

Red-shifted two-photon-sensitive phenanthridine photocages: synthesis and characterisation

Célest M Attiach, Amit Kumar, Jonathan Daniel, Mireille Blanchard-Desce,

Antoine Maruani, Peter I Dalko

To cite this version:

Célest M Attiach, Amit Kumar, Jonathan Daniel, Mireille Blanchard-Desce, Antoine Maruani, et al.. Red-shifted two-photon-sensitive phenanthridine photocages: synthesis and characterisation. Chemical Communications, 2024, 60 (63), pp.8260 - 8263. 10.1039/d4cc02852j . hal-04785767

HAL Id: hal-04785767 <https://hal.science/hal-04785767v1>

Submitted on 15 Nov 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

ChemComm

Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2024, 60, 8260

Received 14th June 2024, Accepted 9th July 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4cc02852j

rsc.li/chemcomm

Red-shifted two-photon-sensitive phenanthridine photocages: synthesis and characterisation†

Célest M. Attiach,^a Amit Kumar,^a Jonathan Daniel,^b Mireille Blanchard-Desce, D^b Antoine Maruani **D**^{*}^a and Peter I. Dalko **D**^{*^a}

Herein we describe the rational design, synthesis and photophysical study of a novel class of phenanthridine-based, one- and twophoton sensitive, photoremovable protecting groups with absorption wavelengths extending beyond 400 nm. This design facilitated the development of scaffolds with enhanced uncaging quantum yield, paving the way for broader applications in controlled drug delivery and molecular manipulation.

Photoremovable protecting groups (PPGs) or photocages represent essential tools for the controlled liberation of biologically active agents through light-mediated covalent bond cleavage.¹ Initially prominent in neurophysiology, facilitating the rapid release of neurotransmitters for dynamic signal transmission studies,² PPGs have evolved to encompass diverse chemical scaffolds.³ From o-nitrobenzyl to BODIPY passing through coumarin-4-ylmethyl,⁴ these probes have found applications beyond neuroscientific realms, extending to cell physiology³ and optogenetics with photocontrolled release applications for peptides, nucleosides and even proteins⁵ Recent innovations have further expanded their utility to intricate prodrug approaches and the design of sophisticated drug delivery systems, where lightinduced disruption releases cargo in a controlled manner.⁶

However, challenges persist in the form of limited tissue penetration of light, necessitating solutions like red-shifted absorbing probes and/or the use of two-photon activation at near-infrared wavelengths. This requires the development of specialised probes tailored to these demands.⁷ Additionally, the field grapples with the intricate balance of criteria governing biological activation, encompassing considerations such as toxicity, water

solubility, hydrolytic and metabolic stability, and the efficiency of photolysis. Indeed, the value of the two-photon uncaging cross section, $\delta_{\mathbf{u}}$, is affected by two parameters: σ_2 and $\phi_{\mathbf{u}}$; where σ_2 is the two-photon absorption (2PA) cross-section (in GM) and ϕ_u is the uncaging quantum yield. Increasing σ_2 in organic molecules can prove quite challenging as it requires the introduction of multiple large, hydrophobic, aromatic rings that is detrimental to the water solubility of the molecule. On the other hand, $\phi_{\rm u}$ depends on the rate constants of the bond reorganisation events happening after light absorption and can be significantly affected by small structural modifications that have only a slight impact on solubility and that typically do not represent a synthetic challenge.⁸ COMMUNICATION

The Characterisative phenanthridin

The Characterisation of the control of the control

In photochemistry, quinolines are among the most responsive PPG under one-photon activation and exhibit interesting sensitivity under two-photon activation conditions.^{8,9} However, to date, their full potential remains largely underexploited. This is primarily due to their moderate solubility and maximum absorption wavelengths that do not extend beyond 400 nm. By tuning electronic density around quinoline core, it may be possible to improve these characteristics but, despite the synthesis of diverse octupolar¹⁰ and quadrupolar probes,¹¹ the increased complexity of such design did not consistently translate into improved performance. Substituents at 7- and 8 positions did not result in major shifts in absorbance but studies on $\rm BHQ^{12}$ and CyHQ 8 by Dore and colleagues revealed acetate cages with uncaging quantum yields up to 0.5.

Interestingly, (dimethylamino)quinoline (DMAQ) and cyclic aliphatic amino derivatives were developed and exhibited even higher uncaging quantum yields but limited maximum absorption wavelengths. 13 Recent advancements introduce Nmethylquinolinium derivatives as efficient red-shifted PPGs, (i.e. 458 nm) but their low-yielding synthesis and fragmentation rates remains a major limitation.¹⁴

In this context, we set out to investigate 3-(dimethylamino) phenanthridine (3-DMAPh) as PPG to provide insights into how "benzannulation" or π -extension influences maximum absorption wavelengths as well as other photophysical properties. To study the impact of electron density modifications, we decided to prepare a small library of 3-DMAPhs derivatives bearing

 a Université Paris Cité, CNRS, Laboratoire de Chimie et de Biochimie Pharmacologiques et Toxicologiques, 75006 Paris, France.

E-mail: antoine.maruani@cnrs.fr

^b Univ. Bordeaux, CNRS, Bordeaux INP, ISM, UMR 5255, F-33400 Talence, France † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthetic procedures for the preparation of all products, 1 and 2-photon absorption and fluorescence spectra, details on the photochemical apparatus, characterization data, theoretical data, copies of ${}^{1}H$ NMR and ${}^{13}C$ NMR spectra for all the synthesized compounds. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4cc02852j>

electron-withdrawing (EWG) or electron-donating groups (EDG) (Fig. 1).

These novel PPGs were then used to investigate their photophysical properties and study the parameters regulating their photolytic efficiency. They also served as a basis for developing a DFT-based model to rationalise the development of DMAPhbased PPGs further. Acetate was chosen as a model leaving group for DMAPhs as it is often presented on model substrate and this would enable comparison with existing literature data. $8,14,15$

The synthesis of 3-DMAPhs started with bromination of acetanilide derivative 1^{16} using (1) eqn of NBS at -50 °C to limit disubstitution. This yielded brominated precursor 2 in 85% yield. Next, a range of commercially available arylboronic acids were coupled through the Suzuki–Miyaura reaction. Optimisation of coupling conditions (see Table S1 in ESI†) enabled the efficient preparation of complete conversion of all arylboronic acids. In addition, while 3a–b necessitated column chromatography, compounds 3c–f could be effectively purified through recrystallisation yielding the products with 54–91% yield.

Subsequently, biphenyls 3a–f were subjected to Bischler-Napieralsky reaction conditions to produce the corresponding phenanthridines (4a–f; Scheme 1). Classical conditions using POCl₃ yielded 4a-d with 55-91% yields.¹⁷ However, electrondeficient aryls 4e–f exhibited poor cyclisation. A variety of dehydrating agents are available for the Bischler-Napieralsky reaction.¹⁸ Among these, Tf_2O/Ph_3PO (known as the Hendrickson reagent) and a combination of $Pocl₃$ and polyphosphoric acid (PPA) were tested (see Table S2 in ESI†).

Whilst the Hendrickson reagent proved ineffective, PPA addition significantly improved the cyclisation, resulting in fluoro-phenanthridines (4e) and nitro- (4f) in 83% and 94% yield respectively. Then, oxidation of phenanthridine derivatives 4a–f using selenium oxide gave the corresponding aldehyde in 27–75% yield. With aldehydes 5a–f in hand, reduction/ acetylation sequences were carried out (Scheme 2).

In stark contrast with the quinoline-based series $8,12,19$ it was found that the alcohols obtained from reduction of 6a–f are prone to rapid re-oxidation in ambient air. Despite taking stringent experimental precautions, these efforts proved insufficient to prevent this phenomenon which may have initiated during the work-up process.

To mitigate this unwanted oxidation, it was decided to perform the subsequent acetylation by using N aBH₄ both as the reducing agent to prevent reoxidation and as a base in the acetylation step. This allowed to rapidly trap the generated alcohol, resulting in the formation of 6a–e with 38–80% yields.

Interestingly, when reoxidation proved too fast for this sequential approach, performing a one-pot sequence with acetic anhydride as solvent enabled the rapid formation of 6f in 40% yield. Subsequently, compounds 6a–f underwent photochemical characterisation under one- and two-photon excitation (PE).

To assess the impact of substituting 8-substituted phenanthridines-OAc (6a–f), 1PE and 2PE experiments were conducted to assess key photophysical parameters. Phenanthridine 6d, bearing a strong EDG, was not stable in solution and degraded rapidly indicating that a too-high electron-releasing ability is detrimental to dark stability.

In contrast, compound 6f, substituted with a strong EWG, was neither fluorescent nor responsive to irradiation, indicating that a strong intramolecular charge transfer transition is involved, explaining the larger extinction coefficient, low fluorescence quantum yield in a polar solvent like DMSO, as well as the lack of uncaging ability.²⁰ Fortunately, derivatives 6a (H), 6b (Me), 6c (OMe), and 6e (F) could be assessed under both 1PE and 2PE. All compounds exhibited absorption maxima around 400 nm (Table 1 and ESI†), which is encouraging as it represents a 32 nm bathochromic shift compared to 7-DMAQ-OAc. However, their $\varepsilon_{\rm max}$, typically around 2500 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹, was reduced in comparison with 7-DMAQ-OAc.¹⁹ Interestingly, once released and as observed during the reduction step of 5a–f, alcohols rapidly reoxidise to aldehydes which were found to display different photophysical properties. Indeed, although 5a–f did not exhibit any fluorescence their maximum absorbance wavelength was significantly red-shifted (Fig. S5, ESI†).

The presence of the EDG OMe is responsible for slightly higher ε values than the EWG fluorine. EDG and EWG substituents induce bathochromic shifts of the low-energy 1P absorption band compared to reference compound 1b, with increasing shifts with larger ED or EW strengths (Table 1). It should be noted that the UV-vis and fluorescence spectra of compound 6a–e (Table 1) were measured in DMSO whose viscosity mitigates non-radiative decay rate, slows down

uncaging and consequently allows to maintain reasonable fluorescence quantum yields. To investigate these experimental results further, computational calculations were conducted.

Indeed, theoretical prediction of the uncaging efficiency presents an attractive approach and offers a way to bypass some experimental cons by providing a relation between chemical/ electronic structure and photochemical activity. Although timedependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) is used extensively to compute nonlinear optical processes for organic molecules due to its reasonable computational cost and relatively good $accuracy₁²¹$ calculated values may exhibit a complex dependence on other intrinsic molecular parameters, such as transition frequencies and dipole moments, so that the results obtained from different quantum-chemical calculation methods often diverge amongst themselves, as well as deviate from the corresponding experimental values. We therefore benchmarked various functionals and found that M06-2X functional showed good agreement between theoretical and experimental values.²⁰ Thus, DFT and TD-DFT calculations were performed to gain further insight into the polarisation and electronic structures of the ground and first excited states of the investigated series of 3-DMAPhs.

Table 2 Vertical transition wavelength (λ_{01} , nm), as well as, oscillator strength (f_{01}), dipole moment variation ($\Delta \mu^{\text{vert}}_{01}$, D), charge transferred upon excitation (q^{CT} , |e|), and charge transfer distance (D_{CT} , \dot{A}), calculated at the TDDFT/M06-2X/6-311++G(d,p) level in acetonitrile

Compound	λ_{01}^a (λ_{exp})	f_{01}	$\Delta \mu^{\rm vert}$ 01	q^{CT}	D_{CT}
6a (H)	394 (395)	0.08	8.11	0.64	2.64
6b (Me)	396 (400)	0.09	7.48	0.63	2.49
$6c$ (OMe)	407 (407)	0.09	6.53	0.61	2.23
6d $(NMe2)$	424 (425)	0.10	2.08	0.59	0.73
6e (F)	408(410)	0.09	8.93	0.67	2.79
6f(NO ₂)	434 (431)	0.72	18.49	0.81	4.74

 a Fudge factor corrected value.²²

The excitation energies (λ_{01}), one-photon oscillator strengths ($!f_{01}$), dipole moment variation ($\Delta \mu^{\text{vert}}_{01}$) and charge transferred upon excitation (q^{CT}) were calculated with TD-DFT but it is important to note that although they are relevant pieces of information, they do not appear to show a direct correlation with uncaging efficiency. However, a correlation can be made between the charge transfer distance (D_{CT}) and uncaging quantum yields.²⁰ The following model was therefore used to calculate and compare these values amongst the molecules of interest (Table 2). Interestingly, extreme values of D_{CT} and $\Delta \mu^{\text{vert}}$ ₀₁ associated with strong EDG (NMe₂) and EWG (NO₂) did respectively yield to unstable product $(D_{CT} = 0.73)$ and unreactive compound $(D_{CT} = 4.74)$. Whilst the total amount of charge whose distribution is perturbed during electron excitation (q^{CT}) remained relatively stable across the series, in the D_{CT} = [2-3] range, a tendency for improved φ_u emerges: compounds with lowest D_{CT} values correspond to higher electron-donating strength of substituents. This trend echoes findings observed in coumarin PPG, 20 however, the limited diversity of compounds in this study prevents a definitive correlation from being drawn. Nevertheless, it appears more likely that electron-donating groups favour higher values of Φ_{u} .

Lastly, the 2PA measurements were conducted by registering the two-photon excited fluorescence in DMSO. These spectra revealed the presence of an absorption maximum shifting between 770 nm and 820 nm, depending on the substituent (Table 1). We note that EDG (6c) and EWG (6e) substituents also induce a bathochromic shift of the 2PA band in the NIR, leading to peak 2PA at 820 nm. On the other hand, the substituents do not influence much the 2PA cross-section values, which amount to about 2GM. Though these values remain modest, they are in the same range as those of small DMAQ cages.

To determine the Φ_u values, irradiation of compounds 6b–c and 6e was performed in 0.1 mM solution in MeCN/Tris 20 mM (1/1, pH 7.4) with LEDs operating at 405 nm. The photochemical reactions were sampled at different time intervals to monitor the progression of the photolysis reaction via HPLC analysis. Overall, $t_{90\%}$ ranged from 2 to 10 minutes (Fig. S4, ESI[†]). Compounds 6a and 6c exhibited similar Φ _u values (respectively 2.7% and 2.6%) indicating that the methoxy EDG does not affect the uncaging efficiency whereas 6e shows a lower value (0.9%), indicating that EWGs might negatively affect the uncaging quantum yield (Table S3, ESI†). Compound 6b has the largest uncaging efficiency (6%). We note that the EDG did not induce a major bathochromic effect compared to previously described nitrobenzyls.²³

The two-photon uncaging cross-section values $(\delta_{\rm u})$ fell within a relatively low range in DMSO, in the range of 10^{-2} GM, and compound 6b exhibited the largest responsiveness, primarily due to its higher quantum yield. To improve this further, DFT calculations were extended to other 3-DMAPhs probes bearing OMe substituents at various positions (Table S4, ESI†) to predict improved photochemical properties. The results suggested that the 7,9-OMe derivative 6g could be the most promising compound among those assayed $(D_{CT} = 2.05)$ To test this hypothesis, it was prepared similarly to the other derivatives (Scheme 1) and its photochemical properties measured (see ESI†). Gratifyingly, as suggested by the DFT calculations, the quantum yield of this dimethoxy derivative reached φ _u = 10.6%, a 4-times increase compared to unsubstituted phenanthridine 6a. In addition, 6g shows a higher peak 2PA response in the NIR (i.e. 11 GM at 740 nm). Hence the 7,9-OMe substitution pattern leads to both increased uncaging efficiency and 2PA response for DMAPhs, resulting in a two-photon sensitivity of 1.2 GM for compound 6g, 20 times larger than that of 6a (Table S3, ESI†). This suggests that optimal D_{CT} values could be less than but close to 2 to balance δ_u and stability. Communication Vew Version (1/1, ptt 7.4) with LiEts operating at 495 am. The photohemic secular the intervention on 12/15/2024 2:20 PM. The dama supporting this article howe here included as part of the form included at a

The most efficient compounds proved to be relatively stable towards dark hydrolysis in the photolysis medium, as no significant degradation of the chromophores was observed after several days in solution in the dark at room temperature apart from the one bearing EWG at the 8-position (Fig. S3, ESI†).

In summary, we have developed novel one- and two-photon sensitive PPGs based on a phenanthridine scaffold. The 3-DMAPhs derivatives prepared exhibited a red-shifted absorbance compared to the parent 7-DMAQ derivatives. Both experimental spectroscopic investigation and computational results demonstrated the influence of EDGs and EWGs at various positions on the rings with the best predicted $D-\pi-A-\pi-D'$ candidate showing a 4-time increase in φ_u and a 5-time increase in σ_2^{max} compared to unsubstituted 3-DMAPh. The predictive model along with the straightforward synthesis described here open the route towards rationally-designed red-shifted PPGs based on this novel class of compounds, hence unlocking a variety of applications whilst mitigating the cytotoxic risks of UV radiation.

Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.†

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- 1 A. Bardhan and A. Deiters, Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol., 2019, 57, 164–175.
- 2 G. C. R. Ellis-Davies, Front. Synaptic Neurosci., 2018, 10, 48.
- 3 (a) G. C. R. Ellis-Davies, Acc. Chem. Res., 2020, 53, 1593–1604; (b) G. C. Ellis-Davies, Nat. Methods, 2007, 4, 619–628.
- 4 (a) P. Klan, T. Solomek, C. G. Bochet, A. Blanc, R. Givens, M. Rubina, V. Popik, A. Kostikov and J. Wirz, Chem. Rev., 2013, 113, 119–191; (b) R. Weinstain, T. Slanina, D. Kand and P. Klan, Chem. Rev., 2020, 120, 13135–13272.
- 5 (a) M. Ikeda and M. Kabumoto, Chem. Lett., 2017, 46, 634–640; (b) A. E. Mangubat-Medina and Z. T. Ball, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2021, 50, 10403–10421.
- 6 W. Zhao, Y. Zhao, Q. Wang, T. Liu, J. Sun and R. Zhang, Small, 2019, 15, e1903060.
- 7 M. Klausen and M. Blanchard-Desce, J. Photochem. Photobiol., C, 2021, 48, 100423.
- 8 A. K. Hennig, D. Deodato, N. Asad, C. Herbivo and T. M. Dore, J. Org. Chem., 2020, 85, 726–744.
- 9 B. Kontra, D. Bogdán, B. Alaghehmand, A. Csomos and P. Dunkel, Tetrahedron Lett., 2023, 124.
- 10 (a) P. Dunkel, M. Petit, H. Dhimane, M. Blanchard-Desce, D. Ogden and P. I. Dalko, ChemistryOpen, 2017, 6, 660–667; (b) S. Picard, E. Genin, G. Clermont, V. Hugues, O. Mongin and M. Blanchard-Desce, New J. Chem., 2013, 37, 3899.
- 11 (a) P. Dunkel, C. Tran, T. Gallavardin, H. Dhimane, D. Ogden and P. I. Dalko, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2014, 12, 9899–9908; (b) C. Tran, N. Berqouch, H. Dhimane, G. Clermont, M. Blanchard-Desce, D. Ogden and P. I. Dalko, Chemistry, 2017, 23, 1860–1868.
- 12 (a) M. J. Davis, C. H. Kragor, K. G. Reddie, H. C. Wilson, Y. Zhu and T. M. Dore, J. Org. Chem., 2009, 74, 1721–1729; (b) O. D. Fedoryak and T. M. Dore, Org. Lett., 2002, 4, 3419–3422.
- 13 B. Kontra, D. Bogdán, B. Alaghehmand, A. Csomos and P. Dunkel, Tetrahedron Lett., 2023, 124, 154587.
- 14 T. Narumi, K. Miyata, A. Nii, K. Sato, N. Mase and T. Furuta, Org. Lett., 2018, 20, 4178–4182.
- 15 Y. Zhu, C. M. Pavlos, J. P. Toscano and T. M. Dore, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 4267–4276.
- 16 H. Kodama, A. Hatanaka, K. Hori and K. Tani, Japan Pat., JP2019038987A, 2019.
- 17 L. M. Tumir, M. Radic Stojkovic and I. Piantanida, Beilstein J. Org. Chem., 2014, 10, 2930–2954.
- 18 M. M. Heravi, S. Khaghaninejad and N. Nazari, in Advances in Heterocyclic Chemistry, ed.A. R. Katritzky, 2014, vol. 5, pp. 183–234.
- 19 M. Petit, C. Tran, T. Roger, T. Gallavardin, H. Dhimane, F. Palma-Cerda, M. Blanchard-Desce, F. C. Acher, D. Ogden and P. I. Dalko, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 6366–6369.
- 20 M. Klausen, V. Dubois, G. Clermont, C. Tonnele, F. Castet and M. Blanchard-Desce, Chem. Sci., 2019, 10, 4209–4219.
- 21 M. A. Salem, I. Twelves and A. Brown, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2016, 18, 24408–24416.
- 22 A. Schlachter, A. Fleury, K. Tanner, A. Soldera, B. Habermeyer, R. Guilard and P. D. Harvey, Molecules, 2021, 26, 1780.
- 23 I. Aujard, C. Benbrahim, M. Gouget, O. Ruel, J. B. Baudin, P. Neveu and L. Jullien, Chemistry, 2006, 12, 6865–6879.