

Social Support Discrepancies in Adolescence: Dual Perspectives on Perception, Gender Dynamics, and Mental Health

Cédric Sueur

► To cite this version:

Cédric Sueur. Social Support Discrepancies in Adolescence: Dual Perspectives on Perception, Gender Dynamics, and Mental Health. Peer Community In Network Science, 2024, 10.24072/pci.networksci.100251. hal-04787134

HAL Id: hal-04787134 https://hal.science/hal-04787134v1

Submitted on 16 Nov 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Social Support Discrepancies in Adolescence: Dual Perspectives on Perception, Gender

2 Dynamics, and Mental Health

3 Cédric Sueur

- 4 Université de Strasbourg, IPHC UMR7178, CNRS, Strasbourg, France
- 5 ANTHROPO-LAB, ETHICS EA 7446, Université Catholique de Lille, Lille, France
- 6 Institut Universitaire de France, Paris, France
- 7

 8 Cite this recommendation as:
 9 Sueur, C. (2024) Social Support Discrepancies in Adolescence: Dual Perspectives on Perception, Gender Dynamics, and Mental Health. *Peer Community in Network Science, 100251. <u>10.24072/pci.networksci.100251</u>*

11

12 Social support encompasses various functions within social networks, facilitating emotional,

- 13 instrumental, and informational exchanges that promote well-being (House et al. 1988; Thoits
- 14 2011; Sueur et al. 2021). Emotional support, such as empathy and reassurance, directly

15 contributes to psychological health and can buffer against stress. However, perceived social

16 support often correlates more strongly with well-being than enacted support, which may

sometimes yield contrary effects, as studies have shown (Haber et al. 2007; Chu et al. 2010).

18 This discrepancy between perceived and provided support underscores the role of individual

19 perception in social dynamics (Sueur et al. 2024).

20 The cognitive triad theory by Beck (1979) suggests that depressive thought patterns—

21 negative views of self, environment, and future—distort perceptions, which may affect social

support recognition. Individuals with depression often struggle to perceive or remember

supportive behaviors accurately, filtering out positive feedback (Gotlib and Joormann 2010).

24 These biases highlight the importance of subjective interpretation in social relationships, with

social cognition research suggesting that social support exhibits trait-like stability and that

26 pre-existing cognitive schemas shape support perception (Mankowski and Wyer 1997).

27 Gender differences in support perception have been widely documented, with young women

28 generally perceiving and offering more social support than men (Rueger et al. 2016).

29 Socialization influences may explain these discrepancies; for instance, girls often learn to

30 express warmth and empathy more readily, enhancing both their recognition of and access to

31 support (Brashears et al. 2016). Consequently, support dynamics are not only shaped by

32 individual mental health and social network structure but also by sociocultural factors that

33 influence emotional processing and relationship assessment.

Krüger et al. (2024) brings innovative elements to understanding social support discrepancies

among adolescents by employing a dual-perspective network analysis. Unlike traditional

36 studies that focus on either the support provider's or receiver's perspective, this research uses

both perspectives within adolescent social networks to reveal the degree of mismatch in

support perception. For example, "provided but not perceived" and "perceived but not

39 provided" support discrepancies were identified, illuminating how gender influences support

40 dynamics. Findings reveal that young men are more likely to experience unnoticed support

- 41 provision, suggesting that gender norms around emotional expression could hinder
- 42 recognition of support in male-provided interactions.
- 43 Additionally, the study finds that discrepancies are more common in opposite-sex dyads than
- same-sex ones, highlighting how gender-based socialization impacts support perceptions.
- 45 Adolescents, especially in cross-gender interactions, may face interpretative challenges in
- recognizing support, possibly due to gendered expectations around emotional engagement.
- This gender-focused insight into social support perception is unique, providing a new layer of
- 48 understanding for support network dynamics in adolescence.
- 49 Another innovative aspect is the study's integration of mental health and loneliness as
- variables. Contrary to previous assumptions, these factors do not significantly impact support
- 51 perception discrepancies, challenging the view that mental health primarily skews support
- 52 perception. This finding suggests that social support recognition issues may be less about
- 53 individual mental health status and more about relational dynamics and social norms.
- 54 In methodological terms, the use of multi-level modeling to account for school-level
- variations and individual differences further advances social support research by offering a
- 56 more granular view of how environmental and personal factors intersect to shape support
- 57 perceptions among adolescents. It would be particularly interesting to explore how this
- 58 methodology could be applied to animal social network analyses (Sueur et al. 2012; Battesti et
- al. 2015; Borgeaud et al. 2017; Romano et al. 2018), especially given evidence that loneliness
- exists in monkeys (Capitanio et al. 2014, 2019). For example, studies could investigate
- 61 whether similar discrepancies exist in animal groups, such as unrecognized affiliative
- 62 behaviors or mismatches in perceived versus actual social bonds. By adapting this approach,
- researchers could examine how social perception and interaction influence group cohesion,
- 64 stress buffering, and overall well-being in animal societies, potentially offering a deeper
- 65 understanding of the evolutionary and ecological drivers of social support in non-human
- 66 species.

67 **References**

- Battesti M, Pasquaretta C, Moreno C, et al (2015) Ecology of information: social transmission
 dynamics within groups of non-social insects. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 282:20142480.
 https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2014.2480
- 71 Beck AT (1979) Cognitive Therapy and the Emotional Disorders. Penguin
- Borgeaud C, Sosa S, Sueur C, Bshary R (2017) The influence of demographic variation on social
 network stability in wild vervet monkeys. Anim Behav 134:155–165.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2017.09.028
- Brashears ME, Hoagland E, Quintane E (2016) Sex and network recall accuracy. Soc Netw 44:74–84.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socnet.2015.06.002
- Capitanio JP, Cacioppo S, Cole SW (2019) Loneliness in monkeys: neuroimmune mechanisms. Curr
 Opin Behav Sci 28:51–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2019.01.013
- Capitanio JP, Hawkley LC, Cole SW, Cacioppo JT (2014) A Behavioral Taxonomy of Loneliness in
 Humans and Rhesus Monkeys (Macaca mulatta). PLOS ONE 9:e110307.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0110307

- Chu PS, Saucier DA, Hafner E (2010) Meta-Analysis of the Relationships Between Social Support and
 Well-Being in Children and Adolescents. J Soc Clin Psychol 29:624–645.
 https://doi.org/10.1521/jscp.2010.29.6.624
- Gotlib IH, Joormann J (2010) Cognition and Depression: Current Status and Future Directions. Annu
 Rev Clin Psychol 6:285–312. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.121208.131305
- Haber MG, Cohen JL, Lucas T, Baltes BB (2007) The relationship between self-reported received and
 perceived social support: A meta-analytic review. Am J Community Psychol 39:133–144.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10464-007-9100-9
- House JS, Umberson D, Landis KR (1988) Structures and processes of social support. Annu Rev Sociol
 14:293–318. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.so.14.080188.001453
- Heike Krüger, Thomas Grund, Srebrenka Letina, Emily Long, Julie Riddell, Claudia Zucca, Mark
 McCann (2024) Discrepancies in the perception of social support relationships (Stage 1
 Registered Report). OSF preprints, ver.5 peer-reviewed and recommended by PCI Network
 Science <u>https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/uc2qy</u>
- Mankowski ES, Wyer RS (1997) Cognitive Causes and Consequences of Perceived Social Support. In:
 Pierce GR, Lakey B, Sarason IG, Sarason BR (eds) Sourcebook of Social Support and
 Personality. Springer US, Boston, MA, pp 141–165
- Romano V, Shen M, Pansanel J, et al (2018) Social transmission in networks: global efficiency peaks
 with intermediate levels of modularity. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 72:154.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-018-2564-9
- Rueger SY, Malecki CK, Pyun Y, et al (2016) A meta-analytic review of the association between
 perceived social support and depression in childhood and adolescence. Psychol Bull
 142:1017–1067. https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000058
- Sueur C, Fancello G, Naud A, et al (2024) The Complexity of Social Networks in Healthy Aging: Novel
 Metrics and Their Associations with Psychological Well-Being. Peer Community J 4:.
 https://doi.org/10.24072/pcjournal.388
- Sueur C, King AJ, Pelé M, Petit O (2012) Fast and accurate decisions as a result of scale-free network
 properties in two primate species. In: Lecture Notes in Computer Science
- Sueur C, Quque M, Naud A, et al (2021) Social capital: an independent dimension of healthy ageing.
 Peer Community J 1:. https://doi.org/10.24072/pcjournal.33
- 112Thoits PA (2011) Mechanisms Linking Social Ties and Support to Physical and Mental Health. J Health113Soc Behav 52:145–161. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022146510395592
- 114
- 115
- 116