during plasticity of dendritic spines. Trends in Neurosciences, 2012. 35(2): p. 135-43.

99. Sweatt, J.D., Neural plasticity and behavior - sixty years of conceptual advances. Journal of Neurochemistry, 2016. 139 Suppl 2: p. 179-199.

100. Asok, A., et al., Molecular Mechanisms of the Memory Trace. Trends in Neurosciences, 2019. 42(1): p. 14-22.

101. Luscher, C. and R.C. Malenka, NMDA receptor-dependent long-term potentiation and long-term depression (LTP/LTD). Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology, 2012. 4(6).

102. Newcomer, J.W., N.B. Farber, and J.W. Olney, NMDA receptor function, memory, and brain aging. Dialogues in clinical neuroscience, 2000. 2(3): p. 219-32.

103. Malinow, R. and R.C. Malenka, AMPA receptor trafficking and synaptic plasticity. Annual review of neuroscience, 2002. 25: p. 103-26.

104. Benke, T.A., et al., Modulation of AMPA receptor unitary conductance by synaptic activity. Nature, 1998. 393(6687): p. 793-7.

105. Jahr, C.E. and C.F. Stevens, Calcium permeability of the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor channel in hippocampal neurons in culture. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 1993. 90(24): p. 11573-7.

106. Bloodgood, B.L., A.J. Giessel, and B.L. Sabatini, Biphasic synaptic Ca influx arising from compartmentalized electrical signals in dendritic spines. PLoS biology, 2009. 7(9): p. e1000190.

107. Bloodgood, B.L. and B.L. Sabatini, Nonlinear regulation of unitary synaptic signals by CaV(2.3) voltage-sensitive calcium channels located in dendritic spines. Neuron, 2007. 53(2): p. 249-60.

108. Sabatini, B.L., T.G. Oertner, and K. Svoboda, The life cycle of Ca(2+) ions in dendritic spines. Neuron, 2002. 33(3): p. 439-52.

109. Carroll, R.C., et al., Role of AMPA receptor endocytosis in synaptic plasticity. Nature Reviews. Neuroscience, 2001. 2(5): p. 315-24.

110. Bramham, C.R., et al., The Arc of synaptic memory. Experimental Brain Research, 2010. 200(2): p. 125-40.

111. Hardingham, G.E., Pro-survival signalling from the NMDA receptor. Biochemical Society transactions, 2006. 34(Pt 5): p. 936-8.

112. Hetman, M. and G. Kharebava, Survival signaling pathways activated by NMDA receptors. Current topics in medicinal chemistry, 2006. 6(8): p. 787-99.

113. Ikonomidou, C., et al., Blockade of NMDA receptors and apoptotic neurodegeneration in the developing brain. Science, 1999. 283(5398): p. 70-4.

114. Monti, B. and A. Contestabile, Blockade of the NMDA receptor increases developmental apoptotic elimination of granule neurons and activates caspases in the rat cerebellum. The European Journal of Neuroscience, 2000. 12(9): p. 3117-23.

115. Parsons, M.P. and L.A. Raymond, Extrasynaptic NMDA receptor involvement in central nervous system disorders. Neuron, 2014. 82(2): p. 279-93.

116. Wang, R. and P.H. Reddy, Role of Glutamate and NMDA Receptors in Alzheimer's Disease. Journal of Alzheimer's disease : JAD, 2017. 57(4): p. 1041-1048.

117. Choi, D.W. and S.M. Rothman, The role of glutamate neurotoxicity in hypoxic-ischemic neuronal death. Annual review of neuroscience, 1990. 13: p. 171-82.

118. Jabaudon, D., et al., Acute decrease in net glutamate uptake during energy deprivation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2000. 97(10): p. 5610-5.

119. Rossi, D.J., T. Oshima, and D. Attwell, Glutamate release in severe brain ischaemia is mainly by reversed uptake. Nature, 2000. 403(6767): p. 316-21.

120. Fleidervish, I.A., et al., Enhanced spontaneous transmitter release is the earliest consequence of neocortical hypoxia that can explain the disruption of normal circuit function. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 2001. 21(13): p. 4600-8.

121. Xu, Z., et al., Metaplastic regulation of long-term potentiation/long-term depression threshold by activity-dependent changes of NR2A/NR2B ratio. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 2009. 29(27): p. 8764-73.

122. Tu, W., et al., DAPK1 interaction with NMDA receptor NR2B subunits mediates brain damage in stroke. Cell, 2010. 140(2): p. 222-34.

123. Chen, S., et al., Roles of N-Methyl-D-Aspartate Receptors (NMDARs) in Epilepsy. Frontiers in Molecular Neuroscience, 2021. 14: p. 797253.

124. Ronne-Engstrom, E., et al., Intracerebral microdialysis of extracellular amino acids in the human epileptic focus. Journal of cerebral blood flow and metabolism : official journal of the International Society of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism, 1992. 12(5): p. 873-6.

125. Kaminski, R.M., M. Banerjee, and M.A. Rogawski, Topiramate selectively protects against seizures induced by ATPA, a GluR5 kainate receptor agonist. Neuropharmacology, 2004. 46(8): p. 1097-104.

126. Turski, L., et al., ZK200775: a phosphonate quinoxalinedione AMPA antagonist for neuroprotection in stroke and trauma. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 1998. 95(18): p. 10960-5.

127. Rundfeldt, C., P. Wlaz, and W. Loscher, Anticonvulsant activity of antagonists and partial agonists for the NMDA receptor-associated glycine site in the kindling model of epilepsy. Brain Research, 1994. 653(1-2): p. 125-30.

128. Hanada, T., Ionotropic Glutamate Receptors in Epilepsy: A Review Focusing on AMPA and NMDA Receptors. Biomolecules, 2020. 10(3).

129. Xu, X.X. and J.H. Luo, Mutations of N-Methyl-D-Aspartate Receptor Subunits in Epilepsy. Neuroscience bulletin, 2018. 34(3): p. 549-565.

130. Brines, M.L., et al., Quantitative autoradiographic analysis of ionotropic glutamate receptor subtypes in human temporal lobe epilepsy: up-regulation in reorganized epileptogenic hippocampus. The European Journal of Neuroscience, 1997. 9(10): p. 2035-44.

131. Graebenitz, S., et al., Interictal-like network activity and receptor expression in the epileptic human lateral amygdala. Brain : a journal of neurology, 2011. 134(Pt 10): p. 2929-47.

132. Brodie, M.J., et al., Efficacy and Safety of Remacemide versus Carbamazepine in Newly Diagnosed Epilepsy: Comparison by Sequential Analysis. Epilepsy & behavior : E&B, 2002. 3(2): p. 140-146.

133. Sveinbjornsdottir, S., et al., The excitatory amino acid antagonist D-CPP-ene (SDZ EAA-494) in patients with epilepsy. Epilepsy Research, 1993. 16(2): p. 165-74.

134. Gil-Lopez, F.J., et al., Retrospective study of perampanel efficacy and tolerability in myoclonic seizures. Acta neurologica Scandinavica, 2018. 138(2): p. 122-129.

135. Dalmau, J. and F. Graus, Antibody-Mediated Encephalitis. The New England Journal of Medicine, 2018. 378(9): p. 840-851.

136. Dalmau, J., et al., Anti-NMDA-receptor encephalitis: case series and analysis of the effects of antibodies. The Lancet. Neurology, 2008. 7(12): p. 1091-8.

137. Moscato, E.H., et al., Acute mechanisms underlying antibody effects in anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor encephalitis. Annals of Neurology, 2014. 76(1): p. 108-19.

138. Manto, M., et al., In vivo effects of antibodies from patients with anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis: further evidence of synaptic glutamatergic dysfunction. Orphanet journal of rare diseases, 2010. 5: p. 31.

139. Dalmau, J., et al., An update on anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis for neurologists and psychiatrists: mechanisms and models. The Lancet. Neurology, 2019. 18(11): p. 1045-1057.

140. Abbatemarco, J.R., et al., Antibody-mediated autoimmune encephalitis: A practical approach. Cleveland Clinic journal of medicine, 2021. 88(8): p. 459-471.

141. Wu, L., et al., Early-onset familial Alzheimer's disease (EOFAD). The Canadian journal of neurological sciences. Le journal canadien des sciences neurologiques, 2012. 39(4): p. 436-45.

142. Burns, A. and S. Iliffe, Alzheimer's disease. BMJ, 2009. 338: p. b158.

143. Zhang, Y., et al., Dysfunction of NMDA receptors in Alzheimer's disease. Neurological sciences : official journal of the Italian Neurological Society and of the Italian Society of Clinical Neurophysiology, 2016. 37(7): p. 1039-47.

144. Duyckaerts, C., B. Delatour, and M.C. Potier, Classification and basic pathology of Alzheimer disease. Acta Neuropathologica, 2009. 118(1): p. 5-36.

145. Tanzi, R.E., The synaptic Abeta hypothesis of Alzheimer disease. Nature neuroscience, 2005. 8(8): p. 977-9.

146. Walsh, D.M., et al., Naturally secreted oligomers of amyloid beta protein potently inhibit hippocampal long-term potentiation in vivo. Nature, 2002. 416(6880): p. 535-9.

147. Fan, M.M. and L.A. Raymond, N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor function and excitotoxicity in Hunting-ton's disease. Progress in Neurobiology, 2007. 81(5-6): p. 272-93.

148. Milnerwood, A.J., et al., Early increase in extrasynaptic NMDA receptor signaling and expression contributes to phenotype onset in Huntington's disease mice. Neuron, 2010. 65(2): p. 178-90.

149. Beal, M.F., et al., Replication of the neurochemical characteristics of Huntington's disease by quinolinic acid. Nature, 1986. 321(6066): p. 168-71.

150. Schwarcz, R., et al., Of mice, rats and men: Revisiting the quinolinic acid hypothesis of Huntington's disease. Progress in Neurobiology, 2010. 90(2): p. 230-245.

151. Heng, M.Y., et al., In vivo evidence for NMDA receptor-mediated excitotoxicity in a murine genetic model of Huntington disease. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 2009. 29(10): p. 3200-5.

152. Nakazawa, K. and K. Sapkota, The origin of NMDA receptor hypofunction in schizophrenia. Pharmacology & therapeutics, 2020. 205: p. 107426.

153. Howes, O.D. and S. Kapur, The dopamine hypothesis of schizophrenia: version III--the final common pathway. Schizophrenia bulletin, 2009. 35(3): p. 549-62.

154. Javitt, D.C. and S.R. Zukin, Recent advances in the phencyclidine model of schizophrenia. The American Journal of Psychiatry, 1991. 148(10): p. 1301-8.

155. Malhotra, A.K., et al., NMDA receptor function and human cognition: the effects of ketamine in healthy volunteers. Neuropsychopharmacology : official publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology, 1996. 14(5): p. 301-7.

156. Lahti, A.C., et al., Effects of ketamine in normal and schizophrenic volunteers. Neuropsychopharmacology : official publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology, 2001. 25(4): p. 455-67.

157. Malhotra, A.K., et al., Clozapine blunts N-methyl-D-aspartate antagonist-induced psychosis: a study with ketamine. Biological Psychiatry, 1997. 42(8): p. 664-8.

158. Adell, A., Brain NMDA Receptors in Schizophrenia and Depression. Biomolecules, 2020. 10(6): p. E947.

159. Kaut, O., et al., Aberrant NMDA receptor DNA methylation detected by epigenome-wide analysis of hippocampus and prefrontal cortex in major depression. European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience, 2015. 265(4): p. 331-41.

160. Zhang, C., et al., A study of N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor gene (GRIN2B) variants as predictors of treatment-resistant major depression. Psychopharmacology, 2014. 231(4): p. 685-93.

161. Marsden, W.N., Stressor-induced NMDAR dysfunction as a unifying hypothesis for the aetiology, pathogenesis and comorbidity of clinical depression. Medical hypotheses, 2011. 77(4): p. 508-28.

162. Berman, R.M., et al., Antidepressant effects of ketamine in depressed patients. Biological Psychiatry, 2000. 47(4): p. 351-4.

163. Jaso, B.A., et al., Therapeutic Modulation of Glutamate Receptors in Major Depressive Disorder. Current Neuropharmacology, 2017. 15(1): p. 57-70.

164. Akinfiresoye, L. and Y. Tizabi, Antidepressant effects of AMPA and ketamine combination: role of hippocampal BDNF, synapsin, and mTOR. Psychopharmacology, 2013. 230(2): p. 291-8.

165. Gerhard, D.M., et al., GABA interneurons are the cellular trigger for ketamine's rapid antidepressant actions. The Journal of clinical investigation, 2020. 130(3): p. 1336-1349.

166. Wu, L.J. and M. Zhuo, Targeting the NMDA receptor subunit NR2B for the treatment of neuropathic pain. Neurotherapeutics : the journal of the American Society for Experimental NeuroTherapeutics, 2009. 6(4): p. 693-702.

167. Deng, M., S.R. Chen, and H.L. Pan, Presynaptic NMDA receptors control nociceptive transmission at the spinal cord level in neuropathic pain. Cellular and molecular life sciences : CMLS, 2019. 76(10): p. 1889-1899.

168. Xie, J.D., et al., Presynaptic N-Methyl-d-aspartate (NMDA) Receptor Activity Is Increased Through Protein Kinase C in Paclitaxel-induced Neuropathic Pain. The Journal of Biological Chemistry, 2016. 291(37): p. 19364-73.

169. Weiss, N. and M. De Waard, [Voltage-dependent calcium channels at the heart of pain perception]. Medecine sciences : M/S, 2006. 22(4): p. 396-404.

170. Chen, J., et al., The alpha2delta-1-NMDA Receptor Complex Is Critically Involved in Neuropathic Pain Development and Gabapentin Therapeutic Actions. Cell reports, 2018. 22(9): p. 2307-2321.

171. Jamison, R.N. and J. Mao, Opioid Analgesics. Mayo Clinic proceedings, 2015. 90(7): p. 957-68.

172. Wilson-Poe, A.R., H.J. Jeong, and C.W. Vaughan, Chronic morphine reduces the readily releasable pool of GABA, a presynaptic mechanism of opioid tolerance. The Journal of physiology, 2017. 595(20): p. 6541-6555.

173. Antal, M., et al., Numbers, densities, and colocalization of AMPA- and NMDA-type glutamate receptors at individual synapses in the superficial spinal dorsal horn of rats. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 2008. 28(39): p. 9692-701.

174. Celerier, E., et al., Progressive enhancement of delayed hyperalgesia induced by repeated heroin administration: a sensitization process. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 2001. 21(11): p. 4074-80.

175. Li, X., M.S. Angst, and J.D. Clark, A murine model of opioid-induced hyperalgesia. Brain research. Molecular brain research, 2001. 86(1-2): p. 56-62.

176. Ohnesorge, H., et al., Influence of clonidine and ketamine on m-RNA expression in a model of opioid-induced hyperalgesia in mice. PloS One, 2013. 8(11): p. e79567.

177. Williams, J.T., et al., Regulation of mu-opioid receptors: desensitization, phosphorylation, internalization, and tolerance. Pharmacological Reviews, 2013. 65(1): p. 223-54.

178. Roeckel, L.A., et al., Opioid-induced hyperalgesia: Cellular and molecular mechanisms. Neuroscience, 2016. 338: p. 160-182.

179. Zhao, Y.L., et al., Chronic opioid potentiates presynaptic but impairs postsynaptic N-methyl-D-aspartic acid receptor activity in spinal cords: implications for opioid hyperalgesia and tolerance. The Journal of Biological Chemistry, 2012. 287(30): p. 25073-85.

180. Hanson, J.E., et al., Therapeutic potential of N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor modulators in psychiatry. Neuropsychopharmacology : official publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology, 2024. 49(1): p. 51-66.

181. Kalia, L.V., S.K. Kalia, and M.W. Salter, NMDA receptors in clinical neurology: excitatory times ahead. The Lancet. Neurology, 2008. 7(8): p. 742-55.

182. Barygin, O.I., et al., Blockade of NMDA receptor channels by 9-aminoacridine and its derivatives. Neuroscience Letters, 2009. 451(1): p. 29-33.

183. Bolshakov, K.V., et al., Determinants of trapping block of N-methyl-d-aspartate receptor channels. Journal of Neurochemistry, 2003. 87(1): p. 56-65.

184. Johnson, J.W., N.G. Glasgow, and N.V. Povysheva, Recent insights into the mode of action of memantine and ketamine. Current opinion in pharmacology, 2015. 20: p. 54-63.

185. Poulsen, M.H., et al., Binding of ArgTX-636 in the NMDA receptor ion channel. Journal of molecular biology, 2015. 427(1): p. 176-89.

186. Zhang, Y., et al., Structural basis of ketamine action on human NMDA receptors. Nature, 2021. 596(7871): p. 301-305.

187. Dau, A., et al., Chronic blockade of extrasynaptic NMDA receptors ameliorates synaptic dysfunction and pro-death signaling in Huntington disease transgenic mice. Neurobiology of Disease, 2014. 62: p. 533-42.

188. Ahmed, H., A. Haider, and S.M. Ametamey, N-Methyl-D-Aspartate (NMDA) receptor modulators: a patent review (2015-present). Expert opinion on therapeutic patents, 2020. 30(10): p. 743-767.

189. Flood, P. and M.D. Krasowski, Intravenous anesthetics differentially modulate ligand-gated ion channels. Anesthesiology, 2000. 92(5): p. 1418-25. 190. McDonald, J.W., F.S. Silverstein, and M.V. Johnston, Neuroprotective effects of MK-801, TCP, PCP and CPP against N-methyl-D-aspartate induced neurotoxicity in an in vivo perinatal rat model. Brain Research, 1989. 490(1): p. 33-40.

191. Song, X., et al., Mechanism of NMDA receptor channel block by MK-801 and memantine. Nature, 2018. 556(7702): p. 515-519.

192. Biegon, A., et al., In vitro and in vivo characterization of [3H]CNS-5161--a use-dependent ligand for the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor in rat brain. Synapse, 2007. 61(8): p. 577-86.

193. McGinnity, C.J., et al., Initial evaluation of 18F-GE-179, a putative PET Tracer for activated N-methyl D-aspartate receptors. Journal of nuclear medicine : official publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine, 2014. 55(3): p. 423-30.

194. Metaxas, A., et al., Binding characterization of N-(2-chloro-5-thiomethylphenyl)-N'-(3-[(3) H](3) methoxy phenyl)-N'-methylguanidine ([(3) H]GMOM), a non-competitive N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonist. Pharmacology research & perspectives, 2019. 7(1): p. e00458.

195. Owens, J., et al., Synthesis and binding characteristics of

N-(1-naphthyl)-N'-(3-[(125)I]-iodophenyl)-N'-methylguanidine ([(125)I]-CNS 1261): a potential SPECT agent for imaging NMDA receptor activation. Nuclear Medicine and Biology, 2000. 27(6): p. 557-64.

196. Wu, Y.N. and S.W. Johnson, Memantine selectively blocks extrasynaptic NMDA receptors in rat substantia nigra dopamine neurons. Brain Research, 2015. 1603: p. 1-7.

197. Xia, P., et al., Memantine preferentially blocks extrasynaptic over synaptic NMDA receptor currents in hippocampal autapses. The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 2010. 30(33): p. 11246-11250.

198. Beaurain, M., et al., Pharmacological Characterization of [18F]-FNM and Evaluation of NMDA Receptors Activation in a Rat Brain Injury Model. Molecular Imaging and Biology, 2023. 25(4): p. 692-703.

199. Salabert, A.S., et al., Radiolabeling of [18F]-fluoroethylnormemantine and initial in vivo evaluation of this innovative PET tracer for imaging the PCP sites of NMDA receptors. Nuclear Medicine and Biology, 2015. 42(8): p. 643-53.

200. Salabert, A.S., et al., Evaluation of [(18)F]FNM biodistribution and dosimetry based on whole-body PET imaging of rats. Nuclear Medicine and Biology, 2018. 59: p. 1-8.

201. Couly, S., et al., Anti-Amnesic and Neuroprotective Effects of Fluoroethylnormemantine in a Pharmacological Mouse Model of Alzheimer's Disease. The International Journal of Neuropsychopharmacology, 2021. 24(2): p. 142-157.

202. Chen, B.K., et al., Fluoroethylnormemantine, A Novel Derivative of Memantine, Facilitates Extinction Learning Without Sensorimotor Deficits. The International Journal of Neuropsychopharmacology, 2021. 24(6): p. 519-531.

203. Chen, B.K., et al., Fluoroethylnormemantine, a Novel NMDA Receptor Antagonist, for the Prevention and Treatment of Stress-Induced Maladaptive Behavior. Biological Psychiatry, 2021. 90(7): p. 458-472.

204. Freyssin, A., et al., Fluoroethylnormemantine (FENM) shows synergistic protection in combination with a sigma-1 receptor agonist in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Neuropharmacology, 2024. 242: p. 109733.